

SEQUENTIAL ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN THE SETS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA EFFECTS

LAJOS MOLNÁR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we describe the structure of all sequential isomorphisms between the sets of von Neumann algebra effects. It turns out that if the underlying algebras have no commutative direct summands, then every sequential isomorphism between the sets of their effects extends to the direct sum of a $*$ -isomorphism and a $*$ -antiisomorphism between the underlying von Neumann algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

Effects are well-known to play important role in certain parts of quantum mechanics, for instance, in the quantum theory of measurement [2]. In the Hilbert space formalism of quantum mechanics the effects are the positive (bounded linear) operators on a Hilbert space H which are majorized by the identity I . The set $E(H)$ of all (Hilbert space) effects on H is usually equipped with certain algebraic operations and/or relations each of them having physical content and hence one obtains different algebraic structures (cf. [10, Section V]).

In the present paper we are interested in the structure corresponding to the so-called sequential product. This concept was recently introduced by Gudder and Nagy in [5]. Roughly speaking, if A, B are effects, then their sequential product $A \circ B$ as an effect corresponds to the sequential measurement in which the measurement corresponding to A is performed first and the one corresponding to B is performed second. Mathematically, the definition is $A \circ B = A^{1/2} B A^{1/2}$ ($A, B \in E(H)$). Just as with any other algebraic structure, it is an important problem to explore the corresponding automorphisms (which are called sequential automorphisms) and to describe their structure if possible. The problem concerning the whole set $E(H)$ has been recently solved. In fact, as an easy consequence of our result [11, Theorem 3], Gudder and Greechie proved in [4, Theorem 1] that, supposing $\dim H \geq 3$,

Date: September 5, 2003.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L60, 47B49.

This paper was written when the author held a Humboldt Research Fellowship. He is very grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for providing ideal conditions for research and to his host Werner Timmermann (TU Dresden, Germany) for very warm hospitality. The author also acknowledges support from the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grant No. T043080, T031995, and from the Ministry of Education, Hungary, Grant No. FKFP 0349/2000.

the sequential automorphisms of $E(H)$ are exactly the transformations ϕ of the form

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \quad (A \in E(H)),$$

where U is an either unitary or antiunitary operator on H . We note that as a byproduct of one of our results concerning certain preservers on Hilbert space effects, in [12, Corollary 7] we obtained that the same result holds also when $\dim H = 2$ (the one-dimensional case is obviously exceptional).

It is a natural step in any research on operator algebras that if one has a result concerning $B(H)$ (the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H), then one is tempted to try to extend it in some way for more general operator algebras. The first and in many cases probably the most important candidates for such a purpose are the von Neumann algebras. Therefore, after describing the sequential automorphisms of the set of all Hilbert space effects it is a natural problem to investigate the question for von Neumann algebra effects, that is, for the set $E(\mathcal{A})$ of all elements of a given von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} which are positive and majorized by the identity. (Observe that we have $E(\mathcal{A}) = E(H) \cap \mathcal{A}$, where H is the Hilbert space on which the elements of \mathcal{A} act.) We already have a result in this direction. In fact, once again as a byproduct of an investigation on a different problem, we obtained in [13, Theorem 3] that if \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann factor which is not of type I_1, I_2 , then every sequential automorphism of $E(\mathcal{A})$ extends either to a $*$ -automorphism or to a $*$ -antiautomorphism of \mathcal{A} .

In the present paper we go much further and give a complete answer to the problem. Namely, we describe the structure of all sequential isomorphisms between the sets of general von Neumann algebra effects without making any restriction on the underlying algebras.

We begin with the notation and some definitions that we shall use throughout the paper. If \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra, then the effects in \mathcal{A} are the positive elements of \mathcal{A} which are less than or equal to the unit of \mathcal{A} . The set of all effects in \mathcal{A} is denoted by $E(\mathcal{A})$. The sequential product \circ on $E(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

$$A \circ B = A^{1/2}BA^{1/2} \quad (A, B \in E(\mathcal{A})).$$

(For some interesting properties of this operation on $E(H)$ concerning associativity and commutativity see [5].) If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are unital C^* -algebras, then a bijective map $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ which satisfies

$$\phi(A \circ B) = \phi(A) \circ \phi(B) \quad (A, B \in E(\mathcal{A}))$$

is called a sequential isomorphism.

Let $\psi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a $*$ -isomorphism, that is, a bijective linear map such that

$$\psi_1(AB) = \psi_1(A)\psi_1(B), \quad \psi_1(A^*) = \psi_1(A)^* \quad (A, B \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It is easy to see that the restriction of ψ_1 onto $E(\mathcal{A})$ is a sequential isomorphism from $E(\mathcal{A})$ onto $E(\mathcal{B})$. Similar assertion applies for any $*$ -antiisomorphism $\psi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as well. The bijective linear map $\psi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$

is called a $*$ -antiisomorphism if it satisfies

$$\psi_2(AB) = \psi_2(B)\psi_2(A), \quad \psi_2(A^*) = \psi_2(A)^* \quad (A, B \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Clearly, the direct sum of sequential isomorphisms is again a sequential isomorphism. Therefore, the direct sum of a $*$ -isomorphism and a $*$ -antiisomorphism is, when restricted onto the set of effects, a sequential isomorphism. In our main result which follows we see that if the underlying algebras \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are von Neumann algebras, then every sequential isomorphism between the sets of their effects can be obtained in this way on the 'non-commutative parts' of $E(\mathcal{A})$ and $E(\mathcal{B})$.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be von Neumann algebras and let $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ be a sequential isomorphism. Then there are direct decompositions*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \mathcal{A}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \oplus \mathcal{B}_3$$

within the category of von Neumann algebras and there are bijective maps

$$\phi_1 : E(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B}_1), \quad \Phi_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2, \quad \Phi_3 : \mathcal{A}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_3$$

such that

- (i) $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1$ are commutative von Neumann algebras and the algebras $\mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{B}_2 \oplus \mathcal{B}_3$ have no commutative direct summands;
- (ii) ϕ_1 is a multiplicative bijection, Φ_2 is a $*$ -isomorphism, Φ_3 is a $*$ -antiisomorphism and $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3$ holds on $E(\mathcal{A})$.

(Of course, the above decomposition is meant in the sense that some of the direct summands can be missing.) If there is a scalar $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ such that $\phi(\lambda I) = \lambda I$, or \mathcal{A} has no commutative direct summand, then ϕ extends to the direct sum of a $$ -isomorphism and a $*$ -antiisomorphism between subalgebras of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .*

To see that between the 'commutative parts' of the underlying algebras the behavior of ϕ can be quite 'irregular', consider an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space X . Let $C(X)$ denote the algebra of all continuous complex valued functions on X . Take any strictly positive continuous function $p : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ and define $\phi : E(C(X)) \rightarrow E(C(X))$ by

$$\phi(f)(x) = f(x)^{p(x)} \quad (x \in X, f \in E(C(X))).$$

It is easy to verify that ϕ is a sequential automorphism of $E(C(X))$ which, in general, does not extend to an automorphism of $C(X)$. (Observe that if \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are commutative, then the sequential product on $E(\mathcal{A}), E(\mathcal{B})$ coincides with the ordinary product and hence in that case the sequential isomorphisms between $E(\mathcal{A})$ and $E(\mathcal{B})$ are just the multiplicative bijections or, in other words, the semigroup isomorphisms.)

As for the case of factors, our main result has the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq \mathbb{C}I$ be factors and let $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ be a sequential isomorphism. Then ϕ extends either to a $*$ -isomorphism or to a $*$ -antiisomorphism between the algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .*

2. PROOF

We present some further notation and definitions that we shall use in the proof of our main result. If \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, then $\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{A}^+$ denote the set of all self-adjoint elements of \mathcal{A} and the set of all positive elements of \mathcal{A} , respectively. The set of all projections (i.e., self-adjoint idempotents) in \mathcal{A} is denoted by $P(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ stands for the center of \mathcal{A} .

Our first auxiliary result concerns the so-called E -isomorphisms of the sets of C^* -algebra effects. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras. Let $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ be a bijective map with the properties that

$$A + B \in E(\mathcal{A}) \iff \phi(A) + \phi(B) \in E(\mathcal{B}) \quad (A, B \in E(\mathcal{A}))$$

and

$$(1) \quad \phi(A + B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B) \quad (A, B, A + B \in E(\mathcal{A})).$$

Then ϕ is called an E -isomorphism (cf. [3]).

To formulate our result on E -isomorphisms we also need the concept of Jordan $*$ -isomorphisms. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be $*$ -algebras and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a bijective linear map with the properties that

$$\phi(A^2) = \phi(A)^2, \quad \phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^* \quad (A \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Then ϕ is called a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism. It is easy to see that in the above definition the condition that $\phi(A^2) = \phi(A)^2$ holds for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ can be replaced by the following one:

$$\phi(AB + BA) = \phi(A)\phi(B) + \phi(B)\phi(A) \quad (A, B \in \mathcal{A}).$$

One can readily verify that if \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism, then its restriction onto $E(\mathcal{A})$ is an E -isomorphism from $E(\mathcal{A})$ onto $E(\mathcal{B})$. Our first result says that the converse is also true, that is, every E -isomorphism from $E(\mathcal{A})$ onto $E(\mathcal{B})$ extends to a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 3. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras and let $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ be an E -isomorphism. Then there is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that*

$$\phi(A) = \Phi(A) \quad (A \in E(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is easy. Using a quite elementary argument, we can extend ϕ to a linear transformation $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. We next show that Φ is bijective, unital and preserves the order in both directions. Finally, we apply a well-known result of Kadison on order isomorphisms between C^* -algebras to conclude that Φ is a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism.

Turning to the details, first we show that ϕ preserves the order. Let $A, B \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $A \leq B$. Then we have $B = A + (B - A)$, where $A, B - A \in E(\mathcal{A})$. As ϕ is an E -isomorphism, we obtain

$$\phi(B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B - A) \geq \phi(A).$$

Therefore, ϕ preserves the order. Since ϕ^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ , it follows that ϕ preserves the order in both directions. We easily deduce that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(I) = I$.

Let $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be arbitrary. By the partial additivity of ϕ (see (1)) we have

$$\phi(A) = \phi\left(n \frac{1}{n} A\right) = n \phi\left(\frac{1}{n} A\right)$$

which implies

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{n} A\right) = \frac{1}{n} \phi(A)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \leq n$, using the partial additivity of ϕ again, we obtain that

$$\frac{k}{n} \phi(A) = k \phi\left(\frac{1}{n} A\right) = \phi\left(\frac{k}{n} A\right).$$

By the order preserving property and the just proved rational-homogeneity of ϕ one can easily verify that

$$\phi(\lambda A) = \lambda \phi(A)$$

holds for every $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Now it requires only elementary arguments to check that the transformation $\psi_1 : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+$ defined by

$$\psi_1(A) = \begin{cases} \|A\| \phi\left(\frac{A}{\|A\|}\right), & 0 \neq A \in \mathcal{A}^+; \\ 0, & A = 0 \end{cases}$$

is bijective, additive, positive homogeneous, unital, preserves the order in both directions and extends ϕ . We omit the details. To proceed, we define the transformation $\psi_2 : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_s$ by

$$\psi_2(A) = \psi_1(A^+) - \psi_1(A^-) \quad (A \in \mathcal{A}_s)$$

where A^+, A^- denote the positive part and the negative part of A , respectively. It is easy to verify that ψ_2 is bijective, linear, unital, preserves the order in both directions and extends ψ_1 . Finally, let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be defined by

$$\Phi(A) = \psi_2(\operatorname{Re} A) + i \psi_2(\operatorname{Im} A) \quad (A \in \mathcal{A})$$

where $\operatorname{Re} A$ and $\operatorname{Im} A$ denote the real part and the imaginary part of A , respectively. One can check that Φ is bijective, linear, unital, preserves the order in both directions, extends ψ_2 and hence extends ϕ as well. We now refer to a result of Kadison (see [8, 10.5.32. Exercise]) stating that any bijective unital linear transformation between C^* -algebras which preserves

the order in both directions is necessarily a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism. Applying this result to Φ we complete the proof. \square

This simple result will play important role in the proof of our main theorem. In fact, the basic idea of that proof is the following. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be von Neumann algebras and let $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ be a sequential isomorphism. Consider the type decomposition of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} (see, for example, [8, 6.5.2. Theorem]). We shall see that ϕ maps the effects in the type I_n direct summand of \mathcal{A} to the effects in the type I_n direct summand of \mathcal{B} . Therefore, roughly speaking, we can consider our problem separately on type I_n -algebras and on algebras without type I direct summands and then take direct sums. It will turn out that on such algebras (with the exception of type I_1 algebras), the sequential isomorphisms are all E -isomorphisms and hence we can apply Proposition 3. This is the plan of the proof. The details follow.

First we present a result concerning type I_1 (i.e., commutative) algebras. As we have already mentioned, on such algebras the sequential isomorphisms coincide with the multiplicative bijections, i.e., the semigroup isomorphisms.

Proposition 4. *Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces. Let $\phi : E(C(X)) \rightarrow E(C(Y))$ be a bijective multiplicative map. Suppose that there is a constant $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ such that $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda$. Then ϕ extends to a $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$.*

Proof. The basic idea of the proof that one should transfer multiplicativity to additivity is due to P. Šemrl.

Let $g, f \in E(C(X))$, $g \leq f$ and suppose that f is nowhere vanishing. Then $\frac{g}{f} \in E(C(X))$, so there is a function $f' \in E(C(X))$ such that $g = f f'$. It follows that

$$\phi(g) = \phi(f)\phi(f') \leq \phi(f).$$

This shows a certain order preserving property of ϕ .

Let $f \in E(C(X))$ be nowhere vanishing. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $\lambda^n \leq f$. Since $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda$ and ϕ is multiplicative, it follows that

$$\lambda^n = \phi(\lambda^n) \leq \phi(f).$$

This implies that $\phi(f)$ is also nowhere vanishing. Hence ϕ preserves the nowhere vanishing elements between $E(C(X))$ and $E(C(Y))$. Since ϕ^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ , we obtain that the above two preserving properties of ϕ hold in both directions.

It is now easy to see that the transformation $\psi : C(X)^+ \rightarrow C(Y)^+$ defined by

$$\psi(h) = -\ln \phi(\exp(-h)) \quad (h \in C(X)^+)$$

is bijective, additive and preserves the order in both directions. In particular, we infer that ψ is positive homogeneous. Following the construction in the proof of Proposition 3 where we obtain Φ from ψ_1 , we see that our present map ψ extends to a bijective unital linear transformation $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow$

$C(Y)$ which preserves the order in both directions. Hence, by the already mentioned result of Kadison, Φ is a Jordan *-isomorphism. Because of commutativity, it means that Φ is an isomorphism between the function algebras $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$. The general form of such transformations is well-known. Namely, we know that there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ for which we have

$$\Phi(h) = h \circ \varphi \quad (h \in C(X)).$$

Referring to the relation between Φ and our original transformation ϕ we easily obtain that $\phi(f) = f \circ \varphi$ holds for every nowhere vanishing function $f \in E(C(X))$. We assert that this formula is valid for every element of $E(C(X))$. In order to see it, consider the transformation

$$\phi' : f \mapsto \phi(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}.$$

Clearly, this is a bijective multiplicative selfmap of $E(C(X))$ which acts as the identity on the nowhere vanishing elements of $E(C(X))$. We prove that the same holds on the whole set $E(C(X))$. In fact, suppose that $f \in E(C(X))$. It is trivial to see that there is a sequence (f_n) of nowhere vanishing elements of $E(C(X))$ such that $f \leq f_n$ and (f_n) converges (uniformly) to f . By the order preserving property of ϕ' obtained in the second paragraph of the proof for ϕ , we have $\phi'(f) \leq \phi'(f_n) = f_n$. Taking limit, we arrive at

$$\phi'(f) \leq f.$$

Since ϕ'^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ' , we also have

$$f = \phi'^{-1}(\phi'(f)) \leq \phi'(f).$$

These result in $\phi'(f) = f$ ($f \in E(C(X))$). Therefore, we obtain

$$\phi(f) = f \circ \varphi \quad (f \in E(C(X)))$$

and the proof is complete. \square

In the remaining part of the paper \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} denote von Neumann algebras and $\phi : E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B})$ is a sequential isomorphism.

Lemma 5. *We have $\phi(P(\mathcal{A})) = P(\mathcal{B})$. The restriction of ϕ onto $P(\mathcal{A})$ is a bijective map from $P(\mathcal{A})$ onto $P(\mathcal{B})$ which preserves the order and the orthogonality in both directions and hence it is completely orthoadditive.*

Proof. It is trivial that for an arbitrary $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$A \text{ is a projection} \iff A \circ A = A.$$

This implies that ϕ preserves the projections in both directions.

Let $P, Q \in P(\mathcal{A})$. Clearly, we have

$$P \leq Q \iff Q \circ P = P.$$

This implies that ϕ preserves the order among projections in both directions. In particular, we have $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(I) = I$. As for the orthogonality between projections, we have

$$PQ = 0 \iff P \circ Q = 0.$$

This implies that ϕ preserves the orthogonality between projections in both directions.

Now, let (P_α) be an arbitrary collection of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{A} with sum P . Using the above verified properties of ϕ , we deduce that $(\phi(P_\alpha))$ is a collection of mutually orthogonal projections and we have $\phi(P_\alpha) \leq \phi(P)$. This implies that

$$\sum_{\alpha} \phi(P_\alpha) \leq \phi(P) = \phi\left(\sum_{\alpha} P_\alpha\right).$$

Since ϕ^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ , it follows that

$$P = \sum_{\alpha} \phi^{-1}(\phi(P_\alpha)) \leq \phi^{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \phi(P_\alpha)\right).$$

By the order preserving property of ϕ we obtain that

$$\phi(P) \leq \sum_{\alpha} \phi(P_\alpha).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha} \phi(P_\alpha) = \phi(P)$$

which means that ϕ is completely orthoadditive on $P(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $Z, Z' \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be central elements in \mathcal{A} and let $P, P' \in \mathcal{A}$ be mutually orthogonal projections. Then we have*

$$\phi(ZP + Z'P') = \phi(ZP) + \phi(Z'P').$$

Proof. First observe that $\phi(ZP + Z'P')$ is defined. Indeed, for $ZP + Z'P' = PZP + P'Z'P'$ we have

$$0 \leq PZP + P'Z'P' \leq PIP + P'IP' = P + P' \leq I,$$

that is, $ZP + Z'P' \in E(\mathcal{A})$. Next, since $ZP + Z'P'$ commutes with $P, P', P + P'$, the same holds true for its square root. Hence, using the orthoadditivity

of the sequential isomorphism ϕ on $P(\mathcal{A})$ (see Lemma 5) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(ZP + Z'P') = \\
& \phi((ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}(P + P')(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \\
& \phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(P + P')\phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\
& \phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi(P) + \phi(P'))\phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\
& \phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(P)\phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}} + \phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(P')\phi(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\
& \phi((ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}P(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \phi((ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}P'(ZP + Z'P')^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \\
& \phi(ZP) + \phi(Z'P').
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 7. *The sequential isomorphism ϕ preserves commutativity in both directions. Therefore, we have $\phi(E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))) = E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}))$.*

Proof. The result [6, Corollary 3] says that for any Hilbert space effects A, B we have $A \circ B = B \circ A$ if and only if $AB = BA$. Since ϕ is a sequential isomorphism, this characterization of commutativity clearly implies that ϕ preserves commutativity in both directions. To the second statement observe that the set of all elements of $E(\mathcal{A})$ which commute with every effect in \mathcal{A} equals to $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))$. \square

We say that the collection (E_{ij}) of operators in the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} forms a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units if n is any cardinal number, the index set in which i, j run has cardinality n , for every i, j, k, l we have

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k; \\ E_{il}, & j = k, \end{cases}$$

$\sum_i E_{ii} = I$ in the strong operator topology and $E_{ij}^* = E_{ji}$ holds for all i, j .

Lemma 8. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} has a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units for some cardinal number $n \geq 2$. Then the restriction of ϕ onto $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an E -isomorphism onto $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}))$. Moreover, ϕ is homogeneous in the sense that*

$$\phi(\lambda A) = \lambda \phi(A)$$

holds for every $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. From Lemma 7 we know that the restriction of ϕ onto $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a sequential isomorphism onto $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}))$.

Let (E_{ij}) be a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units in \mathcal{A} . Let $Z, Z' \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be central elements. Suppose that $Z, Z' \leq \frac{1}{2}I$. For temporary use, fix the matrix units $E = E_{ii}, V = E_{ij}$ $i \neq j$. Define

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(E + V)^*(E + V), \quad P' = \frac{1}{2}(E - V)^*(E - V).$$

(The trick to use these operators to prove a kind of additivity of ϕ comes from the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [7] where the author studied the problem of the additivity of so-called Jordan *-maps between operator algebras.) It is obvious that P, P' are mutually orthogonal projections. It follows from $Z, Z' \leq \frac{1}{2}I$ that $Z + Z', 2Z, 2Z' \in E(\mathcal{A})$. We have

$$(2) \quad E(2ZP)E = 2Z(EPE) = ZE, \quad E(2Z'P')E = 2Z'(EP'E) = Z'E.$$

Since ϕ preserves commutativity in both directions, $\phi(Z), \phi(Z'), \phi(Z + Z')$ are central elements in $E(\mathcal{B})$. Using Lemma 6 and (2) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(Z + Z')\phi(E) &= \phi(Z + Z')^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(E)\phi(Z + Z')^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ &= \phi((Z + Z')E) = \phi(E(2ZP + 2Z'P')E) = \\ &= \phi(E)\phi(2ZP + 2Z'P')\phi(E) = \phi(E)(\phi(2ZP) + \phi(2Z'P'))\phi(E) = \\ &= \phi(E)\phi(2ZP)\phi(E) + \phi(E)\phi(2Z'P')\phi(E) = \\ &= \phi(2EZPE) + \phi(2EZ'P'E) = \phi(ZE) + \phi(Z'E) = \\ &= \phi(Z)\phi(E) + \phi(Z')\phi(E) = (\phi(Z) + \phi(Z'))\phi(E). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \phi(Z + Z')\phi(E_{ii}) = (\phi(Z) + \phi(Z'))\phi(E_{ii})$$

holds for every i . We know that $\sum_i E_{ii} = I$ and by the complete orthoadditivity of ϕ (see Lemma 5) this yields that $\sum_i \phi(E_{ii}) = I$. Consequently, we deduce from (3) that

$$\phi(Z + Z') = \phi(Z) + \phi(Z')$$

holds for every central elements $Z, Z' \in E(\mathcal{A})$ with $Z, Z' \leq \frac{1}{2}I$. In particular, we obtain that

$$I = \phi(I) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}I\right) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}I\right) + \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}I\right)$$

and this implies that

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{2}I\right) = \frac{1}{2}I.$$

Restricting ϕ onto $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))$, we have a sequential isomorphism between the sets of commutative von Neumann algebra effects which maps a nontrivial scalar to itself. Applying Proposition 4, it follows that this restriction of ϕ can be extended to a *-isomorphism from $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ onto $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$. This implies the first assertion in our statement.

The homogeneity of ϕ is now easy to see. In fact, pick $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$. As ϕ is homogeneous on $E(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}))$, we compute

$$\phi(\lambda A) = \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi(\lambda I)\phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda\phi(I)\phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda\phi(A).$$

□

Lemma 9. *Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is an abelian projection and $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$. Then there is a positive element Z in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $PAP = ZP$.*

Proof. The operator PAP is a positive element in the C^* -algebra PAP . Therefore, there exists an element $B \in PAP$ such that $PAP = B^*B$. But, as P is abelian, we know that

$$PAP = Z(\mathcal{A})P$$

(see [8, 6.4.2. Proposition]). So, there is a central element Z in \mathcal{A} such that $B = ZP$. We have

$$PAP = B^*B = (ZP)^*(ZP) = Z^*ZP$$

and this verifies our statement. \square

Lemma 10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra of type I_n with $n < \infty$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $PAP = 0$ holds for every abelian projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have $A = 0$.*

Proof. Considering the real and imaginary parts of A , we can clearly assume that A is self-adjoint.

By [8, 8.2.8. Theorem] there is a center-valued trace on \mathcal{A} which we denote by τ . In what follows we assume that τ has the properties listed in that theorem. We have

$$0 = \tau(PAP) = \tau(APP) = \tau(AP)$$

for every abelian projection P in \mathcal{A} . It is known that any nonzero projection in a type I algebra is the sum of mutually orthogonal abelian projections (see [8, 6.4.8. Proposition and 6.5.1. Definition]). Hence, if we pick an arbitrary projection Q in \mathcal{A} , then there is a collection (P_α) of mutually orthogonal abelian projections such that $Q = \sum_\alpha P_\alpha$. As the weak and ultraweak topologies coincide on the unit ball of any von Neumann algebra (see the discussion in [8, 7.4.4. Remark]), it follows that $AQ = \sum_\alpha AP_\alpha$ holds in the ultraweak topology. By the ultraweak continuity of τ we infer that

$$(4) \quad \tau(AQ) = \sum_\alpha \tau(AP_\alpha) = 0.$$

Since the linear span of the set of all projections in a von Neumann algebra is dense with respect to the norm topology and τ is norm continuous, it follows from (4) that $\tau(A^2) = 0$. By the definiteness of the trace, we obtain that $A^2 = 0$. This implies $A = 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 11. *The sequential isomorphism ϕ preserves the abelian projections and the equivalence among them in both directions.*

Proof. Clearly, $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is abelian if and only if the set $PE(\mathcal{A})P$ is commutative. As ϕ is a sequential isomorphism, it preserves commutativity in both directions (see Lemma 7). Therefore, the set $PE(\mathcal{A})P$ is commutative if and only if so is its image under ϕ . But this image equals

$$\phi(PE(\mathcal{A})P) = \phi(P)\phi(E(\mathcal{A}))\phi(P) = \phi(P)E(\mathcal{B})\phi(P).$$

Therefore, P is abelian if and only if $\phi(P)$ is abelian.

As for the preservation of the equivalence between abelian projections, we recall that two abelian projections are equivalent if and only if their central carriers coincide (see [8, 6.2.8. Proposition and 6.4.6 Proposition]). But the notion of the central carrier is expressed by order and commutativity both of them being preserved by ϕ in both directions. This implies the second assertion of our statement. \square

After this preparation, now we are in a position to prove that every sequential isomorphism between the sets of effects in type I_n algebras ($2 \leq n < \infty$) is an E -isomorphism.

Proposition 12. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are type I_n algebras with $2 \leq n < \infty$. Then ϕ is an E -isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $A, A' \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $A + A' \in E(\mathcal{A})$. Pick an arbitrary abelian projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 9 we have positive central elements Z, Z' in \mathcal{A} such that

$$(5) \quad PAP = ZP, \quad PA'P = Z'P.$$

Clearly, we can choose a scalar $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ such that $0 \leq \lambda(Z + Z') \leq I$. It is well-known that for $n \geq 2$, any type I_n algebra has a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units (see [8, 6.6.3. Lemma]). Therefore, Lemma 8 applies and using (5) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda\phi(P)\phi(A + A')\phi(P) = \\ & \lambda\phi(P(A + A')P) = \phi(\lambda P(A + A')P) = \phi(\lambda(ZP + Z'P)) = \\ & \phi(P)\phi(\lambda(Z + Z'))\phi(P) = \phi(P)(\phi(\lambda Z) + \phi(\lambda Z'))\phi(P) = \\ & \phi(P)\phi(\lambda Z)\phi(P) + \phi(P)\phi(\lambda Z')\phi(P) = \phi(\lambda PZP) + \phi(\lambda PZ'P) = \\ & \phi(\lambda PAP) + \phi(\lambda PA'P) = \lambda(\phi(PAP) + \phi(PA'P)) = \\ & \lambda\phi(P)(\phi(A) + \phi(A'))\phi(P). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\phi(P)\phi(A + A')\phi(P) = \phi(P)(\phi(A) + \phi(A'))\phi(P)$$

holds for every abelian projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Referring to Lemma 11 we infer that

$$Q(\phi(A + A') - (\phi(A) + \phi(A')))Q = 0$$

is valid for every abelian projection Q in \mathcal{B} . By Lemma 10 this implies that

$$\phi(A + A') = \phi(A) + \phi(A').$$

In particular, we also get that $\phi(A) + \phi(A') \in E(\mathcal{B})$. Since ϕ^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ , we obtain that ϕ is an E -isomorphism. \square

In the case of von Neumann algebras of the remaining types we can follow a different approach based on the solution of the so-called Mackey-Gleason problem due to Bunce and Wright. We have the following result.

Proposition 13. *Suppose that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are both of type I_n with $2 < n$ or \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} have no type I direct summands. Then ϕ is an E -isomorphism.*

Proof. We know from Lemma 5 that ϕ , when restricted onto the set of all projections in \mathcal{A} , is orthoadditive. We now apply the deep result of Bunce and Wright [1] stating that every bounded orthoadditive map from the set of all projections of a von Neumann algebra without type I_2 direct summand into a Banach space can be extended to a continuous linear transformation defined on the whole algebra. Therefore, we have a continuous linear transformation $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$L(P) = \phi(P) \quad (P \in P(\mathcal{A})).$$

We claim that L coincides with ϕ on the whole set $E(\mathcal{A})$. To see this, first observe that

$$L(\lambda P) = \phi(\lambda P)$$

whenever $\lambda \in [0, 1], P \in P(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, this follows from the homogeneity of ϕ which was asserted in Lemma 8 (notice that by [8, 6.5.6. Lemma and 6.6.4. Lemma], \mathcal{A} has a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units). Now, let (P_i) be a finite collection of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{A} with sum I and pick scalars $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$. Since $\sum_i \phi(P_i) = I$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right) &= \phi\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_k \phi(P_k) \phi\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ \sum_k \phi\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(P_k) \phi\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \sum_k \phi\left(\left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} P_k \left(\sum_i \lambda_i P_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \\ \sum_k \phi(\lambda_k P_k) &= \sum_k L(\lambda_k P_k) = L\left(\sum_k \lambda_k P_k\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$ be arbitrary. It follows easily from the spectral theorem of normal operators and the properties of the spectral integral that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there are operators $A_l, A^u \in E(\mathcal{A})$ of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i P_i$ (where λ_i, P_i are like above) and operators $A'_l, A^{u'} \in E(\mathcal{A})$ such that the whole set $\{A, A_l, A^u, A'_l, A^{u'}\}$ is commutative, $\|A_l - A\|, \|A^u - A\| < \epsilon$, and

$$A_l = AA'_l, \quad A = A^u A^{u'}.$$

We compute

$$L(A_l) = \phi(A_l) = \phi\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} A'_l A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(A'_l) \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} I \phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \phi(A)$$

and one can prove in a similar manner that

$$\phi(A) \leq \phi(A^u) = L(A^u).$$

Therefore, we have $L(A_l) \leq \phi(A) \leq L(A^u)$ and by the continuity of L we infer that

$$L(A) \leq \phi(A) \leq L(A).$$

Consequently, we have $\phi(A) = L(A)$ for any $A \in E(\mathcal{A})$.

It follows that whenever $A, A' \in E(\mathcal{A})$ are such that $A + A' \in E(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\phi(A + A') = L(A + A') = L(A) + L(A') = \phi(A) + \phi(A').$$

In particular, we have $\phi(A) + \phi(A') \in E(\mathcal{B})$. Just as in the proof of Proposition 12, referring to the fact that ϕ^{-1} has similar properties as ϕ , we obtain that ϕ is an E -isomorphism. \square

Putting together all the information that we have collected so far, it is now an easy task to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $P \in \mathcal{A}$ be a nonzero projection. Then $\phi(P)$ is also a nonzero projection and the restriction of ϕ onto $PE(\mathcal{A})P = E(PAP)$ gives rise to a sequential isomorphism from $E(PAP)$ onto $E(\phi(P)\mathcal{B}\phi(P))$. By the properties of the sequential isomorphisms formulated in Lemmas 5, 7, 11, it follows that PAP is of type I, or of type I_n , or has no direct summand of type I, or has no commutative direct summand if and only if the same holds for $\phi(P)\mathcal{B}\phi(P)$.

Consider the type decomposition of \mathcal{A} (see, for example, [8, 6.5.2. Theorem]). For any cardinal number n (not exceeding the dimension of the Hilbert space on which the elements of \mathcal{A} act) let $P_n \in \mathcal{A}$ be a central projection such that the algebra $\mathcal{A}P_n$ is of type I_n (or $P_n = 0$) and in case $Q = I - \sum_n P_n \neq 0$ the algebra $\mathcal{A}Q$ has no type I direct summand. It follows from the first paragraph of the proof that the collection $(\phi(P_n))$ of central projections in \mathcal{B} has the same properties (relating the algebra \mathcal{B} in the place of \mathcal{A} , of course).

Clearly, the algebras $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}P_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}\phi(P_1)$ are commutative and their direct complements in \mathcal{A} , respectively in \mathcal{B} have no commutative direct summands.

Apply our auxiliary result Proposition 12 for the direct summands $\mathcal{A}P_n$ and $\mathcal{B}\phi(P_n)$ whenever $2 \leq n < \infty$. Moreover, apply Proposition 13 for the direct summands $\mathcal{A}P_n$ and $\mathcal{B}\phi(P_n)$ whenever n is an infinite cardinal and do the same for $\mathcal{A}Q$ and $\mathcal{B}\phi(Q)$. Taking direct sums, we obtain that the restriction of ϕ onto $E(\mathcal{A})(I - P_1) = E(\mathcal{A}(I - P_1))$ is an E -isomorphism onto $E(\mathcal{B}(I - \phi(P_1)))$. By Proposition 3 this can be extended to a Jordan $*$ -isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(I - P_1)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(I - \phi(P_1))$. It is well-known that every Jordan $*$ -isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras induces a direct sum decomposition of the underlying algebras according to which the Jordan $*$ -isomorphism under consideration is the direct sum of a $*$ -isomorphism and a $*$ -antiisomorphism (see [8, 10.5.26. Exercise]). This verifies the existence of a decomposition having the properties (i)-(ii) in our theorem.

As for the last assertion, observe that if $P_1 \neq 0$ (i.e., when $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1$ 'really appear') and ϕ maps a nontrivial scalar to itself, then by Proposition 4, the sequential isomorphism ϕ_1 from $E(\mathcal{A}_1)$ onto $E(\mathcal{B}_1)$ can also be extended to a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{A}_1 onto \mathcal{B}_1 . The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

1. L.J. Bunce and J.D.M. Wright, *The Mackey-Gleason problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1992), 288–293.
2. P. Busch, P.J. Lahti and P. Mittelstaedt, *The Quantum Theory of Measurement*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
3. G. Cassinelli, E. De Vito, P. Lahti and A. Levrero, *Symmetry groups in quantum mechanics and the theorem of Wigner on the symmetry transformations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **8** (1997), 921–941.
4. S. Gudder and R. Greechie, *Sequential products on effect algebras*, Rep. Math. Phys. **49** (2002), 87–111.
5. S. Gudder and G. Nagy, *Sequential quantum measurements*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), 5212–5222.
6. S. Gudder and G. Nagy, *Sequentially independent effects*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 1125–1130.
7. J. Hakeda, *Additivity of Jordan $*$ -maps on AW^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 413–420.
8. R.V. Kadison and J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol II.*, Academic Press, 1986.
9. K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 190, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
10. G. Ludwig, *Foundation of Quantum Mechanics I*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
11. L. Molnár, *On some automorphisms of the set of effects on Hilbert space*, Lett. Math. Phys. **51** (2000), 37–45.
12. L. Molnár, *Preservers on Hilbert space effects*, Linear Algebra Appl., to appear.
13. L. Molnár and P. Šemrl, *Conditional affine and conditional sequential automorphisms of the set of Hilbert space effects*, preprint.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, 4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX
12, HUNGARY

E-mail address: molnar1@math.klte.hu

URL: <http://www.math.klte.hu/~molnar1/>