

TOWARD THE GENERAL THEORY OF AFFINE LINKING NUMBERS

VLADIMIR V. CHERNOV (TCHERNOV) AND YULI B. RUDYAK

ABSTRACT. Let N_1, N_2, M be smooth manifolds such that $\dim N_1 + \dim N_2 + 1 = \dim M$ and let ϕ_i , $i = 1, 2$, be smooth mappings of N_i to M such that $\text{Im } \phi_1 \cap \text{Im } \phi_2 = \emptyset$. The classical linking number $\text{lk}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ is defined only when $\phi_{1*}[N_1] = \phi_{2*}[N_2] = 0 \in H_*(M)$.

Affine linking number alk is the generalization of the classical invariant to the case of nonzero-homologous $\phi_{1*}[N_1], \phi_{2*}[N_2]$. Recently in [5] we have constructed the first examples of alk-invariants of nonzero-homologous spheres in the spherical tangent bundle of a manifold and showed that alk is intimately related to the causality relation of wave fronts on manifolds.

In this paper we develop the general theory of alk- invariants in the case of nonzero-homologous $\phi_{1*}[N_1]$ and $\phi_{2*}[N_2]$. We show that alk is a universal Goussarov–Vassiliev invariant of order ≤ 1 . In case of $\phi_{1*}[N_1] = \phi_{2*}[N_2] = 0 \in H_*(M)$ the alk-invariant appears to be a splitting of the classical linking number into a collection of independent invariants.

To construct alk we introduce a new pairing on the bordism groups of space of mappings of N_1 and N_2 into M . For the case $N_1 = N_2 = S^1$ this pairing can be regarded as an analog of the string-homology pairing constructed by Chas and Sullivan, and it is a generalization of the Goldman Lie bracket.

PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper M is a smooth connected oriented manifold (not necessarily compact), and N_1, N_2 are smooth oriented closed manifolds. The dimensions of M, N_1, N_2 are denoted by m, n_1, n_2 , respectively. The one-point space is denoted by pt .

Given a space X , we denote by $\Omega_n(X)$ the n -dimensional oriented bordism group of X . Recall that $\Omega_n(X)$ is the set of the equivalence classes of (continuous) maps $f : V^n \rightarrow X$ where V is a closed oriented manifold. Here two maps $f_1 : V_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2 : V_2 \rightarrow X$ are equivalent if there exists a map $g : W^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ where W is a compact oriented manifold whose oriented boundary ∂W is diffeomorphic to $V_1 \cup (-V_2)$ and $g|_{\partial W} = f$. Furthermore, the operation of disjoint sum converts $\Omega_n(X)$ into an abelian group. See [12, 15, 16] for details.

Date: April 15, 2003.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N22, 55N45, 57M27, 57Q45; Secondary 14M07, 53Z05, 55M25, 55S99, 57R40, 57R45, 57R52, 78A40, 81T30, 85A40, 94A12.

Key words and phrases. Linking invariants, winding numbers, Goldman bracket, wave fronts, causality, bordisms, intersections, isotopy, embeddings.

Let $[V] \in H_n(V)$ be the fundamental class of a closed oriented n -dimensional manifold V . Every map $f : V \rightarrow X$ gives us an element $f_*[V] \in H_n(X)$, and the correspondence $(V, f) \mapsto f_*[V]$ yields the Steenrod–Thom homomorphism

$$\tau : \Omega_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X).$$

It turns out to be that this homomorphism is an isomorphism for $n \leq 3$ and an epimorphism for $n \leq 6$, [17], see [12, 15] for modern proofs.

Let $\mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2$, be a path-connected component of the spaces of smooth mappings of N_i to M . Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2}$ be the space of quadruples $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ where $\phi_i : N_i \rightarrow M, i = 1, 2$, belong to \mathcal{N}_i and $\rho_i : \text{pt} \rightarrow N_i$ are such that $\phi_1 \rho_1 = \phi_2 \rho_2$. Clearly, \mathcal{B} can be regarded as a subset of $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \times N_1 \times N_2$, and we equip \mathcal{B} with the subspace topology.

1. THE PAIRING $\mu : \Omega_i(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \Omega_j(\mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{i+j+n_1+n_2-m}(\mathcal{B})$

Let $\alpha_1 : F_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ be a mapping representing $[\alpha_1] \in \Omega_i(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and let $\alpha_2 : F_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2$ be a mapping representing $[\alpha_2] \in \Omega_j(\mathcal{N}_2)$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_i : F_i \times N_i \rightarrow M, i = 1, 2$, be such that $\tilde{\alpha}_i(f, n) = (\alpha_i(f))(n)$. Let $v_1 \in F_1 \times N_1$ and $v_2 \in F_2 \times N_2$ be such that $\tilde{\alpha}_1(v_1) = \tilde{\alpha}_2(v_2)$. We say that $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ are transverse at (v_1, v_2) if $d\tilde{\alpha}_2(T_{v_2}(F_2 \times N_2))$ and $d\tilde{\alpha}_1(T_{v_1}(F_1 \times N_1))$ generate $T_{\tilde{\alpha}_1(v_1)}M = T_{\tilde{\alpha}_2(v_2)}M$. Following standard arguments we can assume that $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ are *transverse*, i.e. they are transverse along all (v_1, v_2) such that $\tilde{\alpha}_1(v_1) = \tilde{\alpha}_2(v_2)$.

Consider the pull-back diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{j_1} & F_1 \times N_1 \\ \downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_1 \\ F_2 \times N_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_2} & M \end{array}$$

of the maps $\tilde{\alpha}_i, i = 1, 2$.

1.1. Lemma. *If $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ are transverse, then V is a closed $(i + j + n_1 + n_2 - m)$ -dimensional manifold.* \square

Let $p_1 : F_i \times N_i \rightarrow F_i$ and $p_2 : F_i \times N_i \rightarrow N_i, i = 1, 2$, be the obvious projections. Consider the mapping

$$\mu(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad v \mapsto (\phi_1^v, \phi_2^v, \rho_1^v, \rho_2^v)$$

where $\phi_i^v(n) = \tilde{\alpha}_i(p_1(j_i(v)), n)$ for $n \in N_i$, and $\rho_i^v(\text{pt}) = p_2(j_i(v))$.

1.2. Theorem. *The bordism class $[V, \mu(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2)] \in \Omega_{i+j+n_1+n_2-m}(\mathcal{B})$ depends only on $[\alpha_1] \in \Omega_i(\mathcal{N}_1)$, $[\alpha_2] \in \Omega_j(\mathcal{N}_2)$ and defines a pairing*

$$\mu = \mu_{ij} : \Omega_i(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \Omega_j(\mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{i+j+n_1+n_2-m}(\mathcal{B}).$$

□

1.3. Remark. In the case of $N_1 = N_2 = S^1$ the pairing μ is intimately related to the bracket operation on the string homology introduced by Chas and Sullivan [4].

1.4. Remark (relation to the Goldman bracket). Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_i$ be the closure of \mathcal{N}_i in the space of all continuous maps. Similarly, define $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ to be the closure of \mathcal{B} . Clearly, the pairing μ can be extended to

$$\overline{\mu} : \Omega_i(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_1) \otimes \Omega_j(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{i+j+n_1+n_2-m}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional manifold and $N_1 = N_2 = S^n$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$ and consider the pairing

$$(1.2) \quad \overline{\mu} : \Omega_0(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes \Omega_0(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\overline{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Clearly, every point of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ gives us a map $S^n \vee S^n \rightarrow M$ and hence, a map $S^n \rightarrow S^n \vee S^n \rightarrow M$ where $S^n \rightarrow S^n \vee S^n$ is the coproduct in S^n . So, we get a map $\varphi : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{N}}$. Since $\Omega_0 = H_0$, the pairing (1.2) yields a pairing

$$H_0(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes H_0(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\mu}} H_0(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} H_0(\overline{\mathcal{N}}).$$

In the case of $n = 1$ this pairing coincides with the Goldman [8] Lie bracket of the Lie algebra on the vector space generated by all the nontrivial homotopy classes of oriented loops on an oriented surface, discovered in stages by Goldman [8] and Turaev [18].

1.5. Remark (μ as a splitting of the intersection index). A classical question is: given two maps $f_1 : N_1 \rightarrow M$ and $f_2 : N_2 \rightarrow M$, can we make their images disjoint by homotopies of f_1 and f_2 ? The necessary condition for the existence of such homotopies is that the intersection of the homology classes $f_{1*}([N_1])$ and $f_{2*}([N_2])$ is zero in $H_{m-n_1-n_2}(M)$. It is well-known that this condition is not sufficient in general.

Consider the mapping $e : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M$ that maps $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ to $\phi_1 \circ \rho_1(\text{pt}) = \phi_2 \circ \rho_2(\text{pt}) \in M$. It induces the (augmentation) map $\varepsilon : \Omega_*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_*(M)$. Clearly $\tau \circ \varepsilon(\mu([f_1], [f_2]))$ is equal to the intersection of $f_{1*}([N_1])$ and $f_{2*}([N_2])$ in $H_{m-n_1-n_2}(M)$, where τ is the Steenrod–Thom homomorphism.

We observe that the necessary condition for the existence of $f_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$ with $\text{Im}(f_1) \cap \text{Im}(f_2) = \emptyset$ is that

$$\mu([\bar{f}_1], [\bar{f}_2]) = 0 \in \Omega_{n_1+n_2+0+0-m}(B),$$

for some (and therefore for all) $\bar{f}_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, \bar{f}_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. Clearly this condition is much stronger than the vanishing of intersection condition. (Related results for intersections of mappings of S^1 into an oriented surface were previously obtained by Turaev and Viro [19] and [20].)

2. AFFINE LINKING NUMBERS

From here and till the end of the paper we assume that $n_1 + n_2 + 1 = m$.

Put Σ to be the discriminant in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$, i.e. the subspace that consists of pairs (f_1, f_2) such that there exist $y_1 \in N_1, y_2 \in N_2$ with $f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)$. (We do not include into Σ the maps that are singular in the common sense but do not involve double points between $f_1(N_1)$ and $f_2(N_2)$.)

2.1. Definition. We define Σ_0 to be the subset (stratum) of Σ consisting of all the pairs (f_1, f_2) such that there exists precisely one pair of points $y_1 \in N_1, y_2 \in N_2$ such that:

- a:** $f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)$, and, moreover this pair of points is such that:
- b:** y_i is a regular point of $f_i, i = 1, 2$;
- c:** $(df_1)(T_{y_1}N_1) \cap (df_2)(T_{y_2}N_2) = 0$.

2.2. Construction. Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ be a path which intersects Σ_0 in a point $\rho(t_0)$. We also assume that

$$\gamma(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \cap \Sigma = \gamma(t_0)$$

for δ small enough. We construct a vector $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\gamma, t_0, \delta)$ as follows. We regard $\gamma(t_0)$ as a pair $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ and consider the points y_1, y_2 as in 2.1. Set $z = f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)$. Choose a small $\delta > 0$ and regard $\gamma(t_0 + \delta)$ as a pair $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$. Set $z_i = g_i(y_i), i = 1, 2$. Take a chart for M that contains z and $z_i, i = 1, 2$, and set

$$\mathbf{v}(\gamma, t_0, \delta) := \overrightarrow{zz_1} - \overrightarrow{zz_2} \in T_z M.$$

2.3. Definition. Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ be a path as in 2.2. We say that γ intersects Σ_0 transversally for $t = t_0$ if there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}(\gamma, t_0, \delta) \notin (df_1)(T_{y_1}N_1) \oplus (df_2)(T_{y_2}N_2) \subset T_z M$$

for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$.

It is easy to see that the concept of transversal intersection does not depend on the choice of the chart.

2.4. Definition. A path $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$, $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ is said to be *generic* if

- a:** $\gamma(a, b) \cap \Sigma = \gamma(a, b) \cap \Sigma_0$;
- b:** the set $J = \{t | \gamma(t) \cap \Sigma_0 \neq \emptyset\} \subset (a, b)$ is an isolated subset of \mathbb{R} ;
- c:** the path γ intersects Σ_0 transversally for all $t \in J$.

As one can expect, every path can be turned into a generic one by a small deformation. We leave the proof to the reader.

2.5. Definition. Let γ be a path in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ that intersects Σ transversally in one point $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0$. We associate a sign $\sigma(\gamma, t_0)$ to such a crossing as follows.

We regard $\gamma(t_0)$ as a pair $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ and consider the points $y_1 \in N_1, y_2 \in N_2$ such that $f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)$. Set $z = f_1(y_1) = f_2(y_2)$. Let \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 be frames which are tangent to N_1 and N_2 at y_1 and y_2 , respectively, and both are assumed to be positive. Consider the frame

$$\{df_1(\mathbf{r}_1), \mathbf{v}, df_2(\mathbf{r}_2)\}$$

at $z \in M$, where \mathbf{v} is a vector described in 2.2. We put $\sigma(\gamma, t_0) = 1$ if this frame gives us the orientation of M , otherwise we put $\sigma(\gamma, t_0) = -1$. Because of the transversality and condition (c) from 2.1, the family $\{df_1(\mathbf{r}_1), \mathbf{v}, df_2(\mathbf{r}_2)\}$ is really a frame. Notice also that the vector \mathbf{v} is not well-defined, but the above defined sign σ is.

Clearly if we traverse the path γ in the opposite direction then the sign of the crossing changes.

For every space X , the group $\Omega_0(X) = H_0(X)$ is the free abelian group with the base $\pi_0(X)$. So, every element of $\Omega_0(X)$ can be represented as a finite linear combination $\sum \lambda_k P_k$ with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_k \in X$, and every such linear combination gives us an element of $\Omega_0(X)$. Below in Section 6 we give examples of situations where $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinite set, in spite of the fact that \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 are path connected.

2.6. Definition. Let γ be a path in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ that intersects Σ transversally in one point $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0$. We define $[\gamma(t_0)] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ as $\sigma(t_0)\gamma(t_0)$.

Clearly,

$$(2.1) \quad \varepsilon([\gamma(t_0)]) = \sigma(\gamma, t_0),$$

where $\varepsilon : \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \Omega_0(\text{pt})$ is the homomorphism induced by the map $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{pt}$.

2.7. Definition. Since $\Omega_i(X) = H_i(X)$ for $i = 0, 1$, and because of the Künneth formula, we have the canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega_1(X \times Y) = \Omega_1(X) \otimes \Omega_0(Y) \oplus \Omega_0(X) \otimes \Omega_1(Y).$$

Now, the pairings

$$\mu_{10} : \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \Omega_0(\mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \text{ and } \mu_{01} : \Omega_0(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$$

yield the homomorphism

$$\lambda : \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

We define the *indeterminacy subgroup* $\text{Indet} \subset \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ to be the image of the homomorphism λ . We define the abelian group $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ to be the quotient group of $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) / \text{Indet}$. Let $q = q_{\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2} : \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be the corresponding quotient homomorphism.

2.8. Theorem. *Let \mathbb{A} be as above. Then there exists an affine linking invariant $\text{alk} : \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ such that:*

- a:** *alk is constant on connected components of $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$;*
- b:** *if $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ is a generic path such that $\gamma(a), \gamma(b) \notin \Sigma$ and $t_i, i \in I$, are the moments when $\gamma(t_i) \in \Sigma$ (and hence $\gamma(t_i) \in \Sigma_0$ by the definition of the generic path), then*

$$\text{alk}(\gamma(b)) - \text{alk}(\gamma(a)) = q\left(\sum_{i \in I} [\gamma(t_i)]\right) \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Moreover, these properties determine the invariant alk uniquely up to an additive constant.

We prove Theorem 2.8 in Section 3.

2.9. Remark. It is rather easy to prove, see Corollary 6.5, that the mapping $\text{alk} : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is always surjective.

2.10. Remarks (Relations to front propagation).

a) Let STM denote the total space of the sphere tangent bundle over M , $\dim STM = 2m - 1$. In [5] we defined the affine linking invariant al for the mappings of $S^{m-1} \rightarrow STM$ that are homotopic to the inclusion of the fiber S^{m-1} to STM . Because of the orientability of the bundle $STM \rightarrow M$, the homotopy class of this inclusion is invariant under the $\pi_1(M)$ -action on $[S^{m-1}, STM]$. Using Theorem 6.4 we get that in this case $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and al is exactly alk for $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2 = S^{m-1}$ and the space $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$ consisting of mappings $S^{m-1} \rightarrow STM$ as above.

In [5] we have shown that in this case $\varepsilon(\text{Indet}) = \text{Indet} = 0$ when m is even or when m is odd and M is not a rational homology sphere.

This shows that $\varepsilon(\text{alk})$ can indeed be \mathbb{Z} -valued in many cases where the mappings are not zero-homologous.

This example is especially exciting since as it was shown in [5] this version of the alk invariant is intimately related to the causality relation invariant CR for wave fronts on M .

b) The classical winding number of a curve around a point in \mathbb{R}^2 is the linking number between the curve and the 0-cycle formed by the point and the point at infinity of \mathbb{R}^2 . In [6] we considered the generalizations $\text{win}(F, p)$ and $\widetilde{\text{win}}(F, p)$ of the winding numbers of the mapping $F : N_1^{m-1} \rightarrow M$ around a point $p : \text{pt} = N_2 \rightarrow M$ to the case where $F_*([N_1]) \neq 0 \in H_*(M)$ and M does not have ends that could play the role of the infinity. (The invariants $\widetilde{\text{win}}$ and win are the generalizations of the winding number to the case where the observable point p moves and is fixed in M , respectively.) We showed that affine winding numbers can be effectively used to estimate from below the number of times a wave front has passed through a point between two moments of time.

One can verify that the generalized winding number $\widetilde{\text{win}}$ also is included into the theory explored in this work, if we consider affine linking number for the case $N_2 = \text{pt}$. (It is clear, see Theorem 6.4, that in this case $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{Z}$.)

It is easy to construct the version $\overline{\text{alk}}$ of the alk invariant constructed in this paper, where $\overline{\text{alk}}$ will be a function on $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \{*\} \setminus \Sigma)$ for some fixed mapping $* \in \mathcal{N}_2$. A straightforward verification shows that $\overline{\text{alk}}$ is well-defined provided it takes values in the quotient group of $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ by $\text{Im}(\mu_{10} : \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1) \otimes \Omega_0(\mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}))$. The win invariant constructed in [6] is a particular case of such $\overline{\text{alk}}$ where $N_2 = \text{pt}$.

2.11. Remarks (alk is the universal Goussarov–Vassiliev invariant of order ≤ 1).

Fix a natural number n . Let $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ be such that $\text{Im}(f_1) \cap \text{Im}(f_2)$ consists of n distinct double points of transverse intersection. Each double point can be resolved in two essentially different ways: positive and negative, where the sign is defined as in 2.5. Thus f with n such double points admits 2^n possible resolutions of the double points. A sign of the resolution is put to be $+$ if the number of negatively resolved double points is even, and it is put to be $-$ otherwise. Let Γ be an abelian group and let $\alpha : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a Γ -valued invariant of nonsingular $f \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$. The invariant α is said to be of *order* $\leq n - 1$ (or *Vassiliev–Goussarov invariant of order* $\leq n - 1$, [13], [9], [10]) if for any singular $f \in \Sigma$ with n transverse double points the sum (with appropriate signs) of the values of α on

the nonsingular mappings obtained by the 2^n resolutions of the double points is zero.

It is easy to verify that alk is an \mathbb{A} -valued Vassiliev-Goussarov invariant of order ≤ 1 . Furthermore, if $\alpha : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a Γ -valued Vassiliev-Goussarov invariant of order ≤ 1 , then the increment $\Delta_\alpha(\gamma(t_0))$ of α under the positive crossing of Σ at $\gamma(t_0) \in \Sigma_0$ depends only on the element of $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ that corresponds to $\gamma(t_0)$. In particular, we conclude that there exists the natural homomorphism $B : \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Gamma$ that sends the bordism class of $(+1)\gamma(t_0) \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ to $\Delta_\alpha(\gamma(t_0))$. Moreover, this homomorphism B passes through the quotient homomorphism $q : \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ as in 2.7, and therefore we get a homomorphism $A : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \Gamma$ with $A \circ q = B$, cf. Theorem 4.1 below. One verifies that

$$A(\text{alk}(f) - \text{alk}(f')) = \alpha(f) - \alpha(f'),$$

for all $f, f' \in (\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma)$.

Clearly if α and α' are Γ -valued Vassiliev-Goussarov invariants of order ≤ 1 such that $\alpha - \alpha'$ is a constant mapping, then the corresponding homomorphisms A and A' are equal. Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$ be a chosen preferred point. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$ we have $\alpha(f) = \alpha(f_0) + A(\text{alk}(f)) - A(\text{alk}(f_0))$. Thus alk completely determines the values of α on all f (modulo $\alpha(f_0)$), and hence alk is a universal Vassiliev-Goussarov invariant of order ≤ 1 .

In particular, alk distinguishes all the elements $f, f' \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$ that can be distinguished via Vassiliev-Goussarov invariants of order ≤ 1 with values in an arbitrary group Γ .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.8

3.1. Definition. We define Σ_1 to be the subset (stratum) of Σ consisting of all the pairs (f_1, f_2) such that there exists precisely two pairs of points $y_1 \in N_1, y_2 \in N_2$ as in 2.1. Here we assume that the two double points of the image are distinct. We also choose a base point $*$ of $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ with $*$ $\notin \Sigma$.

Notice that $\Sigma_i, i = 0, 1$, is the stratum of codimension i in Σ . In particular, a generic path in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ intersects Σ_0 in a finite number of points, and a generic disk in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ intersects Σ_1 in a finite number of points.

A generic path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ that connects two points in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$ intersects Σ_0 in finitely many points $\gamma(t_j), j \in J$, and all the intersection points are of the types described in 2.5. Put

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_{\text{alk}}(\gamma) = \sum_{j \in J} [\gamma(t_j)] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

We let $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$, $B_1 = \{(x, y) \in A \mid xy = 0\}$, $B_2 = \{(x, y) \in A \mid x = 0\}$, $B_3 = \{(x, y) \in A \mid y = 0\}$, $B_4 = \{(0, 0)\}$, $B_5 = \emptyset$.

We define a regular disk in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ as a generically embedded disk D such that the triple $(D, D \cap \Sigma_0, D \cap \Sigma_1)$ is homeomorphic to a triple (A, B, C) where B is one of B_i 's and $C \subset B_4$.

3.2. Lemma. *Let β be a generic loop that bounds a regular disk in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$. Then $\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\beta) = 0$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that all the crossings of Σ_0 that happen along β can be subdivided into pairs, such that the elements of $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ corresponding to the two crossings in a pair are equal and the signs of the corresponding crossings of Σ_0 are opposite. Hence the inputs into $\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\beta)$ of the elements of $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ corresponding to the two crossings in a pair cancel out and $\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\beta) = 0$. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let β be a generic loop that bounds a disk in $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$. Then $\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\beta) = 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can (using a small deformation of the disk) assume that the disk is the union of regular ones, cf. Arnold [1], [2]. Now the proof follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

3.4. Corollary. *The invariant Δ_{alk} induces a well-defined homomorphism*

$$\Delta_{\text{alk}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) = \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. Since every element of $\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$ can be represented by a generic loop, the proof follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

Now we give another description of Δ_{alk} . Let $\lambda : \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ be the homomorphism from Definition 2.7.

3.5. Proposition. *The homomorphism $\Delta_{\text{alk}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ coincides with the homomorphism*

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) \xrightarrow{h} \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}),$$

where h is the Hurewicz homomorphism in the bordism theory $\Omega_*(-)$ and λ is the homomorphism from Definition 2.7.

Proof. Given a generic loop α in $(\mathcal{N}_1, *)$ and the constant loop e in $(\mathcal{N}_2, *)$, let (α, e) be the corresponding loop in $(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$. The homotopy class of (α, e) gives us an element $[(\alpha, e)] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$. Similarly, a generic loop β in $(\mathcal{N}_2, *)$ gives us an element $[(e, \beta)] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$.

Because of the isomorphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2) = \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1) \times \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_2)$, the classes $[(\alpha, e)]$ and $[(e, \beta)]$ generate the group $\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$. So, since Δ_{alk} is a homomorphism, it suffices to prove that $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = (\lambda \circ h)[(\alpha, e)]$, and similarly for $[(e, \beta)]$. We do it here for the loops (α, e) only.

We calculate $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$. Fix a mapping $\bar{e} : N_2 \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{N}_2 and consider the mapping

$$\bar{\alpha} : S^1 \times (N_1 \sqcup N_2) \rightarrow M$$

such that $\bar{\alpha}|_{S^1 \times N_1} = \alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha}|_{S^1 \times N_2}$ coincides with the composition

$$S^1 \times N_2 \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} N_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} M.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{\alpha}|_{S^1 \times N_1}$ is transverse to \bar{e} . The inclusion $\mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$, $x \mapsto (x, e)$ allows us to regard $h(\alpha) \in \Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1)$ as an element of $\Omega_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2)$.

Now it is easy to see that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = \mu_{10} \left(\left[\bar{\alpha}|_{S^1 \times N_1} \right] \otimes [\bar{e}] \right) = \lambda(h(\alpha)) \in \Omega_1(\mathcal{B}),$$

where $[\bar{\alpha}|_{S^1 \times N_1}]$ and $[\bar{e}]$ are the bordism classes of corresponding maps. \square

3.6. Corollary.

$$\text{Im}\{\Delta_{\text{alk}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *) \rightarrow \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})\} = \text{Indet} \subset \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

\square

3.7. Definition. Take an arbitrary point $f = (f_1^1, f_2^1) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$ and choose a generic path γ going from $*$ to f . We set

$$\text{alk}(f) = q(\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{A}$$

and call $\text{alk} : \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ the *affine linking invariant*. Here q is the epimorphism from Definition 2.7.

3.8. Remark. Clearly alk depends on the choice of $*$. On the other hand, if we change $*$ then new alk and old alk will differ by an additive constant. This is the reason why we use the adjective “affine”.

3.9. Theorem. *The function $\text{alk} : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is well-defined and increases by $q([\gamma(\bar{t})]) \in \mathbb{A}$ under a transverse passage by a path γ through the stratum Σ_0 at the point $\gamma(\bar{t})$.*

Furthermore, the above property determines the function alk uniquely up to an additive constant.

Proof. To show that alk is well-defined we must verify that the definition of alk is independent on the choice of the path γ that goes from $*$ to f . This is the same as to show that $q(\Delta_{\text{alk}}(\varphi)) = 0$ for every closed generic loop φ at $*$. But this follows from Corollary 3.6 directly.

All the other claims are obvious. \square

Clearly, Theorem 2.8 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.9.

4. RELATIONS BETWEEN alk AND THE CLASSICAL LINKING INVARIANT lk

Given a closed oriented manifold N^n with the fundamental class $[N] \in H_n(M)$, we say that a map $f : N \rightarrow M$ is zero-homologous if $f_*([N]) = 0 \in H_n(M)$.

Let $\varepsilon : \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism from (2.1).

4.1. Theorem. *Suppose that \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 consist of zero-homologous mappings. Then $\varepsilon(\text{Indet}) = 0$. Furthermore, for all $f = (f_1, f_2), f' = (f'_1, f'_2) \in \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$, we have*

$$\varepsilon(\text{alk}(f)) - \varepsilon(\text{alk}(f')) = \text{lk}(f_1, f_2) - \text{lk}(f'_1, f'_2) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 consist of zero-homologous maps, the classical linking invariant $\text{lk} : \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is well-defined. Now, similarly to Δ_{alk} , we define

$$\Delta_{\text{lk}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Delta_{\text{lk}}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(\gamma, t_i),$$

where the generic loop γ in $(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, *)$ intersects $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma \subset \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ in certain points $\gamma(t_1), \dots, \gamma(t_k)$. (Here we use the notation γ for the loop as well as for its homotopy class.) Since lk is well-defined, we conclude that $\Delta_{\text{lk}}(\gamma) = 0$ for all γ , i.e. $\sum \sigma(\gamma, t_i) = 0$.

Now, we have

$$\Delta_{\text{alk}}([\gamma]) = \sum [\gamma(t_i)] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

So, in view of (2.1)

$$\varepsilon(\Delta_{\text{alk}}([\gamma])) = \sum \varepsilon([\gamma(t_i)]) = \sum \sigma(\gamma, t_i) = 0.$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.6,

$$\varepsilon(\text{Indet}) = \varepsilon(\text{Im}(\Delta_{\text{alk}})) = 0.$$

To prove the last claim of the Theorem, take a generic path γ which connects f and f' . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\text{alk}(f)) - \varepsilon(\text{alk}(f')) &= \varepsilon\left(\sum [\gamma(t_i)]\right) = \sum \sigma(\gamma, t_i) \\ &= \text{lk}(f_1, f_2) - \text{lk}(f'_1, f'_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Remark. Theorem 4.1 demonstrates that, up to an additive constant, $\varepsilon \circ \text{alk}$ is equal to the classical linking number lk whenever \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 consist of zero-homologous mappings. So, alk is an extension of the classical lk -invariant of zero-homologous submanifolds. As we remarked in 3.8, in general there is no way to choose this additive constant canonically, and for this reason we call alk the *affine* linking invariant.

Since the homomorphism ε is the summation over the components of \mathcal{B} , we conclude that, for zero-homologous mappings, alk **can be regarded as a splitting of the classical linking invariant** into a collection of independent invariants. In many cases it can be shown that $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinitely generated abelian group, see 6.4–6.10, and that the Indeterminacy subgroup Indet is zero, see Section 5. Since as it is easy to show (see Corollary 6.5) the mapping $\text{alk} : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is always surjective, **we get that for these cases the classical lk invariant of zero-homologous submanifolds splits into infinitely many independent invariants.**

On the other hand, as it was explained in this paper, the alk invariant exists regardless of whether the mappings are zero-homologous or not.

Also, as it was pointed in 2.10, $\varepsilon(\text{Indet}) = \text{Indet} = 0$ and thus $\varepsilon \circ \text{alk}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -valued invariant in many cases where $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ do not consist of zero-homologous mappings.

5. EXAMPLES WHERE THE INDETERMINACY SUBGROUP VANISHES

Given the manifolds M, N_1, N_2 as in Section 2, we assume in addition that $N_i, i = 1, 2$, are connected and that $n_1 n_2 > 0$.

5.1. Theorem (Preissman). *Let M be a closed manifold that admits a Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *every nontrivial abelian subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ is an infinite cyclic group;*

(ii) for every nontrivial abelian subgroup A of π there exists a unique abelian subgroup B_A of π which contains A and is maximal with respect to this property. In fact, B_A is the centralizer $Z(A)$ of A in $\pi_1(M)$.

Proof. See do Carmo[7] or the original paper by Preissman [11]. \square

5.2. Definition. A finitely generated group π is called a *Preissman group* if it satisfies the properties (i) and (ii) from Theorem 5.1.

5.3. Proposition. Let π be a Preissman group. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ be such that $\alpha\beta \neq \beta\alpha$, and let $\gamma \in \pi$ be such that $\alpha\gamma = \gamma\alpha$ and $\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta$, then $\gamma = e$.

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma \neq e$. Let $G = \{x\}$ be the (unique) maximal cyclic subgroup of π which contains γ . Since $\alpha\gamma = \gamma\alpha$, the subgroup $\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ of π is contained in G , and so $\alpha = x^m$ for some m . Similarly, $\beta = x^k$, and thus $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. This is a contradiction. \square

5.4. Theorem. Given M, N_1, N_2 as above, assume that $\pi_1(M)$ is either a Preissman group or finite. Assume also that $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq 1 + \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then the indeterminacy subgroup $\text{Indet} \subset \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ is the zero subgroup, $\text{Indet} = \{0\} \subset \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we denote $\pi_1(M)$ by π . We must prove that, for every $\alpha \in \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $\beta \in \pi_1(\mathcal{N}_2)$,

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0 = \Delta_{\text{alk}}[(e, \beta)].$$

cf. Lemma 3.6.

We prove the first equality from (5.1) only, the second equality can be proved in the similar way. Fix $\phi_i \in \mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2$, and consider a loop α in (\mathcal{N}_1, ϕ_1) . Let $\tilde{\alpha} : S^1 \times N_1 \rightarrow M$ be the adjoint map, $\tilde{\alpha}(t, n) = \alpha(t)(n)$. Since $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq 1 + n_1$, it follows from the elementary obstruction theory that the homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}_* : \pi_1(S^1 \times N) \rightarrow \pi$$

completely determines the homotopy class of $\tilde{\alpha}$. We use the isomorphism $\pi_1(S^1 \times N) \simeq \pi_1(S^1) \times \pi_1(N)$ and set

$$(5.2) \quad \gamma = \tilde{\alpha}_*(\iota, e),$$

where $\iota \in \pi_1(S^1)$ is the generator.

5.5. Lemma. If $\gamma = e$, then $\Delta_{\text{al}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$.

Proof. Indeed, in this case $\tilde{\alpha} : S^1 \times N_1 \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to the map

$$S^1 \times N_1 \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} N_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} M,$$

because both maps induce the same homomorphism of fundamental groups. So, since $n_1 + n_2 = m - 1 < m$, there is a generic map $\hat{\alpha}$ homotopic to $\tilde{\alpha}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}(S^1 \times N_1)$ does not meet $\phi_2(N_2)$. \square

5.6. Lemma. *If $\text{Im } \tilde{\alpha}_* = \mathbb{Z} \subset \pi$, then $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$.*

Proof. If $\text{Im } \tilde{\alpha}_* = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\tilde{\alpha}_*$ can be decomposed as

$$(5.3) \quad \pi_1(S^1 \times N_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \subset \pi.$$

Since $S^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$, the map $\pi_1(S^1 \times N_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in (5.3) can be induced by a map $\varphi : S^1 \times N_1 \rightarrow S^1$. Furthermore, the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \subset \pi$ in (5.3) can be induced by a map (inclusion) $\psi : S^1 \rightarrow M$, and we can assume that $\psi(S^1)$ does not meet $\phi_2(N_2)$ since $m - n_2 > 1$. Now, the map $\tilde{\alpha} : S^1 \times N_1 \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to a map

$$\hat{\alpha} : S^1 \times N_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} S^1 \xrightarrow{\psi} M.$$

Clearly, $\hat{\alpha}$ does not meet $\phi_2(N_2)$ and, moreover, any small perturbation of $\hat{\alpha}$ does not. Thus, $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$. \square

Now, assume that π is a Preissman group and consider the homomorphism

$$(\phi_1)_* : \pi_1(N_1) \rightarrow \pi.$$

If $\text{Im}(\phi_1)_*$ is non-abelian, then $\gamma = e \in \pi$ by Proposition 5.3, because γ commutes with $\text{Im}(\phi_1)_*$. So, $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$ by Lemma 5.5.

Furthermore, assume that $\text{Im}(\phi_1)_*$ is abelian. Since γ commutes with $\text{Im}(\phi_1)_*$, we conclude that $\text{Im}(\tilde{\alpha}_*)$ is an abelian subgroup of π . So, $\text{Im}(\tilde{\alpha}_*) = \mathbb{Z}$ or 0 because π is a Preissman group. If $\text{Im}(\tilde{\alpha}_*) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$ by Lemma 5.6. If $\text{Im}(\tilde{\alpha}_*) = 0$, then $\gamma = e \in \pi$ and $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$ by Lemma 5.5.

Finally, assume that π is finite. Let k be the order of γ in π . Then, by Lemma 5.5,

$$0 = \Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha^k, e)] = k\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

Thus, $\Delta_{\text{alk}}[(\alpha, e)] = 0$ because the abelian group $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ is torsion free. \square

5.7. Examples. 1. It is well-known that every closed manifold M^m that admits a complete Riemannian metric of negative sectional curvature has a universal cover homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^m , and thus $\pi_i(M) = 0$, $i > 1$ while $\pi_1(M)$ is a Preissman group.

Combining this with Theorems 5.1 and 5.4 we get that for such M the group $\text{Indet} = 0$ for all $N_1, N_2, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$, and hence \mathbb{A} is a free abelian group.

To construct more manifolds with Preissman fundamental group, notice that the total space of a locally trivial bundle $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ has the Preissman fundamental group if F is simply-connected and $\pi_1(B)$ is Preissman.

2. Consider an orientation-preserving action of a (finite) group π on a homotopy sphere Σ^m (in fact, m must be odd) and put $M = \Sigma^m/\pi$. Then $\pi_k(M) = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, m-1$, and using Theorem 5.4 we get that $\text{Indet} = 0$ for all $N_1, N_2, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$.

Theorem 4.1 implies that in all these cases, if $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ consist of zero-homologous mappings, then lk is a splitting of the classical linking invariant into a direct sum of independent \mathbb{Z} -valued invariants. One uses Corollary 6.10 to show that this direct sum is infinite in most cases where M is closed and admits a complete metric of negative sectional curvature and the images of $\pi_1(N_1), \pi_1(N_2)$ in $\pi_1(M)$ under the homomorphisms induced by the mappings from $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ are nontrivial.

6. DESCRIPTION OF $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ AND $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$

Here we assume that N_1 and N_2 are connected. The goal of this section is to give a method of evaluation of $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ (and therefore of $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ since the last group is the free abelian group with the base $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$) and, in particular, to demonstrate that $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ can be infinite in spite of $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_2)$ being one-point sets.

We must recall some facts from elementary homotopy theory. Given two pointed spaces X and Y , let $[X, Y]^\bullet$ and $[X, Y]$ be the set of pointed homotopy classes of pointed maps $X \rightarrow Y$ and the set of unpointed homotopy classes, respectively. Then $\pi_1(Y)$ acts on $[X, Y]^\bullet$ in a usual way, and

$$(6.1) \quad [X, Y]^\bullet / \pi_1(Y) = [X, Y]$$

see e.g. [14].

Furthermore, $\pi_1(X)$ acts on $[X, X]$, and therefore we get a right $\pi_1(X)$ -action on $[X, Y]$ via the composition map $[X, X] \times [X, Y] \rightarrow [X, Y]$. In greater detail, we apply $\alpha \in \pi_1(X)$ to the homotopy class $1_X \in [X, X]$ and get a map (homotopy class) $\alpha(1_X)$. Now, given $f \in [X, Y]$, we define $\alpha(f) \in [X, Y]$ to be the composition $f \circ \alpha(1_X)$. It is worthy to mention that, for the standard $\pi_1(Y)$ -action on $[X, Y]$ we have

$$(6.2) \quad \beta(f) = \beta(1_Y) \circ f, \quad \beta \in \pi_1(Y), f \in [X, Y].$$

Furthermore, given $\alpha \in \pi_1(X)$ and $f \in [X, Y]^\bullet$, we have

$$(6.3) \quad f\alpha = f_*(\alpha)f$$

and so any orbit of the (right) $\pi_1(X)$ -action is contained in an orbit of the $\pi_1(Y)$ -action.

6.1. Proposition. *The $\pi_1(Y)$ -action on $[X, Y]^\bullet$ and the $\pi_1(X)$ -action on $[X, Y]^\bullet$ commute.*

Proof. Choose $\alpha \in \pi_1(X)$, $\beta \in \pi_1(Y)$ and consider the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{\alpha(1_X)} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\beta^{-1}(1_Y)} Y.$$

Then, in view of (6.2), we have $(\beta(f))\alpha = (\beta(1_Y) \circ f) \circ \alpha(1_X) = \beta(1_Y) \circ (f \circ \alpha(1_X)) = \beta((f)\alpha)$. \square

Now, consider two pointed spaces X_1 and X_2 and the actions

$$[X_1, Y]^\bullet \times \pi_1(X_1) \rightarrow [X_1, Y]^\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad [X_2, Y]^\bullet \times \pi_1(X_2) \rightarrow [X_2, Y]^\bullet.$$

Together these actions yield the action

$$(6.4) \quad ([X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet) \times (\pi_1(X_1) \times \pi_1(X_2)) \rightarrow [X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet.$$

Because of Proposition 6.1, this action commutes with the diagonal $\pi_1(Y)$ -action on $[X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet$.

6.2. Remark. Since $[X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet = [X_1 \vee X_2, Y]^\bullet$, we have the action of the group $\pi_1(X_1 \vee X_2) = \pi_1(X_1) * \pi_1(X_2)$ on $[X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet$. Let $i : \pi_1(X_1) \subset \pi_1(X_1) * \pi_1(X_2)$ be the standard inclusion. We emphasize that the $i(\pi_1(X_1))$ -action on $[X_1, Y]^\bullet \times [X_2, Y]^\bullet$ does *not* coincide with the action coming from (6.4).

Given $f \in [X, Y]^\bullet$, let

$$(6.5) \quad S_f = \{\alpha \in \pi_1(Y) \mid \alpha f = f\}.$$

6.3. Proposition. $S_f \subset Z(f_*(\pi_1(Y)))$ where ZG is the centralizer of G in $\pi_1(Y)$.

Proof. Let H be the set of homomorphisms $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ induced by pointed maps $X \rightarrow Y$. The $\pi_1(Y)$ -action on $[X, Y]^\bullet$ induces the $\pi_1(Y)$ -action on H . Here for $\alpha \in \pi_1(Y)$ we have

$$(\alpha(f_*))(u) = \alpha^{-1}(f_*(u))\alpha,$$

where $u \in \pi_1(X)$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Thus, if $\alpha \in S_f$ then $(\alpha(f_*))(u) = \alpha^{-1}(f_*(u))\alpha = f_*(u)$, i.e. $\alpha \in Z(f_*(\pi_1(X)))$. \square

Choose base points in N_1, N_2 and M and let $\mathcal{N}_i^\bullet, i = 1, 2$, be the space of all pointed maps $f : N_i \rightarrow M$ such that the unpointed map f belongs to \mathcal{N}_i . Clearly, the subset $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_i^\bullet)$ of $[N_i, M]^\bullet$ is invariant with respect to $\pi_1(N_i)$ - and $\pi_1(M)$ -actions. Moreover, because of (6.1), $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_i^\bullet)$ is the orbit of $\pi_1(M)$ -action on $[N_i, M]^\bullet$.

Clearly, the bijection $[N_1 \vee N_2, M]^\bullet = [N_1, M]^\bullet \times [N_2, M]^\bullet$ converts the $\pi_1(M)$ -action on $[N_1 \vee N_2, M]$ into the diagonal action on $[N_1, M]^\bullet \times [N_2, M]^\bullet$.

6.4. Theorem.

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{B}) = (\pi_1(N_1) \times \pi_1(N_2)) \backslash (\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1^\bullet) \times \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_2^\bullet)) / \pi_1(M).$$

Proof. Consider the inclusion $j : \mathcal{N}_1^\bullet \times \mathcal{N}_2^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Since M and N_i are connected, the map $j_* : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1^\bullet \times \mathcal{N}_2^\bullet) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is surjective. Now, consider two points $b, b' \in \mathcal{N}_1^\bullet \times \mathcal{N}_2^\bullet$ and set $j(b) = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ and $j(b') = (\rho'_1, \rho'_2, \phi'_1, \phi'_2) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then that $\phi_1 \rho_1 = \phi'_1 \rho'_1$. Suppose that there is a path from b to b' in \mathcal{B} . This path can be considered as quadruple $(\rho_1(t), \rho_2(t), \phi_1(t), \phi_2(t)), t \in I$. Now, the loop $\rho_i(t)$ gives us the loop (homotopy class) $\alpha \in \pi_1(N_i), i = 1, 2$, while the loop


$$\phi_1(\rho_1(t), t) = \phi_2(\rho_2(t), t)$$

gives us an element $\beta \in \pi_1(M)$. Clearly, $\beta([b])(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = [b']$ where $[b]$ and $[b']$ are components of $\mathcal{N}_1^\bullet \times \mathcal{N}_2^\bullet$ containing b and b' , respectively. \square

6.5. Corollary. *The mapping $\text{alk} : \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ of Theorem 2.8 is surjective.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary point $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and consider the class $[b] \in \Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$. Clearly it suffices to show that, given $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2 \setminus \Sigma$, there exist generic paths $\gamma_i : I \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2, i = 1, 2$, starting at (f_1, f_2) such that $\gamma_i(t)$ intersects Σ_0 for exactly one value $t_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2$, and $[\gamma_1(t_1)] = [b], [\gamma_2(t_2)] = -[b]$. Clearly there exists $b' = [\phi_1, \phi_2, \rho_1, \rho_2] \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\pm[b]' = [b]$ and that one of the two possible resolutions of the double point between ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 is isotopic to (f_1, f_2) . Let (ϕ'_1, ϕ'_2) be this resolution and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the isotopy path that connects (f_1, f_2) to (ϕ'_1, ϕ'_2) .

It is easy to construct, see Figure 1, a local deformation $\tilde{\gamma}_+$ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma}_-$) of (ϕ'_1, ϕ'_2) that involves only one passage through a double point and such that the sign of the corresponding crossing of Σ_0 is positive (resp. negative) and the associated element of $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is the same as the one for b' . The desired paths γ_i are the paths $\tilde{\gamma}_+ \tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_- \tilde{\gamma}$. \square

FIGURE 1. The paths $\tilde{\gamma}_+$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_-$ in the 1-dimensional case.

6.6. Corollary. *Assume that N_1 and N_2 are simply connected and that $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite. Also, assume that, for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, the $\pi_1(M)$ -action on $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_i^\bullet)$ is free. Then $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinite set. \square*

6.7. Example. Let $N_1 = N_2 = S^n$, and let M be a manifold which has the $(n + 2)$ -type of the wedge $S^1 \vee S^n$. Fix a map $f : S^n \rightarrow M$ which induces an isomorphism in n -dimensional homology. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$ be the path component of f in the space of smooth maps $S^n \rightarrow M$. Then $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinite set because of Corollary 6.6.

Another series of cases with $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ infinite appears as follows.

Take $f_i \in \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_i^\bullet)$, $i = 1, 2$, and let G_i be the subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ generated by S_{f_i} and $f_{i*}(\pi_1(N_i))$, where S_{f_i} is the stabilizer of f_i as in (6.5).

6.8. Theorem. *If the set of double cosets*

$$G_2 \backslash \pi_1(M) / G_1$$

is infinite, then $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinite set and $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinitely generated abelian group.

Proof. Take any $u, v \in \pi_1(M)$ such that

$$(uf_1, f_2) = (vf_1, f_2) \in \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1^\bullet) \times \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_2^\bullet).$$

It follows from Theorem 6.4 that there exists $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \pi_1(N_1) \times \pi_1(N_2) \times \pi_1(M)$ such that $\gamma(uf_1, f_2)(\alpha, \beta) = (vf_1, f_2)$ or

$$\gamma uf_1 \alpha = vf_1 \text{ in } \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_1^\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma f_2 \beta = f_2 \text{ in } \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_2^\bullet).$$

Because of Proposition 6.1 and (6.3), $\gamma f_2 \beta = \gamma f_{2*}(\beta) f_2$. So, $\gamma f_{2*}(\beta) \in S_{f_2}$, and therefore $\gamma \in G_2$.

Similarly, $v^{-1} \gamma u f_1 \alpha = f_1$, i.e. $v^{-1} \gamma u f_{1*}(\alpha) f_1 = f_1$, and hence $v^{-1} \gamma u f_{1*}(\alpha) \in S_{f_1}$. Thus $v^{-1} \gamma u \in G_1$, i.e. $\gamma u \in v G_1$, i.e. $G_2 u \cap v G_1 \neq \emptyset$.

This means that the images of u and v in $G_2 \backslash \pi_1(M)/G_1$ coincide. This completes the proof. \square

6.9. Corollary. *Let $h : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M)$ be the Hurewicz homomorphism. Then $\pi_0(B)$ is infinite whenever the group $H_1(M)/(h(G_1) + h(G_2))$ is infinite.* \square

6.10. Corollary. *Let M be a manifold such that $\pi_1(M)$ is a Preissman group. Let $\mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ be such that for $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$ the subgroups $f_{1*}(\pi_1(N_1)), f_{2*}(\pi_1(N_2)) \subset \pi_1(M)$ are both nontrivial and such that $H_1(M)/(f_{1*}(H_1(N_1)) + f_{2*}(H_1(N_2)))$ is infinite. Then $\pi_0(\mathcal{B})$ is infinite and hence $\Omega_0(\mathcal{B})$ is an infinitely generated abelian group.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that each of groups $f_{i*}(\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_i)), i = 1, 2$, is a subgroup of finite index in G_i . For sake of simplicity, we fix i and denote G_i and $f_{i*}(\pi_1(\mathcal{N}_i))$ by G and H , respectively, and prove that the index $[G : H]$ is finite.

Case 1. Assume the the group H is abelian. Then since $\pi_1(M)$ is a Preissman group, we conclude that the centralizer ZH of H is a cyclic subgroup which contains H . Since $H \neq \{e\}$, H is a subgroup of finite index in ZH . Thus, we are done since, by Proposition 6.3, $S_f \subset ZH$ and so $G \subset ZH$.

Case 2. Assume the the group H is non-abelian. Then, by Proposition 5.3, $ZH = \{e\}$. Thus, by Proposition 6.3, $S_f = \{e\}$, i.e. $G = H$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold: *Plane curves, their invariants, perestroikas and classifications, Singularities and Bifurcations* (V.I. Arnold, ed.) Adv. Sov. Math., Vol. 21 (1994), pp. 39–91
- [2] V. I. Arnold: *Invariants and perestroikas of wave fronts on the plane, Singularities of smooth maps with additional structures*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., Vol. 209 (1995), pp. 11–64.
- [3] V. I. Arnold: *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **60**, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1989)
- [4] M. Chas and D. Sullivan: *String Topology*, math.GT/9911159 at the <http://xxx.lanl.gov>
- [5] V. Chernov (Tchernov) and Yu. B. Rudyak: *Affine linking numbers and causality relations for wave fronts*, submitted for publication (2002) also available as a preprint math.GT/0207219 at the <http://xxx.lanl.gov>
- [6] V. Chernov (Tchernov) and Yu. B. Rudyak: *Affine winding numbers and front propagation*, submitted for publication (2002) also available as a preprint math.GT/0301117 at the <http://xxx.lanl.gov>

- [7] M. P. do Carmo: *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1992
- [8] W. Goldman: *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*. Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302.
- [9] M. Goussarov: A talk on 12.1.1987 where the finite order invariants were first defined at the Rokhlin seminar at the Steklov Institute for Mathematics, St Petersburg, Russia.
- [10] M. Gusarov: *A new form of the Conway-Jones polynomial of oriented links*. (Russian. English summary) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 193 (1991), Geom. i Topol. 1, 4–9, 161.
- [11] A. Preissman: *Quelques propriétés globales des espaces de Riemann*, Comment. Math. Helv. **15** (1943), pp. 175–216.
- [12] Yu. B. Rudyak: *On Thom Spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1998
- [13] V. A. Vassiliev: *Cohomology of knot spaces*, Theory of singularities and its applications, pp. 23–69, Adv. Soviet Math., 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1990)
- [14] E. Spanier: *Algebraic topology*. Corrected reprint of the 1966 original. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1996)
- [15] R. Stong: *Notes on cobordism theory*, Mathematical notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1968)
- [16] R. Switzer: *Algebraic topology—homotopy and homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 212. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1975)
- [17] R. Thom: *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables*, Comment. Math. Helv. 28, (1954). pp. 17–86.
- [18] V. Turaev: *Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces*. Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) 24 (1991), no. 6, pp. 635–704.
- [19] V. G. Turaev: *Intersections of loops in two-dimensional manifolds*. Math. USSR-Sb. 35 (1979), no. 2, pp. 229–250
- [20] V. G. Turaev and O. Ya. Viro: *Intersection of loops in two-dimensional manifolds. II. Free loops*. Mat. sbornik 121:3 (1983) 359–369 (Russian); English translation in Soviet Math. Sbornik.

V. CHERNOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 6188 BRADLEY HALL, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER NH 03755, USA

E-mail address: Vladimir.Chernov@dartmouth.edu

YU. RUDYAK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 358 LITTLE HALL, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA

E-mail address: rudyak@math.ufl.edu