

# PARABOLIC CHARACTER SHEAVES, II

G. LUSZTIG

*Dedicated to Boris Feigin on the occasion of his 50th birthday*

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $Z$  be the algebraic variety consisting of all triples  $(P, P', U_{P'}gU_P)$  where  $P, P'$  run through some fixed conjugacy classes of parabolics in  $G$  and  $g$  is an element of  $G$  that conjugates  $P$  to a parabolic in a fixed "good" relative position  $y$  with  $P'$  (here  $U_P, U_{P'}$  are the unipotent radicals of  $P, P'$ ). The varieties  $Z$  include more or less as a special case the boundary pieces of the De Concini-Procesi completion  $\bar{G}$  of  $G$  (assumed to be adjoint). They also include as a special case the varieties studied in the first part of this series [L9] (where  $y = 1$  that is,  $gPg^{-1} = P'$ ). In this special case a theory of "character sheaves" on  $Z$  was developed in [L9]. In the present paper we extend the theory of character sheaves to a general  $Z$ .

We now review the content of this paper in more detail. (The numbering of sections continues that of [L9]; we also follow the notation of [L9].)

In Section 8 we introduce a partition of  $Z$  similar to that in [L9]; as in [L9], it is based on the combinatorics in Section 2. But whereas in [L9] the combinatorics needed is covered by the results in [B], for the present paper we actually need the slight generalization of [B] given in Section 2. Now, it is not obvious that the partition of  $Z$  defined in Section 8 reduces for  $y = 1$  to that in Section 3; this needs an argument that is given in Section 9. In Section 10 we consider the example where  $G$  is a general linear group. In Section 11 we define the "parabolic character sheaves" on  $Z$ . As in the case  $y = 1$  (Section 4), we give two definitions for these; one uses the partition in Section 8 and allows us to enumerate the parabolic character sheaves; the other one imitates the definition of character sheaves in [L3]. (The two definitions are equivalent by 11.15 and 11.18.) The theory of character sheaves in Section 11 generalizes that in Section 4 (this is seen from the second definition). A consequence of the coincidence of the two definitions of parabolic character sheaves on  $Z$  is that a statement like 0.1(a) (concerning characteristic functions over a finite field) continues to hold in the generality of this paper. In

---

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

Section 12 we define the notion of character sheaf on the completion  $\bar{G}$ . We again have two definitions; one is based on the partition in Section 8, and the second one is reminiscent of the definition of character sheaves in [L3]. We expect that these two definitions coincide, but we cannot prove this; if this was true, we would have an analogue of 0.1(a) for  $\bar{G}$  over a finite field. Our results also provide a finite partition of  $\bar{G}$  into  $G$ -stable pieces (a refinement of the usual partition of  $\bar{G}$  into  $G \times G$ -orbits) which allows us to give an explicit description of the set of  $G$ -conjugacy classes in  $\bar{G}$  (see 12.3(a)).

## CONTENTS

8. The variety  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  and its partition.
9. Comparison of two partitions.
10. Example.
11. Parabolic character sheaves on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$ .
12. Completion.

### 8. THE VARIETY $Z_{J,y,\delta}$ AND ITS PARTITION

**8.1.** We preserve the setup of 3.1. (Thus,  $\hat{G}$  is a possibly disconnected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbf{k}$  with identity component  $G$  and  $G^1$  is a fixed connected component of  $\hat{G}$ . Also  $W, I$  is the Weyl group of  $G$  and  $\delta : W \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ .) Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q \in \mathcal{P}_K, u = \text{pos}(P, Q)$ . We have

$$(a) \quad \dim((U_P \cap U_Q) \backslash U_P) = l(u) + \nu_J - \nu_{J \cap \text{Ad}(u)K}.$$

Here  $\nu_J$  is the number of reflections in  $W_J$ .

**8.2.** Let  $P, P'$  be two parabolics of  $G$ . The following hold.

- (a)  $P^{P'}, P'^P$  are in good position and  $\text{pos}(P, P') = \text{pos}(P^{P'}, P'^P)$ ;
- (b) if  $B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset P^{P'}$  then for some  $B' \in \mathcal{B}, B' \subset P'$  we have  $\text{pos}(B, B') = \text{pos}(P, P')$ .

To prove (b) we may replace  $P, P'$  by  $P^{P'}, P'^P$ . It suffices to prove: if  $P, P'$  are in good position and  $B \subset P$ , then for some  $B' \subset P'$  we have  $\text{pos}(B, B') = \text{pos}(P, P')$ .

**8.3.** If  $P, Q$  are parabolics in good position, we have a bijection

$$\{\text{parabolics contained in } P\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{parabolics contained in } Q\}$$

given by  $P' \leftrightarrow Q', Q' = Q^{P'}, P' = P^{Q'}$ . (Then  $P', Q'$  are in good position and  $\text{pos}(P', Q') = \text{pos}(P, Q)$ .)

**Lemma 8.4.** *Let  $P, Q, R$  be parabolics with a common Levi  $L$ . Then  $\text{pos}(P, Q)\text{pos}(Q, R) = \text{pos}(P, R)$ .*

Let  $\beta$  be a Borel of  $L$ . Then  $B = U_P\beta, B' = U_Q\beta, B'' = U_R\beta$  are Borels of  $P, Q, R$  respectively and we have

$$\text{pos}(P, Q) = \text{pos}(B, B'), \text{pos}(Q, R) = \text{pos}(B', B''), \text{pos}(P, R) = \text{pos}(B, B'').$$

It suffices to show that  $\text{pos}(B, B')\text{pos}(B', B'') = \text{pos}(B, B'')$ . This holds since  $B, B', B''$  contain  $\beta$  hence have a common maximal torus.

**Lemma 8.5.** *Let  $P, Q, R$  be parabolics with a common Levi  $L$ ; let  $P', Q', R'$  be parabolics with a common Levi  $L'$ . Assume that  $\text{pos}(P, Q) = \text{pos}(P', Q')$ ,  $\text{pos}(Q, R) = \text{pos}(Q', R')$ . Assume also that  $P, P'$  have the same type;  $Q, Q'$  have the same type;  $R, R'$  have the same type. Then there exists  $x \in G$  that conjugates  $P, Q, R$  to  $P', Q', R'$ .*

Clearly, we can assume that  $P = P', Q = Q', L = L'$ . Then we use the following fact: if  $Q, R, R'$  are parabolics with a common Levi  $L$  such that  $\text{pos}(Q, R) = \text{pos}(Q, R')$  then  $R = R'$ . (This can be reduced to the case where  $Q, R, R'$  are Borels, which is clear.)

**Lemma 8.6.** *Let  $Q, Q'$  be parabolics with a common Levi. Then  $Q \cap U_{Q'} = U_Q \cap U_{Q'}$ .*

It suffices to show that  $\text{Lie } Q \cap \text{Lie } U_{Q'} = \text{Lie } U_Q \cap \text{Lie } U_{Q'}$ . Let  $L$  be a common Levi of  $Q, Q'$ . Consider the weight decomposition  $\text{Lie } G = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \text{Lie } G_{\alpha}$  with respect to the connected centre of  $L$ . Then  $\text{Lie } G_0 = \text{Lie } L$  and  $\text{Lie } U_Q, \text{Lie } U_{Q'}$  are direct sums of various  $\text{Lie } G_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $x \in \text{Lie } Q \cap \text{Lie } U_{Q'}$ . We have  $x = x_0 + x'$  with  $x_0 \in \text{Lie } L$  and  $x' \in \text{Lie } U_Q$  is in  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} \text{Lie } G_{\alpha}$ . Since  $x \in \text{Lie } U_{Q'}$ , we have  $x \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} \text{Lie } G_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x \in \text{Lie } U_Q$ . Thus,  $\text{Lie } Q \cap \text{Lie } U_{Q'} \subset \text{Lie } U_Q \cap \text{Lie } U_{Q'}$ . The reverse inclusion is obvious.

**Lemma 8.7.** *Let  $P, P', Q$  be parabolics such that  $\text{pos}(P', P) = z$ . Assume that  $\text{pos}(P', Q) = y$ , that  $P', Q$  are in good position and that  $Q$  contains a Levi of  $P \cap P'$ . Let  $Q' = Q^{(P'P)}$ . Then*

- (a)  $\text{pos}(P^{P'}, P'^P) = z^{-1}$ .
- (b)  $\text{pos}(P'^P, Q') = y$ .
- (c)  $\text{pos}(P^{P'}, Q') = z^{-1}y$  and  $P^{P'}, Q'$  are in good position.

(a) follows from 8.2; (b) follows from 8.3. We prove (c). Let  $L_0$  be a common Levi of  $P^{P'}, P'^P$  that is contained in  $Q$ . Then  $P^{P'}, P'^P, Q'$  have a common Levi  $L_0$ . By Lemma 8.4 we have  $\text{pos}(P^{P'}, Q') = \text{pos}(P^{P'}, P'^P)\text{pos}(P'^P, Q') = z^{-1}y$  as required.

**8.8.** Let  $J, J' \subset I$  and  $y \in W$  be such that  $\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J) = J', y \in {}^{J'}W^{\delta(J)}$ . For  $P, P'$  in  $\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  let

$$A_y(P, P') = \{g \in G^1; \text{pos}(P', {}^gP) = y\},$$

$$A'_y(P, P') = \{g \in A_y(P, P'); {}^gP \text{ contains some Levi of } P \cap P'\}.$$

**Lemma 8.9.** (a)  $A_y(P, P')$  is a single  $P', P$  double coset and also a single  $P', U_P$  double coset.

(b)  $A_y(P, P') = U_{P'}A'_y(P, P')$ .

(c)  $A'_y(P, P')$  is a single  $(P \cap P'), P$  double coset and also a single  $U_{P \cap P'}, P$  double coset.

We prove (a). We can find  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$  such that  $\text{pos}(P', Q) = y$ ; moreover, we can find  $g \in G^1$  such that  ${}^gP = Q$ . Thus  $A_y(P, P') \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $g, g' \in A_y(P, P')$ .

Clearly,  $g' = xgp$  with  $x \in P', p \in P$ . Now  ${}^gP, P'$  are in good position; let  $L$  be a common Levi of them. Since  ${}^{g^{-1}}L$  is a Levi of  $P$ , we have  $p \in {}^{g^{-1}}LU_P$ . Thus  $p = g^{-1}lgu$  with  $l \in L, u \in U_P$  and  $g' = xgp = xlg u \in P'gU_P$ .

We prove (b). Let  $g \in A_y(P, P')$ . Let  $L_0$  be a Levi of  $P \cap P'$ . Then  $L_0$  is contained in a Levi  $L_1$  of  $P'$ . Let  $L_2$  be a common Levi of  $P', {}^gP$ . Then  $L_1 = {}^uL_2$  for some  $u \in U_{P'}$ . We have  ${}^u{}^gP = {}^u({}^gP) \supset {}^uL_2 = L_1 \supset L_0$  hence  $ug \in A'_y(P, P')$ .

We prove (c). Let  $g, g' \in A'_y(P, P')$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^{P'}, P'^{P'}, ({}^gP)^{(P'^{P'})} &\text{ have a common Levi;} \\ P^{P'}, P'^{P'}, ({}^{g'}P)^{(P'^{P'})} &\text{ have a common Levi;} \\ \text{pos}(P'^{P'}, ({}^gP)^{(P'^{P'})}) &= \text{pos}(P'^{P'}, ({}^{g'}P)^{(P'^{P'})}) = y. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8.5, there exists  $x \in G$  which conjugates

$$P^{P'}, P'^{P'}, ({}^gP)^{(P'^{P'})} \text{ to } P^{P'}, P'^{P'}, ({}^{g'}P)^{(P'^{P'})}.$$

Then  $x \in P^{P'} \cap P'^{P'} = P \cap P'$  and  $x$  conjugates  ${}^gP$  to  ${}^{g'}P$ , since  ${}^gP$  to  ${}^{g'}P$  are parabolics of type  $\delta(J)$  containing  $({}^gP)^{(P'^{P'})}, ({}^{g'}P)^{(P'^{P'})}$ . Hence  $xg \in {}^{g'}P$  that is  $g' \in xgP$ . Let  $M$  be a Levi of  $P \cap P'$  with  $M \subset {}^gP$ . We can write  $x = vm$  with  $v \in U_{P \cap P'}, m \in M$ . Then  $g^{-1}mg \in P$ ,  $xgP = vmgP = vgg^{-1}mgP = vgP$ . The lemma is proved.

**8.10.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  be such that  $\text{pos}(P', P) = z$ . Let

$$J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(y^{-1}z)J, J'_1 = J \cap \text{Ad}(z^{-1}y)\delta(J).$$

Then  $\text{Ad}(z^{-1}y)\delta(J_1) = J'_1$ . Let  $g \in A'_y(P, P')$ . We set

$$P_1 = g^{-1}({}^gP)^{(P'^{P'})}g, \quad P'_1 = P'^{P'}, \quad (P_1, P'_1) = \alpha(P, P', g).$$

We have  $P_1 \subset P, P'_1 \subset P$  and, by Lemma 8.7,  $P'_1, {}^gP_1$  are in good position,

$$P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{J_1}, P'_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_1}, \quad \text{pos}(P'_1, {}^gP_1) = z^{-1}y.$$

(We have also  $\text{pos}(P'_1, P'^{P'}) = z^{-1}, \text{pos}(P'^{P'}, {}^gP_1) = y$ ). Thus,  $z^{-1}y \in J'_1W^{\delta(J_1)}$  and  $g \in A_{z^{-1}y}(P_1, P'_1)$ .

**Lemma 8.11.** Let  $g, g' \in A'_y(P, P'), u' \in U_{P'}, u \in U_P$  with  $g' = u'gu$ . Then

- (a)  $g' = u'_1gu_1$  with  $u'_1 \in U_{P'_1}, u_1 \in U_{P_1}$ ;
- (b) we have  $\alpha(P, P', g') = (P_1, P'_1)$ .

We prove (a). Since  $P_1 \subset P$  we have  $U_P \subset U_{P_1}$ . Hence we may assume that  $u = 1$ . By Lemma 8.9(c) we have  $g' = vgp$  with  $v \in U_{P \cap P'}, p \in P$ . Thus  $g' = vgp = u'g$ . Hence  $v^{-1}u' = gpg^{-1}$ . Now  $v^{-1}u' \in U_{P \cap P'}U_{P'} \subset U_{P'^{P'}}$ . Thus  $v^{-1}u' \in U_{P'^{P'}} \cap {}^gP$ . We have

$$U_{P'^{P'}} \cap {}^gP = U_{P'^{P'}} \cap {}^gP_1 = U_{P'^{P'}} \cap U_{gP_1}.$$

(In general, if  $R, S$  are parabolics in good position and  $R' \subset R, S' = S^{R'}$ , then  $U_{R'} \cap S = U_{R'} \cap S'$ . Indeed,  $S' = (R' \cap S)U_S$  hence  $U_{R'} \cap S \subset S'$ . Since  $R', S'$  are in good position, we have  $U_{R'} \cap S' = U_{R'} \cap U_{S'}$  by Lemma 8.6.) Thus,  $gpg^{-1} = v^{-1}u' \in U_{gP_1}$  so that  $p \in U_{P_1}$ . Now  $v \in U_{P \cap P'} \subset U_{P^{P'}} = U_{P'_1}$ . We see that  $g' = vgp$  with  $v \in U_{P'_1}, p \in U_{P_1}$ . This proves (a).

We prove (b). We must show that

$$u^{-1}g^{-1}u'^{-1}(u'guP)^{(P'^P)}u'gu = g^{-1}(gP)^{(P'^P)}g$$

or that  $u^{-1}g^{-1}(gP)^{(P'^P)}gu = g^{-1}(gP)^{(P'^P)}g$ . This holds since  $g^{-1}(gP)^{(P'^P)}g$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $P$  hence it contains  $u$ .

**Lemma 8.12.** *Let  $g, g' \in A'_y(P, P')$ . Assume that  $\alpha(P, P', g) = \alpha(P, P', g') = (P_1, P'_1)$  and that  $g' \in U_{P'_1}gU_{P_1}$ . Then there exist  $x \in U_P \cap P', w' \in U_{P'}, w \in U_P$  such that  $g' = w'xgw$ .*

By Lemma 8.9(c) we have  $g' = vgp$  with  $v \in U_{P \cap P'}, p \in P$ . By assumption,  $p^{-1}g^{-1}v^{-1}(vgpP)^{(P'^P)}vgp = g^{-1}(gP)^{(P'^P)}g$  that is  $p^{-1}P_1 = P_1$ , or  $p \in P_1$ . Also  $g' = u'gu$  with  $u' \in U_{P'_1}, u \in U_{P_1}$ . Thus,  $g' = vgp = u'gu$ . Setting  $\pi = pu^{-1} \in P_1$  we have  $vg\pi = u'g$  and  $v^{-1}u' = g\pi$ . Now  $v^{-1}u' \in U_{P \cap P'}U_{P^{P'}} = U_{P^{P'}}$ . Thus  $v^{-1}u' \in U_{P^{P'}} \cap {}^gP_1$ . Since  $P^{P'}, {}^gP_1$  are in good position, we have  $v^{-1}u' \in U_{P^{P'}} \cap U_{gP_1}$ . Thus  $g\pi \in U_{gP_1}$  and  $\pi \in U_{P_1}$ . Since  $u \in U_{P_1}$ , we have  $p \in U_{P_1}$ . Thus  $g' \in U_{P \cap P'}gU_{P_1} = U_{P \cap P'}U_{gP_1}g$ . Now  ${}^gP_1 = (gP)^{(P'^P)}$  hence  $U_{gP_1} = (gP \cap U_{P^{P'}})U_{gP}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} g' &\in U_{P \cap P'}(gP \cap U_{P^{P'}})U_{gP}g = U_{P \cap P'}(gP \cap U_{P^{P'}})gU_P \subset U_{P^{P'}}gU_P \\ &= U_{P'}(U_P \cap P')gU_P. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $g' = w'xgw$  with  $w' \in U_{P'}, x \in P' \cap U_P, w \in U_P$ , as desired.

**8.13.** We fix  $z \in {}^JW^J$ . Let  $J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(y^{-1}z)J, J'_1 = J \cap \text{Ad}(z^{-1}y)\delta(J)$ , so that  $\text{Ad}(z^{-1}y)\delta(J_1) = J'_1$ . Let  $Q, Q'$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{J_1}, \mathcal{P}_{J'_1}$  be such that  $\text{pos}(Q', Q) \in W_J$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  be a  $U_{Q'}, U_Q$  double coset in  $A_{z^{-1}y}(Q, Q')$ . Let  $F'$  be the set of all  $(P, P', g)$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \text{pos}(P', P) = z, g \in A'_y(P, P')$  such that  $\alpha(P, P', g) = (Q, Q')$  and  $g \in \gamma_1$ . (Since  $Q' \subset P, P$  is uniquely determined.) Let  $F$  be the set of all  $(P, P', \gamma)$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \text{pos}(P', P) = z, \gamma \in U_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P')/U_P$  such that for some/any  $g \in \gamma \cap A'_y(P, P')$  we have  $\alpha(P, P', g) = (Q, Q')$  and  $g \in \gamma_1$ . (The equivalence of "some/any" follows from Lemma 8.11.) Again,  $P$  is uniquely determined. The map  $F' \rightarrow F, (P, P', g) \mapsto (P, P', U_{P'}gU_P)$  is surjective by Lemma 8.9(b).

**Lemma 8.14.**  *$F'$  is non-empty.*

Let  $g \in \gamma_1$ . Then  $\text{pos}(Q', {}^gQ) = z^{-1}y$ . Define  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$  by  $Q \subset P$ . Since  $\text{pos}(Q', Q) \in W_J$ , we have also  $Q' \subset P$ . Let  $\tilde{P}, P'$  in  $\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  with  $\text{pos}(P', \tilde{P}) = z$ .

By Lemma 8.9(c), we can find  $\tilde{g} \in A'_y(\tilde{P}, P')$ . Now  $\alpha(\tilde{P}, P', \tilde{g}) = (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}')$  with  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_1}, \tilde{Q}' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_1}$  contained in  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\text{pos}(\tilde{Q}', \tilde{g}\tilde{Q}) = z^{-1}y$ . We have  $Q' = {}^h\tilde{Q}'$  for some  $h \in G$ . Replacing  $\tilde{P}, P', \tilde{g}$  by  ${}^h\tilde{P}, {}^hP', h\tilde{g}h^{-1}$ , we may assume that  $\tilde{Q}' = Q'$ . Then  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}_J$  contains  $Q'$  hence  $\tilde{P} = P$ . Now  $\tilde{Q}, Q$  are contained in  $P$  and have the same type hence  $\tilde{Q} = {}^pQ$  for some  $p \in P$ . We have  $\tilde{g}pQ = (\tilde{g}P)^{(P'P)}$  and  $\tilde{g}p \in A'_y(P, P')$  hence  $\alpha(P, P', \tilde{g}p) = (Q, Q')$ . Since  $\text{pos}(Q', {}^gQ) = \text{pos}(Q', \tilde{g}pQ)$  and  $Q', {}^gQ$  are in good position, we have  $\tilde{g}p = u'gq$  with  $q \in Q, u' \in U_{Q'}$ . Thus  $u'gq \in A'_y(P, P')$  and  $\alpha(P, P', u'gq) = (Q, Q')$ . We have  $u'g \in A'_y(P, P')$  and  $\alpha(P, P', u'g) = (Q, Q')$  (we use that  $q \in Q$ ). Since  $u'g \in \gamma_1$ , we see that  $F' \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 8.15.** *Let  $(P, P', g) \in F'$ . Then  $(u, v) \mapsto (P, {}^uP', U_{uP'}uvgU_P)$  is a well defined, surjective map  $\kappa : U_P \times (U_P \cap P') \rightarrow F'$ .*

Let  $u \in U_P, v \in U_P \cap P'$ . Clearly,  $(P, {}^uP', uvg) \in F'$ . Hence  $\kappa$  is well defined. We show that  $\kappa$  is surjective. Let  $(P, \tilde{P}', \gamma) \in F$ . We can find  $\tilde{g} \in \gamma$  such that  $(P, \tilde{P}', \tilde{g}) \in F'$ . We have  $g \in \gamma_1, \tilde{g} \in \gamma_1$  hence  $\tilde{g} \in U_{Q'}gU_Q$ . Since  $\text{pos}(\tilde{P}', P) = \text{pos}(\tilde{P}', P)$ , we have  $\tilde{P}' = {}^pP'$  for some  $p \in P$ . Since  $P^{P'} = P^{\tilde{P}'} = P^{(pP')} = pP^{P'}p^{-1}$ , we have  $p \in P^{P'}$ . Thus,  $p = u\pi$  with  $\pi \in P \cap P', u \in U_P$ . Since  ${}^pP' = {}^uP'$ , we may assume that  $p = u \in U_P$  so that  $\tilde{P}' = {}^uP'$ . Applying Lemma 8.12 to  $(P, {}^uP', ug), (P, {}^uP', \tilde{g}) \in F'$  (instead of  $(P, P', g), (P, P', g')$ ) we see that  $\tilde{g} = w'xugw$  for some  $x \in U_P \cap {}^uP', w' \in U_{uP'}, w \in U_P$ . Let  $v = u^{-1}xu \in U_P \cap P'$ . Then  $\tilde{g} = w'uvgw$ . Thus,  $(P, \tilde{P}', \gamma) = \kappa(u, v)$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 8.16.** *In the setup of Lemma 8.15, the following two conditions for  $(u, v), (u, v')$  in  $U_P \times (U_P \cap P')$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\kappa(u, v) = \kappa(u', v')$ ;
- (ii)  $u' = uf, v' = f^{-1}dv$  for some  $f \in U_P \cap P', d \in U_P \cap U_{P'}$ .

Assume that (i) holds. We have  ${}^uP' = {}^{u'}P', uvg \in U_{uP'}u'v'gU_P$ . Thus  $u' = uf$  with  $f \in P'$  (hence  $f \in U_P \cap P'$ ) and  $uvg \in uU_{P'}f'v'gU_P$ , that is,  $v \in U_{P'}f'v'U_{gP}$ , so that  $v \in f'v'U_{P'}U_{gP}$ . We show that

$$(a) \quad P' \cap U_{P'}U_{gP} = U_{P'}.$$

Assume that  $x \in P' \cap U_{P'}U_{gP}$ . We must show that  $x \in U_{P'}$ . We have  $u_1x \in U_{gP}$  with  $u_1 \in U_{P'}$ . Let  $x' = u_1x \in P'$ . Then  $x' \in P' \cap U_{gP} = U_{P'} \cap U_{gP}$  (by Lemma 8.6, which is applicable since  $P', {}^gP$  have a common Levi). Thus,  $x' \in U_{P'}$  hence  $x \in U_{P'}$ , as required.

Applying (a) to  $v'^{-1}f^{-1}v \in P' \cap U_{P'}U_{gP}$ , we see that  $v'^{-1}f^{-1}v \in U_{P'}$ , so that  $v' = f^{-1}dv$  for some  $d \in U_{P'}$ . We have  $d = f'v'v^{-1} \in U_P \cap P'$ . Hence  $d \in U_P \cap U_{P'}$ . Thus, (ii) holds. The converse is immediate. The lemma is proved.

**8.17.** We consider a new group structure  $(d, f) \bullet (d', f') = (f'df'^{-1}d', f'f)$  on  $(U_P \cap U_{P'}) \times (U_P \cap P')$  and a new group structure  $(u, v) \bullet (u', v') = (u'u, vv')$  on  $U_P \times (U_P \cap P')$ . Then

$$\theta : (U_P \cap U_{P'}) \times (U_P \cap P') \rightarrow U_P \times (U_P \cap P'), \quad (d, f) \mapsto (f, f^{-1}d),$$

is an (injective) group homomorphism for these new group structures. We can reformulate condition (ii) in 8.16 as follows:

$$(u', v') = \theta(x) \bullet (u, v) \text{ for some } x \in (U_P \cap U_{P'}) \times (U_P \cap P').$$

We see that  $\kappa$  defines a bijection

$$\theta(((U_P \cap U_{P'}) \times (U_P \cap P')) \setminus (U_P \times (U_P \cap P'))) \xrightarrow{\sim} F.$$

One can check that this is in fact an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. Since  $U_P \times (U_P \cap P')$  is a connected unipotent group and  $\theta((U_P \cap U_{P'}) \times (U_P \cap P'))$  is a connected closed subgroup of it, we see that

(a)  $F$  is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension  $\dim(U_P/(U_P \cap U_{P'}))$ .

**8.18.** Let  $J, J' \subset I$  and  $y \in J'W^{\delta(J)}$  be such that  $\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J) = J'$ . Let

$$Z_{J,y,\delta} = \{(P, P', \gamma); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \gamma \in U_{P'} \setminus A_y(P, P')/U_P\}.$$

To any  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}$  we associate a sequence  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $J_n, J'_n \subset I$ ,  $u_n \in W$ , a sequence  $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $y_n \in J'_n W^{\delta(J_n)}$ ,  $\text{Ad}(y_n)\delta(J_n) = J'_n$  and a sequence  $(P_n, P'_n, \gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n}, P'_n \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_n}, \gamma_n \in A_{y_n}(P_n, P'_n)$ . We set

$$P_0 = P, P'_0 = P', \gamma_0 = \gamma, J_0 = J, J'_0 = J', u_0 = \text{pos}(P'_0, P_0), y_0 = y.$$

Assume that  $n \geq 1$ , that  $P_m, P'_m, \gamma_m, J_m, J'_m, u_m, y_m$  are already defined for  $m < n$  and that  $u_m = \text{pos}(P'_m, P_m), P_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}, P'_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_m}$  for  $m < n$ . Let

$$J_n = J_{n-1} \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y_{n-1}^{-1} u_{n-1}) J_{n-1}, J'_n = J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_{n-1}^{-1} y_{n-1}) \delta(J_{n-1}),$$

$$P_n = g_{n-1}^{-1} (g_{n-1} P_{n-1})^{(P'_{n-1} P_{n-1})} g_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n}, P'_n = P_{n-1}^{P'_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_n}$$

where

$$g_{n-1} \in \gamma_{n-1} \cap A'_{y_{n-1}}(P_{n-1}, P'_{n-1}),$$

$$u_n = \text{pos}(P'_n, P_n), y_n = u_{n-1}^{-1} y_{n-1}, \gamma_n = U_{P'_n} g_{n-1} U_{P_n}.$$

This completes the inductive definition; the definition makes sense (it is independent of choices) by 8.9-8.11. We have  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$  (see 2.3). We write  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} = \beta(P, P', \gamma)$ . For  $\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$  let

$$Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}} = \{(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}; \beta(P, P', \gamma) = \mathbf{s}\}.$$

Clearly,  $(Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}})_{\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)}$  is a partition of  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  into locally closed subvarieties. The  $G$ -action on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  given by  $g : (P, P', \gamma) \mapsto ({}^g P, {}^g P', g\gamma g^{-1})$  preserves each of the pieces  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Now  $(P, P', \gamma) \mapsto (P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1)$  is a morphism  $f : Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow Z_{J_1, y_1, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}^1}$  where for  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$  we set  $\mathbf{s}^1 = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 1} \in S(J_1, \text{Ad}(y_1)\delta)$ .

**Lemma 8.19.** (a) *The morphism  $\vartheta : Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow Z_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  is a locally trivial fibration with fibres isomorphic to an affine space of dimension  $l(u_0) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_1}$ .*

(b) *Let  $\bar{\vartheta}$  be the map from the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  to the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  induced by  $\vartheta$ . Then  $\bar{\vartheta}$  is a bijection.*

We prove (a). Let  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . From 8.17 and 8.1(a) we see that each fibre of  $\vartheta$  is an affine space of dimension

$$\dim(U_P/(U_P \cap U_{P'})) = l(u) + \nu_J - \nu_{J \cap \text{Ad}(u_0^{-1})J'} = l(u) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_1} = l(u) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_1}.$$

The verification of local triviality is omitted.

We prove (b). From the fact that  $\vartheta$  is surjective (see (a)) and  $G$ -equivariant, it follows that  $\bar{\vartheta}$  is well defined and surjective. We show that  $\bar{\vartheta}$  is injective. Let  $(P, P', \gamma), (\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$  be two triples in  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  whose images under  $\vartheta$  are in the same  $G$ -orbit; we must show that these two triples are in the same  $G$ -orbit. Since  $\vartheta$  is  $G$ -equivariant, we may assume that  $\vartheta(P, P', \gamma) = \vartheta(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma}) = (Q, Q', \gamma_1) \in Z_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$ . Define  $F$  in terms of  $(Q, Q', \gamma_1)$  as in 8.13. Then  $(P, P', \gamma) \in F, (\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma}) \in F$ . Since  $P, \tilde{P}$  are parabolics of the same type containing  $Q'$  we have  $P = \tilde{P}$ . Let  $g \in \gamma \cap A'_y(P, P')$ . By Lemma 8.15, there exist  $u \in U_P, v \in U_P \cap P'$  such that  $\tilde{P}' = {}^u P', \tilde{\gamma} = U_{uP'} u v g U_P$ . We have also  $\tilde{P} = {}^{uv} P$  (since  $uv \in P$ ),  $\tilde{P}' = {}^{uv} P'$  (since  $v \in P'$ ),

$$\tilde{\gamma} = u U_{P'} v g U_P = uv U_{P'} g U_P = uv U_{P'} g U_P v^{-1} u^{-1} = uv \gamma v^{-1} u^{-1}$$

(since  $v$  normalizes  $U_{P'}$  and  $uv \in U_P$ ). Thus,  $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$  is obtained by the action of  $uv \in G$  on  $(P, P', \gamma)$ , hence  $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$  is in the  $G$ -orbit of  $(P, P', \gamma)$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 8.20.** *Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . Then  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is an iterated affine space bundle (with fibre dimension  $l(w) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_m}$ ,  $w = u_0 u_1 \dots u_m$ ,  $m \gg 0$ ) over a fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{P}_{J_m}$  with fibres isomorphic to  $P/U_P$  with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}$ ,  $m \gg 0$ . In particular,  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \neq \emptyset$ .*

Assume first that  $\mathbf{s}$  is such that  $J_n = J'_n = J$  and  $u_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . (Then  $\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J) = J, y \in {}^J W^{\delta(J)}$ .) In this case,  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is the set of all  $(P, P', \gamma)$  with  $P = P' \in \mathcal{P}_J, \gamma \in U_P \backslash A_y(P, P)/U_P$ . (The associated sequence  $(P_n, P'_n, \gamma_n)$  is in this case  $P_n = P'_n = P, \gamma_n = \gamma$ .) Thus,  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is a locally trivial fibration over  $\mathcal{P}_J$  with fibres isomorphic to  $P/U_P$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$  and the lemma holds.

We now consider a general  $\mathbf{s}$ . For any  $r \geq 0$  let

$$\mathbf{s}_r = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq r} \in S(J_r, \text{Ad}(y_r)\delta)$$

( $y_r$  as in 8.18). By 8.19(a) we have a sequence of affine space bundles

$$(a) \quad Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow Z_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \rightarrow Z_{J_2,y_2,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}_2} \rightarrow \dots$$



where for  $r \gg 0$ ,  $Z_{J_r, y_r, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_r}$  is as in the first part of the proof. By 8.19(a), the sum of dimensions of fibres of the maps in this sequence is

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (l(u_n) + \nu_{J_n} - \nu_{J_{n+1}}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} l(u_n) + \nu_{J_0} - \nu_{J_m} = l(w) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_m}$$

where  $m \gg 0$ . The lemma follows.

*Remark.* If  $\hat{G}$  is defined over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  and  $\mathbf{k}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  then the number  $N$  of rational points of  $Z_{J, J', y}^{\mathbf{s}}$  over a sufficiently large finite subfield  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of  $\mathbf{k}$  equals

$$\sharp(G(\mathbf{F}_q))q^{l(w) + \nu_J - \nu_I}.$$

Indeed, for  $m \gg 0$  we have  $N = \sharp(G(\mathbf{F}_q)/P_m(\mathbf{F}_q)) \frac{\sharp(P_m(\mathbf{F}_q))}{q^{\nu_I - \nu_{J_m}}} q^{l(w) + \nu_J - \nu_{J_m}}$ . Note that  $l(w) + \nu_J - \nu_I \geq 0$ .

**8.21.** In the setup of 8.20, the maps in 8.20(a) induce bijections on the sets of  $G$ -orbits (see 8.19(b)). Thus we obtain a canonical bijection between the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  and the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J_r, y_r, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_r}$  with  $r$  large enough so that  $J_r = J'_r = J_{r+1} = J'_{r+1} = \dots$ ,  $u_r = u_{r+1} = \dots = 1$ . This last set of orbits is canonically the set of  $Q$ -orbits on  $U_Q \backslash A_{y_r}(Q, Q)/U_Q$  where  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J_r}$ . The  $Q$ -action (conjugation) factors through  $Q/U_Q$ . Let  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  be a Levi of  $Q$ . Then

$$C^{\mathbf{s}} = \{g \in G^1; {}^g L^{\mathbf{s}} = L^{\mathbf{s}}, \text{pos}({}^g Q, Q) = y_r\}$$

is a connected component of  $N_{\hat{G}}(L^{\mathbf{s}})$ . We have an obvious bijection  $C^{\mathbf{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_Q \backslash A_{y_r}(Q, Q)/U_Q$  under which the action of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  by conjugation corresponds to the action of  $Q/U_Q$  on  $U_Q \backslash A_{y_r}(Q, Q)/U_Q$  by conjugation. Thus we obtain a canonical bijection between the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  and the set of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$ -conjugacy classes in  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  (a connected component of an algebraic group with identity component  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$ ). Putting together these bijections we obtain a bijection

$$(a) \quad G \backslash Z_{J, y, \delta} \leftrightarrow \sqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)} L^{\mathbf{s}} \backslash C^{\mathbf{s}}$$

where  $G \backslash Z_{J, y, \delta}$  is the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  and  $L^{\mathbf{s}} \backslash C^{\mathbf{s}}$  is the set of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$ -orbits on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  (for the conjugation action).

## 9. COMPARISON OF TWO PARTITIONS

**9.1.** In the case where  $y = 1$ , Sections 3 and 8 provide two methods to partition  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$ . In this section we show that the resulting partitions of  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  are the same. Lemmas 9.2, 9.3 hold for any  $y$ , but in 9.4, 9.5 we assume that  $y = 1$ .

**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J, y, \delta}$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $P'_1, P_n$  be as in 8.18. We have  $\text{pos}(P', P_n) = \text{pos}(P', P'_1)\text{pos}(P'_1, P_n)$ .*

Let  $z = \text{pos}(P', P'_1)$ ,  $\tilde{z} = \text{pos}(P', P_n)$ ,  $x = \text{pos}(P'_1, P_n)$ . We have  $z = \text{pos}(P', P)$  hence  $z \in {}^{J'}W^J$ . We have also  $\tilde{z} \in {}^{J'}W$ . Since  $P_n \subset P$  we have  $\tilde{z} \in W_{J'}zW_J$ . Using 2.1(c) with  $x, x'$  replaced by  $z, \tilde{z}$ , we see that  $\tilde{z} = zv$  with  $v \in W_J$ . Let  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $B \subset P'$ ,  $B' \subset P_n$ ,  $\text{pos}(B, B') = \tilde{z}$ . Since  $z \in W^J$ , we have  $l(zv) = l(z) + l(v)$ . Hence there is a unique  $B'' \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\text{pos}(B, B'') = z$ ,  $\text{pos}(B'', B') = v$ . Since  $B' \subset P$  and  $\text{pos}(B'', B) \in W_J$ , we have  $B'' \subset P$ . Since  $B \subset P'$ ,  $B'' \subset P$  and  $\text{pos}(B, B'') = \text{pos}(P', P) = z$ , we have  $B'' \subset P^{P'} = P'_1$ . Since  $B'' \subset P'_1, B' \subset P_n$ , we have  $\text{pos}(B'', B') \geq x$ , hence  $v \geq x$ . We can find  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \subset P'_1, B_2 \subset P_n$ ,  $\text{pos}(B_1, B_2) = x$ . Since  $\text{pos}(P', P) = z$  and  $B_1 \subset P^{P'}$ , we can find  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_0 \subset P'$ ,  $\text{pos}(B_0, B_1) = z$ . Since  $z \in W^J, x \in W_J$ , we have  $\text{pos}(B_0, B_2) = zx$ . We have  $B_0 \subset P', B_2 \subset P_n$  hence  $\text{pos}(B_0, B_2) \geq \text{pos}(P', P_n)$ , that is,  $zx \geq \tilde{z} = zv$ . Thus, we have  $v \geq x$  and  $zx \geq zv$ . Since  $z \in W^J$  and  $x, v \in W_J$  we have  $x = v$ . Thus,  $\tilde{z} = zx$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 9.3.** *Let  $(P, P', \gamma) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$ . Let  $P'_n, P_n, u_n, \gamma_n$  be as in 8.18. For any  $n \geq 0$  we have  $\text{pos}(P', P_n) = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$ .*

We argue by induction on  $n = 0$ . For  $n = 0$  the result is clear. Assume now that  $n \geq 1$ . We have  $\text{pos}(P', P'_1) = \text{pos}(P', P^{P'}) = \text{pos}(P', P) = u_0$ . Using the induction hypothesis for  $(P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $n - 1$  (instead of  $(P, P', \gamma)$  and  $n$ ) we see that  $\text{pos}(P'_1, P_n) = u_1 \dots u_n$ . By Lemma 9.2 we have  $\text{pos}(P', P_n) = \text{pos}(P', P'_1) \text{pos}(P'_1, P_n) = u_0(u_1 \dots u_n)$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 9.4.** *Let  $J \subset I$ . Let  $(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ . To  $(P, P', g)$  we associate  $P^n, P'^n, w_n$  as in 4.11. To  $(P, P', U_{P'}gU_P)$  we associate  $P_n, P'_n, \gamma_n, u_n, y_n$  as in 8.18 (with  $y = 1$ ). For any  $n \geq 0$ , the following hold:*

- (a<sub>n</sub>)  $P_n = P^n$ ;
- (b<sub>n</sub>)  $P'_n = (P^{n-1})^{P'^{n-1}}$ ;
- (c<sub>n</sub>)  $g \in \gamma_n \cap A'_{y_n}(P_n, P'_n)$ ;
- (d<sub>n</sub>)  $(P'^n)^{(P'^{P^n})} = (P'^n)^{P^n}$ ;
- (e<sub>n</sub>)  $w_n = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$ .

We argue by induction on  $n$ . The result is obvious for  $n = 0$ : we have  $P_0 = P^0 = P$ ;  ${}^gP_0 = P'$  contains a Levi of  $P \cap P'$ ; we have  $P'^{(P'^P)} = P'^P$ . We have  $w_0 = u_0$ . We now assume that  $n \geq 1$  and that  $(a_{n-1}), (b_{n-1}), (c_{n-1}), (d_{n-1}), (e_{n-1})$  hold.

We show that  $(a_n)$  holds. Using  $(c_{n-1}), (a_{n-1}), (d_{n-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= g^{-1}({}^gP_{n-1})^{(P'_{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}}g = g^{-1}({}^gP^{n-1})^{(P'_{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}}g \\ &= g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{(P'_{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}}g = g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}g = P^n. \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(a_n)$  holds.

We show that  $(b_n)$  holds, using  $(a_{n-1}), (b_{n-1}), (e_{n-1}), (a_n)$ . It suffices to show that  $Y' = P'_n$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2(a) with  $P, P', a, J, \delta(J)$  replaced by  $P^{n-1}, P'^{n-1}, w_{n-1}, J_{n-1}, \delta(J_{n-1})$ . By definition we have  $Y' \subset P_{n-1} =$

$P^{n-1}$ . The type of  $Y'$  is  $J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} \delta(J_{n-1})$  while that of  $(P^{n-1})^{P'^{n-1}}$  is  $J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(w_{n-1}^{-1}) \delta(J_{n-1})$ . Thus,  $Y'$  and  $(P^{n-1})^{P'^{n-1}}$  have the same type. Since  ${}^g P_n = {}^g P^n = P'^n = (P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}$  and  $P'_n, {}^g P_n$  are in good position,  $\text{pos}(P'_n, {}^g P_n) = (u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} = w_{n-1}^{-1}$ , we see that  $(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}, P'_n$  are in good position,  $\text{pos}((P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}, P'_n) = w_{n-1}$ . Thus, the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2(a) are satisfied and  $(b_n)$  holds.

We show that  $(c_n)$  holds, using  $(a_n), (b_n), (c_{n-1})$ . Since  $g \in \gamma_{n-1} \cap A'_{y_{n-1}}(P_{n-1}, P'_{n-1})$  and  $\gamma_{n-1} \cap A'_{y_{n-1}}(P_{n-1}, P'_{n-1}) \subset \gamma_n \cap A_{y_n}(P_n, P'_n)$  (see 8.10) we have  $g \in \gamma_n \cap A_{y_n}(P_n, P'_n)$ . It remains to show that  ${}^g P_n$  contains a Levi of  $P_n \cap P'_n$  or equivalently, that  $(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}$  contains a Levi of  $(P^{n-1})^{P'^{n-1}} \cap g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}} g$ . This follows from Lemma 3.2(b) with  $P, P', Z$  replaced by  $P^{n-1}, P'^{n-1}, g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}} g$ .

We show that  $(d_n)$  holds, using  $(a_n), (b_n)$ . This follows from Lemma 3.2(d) with  $P, P', Z$  replaced by  $P^{n-1}, P'^{n-1}, g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}} g$ .

We show that  $(e_n)$  holds, using  $(a_n)$ . Since  $\text{pos}(P'^n, P^n) = w_n$ ,  $P'^n \subset P'$  and  $w_n \in {}^J W$  we have  $\text{pos}(P', P^n) = w_n$ . We also have  $\text{pos}(P', P_n) = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$  (see Lemma 9.3). Since  $P^n = P_n$ , we have  $w_n = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$ .

This completes the inductive proof.

**Proposition 9.5.** *Let  $J \subset I$ . Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \delta)$  and let  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$  be the corresponding element under the bijection in 2.4. Then  $Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} = {}^{\mathbf{t}} Z_{J, \delta}$ .*

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}, g \in A_1(P, P') = A'_1(P, P')$ . Assume that

$$(P, P', U_{P'} g U_P) \in Z_{J, \delta}^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}, (P, P', U_{P'} g U_P) \in {}^{\mathbf{t}} Z_{J, \delta}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (\tilde{J}_n, \tilde{J}'_n, \tilde{u}_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \delta)$ . Using Lemma 9.4(e), we see that

$$\tilde{u}_0 \tilde{u}_1 \dots \tilde{u}_n = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$$

for all  $n$ . Using Lemma 2.5, we have  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s}$ . Thus,  ${}^{\mathbf{t}} Z_{J, \delta} \subset Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Conversely, let  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . We have  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in {}^{\mathbf{t}'} Z_{J, \delta}$  for a unique  $\mathbf{t}' \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ . By the first part of the proof we have  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}'}$  where  $\mathbf{s}' \in S(J, \delta)$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{t}'$  under the bijection in 2.4. We have  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \cap Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}'}$  and the sets  $Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}, Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}'}$  are either disjoint or coincide. Thus,  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}'$  hence  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}'$ . We see that  $Z_{J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \subset {}^{\mathbf{t}} Z_{J, \delta}$ . The proposition is proved.

## 10. EXAMPLE

**10.1.** We consider an example. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space. Let  $G = \hat{G} = G^1 = GL(V)$ . Consider two  $n$ -step filtrations  $V_*, V'_*$ :

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V, \quad 0 \subset V'_1 \subset V'_2 \subset \dots \subset V'_n = V$$

of  $V$ . The *type* of  $V_*$  is by definition the set

$$J = \{i \in [1, n-1]; \dim V_k \neq i \quad \forall k \in [0, n]\}.$$

To  $V_*, V'_*$  we associate two  $n^2$ -step filtrations  $X_*, X'_*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X_{10} \subset X_{11} \subset X_{12} \subset \dots \subset X_{1n} = X_{20} \subset X_{21} \subset X_{22} \subset X_{2n} = X_{30} \\ &\subset \dots \subset X_{n,n-1} \subset X_{nn} = V, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X'_{10} \subset X'_{11} \subset X'_{12} \subset \dots \subset X'_{1n} = X'_{20} \subset X'_{21} \subset X'_{22} \subset X'_{2n} = X'_{30} \\ &\subset \dots \subset X'_{n,n-1} \subset X'_{nn} = V, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij} &= V_{i-1} + (V_i \cap V'_j), (i, j) \in [1, n] \times [0, n], \\ X'_{ij} &= V'_{i-1} + (V'_i \cap V_j), (i, j) \in [1, n] \times [0, n]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the indexing set is  $[1, n] \times [0, n]$  with the identifications  $1n = 20, \dots, (n-1)n = n0$ . We have  $X_{i0} = V_{i-1}, X'_{i0} = V'_{i-1}$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ . Hence  $X_*$  (resp.  $X'_*$ ) is a refinement of  $V_*$  (resp.  $V'_*$ ). If the stabilizer of  $V_*$  (resp.  $V'_*$ ) is the parabolic  $P$  (resp.  $P'$ ) then the stabilizer of  $X_*$  (resp.  $X'_*$ ) in  $G$  is the parabolic  $P^{P'}$  (resp.  $P'^{P'}$ ). By Zassenhaus' lemma, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$t : X'_{ij}/X'_{i,j-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{ji}/X_{j,i-1} \text{ for all } (i, j) \in [1, n] \times [1, n].$$

Assume that we are given a permutation  $\sigma : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n]$  and vector space isomorphisms  $\alpha_i : V_i/V_{i-1} \rightarrow V'_{\sigma(i)}/V'_{\sigma(i)-1}$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ . Define a third  $n^2$ -step filtration  $Y_*$  (refining  $V_*$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Y_{10} \subset Y_{11} \subset Y_{12} \subset \dots \subset Y_{1n} = Y_{20} \subset Y_{21} \subset Y_{22} \subset Y_{2n} = Y_{30} \\ &\subset \dots \subset Y_{n,n-1} \subset Y_{nn} = V, \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_{i0} = V_{i-1} \text{ for } i \in [1, n],$$

$Y_{ij}$  is the subspace of  $V_i$  containing  $V_{i-1}$  such that  $a_i$  carries the subspace  $Y_{ij}/Y_{i0}$  of  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  onto the subspace  $X'_{\sigma(i),j}/X'_{\sigma(i),0}$  of  $V'_{\sigma(i)}/V'_{\sigma(i)-1}$ .

The composition

$$Y_{ij}/Y_{i,j-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} X'_{\sigma(i),j}/X'_{\sigma(i),j-1} \xrightarrow{t} X_{j,\sigma(i)}/X_{j,\sigma(i)-1}$$

is an isomorphism  $b_{ij}$  for  $(i, j) \in [1, n] \times [1, n]$ . Define a permutation  $\tau : [1, n] \times [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n] \times [1, n]$  by  $\tau(i, j) = (j, \sigma(i))$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all quadruples  $(V_*, V'_*, \sigma, a_i)$  with  $V_*, V'_*$  as above (of prescribed types) and  $\sigma, a_i$  are as above. Then  $\Sigma$  may be identified with a set  $Z_{J,y,1}$  attached to  $G = GL(V)$ . Here  $J, \text{Ad}(y)J$  are the types of  $V_*, V'_*$ . Let  $(V_*, V'_*, \sigma, a_i) \in \Sigma$ . We define a sequence  $(V_*^m, V_*'^m, \sigma^m, a_i^m)_{m \geq 0}$  of quadruples of the same kind as  $(V_*, V'_*, \sigma, a_i)$  as follows. Set  $(V_*^0, V_*'^0, \sigma^0, a_i^0) = (V_*, V'_*, \sigma, a_i)$ . Assume that  $m \geq 1$  and that  $(V_*^{m-1}, V_*'^{m-1}, \sigma^{m-1}, a_i^{m-1})$  is already defined. Then

$(V_*^m, V_*'^m, \sigma^m, a_i^m)$  is attached to  $(V_*^{m-1}, V_*'^{m-1}, \sigma^{m-1}, a_i^{m-1})$  in the same way as  $(Y_*, X_*, \tau, b_{ij})$  was attached to  $(V_*, V_*', \sigma, a_i)$ . Then  $V_*^m, V_*'^m$  are  $n^{2^m}$ -step filtrations of  $V$  and, for  $m > 0$ ,  $V_*^m, V_*'^m$  are refinements of  $V_*^{m-1}$ . Let  $J_m$  (resp.  $J'_m$ ) be the type of  $V_*^m$  (resp.  $V_*'^m$ ). The set of all  $(V_*, V_*', \sigma, a_i) \in \Sigma$  such that  $J_m, J'_m$  and the relative position of  $V_*^m, V_*'^m$  is specified for each  $m \geq 0$  is a locally closed subvariety of  $\Sigma$ . Thus we obtain a partition of  $\Sigma$  which coincides with the partition  $Z_{J,y,1} = \sqcup_{\mathbf{s}} Z_{J,y,1}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .

**10.2.** Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all quadruples  $(V_1, V_1', a, b)$  where  $V_1, V_1'$  are lines in  $V$ , and  $a : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1', b : V/V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V/V_1'$  are isomorphisms. (This is a special case of the situation in 10.1 where we omit the 0 and  $d$  dimensional members of a 2-step filtration.) We describe explicitly in this case the partition of  $\Sigma$  given in 10.1. For any  $k \in [1, d]$  let  $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$  be the set of all quadruples

$$(0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_k, V_1', a, b)$$

where  $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_k$  is a (partial) flag in  $V$ ,  $\dim V_j = j$  for all  $j$ ,  $V_1'$  is a line in  $V$ ,  $a : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1', b : V/V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V/V_1'$  are isomorphisms and

$$V_1' \cap V_{k-1} = 0, V_1' \subset V_k,$$

$$b(V_j/V_1) = (V_{j-1} + V_1')/V_1' \text{ for } j \in [1, k].$$

Define  $\pi_k : \tilde{\Sigma}_k \rightarrow \Sigma$  by  $\pi_k(0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_k, V_1', a, b) = (V_1, V_1', a, b)$ . Then  $\pi_k$  is injective and  $(\pi_k(\tilde{\Sigma}_k))_{k \in [1, d]}$  is a partition of  $\Sigma$  into  $d$  locally closed subvarieties. This is a special case of the partition of  $\Sigma$  in 10.1 and of the partition of  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  in 8.18.

**10.3.** Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all quadruples  $(V_1, H, a, b)$  where  $V_1$  is a line in  $V$ ,  $H$  is a hyperplane in  $V$ , and  $a : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V/H, b : V/V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H$  are isomorphisms. (This is a special case of the situation in 10.1 where we omit the 0 and  $d$  dimensional members of a 2-step filtration.) We describe explicitly in this case the partition of  $\Sigma$  given in 10.1. For any  $k \in [1, d]$  let  $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$  be the set of all quadruples

$$(0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_k, H, a, b)$$

where  $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{k-1} \subset V_k$  is a (partial) flag in  $V$ ,  $\dim V_j = j$  for all  $j$ ,  $H$  is a hyperplane in  $V$ ,  $a : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V/H, b : V/V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H$  are isomorphisms and

$$V_{k-1} = V_k \cap H,$$

$$b(V_j/V_1) = V_{j-1} \text{ for } j \in [1, k].$$

Define  $\pi_k : \tilde{\Sigma}_k \rightarrow \Sigma$  by  $\pi_k(0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_k, H, a, b) = (V_1, H, a, b)$ . Then  $\pi_k$  is injective and  $(\pi_k(\tilde{\Sigma}_k))_{k \in [1, d]}$  is a partition of  $\Sigma$  into  $d$  locally closed subvarieties. This is a special case of the partition of  $\Sigma$  in 10.1 and of the partition of  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  in 8.18.

11. PARABOLIC CHARACTER SHEAVES ON  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$ 

**11.1.** Assume that we are in the setup of 8.18. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  be a sequence in  $W$  such that

$$(a) \quad r \geq 2, x_r = y.$$

As in 4.2 we define

$$Y_{\mathbf{x}} = \{(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r, g) \in \mathcal{B}^{r+1} \times G^1; \text{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = x_i, i \in [1, r], B_r = {}^g B_0\}. \blacksquare$$

Let  ${}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}}$  be the set of all  $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1}, \gamma)$  where  $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1}) \in \mathcal{B}^r$  satisfies  $\text{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = x_i, i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $\gamma \in U_{P'} \setminus A_y(P, P')/U_P$  (with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  given by  $B_0 \subset P, B_{r-1} \subset P'$ ) satisfies  $\text{pos}(B_{r-1}, {}^g B_0) = x_r$  for some/any  $g \in \gamma$ . (This definition is correct since  $U_P \subset B_0, U_{P'} \subset B_{r-1}$ .) We have an affine space bundle

$$\omega : Y_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow {}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r, g) \mapsto (B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1}, U_{P'} g U_P)$$

(with  $P, P'$  as above). Define

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{x}} : {}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow Z_{J,y,\delta}, \quad \Pi_{\mathbf{x}}(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, \gamma) = (P, P', \gamma)$$

where  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  are given by  $B_0 \subset P, B_{r-1} \subset P'$ .

If  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  (see 4.1) is such that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$  (see 4.1), the local system  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$  is defined (see 4.2); it is  $\omega^*$  of a well defined local system on  ${}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}}$  denoted again by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . We set

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} = (\Pi_{\mathbf{x}})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,y,\delta}).$$

Now assume in addition that

$$(b) \quad x_i \in I \sqcup \{1\} \text{ for } i \in [1, r-1].$$

Let  $Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}$  be the set of all  $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1}, \gamma)$  where  $(B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{r-1}) \in \mathcal{B}^r$  satisfies  $\text{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = \{x_i, 1\}, i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $\gamma \in U_{P'} \setminus A_y(P, P')/U_P$  (with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  given by  $B_0 \subset P, B_{r-1} \subset P'$ ) satisfies  $\text{pos}(B_{r-1}, {}^g B_0) = y$  for some/any  $g \in \gamma$ . Define

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} : Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger} \rightarrow Z_{J,y,\delta}, \quad \Pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, \gamma) = (P, P', \gamma)$$

where  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  are given by  $B_0 \subset P, B_{r-1} \subset P'$ . Now  ${}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}}$  is an open dense subset of  $Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}$  and it carries the local system  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . The intersection cohomology complex  $IC(Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  is a constructible sheaf  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}$  (compare 4.3); we set

$$\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} = (\Pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger})_! \bar{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,y,\delta}).$$

Now  $\Pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}$  is a proper morphism. (Indeed, the condition  $\text{pos}(B_{r-1}, {}^g B_0) = y$  in the definition of  $Y'_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}$  can be replaced by the closed condition  $\text{pos}(B_{r-1}, {}^g B_0) \leq y$  since  ${}^g B_0 \subset {}^g P, B_{r-1} \subset P'$  and  $\text{pos}(P', {}^g P) = y$ .) Hence we may apply the decomposition theorem [BBD] and we see that

$\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$  is a semisimple complex on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$ .

**Proposition 11.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  and let  $A$  be a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J,\delta}$ . The following conditions on  $A$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \dashv \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$  for some  $\mathbf{x}$  as in 11.1(a) with  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ ;
- (ii)  $A \dashv \mathcal{K}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{L}}$  for some  $x \in W$  such that  $xy \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ ;
- (iii)  $A \dashv \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$  for some  $\mathbf{x}$  as in 11.1(b) with  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ .

(Compare 4.4.)

**11.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathcal{L}}$  be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  which satisfy the equivalent conditions 11.2(i)-(iii) with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ . The simple perverse sheaves on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  which belong to  $\mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathcal{L}}$  for some  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{T}$  are called *parabolic character sheaves*; they (or their isomorphism classes) form a set  $\mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}$ .

**11.4.** Let  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}$ . Let  $\gamma' = \gamma \cap A'_y(P, P')$ . Let  $z = \text{pos}(P', P)$ . Let  $(P_1, P'_1) = \alpha(P, P', g)$  where  $g \in \gamma'$  (see 8.10, 8.11). We have  $\text{pos}(P'_1, {}^g P_1) = z^{-1}y$  and  $\text{pos}(P'^P, P'^{P'}) = z$  (see 8.10). Let  $w \in W$ . We can write uniquely  $w = ab, a \in W^{J'}, b \in W_{J'}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(B, B') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; \text{pos}(B, B') = w, \text{pos}(B', {}^g B) = y, B \subset P, B' \subset P'\}.$$

Here  $g \in \gamma'$ ; the choice of  $g$  is irrelevant since  $U_P \subset B, U_{P'} \subset B'$ . Set  $b' = y^{-1}by \in W_{\delta(J)}$ . (Recall that  $yW_{\delta(J)} = W_{J'}y$ .) Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}' = \{ & (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}') \in \mathcal{B}^3; \text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(b'), \text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = az, \\ & \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', {}^g \tilde{B}) = z^{-1}y, \tilde{B} \subset P_1, \tilde{B}' \subset P'_1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

(We have automatically  $B \subset P$ .) Here  $g \in \gamma'$ ; the choice of  $g$  is irrelevant since  $U_{P'_1} \subset \tilde{B}', U_{P_1} \subset \tilde{B}$  (another choice of  $g$  is in  $U_{P'_1}gU_{P_1}$  by 8.11). Define

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{X}', \quad (B, B') \mapsto (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}')$$

as follows. Define  $R$  by  $\text{pos}(B, R) = a, \text{pos}(R, B') = b$  (we have  $l(w) = l(a) + l(b)$ ). Define  $\tilde{B}'$  by  $\text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = az, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', R) = z^{-1}$  (we have  $l(az) + l(z^{-1}) = l(a)$ ). Define  $S_g$  (in terms of  $g \in \gamma'$ ) by  $\text{pos}(R, S_g) = y, \text{pos}(S_g, {}^g B) = b'$ ; we use  $l(b) + l(y) = l(by) = l(yb') = l(y) + l(b')$ . Set  $\tilde{B} = g^{-1}S_g$ . We will show below that

- (i) if  $(B, B') \in \mathcal{X}$  then  $(\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}') \in \mathcal{X}'$ ;
- (ii)  $\tilde{B}$  is independent of the choice of  $g$  in  $\gamma'$ .

Assume that (i) is already established (for fixed  $g \in \gamma'$ ). Let us replace  $g$  by  $g_1 \in \gamma'$ . Then  $g_1 = u'gu$  where  $u \in U_P, u' \in U_{P'}$ . We have  $\text{pos}(R, S_{g_1}) = y, \text{pos}(S_{g_1}, {}^{u'g} B) = b'$ . Hence

$$\text{pos}(u'^{-1}R, u'^{-1}S_{g_1}) = y, \text{pos}(u'^{-1}S_{g_1}, {}^g B) = b'.$$

Since  $\text{pos}(R, B') \in W_{J'}$  we have  $R \subset P'$  hence  $u' \in R$  and  $u'^{-1}R = R$  and  $\text{pos}(R, u'^{-1}S_{g_1}) = y, \text{pos}(u'^{-1}S_{g_1}, {}^gB) = b'$ . It follows that  $S_g = u'^{-1}S_{g_1}$ . Hence

$$u^{-1}g^{-1}u'^{-1}S_{g_1} = u^{-1}g^{-1}u'^{-1}(u'S_g) = u^{-1}g^{-1}S_g = u^{-1}\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}$$

(since by (i), we have  $\tilde{B} \subset P_1 \subset P$  hence  $u \in \tilde{B}$ ). Thus (ii) is verified.

We now verify (i) (with fixed  $g \in \gamma'$ ). Since  $P^{P'}, P'^P, {}^gP_1$  have a common Levi  $L_0$ , there is a canonical trijection  ${}^0B \leftrightarrow {}^1B \leftrightarrow {}^2B$  between the sets of Borels of  $P^{P'}, P'^P, {}^gP_1$  respectively, defined by  ${}^0B = U_{P^{P'}}\beta, {}^1B = U_{P'^P}\beta, {}^2B = U_{{}^gP_1}\beta$  where  $\beta$  is a Borel of  $L_0$  or equivalently by

$$\text{pos}({}^0B, {}^1B) = z^{-1}, \text{pos}({}^1B, {}^2B) = y, \text{pos}({}^0B, {}^2B) = z^{-1}y$$

(any two of these three conditions implies the third).

Assume that  $B, B', R, S, tB, \tilde{B}'$  are as above. We have  $R \subset P'^P$  (since  $a = \text{pos}(B, R) = \text{pos}(B, P')$ ) and  $\tilde{B}' \subset P^{P'}$  (since  $z^{-1} = \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', R) = \text{pos}(P, P')$ ). Hence  $\tilde{B}' \leftrightarrow R \leftrightarrow Y$  under the trijection above where  $Y$  is a Borel of  ${}^gP_1$ . (We have  $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}', R) = z^{-1}, \text{pos}(R, Y) = y, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', Y) = z^{-1}y$ .)

Since  $P', {}^gP$  have a common Levi, there is a canonical bijection  $B^0 \leftrightarrow B^1$  between the sets of Borels of  $P', {}^gP$  respectively, defined by  $\text{pos}(B^0, B^1) = y$ . Since  $\text{pos}(R, Y) = y$ , we have  $R \leftrightarrow Y$  under this bijection; since  $\text{pos}(R, S) = y$ , we have  $R \leftrightarrow S$  under this bijection; hence  $Y = S$ . We see that  $S \subset {}^gP_1$  and  $\tilde{B} \subset P_1$ . We have  $\text{pos}(S, {}^gB) = b'$  hence  $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(b')$ . Define

$$\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\mu'} \mathcal{X}, \quad (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}') \mapsto (B, B')$$

as follows. Choose  $g \in \gamma'$ . Define  $R, S$  by the condition that  $\tilde{B}' \leftrightarrow R \leftrightarrow S$  under the canonical trijection above. Define  $B'$  by the condition  $\text{pos}(R, B') = b, \text{pos}(B', {}^gB) = y$  (we use  $l(b) + l(y) = l(by) = l(yb') = l(y) + l(b')$ ). We will show below that

(iii) if  $(\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}') \in \mathcal{X}'$  then  $(B, B') \in \mathcal{X}$ ;

(iv)  $B'$  is independent of the choice of  $g$  in  $\gamma'$ .

Now (iv) follows from (iii) in the same way that (ii) follows from (i). We now verify (iii) (with fixed  $g \in \gamma'$ ). Assume that  $\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', R, B'$  are as above. We have  $R \subset P'^P$  hence  $R \subset P'$ . Since  $\text{pos}(R, B') \in W_{J'}$  we have  $B' \subset P'$ . We have  $\text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = az, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', R) = z^{-1}, l(az) + l(z^{-1}) = l(a)$  hence  $\text{pos}(B, R) = a$ . This, together with  $\text{pos}(R, B') = b, l(ab) = l(a) + l(b)$  implies  $\text{pos}(B, B') = ab = w$ . Thus  $(B, B') \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mu'$  is well defined.

From the definitions we see that  $\mu'$  is the inverse of  $\mu$ .

**11.5.** For  $z \in {}^JW^J$  let  $Z_{J,y,\delta;z} = \{(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}; \text{pos}(P', P) = z\}$ . As in 8.10, 8.11 we have a well defined map

$$Z_{J,y,\delta;z} \rightarrow Z_{J_1,z^{-1}y,\delta}, \quad (P, P', \gamma) \mapsto (P^1, P'^1, \gamma_1)$$



where  $\gamma_1$  is given by  $\gamma_1 \subset \gamma \cap A'_y(P, P')$ . Let  ${}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z$  be the inverse image of  $Z_{J,y,\delta};z$  under the canonical map  ${}^y Y'_{w,y} \rightarrow Z_{J,y,\delta}$ . For  $a, b'$  as in 11.4, let

$$Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} = {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} \times_{Z_{J_1,z^{-1}y,\delta}} Z_{J,y,\delta};z$$

where the fibre product is formed using the canonical maps

$${}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} \rightarrow Z_{J_1,z^{-1}y,\delta} \leftarrow Z_{J,y,\delta};z.$$

The results in 11.4 provide an isomorphism

$${}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z \xrightarrow{\sim} Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y}$$

compatible with the natural maps of the two sides into  $Z_{J,y,\delta};z$ . Hence in the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} & \longrightarrow & {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{J,y,\delta};z & \longrightarrow & Z_{J_1,z^{-1}y,\delta} \end{array}$$

we may substitute  $Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y}$  by  ${}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z$  and we obtain a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z & \longrightarrow & {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{J,y,\delta};z & \longrightarrow & Z_{J_1,z^{-1}y,\delta} \end{array}$$

**11.6.** In the setup of 11.5, let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  be such that

$$\mathcal{L}' = \text{Ad}(\delta^{-1}(b'^{-1}))^* \mathcal{L}$$

(we have  $\delta^{-1}(b'^{-1}) \in W_J$ ) and

$$wy \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1, \quad \delta^{-1}(b')az(z^{-1}y) \in W_{\mathcal{L}'}^1.$$

(These two conditions are equivalent. In general, for  $v \in W$  we have  $W_{\text{Ad}(v)^* \mathcal{L}}^1 = v^{-1}W_{\mathcal{L}}^1 \delta(v)$ . In our case we have

$$\delta^{-1}(b')az(z^{-1}y) = \delta^{-1}(b')(ayb')b'^{-1} = \delta^{-1}(b')wyb'^{-1}.)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  the local systems on  ${}^y Y'_{w,y}, {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y}$  corresponding as in 11.1 to  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ . From the definitions we see that the inverse image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  under  ${}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z \rightarrow {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'),az,z^{-1}y}$  (in the cartesian diagram above) is the same as the restriction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  to  ${}^y Y'_{w,y}{}^z$ .

**11.7.** In the setup of 11.4, we assume in addition that  $b \in W_{\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J_1)}$  that is,  $b' \in W_{\delta(J_1)}$  where  $J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(y^{-1}z)J$ . Assume that  $(B, B') \in \mathcal{X}$ . We show that

$$B \subset P_1.$$

Let  $R, S, \tilde{B}, \tilde{B}'$  be as in the definition of  $\mu$  in terms of some  $g \in \gamma'$ . (Thus,  $\mu(B, B') = (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}')$ .) By 11.4, we have  $S \subset {}^gP_1$ . Since  $\text{pos}(S, {}^gB) = b' \in W_{\delta(J_1)}$  and  ${}^gP_1$  has type  $\delta(J_1)$ , we have  ${}^gB \subset {}^gP_1$  and  $B \subset P_1$ .

**11.8.** In the setup of 11.4 and 11.7, we have for  $B, B', \tilde{B}, \tilde{B}'$  as in 11.7  $az = \text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') \in {}^{J_1}W$  (since  $B \subset P_1$ ) and  $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(b') \in W_{J_1}$  hence

$$l(\delta^{-1}(b')az) = l(\delta^{-1}(b')) + l(az).$$

It follows that  $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}') = \delta^{-1}(b')az$ . We see that  $(\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}') \mapsto (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}')$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}''$  where

$$\mathcal{X}'' = \{(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}') \in \mathcal{B}^2; \text{pos}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}') = \delta^{-1}(b')az, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', {}^g\tilde{B}) = z^{-1}y, \tilde{B} \subset P_1, \tilde{B}' \subset P_1'\}.$$

Combining with the isomorphism  $\mu : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}'$  we see that  $(B, B') \mapsto (tB, \tilde{B}')$  is an isomorphism

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}''.$$

Now let

$$Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y} = {}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y} \times_{Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}} Z_{J, y, \delta; z}$$

where the fibre product is formed using the canonical maps

$${}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y} \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta} \leftarrow Z_{J, y, \delta; z}.$$

Then (a) gives rise to an isomorphism

$${}^yY'_{w, y} \xrightarrow{z} Y''_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}$$

compatible with the natural maps of the two sides into  $Z_{J, y, \delta; z}$ . As in 11.5 this gives rise to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^yY'_{w, y} \xrightarrow{z} & {}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y} & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ Z_{J, y, \delta; z} & \longrightarrow & Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta} \end{array}$$

Now let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$  be as in 11.6. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  the local systems on  ${}^yY'_{w, y}, {}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}$  corresponding as in 11.1 to  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ . From the definitions we see that the inverse image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  under  ${}^yY'_{w, y} \xrightarrow{z} {}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}$  (in the cartesian diagram above) is the same as the restriction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  to  ${}^yY'_{w, y}$ .

**11.9.** Let  $w = ab, b'$  be as in 11.4. Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . For  $\mathbf{x}$  as in 11.1(a) let  ${}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  be the inverse image of  $Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  under the canonical map  ${}^y Y'_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow Z_{J, y, \delta}$ . In the last (cartesian) diagram in 11.5 (with  $z = u_0$ ), the inverse image of  $Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$

-under  $Z_{J, y, \delta}; z \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}$  is  $Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ ,

-under  ${}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y} \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  is  ${}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$ ,

-under  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^z \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}$  (the two compositions in the diagram) is  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .

Therefore that cartesian diagram restricts to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}} & \longrightarrow & {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}} & \longrightarrow & Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \end{array}$$

**11.10.** In the setup of 11.9 assume in addition that  $b, b'$  are as in 11.7. As in 11.9, the cartesian diagram in 11.8 restricts to a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}} & \longrightarrow & {}^{z^{-1}y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \end{array}$$

**11.11.** Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ , let  $\mathbf{x}$  be as in 11.1(a) (with  $r = 3$ ) and let  $\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  be such that  $x_1 x_2 y \in W_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^1$  and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be the corresponding local system on  ${}^y Y'_{x_1, x_2, y}$ . Let  $A'$  be a simple perverse sheaf on  ${}^y Y'_{x_1, x_2, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  such that  $A' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_1, x_2, y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ . We show that

(a) *there exists  $x_0 \in W$  such that  $x_0 y \in W_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^1$  and  $A' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_0, y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}}$*

(we denote the local system on  ${}^y Y'_{x_0, y}$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  again by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ ).

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.8. We argue by induction on  $l(x_2)$ . If  $l(x_2) = 0$  then  $x_2 = 1$ , we may identify  ${}^y Y'_{x_1, x_2, y}, {}^y Y'_{x_1, y}$  and the result is obvious. Assume now that  $l(x_2) > 0$ . We can find  $s \in W$  such that  $l(s) = 1, l(x_2) > l(sx_2)$ .

Assume first that  $l(x_1 s) = l(x_1) + 1$ . Then

$${}^y Y'_{x_1, x_2, y} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^y Y'_{x_1 s, sx_2, y}, \quad (B_0, B_1, B_2, \gamma) \mapsto (B_0, B'_1, B_2, \gamma)$$

with  $B'_1$  given by

$$(b) \quad \text{pos}(B_1, B'_1) = s, \text{pos}(B'_1, B_2) = sx_2$$

is an isomorphism. Under this isomorphism,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  ${}^y Y'_{x_1, x_2, y}$  corresponds to the analogous local system on  ${}^y Y'_{x_1 s, sx_2, y}$ . We have  $A' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_1 s, sx_2, y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ . We may apply the induction hypothesis to  $x_1 s, sx_2, y$ ; the desired result follows.

Assume next that  $l(x_1s) = l(x_1) + 1$ . Then we have a partition  ${}^yY'_{x_1, x_2, y} = {}'Y \sqcup {}''Y$  where  $'Y$  is the open subset defined by  $\text{pos}(B_0, B'_1) = x_1$  (with  $B'_1$  as in (b)) and  $''Y$  is the closed subset defined by  $\text{pos}(B_0, B'_1) = x_1s$  (with  $B'_1$  as in (b)). Let  $'\Pi : {}'Y \rightarrow Z_{J, y, \delta}$ ,  $''\Pi : {}''Y \rightarrow Z_{J, y, \delta}$  be the restrictions of  $\Pi_{x_1, x_2, y}$  to  $'Y, {}''Y$ . By general principles, we have either

(c)  $A' \dashv ({}'\Pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^s}$  or

(d)  $A' \dashv ({}''\Pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^s}$

where the restriction of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  to  $'Y$  or  $''Y$  is denoted again by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ .

Assume that (d) holds. Then

$$\iota'' : {}''Y \rightarrow {}^yY'_{x_1s, sx_2, y}, \quad (B_0, B_1, B_2, \gamma) \mapsto (B_0, B'_1, B_2, \gamma)$$

with  $B'_1$  as in (b), is a line bundle and  $\iota''_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is up to shift the local system on  ${}^yY'_{x_1s, sx_2, y}$  attached to  $\mathcal{L}$  (we denote it again by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ ). Since  $''\Pi = \Pi_{x_1s, sx_2, y} \iota''$ , it follows that  $A' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_1s, sx_2, y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^s}$ . We may apply the induction hypothesis to  $x_1s, sx_2, y$ ; the desired result follows.

Assume now that (c) holds. Then

$$\iota' : {}'Y \rightarrow {}^yY'_{x_1, sx_2, y}, \quad (B_0, B_1, B_2, \gamma) \mapsto (B_0, B'_1, B_2, \gamma)$$

with  $B'_1$  as in (b), makes  $Y'$  into the complement of the zero section of a line bundle over  ${}^yY'_{x_1, sx_2, y}$  and we have  $'\Pi = \Pi_{x_1, sx_2, y} \iota'$ . In the case where

(e) *the inverse image of  $\mathcal{L}$  under the coroot  $\mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow T$  corresponding to  $y^{-1}x_2^{-1}sx_2y$  is  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ ,*

(so that  $x_1sx_2y \in W_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}^1$ ),  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  (on  $'Y$ ) is  $\iota'^*$  of the local system on  ${}^yY'_{x_1, sx_2, y}$  (denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ ) hence we have an exact triangle consisting of  $\iota'_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  and a shift of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ . Hence  $A' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_1, sx_2, y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^s}$ . We may apply the induction hypothesis to  $x_1, sx_2, y$ ; the desired result follows. In the case where (e) does not hold, we have  $\iota'_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = 0$  hence  $'\Pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ , a contradiction. (a) is proved.

**11.12.** Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . For  $r \gg 0$  we have  $J_r = J'_r = J_{r+1} = J'_{r+1} = \dots$ , and  $u_r = u_{r+1} = \dots = 1$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{J_r}$ . Let  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  be a Levi of  $P$ . Then

$$C^{\mathbf{s}} = \{g \in G^1; {}^g L^{\mathbf{s}} = L^{\mathbf{s}}, \text{pos}(P, {}^g P) = y_r\}$$

(where  $y_r = u_{r-1}^{-1} \dots u_0^{-1} y$ ) is a connected component of  $N_{\hat{G}}(L^{\mathbf{s}})$ . Let  $X$  be a character sheaf on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  (the definition in 4.5 is applicable since  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  is a connected component of an algebraic group with identity component  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$ ). We regard  $X$  as a simple perverse sheaf on  $U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P$  via the obvious isomorphism  $C^{\mathbf{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P$ . Now  $X$  is  $P$ -equivariant for the conjugation action of  $P$ . Hence there is a well defined simple perverse sheaf  $X'$  on  $G \times_P (U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P)$  (with  $P$  acting on  $G$  by right translation) whose inverse image under

$$G \times (U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P) \rightarrow G \times_P (U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P)$$

is a shift of the inverse image of  $X$  under

$$pr_2 : G \times (U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P) \rightarrow U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P.$$

We may regard  $X'$  as a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J_r, y_r, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_r}$  via the isomorphism

$$G \times_P (U_P \backslash A_{y_r}(P, P)/U_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{J_r, y_r, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_r}, \quad (g, \gamma) \mapsto ({}^g P, {}^g P, g\gamma g^{-1}).$$

Now let  $\vartheta : Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow Z_{J_r, y_r, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_r}$  be a composition of maps in 8.20(a), a smooth map with connected fibres. Then  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{\vartheta}(X')$  is a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Let  $\hat{X}$  be the simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  whose support is the closure in  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  of  $\text{supp } \tilde{X}$  and whose restriction to  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is  $\tilde{X}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  be the class of simple perverse sheaves on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  consisting of all  $\tilde{X}$  as above. Let  $\mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}$  be the class of simple perverse sheaves on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  consisting of all  $\hat{X}$  as above (where  $\mathbf{s}$  varies). The isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}$  are in bijection with the set of pairs  $(\mathbf{s}, X)$  where  $\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$  and  $X$  is a character sheaf on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  (as above).

**Lemma 11.13.** *Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  and let  $w \in W$  be such that  $wy \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ ; let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be the corresponding local system on  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}$ . Let  $A'$  be a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  such that  $A' \dashv (\Pi_{w, y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ . Then  $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .*

More generally, we show that the lemma holds when  $J, \mathbf{s}$  are replaced by  $J_n, \mathbf{s}_n$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . First we show:

(a) if the result is true for  $n = 1$  then it is true for  $n = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  be related to  $\mathcal{L}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  as in 11.6. The restrictions of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  to  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ ,  $z^{-1} {}^y Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  (where  $z = u_0$ ) are again denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ . In the last cartesian diagram in 11.10,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the inverse image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  under

$${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow z^{-1} {}^y Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$$

(see the last sentence in 11.8). By the change of basis theorem, the direct image with compact support of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  under  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is  $\vartheta^*$  ( $\vartheta$  as in 8.19) of the direct image with compact support of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  under

$$z^{-1} {}^y Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1} \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}.$$

In other words,

$$(\Pi_{w, y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}} = \vartheta^* \left( (\Pi_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'|_{Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}} \right).$$

Thus,  $A' \dashv \vartheta^*((\Pi_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'|_{Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}})$ . Since  $\vartheta$  is an affine space bundle it follows that there exists a simple perverse sheaf  $A''$  on  $Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  such that  $A' = \tilde{\vartheta}(A'')$  and  $A'' \dashv (\Pi_{\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'|_{Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}}$ . Applying 11.11(a) for  $\delta^{-1}(b'), az, z^{-1}y, \mathbf{s}_1$  instead of  $x_1, x_2, y, \mathbf{s}$  we see that there exists  $x_0 \in W$  such that  $x_0 z^{-1}y \in W_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'}^1$  and  $A'' \dashv ((\Pi_{x_0, y} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}')|_{Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}})$ . By our assumption we have  $A'' \in \mathcal{C}'_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$ . From the definitions we have  $\vartheta(A'') \in \mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Thus, (a) holds.

Similarly, if the result holds for some  $n \geq 1$  then it holds for  $n - 1$ . (The proof is the same as for  $n = 1$ .) In this way we see that it suffices to prove the result for  $n \gg 0$ . Thus we may assume that  $J_0 = J'_0 = J_1 = J'_1 = \dots = J$  and  $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = 1$ . Then  $W_J y = y W_{\delta(J)}$ . In our case,  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}^{\mathbf{s}} \neq \emptyset$  hence there exist  $B_0, B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\text{pos}(B_0, B_1) = w$  and  $B_0, B_1$  are contained in the same parabolic of type  $J$ . Thus we have  $w \in W_J$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$  with  $T \subset P$ . Let  $L$  be the Levi of  $P$  such that  $T \subset L$ . Then

$$C = \{c \in G^1; {}^c L = L, \text{pos}(P, {}^c P) = y\}$$

is a connected component of  $N_{\hat{G}}(L)$ . Let  $Y'$  be the set of all  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, c)$  where  $\beta, \beta'$  are Borels of  $L$  such that  $\text{pos}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = w$  (position with respect to  $L$  with Weyl group  $W_J$ ) and  $c \in C$  is such that  ${}^c \beta_0 = \beta_1$ . Then  $P$  acts on  $Y'$  by  $p : (\beta_0, \beta_1, c) \mapsto ({}^l \beta_0, {}^l \beta_1, {}^l c)$  where  $l \in L, p \in lU_P$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_P Y' & \xrightarrow{\sim} & {}^y Y'_{w, y}^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_P C & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map is

$$(g, \beta_0, \beta_1, c) \mapsto ({}^g B_0, {}^g B_1, {}^g c)$$

with  $B_0 = \beta_0 U_P, B_1 = \beta_1 U_P$ , the lower horizontal map is

$$(g, c) \mapsto ({}^g P, {}^g P, U_P g c g^{-1} U_P),$$

the left vertical map is  $(g, \beta_0, \beta_1, c) \mapsto (g, c)$  and the right vertical map is  $\Pi_{w, y}$ . This commutative diagram shows that any composition factor of  $\bigoplus_i {}^p H^i((\Pi_{w, y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  is of the form  $X'$  (notation of 11.12) where  $X$  is a character sheaf on  $C$ ; hence it is in  $\mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 11.14.** *For  $\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J, y, \delta}$ , we set  $A^{\mathbf{s}} = A|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ . Then any composition factor of  $\bigoplus_i {}^p H^i(A^{\mathbf{s}})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}'_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .*

We can find  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  as in 11.1(b) such that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in \blacksquare$   $W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$  and  $A \dashv \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$  (see 11.1, 11.2). Since the complex  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$  is semisimple (see 11.1)

we have  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} \cong A[m] \oplus K'$  for some  $K' \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,y,\delta})$  and some  $m \in \mathbf{z}$ . Hence  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}} \cong A^{\mathbf{s}}[m] \oplus K'_1$  for some  $K'_1 \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}})$ . It suffices to show that, if  $A' \dashv \bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ , then  $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . As in [L3, 2.11-2.16] we see that there exists  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T), w \in W$  such that  $wy \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$  and  $A' \dashv \mathcal{K}_{w,y}^{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$ . Using Lemma 11.13 we have  $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 11.15.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}$ .*

Since  $Z_{J,y,\delta} = \sqcup_{J \subset I} Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ , we can find  $\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$  such that  $\text{supp}(A) \cap Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is open dense in  $\text{supp}(A)$ . Then  $A^{\mathbf{s}} = A|_{Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$  is a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  and  $A^{\mathbf{s}} \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  (Lemma 11.14). Now  $A, A^{\mathbf{s}}$  are related just as  $\hat{X}, \tilde{X}$  are related in 11.12. Hence  $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 11.16.** *Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ ,  $C, X, X', \tilde{X}, \hat{X}$  be as in 11.12. For any  $n \geq 0$  define a simple perverse sheaf  $X'_n$  on  $Z_{J_n, y_n, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_n}$  by  $X'_n = \tilde{\vartheta}(X'_{n+1})$  where  $\vartheta : Z_{J_n, y_n, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_n} \rightarrow Z_{J_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_{n+1}}$  is as in 8.20(a) for  $n \geq 0$  and  $X'_n = X'$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Define  $a_n \in W^{J'_n}$  by  $a_n^{-1} = u_n u_{n+1} \dots u_m$  for  $m \gg 0$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  there exists  $\mathcal{L}_n \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  and  $b'_n \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$  (see 2.6) such that  $a_n y_n b'_n \in W_{\mathcal{L}_n}^1$  and  $X'_n \dashv (\Pi_{a_n y_n b'_n y_n^{-1}, y_n})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n|_{Z_{J_n, y_n, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_n}}$ .*

Assume that the result holds for  $n = 1$ ; we show that it holds for  $n = 0$ . By assumption we have  $X'_1 \dashv (\Pi_{a_1 b'_1 y_1^{-1} z, z^{-1} y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1|_{Z_{J_1, y_1, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_1}}$  where  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1, z = u_0, a = a_0$ . (We have  $a_1 y_1 = a y$ .) We consider the cartesian diagram in 11.10 with  $w = a_1 b'_1 y_1^{-1}$  where  $\delta^{-1}(b'_1) a = a_1 b'_1 y_1^{-1}$ . (We have  $b' = \delta(a_1 b'_1 y_1^{-1} a^{-1}) \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$  by 2.6.) The inverse image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1$  under  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow {}^{z^{-1} y} Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b') a z, z^{-1} y}{}^{\mathbf{s}_1}$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  for some  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  (see 11.8). Using the change basis theorem for the cartesian diagram in 11.10 we deduce that  $X'_0 \dashv (\Pi_{a_0 b'_0 y_0^{-1}, y_0})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J_0, y_0, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}_0}}$ .

The same argument shows that, if the result holds for some  $n \geq 1$  then it also holds for  $n - 1$ . In this way it suffices to show that the result holds for  $n \gg 0$ . Replacing  $\mathbf{s}, n$  by  $\mathbf{s}_n, 0$ , we may assume that  $J_0 = J'_0 = J_1 = J'_1 = \dots = J$ ,  $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = 1$  and  $n = 0$ . Let  $P, L$  be as in 11.13. We can find  $w \in W_J$  such that  $X \dashv (pr_3)! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  where  $pr_3 : Y' \rightarrow C$  is defined with  $Y'$  as in 11.13 in terms of  $w$ ; here  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the corresponding local system on  $Y'$ . Using the commutative diagram in 11.13 we see that  $X' \dashv (\Pi_{y b' y^{-1}, y})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}}$  where  $b' = y^{-1} w y \in W_{\delta(J)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the local system on  ${}^y Y'_{w, y}{}^{\mathbf{s}}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 11.17.** *Let  $\mathbf{s} = (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)$ . Define  $a \in W^{J'}$  by  $a^{-1} = u_0 u_1 \dots u_m$  for  $m \gg 0$ . Let  $b' \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$ . Then the image of  $\Pi_{a y b' y^{-1}, y} : {}^y Y'_{a y b' y^{-1}, y} \rightarrow Z_{J, y, \delta}$  is contained in  $Z_{J, y, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .*

Let  $(B_0, B_1, \gamma) \in {}^y Y'_{a y b' y^{-1}, y}$ . Let  $(P, P', \gamma) = \Pi_{a y b' y^{-1}, y}(B_0, B_1, \gamma)$ . We have

$yb'^{-1}y^{-1} \in W_{J'}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pos}(P', P) &= \min(W_{J'} \text{pos}(B_1, B_0) W_J) = \min(W_{J'} y b'^{-1} y^{-1} a^{-1} W_J) \\ &= \min(W_{J'} a^{-1} W_J) = u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta;z}$  where  $z = u_0$ . Let  $(P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1)$  be the image of  $(P, P', \gamma)$  under  $Z_{J,y,\delta;z} \rightarrow Z_{J_1, z^{-1}y, \delta}$  (see 11.5). By the cartesian diagram in 11.8, there exists

$$(\tilde{B}_0, \tilde{B}_1, \tilde{\gamma}) \in {}^{z^{-1}y}Y'_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}$$

such that

$$\Pi_{\delta^{-1}(b')az, z^{-1}y}(\tilde{B}_0, \tilde{B}_1, \tilde{\gamma}) = (P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1).$$

We have  $\delta^{-1}(b'^{-1}) \in W_{J_\infty} \subset W_{J_1}$  hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pos}(P'_1, P_1) &= \min(W_{J'_1} \text{pos}(\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_0) W_{J_1}) = \min(W_{J'_1} z^{-1} a^{-1} \delta^{-1}(b'^{-1}) W_{J_1}) = \\ &= \min(W_{J'_1} u_0^{-1} a^{-1} W_{J_1}) = u_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\delta^{-1}(b')az = (az)(z^{-1}y)b'_1(y^{-1}z)$  where  $b'_1 = (ay)^{-1}\delta^{-1}(b')ay \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$  (see 2.6). Hence in the previous argument we may replace  $B_0, B_1, \gamma, P, P', a, y, b'$  by  $\tilde{B}_0, \tilde{B}_1, \tilde{\gamma}, P_1, P'_1, au_0, u_0^{-1}y, b'_1$  and we see that the image  $(P_2, P'_2, \gamma_2)$  of  $(P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1)$  under  $Z_{J_1, u_0^{-1}y, \delta; u_1} \rightarrow Z_{J_2, u_1^{-1}u_0^{-1}y, \delta}$  satisfies  $\text{pos}(P'_2, P_2) = u_2$ . Continuing this process we find that  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}^s$ . The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 11.18.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,y,\delta}$  then  $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}$ .*

Let  $\mathfrak{s}, \tilde{X}, \hat{X}$  be as in the proof of Lemma 11.16. We may assume that  $A = \hat{X}$ . By Lemma 11.16 we have  $\tilde{X} \dashv (\Pi_{ayb'y^{-1},y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z_{J,y,\delta}^s}$  where  $a = a_0$  (see 11.16),  $b' \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$  for some  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  with  $ayb' \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ . By Lemma 11.17,  $\Pi_{ayb'y^{-1},y} : {}^yY'_{ayb'y^{-1},y} \rightarrow Z_{J,y,\delta}$  factors through a map  $\Pi' : {}^yY'_{ayb'y^{-1},y} \rightarrow Z_{J,y,\delta}^s$  and  $\tilde{X} = \Pi'_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Thus there exists a simple perverse sheaf on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  whose support is the closure in  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  of  $\text{supp}(\tilde{X})$ , whose restriction to  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^s$  is  $\tilde{X}$  and which is a composition factor of  $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\Pi_{ayb'y^{-1},y})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ ; this is necessarily  $\hat{X}$ . We see that  $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{J,y,\delta}$ . The lemma is proved.

**11.19.** For  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$  let  $H_P$  be the inverse image of the connected centre of  $P/U_P$  under  $P \rightarrow P/U_P$ . For  $P, \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}_J$ , the groups  $H_P/U_P, H_{\tilde{P}}/U_{\tilde{P}}$  are canonically isomorphic (an element  $h \in G$  that conjugates  $P$  into  $\tilde{P}$  induces an isomorphism  $H_P/U_P \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\tilde{P}}/U_{\tilde{P}}$  that is independent of the choice of  $h$ ). Thus we may identify the groups  $H_P/U_P$  (with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ ) with a single torus  $\Delta_J$  independent of  $P$ . Now  $\Delta_J$  acts (freely) on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  by  $\delta : (P, P', \gamma) \mapsto (P, P', \gamma z)$  where  $z \in H_P$  represents  $\delta \in \Delta_J$  and each piece  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^s$  is  $\Delta_J$ -stable. We set

$$(a) \quad \bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^s = \Delta_J \backslash Z_{J,y,\delta}^s, \bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta} = \Delta_J \backslash Z_{J,y,\delta} = \sqcup_{\mathfrak{s}} \bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^s.$$



The action of  $G$  on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  and on  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  commutes with the action of  $\Delta_J$  and induces an action of  $G$  on  $\bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$  and on  $\bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ .

For  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}$  we have naturally

$$H_P/U_P \subset H_{P_1}/U_{P_1} \subset \dots \subset H_{P_r}/U_{P_r} \subset \dots$$

hence we may identify  $\Delta_J$  with a (closed) subgroup of the centre of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  (as above). The bijection 8.21(a) induces a bijection

$$(b) \quad G \backslash \bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta} \leftrightarrow \sqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y)\delta)} (L^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J) \backslash (C^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J).$$

Here  $C^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J$  is the orbit space for the free action of  $\Delta_J$  by right translation on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  (restriction of the action of the centre of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  by right translation) and the action of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J$  on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J$  is induced by the conjugation action of  $L^{\mathbf{s}}$  on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$ .

## 12. COMPLETION

**12.1.** Assume that  $P, P'$  are two parabolics of  $G$  (as in 0.1) and that  $\Phi : P/U_P \rightarrow P'/U_{P'}$  is an isomorphism of algebraic groups. Let  $H_{P,P'}^{\Phi}$  be the set of all  $(f, f') \in P \times P'$  such that  $\Phi$  carries the image of  $f$  in  $P/U_P$  to the image of  $f'$  in  $P'/U_{P'}$ . Clearly,  $H_{P,P'}^{\Phi}$  is a closed connected subgroup of  $G \times G$  of dimension  $\dim U_P + \dim U_{P'} + \dim(P/U_P) = \dim G$  and  $P, P', \Phi$  can be reconstructed from  $H_{P,P'}^{\Phi}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_G$  be the (projective) variety whose points are the  $\dim(G)$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras of  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$ . We have  $\text{Lie } H_{P,P'}^{\Phi} \in \mathcal{V}_G$ .

**12.2.** Assume now that we are in the setup of 3.1. Let  $J, J', y$  be as in 8.8. For  $(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}$  we set  $\Phi_{\gamma} = ba^{-1}\text{Ad}(g) : P/U_P \xrightarrow{\sim} P'/U_{P'}$  where  $g \in \gamma$  and  $a, b$  are the obvious isomorphisms in

$$P/U_P \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(g)} {}^gP/U_{{}^gP} \xleftarrow{a} ({}^gP \cap P')/U_{{}^gP \cap P'} \xrightarrow{b} P'/U_{P'}.$$

Now  $\Phi_{\gamma}$  is independent of the choice of  $g$  and  $(P, P', \gamma) \mapsto \text{Lie } H_{P,P'}^{\Phi_{\gamma}}$  is an imbedding

$$(a) \quad \bar{Z}_{J,y,\delta} \subset \mathcal{V}_G$$

(notation of 11.19(a)).

**12.3.** In the remainder of this section we assume that  $G$  is adjoint. Recall that two parabolics  $Q, Q'$  of  $G$  are said to be opposed if their intersection is a common Levi of  $Q, Q'$ . (We then write  $Q \bowtie Q'$ .) If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $Q$  is a parabolic in  $G$ , we write  $B \bowtie Q$  if  $B$  is opposed to some Borel of  $Q$ .

Let  $J \subset I$ . Define  $J^* \subset I$  by  $\{Q; Q \bowtie P \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}_J\} = \mathcal{P}_{J^*}$ . Let  $y_J$  be the longest element in  $W^{\delta(J)}$ . If  $(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*} \times G^1$ , then  $P' \bowtie {}^gP$  if and only if  $g \in A_{y_J}(P, P')$  (see 3.8). Let

$$\bar{A}_{y_J}(P, P') = H_{P'} \backslash A_{y_J}(P, P')/U_P = U_{P'} \backslash A_{y_J}(P, P')/H_P,$$

$$G_J^1 = \{(P, P', \mu); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*}, \mu \in \bar{A}_{y_J}(P, P')\} = \bar{Z}_{J, y_J, \delta},$$

$$\bar{G}^1 = \sqcup_{J \subset I} G_J^1.$$

(notation of 11.19(a)). We define a structure of algebraic variety on  $\bar{G}^1$ . We identify  $G_J^1$  with a subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}_G$  by 12.2(a). Since  $G$  is adjoint we have  $G_I^1 = G^1$ . By [DP],  $\bar{G}^1$  is the closure of  $G_I^1 = G^1$  in  $\mathcal{V}_G$ , so that  $\bar{G}^1$  is a projective variety. (In [DP] it is assumed that  $G = G^1$  but the general case can be easily reduced to this special case; in fact,  $\bar{G}^1$  is isomorphic to the analogous variety in the case  $G = G^1$ .) We have a partition

$$\bar{G}^1 = \sqcup_{J \subset I} \sqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y_J)\delta)} \bar{Z}_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$$

(see 11.19(a)) refining the partition  $\bar{G}^1 = \sqcup_{J \subset I} \Gamma_J^1$ . Putting together the bijections 11.19(b) we obtain a canonical bijection

$$(a) \quad G \backslash \bar{G}^1 \leftrightarrow \sqcup_{J \subset I} \sqcup_{\mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y_J)\delta)} (L^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J) \backslash (C^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J).$$

Here the action of  $G$  on  $\bar{G}^1$  is the extension of the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $G^1$  and  $(L^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J) \backslash (C^{\mathbf{s}}/\Delta_J)$  is as in 11.19.

Let  $J \subset I, \mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y_J)\delta)$  and let  $X$  be a character sheaf on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  that is equivariant for the free action of  $\Delta_J$  by right translation. The simple perverse sheaf  $\tilde{X}$  on  $Z_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  (see 11.12) is  $\Delta_J$ -equivariant hence it is a shift of the inverse image of a well defined simple perverse sheaf  $\underline{\tilde{X}}$  on  $\bar{Z}_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  under the canonical map  $Z_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow \bar{Z}_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Let  $\hat{X}$  be the simple perverse sheaf on  $\bar{G}^1$  whose support is the closure in  $\bar{G}^1$  of  $\text{supp } \underline{\tilde{X}}$  and whose restriction to  $\bar{Z}_{J, y_J, \delta}^{\mathbf{s}}$  is  $\underline{\tilde{X}}$ .

The character sheaves on  $\bar{G}^1$  are by definition the simple perverse sheaves on  $\bar{G}^1$  of the form  $\hat{X}$  with  $X$  as above. The character sheaves on  $\bar{G}^1$  are in bijection with the set of triples  $(J, \mathbf{s}, X)$  where  $J \subset I, \mathbf{s} \in S(J, \text{Ad}(y_J)\delta)$  and  $X$  is a character sheaf on  $C^{\mathbf{s}}$  that is equivariant for the free action of  $\Delta_J$  by right translation.

**12.4.** We now give another definition of a structure of algebraic variety on the set  $\bar{G}^1$  which does not use  $\mathcal{V}_G$ . For  $B, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $J \subset I$ , let

$$G_J^{1, B, \tilde{B}} = \{(P, P', \mu) \in G_J^1; B \rtimes P', \tilde{B} \rtimes P, {}^g(P^{\tilde{B}}) \rtimes P'^B\}$$

where  $g \in \mu$  (an open subset of  $G_J^1$ ). By the substitution  $(P, P', \mu) \mapsto (B_1, B'_1, \mu)$  where  $B_1 = P^{\tilde{B}}, B'_1 = P'^B$ , we may identify  $G_J^{1, B, \tilde{B}}$  with the set of all triples  $(B_1, B'_1, \mu)$  where  $B_1, B'_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{pos}(B, B'_1) = y_J^*$ ,  $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B_1) = y_{\delta^{-1}(J)}$ ,  $\mu \in \bar{A}_{y_J}(P, P')$  (with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*}$  defined by  $B_1 \subset P, B'_1 \subset P'$ ) and  ${}^g B_1 \rtimes B'_1$  for some/any  $g \in \mu$ .

In particular,  $G_I^{1, B, \tilde{B}} = \{g \in G^1; {}^g \tilde{B} \rtimes B\}$ . Moreover,  $G_{\emptyset}^{1, B, \tilde{B}} = \{(B_1, B'_1) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; B_1 \rtimes \tilde{B}, B'_1 \rtimes B\}$  (we omit the  $\mu$ -component since it is uniquely determined).

Define  $\rho_J : G_I^{1,B,\tilde{B}} \rightarrow G_J^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$  by

$$\rho_J(g) = (g^{-1}P_J, {}^g\tilde{P}_J, gH_{\tilde{P}_J}g^{-1}U_{P_J}g)$$

where  $P_J \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ ,  $\tilde{P}_J \in \mathcal{P}_{J^*}$  are given by  $B \subset P_J$ ,  $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{P}_J$ . This is well defined: if  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  are opposed and  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ ,  $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*}$  satisfy  $B_1 \subset P_1$ ,  $B_2 \subset P_2$ , then  $P_1^{B_2} \bowtie P_2^{B_1}$ ; apply this to  $B_1 = B$ ,  $B_2 = {}^g\tilde{B}$ .

In particular,  $g \mapsto (g^{-1}B, {}^g\tilde{B})$  is a morphism  $\rho_\emptyset : G_I^{1,B,\tilde{B}} \rightarrow G_\emptyset^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ . We show that

(a)  $\rho_\emptyset$  is a principal  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}$ -bundle.

We fix  $(B_1, B'_1) \in G_\emptyset^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ . Let  $F = \rho_\emptyset^{-1}(B_1, B'_1)$ . Since  $B_1 \bowtie \tilde{B}$  and  $B'_1 \bowtie B$ , we can find  $g_0 \in G$  such that  ${}^{g_0}B_1 = B$ ,  ${}^{g_0}\tilde{B} = B'_1$ . Next we can find  $g_1 \in G^1$  such that  ${}^{g_1}B = B$ ,  ${}^{g_1}B'_1 = B'_1$ . We have  ${}^{g_1^{-1}g_0}B_1 = B$ ,  ${}^{g_1^{-1}g_0}\tilde{B} = B'_1$ . Hence  $g_1^{-1}g_0 \in F$  and  $F \neq \emptyset$ .

We show that  $F$  is a free homogeneous  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}$ -space. Since  $\tilde{B} \bowtie B_1$ , we have canonically  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}} = \tilde{B} \cap B_1$ . It suffices to show that  $F$  is a free homogeneous  $\tilde{B} \cap B_1$ -space. Now  $\tilde{B} \cap B_1$  acts freely on  $F$  by  $t : g \mapsto gt$ . Let  $g, g' \in F$ . Since  $g^{-1}B = g'^{-1}B$  and  $g'g^{-1} \in G$ , we have  $g' = bg$  where  $b \in B$ . Since  ${}^g\tilde{B} = {}^{g'}\tilde{B}$  and  $g^{-1}g' \in G$ , we have  $g' = g\tilde{b}$  where  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{B}$ . We have  $\tilde{b} = g^{-1}g' = g^{-1}b \in g^{-1}B = B_1$ . Thus,  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{B} \cap B_1$ . This proves (a).

Similarly,

(b)  $\rho_J$  is a principal  $H_{\tilde{P}_J}/U_{\tilde{P}_J}$ -bundle. ( $\tilde{P}_J$  is as above.)

Using simple roots we identify  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}} = (\mathbf{k}^*)^I$  (we use again that  $G$  is adjoint). Now  $(\mathbf{k}^*)^I$  acts on  $\mathbf{k}^I$  by multiplication on each factor. This may be regarded as an action of  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}$  on  $\mathbf{k}^I$ . Using the principal  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}$ -bundle  $\rho_\emptyset$  we may form the associated bundle

$$X^{B,\tilde{B}} = G_I^{1,B,\tilde{B}} \times_{\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}} \mathbf{k}^I$$

(a  $\mathbf{k}^I$ -bundle over the affine space  $G_\emptyset^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ ). Now  $X^{B,\tilde{B}}$  is an affine space of dimension  $\dim(G)$ . We have an obvious partition  $\mathbf{k}^I = \sqcup_{J \subset I} (\mathbf{k}^*)^J$  and each piece is  $\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}$ -stable. Hence there is a partition

$$X^{B,\tilde{B}} = \sqcup_{J \subset I} X_J^{B,\tilde{B}}$$

where  $X_J^{B,\tilde{B}} = G_I^{1,B,\tilde{B}} \times_{\tilde{B}/U_{\tilde{B}}} (\mathbf{k}^*)^J$ . We may identify  $X_J^{B,\tilde{B}}$  with the orbit space of  $G_I^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$  by  $H_{\tilde{P}_J}/U_{\tilde{P}_J}$ , hence (using (b)) with  $G_J^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ . Thus, we may identify  $X^{B,\tilde{B}}$  with the subset of  $\sqcup_{J \subset I} G_J^1$  so that  $X^{B,\tilde{B}} \cap G_J^1 = G_J^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ . We show that for any  $J$  we have

(c)  $G_J^1 = \cup_{B,\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}} G_J^{1,B,\tilde{B}}$ .

Let  $(P, P', \mu) \in G_J^1, g \in \mu$ . Since  ${}^g P \rtimes P'$ , we can find Borels  $B_1 \subset P, B'_1 \subset P'$  such that  ${}^g B_1 \rtimes B'_1$ . We can find  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \rtimes P'$  and  $P'^B = B'_1$ . We can find  $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\tilde{B} \rtimes P$  and  $P^{\tilde{B}} = B_1$ . Then  $(P, P', \gamma) \in G_J^{1, B, \tilde{B}}$ . This proves (c).

From (c) we deduce

$$(d) \quad \bar{G}^1 = \cup_{B, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}} X^{B, \tilde{B}}.$$

We can now define a structure of algebraic variety on  $\bar{G}^1$  by declaring that  $X^{B, \tilde{B}}$  is an open subvariety of  $\bar{G}^1$  for any  $B, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ . We see that  $\bar{G}^1$  has a covering by open subsets isomorphic to the affine space of dimension  $\dim(G)$ .

**12.5.** Let  $V = \{(B, B', g) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times G^1; B' \rtimes {}^g B\}$ . The fibre of  $pr_{12} : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$  at  $(B, B')$  is just  $G_I^{1, B', B}$ . There is a unique free action of the torus  $\Delta_\emptyset$  (see 11.19) on  $V$  whose restriction to  $G_I^{1, B', B}$  is the action of  $\Delta_\emptyset = B/U_B$  appearing in 12.4(a). By 12.4(a), this makes  $V$  into a principal  $\Delta_\emptyset$ -bundle over

$$(a) \quad \{(B, B', B_1, B'_1) \in \mathcal{B}^4; B_1 \rtimes B, B'_1 \rtimes B'\}.$$

As in 12.4, we form the associated bundle

$$X = V \times_{\Delta_\emptyset} \mathbf{k}^I$$

(a  $\mathbf{k}^I$ -bundle over (a)). For  $(B, B') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ , the inclusion  $G_I^{1, B', B} \subset V$  gives rise to an inclusion  $G_I^{1, B', B} \times_{\Delta_\emptyset} \mathbf{k}^I \subset V \times_{\Delta_\emptyset} \mathbf{k}^I$  that is,  $X^{B', B} \subset X$ . The subsets  $X^{B', B}$  form a partition of  $X$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$  be the morphism which induces for any  $B, B'$  the identity map  $X^{B', B} \rightarrow X^{B', B}$ . We have a partition

$$X = \sqcup_{J \subset I} X_J$$

where  $X_J = V_J \times_{\Delta_\emptyset} (\mathbf{k}^*)^J$ . We may identify  $X_J$  with the orbit space of  $X$  by  $\Delta_{I-J}$  hence, using 12.4(b), with the set of all quintuples  $(B_1, B'_1, B, B', \mu)$  where

$$(B, B', B_1, B'_1) \in \mathcal{B}^4, \text{pos}(B', B'_1) = y_{J^*}, \text{pos}(B, B_1) = y_{\delta^{-1}(J)}, \mu \in \bar{A}_{y_J}(P, P')$$

(with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*}$  defined by  $B_1 \subset P, B'_1 \subset P'$ ) and  ${}^g B_1 \rtimes B'_1$  for some/any  $g \in \mu$ . Now  $\pi : X \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$  restricts to the map  $X_J \rightarrow G_J^1$  given by  $(B_1, B'_1, B, B', \mu) \mapsto (P, P', \mu)$  (with  $P, P'$  as above).

For  $w \in W$  we set  $V^w = \{(B, B', g) \in V; \text{pos}(B, B') = w\}$ ,  $X^w = V^w \times_{\Delta_\emptyset} \mathbf{k}^I$ . The sets  $X_J^w = X^w \cap X_J$  form a partition of  $X$ . If  $(B_1, B'_1, B, B', \mu) \in X_J^w$ , then

$$\text{pos}(B_1, B) = y_{\delta^{-1}(J)}^{-1}, \text{pos}(B, B') = w, \text{pos}(B', B'_1) = y_{J^*}, \text{pos}(B'_1, {}^g B_1) = y_\emptyset$$

for  $g \in \mu$ . Hence  $(B_1, B, B', B'_1, {}^g B_1, g) \in Y_{\mathbf{x}}$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (y_{\delta^{-1}(J)}^{-1}, w, y_{J^*}, y_0)$  (see 4.2).

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$  be the set of all  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \gamma)$  where  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathcal{B}^5$  and  $\gamma \in U_{P'} \setminus A_{y_J}(P, P')/U_P$  (with  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)^*}$  defined by  $\beta_0 \subset P, \beta_3 \subset P'$ ) are such that  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, g) \in Y_{\mathbf{x}}$  for some/any  $g \in \gamma$ .

The obvious map  $Y_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is an affine space bundle. The obvious map  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow X_J^w$  is a principal  $\Delta_{\delta(J)}$ -bundle. Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$  be such that  $y_{\delta^{-1}(J)}^{-1} w y_{J^*} y_0 \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$  and such that the associated local system  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the inverse image under the composition  $Y_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow X_J^w$  of a local system  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$  on  $X_J^w$ . (In any case,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the inverse image under  $Y_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$  of a well defined local system on  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$  and we require that this last local system is  $\Delta_{\delta(J)}$ -equivariant.) Let  $\mathbf{K}_{w,J}^{\mathcal{L}}$  be the direct image with compact support of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$  under  $X_J^w \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$  (restriction of  $\pi : X \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$ ).

We give a second definition of character sheaves on  $\bar{G}^1$  as the simple perverse sheaves on  $\bar{G}^1$  which are composition factors of  $\bigoplus_i {}^p H^i(\mathbf{K}_{w,J}^{\mathcal{L}})$  for some  $w, J, \mathcal{L}$  as above. We expect that this coincides with the definition in 12.3.

**12.6.** There is a unique simple perverse sheaf  $\mathbf{S}$  on  $G^1$  such that:

- (a)  $\mathbf{S}$  is a direct summand of the perverse sheaf  $(pr_1)_! \mathbf{Q}_l[\dim G]$  where  $pr_1 : \{(g, B) \in G^1 \times \mathcal{B}; {}^g B = B\} \rightarrow G^1$  is the first projection (a small map);
- (b) if  $J \subsetneq I, \delta(J) = J$ , then  $\mathbf{S}$  is not a direct summand of the perverse sheaf  $(pr_1)_! \mathbf{Q}_l[\dim G]$  where  $pr_1 : \{(g, P) \in G^1 \times \mathcal{P}_J; {}^g P = P\} \rightarrow G^1$  is the first projection (a small map).

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$  be the simple perverse sheaf on  $\bar{G}^1$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}|_{G^1} = \mathbf{S}$ . For  $x \in \bar{G}^1$  let  $G_x$  be the stabilizer of  $x$  in  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$  be the stalk at  $x$  of the  $i$ -th cohomology sheaf of  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ .

We conjecture that the following three conditions on  $x \in \bar{G}^1$  are equivalent:

- (c)  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(\tilde{\mathbf{S}}) \neq 0$  for some  $i$ ;
- (d)  $\sum_i \dim \mathcal{H}_x^i(\tilde{\mathbf{S}}) = 1$ ;
- (e)  $G_x$  is a reductive group.

If we assume that  $x \in G^1$ , then the equivalence of (c),(d),(e) is known.

**12.7.** A difficulty in proving the conjecture in 12.6 is that the small map in 12.6(a) does not seem to extend to a small map over all of  $\bar{G}^1$ . There is one case when such an extension exists (partially). Assume that  $G = G^1 = PGL(V)$  where  $V$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let

$$Y = \{\tau \in \text{End}(V); \dim \ker(\tau) \leq 1\}/\mathbf{k}^*$$

where  $\mathbf{k}^*$  acts by scalar multiplication. For  $\tau$  as above let  $\bar{\tau}$  be the image of  $\tau$  in  $Y$ . Let  $Y_0 = \{\tau \in \text{End}(V); \dim \ker(\tau) = 1\}/\mathbf{k}^*$ . We may identify  $Y$  with an open subset of  $\bar{G}^1$  so that  $Y - Y_0$  corresponds to the open stratum  $G^1$  and  $Y_0$  corresponds to a codimension 1 stratum  $G_{J_0}^1$ . Let  $\tilde{Y}$  be the set of all  $(\bar{\tau}, V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_d)$  where  $\bar{\tau} \in Y$  and  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_d$  are subspaces of  $V$  ( $\dim V_i = i$ ) such that  $\tau(V_i) \subset V_i$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\tilde{Y}$  is smooth and  $pr_1 : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a small map.

Its restriction to  $pr_1^{-1}(G)$  may be identified with the small map in 12.6(a). Let  $K_\emptyset = (pr_1)_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\dim G]$ , a perverse sheaf on  $Y$ . More generally, for any  $J \subset I$  we have a perverse sheaf  $K_J$  on  $Y$  defined like  $K_\emptyset$  but using flags of type  $J$  (see 8.24) in  $V$  instead of complete flags. Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}' = \tilde{\mathbf{S}}|_Y$  that is, the simple perverse sheaf on  $Y$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}'|_G = \mathbf{S}$ . Now  $\mathbf{S}$  is an alternating sum over  $J$  of the perverse sheaves  $K_J|_G$ ; hence  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}'$  is an alternating sum over  $J$  of the perverse sheaves  $K_J$ . Using this, one can compute explicitly the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}'$  at any  $x \in Y_0$ . Thus one can verify that the conjecture in 12.6 holds in our case for  $x \in Y_0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [B] R.Bédard, *On the Brauer liftings for modular representations*, J.Algebra **93** (1985), 332-353.
- [BBD] A.A.Beilinson, J.Bernstein and P.Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).
- [DP] C.De Concini and C.Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini 1982), Lect.Notes Math., vol. 996, Springer, 1983, pp. 1-44.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves, I*, Adv.Math. **56** (1985), 193-237.
- [L9] G.Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves, I*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139