MULTIPLIER IDEALS AND FILTERED D-MODULES

NERO BUDUR

ABSTRACT. We give a Hodge-theoretic interpretation of the multiplier ideal of an effective divisor on a smooth complex variety. More precisely, we show that the associated graded coherent sheaf with respect to the jumping-number filtration can be recovered from the smallest piece of M. Saito's Hodge filtration of the \mathcal{D} -module of vanishing cycles.

1. Introduction

Let D be an effective \mathbf{Q} -divisor on a nonsingular complex variety X of dimension n. The multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(D)$ is a subsheaf of ideals of \mathcal{O}_X and measures in a subtle way the singularities of D, see [La01]. The singularities of D get "worse" if $\mathcal{J}(D)$ is smaller. The main goal of this note is to give a Hodge-theoretic interpretation of multiplier ideals. That such an interpretation is possible was hinted by [Bu03] where we proved a local relation at a point $x \in X$ between $\mathcal{J}(D)$ and the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology the Milnor fiber of an integral divisor D at x.

The natural setting for our result is the theory of mixed Hodge modules due to M. Saito ([Sa88], [Sa90]). Since we restrict our attention to the Hodge filtration only and disregard the weight filtration and the rational structure, we end up working with filtered \mathcal{D}_X -modules (M, F). Here \mathcal{D}_X is the sheaf of non-commutative rings of linear algebraic differential operators (see [Bo87]). The Hodge filtration F is always assumed here to be increasing. By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, M corresponds to a perverse sheaf on X. For example, the trivial mixed Hodge module $\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$ is represented by the filtered left \mathcal{D}_X -module (\mathcal{O}_X, F) , where $\operatorname{Gr}_p^F = 0$ for $p \neq 0$. The corresponding perverse sheaf is the shifted trivial complex $\mathbf{Q}_X[n]$.

For a non-constant regular function $f: X \to \mathbf{C}$, one has the vanishing cycles functor ψ_f which can be defined on the abelian category of mixed Hodge modules. By definition, ψ_f corresponds to ${}^p\psi_f = \psi_f[-1]$ on the category of perverse sheaves on X. For $\alpha \in (0,1] \cap \mathbf{Q}$, let $\psi_f^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X$

Date: May 15, 2003.

correspond to the eigenspace of the semisimple part of monodromy for the eigenvalue $\exp(-2\pi i\alpha)$. M. Saito's theory provides us with a canonical filtration F on $\psi_f^{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_X$ (for definitions, see the introduction of [Sa88]).

Theorem. Let X be a smooth complex variety of dimension n. Let $f: X \to \mathbf{C}$ be a non-constant regular function and $D = f^{-1}(0)$ the corresponding effective divisor. Then for $\alpha \in (0,1]$,

(1)
$$\frac{\mathcal{J}((\alpha - \epsilon) \cdot D)}{\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)} = F_1 \psi_f^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X,$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$.

Here, F_1 is the smallest piece of the Hodge filtration of the left \mathcal{D}_X module $\psi_f \mathcal{O}_X$. The values $\alpha \in (0,1]$ for which the left-hand side of
(1) is nonzero are called jumping numbers (see [Bu03], [La01], [ELSV]).
The values $\alpha \in (0,1]$ for which the right-hand side of (1) is nonzero were
considered in [Sa93]. Thus the Theorem answers a question in [ELSV]
regarding the relation between the two sets of values and reproves their
theorem that the jumping numbers of D are roots of the Bernstein-Sato
polynomial of f up to a sign.

I thank Professor L. Ein for several discussions and comments on this work.

Acknowledgement. Professor M. Saito has also proved the Theorem of this note, see [Sa03]. Moreover, he proves that the multiplier ideals $\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)$ give the V-filtration of Malgrange and Kashiwara corresponding to f on the left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{O}_X .

2. Proof of the Theorem

We used left \mathcal{D} -modules only for the introduction. We will work, as our references do, with right \mathcal{D}_X -modules. The trivial right \mathcal{D}_X -module is $\omega_X = \bigwedge^n \Omega^1_X$, the sheaf of regular n-forms. Locally, the action of a vector field ξ on $w \in \omega_X$ is given by $w\xi = -\text{Lie}_{\xi}w$, the Lie derivative. $\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$ is represented by the filtered right \mathcal{D}_X -module (ω_X, F) , where $\text{Gr}_p^F = 0$ for $p \neq -n$. In general, the transformation from left to right \mathcal{D}_X -modules is given by

$$(M, F) \mapsto (\omega_X \otimes M, F_p = \omega_X \otimes F_{p+n}),$$

 $(w \otimes u)\xi = w\xi \otimes u - w \otimes \xi u.$

Hence (1) is equivalent to

(2)
$$\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \frac{\mathcal{J}((\alpha - \epsilon) \cdot D)}{\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)} = F_{1-n} \psi_f^{\alpha} \omega_X.$$

Let $\mu: Y \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, D). Recall that the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)$ is defined for all $\alpha > 0$ by

$$\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y (K_{Y/X} - \lfloor \alpha \cdot \mu^* D \rfloor),$$

where $K_{Y/X} = K_Y - \mu^* K_X$ and \square means rounding down the coefficients in a **Q**-divisor. Put

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(X,D) = \frac{\mathcal{J}((\alpha - \epsilon) \cdot D)}{\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)},$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Then $\mathcal{J}(\alpha \cdot D)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(X, D)$ are independent of the choice of μ and

(3)
$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(X,D) = \mu_* \left(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X}) \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(Y,\mu^*D) \right).$$

Put $g = f \circ \mu$. Let $\mathcal{M} = (\omega_Y, F)$ be the filtered \mathcal{D}_Y -module with $Gr_p^F = 0$ for $p \neq -n$. By Theorem 2.14 of [Sa90], for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\psi_f^{\alpha} H^j \mu_* \mathcal{M} = H^j \mu_* \psi_g^{\alpha} \mathcal{M},$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Here $\mu_* : D^b MHM(Y) \to D^b MHM(X)$ is the direct image functor on the bounded derived categories of mixed Hodge modules (we care only about the complexes of filtered \mathcal{D} -modules), and H^j is the j-th cohomology of a complex. In particular, we have an equality of filtered \mathcal{D}_X -modules

(4)
$$\psi_f^{\alpha} H^0 \mu_* \mathcal{M} = H^0 \mu_* \psi_q^{\alpha} \mathcal{M}.$$

We will show that (2), hence the Theorem, follows by taking F_{1-n} of both sides of (4).

Lemma 2.1. $F_{1-n}\psi_f^{\alpha}H^0\mu_*\mathcal{M} = F_{1-n}\psi_f^{\alpha}(\omega_X, F), \text{ for } \alpha \in (0, 1].$

Proof. Follows from
$$H^0\mu_*\mathbf{Q}_Y^H[n] = \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$$
.

Lemma 2.2. $F_{1-n}H^0\mu_*\psi_g^\alpha\mathcal{M}=\omega_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{K}_\alpha(X,D), \text{ for }\alpha\in(0,1].$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\mu_*(M, F)$. Let $i_{\mu}: Y \to Y \times X$ be the graph of μ . Let $p: Y \times X \to X$ be the natural projection. Then

$$\mu_*(M, F) = Rp.DR_{X \times Y/X}(i_\mu)_*(M, F).$$

where Rp_{\cdot} is the usual derived direct image for sheaves. We put from now $p_{\cdot} = H^0(Rp_{\cdot})$ for the usual direct image of sheaves. Recall that $DR_{X \times Y/X}(M', F)$ is defined by

$$F_p DR_{X\times Y/X}(M',F) = \left[F_{p-n}M'\otimes \bigwedge^n \Theta_Y \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow F_p M'\right],$$

where F_pM' sits in degree zero in the last complex, and $\Theta_Y = (\Omega_Y^1)^{\vee}$. The definition of $(i_{\mu})_*(M, F) = (M', F)$ is the same as for \mathcal{D}_Y -modules, and all we need to know about the Hodge filtration is that $F_qM'=(i_\mu).F_qM$ if $q=\min\{\;p\mid F_pM\neq 0\;\}$. In this case, also $q=\min\{\;p\mid F_pM'\neq 0\;\}$.

If $(M, F) = \psi_g^{\alpha}(\omega_Y, F)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is such that $M \neq 0$, then q = 1 - n. Hence,

$$F_{1-n}H^0\mu_*\psi_g^{\alpha}(M,F) = p_{\cdot}(i_{\mu})_{\cdot}F_{1-n}M$$

= $\mu_{\cdot}F_{1-n}M$,

where the last μ is the usual sheaf direct image. By Lemma 2.3, $F_{1-n}M = \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(Y, \mu^*D)$. By (3), this proves the claim.

Lemma 2.3. The Theorem is true if D is a simple normal crossing divisor.

Proof. By definition, for $\alpha \in (0,1]$,

$$\psi_f^{\alpha}\omega_X = \operatorname{Gr}_V^{\alpha}(i_f)_*\omega_X,$$

where $i_f: X \to X \times \mathbf{C}$ is the graph of f, $(i_f)_*$ is the direct image for (filtered) \mathcal{D}_X -modules, and V is the decreasing filtration of Malgrange and Kashiwara. The Hodge filtration on ψ_f^{α} is the filtration F[1], where F is induced by $(i_f)_*$. Here $F[i]_p = F_{p-i}$. In particular,

$$F_{1-n}\psi_f^{\alpha}\omega_X = F_{-n}\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\alpha}(i_f)_*\omega_X.$$

By Proposition 3.5-(3.5.1) of [Sa90] applied to $(\omega_X, F[n])$, one has that

$$F_{-n}V^{\alpha}(i_f)_*\omega_X = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor (\alpha - \epsilon) \cdot D \rfloor)$$

= $\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{J}((\alpha - \epsilon) \cdot D),$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Indeed, to apply that Proposition one only has to check locally, where X has coordinates x_1, \ldots, x_n , that $\omega(x_i \partial_i + 1) = 0$, for $\omega = dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n$, and $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$. This follows from $\text{Lie}_{\partial_i}(x_i \omega) = \omega$. Hence it gives $\mu = (-1, \ldots, -1)$ in the above-mentioned Proposition.

References

- [Bo87] BOREL, A.; GRIVEL, P.; KAUP, B; HAEFLIGER, A.; MALGRANGE, B., EHLERS, F., Algebraic D-modules, vol. 2 of Perspectives in Mathematics. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA (1987).
- [Bu03] Budur, N., On Hodge spectrum and multiplier ideals, to appear in Math. Ann. (2003).
- [ELSV] EIN, L.; LAZARSFELD, R.; SMITH, K.E.; VAROLIN, D., Jumping coefficients of multiplier ideals, preprint (2003).
- [La01] LAZARSFELD, R., Positivity in Algebraic Geometry, preliminary draft (2001).
- [Sa03] Saito, M. Multiplier ideals, V-filtration and spectrum, preprint (2003).

- [Sa93] Saito, M. On b-function, spectrum and rational singularity, Math. Ann. 295, 51–74 (1993).
- [Sa90] SAITO M., *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 26, no. 2, 221–333 (1990).
- [Sa88] SAITO M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24, no. 6, 849–995 (1988).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO 851 SOUTH MORGAN STREET (M/C 249), CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA $E\text{-}mail\ address$: nero@math.uic.edu