

# Mod 2 indecomposable orthogonal invariants

M. Domokos <sup>a \*</sup> and P. E. Frenkel <sup>b †</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
P.O. Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary, E-mail: domokos@renyi.hu

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Budapest Technical University,  
P.O.B. 91, 1521 Budapest, Hungary,  
E-mail: frenkelp@renyi.hu

## Abstract

Over an algebraically closed base field  $k$  of characteristic 2, the ring  $R^G$  of invariants is studied,  $G$  being the orthogonal group  $O(n)$  or the special orthogonal group  $SO(n)$  and acting naturally on the coordinate ring  $R$  of the  $m$ -fold direct sum  $k^n \oplus \cdots \oplus k^n$  of the standard vector representation. It is proved for  $O(n)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and for  $SO(n)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) that there exist  $m$ -linear invariants with  $m$  arbitrarily large that are indecomposable (i. e., not expressible as polynomials in invariants of lower degree). In fact, they are explicitly constructed for all possible values of  $m$ . Indecomposability of corresponding invariants over  $\mathbb{Z}$  immediately follows. The constructions rely on analysing the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix whose entries above the diagonal are the scalar products of the vector variables.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A50; 15A72; 20G05

Keywords: orthogonal group, quadratic form, invariants of a system of vectors, multilinear polynomial invariants, Pfaffian

## 1 Introduction and notation

### 1.1 The orthogonal group

Let  $F$  stand for an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Denote coordinates in  $F^n$  by  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_\nu, y_\nu$  if  $n = 2\nu$  or by  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_\nu, y_\nu, z$  if  $n = 2\nu + 1$ . The *standard quadratic form*  $q : F^n \rightarrow F$  is

$$q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_\nu y_\nu \text{ when } n = 2\nu,$$

---

\*Corresponding author. Supported through a European Community Marie Curie Fellowship, held at the University of Edinburgh. Partially supported by OTKA grants No. T 34530 and T 046378.

†Supported through a European Union Marie Curie Studentship held at the University of Warwick. Partially supported by OTKA grants T 042769 and T 046365.

and

$$q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_\nu y_\nu + z^2 \text{ when } n = 2\nu + 1.$$

The *orthogonal group*  $O(n, F)$  is defined as the group of linear isomorphisms of  $F^n$  that leave the quadratic form  $q$  invariant. The *special orthogonal group*  $SO(n, F)$  is defined as the component of  $O(n, F)$  containing the identity. Recall that the polar form  $\beta$  of  $q$  is the symmetric bilinear form on  $F^n$  given by

$$\beta(v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q(v^{(1)} + v^{(2)}) - q(v^{(1)}) - q(v^{(2)}).$$

The non-degeneracy of  $q$  means that  $\beta(v, \cdot) = 0$  and  $q(v) = 0$  together imply  $v = 0$ . Note that up to base change,  $q$  is the only non-degenerate quadratic form on  $F^n$ .

Throughout this paper  $k$  stands for an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, and we write just  $O(n)$  and  $SO(n)$  when  $k$  is to be understood. All elements of  $O(n)$  have determinant 1. The algebraic group  $O(n)$  is connected for odd  $n$  and has two components for even  $n$ . Thus,  $SO(2\nu + 1) = O(2\nu + 1)$ , whereas  $SO(2\nu)$  is a subgroup of index 2 in  $O(2\nu)$ .

## 1.2 Invariants

We write  $R$  or  $R_{n \times m}$  for the  $F$ -algebra of polynomials in the coordinates of the indeterminate  $n$ -dimensional vectors  $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(m)}$ . A letter  $G$  in the superscript indicates the subalgebra formed by the polynomials invariant under the group  $G = O(n, F)$  or  $G = SO(n, F)$  acting on  $m$ -tuples of vectors in the obvious way.

Next we recall from [2] some distinguished elements in  $R$ . Set

$$Q^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q(v^{(i)}) \text{ and } B^{(ij)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta(v^{(i)}, v^{(j)})$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . More explicitly, for  $n = 2\nu$  we have

$$B^{(ij)} = x_1^{(i)} y_1^{(j)} + y_1^{(i)} x_1^{(j)} + \cdots + x_\nu^{(i)} y_\nu^{(j)} + y_\nu^{(i)} x_\nu^{(j)},$$

whereas for  $n = 2\nu + 1$  we have

$$B^{(ij)} = x_1^{(i)} y_1^{(j)} + y_1^{(i)} x_1^{(j)} + \cdots + x_\nu^{(i)} y_\nu^{(j)} + y_\nu^{(i)} x_\nu^{(j)} + 2z^{(i)} z^{(j)}.$$

Let

$$D^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det [v^{(i_1)}, \dots, v^{(i_n)}]$$

be the determinant of the matrix that has  $v^{(i_1)}, \dots, v^{(i_n)}$  as its columns. Then  $Q^{(i)}$  and  $B^{(ij)}$  are orthogonal invariants, and  $D^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  is a special orthogonal invariant.

The classical “first fundamental theorem” for the (special) orthogonal group asserts that when  $F$  is of characteristic zero, the algebra  $R^{O(n, F)}$  is generated by the scalar products  $B^{(ij)}$  of the indeterminate vectors under consideration, and the algebra  $R^{SO(n, F)}$  is generated by the scalar products and the determinants. This has been discussed along with the analogous results for the other classical groups by Hermann Weyl in [7]. De Concini and Procesi [1] gave a characteristic free treatment to the subject, in particular, they proved that the first fundamental theorem for the (special) orthogonal group remains unchanged in

odd characteristic. Concerning characteristic 2, Richman [5] proved later that the algebra  $R^G$  for the group  $G$  preserving the bilinear form  $x_1^{(1)}x_1^{(2)} + \cdots + x_n^{(1)}x_n^{(2)}$  is generated in degree 1 and 2. However, though this group preserves the quadratic form  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ , it is not the so-called ‘orthogonal group’ in characteristic 2: the quadratic form  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$  is the square of a linear form, hence is degenerate. So in characteristic 2 the question about vector invariants of the orthogonal group remains open, and was addressed in [2] (motivated by an observation from [3]). In particular, it was shown in [2] that the field of rational  $O(n)$ -invariants is generated by the obvious quadratic invariants in characteristic 2 as well. However, the behaviour of polynomial invariants turned out to be very much different, see Section 2.

## 2 Constructing indecomposable invariants

An element of the polynomial algebra  $R$  is called  $m$ -linear if it is multilinear in the vector variables  $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(m)}$ . An element of the algebra  $R^G$  of invariants is said to be an *indecomposable* element if it is not contained in the subalgebra of  $R^G$  generated by the elements of lower degree. When  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , we write  $R(\mathbb{Z}) = R_{n \times m}(\mathbb{Z})$  for the subring of  $R$  consisting of polynomials with integer coefficients, and  $R^G(\mathbb{Z})$  for the ring  $R^G \cap R(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $G$ -invariants with integer coefficients (note that  $G$  here is still the complex group  $O(n, \mathbb{C})$  or  $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ ). In this case we say that an element of  $R^G(\mathbb{Z})$  is an indecomposable element if it is not contained in the subring of  $R^G(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by the elements of lower degree.

Let  $n = 2\nu$  or  $n = 2\nu + 1$ . Over an algebraically closed base field  $k$  of characteristic 2, we construct an indecomposable  $m$ -linear  $O(n)$ -invariant if  $m > 2\nu \geq 2$  and an indecomposable  $m$ -linear  $SO(n)$ -invariant if  $m \geq n \geq 3$ , except when  $n$  is even and  $m$  is odd (in which case there are no  $SO(n)$ -invariants of degree  $m$  at all — either see [2, Theorem 4.5(ii)] or just consider the action of the  $\nu$ -dimensional torus  $SO(2)^\nu$  whose elements are the diagonal matrices in  $SO(2\nu)$ ). All shall be constructed as modulo 2 images of invariants with integer coefficients, which therefore have the above indecomposability properties over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

This proves our conjecture formulated in [2]. Constructions for  $n \leq 4$  were given there. The paper [3] contained a more sophisticated proof of the existence of high-degree indecomposable invariants in the  $SO(4)$  case.

We shall use the symbol  $*$  to mean any one of the two letters  $x$  and  $y$ . We define the sign  $\text{sgn } \rho$  of an  $m$ -linear monomial

$$\rho = z^{(i_{01})} \cdots z^{(i_{0m_0})} *_1^{(i_{11})} \cdots *_1^{(i_{1m_1})} \cdots *_\nu^{(i_{\nu 1})} \cdots *_\nu^{(i_{\nu m_\nu})} \quad (1)$$

with  $i_{r1} < \cdots < i_{rm_r}$  for each  $r$  to be the sign of the permutation  $i_{01}, \dots, i_{0m_0}, i_{11}, \dots, i_{1m_1}, \dots, i_{\nu 1}, \dots, i_{\nu m_\nu}$  of the indices  $1, \dots, m$ .

In our first two propositions, which form the technical heart of the paper, we shall be

working over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We write

$$Pf^{(i_1, \dots, i_{2\mu})} = \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^{(i_1 i_2)} & B^{(i_1 i_3)} & \dots & B^{(i_1 i_{2\mu})} \\ & 0 & B^{(i_2 i_3)} & \dots & B^{(i_2 i_{2\mu})} \\ & & 0 & \dots & B^{(i_3 i_{2\mu})} \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{O(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$$

for the Pfaffian of the  $2\mu \times 2\mu$  skew-symmetric matrix whose upper half consists of the  $B$ 's corresponding to the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_{2\mu}$ . It is invariant under  $O(n, \mathbb{C})$ . It is multilinear in the vectors  $v^{(i_1)}, \dots, v^{(i_{2\mu})}$  if there is no repetition in the upper indices. (See e. g. [4, Appendix B.2] for the definition and basic properties of Pfaffians.)

**Proposition 1** *The coefficient in  $Pf^{(1, \dots, 2\mu)}$  of a  $2\mu$ -linear monomial  $\rho$  as in (1) above is zero unless, for each  $r$ ,  $m_r = 2\mu_r$  is even and the  $x_r$  and the  $y_r$  occur in an alternating order. In this case, the coefficient is*

$$2^{\mu - |\{r > 0 : \mu_r \geq 1\}|} \text{sgn } \rho.$$

*Proof.* The coefficient of  $\rho$  is given by substituting the corresponding vectors of the standard basis into  $Pf^{(1, \dots, 2\mu)}$ . This amounts to calculating the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix given by the  $B$ 's of these vectors. Permuting rows and columns turns it into a direct sum of matrices and shows that the Pfaffian is  $\text{sgn } \rho$  times a product of  $m_r \times m_r$  Pfaffians, one factor for each index  $r$ . So, assuming that  $\rho$  has a non-zero coefficient, each  $m_r$  must be even. Set  $\mu_r = m_r/2$ .

If, for some  $r > 0$ , we have two occurrences of  $x_r$  with no  $y_r$  in between (or *vice versa*), then the  $r$ th Pfaffian has two identical (adjacent) rows, which makes it zero. So the  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  must occur in an alternating order for each  $r > 0$ . Therefore, the  $r$ th Pfaffian, for  $r > 0$ , is that of the  $m_r \times m_r$  checkerboard matrix with entries  $b^{(ij)} = \text{sgn}(j - i)$  for odd  $j - i$  and zero otherwise. Expanding by the first row and using induction on  $\mu_r$  shows that this is  $2^{\mu_r - 1}$  if  $\mu_r \geq 1$ . It is  $1 = 2^{\mu_r}$  if  $\mu_r = 0$ .

For odd  $n$  and  $r = 0$ , we have the Pfaffian of the  $m_0 \times m_0$  matrix with entries  $b^{(ij)} = 2\text{sgn}(j - i)$ . Expanding by the first row and using induction on  $\mu_0$  shows that this is  $2^{\mu_0}$ .

Adding up the exponents of 2 yields the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 2** *Let  $m \geq n$  with  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , and set  $\mu = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . Consider the  $m$ -linear polynomial*

$$\sum \text{sgn } \pi \cdot D^{(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(n))} Pf^{(\pi(n+1), \dots, \pi(m))}, \quad (2)$$

*the sum being extended over those permutations  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$  that satisfy  $\pi(1) < \dots < \pi(n)$  and  $\pi(n+1) < \dots < \pi(m)$ . The coefficient in (2) of an  $m$ -linear monomial  $\rho$  as in (1) above is zero unless, for each  $r > 0$ ,  $m_r$  is even and strictly positive, and the  $x_r$  and the  $y_r$  occur in an alternating order. In this case, the coefficient is*

$$2^{\mu - \nu} (-1)^{|\{r > 0 : *_{r}^{(i_{r1})} = y_r^{(i_{r1})}\}|} \text{sgn } \rho.$$

*Proof.* Assuming that  $\rho$  has a non-zero coefficient, it follows trivially that for each  $r > 0$ ,  $m_r$  must be even and strictly positive. Set  $\mu_r = m_r/2$ . We call a choice of one  $x_r$  and one  $y_r$  from  $\rho$  a *good choice* if the remaining  $2(\mu_r - 1)$  of the  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  occur in an alternating order. Any choice of one  $z$  from  $\rho$  shall be called a good choice. Now Proposition 1 shows that the terms in the sum (2) in which  $\rho$  has non-zero coefficients correspond to the simultaneous good choices (for each  $r$ ) of one of each letter from  $\rho$ , and the coefficient of  $\rho$  in such a term is

$$2^{\mu-\nu-|\{r>0:\mu_r\geq 2\}|} \operatorname{sgn} \rho \cdot \prod_r \pm 1,$$

where the  $r$ th  $\pm 1$  is the sign of that permutation of the letters  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  in  $\rho$  (resp. the letters  $z$  in  $\rho$ ) which puts the chosen one(s) in front and in alphabetical order, leaving the rest in the order they had in  $\rho$ .

It follows that the coefficient of  $\rho$  in (2) is

$$2^{\mu-\nu-|\{r>0:\mu_r\geq 2\}|} \operatorname{sgn} \rho \cdot \prod_r \sum \pm 1,$$

where the  $r$ th summation is over the good choices of one  $x_r$  and one  $y_r$  (resp. one  $z$ ) from  $\rho$ .

If we have two occurrences of  $x_r$  with no  $y_r$  in between (or *vice versa*), then the  $r$ th sum is zero, for we can pair off the choices by interchanging the rôle of the two adjacent  $x_r$ 's, and the two  $\pm 1$ 's in each pair will cancel. So the  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  must occur in an alternating order for each  $r > 0$ . In this case, the  $r$ th sum is  $\pm 1$  if  $\mu_r = 1$  and  $\pm \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m_r-1} (-1)^{j-1}\right) = \pm 2$  if  $\mu_r \geq 2$ . The sign is  $+$  if  $x_r$  comes first and  $-$  if  $y_r$  comes first.

For odd  $n$ , the 0th sum is  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_0} (-1)^{j-1} = 1$ .

Adding up the exponents of 2 and  $(-1)$  respectively, we arrive at the result.  $\square$

For  $\mu \geq \nu$ , divide the Pfaffian in Proposition 1 by  $2^{\mu-\nu}$  to get a  $2\mu$ -linear  $O(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant with integer coefficients, call it  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{n \times 2\mu} \in R_{n \times 2\mu}^{O(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ . View  $\tilde{g}$  modulo 2 to get a  $2\mu$ -linear  $O(n)$ -invariant with coefficients in the prime subfield  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of  $k$ , call it  $g = g_{n \times 2\mu} \in R_{n \times 2\mu}^{O(n)}$ . Analogously, for  $m \geq n$  with  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , divide the sum (2) of Proposition 2 by  $2^{\mu-\nu}$  to get an  $m$ -linear  $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant with integer coefficients, call it  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}_{n \times m} \in R_{n \times m}^{SO(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ . View  $\tilde{h}$  modulo 2 to get an  $m$ -linear  $SO(n)$ -invariant with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , call it  $h = h_{n \times m} \in R_{n \times m}^{SO(n)}$ . Note that invariance over  $k$  in both cases follows from that over  $\mathbb{C}$  by [2, Lemma 3.2].

By Proposition 1,  $g$  is the sum of those  $m$ -linear monomials  $\rho$  that, when written in the form (1), have strictly positive and even  $m_r$  for all  $r > 0$ , and the  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  occur in an alternating order. By Proposition 2, the same holds for  $h$ . This shows in particular that for  $m \geq n$  both even,  $g = h$ . It follows that  $h$  is an  $O(n)$ -invariant whenever defined — remember that  $SO(2\nu + 1) = O(2\nu + 1)$ .

For odd  $n$  and any  $m \geq n$ , exactly one of  $g$  and  $h$  is defined. We shall prove that it is an indecomposable  $SO(n)$ -invariant if  $n \geq 3$ . We define the *multiplicity in  $x, y$*  of a polynomial to be the minimum of the total degrees of its monomials in the  $x, y$  variables. (For a homogeneous polynomial, this is the difference between the degree and the degree

in the  $z$  variables.) We observe that  $g$  and  $h$  have the smallest possible multiplicity in  $x, y$ . Indeed, their multiplicity in  $x, y$  is  $2\nu$ , and we have

**Lemma 3** *The multiplicity in  $x, y$  of any  $m$ -linear  $SO(2\nu + 1)$ -invariant is at least  $\min(m, 2\nu)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $m \leq 2\nu$  we have [2, Theorem 4.9] that says that the algebra  $R_{(2\nu+1) \times m}^{SO(2\nu+1)}$  is generated by the  $Q^{(i)}$  and the  $B^{(ij)}$ , which do not involve the  $z$  variables, so the multiplicity in  $x, y$  of any  $m$ -linear invariant is  $m$ . The case  $m \geq 2\nu$  is reduced to this as follows.

Indirectly assume that an  $m$ -linear  $SO(2\nu + 1)$ -invariant has a monomial of the form  $*_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots *_{r_d}^{(d)} z^{(d+1)} \dots z^{(m)}$  with  $0 \leq d < 2\nu$ . Recall that the  $z$  axis in the space  $k^{2\nu+1}$  is the radical of the symmetric bilinear form  $\beta$ , so its unit vector  $e$  is stabilised by  $SO(2\nu + 1)$ . It follows that substituting  $e$  for the vector variables  $v^{(d+2)}, \dots, v^{(m)}$  in our  $SO(2\nu + 1)$ -invariant yields a  $(d + 1)$ -linear  $SO(2\nu + 1)$ -invariant, having a monomial of the form  $*_{r_1}^{(1)} \dots *_{r_d}^{(d)} z^{(d+1)}$ . But  $d + 1 \leq 2\nu$ , so we have a contradiction.  $\square$

Since the multiplicity in  $x, y$  of a product of homogeneous polynomials is the sum of the multiplicities of the factors, it follows for  $\nu \geq 1$  that the multiplicity in  $x, y$  of any decomposable  $m$ -linear invariant with  $m > 2\nu$  is strictly greater than  $2\nu$ , so we get

**Theorem 4** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd and  $m \geq n$ . Then the  $m$ -linear  $SO(n)$ -invariant  $g$  or  $h$  (whichever one is defined) is indecomposable.*

**Corollary** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd and  $m \geq n$ . Then the  $m$ -linear invariant  $\tilde{g}$  or  $\tilde{h}$  (whichever one is defined) is indecomposable in the ring  $R^{SO(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ . When  $m$  is even,  $\tilde{g}$  is, a fortiori, indecomposable also in the ring  $R^{O(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

Another, independent proof of Theorem 4 for  $m > n$  will be given in a remark following the discussion of the  $O(2\nu)$  case. Note that the case  $m = n$  is immediate from [2, Theorem 4.9] cited in the proof above.

We now wish to prove for  $n = 2\nu$  and  $\mu > \nu$  that the  $O(2\nu)$ -invariant  $g$  is indecomposable. We pass to the new linear coordinates  $t_r = x_r$  and  $s_r = x_r + y_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, \nu$ ). We define the *multiplicity in  $s$*  of a polynomial to be the minimum of the total degrees of its monomials in the  $s$  variables, and we observe that  $g$  has the smallest possible multiplicity in  $s$ . Indeed, we have

**Lemma 5** *The multiplicity in  $s$  of any  $2\mu$ -linear  $O(2\nu)$ -invariant  $p = p(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(2\mu)})$  is at least  $\min(\mu, \nu)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\mu \leq \nu$  we have [2, Theorem 4.9] that says that the algebra  $R_{2\nu \times 2\mu}^{O(2\nu)}$  is generated by the  $Q^{(i)}$ , which are quadratic in the corresponding  $v^{(i)}$ , and by the

$$B^{(ij)} = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (t_r^{(i)} (t_r^{(j)} + s_r^{(j)}) + (t_r^{(i)} + s_r^{(i)}) t_r^{(j)}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (t_r^{(i)} s_r^{(j)} + s_r^{(i)} t_r^{(j)}),$$

which are bilinear in  $v^{(i)}, v^{(j)}$  and have multiplicity 1 in  $s$ , so the multiplicity in  $s$  of any  $2\mu$ -linear invariant  $p$  is  $\mu$ .

Now let  $\mu \geq \nu$ . It suffices to prove that if  $0 \leq d < \nu$ , then the coefficient in  $p$  of the monomial

$$s_{r_1}^{(1)} \cdots s_{r_d}^{(d)} t_{r_{d+1}}^{(d+1)} \cdots t_{r_{2\mu}}^{(2\mu)} \quad (3)$$

is zero. This coefficient, expressed using the original  $x, y$  coordinates, is the sum of the coefficients in  $p$  of the  $2^{2\mu-d}$  monomials  $y_{r_1}^{(1)} \cdots y_{r_d}^{(d)} *_{r_{d+1}}^{(d+1)} \cdots *_{r_{2\mu}}^{(2\mu)}$ . If  $\{r_{d+1}, \dots, r_{2\mu}\} \not\subseteq \{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ , say  $r_{d+1} \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ , then these monomials can be paired off via the reflection  $x_{r_{d+1}} \leftrightarrow y_{r_{d+1}}$ , and the two coefficients in each pair are equal due to the  $O(2\nu)$ -invariant property of  $p$ .

Now suppose that  $\{r_{d+1}, \dots, r_{2\mu}\} \subseteq \{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ . Since  $d < 2\mu - d$ , the number of occurrences of at least one of the indices  $1, \dots, \nu$  among  $r_1, \dots, r_d$  is less than among  $r_{d+1}, \dots, r_{2\mu}$ . We may assume

$$r_1 = \cdots = r_a = 1 \neq r_{a+1}, \dots, r_d$$

and

$$r_{d+1} = \cdots = r_{d+a+1} = 1.$$

Consider the  $O(2\nu)$ -invariant

$$p(u^{(r_1)}, \dots, u^{(r_d)}, v^{(d+1)}, \dots, v^{(d+a+1)}, w^{(r_{d+a+2})}, \dots, w^{(r_{2\mu})})$$

depending on a new set of vector variables whose cardinality is

$$|\{r_1, \dots, r_d\}| + a + 1 + |\{r_{d+a+2}, \dots, r_{2\mu}\}| \leq d - a + 1 + a + 1 + d = 2(d + 1) \leq 2\nu.$$

By [2, Theorem 4.9] again, this invariant must be a polynomial in the  $q$ 's and  $\beta$ 's of its vector variables. As it is linear in each of  $v^{(d+1)}, \dots, v^{(d+a+1)}$ , these can be involved only via their  $\beta$ 's with each other or with the  $u$ 's and  $w$ 's. To get the coefficient of the monomial (3), we substitute 1 for the  $s_r$  coordinate of each  $u^{(r)}$ , for the  $t_{r_{d+1}}$  coordinate of  $v^{(d+1)}, \dots$ , for the  $t_{r_{d+a+1}}$  coordinate of  $v^{(d+a+1)}$ , and for the  $t_r$  coordinate of each  $w^{(r)}$ , and we substitute zero for all other  $s$  and  $t$  coordinates. After this substitution, each of  $v^{(d+1)}, \dots, v^{(d+a+1)}$  will be  $\beta$ -orthogonal to all substituted vectors except  $u^{(1)}$ , but our polynomial has only degree  $a$  in  $u^{(1)}$ , so the value we get is zero.  $\square$

Since the multiplicity in  $s$  of a product of polynomials is the sum of the multiplicities of the factors, it follows for  $\nu \geq 1$  that the multiplicity in  $s$  of any decomposable  $2\mu$ -linear invariant with  $\mu > \nu$  is strictly greater than  $\nu$ . On the other hand, the multiplicity of  $g$  in  $s$  is exactly  $\nu$ , since the  $2\mu$ -linear monomial  $s_1^{(1)} \cdots s_\nu^{(\nu)} t_1^{(\nu+1)} \cdots t_\nu^{(2\nu)} t_1^{(2\nu+1)} \cdots t_1^{(2\mu)}$  occurs with coefficient 1. We arrive at

**Theorem 6** *Let  $m > n \geq 2$  both be even. Then the  $m$ -linear  $O(n)$ -invariant  $g$  is indecomposable.*

**Corollary** *Let  $m > n \geq 2$  both be even. Then the  $m$ -linear  $O(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant  $\tilde{g}$  is indecomposable in the ring  $R^{O(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

**Remark** Theorem 4 for  $m > n$  follows from Theorem 6. Indeed, identify  $O(n-1)$  with the subgroup of  $O(n)$  acting on the  $x, y$  coordinate hyperplane in the standard way and fixing  $z$ . Then any  $O(n)$ -invariant polynomial may be viewed as an  $O(n-1)$ -invariant polynomial in just the  $x$  and  $y$  variables (regarding the  $z$  variables as constants). View  $g$  or  $h$  that way, and break it up into its multi-homogeneous components. One of these is  $g_{(n-1) \times m}$  or  $g_{(n-1) \times (m-1)} z^{(m)}$ , which is an indecomposable  $O(n-1)$ -invariant by Theorem 6. It follows that  $g_{n \times 2\mu}$  and  $h_{n \times (2\mu+1)}$  are not in the subalgebra of  $R^{SO(n)}$  generated by the elements of degree less than  $2\mu$ . Since no  $SO(n)$ -invariants of degree 1 exist, indecomposability follows for  $h$  as well as  $g$ .

We now turn to the construction of an indecomposable  $m$ -linear special orthogonal invariant for  $m \geq n \geq 4$  both even. Subtract the sum (2) of Proposition 2 from the Pfaffian in Proposition 1 and divide by  $2^{\mu-\nu+1}$  to get an  $m$ -linear  $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant with integer coefficients. Call it  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_{n \times m} \in R_{n \times m}^{SO(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ , noting that  $\tilde{f} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g} - \tilde{h})$ . View  $\tilde{f}$  modulo 2 to get an  $m$ -linear  $SO(n)$ -invariant  $f = f_{n \times m} \in R_{n \times m}^{SO(n)}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  that is the sum of those  $m$ -linear monomials  $\rho$  that, when written in the form (1), have even  $m_r$  for all  $r$ , with the  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  occurring in an alternating order, and either all  $m_r$  being strictly positive and  $y_r$  coming first for an odd number of lower indices  $r$ , or a unique  $m_r$  being zero. Invariance of  $f$  again follows from that of  $\tilde{f}$  by [2, Lemma 3.2].

**Theorem 7** *Let  $m \geq n \geq 4$  both be even. Then the  $m$ -linear  $SO(n)$ -invariant  $f$  is indecomposable.*

**Corollary** *Let  $m \geq n \geq 4$  both be even. Then the  $m$ -linear  $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant  $\tilde{f}$  is indecomposable in the ring  $R^{SO(n, \mathbb{C})}(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Substituting  $z$  for  $x_\nu$  and  $y_\nu$  in an  $SO(2\nu)$ -invariant yields an  $O(2\nu-1)$ -invariant — this follows easily from Witt's Theorem [6, Theorem 7.4]. Degrees are not increased. The image of  $f = f_{n \times m}$  is  $g = g_{(n-1) \times m}$ , which is of the same degree and is indecomposable by Theorem 4. The image of a non-trivial decomposition of  $f$  would be a non-trivial decomposition of  $g$ , so  $f$  must also be indecomposable.  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, A characteristic free approach to invariant theory, Adv. Math. 21 (1976), 330–354.
- [2] M. Domokos, P. E. Frenkel, On orthogonal invariants in characteristic 2, J. Algebra, in press. Published online: <http://authors.elsevier.com/sd/article/S0021869303005131>. ArXiv preprint RA/0303106.
- [3] M. Domokos, S. G. Kuzmin, A. N. Zubkov, Rings of matrix invariants in positive characteristic, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 176 (2002), 61–80.



- [4] R. Goodman, N. R. Wallach, Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [5] D. R. Richman, The fundamental theorems of vector invariants, Adv. Math. 73 (1989), 43–78.
- [6] D. E. Taylor, The Geometry of the Classical Groups, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [7] H. Weyl, The Classical Groups — Their Invariants and Representations, Princeton University Press, 1946.