

A result on resolutions of Veronese embeddings

Elena Rubei

Abstract. This paper deals with syzygies of the ideals of the Veronese embeddings. By Green's Theorem we know that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies Green-Lazarsfeld's Property N_p $\forall d \geq p, \forall n$. By Ottaviani-Paoletti's theorem if $n \geq 2, d \geq 3$ and $3d - 2 \leq p$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ does not satisfy Property N_p . The cases $n \geq 3, d \geq 3, d < p < 3d - 2$ are still open (except $n = d = 3$).

Here we deal with one of these cases, namely we prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(3)$ satisfies Property N_4 $\forall n$.

Besides we prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies N_p $\forall n \geq p$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^p}(d)$ satisfies N_p .

1 Introduction

Let L be a very ample line bundle on a smooth complex projective variety Y and let $\varphi_L : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(Y, L)^*)$ be the map associated to L . We recall the definition of Property N_p of Green-Lazarsfeld, studied for the first time by Green in [7] (see also [9], [8]):

let Y be a smooth complex projective variety and let L be a very ample line bundle on Y defining an embedding $\varphi_L : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(H^0(Y, L)^*)$; set $S = S(L) = \bigoplus_n \text{Sym}^n H^0(L)$, the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective space \mathbf{P} , and consider the graded S -module $G = G(L) = \bigoplus_n H^0(Y, L^n)$; let E_*

$$0 \longrightarrow E_l \longrightarrow E_{l-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of G ; the line bundle L satisfies Property N_p ($p \in \mathbf{N}$) iff

$$E_0 = S$$

$$E_i = \bigoplus S(-i-1) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

(Thus L satisfies Property N_0 iff $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$ is projectively normal; L satisfies Property N_1 iff L satisfies N_0 and the homogeneous ideal I of $Y \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(L)^*)$ is generated by quadrics; L satisfies N_2 iff L satisfies N_1 and the module of syzygies among quadratic generators $Q_i \in I$ is spanned by relations of the form $\sum L_i Q_i = 0$, where L_i are linear polynomials; and so on.)

In this paper we will consider the case of Veronese embedding i.e. the case $Y = \mathbf{P}^n, L = \mathcal{O}(d)$. Among the papers on syzygies in this case we quote [1], [7], [12], [10], [11], [13]. Two of the most important results are:

Theorem 1 (Green) [7]. Let $d, p \in \mathbf{N}$. If $d \geq p$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies Property N_p .

Theorem 2 (Ottaviani-Paoletti) [12]. If $n \geq 2, d \geq 3$ and $3d - 2 \leq p$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ does not satisfy Property N_p .




Fig. 1 Property N_p satisfied by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ when $d > 2$ and $n > 1$

In [12] Ottaviani and Paoletti conjectured:

Conjecture 3 (Ottaviani-Paoletti) [12]. *Let $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 3$. The bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies Property N_p iff $p < 3d - 2$.*

The following theorems and proposition show that in the case $n = 2$ and in the case $n = d = 3$ Conjecture 3 is true:

Theorem 4 (Josefiak-Pragacz-Weyman) [10]. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(2)$ satisfies N_p iff $p \leq 5$.*

Theorem 5 (Green-Birkenhake) [7], [2]. *The bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(d)$ satisfies Property N_{3d-3} .*

(It is well known that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(2)$ satisfies Property $N_p \forall p$, see for instance [12].)

Proposition 6 (Ottaviani-Paoletti) [12]. *The bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3)$ satisfies Property N_6 .*

We recall also that the following Ein-Lazarsfeld's result implies Green's Theorem:

Theorem 7 (Ein-Lazarsfeld) [5] *Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n ; let A be a very ample line bundle on Y and B a numerically effective line bundle on Y ; then $K_Y \otimes A^{n+1+p} \otimes B$ satisfies Property N_p . Besides, if $(Y, A, B) \neq (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n})$ and $p \geq 1$ then $K_Y \otimes A^{n+p} \otimes B$ satisfies Property N_p .*

Also the following result implies Green's Theorem (taking $Y = \mathbf{P}^n$ and $M = \mathcal{O}(1)$).

Theorem 8 (Rubei) [14] *Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety and M a line bundle on Y . If M satisfies Property N_p and $d \geq p$ then M^d satisfies Property N_p .*

and this show that the problem of syzygies of the Veronese embedding is connected to the following problem: let Y be a smooth complex projective variety and M a line bundle on Y ; if M satisfies Property N_p then for which k the bundle M^d satisfies Property N_k ? (see also [15]).

It seems difficult to have some result on syzygies of Veronese embedding under the diagonal $d = p$, especially a result holding for \mathbf{P}^n for every n . Here we prove:

Theorem 9 *The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(3)$ satisfies Property $N_4 \forall n$.*

Perhaps the technique used here to prove Thm. 9 may be useful to solve some other open case of syzygies of Veronese embeddings.

To prove Thm. 9 we prove also:

Proposition 10 *Let $d, n \in \mathbf{N}$; we have that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies $N_p \forall n \geq p$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^p}(d)$ satisfies N_p .*

2 Proof of Proposition 10

Proof of Prop. 10. Let L be a very ample line bundle on a smooth complex projective variety Y . We recall from [8] that L satisfies N_p iff

$$(Tor_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q} = 0 \quad \forall q \geq 2$$

(see Introduction for the notation) and $(Tor_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q}$ is equal to the homology of the Koszul complex

$$\wedge^{p+1} H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{q-1}) \rightarrow \wedge^p H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^q) \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1} H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{q+1})$$

Now let $Y = \mathbf{P}(V)$ be a projective space and $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(d)$. In our case $(\text{Tor}_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q}$ is equal to the homology of

$$\wedge^{p+1} \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q-1)d} V \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^V} \wedge^p \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{qd} V \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p,q}^V} \wedge^{p-1} \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q+1)d} V$$

since the maps are $GL(V)$ -invariant, $(\text{Tor}_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q}$ is a $GL(V)$ -module, as observed in Rem. of §2 of [7] and Prop. 1.8 [12]. The Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentations of the $GL(V)$ -module $\otimes^p(\text{Sym}^d V)$ have at most p rows (see for instance p. 79 [6]), thus the Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentations of $\wedge^p(\text{Sym}^d V)$ have at most p rows and then the ones of $\wedge^p \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{qd} V$ have at most $p+1$ rows. Thus the Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentation of $(\text{Tor}_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q}$ have at most $p+1$ rows and these Young diagrams don't depend on V , in fact:

by Littlewood-Richardson's rule we can write $\wedge^p \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{qd} V = \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p,d,q}} S^\lambda V$ where $A_{p,d,q}$ is a subset of the set of the partitions of $pd + qd$ and does not depend V ; we want to show that the Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentations of $\text{Ker}(\alpha_{p,q}^V)$ and of $\text{Im}(\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^V)$ don't depend on V ; let V and W be two vector spaces; suppose for instance $\dim(V) \geq \dim(W)$, then there exists an injective map $W \rightarrow V$ and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \wedge^{p+1} \text{Sym}^d W \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q-1)d} W & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^W} & \wedge^p \text{Sym}^d W \otimes \text{Sym}^{qd} W & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p,q}^W} & \wedge^{p-1} \text{Sym}^d W \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q+1)d} W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \wedge^{p+1} \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q-1)d} V & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^V} & \wedge^p \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{qd} V & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p,q}^V} & \wedge^{p-1} \text{Sym}^d V \otimes \text{Sym}^{(q+1)d} V \end{array}$$

that can be written as (S^λ denotes the Schur functor associated to λ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p+1,d,q-1}} S^\lambda W & \rightarrow & \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p,d,q}} S^\lambda W & \rightarrow & \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p-1,d,q+1}} S^\lambda W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p+1,d,q-1}} S^\lambda V & \rightarrow & \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p,d,q}} S^\lambda V & \rightarrow & \oplus_{\lambda \in A_{p-1,d,q+1}} S^\lambda V \end{array}$$

If $\lambda \in A_{p,d,q} - A_{p-1,d,q+1}$ (which is a set not depending on V) then $S^\lambda V$ is in $\text{Ker}(\alpha_{p,q}^V)$; besides if $\lambda \in A_{p,d,q} \cap A_{p-1,d,q+1}$, the map $S^\lambda W \rightarrow S^\lambda W$ (which can be only a multiple of identity by Schur Lemma) induced by $\alpha_{p,q}^W$ is nonzero iff the corresponding map $S^\lambda V \rightarrow S^\lambda V$ induced by $\alpha_{p,q}^V$ is nonzero; thus the Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentations of $\text{Ker}(\alpha_{p,q}^V)$ don't depend on V and analogously for $\text{Im}(\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^V)$; thus $\text{Ker}(\alpha_{p,q}^V)/\text{Im}(\alpha_{p+1,q-1}^V) = \oplus_{\lambda \in A'_{p,d,q}} S^\lambda V$ for some subset $A'_{p,d,q}$ of $A_{p,d,q}$ not depending on V .

Since the Young diagrams of the irreducible subrepresentations of $(\text{Tor}_p^{S(L)}(G(L), \mathbf{C}))_{p+q}$ have at most $p+1$ rows and these Young diagrams don't depend on V , we have that if these representations are zero for $\dim(V) = p+1$ they are zero also for $\dim(V) \geq p+1$. \square

3 Recalls on syzygies of toric ideals

We recall some facts on toric ideals from [18]. Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \mathbf{Z}^k$. The toric ideal \mathcal{I}_A is defined as the ideal in $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ generated as vector space by the binomials

$$x_1^{u_1} \dots x_m^{u_m} - x_1^{v_1} \dots x_m^{v_m}$$

for $(u_1, \dots, u_m), (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbf{N}^m$, with $\sum_{i=1, \dots, m} u_i a_i = \sum_{i=1, \dots, m} v_i a_i$.

We have that \mathcal{I}_A is homogeneous iff $\exists \omega \in \mathbf{Q}^k$ s.t. $\omega \cdot a_i = 1 \forall i = 1, \dots, m$; the rings $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/\mathcal{I}_A$ are multigraded by $\mathbf{N}A$ via $\deg x_i = a_i$; the element $x_1^{u_1} \dots x_m^{u_m}$ has multidegree $b = \sum_i u_i a_i \in \mathbf{N}A$ and degree $\sum_i u_i = b \cdot \omega$; we define $\deg b = b \cdot \omega$.

For each $b \in \mathbf{N}A$, let Δ_b be the simplicial complex (see [17]) on the set A defined as follows:

$$\Delta_b = \{\langle F \rangle \mid F \subset A : b - \sum_{a \in F} a \in \mathbf{N}A\}$$

The following theorem studies the syzygies of the ideal \mathcal{I}_A ; it was proved by Campillo and Marijuan for $k = 1$ in [3] and by Campillo and Pison for general k and $j = 0$ in [4]; the following more general statement is due to Sturmfels (Theorem 12.12 p.120 in [18]).

Theorem 11 (see [18], [3], [4]). *Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset \mathbf{N}^k$ and \mathcal{I}_A be the associated toric ideal. Let $0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal free resolution of $G = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/\mathcal{I}_A$ on $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. Each of the generators of E_j has a unique multidegree. The number of the generators of multidegree $b \in \mathbf{N}A$ of E_{j+1} equals the rank of the j -th reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_j(\Delta_b, \mathbf{C})$.*

4 Proof of Theorem 9

Notation 12 • *homologous means homologous in the reduced homology.*

- \sim_A means homologous in A , i.e. $\gamma \sim_A \gamma'$ means that $\exists \beta$ chain in A s.t. $\partial \beta = \gamma - \gamma'$.
- e_i denotes the i -th element of the canonical basis of \mathbf{R}^n .
- The symbol $*$ denotes the joining.
- For any $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ v_i denotes the i -th coordinate, that is the lower index denotes the coordinate.

If we take $A = A_{d,n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \sum x_i = d, x_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$, we have that $\mathcal{I}_{A_{d,n}}$ is the ideal of the embedding of \mathbf{P}^n by $\mathcal{O}(d)$. In this case $\omega = \omega_d = \frac{1}{d}(1, \dots, 1)$.

Let $b \in \mathbf{N}A_{d,n}$; we have that $\deg b = (b \cdot \omega) = k$ iff b is the sum of k (not necessarily distinct) elements of $A_{d,n}$. Observe that a simplex S with vertices in $A_{d,n}$ is a simplex of Δ_b iff the sum s of the vertices of S is s.t. $s_i \leq b_i \forall i = 1, \dots, n+1$. We generalize the definition of the simplicial complex Δ_b in §3 in the following way:

Notation 13 *Let $v \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1}$. Let Δ_v be the following simplicial complex: a simplex S with vertices in $A_{d,n}$ is a simplex of Δ_v iff the sum s of the vertices of S is s.t. $s_i \leq v_i \forall i = 1, \dots, n+1$.*

The main points of the proof of the Thm. 9 are Propositions 15 and 16.

Notation 14 *Let $d, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $b \in \mathbf{N}A_{d,n}$. Let X_b be the following simplicial complex on $A_{d,n}$:*

$$X_b := \Delta_b \cup \Delta \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - 1 \\ b_2 + 1 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \cup \dots \cup \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_2 + b_1 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(in the obvious sense that a simplex with vertices in $A_{d,n}$ is a simplex of X_b iff it is a simplex of $\Delta_{b - ke_1 + ke_2}$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, b_1\}$)

Proposition 15 *Let $d, n, p \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $b \in \mathbf{N}A_{d,n}$ with $\deg(b) \geq p+2$. Let γ be a $(p-1)$ -cycle in Δ_b . If the following conditions hold*

- $H_{p-3}(\Delta_{c-e_1}) = 0 \forall c \in \mathbf{N}A_{d,n}$ with $\deg(c) \geq p+1$
- $\mathcal{O}(d)$ satisfies Property N_{p-1} ,

then $\exists \gamma'$ cycle in $\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ s.t. $\gamma \sim_{X_b} \gamma'$.

Proposition 16 Let $b \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,4}$ with $\deg(b) \geq 6$. Let γ be a 3-cycle in Δ_b . If $\gamma \sim_{X_b} 0$ then $\gamma \sim_{\Delta_b} 0$.

Lemma 17 Let $d, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $g \in \mathbf{NA}_{d,n}$ with $\deg(g) \geq 4$. Then $H_1(\Delta_{g+v}) = 0 \ \forall v \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1}$.

We show now how Thm. 9 follows from Propositions 15 and 16 and Lemma 17.

Proof of Thm. 9. By Prop. 10, it is sufficient to prove our statement when $n = 4$. By Thm. 11, the bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies N_p iff $H_{q-1}(\Delta_b) = 0 \ \forall b \in \mathbf{NA}_{d,n}$ with $\deg b \geq q + 2 \ \forall q \leq p$; in particular, in order to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(3)$ satisfies N_4 , we have to prove that $H_3(\Delta_b) = 0 \ \forall b \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,n}$ with $\deg b \geq 6$. Thus let $b \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,4}$ with $\deg(b) \geq 6$. Let γ be a 3-cycle in Δ_b . We want to prove $\gamma \sim_{\Delta_b} 0$. By Prop. 15, $\exists \gamma'$ cycle in $\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ s.t. $\gamma \sim_{X_b} \gamma'$ (the assumption of Prop. 15 in our

case is true by Lemma 17). We have $H_3(\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}) = H_3(\Delta \begin{pmatrix} b_1 + b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}) = 0$, where the last equality

holds since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3)$ satisfies N_4 (by Prop. 6, but it can be proved also directly). Thus $\gamma' \sim 0$ in $\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $\gamma \sim_{X_b} 0$ and then $\gamma \sim_{\Delta_b} 0$ by Prop. 16. \square

Now we will prove Propositions 15 and 16 and Lemma 17.

Notation 18 Let $b \in \mathbf{NA}_{d,n}$ Let γ be a $(p-1)$ -cycle in X_b .

For every vertex a in γ , let $\mathcal{S}_{a,\gamma}$ be the set of simplexes of γ with vertex a and $\mu_{a,\gamma}$ be the $(p-2)$ -cycle s.t. $a * \mu_{a,\gamma} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{a,\gamma}} \tau$. For $\tilde{a} \in A_{d,n}$, let

$$\alpha_{a,\tilde{a},\gamma} = (a - \tilde{a}) * \mu_{a,\gamma}$$

Proof of Prop. 15. We order in some way the (finite) vertices of γ with first coordinate $\neq 0$:

a^1, \dots, a^r . Let $\tilde{a}^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_2^j + a_1^j \\ a_3^j \\ a_4^j \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Obviously $\alpha_{a^1,\tilde{a}^1,\gamma} \sim_{X_b} 0$, because $\mu_{a^1,\gamma}$ is in Δ_{b-a^1} and $H_{p-1}((a^1 - \tilde{a}^1) * \Delta_{b-a^1}) = H_{p-2}(\Delta_{b-a^1}) = 0$ (since $\mathcal{O}(d)$ satisfies Property N_{p-1}). Thus $\gamma_1 := \gamma + \alpha_{a^1,\tilde{a}^1,\gamma}$ is homologous to γ in X_b .

We define by induction $\gamma_j := \gamma_{j-1} + \alpha_{a^j,\tilde{a}^j,\gamma_{j-1}}$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$. We want to prove $\gamma_r \sim_{X_b} 0$; to prove this, we prove $\alpha_{a^j,\tilde{a}^j,\gamma_{j-1}} \sim_{X_b} 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$.

Observe that $\mu_{a^j,\gamma_{j-1}}$ is in

$$\Delta_{b-a^j} \cup \Delta \begin{pmatrix} (b-a^j)_1 - 1 \\ (b-a^j)_2 + 1 \\ (b-a^j)_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \cup \dots \cup \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (b-a^j)_1 + (b-a^j)_2 \\ (b-a^j)_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

We can find some cycles θ_ε in $\Delta_{b-a^j-\varepsilon e_1+\varepsilon e_2}$ for $\varepsilon \in \{0, \dots, (b-a^j)_1\}$ s.t. $\mu_{a^j,\gamma_{j-1}} = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0, \dots, (b-a^j)_1\}} \theta_\varepsilon$, in fact: let σ_0 be the sum of the simplexes of $\mu_{a^j,\gamma_{j-1}}$ in Δ_{b-a^j} and not in $\Delta_{b-a^j-e_1}$; $\partial \sigma_0$ is in

$\Delta_{b-a^j-e_1}$ and since $H_{p-3}(\Delta_{c-e_1}) = 0 \forall c$ with $\deg(c) \geq p+1$ then $\exists \sigma'_0$ in $\Delta_{b-a^j-e_1}$ s.t. $\partial \sigma'_0 = \partial \sigma_0$; let $\theta_0 = \sigma_0 - \sigma'_0$; now $\mu_{a^j, \gamma_{j-1}} - \theta_0$ is in

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} (b-a^j)_1-1 \\ (b-a^j)_2+1 \\ (b-a^j)_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \cup \dots \cup \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (b-a^j)_1+(b-a^j)_2 \\ (b-a^j)_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

and we can go on analogously: let σ_1 be the sum of the simplexes of $\mu_{a^j, \gamma_{j-1}} - \theta_0$ in $\Delta_{b-a^j-e_1+e_2}$ and not in $\Delta_{b-a^j-2e_1} \dots$

Since $\deg(b-a^j-\varepsilon e_1+\varepsilon e_2) \geq p+1$ and $\mathcal{O}(d)$ satisfies N_{p-1} we have $H_{p-2}(\Delta_{b-a^j-\varepsilon e_1+\varepsilon e_2}) = 0$ thus $\theta_\varepsilon \sim 0$ in $\Delta_{b-a^j-\varepsilon e_1+\varepsilon e_2}$ and then $(a^j - \tilde{a}^j) * \theta_\varepsilon \sim_{X_b} 0$; therefore $\alpha_{a^j, \tilde{a}^j, \gamma_{j-1}} \sim_{X_b} 0$.

Thus we can define $\gamma' = \gamma_r$: γ' is in $\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1+b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma' \sim_{X_b} \gamma$. □

Proof of Lemma 17. By induction on the sum of the coefficients of v . If $v = 0$ the statement is true since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)$ satisfies $N_2 \forall n \forall d \geq 2$.

Let $h = g + v$. Suppose $H_1(\Delta_h) = 0$; we want to show $H_1(\Delta_{h+e_j}) = 0$; it is sufficient to prove that every 1-cycle γ in Δ_{h+e_j} is homologous in Δ_{h+e_j} to some 1-cycle in Δ_h . Let $\langle x, a \rangle$ be a simplex of γ not in Δ_h . Thus or $x_j > 0$ either $a_j > 0$, say for instance $a_j > 0$. Let y be a vertex in $\mu_{a, \gamma}$ with $y \neq x$. Let i be s.t. $x_i + y_i + a_i < h_i$ (such an i exists because $\deg(g) \geq 4$). Let $\tilde{a} = a + e_i - e_j$ and $\alpha = \langle x, a \rangle + \langle a, y \rangle + \langle y, \tilde{a} \rangle + \langle \tilde{a}, x \rangle$; then $\alpha \sim_{\Delta_{h+e_j}} 0$ because α is in $(a - \tilde{a}) * \Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}$ and $H_1((a - \tilde{a}) * \Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}) = \tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}) = 0$ ($\Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}$ is connected since if v, w are two vertices in $\Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}$ we can find $u \in A_{d,n}$ s.t. $\langle u, v \rangle$ and $\langle u, w \rangle$ are in $\Delta_{h+e_j-a-e_i}$ since $\deg(g+e_j-a-e_i) \geq 3$). Let $\gamma' = \gamma + \alpha$. We have $\gamma' \sim_{\Delta_{h+e_j}} \gamma$ and the number of the simplexes of γ' not in Δ_h is less than the number of simplexes of γ not in Δ_h . Thus, by induction on the number of simplexes of γ not in Δ_h , we get a 1-cycle in Δ_h homologous in Δ_{h+e_j} to γ . □

Now we will prove Prop. 16.

Definition 19 Let $d, n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{N}A_{d,n}$. We say that a $(k-1)$ -chain η in Δ_β is a UFO with axis $\langle a^1, \dots, a^t \rangle$ for the coordinate i (for short we will write $UFO_{t,k}^i(a^1, \dots, a^t, \Delta_\beta)$) if

$$\eta = \langle a^1, \dots, a^t \rangle * C_\eta$$

for some $(k-t-1)$ -cycle C_η and a^1, \dots, a^t are distinct vertices in Δ_β with

$$(a^1 + \dots + a^t)_i = \beta_i \quad (a^j)_i > 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, t$$

We will denote the axis $\langle a^1, \dots, a^t \rangle$ by χ_η . Observe $\partial \eta \subset \Delta_{\beta-e_i}$. (Sometimes we will omit some index when it will be obvious.)




Fig.2 How a $UFO_{2,4}(a_1, a_2)$ looks like. C_η is in boldface

Lemma 20 “ $UFO_{p+1,p+1}$, $UFO_{p,p+1}$, $UFO_{1,p+1}$ ” Let $d, n, p \in \mathbf{N}$ and $r, l \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, $r \neq l$. Let $\beta \in \mathbf{NA}_{d,n}$ with $\deg \beta \geq p+2$. Let η be a $UFO_{t,p+1}^r(a^1, \dots, a^t, \Delta_\beta)$. If $t \in \{p+1, p, 1\}$ then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ p -chain in $\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$ with $\partial \tilde{\eta} = \partial \eta$.

Proof. Case $t = p+1$ Since $\deg \beta \geq p+2$ and η is a simplex with $p+1$ vertices (the simplex $\langle a^1, \dots, a^{p+1} \rangle$), then $\exists x \in A_{d,n}$ s.t. $x * \eta \subset \Delta_\beta$. Since $(a^1 + \dots + a^{p+1})_r = \beta_r$ then $x_r = 0$. Take $\tilde{\eta} := x * \partial \eta$.

Case $t = p$ If $\deg \beta \geq p+3$ then $\deg(\beta - a^1 \dots - a^p) \geq 3$, therefore $\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{\beta-a^1 \dots - a^p}) = 0$, thus $\exists \gamma$ s.t. $\partial \gamma = C_\eta$ and we can take $\tilde{\eta} = \gamma * \partial \chi_\eta$.

Thus we can suppose $\deg \beta = p+2$. Since C_η is a 0-cycle it is sufficient to prove the statement when $C_\eta = P - Q$ for some $P, Q \in A_{d,n}$ with $P = Q + e_i - e_j$ for some i and j (in fact we can write C_η as $\sum_s (-1)^s P_s$ with P_{s+1} obtained from P_s by adding 1 to a coordinate and subtracting 1 to another coordinate). Let $x = \beta - a^1 \dots - a^p - P$ and $y = \beta - a^1 \dots - a^p - Q$. Since $(a^1 + \dots + a^p)_r = \beta_r$ we have $x_r = y_r = 0$.

Suppose first $i, j \notin \{l, r\}$. Let $z = x + e_l - e_j$. The chain $\tilde{\eta} = z * \partial \eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$ and $\partial \tilde{\eta} = \partial \eta$.

Suppose now $j \notin \{l, r\}$ and $i = l$. Then $\tilde{\eta} := x * \partial \eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$ and $\partial \tilde{\eta} = \partial \eta$.

Case $t = 1$ Let $\tilde{a}^1 = a^1 + e_l - e_r$; take $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{a}^1 * C_\eta$. □

Lemma 21 Let $d, n, p \in \mathbf{N}$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Let $\beta \in \mathbf{NA}_{d,n}$ and $\deg \beta \geq p+2$. Let η be a $UFO_{t,p+1}^r(a^1, \dots, a^t, \Delta_\beta)$. If $C_\eta = \partial \sigma$ where σ is a simplex (with $p+2-t$ vertices) in $\Delta_{\beta-a^1 \dots - a^t}$, then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ p -chain in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r}$ with $\partial \tilde{\eta} = \partial \eta$.

Proof. Since $\eta = \chi_\eta * C_\eta = \chi_\eta * \partial \sigma$, then $\partial \eta = \partial \chi_\eta * \partial \sigma = \partial(\partial \chi_\eta * \sigma)$. Take $\tilde{\eta} = \partial \chi_\eta * \sigma$. □

Lemma 22 “ $UFO_{3,5}$ ” Let $\beta \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,4}$ and $\deg \beta \geq 6$. Let $r, l \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, $r \neq l$. Let η be a $UFO_{3,5}^r(a^1, a^2, a^3, \Delta_\beta)$. Then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ 4-chain in $\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$ with $\partial \tilde{\eta} = \partial \eta$.

To prove this lemma, some sublemmas are necessary.

Sublemma 23 The statement of Lemma 22 is true if η is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_l}$ and $(a^1 + a^2 + a^3)_l \leq \beta_l - 2$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{a}^i = a^i - e_r + e_l$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Observe that $-\langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle * C_\eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r}$. Thus we can sum it to η and prove that the border of the sum is homologous to 0 in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. The sum is $(\langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle - \langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle) * C_\eta$ and has the same border of the sum of the six chains:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \langle a^1, a^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_2 &= \langle a^1, \tilde{a}^3, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_3 &= \langle a^1, \tilde{a}^2, a^3 \rangle * C_\eta \\ \sigma_4 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^3, a^2 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_5 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_6 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, a^3, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle * C_\eta \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ and σ_6 are in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$ since η is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_l}$; besides σ_5 is in $\Delta_{\beta-3e_r+2e_l}$ and its border is in $\Delta_{\beta-3e_r+e_l}$; σ_5 is not a $UFO_{3,5}^l(\Delta_{\beta-2e_r+2e_l})$ since $(\tilde{a}^1 + \tilde{a}^2 + \tilde{a}^3)_l = (a^1 + a^2 + a^3)_l + 3 \leq \beta_l + 1$; besides $\tilde{a}^1_l, \tilde{a}^2_l, \tilde{a}^3_l > 0$; thus σ_5 is a sum of $UFO_{t,5}^l(\Delta_{\beta-2e_r+2e_l})$ with $t = 4, 5$; then $\partial \sigma_5 \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} 0$ by Lemma 20 (applied with r equal to l and l equal to r). □ Sl. 23

Sublemma 24 The statement of Lemma 22 is true if η is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_j-e_i}$ for some i and j (i and j possibly equal and possibly equal to l).

Proof. Let $\tilde{a}^1 = a^1 - e_r + e_l$, $\tilde{a}^2 = a^2 - e_r + e_i$, $\tilde{a}^3 = a^3 - e_r + e_j$.

Observe that $-\langle \tilde{a}^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle * C_\eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r}$. Thus we can sum it to η and prove that the border of the sum is homologous to 0 in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. The sum is $(\langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle - \langle \tilde{a}^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle) * C_\eta$ and has the same border of the sum of the six chains:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \langle a^1, a^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_2 &= \langle a^1, \tilde{a}^3, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_3 &= \langle a^1, \tilde{a}^2, a^3 \rangle * C_\eta \\ \sigma_4 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^3, a^2 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_5 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^3 \rangle * C_\eta, & \sigma_6 &= \langle \tilde{a}^1, a^3, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle * C_\eta \end{aligned}$$

Since σ_l for $l = 1, \dots, 6$ are in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$, we conclude.

(Observe that the same proof works also if i or j are equal to l and if $i = j$).

□ *Sl. 24*

Sublemma 25 *In the hypotheses of Lemma 22 and if $\exists i$ s.t. $(\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i \geq 2$, then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ $UFO_{3,5}^r(a^1, a^2, a^3, \Delta_\beta)$ contained in $\Delta_{\beta-e_i}$ s.t. $\partial\eta \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} \partial\tilde{\eta}$ (i and l possibly equal) (observe the axis of η is equal to axis of $\tilde{\eta}$).*

Proof. Observe that C_η is a 1-cycle.

• First we prove that $\partial\eta \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} \partial\eta'$ for some $\eta' UFO_{3,5}^r(a^1, a^2, a^3, \Delta_\beta)$ s.t. $\nexists V$ vertex in $C_{\eta'}$ with $(V)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$.

Suppose V is a vertex of C_η with $(V)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$. Let A and B be two distinct vertices of C_η s.t. $\langle A, V \rangle + \langle V, B \rangle$ is in C_η . We define $A \cap B$ the element of \mathbf{N}^5 s.t. $(A \cap B)_j = \min\{A_j, B_j\} \forall j = 1, \dots, 5$. By Lemma 21 we can suppose $A + B + V \neq \beta - a_1 - a_2 - a_3$. If $\deg(\beta) = 6$ up to changing A with $\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3 - A - V$ (using Lemma 21) we can suppose that the sum of the coordinates of $A \cap B$ is 2; thus $\exists k, j$ s.t. $(V + A)_l, (V + B)_l \leq (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3 - e_k - e_j)_l \forall l$ and this is obviously true also if $\deg(\beta) \geq 7$; consider the cycle K having as ordered set of vertices

$$V, A, V - e_i + B - (A \cap B), e_i + A \cap B, V - e_i + A - (A \cap B), B, V$$

Every edge of K is in $\Delta_{\beta-a^1-a^2-a^3-e_k-e_j}$; then by Sublemma 24, $\partial(\langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle * K) \sim 0$ in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. Since $A_i = B_i = 0$ the vertices of K different from V have the i -th coordinate $< (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$. Let $\eta' = \eta + \langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle * K$ (roughly speaking we are “replacing” in C_η $\langle A, V \rangle + \langle V, B \rangle$ with an opportune chain).

• Now we prove $\partial\eta' \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r}} \partial\tilde{\eta}$ for some $\tilde{\eta} UFO_{3,5}^r(a^1, a^2, a^3, \Delta_\beta)$ s.t. $\nexists \langle F, G \rangle$ simplex in $C_{\tilde{\eta}}$ with $(F+G)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$, $F_i > 0$, $G_i > 0$ and s.t. $\nexists V$ vertex in $C_{\tilde{\eta}}$ with $(V)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$ (thus $\tilde{\eta}$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_i}$).

Suppose there is a simplex $\langle F, G \rangle$ of $C_{\eta'}$ s.t. $(F+G)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$, $F_i > 0$ and $G_i > 0$. Let P be s.t. $\langle P, F, G \rangle \subset \Delta_{\beta-a^1-a^2-a^3}$. By Lemma 21, $\partial(\langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle * (\langle P, F \rangle + \langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, P \rangle)) \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r}} 0$. Thus $\partial\eta' \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r}} \partial(\langle a^1, a^2, a^3 \rangle * (C_{\eta'} - \langle P, F \rangle - \langle F, G \rangle - \langle G, P \rangle))$ (roughly speaking we are “replacing” in $C_{\eta'}$ the simplex $\langle F, G \rangle$ with $\langle F, P \rangle + \langle P, G \rangle$). Observe that $\langle F, P \rangle + \langle P, G \rangle$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-a^1-a^2-a^3-e_i}$. Repeating this for every edge $\langle F, G \rangle$ of $C_{\eta'}$ s.t. $(F+G)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i$, $F_i > 0$ and $G_i > 0$, we get $\tilde{\eta}$. □ *Sl. 25*

Proof of Lemma 22. Observe that $\exists i$ s.t. $(\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i \geq 3$ (in fact $\sum_j (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_j = 9$ and $(\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_2 = 0$).

By the Sublemma 25 we can suppose that η is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_i}$. If $i = l$ we conclude by Sublemma 23; otherwise we can see η as $UFO_{3,5}^r(a^1, a^2, a^3, \Delta_\alpha)$ where $\alpha = \beta + e_l - e_i$; since $(\alpha - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2 - a^3)_i - 1 \geq 2$, by Sublemma 25 we can suppose η is in $\Delta_{\alpha-e_i}$ up to homology in $\Delta_{\alpha-e_r+e_i} = \Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$ (take l of Sublemma 25 equal to i); by Sublemma 23 (with l of Sublemma 23 equal to i) we can conclude that $\eta \sim 0$ in $\Delta_{\alpha-e_r+e_i} = \Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$. □

Lemma 26 “ $UFO_{2,5}$ ” *Let $\beta \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,4}$ with $\deg \beta \geq 6$. Let $r, l \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, $r \neq l$. Let η be a $UFO_{2,5}^r(a^1, a^2, \Delta_\beta)$. Then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ 4-chain in $\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}$ with $\partial\tilde{\eta} = \partial\eta$.*

Proof. • First suppose that $\exists i$ s.t. $(a^1 + a^2)_i < \beta_i$ and at least one of $(a^1)_i, (a^2)_i$ is > 0 , say $(a^2)_i > 0$.

Let $\lambda := \langle \tilde{a}^1, a^2 \rangle * C_\eta$, where $\tilde{a}^1 = a^1 - e_r + e_i$. It is not a $UFO_{2,5}^i(\tilde{a}^1, a^2, \Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_i})$ since $(\tilde{a}^1 + a^2)_i = (a^1 + a^2)_i + 1 \leq \beta_i$; besides $(\tilde{a}^1)_i > 0$ and $(a^2)_i > 0$; thus λ is a sum of $UFO_{t,5}^i(\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_i})$ for $t \geq 3$ or chains in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r}$ and then by Lemmas 20, 22 (with r of these lemmas equal to i) $\partial\lambda \sim_{\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}} 0$; thus it is sufficient to prove $\partial(\eta + \lambda) \sim_{\Delta_{\beta+e_l-e_r}} 0$. Let $\tilde{a}^2 = a^2 - e_r + e_l$.

We have that $\partial(\eta + \lambda) = (a^1 - \tilde{a}^1) * C_\eta = (a^1 - \tilde{a}^2 + \tilde{a}^2 - \tilde{a}^1) * C_\eta$; we have $(a^1 - \tilde{a}^2) * C_\eta \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} 0$ since $\langle a^1, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle * C_\eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$; as in the previous paragraph we can prove $(\tilde{a}^2 - \tilde{a}^1) * C_\eta \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} 0$ (observe $\langle \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^1 \rangle * C_\eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-2e_r+e_l+e_i}$).

• In the remaining cases we have that $\forall i$ $(a^1 + a^2)_i$ is equal to 0 or to β_i .

Let i be s.t. $(\beta - a^1 - a^2)_i \geq 4$ (such an i exists because the indices j s.t. $(a^1 + a^2)_j \neq 0$ are at least two, thus the indices j s.t. $(\beta - a^1 - a^2)_j \neq 0$ are at most three).

Let $\tilde{a}^1 = a^1 - e_r + e_l$ and $\tilde{a}^2 = a^2 - e_r + e_i$.

Observe that $\langle a^1, a^2 \rangle * C_\eta$ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. Thus we can sum it to η and to prove that the border of the sum is homologous to 0 in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. The sum is $(\langle a^1, a^2 \rangle + \langle a^2, \tilde{a}^1 \rangle) * C_\eta$ and has the same border of $\rho := (\langle a^1, \tilde{a}^2 \rangle + \langle \tilde{a}^2, \tilde{a}^1 \rangle) * C_\eta$, thus it is sufficient to prove $\partial\rho \sim_{\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}} 0$.

Observe ρ is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_2+e_l+e_i}$. Besides $V_i < (\beta - a^1 - a^2)_i \forall V$ vertex of C_η (since V is in $A_{3,4}$ and $(\beta - a^1 - a^2)_i \geq 4$) and \tilde{a}^2 is a vertex of every simplex of ρ and $(\tilde{a}^2)_i > 0$. Thus every simplex in ρ or is in $\Delta_{\beta-e_2+e_l}$ either has 3 or 4 vertices with i -th coordinate > 0 . Observe that for any $\langle V^1, V^2, V^3 \rangle$ simplex of C_η s.t. $(V^h)_i > 0 \forall h = 1, 2, 3$ and $(V^1 + V^2 + V^3)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2)_i$, $\exists j$ s.t. $\langle V^1, V^2, V^3 \rangle \subset \Delta_{\beta-a^1-a^2-e_j}$ and also if $\langle V^1, V^2, x \rangle$ and $\langle V^1, V^2, y \rangle$ are simplexes of C_η s.t. $(V^h)_i > 0 \forall h = 1, 2$ and $(V^1 + V^2)_i = (\beta - a^1 - a^2)_i$, $\exists j$ s.t. $\langle V^1, V^2, x \rangle$ and $\langle V^1, V^2, y \rangle$ are in $\Delta_{\beta-a^1-a^2-e_j}$ (after supposing by Lemma 21 that $V^1 + V^2 + x + y \neq \beta - a^1 - a^2$).

Thus ρ is a sum of chains in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r}$ and $UFO_{t,5}^i(\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l+e_i-e_j})$ for $t = 3, 4$ and for some j ; thus by Lemmas 22 and 20 their borders are homologous to 0 in $\Delta_{\beta-e_r+e_l}$. \square

Corollary 27 *Let $\beta \in \mathbf{NA}_{3,4}$ and $\deg \beta \geq 6$. If η is a 4-chain in Δ_β with $\partial\eta$ in $\Delta_{\beta-e_2}$, then $\exists \tilde{\eta}$ 4-chain in $\Delta_{\beta+e_1-e_2}$ with $\partial\tilde{\eta} = \partial\eta$.*

Proof. To prove the statement, is sufficient to prove it when η is a $UFO_{t,5}^2$ for $t = 1, \dots, 5$, since η is a sum of $UFO_{t,5}^2$ for $t = 1, \dots, 5$. Thus our statement follows from Lemmas 20, 22 and 26. \square

Proof of Prop. 16. We will show that if γ is a 3-cycle in Δ_b with $\gamma = \partial\eta$ with η in $\Delta_b \cup \Delta_{b-e_1+e_2} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{b-ke_1+ke_2}$ for some $k \leq b_1$, then we can construct η' in $\Delta_b \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{b-(k-1)e_1+(k-1)e_2}$ s.t. $\partial\eta' = \gamma$ (this, by induction on b_1 , implies obviously Prop. 16):

let ν be the sum of the simplexes of η in $\Delta_{b-ke_1+ke_2}$ and not in $\Delta_{b-ke_1+(k-1)e_2}$; $\partial\nu$ is in $\Delta_{b-ke_1+(k-1)e_2}$; by Corollary 27 $\partial\nu = \partial\nu'$ for some ν' in $\Delta_{b-(k-1)e_1+(k-1)e_2}$ let $\eta' = \eta - \nu + \nu'$; η' is in $\Delta_b \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{b-(k-1)e_1+(k-1)e_2}$ and $\partial\eta' = \partial\eta = \gamma$. \square

References

- [1] S. Barcanescu, N. Manolache *Betti numbers of Segre-Veronese singularities* Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **26** no. 4, 549-565 (1981)
- [2] Ch. Birkenhake *Linear systems on projective spaces* Manuscripta Math. **88** 177-184 (1995)
- [3] A. Campillo, C. Marijuan *Higher relations for a numerical semigroup* Sem. Theorie Nombres Bordeaux **3**, 249-260 (1991)

- [4] A. Campillo, P. Pison *L'ideal d'un semigroupe de type fini* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, **316** 1303-1306 (1993)
- [5] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Invent. Math., **111** no. 1, 51-67 (1993)
- [6] W. Fulton, J. Harris *Representation Theory* Springer Verlag
- [7] M. Green *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties I,II* J. Differ. Geom. **20**, 125-171, 279-289 (1984)
- [8] M. Green *Koszul cohomology and geometry*, in: M. Cornalba et al. (eds), Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, World Scientific Press (1989)
- [9] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve* Invent. Math. **83**, 73-90 (1986)
- [10] T. Josefiak, P. Pragacz, J.Weyman *Resolutions of determinantal varieties and tensor complexes associated with symmetric and antiymmetric matrices* Asterisque **87-88**, 109-189 (1981)
- [11] A. Lascoux *Syzygies des variétés déterminantales* Adv. in Math. **30**, 202-237 (1978)
- [12] G. Ottaviani, R. Paoletti *Syzygies of Veronese embeddings* Compositio Math. **125**, 31-37 (2001)
- [13] P. Pragacz, J.Weyman *Complexes associated with trace and evaluation. Another approach to Lascoux's resolution* Adv. Math. **57**, 163-207 (1985)
- [14] E. Rubei *A note on Property N_p* Manuscripta Math. **101**, 449-455 (2000)
- [15] E. Rubei *A strange example on Property N_p* Manuscripta Math. **108**, 135-137 (2002)
- [16] E. Rubei *On syzygies of Segre embeddings* Proc. A.M.S. **130** 12 3483-3493 (2002)
- [17] E. Spanier *Algebraic Topology* Springer Verlag
- [18] B. Sturmfels *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes* University Lecture Series A.M.S. 8 (1996).

Address: Elena Rubei, Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, via Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italia. **E-mail address:** rubei@math.unifi.it

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 14M25, 13D02.