

A discrete form of the Beckman-Quarles theorem
for mappings from $\mathbb{R}^2$ ($\mathbb{C}^2$) to $\mathbf{F}^2$,
where $\mathbf{F}$ is a subfield of a commutative field extending $\mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbb{C}$)

APOLONIUSZ TYSZKA

Summary. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a subfield of a commutative field extending $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi_n : \mathbf{F}^n \times \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$, $\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ preserves distance $d \geq 0$ if for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $|x - y| = d$ implies $\varphi_n(f(x), f(y)) = d^2$. Let $A_n(\mathbf{F})$ denote the set of all positive numbers d such that any map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ that preserves unit distance preserves also distance d . Let $D_n(\mathbf{F})$ denote the set of all positive numbers d with the property: if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|x - y| = d$ then there exists a finite set S_{xy} with $\{x, y\} \subseteq S_{xy} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that any map $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ that preserves unit distance preserves also the distance between x and y . Obviously, $\{1\} \subseteq D_n(\mathbf{F}) \subseteq A_n(\mathbf{F})$. We prove: $A_n(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq D_2(\mathbf{F})$. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a subfield of a commutative field Γ extending $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\psi_2 : \Gamma^2 \times \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\psi_2((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ preserves unit distance if for each $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$ $\psi_2(X, Y) = 1$ implies $\psi_2(f(X), f(Y)) = 1$. We prove: if $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\psi_2(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $X \neq Y$, then there exists a finite set S_{XY} with $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ such that any map $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$ and $f(X) \neq f(Y)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 51M05.

Key words and phrases: Beckman-Quarles theorem, Cayley-Menger determinant, endomorphism (automorphism) of the field of complex numbers, isometry, unit-distance preserving mapping.

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a subfield of commutative field extending $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi_n : \mathbf{F}^n \times \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$, $\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ preserves distance $d \geq 0$ if for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $|x - y| = d$ implies $\varphi_n(f(x), f(y)) = d^2$. By a *complex isometry* of $\mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e. an affine isometry of $\mathbb{C}^n$) we understand any map $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ of the form

$$h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$$

where

$$z'_j = a_{0j} + a_{1j}z_1 + a_{2j}z_2 + \dots + a_{nj}z_n \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

the coefficients a_{ij} are complex and the matrix $||a_{ij}||$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is orthogonal i.e. satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{\mu j} a_{\nu j} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \quad (\mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

with Kronecker's delta. According to [6], $\varphi_n(x, y)$ is invariant under complex isometries i.e. for every complex isometry $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$

$$(\diamond) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad \varphi_n(h(x), h(y)) = \varphi_n(x, y).$$

Conversely, if $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $(\diamond)$ then h is a complex isometry; it follows from [4, proposition 1, page 21] by replacing $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ and $d(x, y)$ with $\varphi_n(x, y)$. Similarly, if $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves all distances, then there exists a complex isometry $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $f = h|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

By a field endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ we understand any map $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C} \quad g(x + y) &= g(x) + g(y), \\ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C} \quad g(x \cdot y) &= g(x) \cdot g(y), \\ g(0) &= 0, \\ g(1) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Bijjective endomorphisms are called automorphisms, for more information on field endomorphisms and automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ the reader is referred to [10], [11] and [15]. If r is a rational number, then $g(r) = r$ for any field endomorphism $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Proposition 1 shows that only rational numbers r have this property:

Proposition 1 ([14]). If $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and r is not a rational number, then there exists a field automorphism $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(r) \neq r$.

Proof. Note first that if E is any subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ and if g is an automorphism of E , then g can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$. This follows from [7, corollary 1, A.V.111] by taking $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Now let $r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. If r is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, let E be the splitting field in $\mathbb{C}$ of the minimal polynomial μ of r over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $r' \in E$ be any other root of μ . Then there exists an automorphism g of E that sends r to r' , see for example [9, corollary 2, page 66]. If r is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$, let $E = \mathbb{Q}(r)$ and let $r' \in E$ be any other generator of E (e.g. $r' = 1/r$). Then there exists an automorphism g of E that sends r to r' . In each case, g can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$.

If $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a field endomorphism then $(g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}}) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves all distances $\sqrt{r}$ with rational $r \geq 0$. Indeed, if $(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2 = (\sqrt{r})^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n((g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}})(x_1, \dots, x_n), (g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}})(y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \\
\varphi_n((g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)), (g(y_1), \dots, g(y_n))) &= \\
(g(x_1) - g(y_1))^2 + \dots + (g(x_n) - g(y_n))^2 &= \\
(g(x_1 - y_1))^2 + \dots + (g(x_n - y_n))^2 &= \\
g((x_1 - y_1)^2) + \dots + g((x_n - y_n)^2) &= \\
g((x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2) &= g((\sqrt{r})^2) = g(r) = r = (\sqrt{r})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 ([14]). If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|x - y|^2$ is not a rational number, then there exists $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that does not preserve the distance between x and y although f preserves all distances $\sqrt{r}$ with rational $r \geq 0$.

Proof. There exists an isometry $I : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $I(x) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $I(y) = (|x - y|, 0, \dots, 0)$. By Proposition 1 there exists a field automorphism $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(|x - y|^2) \neq |x - y|^2$. Thus $g(|x - y|) \neq |x - y|$ and $g(|x - y|) \neq -|x - y|$. Therefore $(g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}}) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ does not preserve the distance between $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(|x - y|, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ although $(g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}})$ preserves all distances $\sqrt{r}$ with rational $r \geq 0$. Hence $f := (g|_{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, g|_{\mathbb{R}}) \circ I : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ does not preserve the distance between x and y although f preserves all distances $\sqrt{r}$ with rational $r \geq 0$.

Let $A_n(\mathbf{F})$ denote the set of all positive numbers d such that any map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ that preserves unit distance preserves also distance d . The classical Beckman-Quarles theorem states that each unit-distance preserving mapping from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) is an isometry, see [1]-[4] and [8]. It means that for each $n \geq 2$ $A_n(\mathbb{R}) = (0, \infty)$.

By Theorem 1 $A_n(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Let $D_n(\mathbf{F})$ denote the set of all positive numbers d with the following property:

- (*) if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|x - y| = d$ then there exists a finite set S_{xy} with $\{x, y\} \subseteq S_{xy} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that any map $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$ that preserves unit distance preserves also the distance between x and y .

Obviously, $\{1\} \subseteq D_n(\mathbf{F}) \subseteq A_n(\mathbf{F})$. The author proved in [14] that for each $n \geq 2$ $D_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a dense subset of $(0, \infty)$. The proof remains valid for $D_n(\mathbf{F})$. It is also known ([12], [13]) that for each $n \geq 2$ $D_n(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to the set of positive algebraic numbers. We shall prove that $\{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq D_2(\mathbf{F})$. We need the following technical Propositions 2-5.

Proposition 2 (cf. [5], [6]). The points $c_1 = (z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n}), \dots, c_{n+1} = (z_{n+1,1}, \dots, z_{n+1,n}) \in \mathbf{F}^n$ are affinely dependent if and only if their Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}) :=$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \varphi_n(c_1, c_2) & \dots & \varphi_n(c_1, c_{n+1}) \\ 1 & \varphi_n(c_2, c_1) & 0 & \dots & \varphi_n(c_2, c_{n+1}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \varphi_n(c_{n+1}, c_1) & \varphi_n(c_{n+1}, c_2) & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

equals 0.

Proof. It follows from the equality

$$\left(\det \begin{bmatrix} z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & \dots & z_{1,n} & 1 \\ z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & \dots & z_{2,n} & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ z_{n+1,1} & z_{n+1,2} & \dots & z_{n+1,n} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^2 = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^n} \cdot \Delta(c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}).$$

Proposition 3 (cf. [5], [6]). For any points $c_1, \dots, c_{n+k} \in \mathbf{F}^n$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) their Cayley-Menger determinant equals 0 i.e. $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_{n+k}) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $c_1 = (z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n}), \dots, c_{n+k} = (z_{n+k,1}, \dots, z_{n+k,n})$. The points $\tilde{c}_1 = (z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\tilde{c}_2 = (z_{2,1}, \dots, z_{2,n}, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \tilde{c}_{n+k} = (z_{n+k,1}, \dots, z_{n+k,n}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^{n+k-1}$ are affinely dependent. Since $\varphi_n(c_i, c_j) = \varphi_{n+k-1}(\tilde{c}_i, \tilde{c}_j)$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq n+k$) the Cayley-Menger determinant of points $c_1, \dots, c_{n+k}$ is equal to the Cayley-Menger determinant of points $\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n+k}$ which equals 0 according to Proposition 2.

Proposition 4a. If $d \in \mathbf{F}$, $d \neq 0$, $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbf{F}^2$ and $\varphi_2(c_1, c_2) = \varphi_2(c_1, c_3) = \varphi_2(c_2, c_3) = d^2$, then c_1, c_2, c_3 are affinely independent.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2 because the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(c_1, c_2, c_3) =$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d^2 & d^2 \\ 1 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 \\ 1 & d^2 & d^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -3d^4 \neq 0.$$

Proposition 4b. If $a, b \in \mathbf{F}$, $a + b \neq 0$, $z, x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbf{F}^2$ and $\varphi_2(z, x) = a^2$, $\varphi_2(x, \tilde{x}) = b^2$, $\varphi_2(z, \tilde{x}) = (a + b)^2$, then $\overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} = \frac{a}{a+b} \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}}$.

Proof. Since $\varphi_2(z, \tilde{x}) = (a + b)^2 \neq 0$ we conclude that $z \neq \tilde{x}$. The Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(z, x, \tilde{x}) =$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a^2 & (a+b)^2 \\ 1 & a^2 & 0 & b^2 \\ 1 & (a+b)^2 & b^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

so by Proposition 2 the points $z, x, \tilde{x}$ are affinely dependent. Therefore there exists $c \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $\overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} = c \cdot \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}}$. Hence $a^2 = \varphi_2(z, x) = c^2 \cdot \varphi_2(z, \tilde{x}) = c^2 \cdot (a + b)^2$. Thus $c = \frac{a}{a+b}$ or $c = -\frac{a}{a+b}$. If $c = -\frac{a}{a+b}$ then $\overrightarrow{x\tilde{x}} = \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} - \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} = \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} + \frac{a}{a+b} \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}} = \frac{2a+b}{a+b} \overrightarrow{z\tilde{x}}$. Hence $b^2 = \varphi_2(x, \tilde{x}) = \left(\frac{2a+b}{a+b}\right)^2 \cdot \varphi_2(z, \tilde{x}) = \left(\frac{2a+b}{a+b}\right)^2 \cdot (a+b)^2 = (2a+b)^2$. Therefore $0 = (2a+b)^2 - b^2 = 4a(a+b)$. Since $a+b \neq 0$ we conclude that $a = 0$, so $c = -\frac{a}{a+b} = \frac{a}{a+b}$ and the proof is completed.

Proposition 5 (cf. [6, Lemma, page 127] for $\mathbb{R}^n$). If $x, y, c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{F}^2$, $\varphi_2(x, c_0) = \varphi_2(y, c_0)$, $\varphi_2(x, c_1) = \varphi_2(y, c_1)$, $\varphi_2(x, c_2) = \varphi_2(y, c_2)$ and c_0, c_1, c_2 are affinely independent, then $x = y$.

Proof. Computing we obtain that the vector $\overrightarrow{x\tilde{y}}$ is perpendicular to each of the linearly independent vectors $\overrightarrow{c_0c_1}$, $\overrightarrow{c_0c_2}$. Thus the vector $\overrightarrow{x\tilde{y}}$ is perpendicular to every linear combination of vectors $\overrightarrow{c_0c_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{c_0c_2}$. In particular, the vector $\overrightarrow{x\tilde{y}}$ is perpendicular to each of the vectors $[1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$. Therefore $\overrightarrow{x\tilde{y}} = 0$ and the proof is completed.

Lemma 1. If $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$ then $\sqrt{3} \cdot d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Let $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $|x - y| = \sqrt{3} \cdot d$. Using the notation of Figure 1 we show that

$$S_{xy} := S_{y\tilde{y}} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{xp_i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{yp_i} \cup S_{p_1p_2} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{x\tilde{p}_i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{y\tilde{p}_i} \cup S_{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{p}_2}$$

satisfies condition $(*)$.




Figure 1

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| &= |x - \tilde{y}| = \sqrt{3} \cdot d, \quad |y - \tilde{y}| = |p_1 - p_2| = |\tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2| = d \\ |x - p_i| &= |y - p_i| = |x - \tilde{p}_i| = |\tilde{y} - \tilde{p}_i| = d \quad (i = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2$ preserves unit distance. Since

$$S_{xy} \supseteq S_{y\tilde{y}} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{xp_i} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 S_{yp_i} \cup S_{p_1p_2}$$

we conclude that f preserves the distances between y and $\tilde{y}$, x and p_i ($i = 1, 2$), y and p_i ($i = 1, 2$), p_1 and p_2 . Hence $\varphi_2(f(y), f(\tilde{y})) = \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_i)) = \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_i)) = \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(p_2)) = d^2$ ($i = 1, 2$). By Proposition 3 the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(f(x), f(p_1), f(p_2), f(y))$ equals 0 i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(x)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(p_1)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_2)) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d^2 & d^2 & t \\ 1 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 & d^2 \\ 1 & d^2 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 \\ 1 & t & d^2 & d^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y))$. Computing this determinant we obtain

$$2d^2t \cdot (3d^2 - t) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) = \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) = 3d^2 = |x - y|^2$$

or

$$t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) = \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) = 0.$$

Analogously we may prove that

$$\varphi_2(f(x), f(\tilde{y})) = \varphi_2(f(\tilde{y}), f(x)) = 3d^2 = |x - \tilde{y}|^2$$

or

$$\varphi_2(f(x), f(\tilde{y})) = \varphi_2(f(\tilde{y}), f(x)) = 0.$$

If $t = 0$ then the points $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(f(x), f(x)) &= 0 = \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)), \\ \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_1)) &= d^2 = \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_1)), \\ \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_2)) &= d^2 = \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4a the points $f(x)$, $f(p_1)$, $f(p_2)$ are affinely independent. Therefore by Proposition 5 $f(x) = f(y)$ and consequently

$$d^2 = \varphi_2(f(y), f(\tilde{y})) = \varphi_2(f(x), f(\tilde{y})) \in \{3d^2, 0\}.$$

Since $d^2 \neq 3d^2$ and $d^2 \neq 0$ we conclude that the case $t = 0$ cannot occur. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. If $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$ then $2 \cdot d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Let $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $|x - y| = 2 \cdot d$. Using the notation of Figure 2 we show that

$$S_{xy} := \bigcup \{S_{ab} : a, b \in \{x, y, p_1, p_2, p_3\}, |a - b| = d \vee |a - b| = \sqrt{3} \cdot d\}$$

(where S_{xp_3} and S_{yp_2} are known to exist by Lemma 1) satisfies condition (*).




Figure 2

$$|x - y| = 2 \cdot d$$

$$|p_1 - p_2| = |p_1 - p_3| = |p_2 - p_3| = |x - p_1| = |x - p_2| = |y - p_1| = |y - p_3| = d$$

$$|x - p_3| = |y - p_2| = \sqrt{3} \cdot d$$

Assume that $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2$ preserves unit distance. Then f preserves all distances between p_i and p_j ($1 \leq i < j \leq 3$), x and p_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$), y and p_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$). By Proposition 3 the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(f(x), f(p_1), f(p_2), f(p_3), f(y))$ equals 0 i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_3)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(x)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(p_3)) & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(p_1)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(p_3)) & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_3), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(p_3), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(p_3), f(p_2)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_3), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_3)) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d^2 & d^2 & 3d^2 & t \\ 1 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 & d^2 & d^2 \\ 1 & d^2 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 & 3d^2 \\ 1 & 3d^2 & d^2 & d^2 & 0 & d^2 \\ 1 & t & d^2 & 3d^2 & d^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y))$. Computing this determinant we obtain

$$3d^4 \cdot (t - 4d^2)^2 = 0.$$

Therefore

$$t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) = \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) = 4d^2 = |x - y|^2.$$

Lemma 3. If $a, b \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$ and $a > b$, then $\sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$, $a > b$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $|x - y| = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$. Using the notation of Figure 3 we show that

$$S_{xy} := S_{xp_1} \cup S_{xp_2} \cup S_{yp_1} \cup S_{yp_2} \cup S_{p_1p_2}$$

(where $S_{p_1p_2}$ is known to exist by Lemma 2) satisfies condition (*).




Figure 3

$$|x - y| = \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$$

$$|x - p_1| = |x - p_2| = b, |y - p_1| = |y - p_2| = a, |p_1 - p_2| = 2b$$

Assume that $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2$ preserves unit distance. Then f preserves the distances between x and p_i ($i = 1, 2$), y and p_i ($i = 1, 2$), p_1 and p_2 . By Proposition 3 the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(f(x), f(p_1), f(p_2), f(y))$ equals 0 i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(x)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(p_2)) & \varphi_2(f(p_1), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(p_1)) & 0 & \varphi_2(f(p_2), f(y)) \\ 1 & \varphi_2(f(y), f(x)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_1)) & \varphi_2(f(y), f(p_2)) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & b^2 & b^2 & t \\ 1 & b^2 & 0 & 4b^2 & a^2 \\ 1 & b^2 & 4b^2 & 0 & a^2 \\ 1 & t & a^2 & a^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $t = \varphi_2(f(x), f(y))$. Computing this determinant we obtain

$$-8b^2 \cdot (t + b^2 - a^2)^2 = 0.$$

Therefore

$$t = a^2 - b^2 = |x - y|^2.$$

Lemma 4. For each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ $\sqrt{n} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. If $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and $\sqrt{n} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$, then $\sqrt{n-1} = \sqrt{(\sqrt{n})^2 - 1^2} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$; it follows from Lemma 3 and $1 \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2 all numbers $\sqrt{2^{2k}} = 2^k$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) belong to $D_2(\mathbf{F})$. These two facts imply that all distances $\sqrt{n}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) belong to $D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

From Lemma 4 we obtain:

Lemma 5. For each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ $n = \sqrt{n^2} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Lemma 6. If $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$ then all distances $\frac{d}{k}$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) belong to $D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Let $d \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$, $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $|x - y| = \frac{d}{k}$. We choose an integer $e \geq d$. Using the notation of Figure 4 we show that

$$S_{xy} := S_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} \cup S_{zx} \cup S_{x\tilde{x}} \cup S_{z\tilde{x}} \cup S_{zy} \cup S_{y\tilde{y}} \cup S_{z\tilde{y}}$$

(where sets S_{zx} , $S_{x\tilde{x}}$, $S_{z\tilde{x}}$, S_{zy} , $S_{y\tilde{y}}$, $S_{z\tilde{y}}$ corresponding to integer distances are known to exist by Lemma 5) satisfies condition (*).




Figure 4

$$|x - y| = \frac{d}{k}$$

Assume that $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2$ preserves unit distance. Since $S_{xy} \supseteq S_{zx} \cup S_{x\tilde{x}} \cup S_{z\tilde{x}}$ we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(f(z), f(x)) &= |z - x|^2 = e^2, \\ \varphi_2(f(x), f(\tilde{x})) &= |x - \tilde{x}|^2 = ((k-1)e)^2, \\ \varphi_2(f(z), f(\tilde{x})) &= |z - \tilde{x}|^2 = (ke)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4b:

$$\overrightarrow{f(z)f(x)} = \frac{1}{k} \overrightarrow{f(z)f(\tilde{x})} \quad (1)$$

Analogously:

$$\overrightarrow{f(z)f(y)} = \frac{1}{k} \overrightarrow{f(z)f(\tilde{y})} \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2):

$$\overrightarrow{f(x)f(y)} = \frac{1}{k} \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})f(\tilde{y})} \quad (3)$$

Since $S_{xy} \supseteq S_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}$ we conclude that

$$\varphi_2(f(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{y})) = |\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^2 = d^2 \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4): $\varphi_2(f(x), f(y)) = \left(\frac{d}{k}\right)^2$ and the proof is completed.

Theorem 2. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $|x - y|^2$ is a rational number, then there exists a finite set S_{xy} with $\{x, y\} \subseteq S_{xy} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that any map $f : S_{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^2$ that preserves unit distance preserves also the distance between x and y ; in other words $\{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq D_2(\mathbf{F})$.

Proof. We need to prove that $\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \in D_2(\mathbf{F})$ for all positive integers p, q . Since $\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} = \frac{\sqrt{pq}}{q}$ the assertion follows from Lemmas 4 and 6.

Since $D_2(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq A_2(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ as a corollary we get:

$$D_2(\mathbb{C}) = A_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{d > 0 : d^2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a subfield of a commutative field Γ extending $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\psi_2 : \Gamma^2 \times \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\psi_2((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ preserves unit distance if for each $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$ $\psi_2(X, Y) = 1$ implies $\psi_2(f(X), f(Y)) = 1$. We say that $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ preserves the distance between $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$ if $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$.

Theorem 3. If $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\psi_2(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $X \neq Y$, then there exists a finite set S_{XY} with $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ such that any map $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$ and $f(X) \neq f(Y)$.

Proof. If $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$, then $\psi_2(X, Y) \neq 0$ implies $f(X) \neq f(Y)$. The main part of the proof divides into three cases.

Case 1: $\psi_2(X, Y) > 0$.

There exists a complex isometry of $\mathbb{C}^2$ that sends $(0, 0)$ to X and $(\sqrt{\psi_2(X, Y)}, 0)$ to Y , so without loss of generality we may assume that $X = (0, 0)$ and $Y = (\sqrt{\psi_2(X, Y)}, 0)$. By Theorem 2 there exists a finite set S_{XY} with $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ such that each unit-distance preserving mapping $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ satisfies $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$.

Case 2: $\psi_2(X, Y) < 0$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $X = (0, 0)$. Let $Y = (a, b)$, $A = (-2ib, 2ia)$, $B = (2ib, -2ia)$. Then $a^2 + b^2 = \psi_2(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, 0)$. Thus $\psi_2(A, X) = \psi_2(B, X) = -4(a^2 + b^2) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$, $\psi_2(A, B) = -16(a^2 + b^2) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$, $\psi_2(A, Y) = \psi_2(B, Y) = -3(a^2 + b^2) \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$. We show that

$$S_{XY} := S_{AX} \cup S_{BX} \cup S_{AB} \cup S_{AY} \cup S_{BY}$$

satisfies the condition of the theorem; the finite sets S_{AX} , S_{BX} , S_{AB} , S_{AY} , S_{BY} are known to exist by the proof in case 1. Assume that $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ preserves unit distance. Then f preserves the distances between A and X , B and X , A and B , A and Y , B and Y . By Proposition 3 the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(f(X), f(Y), f(A), f(B))$ equals 0 i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & t & -4(a^2 + b^2) & -4(a^2 + b^2) \\ 1 & t & 0 & -3(a^2 + b^2) & -3(a^2 + b^2) \\ 1 & -4(a^2 + b^2) & -3(a^2 + b^2) & 0 & -16(a^2 + b^2) \\ 1 & -4(a^2 + b^2) & -3(a^2 + b^2) & -16(a^2 + b^2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $t = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$. Computing this determinant we obtain

$$32(a^2 + b^2)(t - (a^2 + b^2))^2 = 0.$$

Therefore $t = a^2 + b^2 = \psi_2(X, Y)$.

Case 3: $\psi_2(X, Y) = 0$.

There exists a complex isometry of $\mathbb{C}^2$ that sends $(0, 0)$ to X and $(1, i)$ to Y , so without loss of generality we may assume that $X = (0, 0)$ and $Y = (1, i)$. Let $A = (-1, 0)$, $B = (1, 0)$. Then $\psi_2(A, X) = \psi_2(B, X) = 1$, $\psi_2(A, B) = 4$, $\psi_2(A, Y) = 3$, $\psi_2(B, Y) = -1$. We show that

$$S_{XY} := S_{AX} \cup S_{BX} \cup S_{AB} \cup S_{AY} \cup S_{BY}$$

satisfies the condition of the theorem; the finite sets S_{AX} , S_{BX} , S_{AB} , S_{AY} , S_{BY} are known to exist by the proofs in cases 1 and 2. Assume that $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ preserves unit distance. Then f preserves the distances between A and X , B and X , A and B , A and Y , B and Y . Since $1 = \psi_2(f(A), f(X)) \neq \psi_2(f(A), f(Y)) = 3$ we conclude that $f(X) \neq f(Y)$. By Proposition 3 the Cayley-Menger determinant $\Delta(f(X), f(Y), f(A), f(B))$ equals 0 i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & t & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & t & 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $t = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$. Computing this determinant we obtain $-8t^2 = 0$. Therefore $t = 0 = \psi_2(X, Y)$.

The proof is finished.

As a corollary of Theorem 3 we get:

Theorem 4. If $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\psi_2(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $X \neq Y$, then any map $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^2$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $\psi_2(X, Y) = \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$ and $f(X) \neq f(Y)$.

If $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi_2(X, Y) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then there exists a unit-distance preserving mapping $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying $\psi_2(X, Y) \neq \psi_2(f(X), f(Y))$; the proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Professor Mowaffaq Hajja for improvement of the proof of Proposition 1. The author also wishes to thank the anonymous reviewer of Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg for providing necessary assumptions on the fields $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{K}$.

References

- [1] F. S. BECKMAN AND D. A. QUARLES JR., *On isometries of euclidean spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 810–815.
- [2] W. BENZ, *An elementary proof of the theorem of Beckman and Quarles*, Elem. Math. 42 (1987), 4–9.
- [3] W. BENZ, *Geometrische Transformationen (unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Lorentztransformationen)*, BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1992.
- [4] W. BENZ, *Real geometries*, BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1994.
- [5] L. M. BLUMENTHAL, *Theory and applications of distance geometry*, 2nd ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1970.
- [6] K. BORSUK, *Multidimensional analytic geometry*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1969.
- [7] N. BOURBAKI, *Elements of mathematics, Algebra II, Chapters 4–7*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [8] U. EVERLING, *Solution of the isometry problem stated by K. Ciesielski*, Math. Intelligencer 10 (1988), No. 4, page 47.
- [9] D. J. H. GARLING, *A course in Galois theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] H. KESTELMAN, *Automorphisms of the field of complex numbers*, Proc. London Math. Soc., II. Ser. 53 (1951), 1–12.
- [11] M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities: Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*, Polish Scientific Publishers and Silesian University, Warsaw-Cracow-Katowice, 1985.
- [12] A. TYSZKA, *Discrete versions of the Beckman-Quarles theorem*, Aequationes Math. 59 (2000), 124–133.

- [13] A. TYSZKA, *Discrete versions of the Beckman-Quarles theorem from the definability results of R. M. Robinson*, Algebra, Geometry & their Applications, Seminar Proceedings 1 (2001), 88–90, Yerevan State University Press.
- [14] A. TYSZKA, *Beckman-Quarles type theorems for mappings from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Aequationes Math., to appear.
- [15] P. B. YALE, *Automorphisms of the complex numbers*, Math. Mag. 39 (1966), 135–141.

Apoloniusz Tyszką

Technical Faculty

Hugo Kołłątaj University

Balicka 104, 30-149 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: *rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl*