

DISTINGUISHING THE CHAMBERS OF THE MOMENT POLYTOPE

R. F. GOLDIN, T. S. HOLM, AND L. C. JEFFREY

ABSTRACT. Let M be a compact manifold with a Hamiltonian T action and moment map Φ . The restriction map in equivariant cohomology from M to a level set $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ is a surjection, and we denote the kernel by I_p . When T has isolated fixed points, we show that I_p distinguishes the chambers of the moment polytope for M . In particular, counting the number of distinct ideals I_p as p varies over different chambers is equivalent to counting the number of chambers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian torus action by T . Then M has a moment map

$$\Phi : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$$

from M to the dual of the Lie algebra of T which has the property that for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, $d\langle \Phi(p), \xi \rangle = \omega(X_\xi, \cdot)$, where X_ξ is the vector field on M generated by ξ . The torus action preserves level sets of Φ . If p is a regular value of the moment map, then T acts locally freely on $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ and the *symplectic reduced space* $M_p := \Phi^{-1}(p)/T$ has at worst orbifold singularities. In particular, the equivariant cohomology of the level set is (rationally) isomorphic to the ordinary cohomology of the quotient $\Phi^{-1}(p)/T$. The *Kirwan map*

$$\kappa_p : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H^*(M_p)$$

is the restriction to the level set in equivariant cohomology followed by this isomorphism. Kirwan [Ki] showed that κ_p is a surjection for all regular values p . Let

$$I_p := \ker \kappa_p$$

be the kernel of this map. The main aim of this article is to observe that this ideal distinguishes connected components of the set of regular values of Φ , when the fixed point set consists of isolated points.

Date: February 19, 2019.

In general, this ideal may be hard to compute. S. Tolman and J. Weitsman described the generators of I_p in terms of their restriction properties to the fixed point set of the T action on M [TW]. The first author (of this article) subsequently described these classes in terms of the moment map polytope $\Phi(M)$ [Go2]. Preceding these techniques, the third author described the ideal indirectly by using cohomology pairings and the residue formula [JK1], a technique we exploit further here.

Let $\Delta := \Phi(M)$ be the image of the moment map. For M compact, Δ is a convex polytope which we call the *moment polytope* [At], [GS1]. The connected components of the set of regular values of Φ form *chambers* of the polytope. These chambers are bounded by the (non-regular) values $\bigcup \Phi(M^H)$, where the union is over all one-dimensional subtori $H \subset T$ and M^H denotes the fixed point set of H . For any particular $H \subset T$, we call the connected components of $\Phi(M^H)$ a *wall* of Δ , and note that a wall will be codimension-1 in \mathfrak{t}^* if H is one-dimensional and the quotient T/H acts effectively on M^H . While there have been numerous attempts to describe the geometry as a regular value p crosses a codimension-1 wall (most notably [GK], [Ma], [GS2]), there has so far been little evidence that global observations about Δ can be made using the techniques of equivariant cohomology. In [GLS2] the authors are able to use brute force to compute the number of chambers in the image of certain degenerate $SU(4)$ coadjoint orbits, and E. Rassart [Ra] has since extended these methods to count the number for any coadjoint orbit of $SU(4)$. The result we present below suggest that an algebraic approach may have merit in answering these questions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact connected symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian T action. Suppose the action has isolated fixed points, and denote the moment map by Φ . Let p and q be two regular values of Φ . Then p and q are in distinct chambers of the moment polytope if and only if $I_p \neq I_q$.*

One of the difficulties in proving such a theorem is that, for p and q in distinct chambers, it is not sufficient to find different generating sets for the two ideals. These two sets could generate the same ideal. For this reason, our task is to find specific classes which are in one ideal but not in the other.

Remark 1.2. The quotient of $H_T^*(M)$ by each of these ideals may result in isomorphic rings. For example, the reduced space of any $SU(3)$ coadjoint orbit is a 2-sphere for any regular value. Thus the reduced spaces have isomorphic cohomology even though the points of reduction may not be in the same chamber.

2. BACKGROUND

The kernel of the Kirwan map can be described in two equivalent ways: in terms of cohomology classes and in terms of cohomology pairings. The Tolman-Weitsman theorem describes a generating set of the classes in the kernel of the Kirwan map, while the Jeffrey-Kirwan theorem describes how to evaluate pairings (or integrals) on the reduced space. Because the reduced space is finite-dimensional, and in particular the Poincaré pairing is non-degenerate, the kernel I_p of the Kirwan map κ_p consists of those classes β for which $\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\alpha\beta) = 0$ for all α . Our interest is to show that certain classes have non-zero integral and are therefore *not* in the kernel of the Kirwan map.

Our main tool for describing any class is its restriction to the fixed point set M^T .

Theorem 2.1 (Kirwan). *Let M be a compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian T action and fixed point set M^T . Let M_{cc}^T indicate the connected components of the fixed point set. The restriction to the fixed point set*

$$(2.1) \quad \iota^* : H_T^*(M) \longrightarrow H_T^*(M^T) = \bigoplus_{F \in M_{cc}^T} H_T^*(F)$$

is an injection.

In particular, a class is determined by its behavior on the fixed point set. For this reason, we refer to the set of connected components of the fixed point set where the class is nonzero as the *support* of a class. We denote the restriction of α to $F \in M_{cc}^T$ by $\iota_F^*(\alpha)$ or $\alpha|_F$.

Definition 2.2. *For $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$, the support of α is*

$$\text{supp } \alpha = \{F \in M_{cc}^T : \iota_F^*(\alpha) \neq 0\},$$

the set of fixed point components F on which α restricts to a non-zero class.

2.1. The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue formula. The residue formula expresses cohomology pairings on reduced spaces in terms of a multi-dimensional residue of certain rational holomorphic functions on \mathfrak{t} [JK1]. The functions are obtained by restriction of equivariant classes to fixed points, and dividing by equivariant Euler classes. While the theorem applies to compact M reduced by any compact group K , we need (and present) only the Abelian case below.

The computation of terms in the residue formula depends on a cone Λ in \mathfrak{t} , even though the result of the formula is independent of this choice. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ be the set of all weights that occur by the T action at any of the fixed point components. Choose some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ such

that $\gamma_i(\xi) \neq 0$ for all i . Let $\beta_i = \gamma_i$ if $\gamma_i(\xi) > 0$ and $\beta_i = -\gamma_i$ if $\gamma_i(\xi) < 0$. Thus $\beta_i(\xi) > 0$ for all i . The cone Λ is the set of all vectors in \mathfrak{t} which behave like ξ :

$$\Lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{t} : \beta_i(X) > 0, \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Theorem 2.3 (Jeffrey-Kirwan). *Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian T action and moment map Φ , where T is a compact torus. Let p be a regular value of Φ and ω_p the Marsden-Weinstein reduced symplectic form on M_p . Then for $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$ and $\kappa_p : H_T^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M_p)$ we have*

$$\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\beta) e^{\omega_p} = c \cdot \text{res}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{F \in M_{cc}^T} e^{i(\Phi(F)-p)(X)} \int_F \frac{\iota_F^*(\beta(X) e^\omega)}{e_F(X)} [dX] \right)$$

where c is a non-zero constant, X is a variable in $\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and $e_F(X)$ is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to F in M . The multi-dimensional residue res^Λ is defined below.

It is often more useful to refer to the dual cone $\Lambda^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, the convex cone formed by the positive span of the vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$, or the set of vectors α which can be written $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \alpha_i$, with $s_i \geq 0$, perhaps not uniquely. Assume $\dim T = l$, let $J = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ be a multi-index, and $X^J = X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_l^{j_l}$. The operator Res^Λ (defined in [JK1]) is defined by linearity and the following properties ([JK2], Proposition 3.2):

- (1) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Lambda^*$ be vectors in the dual cone. Suppose that λ is not in any cone of dimension $l - 1$ or less spanned by a subset of the $\{\alpha_i\}$. Then

$$\text{Res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{X^J e^{i\lambda(X)} [dX]}{\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(X)} \right) = 0$$

unless all of the following properties are satisfied:

- (a) $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k$ span \mathfrak{t}^* as a vector space,
 - (b) $k - (j_1 + \cdots + j_l) \geq l$,
 - (c) $\lambda \in \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle^+$, the positive span of the vectors $\{\alpha_i\}$.
- (2) If properties (1)(a)-(c) above are satisfied, then

$$\text{Res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{X^J e^{i\lambda(X)} [dX]}{\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(X)} \right) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{X^J (i\lambda(X))^m e^{is\lambda(X)} [dX]}{m! \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(X)} \right),$$

and all but one term in this sum are 0 (the nonvanishing term being that with $m = k - (j_1 + \cdots + j_l) - l$)

- (3) The residue is not identically 0. If properties (1) (a) – (c) are satisfied with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ linearly independent in \mathfrak{t}^* , then

$$\text{Res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda(X)}[dX]}{\prod_{i=1}^l \alpha_i(X)} \right) = \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}},$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the determinant of the nonsingular matrix whose columns are the coordinates of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ with respect to any orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{t} defining the same orientation.

- (4) When λ is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^k s_j \alpha_j$ where less than l of the s_j are nonzero, then we define the residue

$$\text{Res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda(X)}[dX]}{\prod_{i=k_1}^{k_l} \alpha_i(X)} \right)$$

as the limit of the residues at $\lambda + s\rho$ where s is a small positive real parameter and $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is chosen so that $\lambda + s\rho$ does not lie in any cone of dimension $l - 1$ or less spanned by a subset of the $\{\alpha_j\}$.

Remark 2.4. Suppose $X^J = 1$, so all $j_m = 0$. Then the residue is equal to

$$\frac{i^{k-l} H_\alpha(\lambda)}{(2\pi)^l}$$

where H_α is the pushforward of Lebesgue measure from \mathbb{C}^k to \mathfrak{t}^* (in other words, the Duistermaat-Heckman function for the linear action of T on \mathbb{C}^k via the collection of weights $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$). (See [JK1] Proposition 8.11 (ii) and [GLS1].)

Remark 2.5. By property (4), the residue equals 0 when $\lambda = 0$ provided that $k > l$, because the functions H_α are piecewise polynomial functions of degree $k - l$ and so must approach 0 at $s\rho$ as $s \rightarrow 0^+$, when ρ is a point in the cone Λ^* . Likewise, when $k = l$ the value of the residue at $\lambda = 0$ equals the value given in (3).

Remark 2.6. It turns out that it is legitimate to expand the exponential in the left hand side of (2) using a Taylor series and then to compute the right hand side in property (2) using linearity and the properties listed in (1) and (3). In particular, $(i\lambda(X))^m$ is a polynomial in X and can be distributed. Then for a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \text{res}^\Lambda \left(\frac{X^J X^I e^{is\lambda(X)}[dX]}{\prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(X)} \right) = 0$$

unless $k - (j_1 + \dots + j_l) - (i_1 + \dots + i_l) = l$.

Suppose M^T consists of isolated fixed points. Consider the case that β is of homogeneous degree, and that $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$ is complementary in degree in the sense that

$$\deg \alpha = \dim M_p - \deg \beta.$$

Then the residue theorem states

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\alpha\beta) = c \cdot \text{res}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{F \in M^T} e^{i(\Phi(F)-p)(X)} \frac{\iota_F^*(\alpha\beta)}{e_F} [dX] \right).$$

Alternatively (see [JK2] Proposition 3.4) the residue can be reformulated as follows. For f a meromorphic function of one complex variable z which is of the form $f(z) = g(z)e^{i\lambda z}$ where g is a rational function, we define

$$\text{Res}_z^+ f(z)dz = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Res}(g(z)e^{i\lambda z}; z = b).$$

We extend this definition by linearity to linear combinations of functions of this form.

Viewing f as a meromorphic function on the Riemann sphere and observing that the sum of all the residues of a meromorphic 1-form on the Riemann sphere is 0, we observe that

$$\text{Res}_z^+ (f(z)dz) = -\text{Res}_{z=\infty} (f(z)dz).$$

If $X \in \mathfrak{t}$, define

$$h(X) = \frac{q(X)e^{i\lambda(X)}}{\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j(X)}$$

for some polynomial function $q(X)$ of X and some $\lambda, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Suppose that λ is not in any proper subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* spanned by a subset of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Let Λ be any nonempty open cone in \mathfrak{t} contained in some connected component of

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{t} : \alpha_j(X) \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Then for a generic choice of coordinate system $X = (X_1, \dots, X_l)$ on \mathfrak{t} for which $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \Lambda$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Res}^\Lambda(h(X)[dX]) = \Delta \text{Res}_{X_1}^+ \circ \dots \circ \text{Res}_{X_l}^+ (h(X)dX_1 \dots dX_l)$$

where the variables X_1, \dots, X_{m-1} are held constant while calculating $\text{Res}_{X_m}^+$, and Δ is the determinant of any $l \times l$ matrix whose columns are the coordinates of an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{t} defining the same orientation as the chosen coordinate system. We assume that if (X_1, \dots, X_l) is a coordinate system for $X \in \mathfrak{t}$, then $(0, 0, \dots, 1) \in \Lambda$. We also require an additional technical condition on the coordinate systems, which is

valid for almost any choice of coordinate system (see Remark 3.5 (1) from [JK2]).

One proof of (2.3) involves checking that the object on the right hand side of (2.3) satisfies a subset of the properties (1)-(3) above which characterize the residue uniquely (see [JK2], Proposition 3.4).

2.2. Equivariant Morse theory. The goal of this section is to use the restriction properties of $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$ to find level sets on which α restricts to 0 under the corresponding Kirwan map. In fact we show something stronger, namely that there is a basis of $H_T^*(M)$ (as an $H_T^*(pt)$ -module) given by certain classes $\{\alpha_F\}_{F \in M_{cc}^T}$ indexed by the connected components of the fixed point set (although the choice of these classes may not be unique). The classes α_F are in I_p for a very specific (and generally rather large) set of regular values p , as we describe below.

First consider the case that p is not in the convex hull of the image under Φ of $\text{supp } \alpha$. One can prove that $\alpha \in I_p$ using equivariant Morse theory. In particular, if p is not in this convex hull, then there is some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ whose corresponding moment map component $\Phi^\xi := \langle \Phi, \xi \rangle$ is such that $\Phi^\xi(\Phi^{-1}(p)) < \Phi^\xi(F)$ for every $F \in \text{supp } \alpha$. One may choose ξ so that Φ^ξ has distinct values for distinct components of the fixed point set. Order these components C_i so that $i < j$ if and only if $\Phi^\xi(C_i) < \Phi^\xi(C_j)$. We note that Φ^ξ is a Morse-Bott function. Following [AB] one defines

$$(2.4) \quad M_i^+ := (\Phi^\xi)^{-1}(-\infty, \Phi^\xi(C_i) + \epsilon_i) \text{ and}$$

$$(2.5) \quad M_i^- := (\Phi^\xi)^{-1}(-\infty, \Phi^\xi(C_i) - \epsilon_i)$$

where $\epsilon_i > 0$ is small enough that C_i is the only critical set in the interval $(\Phi^\xi)^{-1}(\Phi^\xi(C_i) - \epsilon_i, \Phi^\xi(C_i) + \epsilon_i)$. For each i , consider the long exact sequence in equivariant cohomology

$$(2.6) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_T^*(M_i^+, M_i^-) \rightarrow H_T^*(M_i^+) \rightarrow H_T^*(M_i^-) \rightarrow H_T^{*+1}(M_i^+, M_i^-) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Using the Thom isomorphism, we may identify

$$H_T^*(M_i^+, M_i^-) \cong H_T^{*- \lambda_i}(C_i),$$

where λ_i is the index of the negative normal bundle to C_i (under Φ^ξ). Atiyah and Bott observed that the map $H_T^{*- \lambda_i}(C_i) \rightarrow H_T^*(M_i^+)$ is an *injection* and hence the sequence splits

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_G^{*- \lambda_i}(C_i) \rightarrow H_G^*(M_i^+) \rightarrow H_G^*(M_i^-) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows by induction on i that if α is 0 on C_1, \dots, C_i , then α is 0 on M_i^+ and therefore α restricts to 0 on $\Phi^{-1}(p) \subset (\Phi^\xi)^{-1}(p)$ if $\Phi^\xi(p) < \Phi^\xi(C_{i+1})$.

When M is not complex, we do not have the familiar convexity properties. Let P be the image under Φ of $\text{supp } \alpha$. The convex hull of P may contain regular values that are not in the image of the gradient flow-outs of $\text{supp } \alpha$: see the non-Kähler example in Section 4.3. Thus, it is not immediately clear that the class α restricts to zero at these regular values. Thus, we need to use a more subtle trick to prove that α does indeed restrict to 0 on these level sets. We first define the *extended stable set* of a critical set C .

Definition 2.7. *Let f be a Morse-Bott function on M , and order the critical sets C_0, C_1, \dots, C_k so that $i < j$ if and only if $f(C_i) < f(C_j)$. Let $\text{grad } f$ be the gradient flow of f with respect to a compatible Riemannian metric. The extended stable set of C is the set of points x in M such that there is a sequence of critical sets $C_{i_1}, C_{i_2}, \dots, C_{i_m} = C$ in which x converges to C_{i_1} under the flow of $-\text{grad } f$, and points in the negative normal bundle of C_{i_j} converge to $C_{i_{j+1}}$ under the flow of $-\text{grad } f$.*

The extended stable set is also called the extended flow-up (where “up” means that the value of f increases under the flow of $\text{grad } f$ rather than $-\text{grad } f$).

Remark 2.8. Note that the extended stable set is *not* the same as the closure of the stable flow-outs of the critical set C . A manifold with a Morse-Bott function for which these two are not the same is the Hirzebruch surface F_2 (see Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. The bold lines are the image of the extended stable set of C under Φ . The closure of the stable flow-out of C is just the (top) horizontal bold line.

A slight generalization of these methods (see [Go2]¹) yields

Theorem 2.9. *For every fixed point F there exists a homogeneous class α_F which has support on the extended flow-up from F and such that $\iota_F^*(\alpha_F) = e_T(\nu^-F)$, the equivariant Euler class of the negative normal bundle to F . We call such a class an equivariant generalized Euler class associated to F .*

It now follows easily that any class $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$ can be written as a linear combination of such classes α_F . Let i be the smallest number such that $\iota_{C_i}^*(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then $\iota_{C_i}^*(\alpha)$ is a multiple m of the equivariant Euler class of the negative normal bundle to C_i . Let α_i be an equivariant generalized Euler class associated to C_i . Then $\alpha - m\alpha_i$ restricts to 0 on all classes C_j where $j \leq i$. Continue inductively to express α in terms of the $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, N$.

Remark 2.10. In the case that some component f of the moment map is *Palais-Smale*, i.e. the (ordinary, non-extended) unstable and stable manifolds intersect transversally, there is a unique equivariant generalized Euler class for each component of the fixed point set: Suppose F and G are two isolated fixed points and that the unstable manifold of F intersects the stable manifold of G transversally and non-trivially. If $F \neq G$, then the intersection is not zero-dimensional and $\dim \nu_G^+ + \dim \nu_F^- - \dim M > 0$, where ν_G^+ is the positive normal bundle of G in M and ν_F^- is the negative normal bundle of F in M , both with respect to f . Since $\dim \nu_G^+ = \dim M - \dim \nu_G^-$, it follows that $\dim \nu_F^- > \dim \nu_G^-$. The degree of any generalized equivariant Euler class out of G is equal to $\dim \nu_G^-$, and thus homogeneity assures that the class associated to G is unique. This is the case for coadjoint orbits of complex reductive groups. A similar argument works in the case that F and G are not isolated.

It now follows that α restricted to a level set $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ is non-zero only if this level set is in the gradient flow-out of a fixed point component in the support of α . We have proven

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$, where M is a compact Hamiltonian T -space with moment map Φ . Suppose p is a regular value of Φ , and that for some component Φ^ξ of Φ , Φ^{-1} does not intersect the gradient flow-out of any of the points in the support of α . Then $\alpha|_{\Phi^{-1}(p)} = 0$,*

and in particular,

¹in [Go2] the extended stable set was termed “extended stable manifold,” a misnomer since these sets are not in general manifolds

Corollary 2.12. *Let $H \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be a rational hyperplane passing through p . We orient H by realizing it as a level set of a vector $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ and denoting by H^+ the set of all points $q \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\xi(q) > \xi(H)$, and by H^- the set of all points $q \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\xi(q) < \xi(H)$. If $\Phi(\text{supp } \alpha) \subset H^+$ or $\Phi(\text{supp } \alpha) \subset H^-$, then $\alpha \in I_p$.*

A much harder fact to prove is that the set of these classes, each of which has this vanishing property for some hyperplane H , generate the kernel of the Kirwan map. This is the content of the Tolman-Weitsman theorem [TW].

Notice that regular values are never on walls of the moment polytope. If p is regular, then $\Phi^{-1}(p)$ has a locally free T action, and in particular points in the level set can be fixed by at most 0-dimensional subsets of T .

3. THE MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

We begin with a proposition about the behavior of I_p as p moves within a chamber.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a compact Hamiltonian T space with moment map Φ . If p and q are two regular values of Φ in the same chamber of the moment polytope, then $I_p = I_q$.*

Proof. Since any two points p and q in C may be connected by a piecewise linear path lying entirely in C , the Proposition follows from the following theorem of Guillemin-Kalkman [GK] and Martin [Ma].

Theorem 3.2. *Let there be a piecewise linear path of regular values between two regular values p and q of the moment map. Let $\eta \in H_T^*(M)$. Then for any $\zeta \in H_T^*(M)$ we have*

$$\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\zeta) \kappa_p(\eta) = \int_{M_q} \kappa_q(\zeta) \kappa_q(\eta).$$

Since κ_p and κ_q are surjective, it follows that $\kappa_p(\eta) = 0$ if and only if $\kappa_q(\eta) = 0$ (using Poincaré duality). \square

We now prove the harder part of Theorem 1.1, namely

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a compact Hamiltonian T space with isolated fixed points and moment map Φ . If p and q are two regular values of Φ in distinct chambers of the moment polytope, then $I_p \neq I_q$.*

We prove this by construction. For any p and q in distinct chambers, we find a class γ with certain restriction properties that make computations easy. We then show that the Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem implies that $\gamma \in I_p$ but $\gamma \notin I_q$.

We note that any wall can be oriented as follows. Suppose $W \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ is a codimension-1 wall of Δ . Choose $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ perpendicular to W in the sense that W lies in the intersection of Δ with a level set of ξ . We write W^+ for $\{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \xi(x) > \xi(W)\}$ and W^- for $\{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \xi(x) < \xi(W)\}$. Thus W^+ and W^- are open half spaces in \mathfrak{t}^* . We denote by H the hyperplane of \mathfrak{t}^* containing W . Note that $H^+ = W^+$ and $H^- = W^-$.

Let p and q be regular values of the moment map in distinct connected components of Δ . Choose W a codimension-1 wall of the chamber of p , so that W may be oriented with $p \in W^+$ and $q \in W^-$. For every fixed point F , let $\{\beta_i^F\}$ denote the set of weights of the T action on $T_F M$.

We begin by finding a special subset P of the fixed point set M^T . For every F , let J_F be the index set with $i \in J_F$ if and only if $\Phi(F) + \beta_i^F \in H^+ \cup H$. The set P consists of the fixed points F that satisfy two conditions:

- (1) $\Phi(F) \in W$, and
- (2) p is in the positive linear span of $\{\Phi(F) + \beta_i^F\}_{i \in J_F}$. We will write $p \in \Phi(F) + \langle \beta_i^F \rangle_{i \in J_F}^+$.

Lemma 3.4. *P is non-empty.*

Proof. Let W be the wall adjacent to the chamber containing p , and H the hyperplane containing W . The wall $W \subseteq H$ is contained in the image under Φ of a connected component of the fixed point set of a circle $S \subseteq T$. This component $M_0 \in M_{cc}^S$ is itself a compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian T/S action, and must therefore have fixed points. Therefore, there are fixed points that satisfy condition (1).

Since W is a wall on the chamber of p , we may assume that p is very close to W . As above, M_0 is the connected component of M^S , so that $W \subseteq \Phi(M_0) \subset H$. Consider the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow H$. Since p is close to W , we may assume that $\pi(p) \in W$.

We note that the maximal value of $\xi \circ \Phi$ is not attained on M_0 . Therefore, at every fixed point $F \in M_0^T$, the action of T on $T_F M_0$ must have at least one weight pointing into $H^+ = W^+$.

Now consider the weights pointing into H . The T/S action on M_0 is effective, and thus the image of the moment map for this action is a convex set in $\text{Lie}(T/S)^*$, identified with a subset of H containing W . It follows that, for any point in W (and in particular, for $\pi(p)$), there is a fixed point $F \in M_0^T$ whose weights have positive span containing this point. Choosing a fixed point F with $\pi(p)$ contained in the positive span of the weights $\{\beta_i^F\}$ at F pointing into H , we may move p close enough to W so that p is contained in the positive span of $\{\Phi(F) + \beta_i^F\}$.

This proves that there is an $F \in M^T$ satisfying the two conditions in the definition of P , and therefore P is non-empty. \square

We now choose an appropriate dual cone Λ^* in \mathfrak{t}^* . Consider the set $\{\beta_i^F\}_{i,F}$ of all weights that occur at any fixed point. Choose any $F_0 \in P$. Since $p \in \Phi(F_0) + \langle \beta_i^{F_0} \rangle_{i \in J_{F_0}}^+$, there exists a subset of weights

$$\{\beta_i^{F_0}\}_{i \in I_{F_0}} \subset \{\beta_i^{F_0}\}_{i \in J_{F_0}}$$

indexed by $I_{F_0} \subset J_{F_0}$ such that

- (a) $\langle \beta_i^{F_0} \rangle_{i \in I_{F_0}}^+$ does not contain a line, and
- (b) $p \in \Phi(F_0) + \langle \beta_i^{F_0} \rangle_{i \in I_{F_0}}^+$.

Choose any $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ with $\beta_i^F(X) \neq 0$ for all i, F and satisfying:

- (1) $\beta_i^{F_0}(X) > 0$ for all $i \in I_{F_0}$, and
- (2) If $\Phi(F) \in H$ and $\Phi(F) + \beta_i^F \in H^-$, then $\beta_i^F(X) < 0$.

The vector X defines a polarization of the weights. Let $\gamma_i^F = \beta_i^F$ if $\beta_i^F(X) > 0$ and $\gamma_i^F = -\beta_i^F$ if $\beta_i^F(X) < 0$. Finally, let Λ^* be the cone generated by the span of the vectors $\{\gamma_i^F\}_{i,F}$.

Armed with Λ^* , we are now prepared to find a class $\gamma \in I_q$ with $\gamma \notin I_q$. We first show that the choice of Λ^* ensures that certain fixed points (those whose image under Φ lie in H^+) will not contribute to the residue for any $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that p is close to H . For p close enough to H , $\Phi(F) \in H^+$ implies $p \notin \Phi(F) + \Lambda^*$ by condition (2) of the polarization forming Λ^* . In particular by Property (1)(c) of the residue,

$$\text{res}^\Lambda \frac{e^{i(\Phi(F)-p)}}{\prod_{i=1}^l \alpha_i} = 0$$

for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \in \Lambda^*$, whenever $\Phi(F) \in H^+$.

We now show that there is a certain set of classes $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$ which restrict to 0 on fixed points F with $\Phi(F) \in H^-$. Recall that H is level set of $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$. Consider $\eta \in \mathfrak{t}$, a small perturbation of ξ with the properties that

- (1) For $F_1, F_2 \in M^T$ with $\Phi(F_1), \Phi(F_2) \in H$ but $\Phi(F_1) \neq \Phi(F_2)$, we have $\eta(\Phi(F_1)) \neq \eta(\Phi(F_2))$.
- (2) For any $F \in M^T$ with $\Phi(F) \in H^+$ and any $F_1 \in M^T$ with $\Phi(F_1) \in H$, we have $\eta(\Phi(F_1)) < \eta(p) < \eta(\Phi(F))$.

Consider $\eta \circ \Phi$ restricted to P . Let $F_1 \in P$ be the fixed point where $\eta \circ \Phi|_P$ is maximized. Recall that by construction, $\Phi(F_1) \in H$. By Theorem 2.9 there exists a generalized Euler class $\alpha = \alpha_{F_1}$ which has

support (in the sense of definition 2.2) on the extended flow-up out of F_1 along $\text{grad } \eta$. In particular,

$$\alpha|_F = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Phi(F) \in H^-.$$

It now follows immediately that

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha = \alpha_{F_1}$ as above. Then $\beta\alpha \in I_q$ for any $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$.*

Proof. Using the cone Λ^* , the only terms that contribute to the integral over M_q are fixed points whose image under the moment map are in H^- . However, $\beta\alpha$ restricts to 0 on all these points (as α does), which implies the residue contributions are 0. \square

It is left to show there exists $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$ such that $\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\beta\alpha) \neq 0$. We first simplify the integral by showing that there is only one possibly nonzero term in the residue formula (2.2).

Claim 3.6. *Let α be a generalized Euler class of F_1 and $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$ be any class. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\beta\alpha) = c \cdot \text{res}^\Lambda e^{i(\Phi(F_1)-p)(X)} \frac{\iota_{F_1}^*(\beta\alpha)}{e_{F_1}} [dX].$$

where e_{F_1} is the equivariant Euler class at F_1 .

Proof. Let F be a fixed point with $\Phi(F) \in H^+$. Then we showed that $p \notin \Phi(F) + \Lambda^*$, and the corresponding residue term in Equation (2.2) is therefore 0.

Suppose instead that F is a fixed point with $\Phi(F) \in H^-$. Then $\alpha|_F = 0$ implies that any corresponding residue term is 0.

Finally, suppose that F is a fixed point with $\Phi(F) \in H$. If $F \notin P$, then by construction $p \notin \Phi(F) + \Lambda^*$ and therefore the corresponding residue is 0. On the other hand, if $F \in P$ and $F \neq F_1$, then $\alpha|_F = 0$ since α is supported on the flow-up out of F_1 along $\text{grad } \eta$ and F_1 is where $\eta \circ \Phi$ attains its maximum among points in P . Therefore the only remaining possible contribution to the integral (3.1) is from F_1 . \square

The final step is to prove our original claim, namely that

Lemma 3.7. *There exists $\beta \in H_T^*(M)$ such that $\beta\alpha \notin I_p$, where α is the generalized Euler class associated to F_1 , as above.*

Proof. We show that, for an appropriate choice of β , the single term in (3.1) is nonzero.

Let R be the set of all weights of the T action at F_1 . Let $R^+ = \Lambda^* \cap R$ and $R^- = R \setminus R^+$. Since F_1 is isolated, the normal bundle is topologically trivial (although not equivariantly) and so the Euler

class of the normal bundle and that of the negative normal bundle with respect to $\eta \circ \Phi$ are products of weights. In particular

$$e_{F_1} = \prod_{w \in R} w$$

and

$$\iota_{F_1}^*(\alpha) = \alpha|_{F_1} = \prod_{w \in R^-} w.$$

We simplify

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\iota_{F_1}^*(\beta\alpha)}{e_{F_1}} = \frac{\iota_{F_1}^*(\beta) \prod_{w \in R^-} w}{\prod_{w \in R} w} = \frac{\iota_{F_1}^*(\beta)}{\prod_{w \in R^+} w}$$

We choose β carefully. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l$ be any basis of \mathfrak{t}^* chosen among elements of R^+ . Let

$$\beta = \prod_{w \in R^+ - \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l\}} w.$$

As β is in the image of $H_T^*(p)$, $\iota_F^* \beta = \beta$ for all fixed points F . Thus the formula (3.2) becomes

$$\frac{\iota_{F_1}^*(\beta)}{\prod_{w \in R^+} w} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^l \gamma_i}.$$

By Property 3 of the residue formula, the residue

$$c \cdot \text{res}^\Lambda \left(e^{i(\Phi(F)-p)(X)} \frac{1}{\prod_l \gamma_i} [dX] \right) = c \cdot \frac{1}{\det \bar{\gamma}}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}$ is a matrix whose columns are γ_i in an appropriate basis. Since the γ_i are linearly independent and c is non-zero, the residue is non-zero. This is the only contribution to the sum (2.2), so we have shown the integral of $\beta\alpha$ over the reduced space at p is non-zero. In other words, $\gamma = \beta\alpha \notin I_p$. In particular, this implies that $\alpha \notin I_p$, as well as $\beta \notin I_p$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4. APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN THEOREM

4.1. Schubert classes and counting chambers. In the case that M is a coadjoint orbit of any complex reductive group, a specific basis for I_p has been described in terms of the choice of symplectic structure on the coadjoint orbit and the reduction point p ([Go1], [GM]). The generators are *permuted Schubert classes* defined by their duality properties with certain subvarieties of M . They can also be uniquely described by their restriction properties due to Kirwan injectivity. We present the latter description here. Theorem 4.1 can be taken as a definition for readers unfamiliar with Schubert classes.

Let G be a compact semi-simple Lie group with Borel subgroup B and maximal torus T . Let M be a coadjoint orbit of G through $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We identify the Weyl group $W := N(T)/T$ with the fixed point set by associating λ to the trivial coset, and the remaining points can be identified by the transitive action of W on the fixed points. We write λ_σ to indicate the fixed point corresponding to σ . Let f be a moment map for a generic S^1 action on M , such that $f|_{M^\tau}$ is minimized at λ .

Theorem 4.1. *For every $\sigma \in W$ the associated Schubert class $\beta_\sigma \in H_T^*(M)$ is the unique homogeneous class defined by the following restriction properties.*

- (1) $\beta_\sigma|_{\lambda_\sigma} = e(\nu_f^- \lambda_\sigma)$, the equivariant Euler class of the negative normal bundle (with respect to f) of the fixed point associated to σ .
- (2) $\beta_\sigma|_{\lambda_\tau} = 0$ unless $\tau \geq \sigma$ in the Bruhat order of W .

Schubert classes form a basis for $H_T^*(M)$ as a module over $H_T^*(pt)$. If we use the same construction while allowing f to be minimized at other fixed points, we obtain the *permuted Schubert classes*

$$\beta_\sigma^\tau := \tau \cdot \beta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma},$$

whose restriction properties can be described in a similar fashion.

Permuted Schubert classes are precisely the classes predicted by Theorem 2.9. In the case of coadjoint orbits the *extended* flow-up is precisely the closure of the flow-up from any fixed point. They form (permuted) Schubert varieties.

There is a very simple description of ideals I_p for coadjoint orbits of complex reductive groups [Go1], [GM]. For any regular value p , the ideal I_p is generated by the permuted Schubert classes β_σ^τ such that p is not in the convex hull of $\text{supp } \beta_\sigma^\tau$. Since the number of chambers in Δ is determined by the number of distinct ideals I_p , this simple description offers the possibility of an algebraic method for ascertaining the number of chambers in the moment polytope for coadjoint orbits $SU(n)$, for example. Of course, these numbers depend on the non-zero value $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ in the positive Weyl chamber, whose coadjoint orbit we are considering. For $SU(3)$, the number of chambers is six or seven, and does not offer much of a challenge. E. Rassart has computed this number for $SU(4)$ orbits [Ra] using geometric arguments, and has found numbers in the hundreds (depending on the value of λ), but the $SU(5)$ case is already out of reach. It would be interesting to find a bound on the number of chambers for general n .

4.2. Relation to a wall-crossing formula. There are several places in the literature in which the behavior of the reduced space, or integrals over these spaces, is studied as the regular value moves over exactly one codimension-1 wall of the moment map. Most notable, perhaps, is the formula due to Guillemin-Kalkman [GK], and independently S. Martin [Ma]. We present here a short exposition on this result, and then show that Theorem 1.1 allows us to gain some information about the reduction at singular values of the moment map.

Let L be a hyperplane of critical values of Φ such that the line segment between two regular values p and q intersects L but intersects no other hyperplanes of critical values of Φ . Let N be a component of the fixed point set of a circle $S \subset T$ such that $\Phi(N) \subset L$. Then Guillemin-Kalkman give

$$\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\zeta\eta) - \int_{M_q} \kappa_q(\zeta\eta) = \int_{N_{\text{red}}} \kappa_{T/S} \left(\text{Res} \left[\frac{\iota_N^*(\zeta\eta)}{e_N} \right] \right).$$

Here, $\Phi_S(M_p) > \Phi_S(M_q)$, where Φ_S is the component of Φ corresponding to S . This requires the choice of a basis element in the Lie algebra of S , and the same basis element must be used to define the residue. Note that N_{red} is the reduced space of N with respect to the action of T/S , and e_N is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of N in M ; $\kappa_{T/S}$ is the Kirwan map for the action of T/S on N .

The operation Res is defined as follows. Introduce a basis element X for $\text{Lie}(S)$ and basis elements Y_1, \dots, Y_n for $\text{Lie}(H)$, where H is a codimension 1 subtorus transverse to S , so $H \cong T/S$. The normal bundle ν to N can be assumed to be a sum of line bundles:

$$\nu = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_l.$$

We put

$$c_1^T(L_i) = m_i X + \sum_j f_i^j Y_j + \mu_i$$

where $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the weight of the representation of S on L_i and $\mu_i + \sum_j f_i^j Y_j$ is a Cartan representative of $c_1^H(L_i)$ (so $\mu_i \in \Omega^2(N)$ and $f_i^j \in \Omega^0(N)$). Write

$$\frac{1}{c_1^T(L_i)} = \frac{1}{m_i X (1 + \sum_j f_i^j Y_j / X + \mu_i / X)}$$

and expand

$$\frac{1}{1+A} = 1 - A + A^2 - \dots$$

using $A = (\sum_j f_i^j Y_j + \mu_i)/X$. By expanding into a product of power series in X we can rewrite $\iota^*(\zeta\eta)/e_N$ as a sum $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{m_0} \beta_j X^j$ where each β_j is a polynomial in Y_1, \dots, Y_n with coefficients in $\Omega^*(N)$. In particular, $\beta_j \in \Omega_{T/S}^*(N)$. We define

$$\text{Res}(\iota^*(\zeta\eta)/e_N) = \beta_{-1}.$$

It is shown in [GK] that β_{-1} is well defined independent of the choice of the variables X and Y_j . Kalkman has proved that this Guillemin-Kalkman residue is the same as the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue [Ka].

Remark 4.2. This is the residue at $X = \infty$ of the meromorphic function of X defined by $\iota^*(\zeta\eta)/e_N$. Thus it equals minus the sum of the residues at finite values of X .

The technique for crossing one wall can of course be inductively applied to more than one wall, obtaining a formula for the difference in the integral over reduced spaces at *any* two regular values. However the resulting formula does not imply that the chambers are distinguished by the ideals I_p , and indeed it may be a lengthy computation using this method to obtain that this difference is non-zero for some class.

We finish this section with a small result on the behavior of the classes that distinguish ideals. Let p and q be regular values with a line between them crossing exactly one singular value q_1 of Φ . By Theorem 1.1, there is a class α such that $\alpha \in I_q$ but $\alpha \notin I_p$. We may choose a class with the additional property that $\deg \alpha = \dim M_p$. By the wall-crossing formula,

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\alpha) - 0 = \int_{N_{\text{red}}} \kappa_{T/S} \left(\text{Res} \left[\frac{\iota_N^*(\alpha)}{e_N} \right] \right) \neq 0.$$

Choose a T -invariant Riemannian metric on M . Then Equation (4.1) implies that the image of α under the restriction

$$\begin{array}{c} H_T^*(M) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_T^*(N) \xrightarrow{p^*} H_T^*(S(\nu N)) \\ \downarrow \mathbb{R} \\ H_{T/S}^*(S(\nu N)/S) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{T/S}^{*-k}(N) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{T/S}} H^{*-k}(N_{red}) \end{array}$$

is nonzero. The above composition is the “localization map” defined by [Ma], Definition 5.1. Here $S(\nu N)$ is the unit sphere bundle (with respect to the invariant metric) of the normal bundle to N , and N_{red} is the reduced space at the singular value q_1 . The maps i^* and p^* are induced by the inclusion $i : N \hookrightarrow M$ and the projection $p : S(\nu N) \rightarrow$

N , respectively. The isomorphism on the curved arrow follows from the fact that S acts locally freely on the sphere bundle (and the cohomology is in rational coefficients). Lastly, π_* is “integration over the fibers”, and reduces degree by k , the dimension of the fibers of the (weighted) projective bundle $S(\nu N)/S \rightarrow N$, according to the induced orientation defined in [Ma]. In particular, not only are the fundamental classes described by Theorem 2.9 nonzero when restricted to level sets near C and in the flow-up from C , but these classes are nonzero when restricted to the *singular walls* on the boundary (near C) of the cone out of C .

It is shown in Section 4 of [GK] how to use the formula (4.1) inductively to give a formula for $\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\alpha)$ in terms of the restrictions of α to the components of the fixed point set of T . This formula is obtained by drawing a line l from p to the boundary of $\Phi(M)$ which avoids all codimension two walls. Next, for each intersection p_j of l with a codimension one wall W_j , one draws a line l_j within W_j from p_j to the boundary of $\Phi(M) \cap W_j$. This process gives rise to a graph (the term “dendrite” is used in [GK]) originating at p and terminating in points $\Phi(F)$ where F is a fixed point of T on M . The quantity $\int_{M_p} \kappa_p(\alpha)$ may thus be expressed in terms of the data $\alpha|_F$ for a distinguished collection of fixed points F .

4.3. A non-Kähler example. We now explore the main theorem in the context of a non-Kähler manifold M , introduced by Sue Tolman [To]. In this non-Kähler setting, the extended stable sets are not manifolds, or even complex varieties. We will find generalized Euler classes on an unusual extended flow, whose image under Φ is not convex.

We first sketch Tolman’s construction of M . It is obtained from two manifolds, each diffeomorphic to $N = \mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. This manifold N is a toric variety with an effective Hamiltonian T^3 action. We restrict our attention to two different T^2 actions on N , whose moment polytopes are given in Figure 2 (a) and (b). We then take a symplectic cut of each of these manifolds, along the dotted line shown in the figure. Notice that the symplectic slices, whose moment images are the intersection of the dotted line with the moment polytopes, are equivariantly symplectomorphic. Thus, we may use the technique of symplectic gluing to glue the bottom half of Figure 2 (a) and the top half of Figure 2 (b) to construct M , whose moment polytope is shown in Figure 2 (c).

There is a Hamiltonian T^2 action on M , with isolated fixed points. The moment polytope is given in Figure 2 (c), and the vertices of




FIGURE 2. This illustrates how the non-Kähler manifold M with moment polytope in (c) is achieved as a symplectic gluing of the bottom half of (a) to the top half of (b).

this graph correspond to the fixed points. The restriction of a cohomology class $\alpha \in H_T^*(M)$ to a fixed point $F \in M^T$ is an element of $H_T^*(pt) \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ (see Theorem 2.1). Thus, we may describe a class in the equivariant cohomology of M by giving a polynomial in two variables at each vertex of the moment polytope.

In Figure 3, we give two points p and q which are regular values of the moment map for T acting on M . They are in different chambers of the moment polytope, and hence by the main theorem, the kernel of the Kirwan map is different for each of these values. We may apply the techniques from the proof of the main theorem to construct equivariant cohomology classes distinguishing these chambers. In Figure 3 (a), we demonstrate a class in I_q but not in I_p , and in (b), we show a class in I_p , not in I_q . These classes distinguish these two ideals.

The shaded region represents the image under the moment map of the flow-out of the support of each class, for a given choice of f . The fact that the region in (a) is non-convex reflects the fact that the manifold M is non-Kählerizable. If it were, this set would have convex moment image. We may contrast this to the setting given in Section 4.1, where the classes constructed that distinguish among ideals are supported on permuted Schubert varieties. While these varieties may not be manifolds, they are complex, and hence have convex moment image.




FIGURE 3. Figure (a) shows a class in I_q not in I_p , and (b) shows a class in I_p not in I_q . Hence, these two ideas are indeed distinct.

REFERENCES

- [At] M. F. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), no. 1, 1–15.
- [AB] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **308** (1983), no. 1505, 523–615.
- [Go1] R. F. Goldin *The cohomology ring of weight varieties and polygon spaces*. Adv. Math. **160** (2001) No. 2, 175–204.
- [Go2] R. F. Goldin *An effective algorithm for the cohomology ring of symplectic reductions*. Geom. Funct. Anal., **12** (2002), no. 3, 567–583.
- [GM] R. F. Goldin and A.-L. Mare, *Cohomology of symplectic reductions of generic coadjoint orbits*. math.SG/0210434.
- [GK] V. Guillemin, J. Kalkman, *The Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem and residue operations in equivariant cohomology*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **470** (1996), 123–142.
- [GLS1] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman, S. Sternberg “On the Kostant multiplicity formula”, J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1988) 721–750.
- [GLS2] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman, and S. Sternberg, *Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [GS1] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 3, 491–513.
- [GS2] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Birational equivalence in the symplectic category*. Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 3, 485–522.
- [JK1] L. C. Jeffrey, F. C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*. Topology **34** (1995), no. 2, 291–327.
- [JK2] L. C. Jeffrey, F. C. Kirwan, *Localization and the quantization conjecture*. Topology **36** (1997), no. 3, 647–693.
- [Ka] J. Kalkman, *Residues in Non-Abelian Localization*. hep-th/9407115.
- [Ki] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.

- [Ma] S. Martin, *Transversality theory, cobordisms, and invariants of symplectic quotients*. [math.SG/0001001](#). Ann. Math., to appear.
- [Ra] E. Rassart, Ph.D. thesis, MIT; personal communication.
- [To] S. Tolman, *Examples of non-Kähler Hamiltonian torus actions*. Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 2, 299–310.
- [TW] S. Tolman, J. Weitsman *The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients*. [math.DG/9807173](#). Comm. Anal. Geom. to appear.

(R. F. Goldin) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, 4400 UNIVERSITY DR., FAIRFAX, VA 22030

E-mail address: rgoldin@math.gmu.edu

(T. S. Holm) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS # 3840, UNIVERSITY OF CA, BERKELEY 94720-3840

E-mail address: tsh@math.berkeley.edu

(L. C. Jeffrey) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

E-mail address: jeffrey@math.toronto.edu