

A critical phenomenon for sublinear elliptic equations in cone-like domains

Vladimir Kondratiev
Department of Mathematics
and Mechanics
Moscow State University
Moscow 119 899, Russia
kondrat@vnmok.math.msu.su

Vitali Liskevich
School of Mathematics
University of Bristol
Bristol BS8 1TW
United Kingdom
v.liskevich@bristol.ac.uk

Vitaly Moroz
School of Mathematics
University of Bristol
Bristol BS8 1TW
United Kingdom
v.moroz@bristol.ac.uk

Zeev Sobol
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College, London
London SW7 2AZ
United Kingdom
z.sobol@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

We study positive supersolutions to an elliptic equation $(*) -\Delta u = c|x|^{-s}u^p$, $p, s \in \mathbb{R}$, in cone-like domains in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$). We prove that in the sublinear case $p < 1$ there exists a critical exponent $p_* < 1$ such that equation $(*)$ has a positive supersolution if and only if $-\infty < p < p_*$. The value of p_* is determined explicitly by s and the geometry of the cone.

1 Introduction

We study the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions and supersolutions to the equation

$$(1) \quad -\Delta u = \frac{c}{|x|^s} u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho.$$

Here $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is an unbounded cone-like domain

$$\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho := \{(r, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \omega \in \Omega, r > \rho\},$$

where (r, ω) are the polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N , $\rho > 0$ and $\Omega \subseteq S^{N-1}$ is a subdomain (a connected open subset) of the unit sphere S^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N . We say that $u \in H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho)$ is a *supersolution* (*subsolution*) to equation (1) if

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \geq (\leq) \int_{\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho} \frac{c}{|x|^s} u^p \varphi \, dx \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho).$$

If u is a sub and supersolution to (1) then u is said to be a *solution* to (1). By the weak Harnack inequality any nontrivial nonnegative supersolution to (1) is positive in \mathcal{C}_Ω^ρ .

We define *critical exponents* for equation (1) by

$$p^* = p^*(\Omega, s) = \inf\{p > 1 : (1) \text{ has a positive supersolution in } \mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

$$p_* = p_*(\Omega, s) = \sup\{p < 1 : (1) \text{ has a positive supersolution in } \mathcal{C}_\Omega^\rho \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Set $p_* = -\infty$ if (1) has no positive supersolution in \mathcal{C}_Ω^ρ for any $p < 1$.

Remark 1. (i) One can show that if $p < p_*$ or $p > p^*$ then (1) has a positive solution in \mathcal{C}_Ω^ρ (see [6] for the proof of the case $p > 1$ and the proofs below for the case $p < 1$). The existence (or nonexistence) of positive (super) solutions at the critical values p_* and p^* is a separate issue.

(ii) Observe that in view of the scaling invariance of the Laplacian the critical exponents p_* and p^* do not depend on $\rho > 0$.

(iii) We do not make any assumptions on the smoothness of the domain $\Omega \subseteq S^{N-1}$.

Let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega) \geq 0$ be the principal eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplace–Beltrami operator $-\Delta_\omega$ on Ω . Let $\alpha_+ \geq 0$ and $\alpha_- < 0$ be the roots of the quadratic equation

$$\alpha(\alpha + N - 2) = \lambda_1(\Omega).$$

In the *superlinear* case $p > 1$ the value of the critical exponent is $p^* = 1 - \frac{2-s}{\alpha_-}$. Moreover, if $s < 2$ then (1) has no positive supersolutions in the critical case $p = p^*$. This has been proved by Bandle and Levine [3], Bandle and Essen [2] and Berestycki, Capuzzo–Dolcetta and Nirenberg [4] (see also [6] for yet another proof of this result and for equations with measurable coefficients).

The *sublinear* case $p < 1$ has been studied in [5, 7]. From the result of Brezis and Kamin [5] it follows that for $p \in (0, 1)$ equation (1) has a bounded positive solution in \mathbb{R}^N if and only if $s > 2$. It has been proved in [7] (amongst other things) that for any $p \in (-\infty, 1)$ equation (1) has a positive supersolution outside a ball in \mathbb{R}^N if and only if $s > 2$.

In this note, we discover a new critical phenomenon. Namely, we show that in sublinear case equation (1) exhibits a "non-trivial" critical exponent ($p_* > -\infty$) in cone-like domains. The main result of the paper reads as follows.

Theorem 1. *For $p \leq 1$, the critical exponent for equation (1) is $p_* = \min\{1 - \frac{2-s}{\alpha_+}, 1\}$. If $p_* < 1$ then (1) has no positive supersolutions in the critical case $p = p_*$.*

Remark 2. (i) If $\alpha_+ = 0$ then we set $p_* = -\infty$.

(ii) If $s > 2$ then $p_* = p^* = 1$ and (1) has positive solutions for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$ [5, 7]. If $s = 2$ then $p_* = p^* = 1$. In this critical case (1) becomes a linear equation with the potential $c|x|^{-2}$, which has a positive (super) solution if and only if $c \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} + \lambda_1(\Omega)$.

(iii) Let $S_k = \{x \in S^{N-1} : x_1 > 0, \dots, x_k > 0\}$. Then $\lambda_1(S_k) = k(k + N - 2)$ and $\alpha_+(S_k) = k$, $\alpha_-(S_k) = 2 - N - k$. Hence $p_*(S_k, s) = 1 - \frac{2-s}{k}$ and $p^*(S_k, s) = 1 - \frac{2-s}{2-N-k}$. In particular, in the case of the halfspace S_1 we have $p_*(S_1, s) = s - 1$ and $p^*(S_1, s) = \frac{N+1-s}{N-1}$.

Applying the Kelvin transformation $y = y(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$ we see that if u is a positive solution to (1) in \mathcal{C}_Ω^1 then $\hat{u}(y) = |y|^{2-N}u(x(y))$ is a positive solution to

$$(2) \quad -\Delta \hat{u} = \frac{c}{|y|^\sigma} \hat{u}^p \quad \text{in } \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\Omega^1,$$




Figure 1: Existence and nonexistence zones for equations (1) (left) and (2) (right).

where $\sigma = (N + 2) - p(N - 2) - s$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Omega^1 := \{(r, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \omega \in \Omega, 0 < r < 1\}$. We define the critical exponents $\widehat{p}^* = \widehat{p}^*(\Omega, s)$ and $\widehat{p}_* = \widehat{p}_*(\Omega, s)$ for equation (2) similarly to $p^*(\Omega, s)$ and $p_*(\Omega, s)$. In the superlinear case $p > 1$, Bandle and Essen [2] proved that if $\sigma > 2$ then $\widehat{p}^* = 1 - \frac{2-\sigma}{\alpha_+}$ and (2) has no positive supersolutions when $p = \widehat{p}^*(\Omega)$. In the sublinear case $p < 1$ by an easy computation we derive from Theorem 1 the following result.

Theorem 2. *For $p \leq 1$, the critical exponent for equation (2) is $\widehat{p}_* = \min\{1 - \frac{2-\sigma}{\alpha_-}, 1\}$. If $\widehat{p}_* < 1$ then (2) has no positive supersolutions in the critical case $p = \widehat{p}_*$.*

In the remaining part of the paper we prove Theorem 1.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Existence. In the polar coordinates equation (1) reads as follows

$$(3) \quad -u_{rr} - \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_\omega u = \frac{c}{r^s}u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_\Omega^1.$$

Let $s \leq 2$, $p < 1 - \frac{2-s}{\alpha_+}$. Let $0 < \psi \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ be a positive solution to the equation

$$(4) \quad -\Delta_\omega \psi - \alpha(\alpha + N - 2)\psi = \psi^p \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\alpha := \frac{2-s}{1-p}$. Then it is readily seen that $u := c^{\frac{1}{1-p}}r^\alpha\psi \in H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{C}_\Omega^1)$ is a positive solution to (3) in \mathcal{C}_Ω^1 . Thus the problem reduces to the existence of positive solutions to (4).

Note that $0 < \alpha(\alpha + N - 2) < \lambda_1(\Omega)$. Hence the operator $-\Delta_\omega - \alpha(\alpha + N - 2)$ is coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies the maximum principle. We consider separately the cases $p \in [0, 1)$ and $p < 0$.

Case $p \in [0, 1)$. Let $\phi_1 > 0$ be the principal Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta_\omega$ on Ω . Let $\bar{\phi} > 0$ be the unique solution to the problem

$$-\Delta_\omega \phi - \alpha(\alpha + N - 2)\phi = 1, \quad \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Observe that $\phi_1, \bar{\phi} \in L^\infty$.

Hence $\tau\bar{\phi}$ is a supersolution to (4) for a large $\tau > 0$, and $\epsilon\phi_1$ is a subsolution to (4) for a small $\epsilon > 0$. Thus by the sub and supersolutions argument equation (4) has a solution $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\epsilon\phi_1 < \psi \leq \tau\bar{\phi}$.

Case $p < 0$. Consider the problem

$$(5) \quad -\Delta_\omega \phi - \alpha(\alpha + N - 2)(\phi + 1) = (\phi + 1)^p, \quad \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Let $\bar{\phi} > 0$ be the unique solution to the problem

$$-\Delta \phi - \alpha(\alpha + N - 2)(\phi + 1) = 1, \quad \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is clear that $\bar{\phi}$ is a supersolution to (5) and $\underline{\phi} \equiv 0$ is a subsolution to (5). We conclude that (5) has a positive solution $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $0 < \phi \leq \bar{\phi}$. Then $\psi := \phi + 1 \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ is a positive solution to (4). This completes the proof of the existence part of Theorem 1.

Nonexistence. In what follows we set $\delta := 1$ if $p < 0$ and $\delta := 0$ if $p \in [0, 1)$. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain, $0 \notin G$. Observe that equation (1) has a positive supersolution in G if and only if the equation

$$(6) \quad -\Delta w = \frac{c}{|x|^s}(w + \delta)^p \quad \text{in } G$$

has a positive supersolution. Indeed, if $u > 0$ is a supersolution to (1) in G then u is a supersolution to (6). If $w > 0$ is a supersolution to (6) then $u = w + \delta$ is a supersolution to (1). The main argument of the proof nonexistence rests upon the following two lemmas.

The next lemma is an adaptation a comparison principle by Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami [1, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain, $0 \notin G$. Let $0 \leq \underline{w} \in H_0^1(G)$ be a subsolution and $0 \leq \bar{w} \in H_{loc}^1(G)$ a supersolution to (6). Then $\underline{w} \leq \bar{w}$ in G .*

Proof. In [1, Lemma 3.3] the result was proved for a smooth bounded domain G and $\underline{w}, \bar{w} \in H_0^1(G)$ (and more general nonlinearities). The proof given in [1] carries over literally to the case of an arbitrary bounded domain G and $\underline{w}, \bar{w} \in H_0^1(G)$, or a smooth bounded domain G , $\underline{w} \in H_0^1(G)$ and $0 \leq \bar{w} \in H^1(G)$. Thus we only need to extend the lemma to an arbitrary bounded domain G and $\bar{w} \in H_{loc}^1(G)$.

Let $\bar{w} \in H_{loc}^1(G)$ be a supersolution to (6) in G . Let $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an exhaustion of G , that is a sequence of bounded smooth domains such that $\bar{G}_n \subset G_{n+1} \subset G$ and $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n = G$. Analogously to the argument given above in the existence part of the proof, one can readily see that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $0 < w_n \in H_0^1(G_n)$ to (6) (e.g., by constructing appropriate sub and supersolutions). Moreover, $w_n \leq w_{n+1}$. Observe that $w_n \leq \bar{w}$ in G_n by [1, Lemma 3.3].

We claim that $\sup \|\nabla w_n\|_{L^2} < \infty$. This is clear for $p < 0$, since $(w + 1)^p \leq 1$. For $p \in [0, 1)$, using w_n as a test function in (6), we have

$$\int_G |\nabla w_n|^2 dx = \int_G \frac{c}{|x|^s} w_n^{p+1} dx \leq c_1 \left(\int_G |\nabla w_n|^2 dx \right)^{(p+1)/2},$$

which implies the claim. It follows that w_n converges pointwise in G , strongly in $L^2(G)$ and weakly in $H_0^1(G)$ to a positive $w_* \in H_0^1(G)$. Clearly $w_* > 0$ is a solution to (6) in G and $0 < w_* \leq \bar{w}$ in G .

Now let $0 \leq \underline{w} \in H_0^1(G)$ be a subsolution to (6) in G . By [1, Lemma 3.3] we conclude that $\underline{w} \leq w_*$ in G . \square

Next, consider the initial value problem

$$(7) \quad -v_{rr} - \frac{N-1}{r}v_r + \frac{\lambda_1}{r^2}v = \frac{c}{r^s}v^p \quad \text{for } r > 1; \quad v(1) = \delta, \quad v_r(1) = K;$$

where $p < 1$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $c > 0$, $K > 1$ and δ as above. Let $(1, R)$, $R = R(\delta, K) \leq \infty$, be the maximal right interval of existence of the solution v to (7) in the region $\{(r, v) \in (1, +\infty) \times (\delta, +\infty)\}$.

Lemma 4. *Let $s < 2$ and $p \in [1 - \frac{2-s}{\alpha_+}, 1)$. Then for any interval $[r_*, r^*] \subset (1, +\infty)$ there exists $K_0 > 1$ such that*

i) *for all $K > K_0$ one has $r^* < R < +\infty$ and $v(r) \rightarrow \delta$ as $r \nearrow R$;*

ii) *for any $M > \delta$ there exists $K > K_0$ such that $\min_{[r_*, r^*]} v \geq M$.*

Proof. Set $\alpha := \alpha_+$, $v := wr^\alpha$, $t = r^{2-N-2\alpha}$. Then w solves the following problem

$$w_{tt} + c_1 t^{-\sigma} w^p = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (T, 1); \quad w(1) = \delta, \quad w_t(1) = -L,$$

where $\sigma = \frac{2N-2+\alpha(p+3)-s}{N-2+2\alpha} \geq 2$, $c_1 > 0$, $0 \leq T = R^{2-N-2\alpha} < 1$ and $L = \frac{K-\alpha\delta}{N-2+2\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ as $K \rightarrow \infty$. Choose K_0 such that $L > \delta$. Observe that $w(t)$ is concave, hence

$$\delta < w(t) \leq w(1) - w_t(1)(1-t) \leq \delta + L \quad \text{for } t \in (T, 1).$$

To see that $T > 0$ let $\tilde{w} := w$ for $p < 0$, otherwise let $\tilde{w} := w^{1-p}$. Then \tilde{w} satisfies the inequality

$$\tilde{w}_{tt} + c_2 t^{-2} \tilde{w}^q \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (T, 1),$$

with $c_2 > 0$ and $q := \min\{p, 0\}$. Integrating \tilde{w}_{tt} twice one can easily see that such inequality has no positive solutions in any neighborhood of zero. Thus we conclude that $T > 0$, hence $w(t) \rightarrow \delta$ as $t \searrow T$. In particular, $w(t)$ attains its maximum on $(T, 1)$.

Let $T_0 \in (T, 1)$ be such that $w_t(T_0) = -\frac{L-\delta}{2}$. Since $\delta \leq w(t) \leq \delta + L$ for $t \in (T_0, 1)$, it follows that

$$\frac{L+\delta}{2} = w_t(T_0) - w_t(1) = - \int_{T_0}^1 w_{tt} d\tau = c_1 \int_{T_0}^1 \frac{w^p}{\tau^\sigma} d\tau \leq c_3 \left(\frac{1}{T_0^{\sigma-1}} - 1 \right) \quad \text{for } t \in (T_0, 1).$$

Hence $T_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $L \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore for any given $t^* < 1$ there exists $L_0 > 1$ such that for any $L > L_0$ one has $0 < T < T_0 < t^*$. Thus, (i) follows with $r^* = (t^*)^{\frac{1}{N-2+2\alpha}}$.

Observe now that for any $L > L_0$ we have

$$-\frac{L - \delta}{2} \geq w_t(t) \geq -L \quad \text{for } t \in (t^*, 1),$$

since w is concave. Hence for any $t \in (t^*, 1)$ we obtain

$$w(t) = w(1) - \int_t^1 w_t d\tau \geq \delta + (1 - t) \frac{L - \delta}{2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus (ii) follows. □

Nonexistence – completed. Let $p \in [1 - \frac{2-s}{\alpha_+}, 1)$. Fix a compact $K \subset \mathcal{C}_\Omega^1$ and $M > 1$. There exists an interval $[r_*, r^*] \subset (1, +\infty)$ such that $K \subset \mathcal{C}_\Omega^{(r_*, r^*)}$, where $\mathcal{C}_\Omega^{(r_1, r_2)}$ denotes the set $\{x \in \mathcal{C}_\Omega^1 \mid r_1 \leq |x| \leq r_2\}$. Then by Lemma 4 there exists $v : (1, R) \rightarrow (\delta, +\infty)$ solving (7) such that $R > r^*$ and $\inf_{[r_*, r^*]} v \geq M + \delta$.

Let $\phi_1 > 0$ be the principal Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta_\omega$ on Ω with $\|\phi_1\|_\infty = 1$. Set $w_M := (v - \delta)\phi_1$. Then $0 < w_M \in H_0^1(\mathcal{C}_\Omega^{(1, R)})$, and direct computation shows that w_M is a subsolution to (6) in $\mathcal{C}_\Omega^{(1, R)}$. Now assume that $w > 0$ is a supersolution to (6) in \mathcal{C}_Ω^1 . By Lemma 3 it follows that that $w \geq w_M$ in $\mathcal{C}_\Omega^{(1, R)}$. By the weak Harnack inequality we have

$$\inf_K w \geq c_H \int_K w dx \geq c_H \int_K w_M dx \geq c_2 M.$$

Since M was arbitrary, we conclude that $w \equiv +\infty$ in K . □

Acknowledgement. The support of the MFI Oberwolfach, Nuffield Foundation and Institute of Advanced Studies of Bristol University is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI, H. BREZIS AND G. CERAMI, *Combined effect of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*. J. Funct. Anal. **122** (1994), 519–543.
- [2] C. BANDLE AND M. ESSÉN, *On positive solutions of Emden equations in cone-like domains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **112** (1990), 319–338.
- [3] C. BANDLE AND H. A. LEVINE, *On the existence and nonexistence of global solutions of reaction–diffusion equations in sectorial domains*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989), 595–622.
- [4] H. BERESTYCKI, I. CAPUZZO-DOLCETTA AND L. NIRENBERG, *Superlinear indefinite elliptic problems and nonlinear Liouville theorems*. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **4** (1994), 59–78.
- [5] H. BREZIS AND S. KAMIN, *Sublinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Manuscripta Math. **74** (1992), 87–106.
- [6] V. KONDRATIEV, V. LISKEVICH AND V. MOROZ, *Positive solutions to superlinear second–order divergence type elliptic equations in cone–like domains*, Preprint, 2003.
- [7] V. KONDRATIEV, V. LISKEVICH AND Z. SOBOL, *Second–order semilinear elliptic inequalities in exterior domains*, J. Differential Equations **187** (2003), 429–455.