

Dynamics of two-dimensional time-periodic Euler fluid flows

Philip Boyland
Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32605-8105
boyland@math.ufl.edu

Abstract: This paper investigates the dynamics of time-periodic Euler flows in multi-connected, planar fluid regions which are “stirred” by the moving boundaries. The classical Helmholtz theorem on the transport of vorticity implies that if the initial vorticity of such a flow is generic among real-valued functions in the C^k -topology ($k \geq 2$) or is C^ω and nonconstant, then the flow has zero topological entropy. On the other hand, it is shown that for constant initial vorticity there are stirring protocols which always yield time-periodic Euler flows with positive entropy. These protocols are those that generate flow maps in pseudoAnosov isotopy classes. These classes are a basic ingredient of the Thurston-Nielsen theory and a further application of that theory shows that pseudoAnosov stirring protocols with generic initial vorticity always yield solutions to Euler’s equations for which the sup norm of the gradient of the vorticity grows exponentially in time. In particular, such Euler flows are never time-periodic.

§1 Introduction. The motion of fluids provides intuition and basic terminology for Dynamical Systems theory, and the ideas and results of low dimensional dynamics continue to have many important applications in Fluid Mechanics. In this paper we explore a particular case of a general question connecting Fluid Mechanics and Dynamical Systems:

Question 1: *Are fluid flows typical dynamical systems, or do they have special, distinguishing dynamical features?*

This question is obviously vague and can be made precise in a number of ways depending on the dimension, fluid model and time dependence of the velocity fields. In this paper we study the dynamics of the Poincaré maps of time-periodic Euler flows (Question 2 in §3).

There is a great deal known Question 1 for steady (i.e. time-independent) Euler flows in two and three dimensions. Much of this work is summarized in the book of Arnol’d and Khesin ([AK]). In the interval since its publication significant progress has been made by Etnyre and Ghrist on steady 3D Euler flows (see [GK] for a summary). In particular, they have shown that every nonsingular C^ω -Euler flow on the three sphere has a periodic orbit that is unknotted ([EG]). This is a feature of Euler flows which is not shared by every volume preserving flow and so provides a distinguishing dynamical feature for the class of 3D steady Euler flows.

It is a common heuristic that the dynamics of surface diffeomorphisms share many of the features of 3D flows, and so it is natural from the Dynamical System’s standpoint to study the time T -maps of T -periodic 2D Euler flows. The lower dimension results in topological simplification, but the time periodicity adds a new feature. In addition,

we shall primarily be concerned with the situation in which the region occupied by the fluid changes during its evolution as the fluid is “stirred” by various protocols. Thus the time T -flow maps can be in different isotopy classes, and the insights provided by the Thurston-Nielsen theory of these classes will be central to our analysis.

This paper views Fluid Mechanics from the Dynamical System’s prospective. From this admittedly skewed point of view, Fluid Mechanics is the study of families of diffeomorphisms (the flow maps) which have the special property that their vector fields satisfy equations such as Navier-Stokes, Euler or Stokes. These equations are, of course, derived from physical principles, and the resulting systems are studied because they have been found to agree very well with the behavior of real fluids. Question 1 asks whether these physically based conditions restrict the possible dynamics a fluid can manifest as compared to a general dynamical system.

For example, in many physical situations the amount of expansion or compression of a fluid during its evolution is very small and can be neglected. Thus fluid maps are often assumed to be area or volume preserving. As is well known, the dynamics of area-preserving systems differ from general dynamical systems in many respects, for example, they have no attractors. Thus in formulating the corresponding precise version of Question 1 in two dimensions one should ask whether the dynamics of fluids are distinguished from those of a general area-preserving system.

The version of Question 1 studied here assumes that the fluid motion satisfies the Euler equations. These equations are based on the assumption of a fluid with no viscosity and thus there is no energy dissipation. While we restrict attention here to C^k -classical solutions, lesser regularity and various kinds of weak solutions have been an object of much study and are of central importance. Classical results about Euler fluid motions and their consequences for the dynamics are given in §3 and §4. Theorem 2 states a fundamental result of Helmholtz and Kelvin from the mid 19th century. It says that Euler fluid motions are characterized by their preservation of vorticity (or curl) and circulation integrals. These distinguishing features are metric dependent, but nonetheless provide a key to essential topological properties of Euler fluid motions. Proposition 4 shows that as a consequence of the preservation of vorticity, a time-periodic Euler fluid motion whose initial vorticity is a typical C^r -function or else is C^ω and nonconstant must have zero topological entropy (the C^ω case is equivalent to Remark 4 in [BS]).

Amongst the Euler flows with non-generic vorticity those with zero vorticity are of particular interest. These systems are much studied as a consequence of their mathematical tractability and because it is often argued that a fluid at rest has zero vorticity and so if the vorticity is conserved, it will be zero for all time. Using standard potential theory, Proposition 3 shows that systems with constant vorticity and periodic stirring protocols always give rise to periodic Euler fluid motions. Since conditions that ensure periodic solutions to the Euler equations are very rare, this result is useful in guaranteeing the existence of at least one interesting class of time T -Euler flow maps.

Using these results in the real analytic case yields a dichotomy that is somewhat similar to that of Arnol’d for steady 3D Euler flows (see II §1 in [AK]). For 2D time-periodic Euler flows, if the vorticity is nonconstant, then the dynamics are “integrable” and the entropy is zero. One may have chaotic dynamics in the constant vorticity case,

but only if the fluid is stirred

The next section, §5, contains results with the opposite conclusion from that of Proposition 3. As a consequence of the Thurston-Nielsen theory of surface automorphisms, Theorem 7 shows that for generic initial vorticity there are large classes of periodic stirring protocols which never give rise to periodic Euler fluid motions. Further, these stirring protocols result in exponential growth of the sup norm of the gradient of the vorticity. As a consequence of the preservation of vorticity one expects this general type of behavior in any chaotic Euler flow with generic smooth vorticity. The attractive feature of Theorem 7 is that the topology of the stirrer motion allows one to get concrete results on the exponential growth.

It is anticipated that most of this paper's readers will be familiar with Dynamical Systems and not Fluid Mechanics, so we have made some attempt to include basic Fluid Mechanics from a Dynamical Systems point of view. A second reason for including statements and proofs of classic results is that the case under consideration here, multi-connected fluid regions with moving boundaries, is not usually discussed in the standard texts.

There are many first class books on mathematical Fluid Mechanics. The books [AK], [Ba], [C], [G], [MB], [MP], and [Se] provide a sample with a variety of emphases. For a survey of Fluid Mechanics and mathematical structures with a point of view similar to this paper, see [Bd2]. The motion of planar fluids stirred by topologically complex protocols has been studied in [BAS1], [FCB], and [V].

§2 Fluid motions and Hamiltonian systems. In this paper a *fluid motion*, (M_t, ϕ_t) , consists of a smooth family of smooth planar fluid regions, M_t , with the Euclidean metric and a smooth one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms, $\phi_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_t$, with $\phi_0 = id$. The diffeomorphisms should be thought of as describing the evolution of fluid particles: the particle at the point $z \in M_0$ at time 0 is at the point $\phi_t(z) \in M_t$ at time t .

In our fluid region families the outer boundary of M_t will always be a fixed, smooth simple closed curve C_0 . In addition, m disks, each of radius ϵ , (the *stirrers*) are excluded from the fluid region, with the case $m = 0$ being allowed. The evolution of the fluid region is determined by the rigid motion of the excluded disks. The stirrers always remain circles of radius ϵ and their centers move along paths given by $\alpha_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow D$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. It is assumed that no two disks collide and no disk collides with the outer boundary. Because of the slip boundary conditions for Euler fluid motions, rotations of the boundary do not affect the fluid motion and so will be ignored. The collection of paths $(\alpha_i) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is called a *stirring protocol*. Since the outer boundary remains fixed, specifying a stirring protocol specifies the fluid regions M_t , and vice versa. The inner boundary circles at time t are denoted C_{it} and have velocity $\dot{\alpha}_i$. To simplify notation we let α_0 be a constant function, so the velocity of C_0 is identically zero: $\dot{\alpha}_0 \equiv 0$.

The *velocity* or *vector field* X of the fluid motion at the point $\phi_t(z)$ at time t is defined by

$$X(\phi_t(z), t) := \frac{\partial \phi_t}{\partial t}(z). \quad (1)$$

Note that in contrast to what is usual in Dynamical Systems, the vector field X may, and usually does, depend on time. Thus ϕ_t is a flow in the usual Dynamical System sense if and only X is time-independent. In that case the fluid motion is called *steady*. A family

of smooth vector field vector fields $X(\cdot, t)$ on M_t can always be integrated to give a family of diffeomorphisms ϕ_t . The fact that the fluid at time t is contained solely in M_t , i.e. the image of ϕ_t is M_t , is equivalent to the boundary conditions

$$X \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = \dot{\alpha}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i, \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{n}_i is the unit normal to the boundary circle C_{it} . Without comment in the sequel we will go back and forth between a family of velocity fields satisfying these conditions and the corresponding diffeomorphisms and will use both (M_t, ϕ_t) and (M_t, X) to denote the fluid motion.

At this point the notion of a fluid motion is very general and it is not required to satisfy any particular equation. The first restriction on a fluid motion comes from assuming that the fluid neither expands nor contracts during its evolution. A fluid motion is called *incompressible* if each diffeomorphism ϕ_t in the fluid motion is area-preserving, or equivalently, if $\text{div}(X) \equiv 0$.

In two dimensions area preservation is closely related to being a Hamiltonian system. Recall that the family ϕ_t is called *Hamiltonian* if there exist a family of real-valued functions H_t , so that the the velocity field X satisfies $X(\cdot, t) = J \nabla H_t$ for each t , where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case each diffeomorphism ϕ_t is also called *Hamiltonian*. In many cases, a system being Hamiltonian forces additional dynamical properties beyond those of area preservation. (There is a vast literature arising from the Arnol'd conjecture and subsequent developments. See, for example, [MS] and [FH].) However, the distinction between area preservation and Hamiltonian on surfaces is associated with the flux across generators of homology that are not associated with boundary curves. Thus the distinction disappears in genus zero. This is the content of first part of the next lemma. We include it here as it introduces a standard construction of the stream function which we shall need later, and because the motion of the boundary curves introduce an element not contained in the usual results. The second part of the lemma concerns a related question: Is every area preserving diffeomorphism $f : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ (which may permute the inner boundaries) Hamiltonian?

Lemma 1:

(a) *The fluid motion (M_t, ϕ_t) is Hamiltonian if and only if it is incompressible.*

(b) *If $f : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is an area-preserving diffeomorphism, then there is a Hamiltonian fluid motion (M_t, ϕ_t) with $f = \phi_1$.*

Proof: For part (a), first note that Hamiltonian systems are always area preserving. For the converse, at each fixed time, on the boundary component C_{it} the vector $\dot{\alpha}_i$ is a constant and so by direct calculation,

$$0 = \oint_{C_{it}} \dot{\alpha}_i \cdot d\mathbf{n}_i. \quad (3)$$

Thus if for each t we let $Y = -JX$, then $\text{curl}(Y) = \text{div}(X) = 0$ and using (2) and (3), $0 = \oint_{C_{it}} Y \cdot d\mathbf{r}$. So for each t , Y is a curl-free field which has zero circulation around each boundary curve. Thus we may fix z_0 and unambiguously define

$$\Psi(z, t) = \int_{z_0}^z Y \cdot d\mathbf{r} \quad (4)$$

which will satisfy $Y = \nabla\Psi$. Therefore, $X = J\nabla\Psi$, which is to say that Ψ is the Hamiltonian that generates ϕ_t .

For part (b), first note that since M_0 is a disk with holes removed it is standard that we may find a 1-periodic protocol (α_i) with corresponding regions M_t and a fluid motion $\hat{\psi}_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ with $\hat{\psi}_1$ isotopic to f on $M_0 = M_1$ ([Bi]). In addition, since for each t the region M_t has the same area as M_0 , using a theorem of Moser ([M]) we may find a diffeomorphism $g_t : M_t \rightarrow M_t$, isotopic to the identity, with $g_{t*}(\hat{\psi}_{t*}\nu_0) = \nu_t$, where ν_t is the Euclidean area form on M_t . Note that Moser's theorem is stated for closed manifolds, but the proof also works for manifolds with boundary and yields a family g_t which is smooth in t . If we let $\psi_t = g_t \circ \hat{\psi}_t$, then (M_t, ψ_t) is an area-preserving fluid motion.

By construction, $\psi_1^{-1} \circ f$ is area preserving and isotopic to the identity on M_0 . By a similar argument using Moser's theorem (cf. remark 1.4.C in [P]) we may find a family of area preserving diffeomorphisms $h_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ with $h_0 = id$ and $h_1 = \psi_1^{-1} \circ f$. The incompressible and thus Hamiltonian fluid motion required for (b) is $\phi_t = \psi_t \circ h_t$. \square

In Fluid Mechanics the Hamiltonian Ψ is called the *stream function*. The role of Lemma 1 in this paper is to show that in formalizing Question 1 for our fluid regions it suffices to consider area-preserving systems; we do not need to say that we are looking for Euler models of a general time-periodic Hamiltonian system.

§3 Euler fluid motions and diffeomorphisms. In its simplest interpretation, the Euler equation is Newton's second law, $F = ma$, applied to each fluid particle with a force resulting from the gradient of the pressure. As is common, we assume a uniform fluid density of one. What we call an Euler fluid motion here should properly be called an incompressible, constant density Euler flow. We omit the adjectives as understood and use the terminology "fluid motion" to avoid confusion with the Dynamical Systems notion of flow. Euler flow is also sometimes called perfect or ideal fluid flow. From this point onward all fluid motions are required to have velocity fields which are C^k for $k \geq 3$.

The Euler equations for the fluid motion: *An incompressible fluid motion (M_t, ϕ_t) is called Euler if there is a smooth family of smooth functions $p_t : M_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (the pressure) so that p_t and ϕ_t satisfy*

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi_t}{\partial t^2}(z) = -\nabla p_t(\phi_t(z)).$$

It is more conventional to express the Euler equations in term of the velocity field. The acceleration term becomes the *material derivative* of the vector field defined as

$$\frac{DX}{Dt} := \frac{\partial^2 \phi_t}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial(X \circ \phi_t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + \nabla_X X,$$

where $\nabla_X X$ is the directional or covariant derivative of X in the direction of X .

The Euler equations for velocity fields: *A fluid motion (M_t, X) is a solution to the incompressible Euler equation if there is a smooth family of smooth functions $p_t : M_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$*

(the pressure) so that p_t and X satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{DX}{Dt} &= -\nabla p_t \\ \operatorname{div}(X) &= 0 \\ X \cdot \mathbf{n}_i &= \dot{\alpha}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \text{ on the boundary.}\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that a fluid motion is incompressible Euler if and only if its velocity field is a solution to the incompressible Euler equations. Since the fluid regions, M_t , are determined by the stirring protocol, (α_i) , we shall also say that the Euler fluid motion (M_t, X) is an Euler solution which is *compatible* with the given stirring protocol.

The basic questions of existence, uniqueness, and regularity for solutions to the Euler equations has been much studied (see [C], [MB], [MP], or [Kh] for expositions of various results). For the case of interest here, multiply connected planar regions with moving boundary, existence and uniqueness of a global weak and classical solutions were obtained by Kozono [Ko] and He and Hsiao [HH].

The class of dynamical systems to be studied here are the time T -diffeomorphisms of time-periodic Euler fluid motions. A stirring protocol is said to be *T -periodic* if after time T , the set of stirrers return to their initial position, and then the stirring process repeats. Note that since the stirrers are indistinguishable, we only require the set of stirrers to come back to itself, not each individual stirrer. In terms of the paths α_i , this definition says that $\alpha_i(t+T) = \alpha_{\sigma(i)}(t)$ for some permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Definition of Euler Diffeomorphisms: *A diffeomorphism $f : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is called an Euler diffeomorphism, if $f = \phi_T$ for an incompressible Euler fluid motion (M_t, ϕ_t) whose velocity field $X(z, t)$ is T -periodic and compatible with a T -periodic stirring protocol.*

Note that the definition requires not just a periodic protocol, but the compatible Euler velocity field must be periodic as well. The usual definition of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism requires that $f = \phi_1$ for a Hamiltonian family ϕ_t . This in, in fact, equivalent to requiring $f = \phi_1$ for a family ϕ_t that is generated by a family of Hamiltonians H_t that are 1-periodic, $H_{t+1} = H_t$, and so the corresponding vector fields $X(z, t)$ are also 1-periodic (see, for example, page 37 in [P]). On the other hand, as a consequence of Theorem 7 there are diffeomorphisms, f , that are the time 1-map of an Euler fluid motion, but that Euler fluid motion cannot have a periodic velocity field. The general question of which area preserving diffeomorphisms are the time 1-maps of perhaps aperiodic Euler fluid motions is very difficult, but see Brenier [Br] and Shnirelman [Sh] for reports on significant progress. The requirement that an Euler diffeomorphism be generated by a periodic velocity field is included in our definition to insure that the iterates of f describe the dynamics of the fluid motion.

We can now give a precise statement of the version of Question 1 of interest here.

Question 2: *Given an area preserving diffeomorphism $g : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$, is there an Euler diffeomorphism f that is topologically conjugate to g ?*

As is usual in Dynamical Systems, we only require f and g to have the same dynamics up to topological change of coordinates. This is essential because being an Euler fluid

motion is not even preserved under smooth changes of coordinates because the acceleration, or equivalently, the covariant derivative, depends on the metric.

The next theorem collects classical results that are basic to understanding the dynamics of Euler fluid motions. The *vorticity* of a velocity field is its curl and is denoted $\omega_t(z) := \text{curl}(X(z, t))$. In two dimensions the vorticity is a real-valued function and $\omega_t = -\Delta\Psi$, where Ψ is the stream function of $X(z, t)$. Recall that the push forward of a scalar field (0-form) s under a diffeomorphism f is $f_*s = s \circ f^{-1}$

Theorem 2: (Helmholtz-Kelvin) *An incompressible fluid motion (M_t, ϕ_t) with velocity field X and vorticity ω_t is Euler if and only if its vorticity is passively transported,*

$$\phi_{t*} \omega_0 = \omega_t, \quad (5)$$

and circulations around all smooth simple closed curves C are preserved under the flow,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{\phi_t(C)} X \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Proof. First observe that standard two-dimensional vector identities yield

$$\frac{DX}{Dt} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + \nabla \left(\frac{\|X\|^2}{2} \right) - \omega JX$$

Since $\text{curl}(JX) = \text{div}(X) = 0$, taking the curl yields,

$$\text{curl} \left(\frac{DX}{Dt} \right) = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \nabla \omega \cdot X = \frac{\partial \omega(\phi_t(z), t)}{\partial t}. \quad (7)$$

Now if X is Euler, then $\text{curl}(\frac{DX}{Dt}) = -\text{curl}(\nabla p) = 0$, and so by (7), ω is constant on orbits, which is equivalent to (5).

The transport theorem for simple closed curves C is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \oint_{\phi_t(C)} X \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \oint_{\phi_t(C)} \frac{DX}{Dt} \cdot d\mathbf{r}. \quad (8)$$

So if X is Euler,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \oint_{\phi_t(C)} X \cdot d\mathbf{r} = - \oint_{\phi_t(C)} \nabla p \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0,$$

and circulations are preserved.

For the converse, first note that if vorticity is transported, then (7) yields $\text{curl}(\frac{DX}{Dt}) = 0$. In addition, if circulation integrals are conserved then (8) yields

$$0 = \oint_{\phi_t(C)} \frac{DX}{Dt} \cdot d\mathbf{r}.$$

Thus $\frac{DX}{Dt}$ is a curl-free field whose circulation around each boundary component is zero. Thus as in (4), for each t there exists a smooth function $-p_t$ with $\frac{DX}{Dt} = -\nabla p_t$, and further, since all the data is varying smoothly with t , so does p_t . \square

By Green's theorem, if C bounds a disk in M_0 , then (5) implies (6), but for multi-connected regions (6) is stronger. In particular, the requirement that circulation integrals be preserved is needed for multi-connected regions. This condition is often not included in standard texts in the vorticity form of the Euler equations because the regions under consideration are usually simply connected or else solutions with discontinuous pressure are allowed.

§4 Constant and generic initial vorticity. In this section we take the first steps on Question 2 with a pair of results that give dynamical information about Euler fluid motions based on the nature of the initial vorticity distributions. Since vorticity distribution coupled with the circulations determine the velocity field and the vorticity is passively transported by the Helmholtz-Kelvin Theorem, it is not surprising that the initial vorticity distribution very much influence the dynamics.

In view of the definition of Euler diffeomorphism we restrict attention to periodic stirring protocols. As noted above, in general, a periodic stirring protocol does not suffice to insure that a compatible Euler solution is periodic. However, as a consequence of elementary potential theory it does suffice if the initial vorticity is constant. This is content of the first part of Proposition 3. The second part of the proposition concerns the situation with stationary boundaries and is a special case of a more general well-known classical result (see, for example, Proposition 8.2.2 in [AMR], or page 33 in [MP]).

Proposition 3: *Assume that (α_i) is a T -periodic stirring protocol with corresponding fluid regions M_t . Given a real number Ω and a vector $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with $\sum \Gamma_i = \Omega \text{ area}(M_0)$, there exists a unique T -periodic incompressible Euler fluid motion (M_t, X) with $\omega_0 \equiv \Omega$ and $\oint_{C_{i0}} X \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \Gamma_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, m$. In particular, if the inner boundaries are stationary, then the solution X is steady (time-independent) and so $h_{top}(\phi_t) = 0$ for all t .*

Proof: Fix t . By standard arguments (eg. a simple modification of the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [MP]), given the planar region with smooth boundaries M_t , the circulations Γ_i with $\sum \Gamma_i = \Omega \text{ area}(M_t)$, and the vectors $\dot{\alpha}_i$, there is a unique C^ω -function Ψ_t with $\Delta \Psi_t = -\Omega$, $(J \nabla \Psi) \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = \dot{\alpha}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i$ on each boundary component C_{it} , and $\oint_{C_{it}} (J \nabla \Psi) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \Gamma_i$ for all i . Note that the condition on the sum of the circulations is necessary by Green's theorem.

Now define $X = J \nabla \Psi_t$, and let ϕ_t be it's fluid motion. By construction, ϕ_t transports vorticity and preserves circulation integrals and so by Theorem 2 is an Euler fluid motion. Since the M_t are a T -periodic family, X is T periodic. Uniqueness follow from uniqueness of each Ψ_t and Theorem 2.

If the boundaries of the fluid region do not move, then $M_t = M_0$ for all t , and so $\Psi_t = \Psi_0$ for all t , and so X is time-independent. Thus ϕ_t is a two-dimensional flow in usual Dynamical Systems sense, and so has zero topological entropy ([Y]). \square

The next result concerns the dynamics when the initial vorticity is typical amongst all functions in the C^k category, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. The basic idea, as was observed by Brown

and Samelson [BS], is that as a consequence of the Kelvin-Helmholtz Theorem, an Euler diffeomorphism preserves the level sets of its initial vorticity distribution. Since smooth, one-dimensional manifolds cannot support chaotic dynamics, if the level sets are smooth manifolds, the diffeomorphism has zero topological entropy. The precise version of this idea we give makes use of a frequently invoked theorem of Katok.

Proposition 4: *If f is an Euler diffeomorphism whose velocity field X has initial vorticity ω_0 which has finitely many critical points, then $h_{top}(f) = 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, and the fact that X is T -periodic, $\phi_{T*} \omega_0 = \omega_T = \omega_0$. Now assume to the contrary that $h_{top}(f) > 0$. As a consequence of a theorem of Katok [K2], since f is smooth, it must have a transverse homoclinic point to a hyperbolic periodic point p . Now since $\omega_0 = \omega_0 \circ f$, and ω_0 is continuous, it must be constant on the closure of the union of the stable and unstable manifolds of the orbit of p . And since these intersect transversally at the homoclinic orbit and ω_0 is smooth, every point on the homoclinic orbit must be a critical point for ω_0 , and so there are infinitely many of them, contrary to assumption. \square

The class of functions allowed for ω_0 in the proposition contains the Morse functions, and so contains an open dense set in $C^k(M_0, \mathbb{R})$, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. Thus it represents the typical case in the C^k -topology. It is important to note what the proposition does not say. It does not show that amongst the initial vorticities that give rise to periodic Euler solutions, the typical case has zero topological entropy. Such a stronger result would require understanding conditions on the initial vorticity that insure or even allow periodicity of the Euler velocity field (cf. Question 3 in §6). Also note that any condition on a smooth invariant function which guarantees zero entropy can be substituted for the assumption of finitely many critical points. Finding such conditions is an interesting general Dynamical Systems question.

A nonconstant real analytic function has finitely many critical points in any compact set and so we have a corollary that via Katok's theorem is equivalent to Remark 4 in [BS].

Corollary 5: (Brown and Samelson) *If f is an Euler diffeomorphism whose velocity field is C^ω and has nonconstant initial vorticity, then $h_{top}(f) = 0$.*

§5 PseudoAnosov stirring protocols. In this section we use the fact that the topological character of a T -periodic stirring protocol determines the isotopy class of the time T -map of any compatible fluid motion, and this information can be used with the Thurston-Nielsen theory to obtain classes of periodic stirring protocols for which any compatible Euler solution is never periodic.

We begin by quickly reviewing standard material about braids, isotopy classes and Thurston-Nielsen theory. For more information on braids and isotopy classes see [Bi] or [BL]. For general material on the Thurston Nielsen theory see [T], [FLP], and [CB]. For a survey of dynamical applications of the TN theory see [Bd1], and for fluid mechanical applications see [BAS1] and [BAS2].

For definiteness we fix the geometry of the fluid regions. In this section M_0 is always the unit disk with three small round disks of radius ϵ centered at $p_1 = -1/2$, $p_2 = 0$, and $p_3 = 1/2$ removed:

$$M_0 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1, \text{ and } |z - p_i| \geq \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

We shall also assume that any stirring protocol is 1-periodic. Such a protocol can be associated with braid on three strands. This standard construction proceeds by considering the three-dimensional traces of the paths defined by $(\alpha_i(t), t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. This defines a physical braid on three strands. By examining the crossing of the strands projected onto a plane, we obtain a braid word in the braid group on three strings, B_3 . Note that changing the projection plane changes the braid word by a conjugacy, and so the construction actually only defines a conjugacy class in B_3 , which is known as the *braid type* of the protocol. The distinction between the braid and its conjugacy class is usually not of consequence here, so we will just refer to the “braid” of the protocol.

The braid in turn determines the isotopy class in the mapping class group of M_0 . The Thurston-Nielsen (TN) theory contain a classification of surface isotopy classes into three types: finite order, pseudoAnosov (pA), and reducible. A stirring protocol is said to have one of these types if its corresponding isotopy class does. Since we restrict attention here to M_0 , we can use a simplified version of the theory which depends on the fact that M_0 is a two fold cover of the torus with 4 disks removed ([Bi], [BW], [K1], see §1.8 in [Bd1] for an exposition).

The TN-type of an isotopy class represented by an element of B_3 can be computed directly using the homomorphism $\chi : B_3 \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with

$$\chi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \chi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that this is just the Burau representation with the substitution $t = -1$, and so can be interpreted as the action on homology in the two-fold cover, the torus. The result we need is that the braid word $\beta \in B_3$ represent a pA class if and only if the largest eigenvalue λ' of the matrix $\chi(\beta)$ is real with magnitude larger than one, or equivalently, if $|\text{trace}(\chi(\beta))| > 2$. The number $\lambda = |\lambda'|$ is called the *expansion constant* of the pA class.

PseudoAnosov isotopy classes have a number of special properties. Of central importance here is the fact that a pA isotopy class always induces exponential word growth on π_1 . More precisely, let $F_3 \cong \pi_1(M_0)$ be the free group on three generators and $\rho : F_3 \rightarrow F_3$ be induced by a pA isotopy class, i.e. $\rho = f_* : \pi_1(M_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_0)$ for any (and thus every) homeomorphism f in the class. If for $w \in F_3$, $\ell(w)$ denotes the number of letters in the reduced word for w , then for every nontrivial $w \in F_3$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(\rho^n(w))^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lambda, \tag{9}$$

where to be explicit, $\rho^n(w)$ denotes repeated composition of ρ applied to w , not multiplication in the group.

One consequence of this induced growth on π_1 is that any homeomorphism in the class has topological entropy greater than $\log(\lambda)$. A second consequence concerns essential curves and arcs. An *essential simple closed curve* is one that is neither contractible nor boundary parallel. An arc with its endpoints on the boundary is *essential* if cannot be contracted to a point by a homotopy that keeps its endpoints on the boundary. As a consequence of (9), under a homeomorphism in a pA class, no iterate of an essential arc or

curve is homotopic to itself. Here as in the rest of the paper all homotopies are required to keep the boundary fixed set-wise.

A third consequence of (9) is the exponential growth of the length of essential curves and arcs under iteration. If γ is a smooth essential arc or closed curve and f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of diffeomorphisms all in the same pA isotopy class with expansion constant λ , then there is a positive constant k so that

$$\ell((f_1 \circ f_2 \dots \circ f_n)(\gamma)) \geq k\lambda^n \quad (10)$$

for all $n > 0$, where $\ell(\cdot)$ now denotes the Euclidean length. The equivalence of (9) and (10) follows from the fact that the word length of an element in π_1 gives a uniform bound on the displacement caused by its corresponding deck transformation in the universal cover (see [Mi1] and [FLP], exposé 10, §II). This result is usually stated for the repeated application of a single diffeomorphism, but since the composition above induces the same action on π_1 as a repeated composition, the proof is the same. For what follows it is important to note that the constant k depends only on the homotopy class of the essential arc or closed curve.

In order to get the estimate in Theorem 7 we require (generic) hypothesis on the initial vorticity. Recall that $\mu : M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *Morse function* if its Hessian is nonsingular at all critical points. Morse functions are open and dense in $C^k(M_0, \mathbb{R})$, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. (see [Mi2], [H], or [Ma] for more details on Morse Theory). A *connected component* of a level set $\mu^{-1}(r)$ is called a *critical set* if it contains a critical point and a *regular set* if it does not. Regular sets are either simple closed curves or arcs with both endpoint on the boundary of M_0 .

Informally, an *essential regular strip* is a collection of parallel regular arcs. More precisely, an essential regular strip is defined to be a nontrivial interval of μ values I and a collection of essential regular arcs K_r for each $r \in I$ so that each K_r is a connected component of the level set $\mu^{-1}(r)$ and $S := \cup K_r$ is compact and connected. An *essential regular annulus* is defined similarly using regular closed curves. If $I = [c, d]$, the boundary of an essential regular annulus consists of the two regular closed curves K_c and K_d . The boundary of an essential regular strip is the union of four arcs: the two segments in the boundary of M_0 that consists of the endpoints of the regular arcs in the strip and the two regular arcs K_c and K_d .

Note that if K is an essential regular arc (resp. closed curve), then it always has a neighborhood (one-sided, if K is tangent to the boundary) that is an essential regular strip (annulus). All regular sets in an essential regular strip or annulus are in the same homotopy class. It is worth noting that not every Morse function on M_0 has essential regular sets, see Figure 1a.

Lemma 6: *Let M_0 be the disk with three holes as defined above. For all $2 \leq k \leq \infty$ there is a dense, open set $G \subset C^k(M_0, \mathbb{R})$ such that each $\mu \in G$ has an essential regular set.*

Proof: Let the set G consists of Morse functions $\mu \in C^k(M_0, \mathbb{R})$ for which

- (1) Each critical value comes from exactly one critical point, i.e. if c_1 and c_2 are distinct critical points, then $\mu(c_1) \neq \mu(c_2)$.




Figure 1a: Sample level sets of a Morse function with no essential regular set.

Figure 1b: Components of level sets from the proof of Lemma 6

- (2) No critical point is on the boundary and no critical set is tangent to the boundary.
- (3) Restricted to each boundary circle μ is a Morse function with one-dimensional domain.

Standard arguments show that G is open dense in the Morse functions, and thus is open dense in $C^k(M, \mathbb{R})$. By condition (1) each critical set of a $\mu \in G$ contains exactly one critical point and thus there are three possibilities for the topology of a critical set: an ‘ x ’ with the endpoints of all its arms on the boundary, an ‘ α ’ with the endpoint of its two arms on the boundary, and an ‘ ∞ ’. By condition (2) any critical set that intersects the boundary must be an ‘ α ’ or ‘ x ’ with its endpoints on the boundary, and by condition (3) a given level set can only intersect the boundary in a finite set of points.

Now assume contrary to the conclusion of the lemma that $\mu \in G$ has no essential regular set. We claim that this implies that for each boundary circle, C_i , there is a regular closed curve, B_i , that is homotopically parallel to C_i . The proof proceeds by drawing a number of conclusions about the possible level sets of μ and their configurations all based on the same general argument: if the assertion is not true, then near to the level set under consideration there would be another component of a level set that is an essential arc or closed curve, contrary to the assumption on μ . For example, the endpoints of the arms of a critical set of type ‘ α ’ must be on the same boundary component, for if they were not, we could move a little off the essential path in the α from endpoint to endpoint and find an essential arc for μ . Other assertions that follow from the same argument are: A critical set of type ‘ α ’ must either be contractible into a boundary circle or else contain its legs inside its closed loop and that closed loop is homotopically parallel to the boundary circle (see Figure 1b). A critical set of type ‘ x ’ must have all its endpoints on the same boundary circle and must be contractible into that circle (see Figure 1b). A regular arc must have both of its endpoints on the same boundary curve, be contractible into that boundary circle, and not be tangent to any other boundary circle. A regular closed curve can be tangent to one and only one boundary circle, but then it must be contractible into that boundary circle or else be homotopically parallel to it.

Now for $i = 0, \dots, 3$, let

$$F_i = \{K : K \text{ is a component of a level set and } K \cap C_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

By construction, each F_i is connected and using the observations of the previous paragraph, for $i \neq j$, $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$. In addition, $U = (\cup F_i)^c$ is open because a component of a level set not intersecting a boundary curve implies that all level sets near it also do not intersect the boundary curve. Thus each F_i is a compact, connected set that is disjoint from the other F_j . This implies that the topological frontier of each F_i consists of a finite number of components of level sets which are disjoint from the other F_i . Looking at the list of possible elements of an F_i we see that this frontier can only be a closed curve tangent and parallel to C_i or else a critical set of ' α '-type with its arms on C_i and its loop parallel to C_i as on the right of Figure 1b. In either case, just outside the frontier there is a regular closed curve, B_i , that is parallel to C_i , proving the claim above.

If we let M' be the multi-connected region whose boundary is the union of the B_i , then M' is homeomorphic to M_0 and has regular closed curves for its boundary. As a consequence, all the components of level sets of μ in M' are either regular closed curves or else critical sets of type ' ∞ '. For $i = 0, \dots, 3$, let E_i be the closure of the set of regular closed curves K which are homotopically parallel to the boundary circle C_i and focus on a fixed i . The frontier of F_i gives one component of the frontier of E_i . The rest of the frontier of E_i must consist of components of critical sets of type ' ∞ ' and one of the loops of the ∞ must be parallel to C_i . If the other loop of the ∞ bounds a disk or is parallel to C_i , then nearby the ∞ there is a regular closed curve, parallel to C_i , contradicting the fact that the ∞ was on the boundary of E_i . On the other hand, if the other loop of the ∞ encloses a boundary circle other than C_i , then nearby there would be an essential regular closed curve, contrary to the assumption on μ . Thus in either case we have a contradiction to the assumption that μ has no regular sets. \square

The next result gives conditions under which a periodic stirring protocol gives rise to Euler fluid motions which are never periodic. On one hand, by Proposition 4, if the initial vorticity has finitely many critical points then any Euler diffeomorphism has zero topological entropy. On the other hand, any diffeomorphism in a pA isotopy class must have positive entropy. Thus any Euler fluid motion whose vorticity has finitely many critical points and is compatible with a pA stirring protocol cannot have a periodic velocity field. With the additional generic condition on the initial vorticity given in Lemma 6, one gets more data about this non-periodicity in the form of an estimate of the growth rate of the gradient of the vorticity.

Theorem 7: (PseudoAnosov protocols) *Assume that (α_i) is a stirring protocol of pseudoAnosov type with expansion constant λ , and X is a compatible Euler solution with initial vorticity ω_0 . If ω_0 has finitely many critical points, then X is not periodic. If in addition, $\omega_0 \in G$, the open dense set specified in Lemma 6, then there is a positive constant c with*

$$\|\nabla \omega_n\|_{C^0} \geq c\lambda^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: The first statement was proved in the paragraph above the theorem so assume that $\omega_0 \in G$ from Lemma 6 and let S_0 be an essential regular strip for ω_0 . The case where ω_0 has an essential regular annulus is similar. Since S_0 is an essential regular strip there is an interval of ω_0 values, $I = [\Omega_L, \Omega_R]$, so that for $r \in I$, $\omega_0^{-1}(r) \cap S_0 := K_{0r}$ is an essential regular arc and $\mu(K_{0r}) = r$.

Now fix $n > 0$. By Theorem 2, $S_n := \phi_n(S_0)$ is an essential regular strip for ω_n which is made up of the essential regular arcs $K_{nr} := \phi_n(K_{0r})$. If ℓ_n is the minimum Euclidean length of any of the K_{nr} , it follows from (10) that there is a constant k depending only on the homotopy class of S_0 so that

$$\ell_n \geq k\lambda^n. \quad (11)$$

Since S_n is a regular strip, it is equipped with a pair of orthogonal foliations by arcs, namely, the regular arcs K_{nr} and trajectories of the gradient flow of ω_n restricted to S_n . This implies that we may find smooth function $a_1, a_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a diffeomorphism

$$F : \{(a, r) : r \in I \text{ and } a_1(r) \leq a \leq a_2(r)\} \rightarrow S_n$$

so that:

- (1) F restricted to $[a_1(r), a_2(r)] \times \{r\}$ parameterizes K_{nr} .
- (2) For $i = 1, 2$, $F(a_i(r), r)$ parameterizes the intersection of S_n with a boundary component of M_n .
- (3) $F(\{a\} \times I)$ is a trajectory of the gradient flow of ω_n restricted to S_n .

For such an F , $\frac{\partial F}{\partial a}$ is orthogonal to $\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}$, and since F^{-1} restricted to a $F(\{a\} \times I)$ is exactly ω_n ,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(a, r) \right\| = \frac{1}{\|\nabla \omega_n(F(a, r))\|}.$$

Using the fact that ϕ_n preserves area

$$\begin{aligned} \text{area}(S_0) &= \text{area}(S_n) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_L}^{\Omega_R} \int_{a_1(r)}^{a_2(r)} \det(DF) \, da \, dr \\ &= \int_{\Omega_L}^{\Omega_R} \int_{a_1(r)}^{a_2(r)} \frac{\|\frac{\partial F}{\partial a}\|}{\|\nabla \omega_n(F(a, r))\|} \, da \, dr \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\|\nabla \omega_n(z_n)\|} \int_{\Omega_L}^{\Omega_R} \int_{a_1(r)}^{a_2(r)} \|\frac{\partial F}{\partial a}\| \, da \, dr \\ &\geq \frac{(\Omega_R - \Omega_L)\ell_n}{\|\nabla \omega_n(z_n)\|}, \end{aligned}$$

where z_n is such that $\|\nabla \omega_n(z_n)\| = \max\{\|\nabla \omega_n(z)\| : z \in S_n\}$. Thus using (11),

$$\|\nabla \omega_n(z_n)\| \geq \frac{(\Omega_R - \Omega_L)k\lambda^n}{\text{area}(S_0)}$$

and note that none of the constants depend on n . \square

Although we restricted attention here to the case of three stirrers, there is a similar result for pA protocols with more stirrers and for protocols for which the TN representative in the isotopy class has at least one pA component.

In one sense Theorem 7 says that for pA protocols any compatible Euler velocity fields are diverging as $t \rightarrow \infty$ because $\|X\|_{C^2} \rightarrow \infty$, or alternatively, $\|\Delta X\|_{C^0} \rightarrow \infty$. Examining the situation more carefully one sees that this divergence is a result of the “piling up” of levels sets of ω and thus the graph of the vorticity is acquiring sharp ridges packed closely together. Thus the vorticity is becoming more evenly distributed and regular in the sense that in many places the local mean vorticities are approaching the global mean. Now if the fluid motion were time-periodic and strong mixing with respect to Lebesgue measure, this would be the behavior of $\mu \circ \phi_t$ for any L^2 -function μ . While the fluid motion is certainly not periodic and strong mixing it is worth noting that the proof of Theorem 7 indicates that this behavior is the result of each time one advance map of the fluid being isotopic to a pA map and so is, in a certain sense, the “memory” of the strong mixing of the pA map.

§6 Discussion and Questions. We begin by summarizing the contributions of this paper to Question 1, and more generally, to the understanding of the dynamics of Euler diffeomorphisms. The results fall into various cases based on the initial vorticity and the isotopy class induced by the stirrer motion. For expositional simplicity we continue to restrict the discussion to fluid regions with the topology of M_0 from §5, but similar conclusions can be drawn for systems with more than 3 stirrers.

As a starting point, recall that the set of area preserving diffeomorphisms with positive topological entropy on a genus zero surface is dense and open in the C^∞ topology ([W], see [F] for other generic conditions). Thus in addressing Question 2 we shall primarily focus on the question of the existence of Euler diffeomorphisms with positive entropy.

The simplest case is that of stationary boundaries, i.e. no stirring. From Proposition 3, we know that in this case constant initial vorticity yields only steady Euler fluid motions and thus zero entropy. From Proposition 4 we know that whatever the stirring protocol, for typical initial vorticity a time-periodic Euler fluid motion also has zero entropy. Thus any Euler model for chaotic dynamics in this class comes from vorticity that is atypical and non-constant.

At the other extreme of stirring, for pA protocols Theorem 7 says that typical initial vorticity never gives rise to Euler diffeomorphisms. So once again to find Euler models for chaotic dynamics we must have atypical initial vorticity. Amongst these atypical systems, perhaps the most attractive for additional study are those with constant vorticity. By Proposition 3 we know that these systems do, in fact, always have periodic Euler velocity fields. In addition, since the class is pA, the resulting Euler diffeomorphisms all have positive entropy. Further, Proposition 3 also shows that for a fixed protocol there is only a 4-parameter family of such Euler diffeomorphisms. Amongst these constant vorticity solutions the zero vorticity case is especially attractive because in that case the stream function is harmonic and the methods of complex analysis can be brought to bear. These systems will be the subject of a subsequent paper. Very interesting numerical results on these systems are contained in [FCB].

Between the two extremes of stirring are the finite order protocol in which the stirrers move in paths that are topologically the same as those generated by circulating them in order around a circle. This case also requires further investigation.

In the C^ω -case the situation is somewhat clearer. For nonconstant initial vorticity the entropy is always zero (Corollary 5). As already noted, for constant vorticity one always has periodic compatible Euler velocity fields. For a pA protocol the resulting Euler diffeomorphism always have positive entropy, but stationary boundaries yield zero entropy. For finite order protocols presumably one can have both types of behavior.

This situation is somewhat reminiscent of Arnol'd's dichotomy for C^ω -steady 3D Euler flows. In that case if the vorticity is generic, then the Bernoulli function gives an integral of motion and forces zero entropy. The non-generic case is when the vorticity is aligned with the velocity field giving what is termed a Beltrami flow. These flows can have positive entropy and much progress has been made by Etnyre and Ghrist based on the observation that Beltrami flows can be identified with the Reeb flows of contact forms. In the analogy to 2D periodic Euler fluid motions the Beltrami case corresponds to zero vorticity, and there also one has nice additional structure, namely, the stream function is harmonic.

While the entire picture is not yet clear, especially in the C^k -case, at this point it appears that Euler diffeomorphisms, especially ones with positive entropy, are rather rare. Thus it seems likely that Euler diffeomorphisms cannot manifest all the dynamical behavior of area preserving diffeomorphisms. However, we do not, as of yet, have a specific dynamical obstruction that would give a negative answer to Question 2.

We close with some questions stimulated by Question 2. All the questions except the last assume the situation studied here: planar, multi-connected regions with perhaps moving boundaries.

Question 3: *How common are time-periodic Euler velocity fields which are not steady? Give explicit examples of initial vorticity distributions and periodic stirring protocols so that the compatible Euler solution is also periodic.*

It is worth noting that the results in this paper have only used the fact that the vorticity is passively transported by Euler fluid motions and not the important additional information that this function is actually the curl of the velocity fields generating the motion. In particular, in certain cases the curl will make level sets of the vorticity "curl" up and not return to themselves periodically, and so prevent the periodicity of the Euler solution.

Question 4: *Study the dynamics of Euler diffeomorphism arising from smooth, atypical initial vorticity such as those with with areas of constant vorticity. Are there any chaotic, time-periodic Euler flows with stationary boundaries or which are compatible with finite order protocols?*

It would also be very interesting to lessen the regularity assumptions of this paper and study, for example, Questions 3 and 4 for systems whose vorticity is the indicator function of a region with smooth boundary (this case is often referred to as "vortex patches"). It is known that such initial data gives rise to flow maps that are Hölder homeomorphisms and the boundary curve of a vortex patch remains smooth throughout the evolution. Thus the resulting Euler homeomorphisms have special characteristics which could be valuable

in understanding their dynamics. In this context it is useful to note that the Thurston-Nielsen theory is a theory about homeomorphisms, and so, for example, if the boundary of a vortex patch is an essential curve, then a compatible Euler velocity field cannot be periodic under a pA stirring protocol.

It is also worth remarking that another kind of singular Euler fluid motion, point vortices, do have periodic solutions with positive entropy in the sense that there are *relative* periodic solutions of the 3-vortex problem on the cylinder for which the motion of the vortices may be treated as stirrers with a protocol of pA type ([BAS2]). Thus the induced velocity field on the cylinder is time-periodic after a space translation, and the time T -map has positive entropy. It is likely that such periodic solutions also exist in the disk and plane without the geometric phase. Can these examples be smoothed while maintaining the periodicity? Note that Proposition 4 and the property of pA classes given in (10) force strong restrictions on how the smoothing can be done.

Question 5: *Study Question 1 for the time T -diffeomorphisms of time-periodic quasistationary Stokes flow in multi-connected region with periodic stirring protocols.*

The quasistationary Stokes equation is derived from assumptions at the other extreme from those behind the Euler equation. Instead of assuming the viscosity is negligible, one assumes that the vorticity dominates and that the acceleration or inertial term can be neglected. The velocity field is thus required to satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta X &= -\nabla p_t \\ \operatorname{div}(X) &= 0 \\ X &= \dot{\alpha}_i \text{ on the boundary.}\end{aligned}$$

where p_t is again the pressure. Note that the boundary conditions correspond to the fluid sticking to the boundary without slipping; this is a consequence of the nonzero viscosity. Solutions to the quasistationary Stokes equation are characterized by the stream function Ψ being biharmonic at each time, $\Delta^2 \Psi = 0$. Thus in terms of mathematical structure, quasistationary Stokes flows are similar to time-periodic zero vorticity Euler fluid motions. Both are time-periodic Hamiltonian systems whose Hamiltonian has additional structure. In the zero vorticity Euler case the Hamiltonian is harmonic, while for Stokes flow it is biharmonic. Note, however, the nontrivial difference in the boundary conditions. Stokes flows with a pA stirring protocol are studied in [BAS1], [FCB], and [V].

Question 6: *In three dimensions the Helmholtz-Kelvin theorem says that the vorticity (now a vector field) is transported. Thus with generic initial vorticity a 3D time-periodic Euler fluid motion preserves a nontrivial vector field. What restrictions does this place on the dynamics of 3D Euler diffeomorphisms?*

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Hassan Aref for pointing out that versions of Proposition 4 and Corollary 5 are contained in [BS] and Igor Mezić for the remark after Theorem 7 about the asymptotics of the vorticity.

Bibliography

- [AMR] Abraham, R., Marsden, J., and Ratiu, T., *Manifolds, tensor analysis, and applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [AK] Arnol'd, V. & Khesin, B., *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, Springer Verlag, 1998.
- [Ba] Batchelor, G.K., *An Introduction to Fluid Mechanics*, Cambridge University Press, 1967.
- [Bi] Birman, J., *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [BL] Birman, J. and Libgober, A., ed., Proceedings of the AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on Artin's Braid Group, *Contemp. Math.*, **78**, 1988.
- [BW] Birman, J. and Williams, R., Knotted periodic orbits in dynamical systems II: knot holders for fibered knots, *Contemp. Math.*, **20**, 1983, 1–60.
- [Bd1] Boyland, P., Topological methods in surface dynamics, *Topology and its Applications*, **58**, 223–298, 1994.
- [Bd2] Boyland, P., Fluid Mechanics and Mathematical Structures, *An Introduction to the Geometry and Topology of Fluid Flows*, (ed. R.L. Ricca), NATO-ASI Series: Mathematics, Kluwer, 105–134, 2001.
- [BAS1] Boyland, P., Aref, H. and Stremmer, M., Topological fluid mechanics of stirring, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **403**, 277–304, 2000.
- [BAS2] P. Boyland, H. Aref and M. Stremmer, Topological fluid dynamics of point vortex motions, *Physica D*, **175**, 69–95, 2003.
- [Br] Brenier, Y., Topics on hydrodynamics and volume preserving maps, *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics II*, North-Holland, 55–86, 2003.
- [BS] Brown, M. & Samelson, R., Particle motion in vorticity- conserving, two-dimensional incompressible flows, *Phys. Fluids*, **6**, 2875–2876, 1994.
- [CB] Casson, A. and Bleiler, S., *Automorphisms of Surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, **9**, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [C] Chemin, J.-Y., Fluides parfaits incompressibles, *Astérisque*, **230**, 1995.
- [EG] Etnyre, J. & Ghrist, R., Stratified integrals and unknots in inviscid flows, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **246**, 99–112, 1999.
- [FLP] Fathi, A., Lauderbach, F. and Poenaru, V., Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces, *Astérisque*, **66-67**, 1979.
- [FCB] Finn, M., Cox, S. & Byrne, H., Topological chaos in inviscid and viscous mixers, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **493**, 345–361, 2003.
- [F] Franks, J., Rotation numbers and instability sets, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **40**, 263–279, 2003.
- [FH] Franks, J. and Handel, M., Periodic Points of Hamiltonian Surface Diffeomorphisms, preprint, ArXiv, math.DS/0303296.
- [G] Gallavotti, G., *Foundations of fluid mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [GK] Ghrist, R. & Komendarczyk, R., Topological features of inviscid flows, *An Introduction to the Geometry and Topology of Fluid Flows*, (ed. R.L. Ricca), NATO-ASI Series: Mathematics, Kluwer, 183–202, 2001.

- [HH] He, C. and Hsiao, L., Two-dimensional Euler equations in a time dependent domain, *J. Differential Equations*, **163**, 265–291, 2000.
- [H] Hirsch, M., *Differential Topology*, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [K1] Katok, A., Bernoulli diffeomorphisms on surfaces, *Ann. Math.*, **110**, 529–547, 1979.
- [K2] Katok, A., Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms, *Publ Math IHES*, **51**, 137–173, 1980.
- [Kh] Koch, H., Transport and instability for perfect fluids, *Math. Ann.*, **323**, 491–523, 2002.
- [Ko] Kozono, Hideo, On existence and uniqueness of a global classical solution of the two-dimensional Euler equation in a time-dependent domain, *J. Differential Equations*, **57**, 275–302, 1985.
- [MB] Majda, A. and Bertozzi, A., *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [MP] Marchioro, C. and Pulvirenti, M., *Mathematical theory of incompressible non-viscous fluids*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Ma] Matsumoto, Y., *An introduction to Morse theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [MS] McDuff, D. & Salamon, D., *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [Mi1] Milnor, J., A note on curvature and the fundamental group, *J. of Diff. Geom.*, **2**, 1–70, 1968.
- [Mi2] Milnor, J., *Morse Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1969.
- [Mo] Moser, J., On the volume elements on a manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120**, 286–294, 1965.
- [P] Polterovich, L., *The Geometry of the Group of Symplectic Diffeomorphisms*, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [Sh] Shnirelman, A., Diffeomorphisms, braids, and flows, *An Introduction to the Geometry and Topology of Fluid Flows*, (ed. R.L. Ricca), NATO-ASI Series: Mathematics, Kluwer, 253–270, 2001.
- [Se] Serrin, J., Mathematical Principles of Classical Fluid Mechanics, *Handbuch der Physik*, **VIII/1**, 1959.
- [T] Thurston, W., On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces, *Bull. A.M.S.*, **19**, 417–431, 1988.
- [V] Vikhansky, A., Simulation of topological chaos in laminar flow, *Chaos*, 2004 (in press).
- [W] Weiss, H., Genericity of symplectic diffeomorphisms of S^2 with positive topological entropy. A remark on: "Planar homoclinic points" by D. Pixton and "On the generic existence of homoclinic points" by F. Oliveira, *J. Statist. Phys.*, **80**, 1995, 481–485.
- [Y] Young, L.-S., Entropy of continuous flows on compact 2-manifolds, *Topology*, **16**, 469–471, 1977.