

Singular and regular solutions of a non-linear parabolic system

Petr Plecháč†, Vladimír Šverák‡

†Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

‡School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

E-mail: plechac@maths.warwick.ac.uk

E-mail: sverak@math.umn.edu

Abstract. We study a dissipative nonlinear equation modelling certain features of the Navier-Stokes equations. We prove that the evolution of radially symmetric compactly supported initial data does not lead to singularities in dimensions $n \leq 4$. For dimensions $n > 4$ we present strong numerical evidence supporting existence of blow-up solutions. Moreover, using the same techniques we numerically confirm a conjecture of Lepin regarding existence of self-similar singular solutions to a semi-linear heat equation.

Submitted to: *Nonlinearity*

AMS classification scheme numbers: 35K55, 35B05, 76A02

1. Introduction

In this paper we study solutions of the following model equation for the time-dependent vector field $u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), \dots, u_n(x, t))$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + a u \nabla u + \frac{1}{2}(1-a)\nabla|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} u)u = \Delta u + \varkappa \nabla \operatorname{div} u, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a \in (0, 1)$ and $\varkappa \geq 0$ are given parameters. The equation (1.1) is of interest for various reasons. For example, it has the same scaling properties and the same energy estimate as the Navier-Stokes equation (NSE): If $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (1.1) then also $\lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ is a solution for $\lambda > 0$ and, for sufficiently regular solutions with a suitable decay at infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2}|u(x, t)|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t')|^2 dx \\ &+ \int_t^{t'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u(x, s)|^2 + \varkappa(\operatorname{div} u(x, s))^2] dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Heuristically, solutions of (1.1) should converge to the solutions of the NSE as $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$. Similar penalization schemes have been used in numerical algorithms for solution of NSE, see, e.g., [5].

In dimension $n = 2$ equation (1.1) is “critical” (i.e. the controlled quantities are invariant under the scaling symmetries of the equation) and hence it is natural to expect that the full regularity of solutions with finite energy can be proved by standard methods.

In this paper we shall concentrate on the super-critical case $n \geq 3$. It is natural to expect that the theory of Leray’s weak solutions applies in this case. Moreover, it is likely that for $n = 3$ the partial regularity results in the spirit of Scheffer [10] and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg [3] can be proved here as well. We note that for $a = 1/2$ the non-linear part in (1.1) can be written in the divergence form and consequently one can directly apply the known regularity theory for the NSE in that case. However, most questions regarding full regularity of solutions to (1.1) in the case $n \geq 3$ appear to be open.

Our aim here is to investigate the problem of finite-time blow-up for a special class of solutions to (1.1). We study solutions given by

$$u(x, t) = -v(r, t)x, \quad (1.3)$$

where $r = |x|$, and $v(r, t)$ is a scalar function. Such vector fields, usually called *radial vector fields*, are not divergence free unless $v \equiv 0$ and hence the relevance of such solutions for the theory of the NSE may be limited. Nevertheless, the behaviour of these solutions provides an interesting insight into various scenarios of singularity formation.

Using the radial vector field ansatz and substituting in the equation (1.1) we obtain

$$v_t = (1 + \varkappa) \left(v_{rr} + \frac{n+1}{r} v_r \right) + 3rvv_r + (n+2)v^2, \quad (1.4)$$

where subscripts denote corresponding partial derivatives. Replacing $v(r, t)$ by $(1 + \varkappa)v(r, (1 + \varkappa)t)$ we see that, when studying the radial solutions, one can assume $\varkappa = 0$ without loss of generality.

Our first result is that in dimension $n \leq 4$ the solutions to (1.4) do not exhibit blow-up if there exists $C > 0$ such that the initial condition $v(r, 0) = v_0(r)$ satisfies

$$-C \leq v_0(r) \leq C(1+r)^{-(n+2)/3} \quad \text{when } n < 4, \text{ and} \quad (1.5)$$

$$-C \leq v_0(r) \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \log r + C \right) \quad \text{when } n = 4. \quad (1.6)$$

On the other hand, when $v(r, 0) = v_0(r) = c > 0$ (where c is a constant), then $v(r, t) = v(t)$ solves

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = (n+2)v^2, \quad v(0) = c,$$

and the solution blows up at time $t = 1/(c(n+2))$. Therefore some control of $v_0(r)$ at infinity is necessary to prevent formation of singularities.

The proof, that conditions (1.5)-(1.6) are sufficient for preventing blow-up, is based on an analysis of steady-state solutions to the equation (1.4). The steady states can be analyzed more or less completely since the equation

$$v'' + \frac{n+1}{r}v' + 3rvv' + (n+2)v^2 = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

can be transformed to an autonomous two-dimensional dynamical system. We briefly outline the behaviour of the steady-state solutions: Equation (1.7) has a solution $V: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $V(0) = 1$, $V'(0) = 0$ and the following asymptotics at infinity

$$V(r) \sim r^{-(n+2)/3}, \quad \text{when } 1 < n < 4$$

$$V(r) \sim r^{-2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \log r + C \right), \quad \text{when } n = 4$$

$$V(r) \sim r^{-2}, \quad \text{when } n > 4.$$

Using the scaling symmetry we obtain a one-parameter family of solutions

$$v_\lambda(r) = \lambda^2 V(\lambda r).$$

There are also other interesting steady-state solutions. It turns out that even for radial solutions, *weak* solutions of (1.1) can exhibit the following non-trivial behaviour:

- (i) formation of singularities with a different rate of blow-up than suggested by scaling,
- (ii) violation of local energy inequality,
- (iii) significant non-uniqueness.

We present more specific discussion of these phenomena in Section 2.

The second group of the results, we shall discuss, concerns the blow-up behaviour of solutions to the equation (1.4) in dimensions $n > 4$. In this case our results are based on combination of analytical arguments and numerical calculations. We will present strong evidence that for $n > 4$ and suitable compactly supported initial data there exist solutions of (1.4) that form a singularity in finite time.

A natural class of singularities for the equation (1.4) are self-similar singularities of the type

$$v(r, t) = \frac{1}{2\kappa(T-t)} w \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2\kappa(T-t)}} \right),$$

where $\kappa > 0$, $T > 0$ are parameters and w is a function defined on $[0, \infty)$. The equation for w is

$$w'' + \frac{n+1}{r}w' - \kappa r w' + 3r w w' + (n+2)w^2 - \kappa w = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

together with the natural boundary conditions

$$w(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

$$w(r) \sim r^{-2}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.10)$$

The scaling symmetry $(w, \kappa) \rightarrow (\lambda^2 w(\lambda r), \lambda^2 \kappa)$ allows us to fix κ and vary only α . There are no non-trivial solutions of (1.8)-(1.10) for $n \leq 4$. We conjecture that for $n > 4$ and a fixed κ , the number of non-trivial solutions is determined by the number of roots of the solution to a linearization of (1.8) around its trivial equilibrium

$$\bar{w} = \frac{2\kappa}{n+2}.$$

The relevant linear problem is

$$z'' + \left(\frac{n+1}{r} - \kappa r \frac{n-4}{n+2} \right) z' + 2\kappa z = 0 \quad (1.11)$$

$$z(0) = 1, \quad z'(0) = 0.$$

The solution of this problem can be written explicitly in terms of a confluent hypergeometric function:

$$z(r) = M \left(-\frac{n+2}{n-4}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \kappa \frac{r^2}{2} \right).$$

We refer the reader to [11] for basic properties of hypergeometric functions. It turns out that $z(r)$ is a polynomial whenever $(n+2)/(n-4)$ is an integer. The number of zeros $m = m(n)$ of $z(r)$ in $(0, \infty)$ is the smallest integer greater or equal to $(n+2)/(n-4)$. We recall that we assume $n > 4$ at this point. We conjecture that, for a fixed κ and $n > 4$, the number of solutions of (1.8)-(1.10) is $m(n) - 2$.

There are certain similarities between the behaviour of solutions of (1.4) and solutions of a system arising in mathematical biology studied in [1].

In the last section we study some related problems concerning a widely studied semi-linear heat equation. There appear to be striking similarities between the formation of singularities in the equation (1.4) for $n > 4$ and similar behaviour of non-negative radial solutions of

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + v^{2\sigma+1}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, t) \quad (1.12)$$

for $n > 10$ and $\sigma > \sigma_c(n) = 2/(n-4-2\sqrt{n-1})$. For the significance of the critical exponent $\sigma_c(n)$ see, for example, [6, 7].

Self-similar singular solutions of (1.12) are given by

$$v(x, t) = (2\kappa(T-t))^{-1/\sigma} w \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\kappa(T-t)}} \right),$$

where $\kappa, T > 0$ are parameters and w is a function on $[0, \infty)$. The function w is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$w'' + \frac{n-1}{r} w' - \kappa \left(\frac{w}{\sigma} + r w' \right) + w^{2\sigma+1} = 0 \quad (1.13)$$

$$w(0) = \alpha, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad \text{and } w(r) \sim r^{-1/\sigma} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.14)$$

The existence of non-trivial solutions to (1.13)-(1.14) depends on n and σ in the following way. If

$$\sigma \leq \frac{2}{n-2}, \text{ there are no non-trivial solutions, see [4],}$$

$$\frac{2}{n-2} < \sigma < \frac{2}{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}, \text{ there are infinitely many solutions, see [12],}$$

$$\frac{2}{n-2} < \sigma < \frac{3}{n-10}, \text{ there exists at least one non-trivial solution, see [9].}$$

One of the open problems for (1.13)-(1.14) is to determine the exact range of parameters for which the boundary-value problem has a non-trivial solution. In Section 3 we present strong evidence that the sufficient condition of Lepin ([9]) $\sigma < 3/(n-10)$ is also necessary for the existence of non-trivial positive solutions of (1.13)-(1.14).

2. Solutions of the model equation

2.1. Phase portrait

In this section we analyze the steady-state solutions of the equation

$$v'' + \frac{n-1}{r}v' + 3rvv' + (n+2)v^2 = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The invariance of solutions to (2.1) under the scaling $v(r) \rightarrow \lambda^2 v(\lambda r)$ suggests the change of variables:

$$v = r^{-2}w, \quad r = e^s,$$

which transforms (2.1) into an autonomous equation

$$w'' + 3ww' - (4-n)w^2 + 2(n-2)w - (4-n)w' = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

With a slight abuse of notation the prime ' now denotes differentiation with respect to the new independent variable s .

We are interested in the phase portrait of the vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 defined by (2.2). Global properties are best studied in a suitable compactification of \mathbb{R}^2 . It turns out that the transformation of variables

$$w(s) = \tan(\phi(s)), \quad w'(s) = \frac{\tan \psi(s)}{\cos^2 \phi(s)},$$

leads to a compactification which works well in the case at hand. In the new variables the equation (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\phi}{ds} &= \frac{1}{\cos \phi \cos \psi} P(\phi, \psi), \\ \frac{d\psi}{ds} &= \frac{1}{\cos \phi \cos \psi} Q(\phi, \psi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$P(\phi, \psi) = \cos \phi \sin \psi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\phi, \psi) &= -\sin \psi \cos \psi \sin \phi (2 \sin \psi + 3 \cos \psi) + 2(n-2) \sin \phi \cos^2 \phi \cos^3 \phi \\ &\quad + (4-n) \cos^2 \psi \cos \phi (\sin^2 \phi \cos \psi + \sin \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Table 1. Equilibria of the system (2.4) in the region $(-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.

Equilibrium (ϕ, ψ)	Linearization eigenvalues, eigenvectors
$e_1: (\phi_1, \psi_1) = (0, 0)$	$\lambda_1 = 2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 = -(n-2), \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ n-2 \end{pmatrix}$
$e_2: (\phi_2, \psi_2) = (\arctan \frac{2(n-2)}{n-4}, 0)$	$\lambda_{1,2} > 0$ if $n < 4$, $\lambda_{1,2} < 0$ if $n > 4$,
$e_3: (\phi_3, \psi_3) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$	$\lambda_1 = -3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 = 0, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ n-4 \end{pmatrix}$
$e_4: (\phi_4, \psi_4) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \arctan(-\frac{2}{3}))$	$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \frac{39}{4} \cos^3 \psi_4 > 1$
$e_5: (\phi_5, \psi_5) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$	$\lambda_1 = -1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_2 = 2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Hence the integral curves of the vector field (2.3) on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$ are defined by

$$\frac{d\phi}{ds} = P(\phi, \psi), \quad \frac{d\psi}{ds} = Q(\phi, \psi). \quad (2.4)$$

Due to the periodicity we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\phi + \pi, \psi) &= P(\phi, \psi + \pi) = -P(\phi, \psi) \\ Q(\phi + \pi, \psi) &= Q(\phi, \psi + \pi) = -Q(\phi, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is sufficient to analyze the flow in the region $|\phi| \leq \pi/2, |\psi| \leq \pi/2$. We proceed with a description of equilibria and important heteroclinic orbits in the region $(-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Both, the orbits and the equilibria are computed in a fully rigorous way by standard methods. All the other equilibria are obtained by shifts along the coordinate axes by $k\pi$. From the information about the equilibria and the heteroclinic orbits we determine the full phase portrait of the system (2.4). The phase portraits differ for different values of the dimension and we sketch the three different cases $n < 4$, $n = 4$ and $n > 4$. Important heteroclinic connections in a typical phase portrait when $n > 4$ are depicted in Figure 1(a), where $n = 5$ was used in numerical computations. Similarly Figure 1(b) depicts the phase portrait for $n = 4$ and Figure 1(c) for $n < 4$ (computed for $n = 3$). The curves denoted by a, b, c, d, f are important in our considerations and their meaning is explained below.

2.2. Specific solutions


Analysis in the previous section enables us to show existence of some important solutions of (2.1).

Orbit (a) The heteroclinic orbit (a) in Figure 1(a) gives immediately the solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, v > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= 1, \quad v'(0) = 0, \\ v(r) &\sim r^{-2}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Orbit (b) The orbit (b) in the phase portrait for $n > 4$ (Figure 1(a)) corresponds to the solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, v > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) &\sim r^{-\frac{n+2}{3}}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0_+, \\ v(r) &\sim r^{-2}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1. Heteroclinic orbits in different dimensions n .

The behaviour as $r \rightarrow 0_+$ is determined from the slope of the center manifold at the equilibrium point e_3 . If (ϕ, ψ) approaches e_3 along (b) we have the following asymptotic expansion

$$\psi = \frac{n-4}{3} \left(\phi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + a_2 \left(\phi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \dots + a_k \left(\phi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^k \dots \quad (2.5)$$

The series is not convergent as is typical for similar situations involving center manifolds. The coefficients a_k can be calculated but we will not need their exact values for the subsequent analysis. The expansion (2.5) gives $w(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ and

$$w'(s) = -\frac{n-4}{3} w(s) + b_0 + b_{-1} w^{-1}(s) + \dots + b_{-k} w^{-k}(s) \dots, \quad (2.6)$$

which again is an asymptotic expansion, not necessarily convergent. From (2.6) we can see the described behaviour of $v(r)$.

Orbit (c) A similar analysis of the orbit (c) in Figure 1(a) gives the solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$v(r) \sim r^{-\frac{n+2}{3}}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0_+,$$

$$v(r) \sim r^{-2}, \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Orbit (d) Exploiting again the known slope of the center manifold at e_3 one easily sees that the heteroclinic orbit (d) in the phase portrait for $n < 4$ corresponds to the solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= 1, \quad v'(0) = 0, \\ v(r) &\sim r^{-\frac{n+2}{3}}, \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Orbit (f) In the case $n = 4$ we have an explicit equation for the solution corresponding to the orbit (f)

$$-\frac{1}{3}w' + \frac{4}{9} \log \frac{1}{1-3w'/4} = \frac{w^2}{2},$$

which leads to the solution $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= 1, \quad v'(0) = 0, \\ v(r) &\sim r^{-2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \log r + C \right), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of solutions (d) and (f) implies the following result:

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that $u_0(x) = -v_0(|x|)x/|x|$ is a smooth radial vector field on \mathbb{R}^n and assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied*

(i) $n = 2, 3$ and

$$-C \leq v_0(|x|) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-(n-1)/3}, \text{ for some } C > 0,$$

(ii) $n = 4$ and

$$-C \leq v_0(|x|) \leq \frac{4/3 \log(1 + |x|) + C}{1 + |x|}, \text{ for some } C > 0,$$

(iii) $n \geq 5$ and

$$-C \leq v_0(|x|) \leq \gamma \frac{(n-2)}{(n-4)} \frac{2}{|x|}, \text{ for some } \gamma < 1 \text{ and } C > 0.$$

Then the equation (1.1) with $\varkappa = 0$ has a global bounded solution with the initial condition u_0 .

PROOF: The proof of the above theorem follows from the analysis of the two-dimensional system discussed above. We use the solutions constructed in this analysis as barriers in the equation (1.4).

Remark 2.1 *As we have noted in the introduction, when studying radial solutions to the equation (1.4), one can consider $\varkappa = 0$ without loss of generality.*

2.3. Singular steady states for $n \geq 5$

We consider the following boundary-value problem for radial vector fields $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$-\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}u\nabla u + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \frac{|u|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}u\operatorname{div} u = 0, \text{ in } B_1 \quad (2.7)$$

$$u(x) = -bx, \text{ on } \partial B_1, \quad (2.8)$$

where $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 1\}$ denotes the unit ball and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1 We say that u is a weak solution of (2.7) if it belongs to $W^{1,2}(B_1)$, satisfies the equation in the sense of distributions, and the boundary condition is satisfied in the sense of traces.

Furthermore, we say that u is a suitable weak solution of (2.7) if it is a weak solution and satisfies a local version of the energy inequality

$$\int_{B_1} \left[|\nabla u|^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \Delta \phi - \frac{1}{2} (u \cdot \nabla \phi) |u|^2 \right] \leq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

for each smooth $\phi \geq 0$ compactly supported in B_1 .

It is easy to see that radial weak solutions are smooth away from the origin. For solving (2.7)-(2.8) we can use orbits (a) and (c) discussed in the previous section. The orbit (a) gives a smooth solution when $0 \leq b < 2(n-2)/(n-4)$. When $b \geq 2(n-2)/(n-4)$, problem (2.7)-(2.8) does not have a smooth radial solution. In that case a *suitable weak solution* as defined above can be obtained from the orbit (c). It is interesting to note that this solution has a singularity at the origin which is not asymptotically self-similar. The self-similar rate of blow-up would be $|x|^{-1}$, whereas the actual rate is $|x|^{-(n-1)/3}$. Moreover, we note that the solution satisfies the inequality (2.9), but it does not satisfy the *local energy identity*, i.e., (2.9) with equality.

Apart from the suitable weak solution described above there exist many other weak solutions to (2.7) when $b > 2(n-2)/(n-4)$. These solutions are constructed using heteroclinic orbits such as the orbit (c) in the phase portrait for $n > 4$. However, these solutions *do not* satisfy (2.9).

The above discussion does not cover the case $b < 0$, which is left to the reader as an exercise.

2.4. Self-similar singular solutions

In this section we study radial self-similar solutions of (1.1), i.e. solutions of the form

$$u(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2\kappa(T-t)} w \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\kappa(T-t)}} \right) x, \quad (2.10)$$

where $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa > 0$. Assuming (without loss of generality) that $\varkappa = 0$ in the equation (1.1), we obtain the equation

$$w'' + \frac{n+1}{r} w' - \kappa r w' + 3r w w' + (n+2)w^2 - \kappa w = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

As is usual in similar situations, it is useful to interpret the equation (2.11) as an equation of motion for a particle with the unit mass which is moving in a potential field, given by the potential $V(w) = (n+2)/3w^3 - \kappa w^2/2$, in the presence of damping $\mu(r, w)w'$ where $\mu(r, w) = (n+1)/r + 3rw - \kappa r$. Using this notation we can write

$$w'' + \mu(r, w)w' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial w} V(w).$$

As in the case of steady-state solutions it is useful to introduce new variables by the transformation $w(r) = r^{-2}u(r)$ and $r = e^s$. In the new variables we have the equation

$$u'' + (n-4)u' + (n-4)u^2 - 2(n-2)u + 3uu' = \kappa e^{2s}u', \quad (2.12)$$

or

$$u'' + \tilde{\mu}(s, u)u' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tilde{V}(u), \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}(s, u) = n - 4 + 3u + \kappa e^{2s}, \quad \tilde{V}(u) = \frac{n-4}{3}u^3 - (n-2)u^2.$$

Natural boundary conditions for (2.11) in the context of self-similar singularities are

$$w(0) = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w'(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (2.14)$$

$$w(r) \sim r^{-2}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

The condition at infinity together with (2.10) leads to the blow-up profile

$$u(x, T) = -c \frac{x}{|x|^2}, \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One can easily see that $c > 0$ in this case.

The boundary-value problem (2.11), (2.14)-(2.15) is studied as a non-linear eigenvalue problem with parameters α and κ considered as unknowns. Due to the scaling symmetry $(\alpha, \kappa, w) \rightarrow (\lambda^2\alpha, \lambda^2\kappa, \lambda^2w(\lambda r))$ we can assume that $\kappa = 1$ without loss of generality.

Our analysis and numerical computations support the following conjecture

Conjecture 2.1 *Suppose we define*

$$\nu(n) = \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \geq \frac{n+2}{n-4} \right\},$$

then for a fixed $\kappa > 0$ and $n > 4$ the boundary-value problem (2.11), (2.14)-(2.15) has $\nu(n) - 2$ non-trivial solutions.

Remark 2.2 (i) *Results of Section 2.2 imply that (2.11), (2.14)-(2.15) has no non-trivial solution for $n \leq 4$.*

(ii) *Since we impose the boundary conditions (2.14) and (2.15) the solutions $\bar{w} = \frac{2\kappa}{n+2}$ and $w(r) = 2\frac{(n-2)}{(n-4)}r^{-2}$ are excluded from the count.*

(iii) *The solutions for $n = 5$ are depicted in Figure 2*

The following simple observation is useful.

Lemma 2.1 *Every solution of the initial value problem (2.11) and (2.14) defined on $(0, \infty)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$.*

PROOF: The lemma follows easily from the interpretation of the problem using the equation (2.13).

We let w_α be the solution of the initial-value problem (2.11) with the initial conditions (2.14) and $\kappa = 1$. Furthermore we define


$$R_\alpha = \sup\{R \in (0, \infty) \mid w_\alpha \geq 0, \text{ on } (0, R)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 a necessary condition that w_α is a solution to the boundary-value problem (2.11), (2.14)-(2.15) is that $R_\alpha = +\infty$. Moreover, it is likely that, except for perhaps some special values of n , this condition is also sufficient. The reason will become apparent later. For $n > 4$ we let $\bar{\alpha}_n = 2/(n+2)$ and $\beta_n = 2(n-2)/(n-4)$ and we define $w_\infty = \beta_n/r^2$. Note that this function satisfies (2.11) and also $w_{\bar{\alpha}_n} = \bar{\alpha}_n$.

The following formal calculation suggests that $w_\alpha \rightarrow w_\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. It is convenient to work with the equation (2.12). In the coordinates (s, u) the condition (2.14) becomes

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-2s}u(s) = \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-3s}(u'(s) - 2u(s)) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Figure 2. Plots of $u(r) = -w(r)$ where $w(r)$ solves (1.8)-(1.10) in dimension $n = 5$ and $\kappa = 7/2$ (so that the trivial equilibrium is $\bar{w} = 1$). The inset shows the behaviour of solutions around the equilibrium $\bar{w} = 1$.



We denote by $U(\alpha, \kappa, s)$ the solution of (2.12) and (2.16) and by $(0, s_{\alpha, \kappa})$ the maximal interval where $s \rightarrow U(\alpha, \kappa, s)$ is defined. We note that $U(e^{2s'}\alpha, \kappa, s) = U(\alpha, e^{-2s'}\kappa, s + s')$ and will investigate the behaviour of $U(\alpha, e^{-2s'}\kappa, s + s')$ as $s' \rightarrow \infty$. The linearization of (2.12) at the equilibrium $u(s) = \beta_n$, corresponding to \bar{w}_n in the coordinates (r, u) , is defined by the equation

$$z'' + \left(n - 4 + \frac{3}{\beta_n}\right) z' + 2(n - 2)z = \kappa e^{2s} z'. \quad (2.17)$$

It is easy to see that the general solution of (2.17) is given in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions by

$$z(s) = C_1 e^{\lambda_1 s} M(a_1, b_1, \kappa \frac{1}{2} e^{2s}) + C_2 e^{\lambda_2 s} M(a_2, b_2, \kappa \frac{1}{2} e^{2s}), \quad (2.18)$$

where $a_j = \lambda_j/2$, $b_j = 1 + \lambda_j + n - 4 + 3\beta_n$ and the constants $\lambda_2 < -1 < \lambda_1 < 0$ are roots of the characteristic polynomial for the linear second-order differential operator that defines the left hand side of (2.17). The function M is one of the standard confluent hyper-geometric functions, see, e.g., [11]. For small $\kappa > 0$ and large s such that κe^s is controlled, the formula (2.18) leads to

$$U(\alpha, \kappa, s) = \beta_n - \gamma e^{\lambda_1 s} M(a_1, b_1, \frac{1}{2} \kappa e^{2s}) + \text{higher order terms}. \quad (2.19)$$

We use the approximation (2.19) to study asymptotic behaviour of $U(\alpha, e^{-2s'}\kappa, s + s')$ for a fixed s and $s' \rightarrow \infty$. This is possible since the second term of (2.19) becomes

$-\gamma e^{\lambda_1(s+s')} M(a_1, b_1, \frac{1}{2}\kappa e^{2s})$ which is small for large s' and fixed s , since $\lambda_1 < 0$. Hence we conclude that

$$U(\alpha e^{2s'}, \kappa, s) = U(\alpha, e^{-2s'}\kappa, s + s') = \beta_n - e^{\lambda_1 s'} \gamma e^{\lambda_1 s} M(a_1, b_1, \frac{1}{2}\kappa e^{2s}) + \text{h.o.t.} \quad (2.20)$$

This calculation formally shows that $w_\alpha \rightarrow w_\infty$ with the rate of convergence $O(\alpha^{\lambda_1/2})$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. This argument can be made rigorous although the details become non-trivial.

For $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}_n$, $\alpha > 0$, we define an index

$$i(\alpha) = \# \{r \in (0, \infty) \mid w_\infty(r) = \bar{\alpha}_n\}.$$

The behaviour of $i(\alpha)$ in a neighbourhood of $\bar{\alpha}_n$ is controlled by the linearization of the equation (2.11) at $w_{\bar{\alpha}_n}$. Denoting $Z = \frac{\partial w_\alpha}{\partial \alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=\bar{\alpha}_n}$ we have

$$Z'' + \left[\frac{n+1}{r} + (3\bar{\alpha}_n - 1)r \right] Z' + 2Z = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

with the initial conditions

$$Z(0) = 1, \quad Z'(0) = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

The substitution $x = (1 - 3\bar{\alpha}_n)r^2/2$ transforms (2.21) into the standard form of the confluent hyper-geometric equation

$$x \frac{d^2 Z}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{n+2}{2} - x \right) Z' + \frac{n+2}{n-4} Z = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Hence the solution of (2.21) with the initial conditions (2.22) is found explicitly in the form

$$Z(r) = M \left(-\frac{n+2}{n-4}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n-4}{n+2} \frac{r^2}{2} \right),$$

where the function M is one of the fundamental solutions of (2.23) (see, for example, [11]). Using properties of the function M and recalling that $\nu(n) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (n+2)/(n-4) \leq k\}$ we see that the solution Z has $\nu(n)$ zeros in $(0, \infty)$. Before stating the following lemma we recall, that R_α denotes the first zero of the solution w_α , and that for $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}_n$, $\alpha > 0$ $i(\alpha) = \#\{r, w_\alpha(r) = \bar{\alpha}_n\}$.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume $\bar{\alpha}_1 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ or $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \bar{\alpha}_n$, $R_{\alpha_1}, R_{\alpha_2} < \infty$, and $i(\alpha_1) \neq i(\alpha_2)$. Then there exists $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ such that $R_\alpha = \infty$ and w_α satisfies (2.11), (2.14)-(2.15).*

PROOF: To prove the lemma we use standard arguments based on the continuity of $i(\alpha)$ at points where R_α is finite. Some work is required to demonstrate that the condition (2.15) is satisfied, but the arguments are straightforward.

From the behaviour of the linearized solution at $w_{\bar{\alpha}_n}$ one expects that R_α is finite near $\bar{\alpha}_n$, $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}_n$ and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_n^+} = \begin{cases} \nu(n) & \text{if } \nu(n) \text{ is odd} \\ \nu(n) + 1 & \text{if } \nu(n) \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\text{and} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_n^-} = \begin{cases} \nu(n) + 1 & \text{if } \nu(n) \text{ is odd} \\ \nu(n) & \text{if } \nu(n) \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

Table 2. Values of α for individual singular solutions tabulated at integral dimensions n . The branches of solutions, when continued in n , terminate at the dimensions indicated in the last column. There are no solutions with $i(\alpha) = 1$ or $i(\alpha) > 6$.

	$n = 5$	$n = 6$	$n = 7$	$n = 8$	$n = 9$	max. n
$i(\alpha) = 2$	0.02631	0.09647	0.1466	0.1684	0.17223	$n = 10$
$i(\alpha) = 3$	1.3830	0.2792	0.2222			$n = 7$
$i(\alpha) = 4$	0.2205	0.2505				$n = 6$
$i(\alpha) = 5$	0.2940					$n = 11/2$
$i(\alpha) = 6$	0.2855					$n = 26/5$

The behaviour of $i(\alpha)$ for large α can be estimated from (2.20). The function $M(a_1, b_1, x)$ for $a_1 = \lambda_1/2$, $b_1 = 1 + (\lambda_1 + n - 4 + 3\beta_n)/2$ has exactly one root in $(0, \infty)$ and decays exponentially to negative infinity as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This behaviour suggests, that the solution w_α , for large α , will be “lagging behind” w_∞ , and will fall back to $\bar{\alpha}_n$ for a finite, but large value of r . After that the term rw' will be large and cause the solution to reach 0 in finite time. This reasoning leads us to expect

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} i(\alpha) = 3. \quad (2.27)$$

Similar considerations suggest that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} i(\alpha) = 2. \quad (2.28)$$

In this case we use similar heuristic arguments: if α is very close to zero, the solution will reach the equilibrium $\bar{\alpha}_n$ at a large “time” r . The term $rw'(r)$ is then already significant. After passing through $\bar{\alpha}_n$, the increase of w will eventually be stopped by the non-linear terms and the solution will start returning to $\bar{\alpha}$. After it passes through $\bar{\alpha}_n$ again, it will reach zero due to the large term $rw'(r)$. Assuming (2.24)-(2.28) we see, that for $\nu(n)$ odd, $i(\alpha)$ will change from $\nu(n)$ to 3 as α moves from a small right neighbourhood of $\bar{\alpha}_n$ to infinity and from $\nu(n) + 1$ to 2 as α moves from a small left neighbourhood of $\bar{\alpha}_n$ to zero.

Assuming that $i(\alpha)$ changes by 2 we obtain $\nu(n) - 2$ solutions. Repeating the same argument for $\nu(n)$ even yields again $\nu(n) - 2$ of solutions.

The above described behaviour and the conjectured number of solutions are fully confirmed by numerical computations. In Table 2 we list the solutions for integral values of n .

3. Semi-linear heat equation

As we remarked in the introduction, there are striking similarities between the singular behaviour of solutions to (1.4) when $n > 4$ and the behaviour of singular solutions of

$$v_t = \Delta v + v^{2\sigma+1}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (t_1, t_2), \quad (3.1)$$

for $n > 10$ and $\sigma > \sigma_c(n) \equiv 2/(n - 4 - 2\sqrt{n-1})$. For example, blow-up solutions of (3.1) with the blow-up rate $(T-t)^{-1/\sigma-\delta}$ (with some $\delta > 0$ for $n > 10$ and $\sigma > \sigma_c(n)$) were constructed in [7]. Such rate of blow-up can be viewed as “slower” than the self-similar rate $(T-t)^{-1/\sigma}$. Some authors call this rate “faster” but it seems that the term “slower” is more widely used in the present context. It reflects the fact that $(T-t)^{-1/\sigma-\delta}$ becomes infinite “more gradually”. We conjecture that the analysis of

[7] can be used for proving existence of slow blow-up solutions for $n > 4$. In fact, if one allows slow decay of initial data at infinity, one can probably construct slow blow-up solutions also for $n \leq 4$.

In this section we look at radial self-similar singular solutions of (3.1), i.e., the solutions of a special form

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\kappa(T-t))^{1/\sigma}} w \left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\kappa(T-t)}} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\kappa > 0$, $T \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters. The notation of this section is not necessarily connected to the notation in the previous sections where we analyzed the equation (1.1). Substituting (3.2) into (3.1) we obtain the equation for self-similar profile

$$w'' + \frac{n-1}{r} w' - \kappa r w' + w^{2\sigma+1} - \frac{\kappa}{\sigma} w = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty). \quad (3.3)$$

Natural boundary conditions are

$$w(0) = \alpha, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (3.4)$$

$$w(r) \sim r^{-1/\sigma} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

The equation (3.3) has been studied by many authors and we recommend the reader to consult [2, 4, 8, 9, 12]. Our aim in this section is to explain what happens to the solutions constructed in [2, 12] and [8, 9] as we approach the critical exponent $\sigma_l(n) \equiv 3/(n-10)$ due to Lepin.

We use a similar approach as we applied to (1.1) in the previous sections. We shall denote w_α the solution of the initial-value problem (3.3), (3.4) for $\kappa = 1$. We also define $R_\alpha = \sup\{0 < r < \infty \mid w_\alpha(r) > 0, 0 < r < \infty\}$. Furthermore, we introduce the following parameters

$$\bar{\alpha}_\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{n,\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(n - 2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right).$$

As in the previous section it is convenient to use new variables (s, u) which are defined by the transformation

$$w(r) = r^{-1/\sigma} u(r), \quad r = e^s.$$

In these variables we obtain

$$u'' + \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{\sigma} \right) u' - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(n - 2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) u + u^{2\sigma+1} = \kappa e^{2s} u'. \quad (3.6)$$

The linearization of (3.6) at the trivial equilibrium $u = \beta_{n,\sigma}$ is

$$z'' + \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{\sigma} \right) z' + 2 \left(n - 2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) z = \kappa e^{2s} z'.$$

For the sake of brevity we denote $A = n - 2 - 2/\sigma$ and $B = 2(n - 2 - 1/\sigma)$. We denote λ_1, λ_2 the roots of the characteristic polynomial for the linear second-order differential operator on the left-hand side. We note that the condition $A \geq 0$ is equivalent to $\sigma \leq \sigma_s(n) \equiv 2/(n-2)$. It is known (see [4]) that (3.3), (3.4)-(3.5) has no bounded solutions on $(0, \infty)$ in this case. We shall therefore assume $A < 0$ (and hence $\text{Re } \lambda_j < 0$) in what follows. We also note that $\sigma \geq \sigma_c(n)$ corresponds to the requirement that λ_j be real.

We define $U(\alpha, \kappa, s)$ as the solution of the boundary-value problem given by (3.6) and (3.4)-(3.5) rewritten in the variables (s, u) . A formal calculation, similar to the one leading to (2.20) in the previous section, now gives

$$U(e^{2s'} \alpha, \kappa, s) = \beta_n - \operatorname{Re} \left(\gamma e^{\lambda_1 s'} e^{\lambda_1 s} M \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}, 1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{A}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \kappa e^{2s} \right) \right) + \dots, \quad (3.7)$$

as $s' \rightarrow \infty$ for a suitable $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, which is real if λ_1 is real. We emphasize that we always assume $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 < 0$.

The linearization Z at $w_{\bar{\alpha}_\sigma}$ solves the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} Z'' + \frac{n-1}{r} Z' - r Z' + 2Z &= 0 \\ Z(0) &= 1, \quad Z'(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This equation has the solution $Z(r) = M(-1, n/2, r^2/2) \equiv 1 - r^2/n$.

We can introduce again the index $i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$i(\alpha) = \# \{r \in (0, \infty) \mid w_\alpha(r) = \bar{\alpha}_\sigma\},$$

and jumps of $i(\alpha)$ can be used to locate the desired solutions numerically. Numerical computations show that $i(\alpha)$ jumps between 1 and 3. Another natural index in this case (used in [2, 8, 9, 12]) is

$$j(\alpha) = \# \left\{ r \in (0, \infty) \mid w_\alpha(r) = \frac{\beta_{n,\beta}}{r^{1/\sigma}} \right\} = \# \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid U(\alpha, 1, s) = \beta_{n,\sigma}\}.$$

One has $j(\alpha) = 2$ for α close to α_σ . The approximation (2.20) together with some heuristic arguments similar to those used for justification of (2.27) suggest that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} j(\alpha) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{when } \sigma_s(n) < \sigma < \sigma_c(n), \\ \nu(n, \sigma) & \text{when } \sigma \geq \sigma_c(n) \text{ and } \nu(n, \sigma) \text{ is even,} \\ \nu(n, \sigma) + 1 & \text{when } \sigma \geq \sigma_c(n) \text{ and } \nu(n, \sigma) \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $\nu(n, \sigma)$ is defined as

$$\nu(n, \sigma) = \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \leq k \right\}.$$

This asymptotic behaviour of $j(\alpha)$ leads to infinitely many solutions for $\sigma_s(n) < \sigma < \sigma_c(n)$ (see [2, 12]). When $R_\alpha < \infty$, $j(\alpha)$ must be even, hence typically we see $j(\alpha)$ jump by 2. The solutions associated with these jumps have even index $j(\alpha)$.

However, the work of Lepin ([9]) shows that there are also solutions with odd index $j(\alpha)$. Our numerical calculations suggest that such solutions can be detected by jumps in the index $i(\alpha)$. The index $i(\alpha)$ takes on values 1 or 3. The jump $1 \rightarrow 3$ of the index $i(\alpha)$ when α increases corresponds to the solutions with an even index $j(\alpha)$. On the other hand, the jump $3 \rightarrow 1$ of $i(\alpha)$ indicates a solution with an odd index $j(\alpha)$. These solutions cannot be numerically detected from the behaviour of $j(\alpha)$ only.

Lepin showed that solutions with the index $j(\alpha) = 2$ exist in the region $\sigma > \sigma_s(n)$, $\nu(n, \sigma) \geq 3$. He also studied solutions with higher indices, for which he established existence in smaller regions of the parameter space (n, σ) . He conjectured that there are no solutions to (3.3), (3.4)-(3.5) when $\nu(n, \sigma) \leq 2$, which corresponds to $n > 10 + 3/\sigma$.

Our numerical computations strongly support this conjecture, at least in the sense that no solutions from the region $n < 10 + 3/\sigma$ can be continued outside the region. We looked at the solution with $j(\alpha) = 2$ which appears to be the solution of (3.3), (3.4)-(3.5) with the smallest possible α . Numerically, it also is the most robust solution.

Assume $(\alpha_t, n_t, \sigma_t)$, $t \in [0, 1)$ is a smooth path in the parameter space such that w_{α_t} solves (3.3), (3.4)-(3.5) for $n = n_t$, $\sigma = \sigma_t$ and $\kappa = 1$. We consider the case $j(\alpha_t) = 2$. and follow the path of solutions with $n_t - 10 - 3/\sigma_t < 0$ such that $n_t - 10 - 3/\sigma_t \rightarrow 0$, $n_t \rightarrow n_1$, $\sigma_t \rightarrow \sigma_1$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. Numerically, we observe that $\alpha_t \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, for $n - 10 - 3/\sigma \geq 0$ one expects from (3.7) that $R_\alpha < +\infty$ for large α , and we have not detected any solutions for numerically accessible α 's. Therefore our conclusion is that the numerical computations provide strong evidence in favour of Lepin's conjecture.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported in part by grants DMS-9877055, and DMS-0200326 from the National Science Foundation. P.P. acknowledges hospitality of the School of Mathematics at the University of Minnesota.

References

- [1] M. P. Brenner, P. Constantin, L. P. Kadanoff, A. Schenkel, and S. C. Venkataramani. Diffusion, attraction and collapse. *Nonlinearity*, 12(4):1071–1098, 1999.
- [2] C. J. Budd and Yuan-Wei Qi. The existence of bounded solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 82(2):207–218, 1989.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, R. V. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35:771–831, 1982.
- [4] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn. Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 38(3):297–319, 1985.
- [5] P. Gresho and R. L. Sani. *Incompressible Flow and the Finite Element Method*. John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- [6] Changfeng Gui, Wei-Ming Ni, and Xuefeng Wang. Further study on a nonlinear heat equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 169(2):588–613, 2001. Special issue in celebration of Jack K. Hale's 70th birthday, Part 4 (Atlanta, GA/Lisbon, 1998).
- [7] M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velazquez. A blow up result for semilinear heat equations in the supercritical case. Technical report, 1992. preprint.
- [8] L. A. Lepin. Self-similar solutions of a semilinear heat equation. *Mat. Model.*, 2(3):63–74, 1990.
- [9] L. A. Lepin. Spectra of eigenfunctions for a semilinear heat equation. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 311(5):1049–1051, 1990.
- [10] V. Scheffer. Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 66:535–552, 1976.
- [11] L. J. Slater. *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- [12] W. C. Troy. The existence of bounded solutions of a semilinear heat equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 18(2):332–336, 1987.