

# GENERATORS OF ALGEBRAIC COVARIANT DERIVATIVE CURVATURE TENSORS AND YOUNG SYMMETRIZERS

BERND FIEDLER

ABSTRACT. We show that the space of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors  $\mathfrak{R}'$  is generated by Young symmetrized product tensors  $T \otimes \hat{T}$  or  $\hat{T} \otimes T$ , where  $T$  and  $\hat{T}$  are covariant tensors of order 2 and 3 whose symmetry classes are irreducible and characterized by the following pairs of partitions:  $\{(2), (3)\}$ ,  $\{(2), (2\ 1)\}$  or  $\{(1^2), (2\ 1)\}$ . Each of the partitions  $(2)$ ,  $(3)$  and  $(1^2)$  describes exactly one symmetry class, whereas the partition  $(2\ 1)$  characterizes an infinite set  $\mathfrak{S}$  of irreducible symmetry classes. This set  $\mathfrak{S}$  contains exactly one symmetry class  $S_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$  whose elements  $\hat{T} \in S_0$  can not play the role of generators of tensors  $\mathfrak{R}'$ . The tensors  $\hat{T}$  of all other symmetry classes from  $\mathfrak{S} \setminus \{S_0\}$  can be used as generators for tensors  $\mathfrak{R}'$ .

Foundation of our investigations is a theorem of S. A. Fulling, R. C. King, B. G. Wybourne and C. J. Cummins about a Young symmetrizer that generates the symmetry class of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors. Furthermore we apply ideals and idempotents in group rings  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ , the Littlewood-Richardson rule and discrete Fourier transforms for symmetric groups  $\mathcal{S}_r$ . For certain symbolic calculations we used the Mathematica packages Ricci and PERMS.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [13] we described constructions of generators of *algebraic curvature tensors*. The present paper searches for generators of *algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors*.

Algebraic curvature tensors are tensors of order 4 which have the same symmetry properties as the Riemann tensor of a Levi-Civita connection in Differential Geometry. Let  $\mathcal{T}_r V$  be the vector space of the  $r$ -times covariant tensors  $T$  over a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $V$ ,  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . We assume that  $V$  possesses a *fundamental tensor*  $g \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$  (of arbitrary signature) which can be used for raising and lowering of tensor indices.

**Definition 1.1.** A tensor  $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{T}_4 V$  is called an *algebraic curvature tensor* iff  $\mathfrak{R}$  has the *index commutation symmetry*

$$(1.1) \quad \forall w, x, y, z \in V : \quad \mathfrak{R}(w, x, y, z) = -\mathfrak{R}(w, x, z, y) = \mathfrak{R}(y, z, w, x)$$

and fulfills the *first Bianchi identity*

$$(1.2) \quad \forall w, x, y, z \in V : \quad \mathfrak{R}(w, x, y, z) + \mathfrak{R}(w, y, z, x) + \mathfrak{R}(w, z, x, y) = 0.$$

---

*Date:* September 2003.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B20, 15A72, 05E10, 16D60, 05-04.

**Definition 1.2.** A tensor  $\mathfrak{R}' \in \mathcal{T}_5V$  is called an *algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor* iff  $\mathfrak{R}'$  has the *index commutation symmetry*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathfrak{R}'(w, x, y, z, u) = -\mathfrak{R}'(w, x, z, y, u) = \mathfrak{R}'(y, z, w, x, u)$$

and fulfills the *first Bianchi identity*

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{R}'(w, x, y, z, u) + \mathfrak{R}'(w, y, z, x, u) + \mathfrak{R}'(w, z, x, y, u) = 0$$

and the *second Bianchi identity*

$$(1.5) \quad \mathfrak{R}'(w, x, y, z, u) + \mathfrak{R}'(w, x, z, u, y) + \mathfrak{R}'(w, x, u, y, z) = 0$$

for all  $u, w, x, y, z \in V$ .

The relations (1.1) – (1.5) correspond to the well-known formulas

$$(1.6) \quad R_{ijkl} = -R_{ijlk} = R_{klij}$$

$$(1.7) \quad R_{ijkl} + R_{iklj} + R_{iljk} = 0$$

for the Riemann tensor  $R$  and

$$(1.8) \quad R_{ijkl;m} = -R_{ijlk;m} = R_{klij;m}$$

$$(1.9) \quad R_{ijkl;m} + R_{iklj;m} + R_{iljk;m} = 0$$

$$(1.10) \quad R_{ijkl;m} + R_{ijlm;k} + R_{ijmk;l} = 0$$

for its first covariant derivative which we present here in terms of tensor coordinates with respect to an arbitrary local coordinate system.

Investigations of tensors of type  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}'$  were carried out by many authors. (See the extensive bibliography in the book [19] by P. B. Gilkey.) A famous problem connected with algebraic curvature tensors is the *Osserman conjecture*.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{T}_4V$  be an algebraic curvature tensor and  $x \in V$  be a vector with  $|g(x, x)| = 1$ . The *Jacobi operator*  $J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x)$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $x$  is the linear operator  $J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x) : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x) : y \mapsto J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x)y$  that is defined by

$$(1.11) \quad \forall w \in V : g(J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x)y, w) = \mathfrak{R}(y, x, x, w).$$

**Definition 1.4.** An algebraic curvature tensor  $\mathfrak{R}$  is called *spacelike Osserman* (resp. *timelike Osserman*) if the eigenvalues of  $J_{\mathfrak{R}}(x)$  are constant on  $S^+(V) := \{x \in V \mid g(x, x) = +1\}$  (resp.  $S^-(V) := \{x \in V \mid g(x, x) = -1\}$ ).

Since the notions ”spacelike Osserman” and ”timelike Osserman” are equivalent one simply says *Osserman*.

If  $R$  is the Riemann tensor of a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  which is locally a rank one symmetric space or flat, then the eigenvalues of  $J_R(x)$  are constant on the unit sphere bundle of  $(M, g)$ . Osserman [31] wondered if the converse held. This question is known as the *Osserman conjecture*.

The correctness of the Osserman conjecture has been established for Riemannian manifolds  $(M, g)$  in all dimensions  $\neq 8, 16$  (see [4, 30]) and for Lorentzian manifolds  $(M, g)$  in all dimensions (see [1, 17]). However Osserman’s question has a negative answer in the case of a pseudo-Riemannian metric with signature  $(p, q)$ ,  $p, q \geq 2$  (see

e.g. the references given in [13]). A detailed view about the Osserman conjecture can be found in the book [19] by P. B. Gilkey.

Numerous examples of Osserman algebraic curvature tensors can be constructed by means of operators  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  given below. It turned out that these operators lead to generators for arbitrary algebraic curvature tensors.

**Definition 1.5.** (1) Let  $S \in \mathcal{T}_2V$  be a symmetric tensor of order 2, i.e. the coordinates of  $S$  satisfy  $S_{ij} = S_{ji}$ . We define a tensor  $\gamma(S) \in \mathcal{T}_4V$  by

$$(1.12) \quad \gamma(S)_{ijkl} := \frac{1}{3} (S_{il}S_{jk} - S_{ik}S_{jl}) .$$

(2) Let  $A \in \mathcal{T}_2V$  be a skew-symmetric tensor of order 2, i.e. the coordinates of  $A$  satisfy  $A_{ji} = -A_{ij}$ . We define a tensor  $\alpha(A) \in \mathcal{T}_4V$  by

$$(1.13) \quad \alpha(A)_{ijkl} := \frac{1}{3} (2 A_{ij}A_{kl} + A_{ik}A_{jl} - A_{il}A_{jk}) .$$

Now we can construct an example of an Osserman algebraic curvature tensor in the following way. Let  $g \in \mathcal{T}_2V$  be a positive definite metric and  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^r$  be a finite set of real, skew-symmetric ( $\dim V \times \dim V$ )-matrices that satisfy the Clifford commutation relations

$$(1.14) \quad C_i \cdot C_j + C_j \cdot C_i = -2 \delta_{ij} .$$

If we form skew-symmetric tensors  $A_i \in \mathcal{T}_2V$  by  $A_i(x, y) := g(C_i \cdot x, y)$  ( $x, y \in V$ ), then

$$(1.15) \quad \mathfrak{R} = \lambda_0 \gamma(g) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \alpha(C_i) \quad , \quad \lambda_0, \lambda_i = \text{const.}$$

is an Osserman algebraic curvature tensor (see [18]). Further examples which allow also indefinite metrics can be found in [19, pp.191-193]. (See also [13, Sec.6].)

The operators  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  can be used to form generators for arbitrary algebraic curvature tensors. P. Gilkey [19, pp.41-44] and B. Fiedler [13] gave different proofs for

**Theorem 1.6.** *Each of the sets of tensors*

- (1)  $\{\gamma(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{T}_2V \text{ symmetric}\}$
- (2)  $\{\alpha(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{T}_2V \text{ skew-symmetric}\}$

*generate the vector space of all algebraic curvature tensors  $\mathfrak{R}$  on  $V$ .*

Note that the tensors  $\gamma(S)$  and  $\alpha(A)$  are expressions which arise from  $S \otimes S$  or  $A \otimes A$  by a symmetrization

$$(1.16) \quad \gamma(S) = \frac{1}{12} y_t^*(S \otimes S) \quad , \quad \alpha(A) = \frac{1}{12} y_t^*(A \otimes A)$$

where  $y_t$  is the Young symmetrizer of the Young tableau

$$(1.17) \quad t = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

(see [13]).

In the present paper we search for similar *generators of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors*. We use Boerner's definition of *symmetry classes* for

tensors  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  by right ideals  $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  of the group  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  of the symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_r$  (see Section 2 and [2, 3, 9, 12]). On this basis we investigate the following

**Problem 1.7.** We search for generators of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors which can be formed by a suitable symmetry operator from tensors

$$(1.18) \quad T \otimes \hat{T} \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{T} \otimes T \quad , \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_2 V \quad , \quad \hat{T} \in \mathcal{T}_3 V$$

where  $T$  and  $\hat{T}$  belongs to symmetry classes of  $\mathcal{T}_2 V$  and  $\mathcal{T}_3 V$  which are defined by minimal right ideals  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_2]$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{r}} \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$ , respectively.

We will see that all such generators can be gained by means of the *Young symmetrizer*  $y_{\nu'}$  of the *Young tableau*

$$(1.19) \quad t' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array} .$$

We obtain the following results:

**Theorem 1.8.** *A solution of Problem 1.7 can be constructed at most from such pairs of tensors (1.18) whose symmetry classes are characterized by the following partitions  $\lambda \vdash 2$ ,  $\hat{\lambda} \vdash 3$ :*

|     | $\lambda$ for $T$ | $\hat{\lambda}$ for $\hat{T}$ |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| (a) | (2)               | (3)                           |
| (b) | (2)               | (2 1)                         |
| (c) | (1 <sup>2</sup> ) | (2 1)                         |

The case (a) of Theorem 1.8 is specified by

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let us denote by  $S \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$  and  $\hat{S} \in \mathcal{T}_3 V$  symmetric tensors of order 2 and 3, respectively. Then the set of all tensors which belong to exactly one of the following tensor types*

$$(1.20) \quad \tau : \quad y_{\nu'}^*(S \otimes \hat{S}) \quad , \quad y_{\nu'}^*(\hat{S} \otimes S) \quad ,$$

*generates the vector space of all algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors  $\mathfrak{R}' \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$ .*

*Moreover, the tensors (1.20) coincide and their coordinates fulfill*

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}(S, \hat{S})_{ijkl} &:= (y_{\nu'}^*(S \otimes \hat{S}))_{ijkl} = (y_{\nu'}^*(\hat{S} \otimes S))_{ijkl} \\ &= 4 \left\{ S_{il} \hat{S}_{jks} - S_{jl} \hat{S}_{iks} + S_{jk} \hat{S}_{ils} - S_{ik} \hat{S}_{jls} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The operator  $\hat{\gamma}$  plays the same role for the generators of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors considered in Theorem 1.9 as the operators  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  play for the generators of algebraic curvature tensors. A first proof that the expressions (1.21) are generators for  $\mathfrak{R}'$  was given by P. B. Gilkey [19, p.236].

The cases (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.8 lead to

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let us denote by  $S, A \in \mathcal{T}_2V$  symmetric or alternating tensors of order 2 and by  $U \in \mathcal{T}_3V$  covariant tensors of order 3 whose symmetry class  $\mathcal{T}_\tau$  is defined by a fixed minimal right ideal  $\tau \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  from the equivalence class characterized by the partition  $(2, 1) \vdash 3$ . We consider the following types  $\tau$  of tensors*

$$(1.22) \quad \tau : \quad \begin{array}{l} y_{\nu'}^*(S \otimes U) \quad , \quad y_{\nu'}^*(U \otimes S) \quad , \\ y_{\nu'}^*(A \otimes U) \quad , \quad y_{\nu'}^*(U \otimes A) \quad . \end{array}$$

Then for each of the above types  $\tau$  the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) The vector space of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors  $\mathfrak{R}' \in \mathcal{T}_5V$  is the set of all finite sums of tensors of the type  $\tau$  considered.
- (2) The right ideal  $\tau$  is different from the right ideal  $\tau_0 := f \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  with generating idempotent

$$(1.23) \quad f := \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - (13)) - \frac{1}{6}y \right\} \quad , \quad y := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_3} \text{sign}(p) p.$$

In the situation of Theorem 1.10 we can also determine operators of the type  $\alpha, \gamma, \hat{\gamma}$  which describe the generators of the algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors  $\mathfrak{R}'$  considered. However, these operators depend on the right ideal  $\tau$  (or its generating idempotent  $e$ ) that defines the symmetry class of  $U$ . And they yield no short expressions of 2, 3, or 4 terms but longer expressions between 10 and 20 terms of length. We describe their determination in a forthcoming paper.

Here is a brief outline to the present paper. In Section 2 we give a summary of basic facts about symmetry classes, in particular about the connection between product tensors and Littlewood-Richardson products of corresponding representations. Such Littlewood-Richardson products are used in Subsection 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.8. In the Subsections 3.2 and 3.3 we prove the Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 by a method which we already applied in [13]. Idempotents which define the symmetry class of the tensors  $U$  are determined by means of diskrete Fourier transforms.

## 2. BASIC FACTS ABOUT SYMMETRY CLASSES

The vector spaces of algebraic curvature tensors or algebraic covariant derivative tensors over  $V$  are *symmetry classes* in the sence of H. Boerner [2, p.127]. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  the *group ring* of a symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_r$  over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Every group ring element  $a = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) p \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  acts as so-called *symmetry operator* on tensors  $T \in \mathcal{T}_rV$  according to the definition

$$(2.1) \quad (aT)(v_1, \dots, v_r) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) T(v_{p(1)}, \dots, v_{p(r)}) \quad , \quad v_i \in V.$$

Equation (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad (aT)_{i_1 \dots i_r} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) T_{i_{p(1)} \dots i_{p(r)}}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  be a right ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  for which an  $a \in \mathfrak{r}$  and a  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  exist such that  $aT \neq 0$ . Then the tensor set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{r}} := \{aT \mid a \in \mathfrak{r}, T \in \mathcal{T}_r V\}$$

is called the *symmetry class* of tensors defined by  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  is semisimple for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , every right ideal  $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  possesses a generating idempotent  $e$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{r}$  fulfils  $\mathfrak{r} = e \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ . It holds (see e.g. [13] or [2, 3])

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $e$  is a generating idempotent of  $\mathfrak{r}$ , then a tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{r}}$  iff*

$$(2.4) \quad eT = T.$$

Thus we have

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{r}} = \{eT \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_r V\}.$$

Now we summarize tools from our Habilitationsschrift [11] (see also its summary [12]). We make use of the following connection between  $r$ -times covariant tensors  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  and elements of the *group ring*  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Any tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  and any  $r$ -tuple  $b := (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in V^r$  of  $r$  vectors from  $V$  induce a function  $T_b : \mathcal{S}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  according to the rule

$$(2.6) \quad T_b(p) := T(v_{p(1)}, \dots, v_{p(r)}) \quad , \quad p \in \mathcal{S}_r.$$

We identify this function with the group ring element  $T_b := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} T_b(p) p \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ .

Obviously, two tensors  $S, T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  fulfil  $S = T$  iff  $S_b = T_b$  for all  $b \in V^r$ . We denote by  $'*$ ' the mapping  $* : a = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) p \mapsto a^* := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) p^{-1}$ . Then the following important formula<sup>1</sup> holds

$$(2.7) \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_r V, a \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r], b \in V^r : (aT)_b = T_b \cdot a^*.$$

Now it can be shown that all  $T_b$  of tensors  $T$  of a given symmetry class lie in a certain left ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ .

**Proposition 2.4.**<sup>2</sup> *Let  $e \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  be an idempotent. Then a  $T \in \mathcal{T}_r V$  fulfils the condition  $eT = T$  iff  $T_b \in \mathfrak{l} := \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \cdot e^*$  for all  $b \in V^r$ , i.e. all  $T_b$  of  $T$  lie in the left ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  generated by  $e^*$ .*

The proof follows easily from (2.7). Since a right ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$  defining a symmetry class and the left ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  from Proposition 2.4 satisfy  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{l}^*$ , we denote symmetry classes also by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{l}^*}$ . A further result is

**Proposition 2.5.**<sup>3</sup> *If  $\dim V \geq r$ , then every left ideal  $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  fulfils  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}\{T_b \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{l}^*}, b \in V^r\}$ . (Here  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}$  denotes the forming of the linear closure.)*

<sup>1</sup>See B. Fiedler [9, Sec.III.1] and B. Fiedler [11].

<sup>2</sup>See B. Fiedler [11] or B. Fiedler [9, Prop. III.2.5, III.3.1, III.3.4].

<sup>3</sup>See B. Fiedler [11] or B. Fiedler [9, Prop. III.2.6].

If  $\dim V < r$ , then the  $T_b$  of the tensors from  $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma^*}$  will span only a linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{l}$  in general.

Important special symmetry operators are Young symmetrizers, which are defined by means of Young tableaux.

A *Young tableau*  $t$  of  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  is an arrangement of  $r$  boxes such that

- (1) the numbers  $\lambda_i$  of boxes in the rows  $i = 1, \dots, l$  form a decreasing sequence  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0$  with  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_l = r$ ,
- (2) the boxes are fulfilled by the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, r$  in any order.

For instance, the following graphics shows a Young tableau of  $r = 16$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 = 5 \\ \lambda_2 = 4 \\ \lambda_3 = 4 \\ \lambda_4 = 2 \\ \lambda_5 = 1 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 11 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 12 \\ \hline 9 & 6 & 16 & 15 & \\ \hline 8 & 14 & 1 & 7 & \\ \hline 13 & 3 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} = t.$$

Obviously, the unfilled arrangement of boxes, the *Young frame*, is characterized by a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \vdash r$  of  $r$ .

If a Young tableau  $t$  of a partition  $\lambda \vdash r$  is given, then the *Young symmetrizer*  $y_t$  of  $t$  is defined by<sup>4</sup>

$$(2.8) \quad y_t := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{H}_t} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}_t} \text{sign}(q) p \circ q$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_t, \mathcal{V}_t$  are the groups of the *horizontal* or *vertical permutations* of  $t$  which only permute numbers within rows or columns of  $t$ , respectively. The Young symmetrizers of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  are essentially idempotent and define decompositions

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash r} \bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{ST}_\lambda} \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \cdot y_t \quad , \quad \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash r} \bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{ST}_\lambda} y_t \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$$

of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  into minimal left or right ideals. In (2.9), the symbol  $\mathcal{ST}_\lambda$  denotes the set of all standard tableaux of the partition  $\lambda$ . Standard tableaux are Young tableaux in which the entries of every row and every column form an increasing number sequence.<sup>5</sup>

S.A. Fulling, R.C. King, B.G. Wybourne and C.J. Cummins showed in [14] that the symmetry classes of the Riemannian curvature tensor  $R$  and its *symmetrized<sup>6</sup> covariant derivatives*

$$(2.10) \quad (\nabla^{(u)} R)_{ijkl s_1 \dots s_u} := \nabla_{(s_1} \nabla_{s_2} \dots \nabla_{s_u)} R_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl; (s_1 \dots s_u)}$$

are generated by special Young symmetrizers.

<sup>4</sup>We use the convention  $(p \circ q)(i) := p(q(i))$  for the product of two permutations  $p, q$ .

<sup>5</sup>About Young symmetrizers and Young tableaux see for instance [2, 3, 14, 16, 20, 21, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33]. In particular, properties of Young symmetrizers in the case  $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{C}$  are described in [28].

<sup>6</sup>(...) denotes the symmetrization with respect to the indices  $s_1, \dots, s_u$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Consider the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$ . For  $u \geq 0$  the Riemann tensor and its symmetrized covariant derivatives  $\nabla^{(u)}R$  fulfil*

$$(2.11) \quad e_t^* \nabla^{(u)}R = \nabla^{(u)}R$$

where  $e_t := y_t(u+1)/(2 \cdot (u+3)!)$  is an idempotent which is formed from the Young symmetrizer  $y_t$  of the standard tableau

$$(2.12) \quad t = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & \dots & (u+4) \\ \hline 2 & 4 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

A proof of this result of [14] can be found in [8, Sec.6], too. The proof needs only the symmetry properties (1.1) or (1.6), the identities Bianchi I and Bianchi II and the symmetry with respect to  $s_1, \dots, s_u$ . Thus Theorem 2.6 is a statement about algebraic curvature tensors and algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors. We can specify this in the following way:

**Definition 2.7.** A tensor  $\mathfrak{R}^{(u)} \in \mathcal{T}_{4+u}V$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , is called a *symmetric algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor of order  $u$*  iff  $\mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, y, z, x, a_1, \dots, a_u)$  is symmetric with respect to  $a_1, \dots, a_u$  and fulfills

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_u) &= -\mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, z, y, a_1, \dots, a_u) \\ &= \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(y, z, w, x, a_1, \dots, a_u) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.14) \quad 0 = \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_u) + \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, y, z, x, a_1, \dots, a_u) + \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, z, x, y, a_1, \dots, a_u)$$

$$(2.15) \quad 0 = \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, y, z, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_u) + \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, z, a_1, y, a_2, \dots, a_u) + \mathfrak{R}^{(u)}(w, x, a_1, y, z, a_2, \dots, a_u)$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_u, w, x, y, z \in V$ .

Now symmetric algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors can be characterized by means of the Young symmetrizer of the tableau (2.12).

**Proposition 2.8.** *A tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_{4+u}V$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , is a symmetric algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor of order  $u$  iff  $T$  satisfies*

$$(2.16) \quad e_t^* T = T$$

where  $e_t$  is the idempotent from Theorem 2.6.

A proof of Proposition 2.8 is given in the proof of [8, Prop.6.1]. If we consider now the values  $u = 0$  and  $u = 1$ , we obtain

**Corollary 2.9.** (1) *A tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_4V$  is an algebraic curvature tensor iff  $T$  satisfies*

$$(2.17) \quad y_t^* T = 12T$$

where  $y_t$  is the Young symmetrizer of the standard tableau

$$(2.18) \quad t = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

(2) A tensor  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor iff  $\tilde{T}$  satisfies

$$(2.19) \quad y_t^* \tilde{T} = 24 \tilde{T}$$

where  $y_{t'}$  is the Young symmetrizer of the standard tableau

$$(2.20) \quad t' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Now we describe the left ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  which defines the symmetry class  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{l}^*}$  of a product tensor. We consider products  $T^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{(m)}$  with possibly different  $T^{(i)}$ .

**Proposition 2.10.**<sup>7</sup> Let  $\mathfrak{l}_i \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_{r_i}]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) be left ideals and  $T^{(i)} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{l}_i^*} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{r_i} V$  be  $r_i$ -times covariant tensors from the symmetry classes characterized by the  $\mathfrak{l}_i$ . Consider the product

$$(2.21) \quad T := T^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{(m)} \in \mathcal{T}_r V \quad , \quad r := r_1 + \dots + r_m.$$

For every  $i$  we define an embedding

$$(2.22) \quad \iota_i : \mathcal{S}_{r_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_r \quad , \quad (\iota_i s)(k) := \begin{cases} \Delta_i + s(k - \Delta_i) & \text{if } r_{i-1} < k \leq r_i \\ k & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_i := r_0 + \dots + r_{i-1}$  and  $r_0 := 0$ . Then the  $T_b$  of the tensor (2.21) fulfil

$$(2.23) \quad \forall b \in V^r : T_b \in \mathfrak{l} := \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \cdot \mathcal{L}\{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_1 \dots \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_m\} = \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \cdot (\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_m)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_i := \iota_i(\mathfrak{l}_i)$  are the embeddings of the  $\mathfrak{l}_i$  into  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  induced by the  $\iota_i$ . If  $\dim V \geq r$ , then the above left ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  is generated by all  $T_b \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  which are formed from tensor products (2.21) of arbitrary tensors  $T^{(i)} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{l}_i^*}$ .

Let  $\check{\omega}_G : G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{K}[G])$  denote the regular representation of a finite group  $G$  defined by  $\check{\omega}_g(f) := g \cdot f$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ . If we use the above left ideals  $\mathfrak{l}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}$  to define subrepresentations  $\alpha_i := \check{\omega}_{\mathcal{S}_{r_i}}|_{\mathfrak{l}_i}$ ,  $\beta := \check{\omega}_{\mathcal{S}_r}|_{\mathfrak{l}}$ , then the representation  $\beta$  is equivalent to a Littlewood-Richardson product (see B. Fiedler [9, Sec.III.3.2]):

$$(2.24) \quad \mathfrak{l} \text{ according to (2.23)} \implies \beta \sim \alpha_1 \# \dots \# \alpha_m \uparrow \mathcal{S}_r$$

(‘ $\#$ ’ denotes the outer tensor product of the above representations.) This result corresponds to statements of S.A. Fulling et al. [14]. Relation (2.24) allows us to determine information about the structure of the left ideal (2.23) by means of the Littlewood-Richardson rule<sup>8</sup>.

<sup>7</sup>See B. Fiedler [9, Sec.III.3.2] and B. Fiedler [11].

<sup>8</sup>See the references [21, 22, 20, 26, 27, 14, 15] for the Littlewood-Richardson rule.

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS 1.8, 1.9 AND 1.10

In this section we prove the Theorems 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10.

**3.1. Use of the Littlewood-Richardson rule (Proof of Theorem 1.8).** We consider the Problem 1.7. Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.9 tell us that a tensor  $W \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor iff a tensor  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  exists such that  $W = \frac{1}{24} y_{\nu}^* \tilde{W}$  where  $y_{\nu}$  is the Young symmetrizer of the tableau (2.20).

It is well-known that every tensor  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  can be represented as a finite sum of tensors  $W'' \otimes W'''$  where  $W'' \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$ ,  $W''' \in \mathcal{T}_3 V$ . Thus we can find a finite subset  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T}_2 V \times \mathcal{T}_3 V$  for every  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{W} = \sum_{(W'', W''') \in \mathcal{P}} W'' \otimes W''''.$$

Assume that we know defining idempotents  $f'' \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_2]$ ,  $f''' \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  of the symmetry classes of such tensors  $W''$ ,  $W'''$ . If we determine decompositions of those idempotents into pairwise orthogonal, primitive idempotents, e.g.

$$(3.2) \quad f''' = \sum_i e_i \quad , \quad e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i \quad , \quad e_i \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3] \text{ primitive}$$

then we obtain decompositions of  $W''$ ,  $W'''$  into tensors whose symmetry classes are defined by minimal right ideals (e.g.  $W''' = f''' W''' = \sum_i e_i W'''$ ). Consequently we can construct such a representation (3.1) for  $\tilde{W}$  in which all  $W''$ ,  $W'''$  belong to symmetry classes which are defined by *minimal right ideals*  $\mathfrak{r}''$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}'''$ . We determine the structure of the symmetry class of such a product tensor  $W'' \otimes W'''$ .

Because of Proposition 2.4 all group ring elements  $W''_b, W'''_b$  according to Definition 2.3 lie in minimal left ideals  $\mathfrak{l}'' = (\mathfrak{r}'')^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}''' = (\mathfrak{r}''')^*$ . From Proposition 2.10 follows that the group ring elements  $(W'' \otimes W''')_b$  belong to a left ideal  $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  which is the representation space of a representation  $\beta \sim \alpha'' \# \alpha''' \uparrow \mathcal{S}_5$  where  $\alpha'' := \check{\omega}_{\mathcal{S}_2}|_{\mathfrak{l}''}$ ,  $\alpha''' := \check{\omega}_{\mathcal{S}_3}|_{\mathfrak{l}'''}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{l}''$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}'''$  are minimal the representations  $\alpha''$ ,  $\alpha'''$  are irreducible. Their equivalence classes are characterized by certain partitions, i.e. there are partitions  $\lambda'' \vdash 2$ ,  $\lambda''' \vdash 3$ , such that  $\alpha'' \sim [\lambda'']$ ,  $\alpha''' \sim [\lambda''']$ .

Now we can determine information about the decomposition of  $\beta$  into irreducible representations by means of the *Littlewood-Richardson rule*. There are the following possibilities to form Littlewood-Richardson products:

$$(3.3) \quad [3][2] \sim [5] + [32] + [41]$$

$$[3][1^2] \sim [41] + [31^2]$$

$$(3.4) \quad [21][2] \sim [32] + [41] + [2^2 1] + [31^2]$$

$$(3.5) \quad [21][1^2] \sim [32] + [2^2 1] + [31^2] + [21^3]$$

$$[1^3][2] \sim [31^2] + [21^3]$$

$$[1^3][1^2] \sim [2^2 1] + [21^3] + [1^5]$$

Every irreducible representation of the right-hand sides of these relations has a representation space which is a minimal left ideal  $\check{\mathfrak{I}} = \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] \cdot \check{f}$  generated by a primitive idempotent  $\check{f} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$ . A tensor  $\check{W} \in \mathcal{T}_{\check{\mathfrak{r}}}$  of the symmetry class  $\mathcal{T}_{\check{\mathfrak{r}}}$  defined by  $\check{\mathfrak{r}} = \check{\mathfrak{I}}^*$  satisfies  $\check{W} = \check{f}^* \check{W}$  and the symmetrization of  $\check{W}$  by means of  $y_{\nu'}^*$  yields  $y_{\nu'}^* \check{W} = y_{\nu'}^* \check{f}^* \check{W} = (f \cdot y_{\nu'})^* \check{W}$ . But since the Young symmetrizer  $y_{\nu'}$  generates a minimal left ideal which lies in the equivalence class of minimal left ideals characterized by  $(32) \vdash 5$ , we obtain  $f \cdot y_{\nu'} \neq 0$  at most if  $\check{\mathfrak{I}}$  belongs to the class of  $(32) \vdash 5$ , too. This happens only in the cases (3.3), (3.4), (3.5). Thus Theorem 1.8 is valid for tensor products (1.18) of type  $T \otimes \hat{T}$ . Tensor products  $\hat{T} \otimes T$  can be handled in the same way.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.9.** The proof of Theorem 1.9 uses a method which we applied already in the proof of [13, Theorem 5.1].

If  $G \subseteq \mathcal{S}_r$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{S}_r$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_h$  are natural numbers with  $1 \leq i_l \leq r$ , then we denote by  $G_{i_1, \dots, i_h}$  the *stabilizer subgroup* of all such permutations from  $G$  for which  $i_1, \dots, i_h$  are fixed points.

Now we consider the following group ring elements

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma_k := y_{\nu'}^* \cdot \xi_k \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] \quad , \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

where  $y_{\nu'}$  is the Young symmetrizer of the Young tableau (2.20) and

$$(3.7) \quad \xi_1 := \left( \sum_{p \in (\mathcal{S}_5)_{3,4,5}} p \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{q \in (\mathcal{S}_5)_{1,2}} q \right)$$

$$(3.8) \quad \xi_2 := \left( \sum_{q \in (\mathcal{S}_5)_{4,5}} q \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{p \in (\mathcal{S}_5)_{1,2,3}} p \right)$$

A calculation (by means of PERMS [10]) shows that  $\sigma_1 \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_2 \neq 0$  (see [7]).

The right ideals  $\sigma_k \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  are non-vanishing subideals of the right ideal  $\mathfrak{r} = y_{\nu'}^* \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  which defines the symmetry class  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{r}}$  of algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors. Since  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a minimal right ideal, we obtain  $\mathfrak{r} = \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] = \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$ , i.e.  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are generating elements of  $\mathfrak{r}$ , too.

A tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor iff there exist  $a \in \mathfrak{r}$  and  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  such that  $T = aT'$ . Since further every  $a \in \mathfrak{r}$  has representations  $a = \sigma_k \cdot x_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , a tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor iff there is a tensor  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  such that  $T = \sigma_1 T'$  or  $T = \sigma_2 T'$ .

Let us consider the case  $k = 1$ . We obtain all algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensors if we form  $T = \sigma_1 T'$ ,  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.8 we can use a representation

$$(3.9) \quad T' = \sum_{(M,N) \in \mathcal{P}} M \otimes N$$

for a  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_5V$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite set of pairs  $(M, N)$  of tensors  $M \in \mathcal{T}_2V$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{T}_3V$ . From (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \xi_1 T' = \sum_{(S, \hat{S}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} S \otimes \hat{S}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  is the finite set of pairs  $(S, \hat{S})$  of the symmetrized tensors  $S = (\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_2} p) M$ ,  $\hat{S} = (\sum_{q \in \mathcal{S}_3} q) N$ ,  $(M, N) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Now the application of  $y_t^*$  shows, that the algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor  $T$  is a finite sum of tensors

$$T = \sigma_1 T' = (y_t^* \cdot \xi_1) T' = \sum_{(S, \hat{S}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}} y_t^*(S \otimes \hat{S}).$$

The case  $k = 2$  can be treated in exactly the same way. We can show that

$$(3.11) \quad \xi_2 T' = \sum_{(\hat{S}, S) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}} \hat{S} \otimes S$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$  is a finite set of pairs  $(\hat{S}, S)$  of symmetric tensors  $S \in \mathcal{T}_2V$ ,  $\hat{S} \in \mathcal{T}_3V$ . Then we obtain

$$T = \sigma_2 T' = (y_t^* \cdot \xi_2) T' = \sum_{(\hat{S}, S) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}} y_t^*(\hat{S} \otimes S).$$

Formula (1.21) can be proved by a computer calculation. We used the *Mathematica* packages PERMS [10] and Ricci [25] to this end (see [7]).

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.10.** In the situation considered in Theorem 1.10 the symmetry class of the tensors  $U$  is not unique. The (21)-equivalence class of minimal right ideals  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  which we use to define symmetry classes for the  $U$  is an infinite set. The set of generating idempotents for these right ideals  $\mathfrak{r}$  is infinite, too. We use *discrete Fourier transforms* to determine the family of all primitive idempotents in the (21)-equivalence class of minimal right ideals  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{K}^{d \times d}$  the set of all  $d \times d$ -matrices of elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A *discrete Fourier transform*<sup>9</sup> for  $\mathcal{S}_r$  is an isomorphism

$$(3.12) \quad D : \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\lambda \vdash r} \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$$

according to Wedderburn's theorem which maps the group ring  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  onto an outer direct product  $\bigotimes_{\lambda \vdash r} \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$  of full matrix rings  $\mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$ . We denote by  $D_\lambda$  the *natural projections*  $D_\lambda : \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$ .

<sup>9</sup>See M. Clausen and U. Baum [5, 6] for details about fast discrete Fourier transforms.

A discrete Fourier transform maps every group ring element  $a \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$  to a block diagonal matrix

$$(3.13) \quad D : a = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) p \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_{\lambda_1} & & & 0 \\ & A_{\lambda_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & A_{\lambda_k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices  $A_\lambda$  are numbered by the partitions  $\lambda \vdash r$ . The dimension  $d_\lambda$  of every matrix  $A_\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$  can be calculated from the Young frame belonging to  $\lambda \vdash r$  by means of the *hook length formula*. For  $r = 3$  we have

$$\frac{\lambda \mid (3) \quad (21) \quad (1^3)}{d_\lambda \mid 1 \quad 2 \quad 1}$$

The inverse discrete Fourier transform is given by

**Proposition 3.2.** <sup>10</sup> *If  $D : \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r] \rightarrow \bigotimes_{\lambda \vdash r} \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$  is a discrete Fourier transform for  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$ , then we have for every  $a \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_r]$*

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall p \in \mathcal{S}_r : \quad a(p) &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} d_\lambda \operatorname{trace} \{ D_\lambda(p^{-1}) \cdot D_\lambda(a) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} d_\lambda \operatorname{trace} \{ D_\lambda(p^{-1}) \cdot A_\lambda \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to determine minimal right ideals and primitive idempotents in the image  $\bigotimes_{\lambda \vdash r} \mathbb{K}^{d_\lambda \times d_\lambda}$  of a discrete Fourier transform. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}^d$  the vector space of all  $d$ -tuples (rows) of elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** <sup>11</sup> *We assign to a fixed  $d$ -tuple  $a \in \mathbb{K}^d$  the set of  $d \times d$ -matrices  $\mathfrak{r}_a := \{a^t \cdot u \mid u \in \mathbb{K}^d\}$ . Then we have:*

- (1) *The sets  $\mathfrak{r}_a$  are minimal right ideals of  $\mathbb{K}^{d \times d}$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{K}^d$ ,  $a \neq 0$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}^{d \times d}$  be a minimal right ideal and  $A \in \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $A \neq 0$ . Then every non-vanishing column  $a^t$  of  $A$  yields a  $d$ -tuple  $a \in \mathbb{K}^d$  which fulfils  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_a$ .*

In our considerations we are interested in the matrix ring  $\mathbb{K}^{2 \times 2}$  which corresponds to the (21)-equivalence class of minimal right ideals  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$ . We can formulate the following assertion about generating idempotents of minimal right ideals of  $\mathbb{K}^{e \times 2}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Every minimal right ideal  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathbb{K}^{2 \times 2}$  is generated by exactly one of the following (primitive) idempotents*

$$(3.15) \quad X_\nu := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{K},$$

$$(3.16) \quad Y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

<sup>10</sup>See M. Clausen and U. Baum [5, p.81].

<sup>11</sup>See B. Fiedler [9, p.18,p.20].

*Proof.* Lemma 3.3 tells us that two right ideals  $\mathfrak{r}_{a_1}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}_{a_2}$  are different iff  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are linearly independent. This leads us to the following two cases:

- (1) Every vector  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2$  with  $x \neq 0$  is proportional to one element of the family

$$(3.17) \quad (1, \nu) \in \mathbb{K}^2 \quad , \quad \nu \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Furthermore the elements of the family (3.17) are pairwise linearly independent.

- (2) Every vector  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^2$  with  $x = 0$  is proportional to

$$(3.18) \quad (0, 1) \in \mathbb{K}^2.$$

Moreover  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, \nu)$  are linearly independent for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{K}$ . Thus we obtain every minimal right ideal of  $\mathbb{K}^{2 \times 2}$  exactly once if we consider all right ideals  $\mathfrak{r}_a$  where  $a$  runs through the family (3.17) and the element (3.18). Now we form ansatzes for idempotents of the basis of (3.17), (3.18).

**Case (1):** First we determine a generating idempotent for a minimal right ideal  $\mathfrak{r}_a$  where  $a$  belongs to the family (3.17). We use an ansatz

$$X_\nu := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \cdot (1, y) \quad , \quad \nu, y \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Since  $X_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ \nu & \nu y \end{pmatrix}$  and  $X_\nu \cdot X_\nu = \{1 + \nu y\} X_\nu$ , we obtain

$$X_\nu \cdot X_\nu = X_\nu \Leftrightarrow 1 + \nu y = 1 \Leftrightarrow y = 0.$$

In the case  $\nu \neq 0$  the only solution of  $1 + \nu y = 1$  is  $y = 0$ . In the case  $\nu = 0$  values  $y \neq 0$  lead to idempotents  $X_\nu$ , too. However, all these idempotents generate one and the same right ideal since the column  $(1 \ \nu)^t$  is fixed. Because we search for exactly one idempotent in every right ideal, the solution  $y = 0$  is sufficient.

**Case (2):** For an idempotent belonging to (3.18) we make an ansatz

$$Y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (x, y) \quad , \quad x, y \in \mathbb{K}.$$

From this ansatz we obtain  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Y \cdot Y = yY$ . Consequently we have  $y = 1$ . Furthermore we can set  $x = 0$  because every value of  $x$  yields a generating idempotent of one and the same right ideal.  $\square$

Now we determine the primitive idempotents of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  which correspond to (3.15) and (3.16).

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let us use Young's natural representation<sup>12</sup> of  $\mathcal{S}_3$  as discrete Fourier transform. If we apply the Fourier inversion formula (3.14) to a  $4 \times 4$ -block matrix*

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  is equal to (3.15) or (3.16), then we obtain the following idempotents of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$ :

$$(3.20) \quad X_\nu \Rightarrow \xi_\nu := \frac{1}{3} \{ [1, 2, 3] + \nu[1, 3, 2] + (1 - \nu)[2, 1, 3] \\ - \nu[2, 3, 1] + (-1 + \nu)[3, 1, 2] - [3, 2, 1] \}$$

$$(3.21) \quad Y \Rightarrow \eta := \frac{1}{3} \{ [1, 2, 3] - [2, 1, 3] - [2, 3, 1] + [3, 2, 1] \}.$$

*Proof.* We see from formula (3.13) and the table of the  $d_\lambda$ -values for  $\mathcal{S}_3$  that the images of a discrete Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{S}_3$  are block diagonal matrices

$$(3.22) \quad D : a = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_r} a(p) p \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_{(3)} & & 0 \\ & A_{(21)} & \\ 0 & & A_{(1^3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{(3)}$  and  $A_{(1^3)}$  are  $1 \times 1$ -matrices and  $A_{(21)}$  is a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix. The (21)-equivalence class of minimal right ideals of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  corresponds to  $A_{(3)} = A_{(1^3)} = 0$ . Starting with block matrices (3.19) and  $A = X_\nu$  or  $A = Y$  we calculated the idempotents  $\xi_\nu$  and  $\eta$  by means of the tool `InvFourierTransform` of the Mathematica package `PERMS` [10] (see also [9, Appendix B].) These calculation can be carried out also by the program package `SYMMETRICA` [23, 24].  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** It is interesting to clear up the connection of the idempotents  $\xi_\nu$  and  $\eta$  with Young symmetrizers. A simple calculation shows that

$$(3.23) \quad \xi_0 = \frac{1}{3} y_{t_1} \quad , \quad \eta = \frac{1}{3} y_{t_2}$$

where  $y_{t_1}$  and  $y_{t_2}$  are the Young symmetrizers of the tableaux

$$t_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad , \quad t_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} .$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.10:** The proof of Theorem 1.10 uses the same method as the proof of Theorem 1.9. To treat expressions  $y_{t'}^*(S \otimes U)$  and  $y_{t'}^*(A \otimes U)$  form the

<sup>12</sup>Three discrete Fourier transforms (3.12) are known for symmetric groups  $\mathcal{S}_r$ : (1) *Young's natural representation*, (2) *Young's seminormal representation* and (3) *Young's orthogonal representation*. (See [2, 3, 21, 5]. A short description of (1) and (2) can be found in [9, Sec.I.5.2].) All three discrete Fourier transforms are implemented in the program package `SYMMETRICA` [23, 24]. `PERMS` [10] uses the natural representation. The fast DFT-algorithm of M. Clausen and U. Baum [5, 6] is based on the seminormal representation.

following groupring elements of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$ :

$$(3.24) \quad \sigma_{\nu, \epsilon} := y_{t'}^* \cdot \zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \xi''_\nu \quad , \quad \rho_\epsilon := y_{t'}^* \cdot \zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \eta''$$

$$(3.25) \quad \zeta'_\epsilon := \text{id} + \epsilon(12) \quad , \quad \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$$

$$(3.26) \quad \xi_\nu \mapsto \xi''_\nu \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] \quad , \quad \eta \mapsto \eta'' \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] .$$

Formula (3.26) denotes the embedding of the group ring elements  $\xi_\nu, \eta \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  into  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  which is induced by the mapping

$$\mathcal{S}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_5 \quad , \quad [i_1, i_2, i_3] \mapsto [1, 2, i_1 + 2, i_2 + 2, i_3 + 2] .$$

For expressions  $y_{t'}^*(U \otimes S)$  and  $y_{t'}^*(U \otimes A)$  we consider the groupring elements of  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$ :

$$(3.27) \quad \sigma_{\nu, \epsilon} := y_{t'}^* \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon \cdot \xi'_\nu \quad , \quad \rho_\epsilon := y_{t'}^* \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon \cdot \eta'$$

$$(3.28) \quad \zeta''_\epsilon := \text{id} + \epsilon(45) \quad , \quad \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$$

$$(3.29) \quad \xi_\nu \mapsto \xi'_\nu \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] \quad , \quad \eta \mapsto \eta' \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5] .$$

Formula (3.29) denotes the embedding of the group ring elements  $\xi_\nu, \eta \in \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_3]$  into  $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  which is induced by the mapping

$$\mathcal{S}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_5 \quad , \quad [i_1, i_2, i_3] \mapsto [i_1, i_2, i_3, 4, 5] .$$

Using the Mathematica package PERMS [10] we verified

$$\boxed{\rho_\epsilon \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\nu, \epsilon} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu \neq \frac{1}{2}}$$

in both cases. Thus the same arguments which we used in the proof of Theorem 1.9 yield now in both cases:

- (1) The minimal right ideals  $y_{t'}^* \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$ ,  $\rho_\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  and  $\sigma_{\nu, \epsilon} \cdot \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{S}_5]$  coincide, if  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .
- (2) A tensor  $T \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor iff a tensor  $T' \in \mathcal{T}_5 V$  exists such that  $T = \rho_\epsilon T'$  or  $T = \sigma_{\nu, \epsilon} T'$  (if  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Now we represent the tensor  $T'$  by finite sums of product tensors. We use sums

$$(3.30) \quad T' = \sum_{(M, N) \in \mathcal{P}} M \otimes N \quad , \quad \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T}_2 V \times \mathcal{T}_3 V \text{ finite}$$

in the case (3.24)–(3.26) and sums

$$(3.31) \quad T' = \sum_{(N, M) \in \mathcal{P}} N \otimes M \quad , \quad \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T}_3 V \times \mathcal{T}_2 V \text{ finite}$$

in the case (3.27)–(3.29).

Now the argumentation of the proof of Theorem 1.9 yield also the statement of Theorem 1.10 (if  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ). The symmetrizations  $\zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \xi''_\nu(M \otimes N)$ ,  $\zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \eta''(M \otimes N)$ ,  $\xi'_\nu \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon(N \otimes M)$  and  $\eta' \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon(N \otimes M)$  lead to product tensors  $S \otimes U$ ,  $A \otimes U$ ,  $U \otimes S$  and  $U \otimes A$ . Note that the value  $\epsilon = 1$  ( $\epsilon = -1$ ) produces symmetric tensors  $S \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$  (alternating tensors  $A \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$ ) from the tensors  $M \in \mathcal{T}_2 V$ .

Thus we can write every tensor  $\zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \xi''_\nu T'$ ,  $\zeta'_\epsilon \cdot \eta'' T'$ ,  $\xi'_\nu \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon T'$  and  $\eta' \cdot \zeta''_\epsilon T'$  as a finite sum of suitable tensors  $S \otimes U$ ,  $A \otimes U$ ,  $U \otimes S$  or  $U \otimes A$ . An application of  $y_{t'}^*$  shows

that every algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor  $T$  can be expressed by a finite sum of each of the tensor types (1.22)

Finally we can verify by a simple calculation that the idempotent  $\xi_\nu$  is equal to the idempotent (1.23) if  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.10.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Prof. P. B. Gilkey for important and helpful discussions and for valuable suggestions for future investigations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blažić, N. Bokan and P. B. Gilkey. A note on Osserman Lorentzian manifolds. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 29: 227–230, 1997.
- [2] H. Boerner. *Darstellungen von Gruppen*, volume 74 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1955.
- [3] H. Boerner. *Representations of Groups*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 2, revised edition, 1970.
- [4] Q.-S. Chi. A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28: 187–202, 1988.
- [5] M. Clausen and U. Baum. *Fast Fourier Transforms*. BI-Wiss.-Verl., Mannheim, Leipzig, Wien, Zürich, 1993.
- [6] M. Clausen and U. Baum. Fast Fourier transforms for symmetric groups. In L. Finkelstein and W. M. Kantor, editors, *Groups and Computation*, volume 11 of *DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 27 – 39, Providence, Rhode Island, 1993. DIMACS, American Mathematical Society. The DIMACS workshop on Groups and Computation was held at DIMACS, Rutgers University, Oct. 7 – 10, 1991.
- [7] B. Fiedler. Examples of calculations by means of PERMS. Mathematica notebooks. Internet <http://home.t-online.de/home/Bernd.Fiedler.RoschStr.Leipzig/pnbks.htm>.
- [8] B. Fiedler. A characterization of the dependence of the Riemannian metric on the curvature tensor by Young symmetrizers. *Z. Anal. Anw.*, 17(1): 135 – 157, 1998.
- [9] B. Fiedler. *An Algorithm for the Decomposition of Ideals of Semi-Simple Rings and its Application to Symbolic Tensor Calculations by Computer*. Habilitationsschrift, Universität Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, November 1999. Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik.
- [10] B. Fiedler. *PERMS 2.1 (15.1.1999)*. Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Leipzig, 1999. Will be sent in to MathSource, Wolfram Research Inc.
- [11] B. Fiedler. Characterization of tensor symmetries by group ring subspaces and computation of normal forms of tensor coordinates. In A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue and A. Wassermann, editors, *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications. Proceedings of the Euroconference, ALCOMA, Gößweinstein, Germany, September 12–19, 1999*, pages 118–133, Berlin, 2001. Springer-Verlag.
- [12] B. Fiedler. Ideal decompositions and computation of tensor normal forms. In *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 2001. El. published: <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~slc>. B45g, 16 pp. Archive: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0211156>.
- [13] B. Fiedler. Determination of the structure of algebraic curvature tensors by means of Young symmetrizers. In *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 2002. Electronically published: <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~slc>. B48d, 20 pp. Archive: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0212278>.
- [14] S. A. Fulling, R. C. King, B. G. Wybourne and C. J. Cummins. Normal forms for tensor polynomials: I. The Riemann tensor. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 9: 1151 – 1197, 1992.

- [15] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory: A First Course*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Readings in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, Budapest, 1991.
- [16] W. Fulton. *Young Tableaux*. Number 35 in London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, 1997.
- [17] E. García-Riό, D. N. Kupeli and M. E. Vázquez-Abal. On a problem of Osserman in Lorentzian geometry. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 7: 85–100, 1997.
- [18] P. B. Gilkey. Geometric properties of the curvature operator. In W. H. Chen, A.-M. Li, U. Simon, L. Verstraelen, C. P. Wang and M. Wiehe, editors, *Proceedings of Beijing Conference "Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds"*, volume 10, pages 62–70, Singapore, 2000. World Scientific Publishing Co.
- [19] P. B. Gilkey. *Geometric Properties of Natural Operators Defined by the Riemann Curvature Tensor*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 2001.
- [20] G. D. James and A. Kerber. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, volume 16 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Mass., London, Amsterdam, Don Mills, Ont., Sidney, Tokyo, 1981.
- [21] A. Kerber. *Representations of Permutation Groups*, volume 240, 495 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971, 1975.
- [22] A. Kerber. *Algebraic combinatorics via finite group actions*. BI-Wiss.-Verl., Mannheim, Wien, Zürich, 1991.
- [23] A. Kerber and A. Kohnert. *SYMMETRICA 1.0*. Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, Department of Mathematics, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, 1994. Manual.
- [24] A. Kerber, A. Kohnert and A. Lascoux. SYMMETRICA, an object oriented computer-algebra system for the symmetric group. *J. Symbolic Computation*, 14: 195 – 203, 1992.
- [25] J. M. Lee, D. Lear, J. Roth, J. Coskey and L. Nave. *Ricci. A Mathematica package for doing tensor calculations in differential geometry. User's Manual. Version 1.32*. Department of Mathematics, Box 354350, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, 1992 - 1998. Ricci's home page: <http://www.math.washington.edu/~lee/Ricci/>.
- [26] D. E. Littlewood. *The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2. edition, 1950.
- [27] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [28] W. Müller. *Darstellungstheorie von endlichen Gruppen*. Teubner Studienbücher Mathematik. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1980.
- [29] M. A. Naimark and A. I. Štern. *Theory of Group Representations*, volume 246 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [30] Y. Nikolayevsky. Osserman conjecture in dimension  $n \neq 8, 16$ . Preprint, 2002. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0204258>.
- [31] R. Osserman. Curvature in the eighties. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97: 731–756, 1990.
- [32] B. L. van der Waerden. *Algebra*, volume I, II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, Budapest, 9., 6. edition, 1993.
- [33] H. Weyl. *The Classical Groups, their Invariants and Representations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1939.

BERND FIEDLER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, AUGUSTUSPLATZ  
10/11, D-04109 LEIPZIG, GERMANY

URL: <http://home.t-online.de/home/Bernd.Fiedler.RoschStr.Leipzig/>

E-mail address: [Bernd.Fiedler.RoschStr.Leipzig@t-online.de](mailto:Bernd.Fiedler.RoschStr.Leipzig@t-online.de)