

**L FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL SUMS OVER ONE
DIMENSIONAL AFFINOIDS, I:
NEWTON OVER HODGE**

HUI JUNE ZHU

ABSTRACT. This paper studies p -adic theory for exponential sums over one dimensional affinoids. A method is presented to compute their L functions. Let p be a prime and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be the algebraic closure of the finite field of p elements. Let $\overline{f}(x)$ be any one variable rational function over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with ℓ poles of orders d_1, \dots, d_ℓ . Suppose p is coprime to d_j for every j . We prove that there exists a Hodge polygon, depending only on d_j 's, which is a lower bound to the Newton polygon of L functions of exponential sums of $\overline{f}(x)$. Moreover, we show that these two polygons coincide if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d_j}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. As a corollary, we obtain a tight lower bound of Newton polygon of Artin-Schreier curve.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies p -adic theory for L functions of exponential sums over one dimensional affinoids.

In the early 60's Dwork explored exponentials sums by a method which is now after his name (see [8, 9, 10]). In his proof of rationality part of Weil conjecture he set up mighty tools for studying L functions of exponential sums. Dwork's work has spurred a wealth of further explorations. Monsky-Washnitzer [21] have developed cohomology theory for affine smooth schemes and Reich [23] relaxed it to allow certain singularities. More recently, Berthelot introduced rigid cohomology [3] which works over separated scheme of finite types and hence generalizes existing p -adic cohomologies (Monsky-Washnitzer and crystalline cohomologies). On the other hand, the work of [1], [30] and more recently [31] have further exploited L functions of exponential sums. A central question in this area, naively speaking, is to understand the behavior of the roots of L functions of exponential sums. By a version of Grothendieck theory, Newton polygon goes up after specialization. We know that for a family of geometric objects associated to exponential sums (e.g., varieties in Dwork's work) there often exists a "generic" Newton polygon, which we call *Hodge polygon*. (See [11, 19, 13, 22].) If these two coincide for a geometric object (associated to an exponential sum), the geometric object is called *ordinary* in its family. First extendedly utilized in Dwork's work (see [8]), there is an intimate relation between L functions of exponential sums and zeta functions of its corresponding geometrical objects. Questions about one of them

Date: Feb 7, 2003.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11,14.

Key words and phrases. Newton polygon, Hodge polygon, L function, exponential sums over affinoids, zeta function of Artin-Schreier curves, Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology, Dwork trace formula, Monsky-Reich trace formula, p -adic Mittag-Leffler theorem.

have often explained the activities around the other. As is proved by Deligne in Weil conjecture, the roots of L functions of exponential sums over a finite field of characteristic p with q elements are all ℓ -adic units for any ℓ different from p . Plus they are all of Archimedean absolute value \sqrt{q} , one is left to look into their p -adic valuations. Many progresses have been made in these directions. (We shall mention that there are important contributions from Deligne and Katz's work using ℓ -adic theory, see [18] and its bibliography for a comprehensive survey).

From a computational perspective, Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology is a very promising tool (for zeta function computation or slope estimation) yet it requires the underlying space to be affine smooth. It is for this reason recent algorithms which relies upon Monsky-Washnitzer theory (see [12] and [7] and bibliographies within) are similarly restricted. Using Dwork cohomology to compute zeta function also emerged recently following Wan's programme (see [16] and [17]). These two methods both have some weakness and it is due to Robba who first observed and constructed a *hybrid* of these two in [25]. As Berthelot noted, Robba's construction actually yields a very first and interesting example of his rigid cohomology [2]. Despite these theoretical developments, it remains open how to carry out any slope estimation using Robba's hybrid. In this paper, we systematically treat exponential sum of an arbitrary one variable rational functions. In more geometrical terms, the underlying space is the complement of finitely many points on an projective line. Using p -adic rigid geometry following [2] and [25] we shall translate the scenario to the study of exponential sums over an affinoid, that is a rigid projective line with ℓ residue disks (see precise definition in (4)). To begin, we shall introduce notations and terminologies.

Let \mathbb{A} be the space of rational function in one variable x with ℓ distinct poles (at $P_1 = \infty, P_2, \dots, P_\ell$) of orders d_1, \dots, d_ℓ . For any field K , note that $\mathbb{A}(K)$ is the set of all rational functions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{d_1} a_{1,i}x^i + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{d_j} a_{j,i}(x - P_j)^{-i}$, where coefficients $a_{j,i}$ and poles P_j lie in K and $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{j,d_j} \neq 0$. Naturally one may consider \mathbb{A} as a parametrized space by coefficients $a_{j,i}$ for all $i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and poles P_j for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let the *Hodge polygon* of \mathbb{A} , denoted by $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$, be the end-to-end joint of line segments of horizontal length 1 with slopes listed below:

$$(1) \quad \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\ell-1}; \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{\ell-1}; \overbrace{\frac{1}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{d_1}}^{d_1-1}; \overbrace{\frac{1}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{d_2}}^{d_2-1}; \dots; \overbrace{\frac{1}{d_\ell}, \dots, \frac{1}{d_\ell}}^{d_\ell-1}.$$

They are jointed in a nondecreasing order from left to right. Let $d := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j + \ell - 2$. Then $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ is a Newton polygon (i.e., lower convex hull) in \mathbb{R}^2 with endpoints $(0, 0)$ and $(d, d/2)$.

Let $E(x) = \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p^i}}{p^i})$ be the Artin-Hasse exponential function. Let γ be a p -adic root of $\log(E(x))$ with $\text{ord}_p \gamma = \frac{1}{p-1}$. Then $E(\gamma)$ is a primitive p -th root of unity. Denote it by ζ_p . It is observed that $\mathbb{Z}_p[\gamma] = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$.

Let p be a prime coprime to $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} d_i$. Let $q = p^a$ for a positive integer a . Let $\bar{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \bar{a}_{1,i}x^i + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{d_j} \bar{a}_{j,i}(x - \bar{P}_j)^{-i}$ where $\bar{a}_{j,i}, \bar{P}_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for every i, j . Let $\bar{g}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (x - \bar{P}_j) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. For $k \geq 1$, the exponential sums of $\bar{f}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$

is

$$S_k(\bar{f}) := \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k} \\ \bar{g}(x) \neq 0}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^k}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\bar{f}(x))}.$$

The L -function of the exponential sum of \bar{f} is defined by

$$(2) \quad L(\bar{f}; T) := \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k(\bar{f}) \frac{T^k}{k}\right).$$

It is well-known (e.g., apply Weil Conjecture for curves combined with argument between Remark 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in [32])

$$(3) \quad L(\bar{f}; T) = 1 + b_1 T + b_2 T^2 + \dots + b_d T^d \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T].$$

Define the (q -adic) *Newton polygon* of the L -function of \bar{f} over \mathbb{F}_q as the lower convex hull in the real plane of the points $(n, \text{ord}_q(b_n))$ with $0 \leq n \leq d$, where we set $b_0 = 1$. We denote it by $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q)$. One notes immediately that the Newton polygon $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ and the Hodge polygon $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ have the same endpoints $(0, 0)$ and $(d, d/2)$. The present paper is dedicated to prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let notation be as above. For any rational function $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the Newton polygon $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ lies over the Hodge polygon $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$, and their endpoints meet. Moreover, $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q) = \text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ for all $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm } d_j}$, where $\text{lcm } d_j$ denotes the least common multiple of d_j 's for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.*

Remark 1.2. We followed the convention to put one of the ramification point P_1 at ∞ . Note that one can always do so by an automorphism of the projective line without altering our L function.

Remark 1.3. The first part (i.e., Newton over Hodge) of Theorem 1.1 was a conjecture of Adolphson-Sperber and Bjorn Poonen, described to me independently in 2001. For $\ell = 1$, one has $d = d_1 - 1$. This special case is known (see [30] or [31]). The case $\ell = 2$ and $\bar{f}(x)$ has only poles at ∞ and 0 (i.e., $\bar{f}(x)$ is a one variable Laurent polynomial) was obtained first by Robba (see [25, Theorems 7.2 and 7.5]). Theorem 1.1 is an analog of Katz-type conjectures (see [11, Theorem 2.3.1] and [19]).

Let $\text{NP}(C_{\bar{f}}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the q -adic Newton polygon of L function of Artin-Schreier curve $C_{\bar{f}} : y^p - y = \bar{f}(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_q . Following the same proof as that for Corollary 1.2 of [32], one has the following corollary.


Corollary 1.4. *Let notation be as in Theorem 1.1. For any $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and Artin-Schreier curve $C_{\bar{f}} : y^p - y = \bar{f}(x)$, the Newton polygon $\text{NP}(C_{\bar{f}}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ shrunk by a factor of $1/(p-1)$ (vertically and horizontally) is equal to $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ and it lies over the Hodge polygon $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$. Moreover, $\text{NP}(C_{\bar{f}}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ shrunk by a factor of $p-1$ is equal to $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ for all $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm } d_j}$.*

Remark 1.5. We remark that $\text{NP}(\bar{f}; \mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ always coincide at the slope-0 segments (of horizontal length $\ell-1$). Indeed, in the same spirit of that of Corollary 1.4, it suffices to show that the Artin-Schreier curve $C_{\bar{f}} : y^p - y = \bar{f}(x)$ has p -rank equal to $(\ell-1)(p-1)$, which follows from *Deuring-Shafarevic formula* (see, for instance, [6, Corollary 1.8]). By symmetry, their slope-1 segments also coincides.

This paper is organized as follows. We develop our core theory of exponential sums over an affinoid in Section 2. There we determine the size of residue disks and derive an effective trace formula of Dwork-Monsky-Reich. In other words, section 2 contains fundamentals for the rest of the paper. In section 3, we present a practical algorithm to estimate the p -adic valuation of our Frobenius matrix. Finally Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In a sequel paper [34] we will give an alternative approach to the theorem and concerns generic Newton polygons for L functions of exponential sums over one dimensional affinoids.

2. EXPONENTIAL SUMS OVER ONE DIMENSIONAL AFFINOIDS

2.1. Definitions and notations. Let \mathbb{Q}_q be the degree a unramified extension over \mathbb{Q}_p and let \mathbb{Z}_q be its ring of integers. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ its ring of integers. Let Ω be the p -adic completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ and Ω_a the unique unramified extension of Ω_1 of degree a in Ω . Let \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_a be the ring of integers in Ω_1 and Ω_a , respectively. Let $\Omega'_1 := \Omega_a(\gamma^{1/d_1}, \dots, \gamma^{1/d_\ell})$. Let $\Omega'_a := \Omega'_1 \Omega_a$. Let \mathcal{O}'_a and \mathcal{O}'_1 be the rings of integers of Ω'_a and Ω'_1 , respectively. Let $|\cdot|_p$ be the p -adic valuation on Ω_a such that $|p|_p = p^{-1}$.



By taking Teichmüller lift of coefficients and poles of $\overline{f} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we get $\hat{f}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_q[x]$ with $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} a_{1,i} x^i + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{d_j} a_{j,i} (x - P_j)^{-i}$. Similarly, let $\hat{g}(x) = \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} (x - P_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_q[x]$ be the corresponding Teichmüller lift of $\overline{g}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Note that $P_j^q = P_j$. For the paper we generally assume $g(0) = 0$, and without loss of generality, we set $P_2 = 0$. This is not a restriction for our purpose since it is observed by definition that $L(\overline{f}(x+c); T) = L(\overline{f}(x); T)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ so one can always shift $\overline{f}(x)$ so that one of its poles lies at 0.

We mainly work on p -adic spaces over Ω_a (with some exceptions which are over Ω'_a). Let \mathbf{P}^1 be the rigid projective line over Ω_a . For any $P \in \Omega_a$ and $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ let $\mathbf{B}[P, r]$ and $\mathbf{B}(P, r)$ denote the closed disk and (wide) open disk of radius r about P on \mathbf{P}^1 , that is $\mathbf{B}[P, r] := \{X \in \Omega_a \mid |X - P|_p \leq r\}$ and $\mathbf{B}(P, r) := \{X \in \Omega_a \mid |X - P|_p < r\}$.

Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $0 < r < 1$. Below we use $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$ denote the ring of rigid analytic functions over Ω_a of a given affinoid. For any positive p -power s let

$$(4) \quad A_{r,s} := \mathbf{B}[0, 1/r] - \bigcup_{j=2}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(P_j^s, r).$$

More explicitly, $A_{r,s} = \{X \in \Omega_a \mid |X|_p \leq 1/r; |X - P_j^s|_p \geq r \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq \ell\}$. (Note that $A_{r,s} = \mathbf{P}^1 - \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(P_j^s, r)$.) It is an affinoid over Ω_a . For ease of notation, we shall abbreviate A_r for $A_{r,1}$ in this paper.

In this section we systematically develop Dwork-Monsky-Reich's p -adic theory for exponential sums over one dimensional affinoids, which generalizes (in some aspects) Dwork's original construction (see [8, 9]). Our exposition is (of course) after [25] [26] [20] [21] [23]. For fundamental material considering non-Archimedean geometry see [5] or [27].

A brief outline of our approach in here: Let U_q be the traditional Heck operator (given section 2.3. We first locate a "splitting" function $F_{[a]}(X)$ (given in section 2.4) which lies in the p -adic Banach space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ (where the radius r is given in (8)). Then the composition map $U_q \circ F_{[a]}$ defines a completely continuous Ω_a -linear map on $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ in Fredholm theory (see [28]). By Dwork-Monsky-Reich trace formula (see section 2.5), one can show that $\det(1 - T(U_q \circ F_{[a]}(X)))$ is well-defined and independent of the choice of r . Furthermore, one has $L(f \bmod \mathcal{P}; T) = \det(1 - T(U_q \circ F_{[a]})) / \det(1 - Tq(U_q \circ F_{[a]}))$. (See details in section 2.5.)

2.2. The p -adic Mittag-Leffler decomposition. Let $\mathcal{H}_1(A_r)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ consisting of all rigid analytic functions on $\mathbf{B}[0, 1/r]$. For $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ let $\mathcal{H}_j(A_r)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ consisting of all rigid analytic functions on $\mathbf{P}^1 - \mathbf{B}(P_j, r)$ that are holomorphic at ∞ and vanish at ∞ . For any rigid analytic function ξ defined on a subset B of \mathbf{P}^1 , let $\|\xi\|_B := \sup_{x \in B} \xi(x)$ (called *supremum norm*). This defines a norm on $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ and $\mathcal{H}_j(A_r)$, which are p -adic Banach spaces under this norm.

Lemma 2.1 (Krasner-Mittag-Leffler). *Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then $\mathbf{B}(P_j, r)$'s with $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ are mutually disjoint. There is a canonical decomposition of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{H}(A_r) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{H}_j(A_r)$ in the sense that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$ there is a unique $\xi_{P_j} \in \mathcal{H}_j(A_r)$ such that every $\xi - \xi_{P_j}$ can be analytically expandable to $\mathbf{B}(P_j, r)$. Every ξ can be uniquely represented as a sum $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \xi_{P_j}$ with*

$$(5) \quad \|\xi\|_{A_r} = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (\|\xi_{P_j}\|_{\mathbf{P}^1 - \mathbf{B}(P_j, r)}).$$

Proof. We first show the disjointness. Let $j \geq 3$. Since P_j 's are Teichmüller liftings in \mathbb{Z}_q with $P_j^q = P_j$ one has $|P_j|_p = 1$. For any $3 \leq i < j \leq \ell$, one first observes easily that $|P_i - P_j|_p \leq \max(|P_i|_p, |P_j|_p) = 1$. The hypothesis that $\bar{P}_i \neq \bar{P}_j$ in the residue field of \mathbb{Z}_q implies that $|P_i - P_j|_p \not\leq 1$ and hence one has $|P_i - P_j|_p = 1$.

Let $j \geq 2$. Pick any $P \in \mathbf{B}(P_j, r)$. If $j = 2$ then $|P|_p < r < 1 < 1/r$ so $P \in \mathbf{B}[1, 1/r]$; If $j \geq 3$ then $|P - P_j|_p < r < 1$ and $|P_j|_p = 1$ imply that $|P|_p = 1 < 1/r$ so $P \in \mathbf{B}[0, 1/r]$. This proves that $\mathbf{B}(\infty, r) \cap \mathbf{B}(P_j, r) = \emptyset$ for all $j \geq 2$.

Now let $j, j' \geq 2$ and $j' \neq j$. For any $P \in \mathbf{B}(P_j, r)$, one has $|P - P_j|_p < r < 1$ and $|P_j - P_{j'}|_p = 1$ by the previous paragraph and so $|P - P_{j'}|_p = 1 > r$. This shows $P \notin \mathbf{B}(P_{j'}, r)$. These above prove the disjointness.

The proof for the rest of the lemma follows directly from [24, Theorem 4.7] (see also [15]). \square

Every element in the Ω_a -space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ can be uniquely represented as $\sum_{i \geq 0} c_{1,i} X^i + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i \geq 1} c_{j,i} (X - P_j)^{-i}$ where $c_{j,i} \in \Omega_a$ and $\forall j \geq 1, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_{j,i}|_p}{r^i} = 0$. For simplicity, we denote $X_1 := X$ and $X_j := (X - P_j)^{-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then the Ω_a -space

$\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ has natural monomial basis $\vec{b}_{\text{unw}} := \{1, X_1^i, X_2^i, \dots, X_\ell^i\}_{i \geq 1}$. In section 3.2 and Theorem 3.8 we shall use a *weighted* basis \vec{b}_w (neither of \vec{b}_{unw} and \vec{b}_w is an orthonormal basis).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $0 < r < 1$. For each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, let $Z_j := \gamma^{\frac{1}{d_j}} X_j$. Then $\vec{b}_w := \{1, Z_1^i, \dots, Z_\ell^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ forms a basis of the Ω'_a -space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$.*

Proof. Obvious by Lemma 2.1 and remarks preceding the theorem. \square

2.3. U_p operator. For any $s \in p^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and for any $\xi(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r,s})$, let U_p be a map defined by $(U_p \xi)(X) := 1/p \cdot \sum_{Z^p=X} \xi(Z)$. Similarly let $(U_q \xi)(X) := 1/q \cdot \sum_{Z^q=X} \xi(Z)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $X \in \Omega_a$, $P \in \mathcal{O}_a$, and $s \in p^{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$. If $r \geq p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$ then $|X - P|_p > r^{\frac{1}{s}}$ implies $|X^s - P^s|_p = |X - P|_p^s > r$, and $|X^s - P^s|_p > r$ implies $|X^s - P^s|_p = |X - P|_p^s$.*

Proof. 1) If $P = 0$ then the lemma is trivial. We assume $P \neq 0$ for the rest of the proof. Write $s = p^k$ for $k \geq 1$. We shall use induction on k . We first prove the case $k = 1$ for both statements. That is, $|X^p - P^p|_p > p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$ implies that $|X - P|_p > p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ which in turn implies that $|X^p - P^p|_p = |X - P|_p^p$.

Write $Y := X - P$. Then $X^p - P^p = (Y + P)^p - P^p = Y^p + pG$ where $G = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{m} Y^{p-m} P^m \in Y\mathbb{Z}[P, Y]$. If $|Y|_p \leq p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, that is, $\text{ord}_p Y \geq \frac{1}{p-1}$, then $|pG|_p \leq |pY|_p \leq p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$. Since $|P|_p \leq 1$, one has $\text{ord}_p G \geq \text{ord}_p Y$. Thus $|X^p - P^p|_p \leq \max(|Y^p|_p, |pG|_p) \leq p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$. Contradiction, so we have $|Y|_p > p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. This implies that $\text{ord}_p Y^{-i} > -\frac{i}{p-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By triangle inequality $\text{ord}_p G/Y^p \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} \text{ord}_p Y^{-i} > -1$. Hence $\text{ord}_p(pG) > \text{ord}_p Y^p$. By triangle inequality we have $|X^p - P^p|_p = |Y^p|_p = |X - P|_p^p$.

2) Suppose it holds for $s = p^{k-1}$. By assumption, $|X^{p^k} - P^{p^k}|_p = |(X^p)^{p^{k-1}} - (P^p)^{p^{k-1}}|_p > p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$. By inductive argument one has $|X^{p^k} - P^{p^k}|_p = |X^p - P^p|_p^{p^{k-1}}$ and so $|X^p - P^p|_p > p^{-\frac{p}{p-1(p-1)}} \geq p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$. The latter implies that $|X^p - P^p|_p = |X - P|_p^p$ by induction again. Therefore, one has $|X^{p^k} - P^{p^k}|_p = |X - P|_p^{p^k}$, as we desire. Now suppose $|X - P|_p > r^{\frac{1}{p^k}}$. Then $|X - P|_p > p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ and so $|X^p - P^p|_p = |X - P|_p^p > r^{\frac{1}{p^{k-1}}}$. Then we use induction argument to get

$$|X^{p^k} - P^{p^k}|_p = |(X^p)^{p^{k-1}} - (P^p)^{p^{k-1}}|_p = |X^p - P^p|_p^{p^{k-1}} = |X - P|_p^{p^k}.$$

This finishes our proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $p^{-\frac{1}{p^a-1(p-1)}} < r < 1$. Let $s \in p^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. Then $U_p \mathcal{H}(A_{r,s}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(A_{r^p,sp})$. Then $U_q = U_p^a$ and $U_q \mathcal{H}(A_r) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(A_{r^q})$.*

Proof. 1) We shall demonstrate a proof for the case $s = 1$ since the general case is very similar. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$.

We firstly show that $U_p \xi$ defines a function on the affinoid $A_{r^p,p}$. It suffices to show that $Z^p = X \in A_{r^p,p}$ implies that $Z \in A_r$. Indeed, for every $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ one has $|Z^p - P_j^p|_p \geq r^p > p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$ by hypothesis. By Lemma 2.3 one has $|Z - P_j|_p^p = |Z^p - P_j^p|_p \geq r^p$. That is, $|Z - P_j|_p \geq r$. On the other hand, by $|Z^p|_p \leq 1/r^p$, one has $|Z|_p \leq 1/r$. This proves our claim.

Secondly we show that $U_p\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r^p,p})$. Our proof below follows [10, Lemma on page 40]. Before we start, an easy fact is prepared:

$$(6) \quad \sup_{X \in A_{r^p,p}} |(U_p\xi)(X)|_p \leq p \cdot \sup_{X \in A_r} |\xi(X)|_p.$$

Let Tr denote the trace map from $\Omega_a(z)$ to $\Omega_a(x)$ where Z is a function with $Z^p = X$. If $\xi \in \Omega_a(X)$, then by definition $U_p\xi = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \text{Tr} \xi(X)$. This shows that U_p maps $\Omega_a(X)$ to itself and by (6), if ξ has no pole in A_r then $U_p\xi$ has no pole in $A_{r^p,p}$. Thus U_p restricts to a mapping $\Omega_a(X) \cap \mathcal{H}(A_r) \rightarrow \Omega_a(X) \cap \mathcal{H}(A_{r^p,p})$, which is continuous relative to the supremum norms. Since $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$, one gets that ξ may be uniformly approximated on A_r by elements of $\Omega_a(X) \cap \mathcal{H}(A_r)$ and so by (6) again $U_p\xi$ can be uniformly approximated on $A_{r^p,p}$ by elements of $\Omega_a(X) \cap \mathcal{H}(A_{r^p,p})$. This completes the proof of the assertion about U_p .

2) Let $Z^q = X \in A_{r^q}$ for $r > p^{-\frac{1}{p^q-1(p-1)}}$. For $2 \leq j \leq \ell$, one has $|Z^q - P_j^q|_p \geq r^q$; so $|Z - P_j|_p = |Z^q - P_j^q|_p^{1/q} \geq r$. One also observes that $|Z^q|_p \leq 1/r^q$ implies that $|Z|_p \leq 1/r$. This proves that $Z \in A_r$. This proves that $U_q\xi$ is a function on $A_{r^q,q}$. As $P_j^q = P_j$ for all j one has $A_{r^q,q} = A_{r^q}$, it follows that $U_q\xi$ is defined over A_{r^q} . \square

2.4. Push-forward and multiplication maps. Without compromising the complexity of our note we introduce a few maps in a slightly general setting. Let L/K be any p -adic field extension and ψ an automorphism of L over K . Let A be any affinoid over L and $\mathcal{H}(A)$ the space of rigid analytic functions on A . Denote by A^ψ the image of A under ψ . For any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A)$ let $\psi_*\xi$ be a function on A^ψ defined by $(\psi_*\xi)(X) = \psi(\xi(\psi^{-1}X))$ for any $X \in A^\psi$. Clearly $\psi_*\xi \in \mathcal{H}(A^\psi)$ and in fact ψ_* defines an isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}(A)$ to $\mathcal{H}(A^\psi)$ as p -adic Banach spaces.

In this paper we shall extensively consider one special case: Let τ be a lifting of the Frobenius endomorphism $c \mapsto c^p$ of \mathbb{F}_{p^a} to Ω_a which fixes Ω_1 . Thus τ generates $\text{Gal}(\Omega_a/\Omega_1)$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $\tau_*(\mathcal{H}(A_{r,p^k})) = \mathcal{H}(A_{r,p^k}^\tau) = \mathcal{H}(A_{r,p^{k+1}})$. As a simple example, if $\xi(X) = \frac{B}{X-P} \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$ then $(\tau_*\xi)(X) = \frac{\tau(B)}{X-\tau(P)} = \frac{\tau(B)}{X-P^p}$. On the other hand, one may check routinely that τ_*^k commutes with U_p for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For any $\hat{f}(x)$ (fixed in section 2.1), and for every $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, let $F_j(X_j) := \prod_{i=1}^{d_j} E(\gamma_{a_j,i} X_j^i)$. Let

$$(7) \quad F(X) := \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} F_j(X_j); \quad F_{[a]}(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} (\tau_*^k F)(X^{p^k}).$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $k \geq 1$ be any integer. Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} < r < 1$. Then for any $\xi(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_r^k)$ one has $\xi(X^{p^k}) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r,1/p^k})$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $|X - P_j|_p \geq r^{1/p^k}$ implies that $|X^{p^k} - P_j^{p^k}|_p \geq r$. This follows from Lemma 2.3 immediately. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $d_0 := \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} d_j$. Let $r \in |\Omega_a|_p$ and $p^{-\frac{1}{d_0 p^{a-1}(p-1)}} < r < 1$. Then $F(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r,p^{a-1}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(A_r)$ and $F_{[a]}(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$.*

Proof. Write $r_j := p^{-\frac{1}{d_j(p-1)}}$. Write $F_j(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{j,n} X^n$ over \mathcal{O}_a . Note that $F_j(X)$'s convergence radius is $p^{\limsup_n \text{ord}_p F_{j,n}/n} \geq 1/r_j$. (See Lemma 3.6.) By

hypothesis, one has $r^{p^{a-1}} > r_j$ for every j , so $F_1(X), F_j((X - P_j)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r^{a-1}})$ for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$. Hence $F(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r^{p^{a-1}}})$.

Then $(\tau_*^k F)(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r^{p^{a-1}}})$ for every $0 \leq k \leq a-1$. Our hypothesis implies that $r^{p^{a-1}} > p^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}$. Apply Lemma 2.5, one has $(\tau_*^k F)(X^{p^k}) \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r^{p^{a-1-k}}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(A_r)$. Therefore, their product $F_{[a]}(X)$ lies in $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ as well. \square

2.5. The trace formula of α_a . For the rest of the paper we assume

$$(8) \quad r \in |\Omega_a|_p \text{ and } p^{-\frac{1}{d_0 p^{a-1}(p-1)}} < r < 1 \text{ where } d_0 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} d_j.$$

Let $\alpha_a := U_q \circ F_{[a]}(X)$ which means the composition map of U_q and the multiplication map by $F_{[a]}(X)$. Then α_a is a Ω_a -linear map from $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ to $\mathcal{H}(A_{r^q})$ by Theorem 2.4. Composing with the natural restriction map $\mathcal{H}(A_{r^q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(A_r)$, one observes that α_a defines an endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$.

Lemma 2.7 (Dwork-Monsky-Reich). *Let $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let r be as in (8), then the Ω_a -linear endomorphism α_a of $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ is completely continuous and one has*

$$(9) \quad L(\bar{f}; T) = \frac{\det(1 - T\alpha_a | \mathcal{H}(A_r))}{\det(1 - Tq\alpha_a | \mathcal{H}(A_r))}.$$

Proof. Let $A := \mathbf{B}[0, 1] - \bigcup_{j=2}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(P_j, 1)$ and let $\mathcal{H}^\dagger(A) := \bigcup_{0 < r < 1} \mathcal{H}(A_r)$. One notes that $\mathcal{H}^\dagger(A)$ is the Monsky-Washnitzer dagger space of A . Our assertions follow from [20] and [23], as explained in [25, Section 6] and see (6.3.11) in particular (our hypothesis $g(0) = 0$ was used there). Basically their trace formula says that α_a is completely continuous on $\mathcal{H}^\dagger(A)$ and $\det(1 - T\alpha_a | \mathcal{H}^\dagger(A)) = \det(1 - T\alpha_a | \mathcal{H}(A_r))$ for any r within our range in (8). \square

Remark 2.8. One can formulate the above trace formula using Berthelot's rigid cohomology theory. See [2] for detailed annotation of Robba's formulation in [25, section 6].

2.6. Descent from α_a to α_1 . Below we shall use subindex in $\det_{\Omega_1}(\cdot)$ or $\det_{\Omega_a}(\cdot)$ to emphasize our consideration of a map over Ω_1 -space or Ω_a -space, respectively. We shall omit the base space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ in $\det(\cdot)$ if the context clearly indicates so. The upshot of our argument is to “descent” the α_a map of Ω_a -space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ to the α_1 map of Ω_1 -space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$. This idea appeared initially in [9, Section 7]. In this paper we use $\text{NP}_p(\cdot)$ and $\text{NP}_q(\cdot)$ to denote p -adic and q -adic Newton polygons, respectively. (These should not be confused with $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$.) We use $1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\cdot)$ to denote the image of $\text{NP}_p(\cdot)$ shrunk by a factor of $1/a$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\alpha_1 := \tau_*^{-1} \circ U_p \circ F(X)$. Then α_1 is a completely continuous τ_*^{-1} -linear map from $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ to $\mathcal{H}(A_{r^p})$ and $\alpha_a = \alpha_1^a$ as Ω_1 -linear maps. Then*

$$(10) \quad \det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T^a \alpha_a)^a = \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} \det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T\zeta_a^k \alpha_1),$$

where ζ_a is a primitive a -th root of unity. Then $\text{NP}_a(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T\alpha_a)) = 1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T\alpha_1))$.

Proof. As we already remarked at the beginning of section 2.4, τ_*^{-1} and U_p commutes with each other as Ω_1 -linear maps. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Ω_1 -linear multiplication map of $(\tau_*^k F)(X)$ on $\mathcal{H}(A_r^{\tau_*^k})$ can be written as $\tau_*^k \circ F(X) \circ \tau_*^{-k}$. On the other

hand, for any function $H_k(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_r^\tau)$ one has a general identity that

$$(11) \quad U_q \circ \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} H_k(X^{p^k}) = \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} U_p \circ H_{a-1-k}(X),$$

where second product is noncommutative and its factors is ordered from left to right as k increases. We sustain this notation of noncommutative product for the rest of the paper.

Now apply (11) to $F_{[a]}(X)$ where set $H_k(X) := (\tau_*^k F)(X)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} U_q \circ F_{[a]}(X) &= \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} (U_p \circ \tau_*^{a-1-k} \circ F(X) \circ \tau_*^{-(a-1-k)}) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} (\tau_*^{a-1-k} \circ U_p \circ F(X) \circ \tau_*^{-(a-1-k)}). \end{aligned}$$

By telescoping, one gets $U_q \circ F_{[a]}(X) = (\tau_*^{-1} \circ U_p \circ F(X))^a$. That is, $\alpha_a = \alpha_1^a$.

The proof for α_1 being completely continuous is verbatim for α_a which is already proved in Lemma 2.7. Now it is elementary to see that

$$\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T^a \alpha_1^a) = \prod_{k=0}^{a-1} \det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T \zeta_a^k \alpha_1).$$

One may also show as an exercise that (see [4, (41)] for details)

$$\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a)^a = \det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T \alpha_a).$$

Combining these two equalities with $\alpha_a = \alpha_1^a$, one obtains (10). The last assertion about Newton polygons follows from the elementary theory of Newton polygons (see [8, Lemma 1.6] and [9, Lemma 7.1]). \square

Proposition 2.10. *The slope < 1 part (of horizontal length $d - \ell + 1$) of $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$ is equal to $\text{NP}_q(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a) \bmod T^{d-\ell+1})$ which is equal to*

$$1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T \alpha_1) \bmod T^{a(d-\ell+1)+1}).$$

Proof. By (9), one has

$$(12) \quad L(f \bmod \mathcal{P}; T) \cdot \det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T q \alpha_a) = \det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a).$$

Note that all slopes are ≥ 1 in $\text{NP}_q(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T q \alpha_a))$. By the Weil conjecture for (projective) curves $L(f; F_q)$ is a degree d polynomial (see (3)) with all slopes in $[0, 1]$. The slope-1 part of $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$ is precisely of horizontal length $\ell - 1$ (see Remark 1.5). Let λ be the biggest slope of $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$ that strictly less than 1. Then the slope $\leq \lambda$ part of $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$ is equal to $\text{NP}_q(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2})$ by (12) and p -adic Weierstrass preparation theorem (see [14, IV.4]).

By Lemma 2.9, $1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T \alpha_1)) = \text{NP}_q(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a))$. By the previous paragraph, the latter polygon has a vertex point at $T^{d-\ell+1}$, which separates the slope $\leq \lambda$ and slope 1 segment. Hence the former polygon has a corresponding vertex point at $T^{a(d-\ell+1)}$. The upshot is that

$$1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T \alpha_1) \bmod T^{a(d-\ell+1)+1}) = \text{NP}_q(\det_{\Omega_a}(1 - T \alpha_a) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}).$$

Compiling these two paragraphs, our assertion follows. \square

3. p -ADIC ESTIMATES OF L -FUNCTION OF EXPONENTIAL SUMS

This section aims to prove Theorem 3.8. We present a down-to-earth algorithm to compute the semilinear map α_1 , a main step in computing L functions of exponential sums (or equivalently the zeta functions of Artin-Schreier curves) since the rest of the computation can be completed without much difficulty. We shall sustain all notations from previous sections. Recall in particular the bases \vec{b}_{unw} and \vec{b}_w of $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\lceil c \rceil$ the least integer $\geq c$.

3.1. Computational lemmas. To start we prepare two auxillary lemmas. Lemma 3.1 is elementary whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $|P| = 1$. If $X \in \Omega_a$ with $|X|_p < 1$ then*

$$(13) \quad (1 - X)^{-n} = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \binom{m-1}{n-1} X^{m-n}.$$

$$(14) \quad (X(1 - PX)^{-1})^n = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \binom{m-1}{n-1} P^{m-n} X^m.$$

$$(15) \quad (X - P)^{-n} = (-1)^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+n-1}{n-1} P^{-(n+m)} X^m.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $J \geq 3$. Let r be as in (8) of section 2.5. Then for any $X \in A_r$ one has*

$$(16) \quad U_p(X - P_J)^{-m} = \sum_{n=\lceil m/p \rceil}^m C^{n,m} P_J^{np-m} (X - P_J^p)^{-n},$$

where $C^{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $\text{ord}_p C^{n,m} \geq \frac{np-m}{p-1} - 1$. For $n = \lceil m/p \rceil$, one has $\text{ord}_p C^{n,m} = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 one has $U_p(X - P_J)^{-m} \in \mathcal{H}(A_{r,p})$. The first statement of this lemma follows from an analogous verification as presented in section 5.3 of [10], so we shall omit its proof here. We shall prove our last assertion below.

By [10, Lemma of section 5.3, page 74], one has $C^{n,m} = \frac{m}{np} \sum_{\vec{i}} \prod_{k=1}^n \binom{p}{i_k}$ where $\vec{i} := (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ ranges in \mathbb{Z}^n with $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq p$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n i_k = m$ (we denote this set of \vec{i} by \mathcal{I}). Write $m = (n-1)p + r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq p$. If $r = p$ then one can easily see that $C^{n,m} = 1$ and our assertion clearly holds. Below we let $1 \leq r \leq p-1$. We assume additionally that $p > 2$ since if $p = 2$ then one has $m = 2n - 1$ and it is easy to check that $\text{ord}_p C^{n,m} = 0$ directly. Write $\zeta(\vec{i}) := \prod_{k=1}^n \binom{p}{i_k}$. For any $1 \leq t \leq n$ let \mathcal{I}_t be the subset of \mathcal{I} consisting of all \vec{i} with $\text{ord}_p(\zeta(\vec{i})) = t$. It is clear that $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{t=1}^n \mathcal{I}_t$ is a partition of \mathcal{I} . Since $\text{ord}_p \binom{p}{i_k} = 0$ (resp., $= 1$) if and only if $i_k = p$ (resp., $\neq p$), one gets for any $1 \leq t \leq n$ that $\vec{i} \in \mathcal{I}_t$ if and only if the \vec{i} contains precisely t non- p components. For each $\vec{i} \in \mathcal{I}_t$ there are actually $\binom{n}{t}$ of them by a permutation of the non- p components among the n components and they have the same $\zeta(\vec{i})$. Let \mathcal{J}_t be the set of all t -tuples $\vec{j} := (j_1, \dots, j_t)$ with

$1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_t \leq p-1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^t j_k = (t-1)p + r$. Then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} C^{m,m} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{\vec{i} \in \mathcal{I}_t} \frac{m}{np} \varsigma(\vec{i}) = \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathcal{J}_t} \frac{m}{np} \binom{n}{t} \varsigma(\vec{j}) \\ (17) \quad &= \frac{m \binom{p}{r}}{p} + \sum_{t=2}^n \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathcal{J}_t} \frac{m}{np} \binom{n}{t} \varsigma(\vec{j}). \end{aligned}$$

One easily observes that the first summand is a p -adic unit. Now we claim that for any $t \geq 2$ and $\vec{j} \in \mathcal{J}_t$ one has $\text{ord}_p\left(\frac{m}{np} \binom{n}{t} \varsigma(\vec{j})\right) \geq 1$. Indeed, one has for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ that

$$\frac{m}{np} \binom{n}{t} \varsigma(\vec{j}) = \frac{m}{np} \left(\frac{n}{t} \binom{n-1}{t-1} \right) (p^t u) = um \binom{n-1}{t-1} \frac{p^{t-1}}{t}.$$

It is easy to observe that for any $t \geq 2$ and $p > 2$ one has $\text{ord}_p t \leq t-2$. This proves our claim above. By (17), we get that $C^{n,m}$ is a p -adic unit. \square

Remark 3.3. Using a method different from Dwork's in [10], we can prove that in Lemma 3.2, one has $C^{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We omit this stronger form of the lemma as it is not needed for this paper.

The algorithm for computing $\alpha_1 = \tau_*^{-1} \circ U_p \circ F(X)$ uses the observation that τ_*^{-1} and U_p respects the Mittag-Leffler decomposition while the multiplication map $F(X)$ does not. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and for any $\xi(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$, let $\xi(X)_{P_j}$ denote the j -th component in the p -adic Mittag-Leffler decomposition as in (5). For a streamline presentation, we recall $X_1 = X$ and $X_j = (X - P_j)^{-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Lemma 3.4 (Key computational lemma). *Let $1 \leq J, J_1 \leq \ell$. Let $i \geq 0$.*

1) *Let $\xi(X) \in \mathcal{H}(A_r)$. If its Laurent expansion at P_{J_1} is $\xi(X) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n X_{J_1}^n$ for some $B_n \in \Omega_a$, then $(\xi(X))_{P_{J_1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n X_{J_1}^n$, where $B_0 = 0$ if $P_{J_1} \neq \infty$.*

2) *Let $C^{n,m}$ be as defined in Lemma 3.2. Write $(F(X)X_j^i)_{P_{J_1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{J_1, J}^{n,i} X_{J_1}^n$ then $(\alpha_1 X_j^i)_{P_{J_1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{J_1, J}^{n,i} X_{J_1}^n \in \Omega_a[[X_{J_1}]]$ where*

$$B_{J_1, J}^{n,i} := \begin{cases} \tau^{-1} H_{J_1, J}^{np, i} & \text{for } J_1 = 1, 2 \\ \sum_{m=n}^{np} C^{n,m} (\tau^{-1} H_{J_1, J}^{m, i}) P_{J_1}^{n-m} p^{a-1} & \text{for } J_1 \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Part 1) is a simple corollary of the remarks preceding the lemma and Lemmas 2.1 and 3.2. The rest are routine consequences. \square

Our first exercise is to compute aforementioned $H_{J_1, J}^{n,i}$'s. This helps us to do p -adic estimates later. Write $F_j(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{j,n} X^n \in \mathcal{O}_a[[X]]$ for any $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\vec{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$.*

1) *For $i, n \geq 0$, then $H_{1, J}^{n,i}$ is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum \left(F_{1, n_1} \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{J \neq 1 \\ 0 \leq m_J \leq n_J}} F_{J, m_J} \binom{n_J + i - 1}{m_J + i - 1} P_J^{n_J - m_J} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{j \neq 1, J} \left(\sum_{m_j=0}^{n_j} F_{j, m_j} \binom{n_j - 1}{m_j - 1} P_j^{n_j - m_j} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum ranges over all $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$ such that $n = n_1 \pm i - \sum_{j=2}^\ell n_j$ and the \pm sign depends on $J = 1$ or $J \neq 1$.

2) For $J_1, J \neq 1$, $H_{J_1, J}^{n, i}$ is equal to

$$\sum \left(F_{J_1, n_{J_1}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{J \neq J_1 \\ m_J \geq 0}} F_{J, m_J} (-1)^{m_J+i} \binom{n_J + m_J + i - 1}{m_J + i - 1} (P_J - P_{J_1})^{-(n_J + m_J + i)} \right) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \left(\sum_{m_1 = n_1}^\infty F_{1, m_1} \binom{m_1}{n_1} P_{J_1}^{m_1 - n_1} \right) \right. \\ \left. \prod_{j \neq 1, J_1, J} \left(\sum_{m_j = 0}^\infty F_{j, m_j} (-1)^{m_j} \binom{n_j + m_j - 1}{m_j - 1} (P_j - P_{J_1})^{-(n_j + m_j)} \right) \right)$$

where the sum ranges over all $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$ such that $n = n_{J_1} + i - \sum_{j \neq J_1} n_j$ if $J = J_1$ and $n = n_{J_1} - \sum_{j \neq J_1} n_j$ if $J \neq J_1$.

For $J_1 \neq 1$ and $J = 1$ then $H_{J_1, J}^{n, i}$ is equal to

$$\sum \left(F_{J_1, n_{J_1}} \cdot \left(\sum_{m_1 = n_1 - i} F_{1, m_1} \binom{m_1 + i}{n_1} P_{J_1}^{m_1 + i - n_1} \right) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \prod_{j \neq J_1, 1} \left(\sum_{m_j = 0}^\infty F_{j, m_j} (-1)^{m_j} \binom{n_j + m_j - 1}{m_j - 1} (P_j - P_{J_1})^{-(n_j + m_j)} \right) \right)$$

where the sum ranges over all $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$ such that $n = n_{J_1} - \sum_{j \neq J_1} n_j$.

Proof. We shall use " \underline{P}_j " to mean expansion at P_j . Clearly for any J_1 one has

$$F_{J_1}(X_{J_1})X_{J_1}^i \stackrel{P_{J_1}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^\infty F_{J_1, n} X^{n+i}.$$

For $J \geq 2$ one has by (14) the expansion at $P_1 = \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_J(X_J)X_J^i &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty F_{J, m} (X^{-1}(1 - P_J X^{-1})^{-1})^{m+i} \\ &\stackrel{P_1}{=} \sum_{m=0}^\infty F_{J, m} \sum_{k=m+i}^\infty \binom{k-1}{m+i-1} P_J^{k-(m+i)} X^{-k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{m=0}^n F_{J, m} \binom{n+i-1}{m+i-1} P_J^{n-m} \right) X^{-n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

For $J_1 \neq 1$ and $J \neq 1, J_1$, by (15), its expansion at P_{J_1} is:

$$\begin{aligned} F_J(X_J)X_J^i &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty F_{J, m} (X_{J_1}^{-1} - (P_J - P_{J_1}))^{-(m+i)} \\ &\stackrel{P_{J_1}}{=} \sum_{m=0}^\infty F_{J, m} (-1)^{m+i} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{n+m+i-1}{m+i-1} (P_J - P_{J_1})^{-(n+m+i)} X_{J_1}^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{m=0}^\infty F_{J, m} (-1)^{m+i} \binom{n+m+i-1}{m+i-1} (P_J - P_{J_1})^{-(n+m+i)} \right) X_{J_1}^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

For $J_1 \neq 1$ and $J = 1$ then one has

$$F_J(X)X_J^i \stackrel{P_{J_1}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=n-i}^{\infty} F_{J,m} \binom{m+i}{n} P_{J_1}^{m+i-n} \right) X_{J_1}^{-n}.$$

By $F(X)X_J^i = (F_J(X_J)X_J^i) \cdot \prod_{j \neq J} F_j(X_j)$, and Lemma 3.4 1), one can compute and obtain $(F(X)X_J^i)_{P_{J_1}}$ for the case $J_1 = 1$ or $J_1 \neq 1$ presented respectively in the two formulas in our assertion. This proves the lemma. \square

3.2. Estimates. To be compatible with the widely used computational method, we decide to present p -adic estimates with respect to the natural monomial basis \vec{b}_{unw} . We first recall certain properties of $F_j(X_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{j,n} X_j^n \in \mathcal{O}_a[[X_j]]$.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $n \geq 0$ one has $\text{ord}_p F_{j,n} \geq \frac{\lceil \frac{n}{d_j} \rceil}{p-1}$ where the equality holds if $d_j | n$ and $\frac{n}{d_j} \leq p-1$. In particular, $\text{ord}_p F_{j,n} > 0$ for any $n > 0$.*

Proof. This is well-known, see for instance [32, Section 1]. \square

For any integers $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$ we use $\mathcal{C}(s, t)$ to denote the condition that $t|s$ and $0 \leq \frac{s}{t} \leq p-1$ is satisfied. So the condition in the above lemma is $\mathcal{C}(n, d_j)$. We claim the following:

$$(18) \quad |H_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|_p \leq \begin{cases} p^{-\frac{n-i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = J \\ p^{-\frac{n+i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = 1 \neq J \\ p^{-\frac{n}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = 2 \neq J. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the equalities hold if additional conditions $\mathcal{C}(n-i, d_{J_1})$, $\mathcal{C}(n+i, d_{J_1})$, $\mathcal{C}(n, d_{J_1})$ hold, respectively.

A proof for the case $J = J_1$ is sketched below and proofs for other cases are omitted as they are similar. By Lemma 3.5, $\text{ord}_p H_{J_1, J}^{n, i}$ is greater than or equal to the minimal valuation among the \vec{n} -summand in its formula as \vec{n} varies in its domain. Each \vec{n} -summand is the product of ℓ elements in \mathcal{O}_a , so its valuation is equal to the sum of valuations of these ℓ elements in \mathcal{O}_a . It is easy to observe that $\text{ord}_p H_{J_1, J}^{n, i} \geq \min_{n_1} (\text{ord}_p F_{1, n_1}) \geq \frac{n-i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}$ as the minimum is taken over all $n_1 = n-i + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} n_j$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{C}(n-i, d_{J_1})$ holds then by Lemma 3.6 the minimal is uniquely achieved at $\vec{n} = (n-i, 0, \dots, 0)$ and the equality holds. This proves our claim.

Theorem 3.7 (Unweighted estimates). *Let notation be as in Lemma 3.4 2).*

1) For $J_1 = 1, 2$ and for $n, i \geq 0$ one has

$$|B_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|_p \leq \begin{cases} p^{-\frac{np-i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = J \\ p^{-\frac{np+i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = 1 \neq J \\ p^{-\frac{np}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = 2 \neq J. \end{cases}$$

The equalities hold if additional conditions $\mathcal{C}(np-i, d_{J_1})$, $\mathcal{C}(np+i, d_{J_1})$, $\mathcal{C}(np, d_{J_1})$ hold, respectively.

2) For $J_1 \geq 3$ and for $n \geq 1, i \geq 0$ one has

$$|B_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|_p \leq \begin{cases} p^{-\frac{(n-1)p-(i-1)}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 = J \\ p^{-\frac{(n-1)p+1}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} & \text{if } J_1 \neq J. \end{cases}$$

If $d_{J_1} \geq 2$ then the equalities hold under additional conditions $\mathcal{C}((n-1)p - (i-1), d_{J_1}), \mathcal{C}((n-1)p + 1, d_{J_1})$ hold, respectively.

Proof. If $J_1 = 1, 2$ one has $|B_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|_p = |H_{J_1, J}^{np, i}|_p$ by Lemma 3.4. Combining this with (18) part 1) follows immediately. We are left to prove part 2). Assume $J_1 \geq 3$ from now on. We shall outline a proof for the case $J = J_1$: Let n, i be fixed in their appropriate ranges. By Lemma 3.4 2), one has that $|B_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|_p \leq \max_{n \leq m \leq np} (|H_{J_1, J}^{m, i} C^{n, m}|_p)$ and the equality holds if the maximum is unique. Pick $m_0 := (n-1)p + 1$, (a) For any $m_0 < m \leq np$ one has $|H_{J_1, J}^{m, i}|_p < p^{-\frac{m_0 - i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}}$ by (18). (b) Let $n \leq m \leq m_0$. The function $c(m) := \frac{m-i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)} + (\frac{np-m}{p-1} - 1) = \frac{np}{p-1} - \frac{i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)} - 1 - m \frac{d_{J_1}-1}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}$ has its minimum $c(m_0)$. If $d_{J_1} \geq 2$ then this minimum is unique. By (18) and Lemma 3.2 one has $\max_{n \leq m \leq m_0} |H_{J_1, J}^{m, i} C^{n, m}|_p \leq p^{-c(m_0)} = p^{-\frac{m_0 - i}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}} = p^{-\frac{(n-1)p - (i-1)}{d_{J_1}(p-1)}}$. Combining (a) and (b) one gets the desired upper bound for $|B_{J_1, J}^{n, i}|$. If $d_{J_1} \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{C}(m_0 - i, d_{J_1})$ holds then the maximum is achieved uniquely at m_0 by Lemma 3.2. Combining the above, we have proved part 2) for the case $J_1 = J$. Since other cases are similar and we omit them here, and finally we conclude the proof to our theorem. \square

Theorem 3.8 (Weighted estimates). *Let notation be as in Lemma 3.4 2). Write $(\alpha_1 Z_j^i)_{P_{J_1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} Z_{J_1}^n$ in $\Omega_a[[Z_{J_1}]]$.*

- 1) One has $C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} = B_{J_1, J}^{n, i} \gamma^{\frac{i}{d_J} + \frac{n}{d_{J_1}}}$ and $\text{ord}_p C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} = \text{ord}_p B_{J_1, J}^{n, i} + \frac{1}{p-1}(\frac{i}{d_J} - \frac{n}{d_{J_1}})$.
- 2) For $J_1 = 1, 2$ and $n, i \geq 0$ one has

$$(19) \quad \text{ord}_p C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{n}{d_{J_1}} & \text{if } J_1 = J \\ \frac{n}{d_{J_1}} + \frac{i}{p-1}(\frac{1}{d_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{d_J}) & \text{if } J_1 = 1 \neq J \\ \frac{n}{d_{J_1}} + \frac{i}{(p-1)d_J} & \text{if } J_1 = 2 \neq J. \end{cases}$$

The equality holds if $\mathcal{C}(np - i, d_{J_1}), \mathcal{C}(np + i, d_{J_1}), \mathcal{C}(np, d_{J_1})$ hold, respectively.

- 3) For $J_1 \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1, i \geq 0$ one has

$$(20) \quad \text{ord}_p C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{d_{J_1}} & \text{if } J_1 = J \\ \frac{n-1}{d_{J_1}} + \frac{i}{(p-1)d_{J_1}} & \text{if } J_1 \neq J. \end{cases}$$

The equality holds if conditions $\mathcal{C}((n-1)p - (i-1), d_{J_1}), \mathcal{C}((n-1)p + 1, d_{J_1})$ hold, respectively.

Proof. Part 1) follows by a simple and routine check. Parts 2) and 3) follow from Lemma 3.6 and parts 1) and 2) of Theorem 3.7, respectively. \square

4. NEWTON POLYGON LIES OVER THE HODGE POLYGON

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of three parts. The first two parts are in the spirit of Dwork (see [9, Section 7] or [4, Lemma 2]) after a simple reduction. The third part uses Wan's [31, Theorem 2.4].

Queue up numbers in (1) in nondecreasing order. For any $i \geq 1$, let m_i be the i -th in this queue. For any $k \geq 1$, let $c_k := \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$ and set $c_0 = 0$. It is by elementary arithmetic of Newton polygon that $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ is equal to the connecting graph of $\{(k, c_k)\}_{0 \leq k \leq d}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Part 1. Newton polygon of α_1 over Ω'_a

From now on let \mathbf{M} be the infinite matrix representing the α_1 action on Ω'_a -space $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ with respect to the basis \vec{b}_w . Write

$$(21) \quad \det(1 - T\mathbf{M}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k T^k \in \mathcal{O}'_a[[T]].$$

Take the minimal p -adic valuation of all entries in each row, and put them in a non-decreasing order. For any $i \geq 1$ let $m_i(\mathbf{M})$ denote the i -th smallest row p -adic valuation of \mathbf{M} (counting multiplicity). For every $k \geq 1$ let $c_k(\mathbf{M}) := \sum_{i=1}^k m_i(\mathbf{M})$. By Theorem 3.8, one has

$$(22) \quad \text{ord}_p C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{n}{d_{J_1}} & \text{for } J_1 = 1, 2 \text{ and } n, i \geq 0 \\ \frac{n-1}{d_{J_1}} & \text{for } J_1 \geq 3 \text{ and } n \geq 1, i \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $m_i(\mathbf{M}) \geq m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d - \ell + 1$. Thus by arithmetic of Newton polygon (see [8, Lemma 1.6]) and Fredholm theory (see [28, Proposition 7 and its proof]) one has that $\text{NP}_q(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2})$ lies above the connecting graph of $\{(k, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}_{0 \leq k \leq d-\ell+1}$. The latter is precisely $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ as remarked earlier.

Part 2. Newton polygon of α_1 over Ω'_1

By the normal basis theorem, there exists $\xi \in \Omega_a$ such that $\vec{\xi} := \{\xi^{\tau^t}\}_{0 \leq t \leq a-1}$ is an orthonormal basis for Ω'_a over Ω'_1 . Let \mathbf{N} be the (infinite) matrix representing α_1 with respect to the basis \vec{b}_{w, Ω'_1} for $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ as Ω'_1 -space, where \vec{b}_{w, Ω'_1} consists of $Z_j^i \xi^{\tau^t}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, $0 \leq t \leq a-1$ and $i \geq 0$ (where $i = 0$ only if $j = 1$). Write

$$(23) \quad \det(1 - T\mathbf{N}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k T^k \in \mathcal{O}'_1[[T]].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(Z_j^i \xi^{\tau^t}) &= \alpha_1(Z_j^i) \xi^{\tau^{t-1}} \\ &= \sum_{J_1=1}^{\ell} C_{J_1, J}^{n, i} Z_{J_1}^n \xi^{\tau^{t-1}} = \sum_{J_1=1}^{\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{a-1} C_{J_1, J}^{n, i}(t) Z_{J_1}^n \xi^{\tau^t} \end{aligned}$$

with $\text{ord}_p(C_{J_1, J}^{n, i}(t)) \geq \text{ord}_p(C_{J_1, J}^{n, i})$ (using that $\vec{\xi}$ is an orthonormal basis of Ω'_a over Ω'_1). So the lower bound of $\text{ord}_p(C_{J_1, J}^{n, i})$ given in (22) remains a lower bound of $\text{ord}_p(C_{J_1, J}^{n, i}(t))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq a-1$. Thus $\{m_i(\mathbf{N})\}_{1 \leq i \leq a(d-\ell+1)}$ is *greater than or equal to* the set consisting of a copies of every number in $\{m_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d-\ell+1}$ in the sense that the former is \geq latter componentwisely after the two sets are respectively queued up in nondecreasing order. Thus $1/a \cdot \text{NP}_p(\det_{\Omega_1}(1 - T\alpha_1) \bmod T^{a(d-\ell+1)+1})$ lies above the connecting graph of $\{(k, c_k)\}_{0 \leq k \leq d-\ell+1}$, which is equal to $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$. Apply Proposition 2.10, one now concludes that $\text{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q)$ lies over $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$.

Part 3. When do Newton and Hodge coincide?

One notes that after permuting our basis \vec{b}_w for $\mathcal{H}(A_r)$ we can arrive at a matrix \mathbf{M} of α_1 in block form satisfying the hypothesis of [31, Theorem 2.4]. Let \mathbf{M}_a be the matrix representing α_a over Ω_a , then one knows that $\mathbf{M}_a = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^{\tau^{-1}} \cdots \mathbf{M}^{\tau^{-(a-1)}}$. Note that $\text{NP}_q(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}_a))$ and $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$ meets at the point with x -coordinate $d - \ell + 1$ (see Proposition 2.10). Let $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$ denote the slope < 1 part of $\text{HP}(\mathbb{A})$, which has horizontal length $d - \ell + 1$.

By [31, Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.5], one can show that $\mathrm{NP}_q(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}_a) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$ if and only if $\mathrm{NP}_p(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$. That is, it is reduced to show $\mathrm{NP}_p(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$.

Let $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$ be the principle submatrix of \mathbf{M} consisting of all $C_{J_1, J}^{n, i}$ with

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq n \leq d_1 - 1 & \text{for } J_1 = 1; \\ 1 \leq n \leq d_2 - 1 & \text{for } J_1 = 2; \\ 1 \leq n \leq d_{J_1} & \text{for } J_1 \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

One notices that $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$ has totally $d - \ell + 1$ rows. By (22), every row of \mathbf{M} outside these $d - \ell + 1$ rows has its minimal p -adic valuation ≥ 1 . From matrix arithmetic of Fredholm theory, it is not hard to conclude that all segments of $\mathrm{NP}_p(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}))_{<1}$ have to “come from” $\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}_{<1})$ in the following sense. In (21) let s be the biggest such that $\mathrm{NP}_q(\sum_{k=0}^s C_k T^k)$ has all slope < 1 , then for all $k \leq n$ one has $C_k = \sum_N \pm \det N$ where N ranges over all $k \times k$ principal submatrix of $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$.

From now on we assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{lcm} d_j}$ where j ranges from 1 to ℓ . By Remark 1.5, the slope-0 segment of the Hodge polygon is always achieved, this saves us from considering the corresponding rows in $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$. By Theorem 3.8 and its proof, for $J_1 = 1, 2$ (resp. $J_1 \geq 3$) one has that $C_{J_1, J}^{n, i}$ in the submatrix $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$ achieves its minimal row p -adic value $\frac{n}{d_{J_1}}$ (resp., $\frac{n-1}{d_{J_1}}$) uniquely at $n = i$. In summary, all minimal row p -adic valuations are achieved uniquely on the diagonal of $\mathbf{M}_{<1}$. These minimal row p -adic valuations are precisely the rational number < 1 listed in (1). By arithmetic of Fredholm theorem and analysis in part 1), $\mathrm{NP}_p(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}) = \mathrm{NP}_p(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}_{<1})) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$. Combining with the above paragraph, we have shown that $\mathrm{NP}_q(\det(1 - T\mathbf{M}_a) \bmod T^{d-\ell+2}) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})_{<1}$. By Proposition 2.10, one concludes that $\mathrm{NP}(f; \mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathbb{A})$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Bjorn Poonen and Steve Sperber for communicating to me of their conjecture and stimulating discussions. I thank Hanfeng Li for invaluable comments on the first draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ADOLPHSON; S. SPERBER: Newton polyhedra and the degree of the L -function associated to an exponential sum, *Invent. Math.* **88** (1987), 555–569.
- [2] PIERRE BERTHELOT: Cohomologie rigide et théorie de Dwork: le cas des sommes exponentielles, in *Cohomologie p -adique* Société Mathématique de France Astérisque **119–120**, (1984), 17–49.
- [3] PIERRE BERTHELOT: Géométrie rigide et cohomologie des variétés algébriques de caractéristique p . Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques (Luminy, 1984). *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)* No. 23 (1986), 3, 7–32.
- [4] ENRICO BOMBIERI: On exponential sums in finite fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), 71–105.
- [5] S. BOSCH, U. GUNTZER, R. REMMENT: Non-Archimedean analysis, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* Vol. **261**, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [6] RICHARD CREW: Etale p -covers in characteristic p , *Compositio Math.*, **52** (1984), 31–45.
- [7] JAN DENEFF; FREDERIK VERCAUTEREN: An extension of Kedlaya’s algorithm to hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2, Preprint.
- [8] BERNARD DWORK: On the zeta function of a hypersurface. *Publication Math. IHES*, (1962), 5–68.
- [9] BERNARD DWORK: On the zeta function of a hypersurface. II. *Ann. of Math.* **80** (1964), 227–299.
- [10] BERNARD DWORK: *Lectures on p -adic differential equations*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **253**, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

- [11] N. KATZ: Slope filtration of F-crystals, *Astérisque* **63** (1979), 113–163.
- [12] KIRAN KEDLAYA: Counting points on hyperelliptic curves using Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **16** (2001), 3223–338.
- [13] NEAL KOBLITZ: p -adic variation of the zeta function over families of varieties defined over finite fields, *Comp. Math.* **31** (1975), 119–218.
- [14] NEAL KOBLITZ: p -adic numbers, p -adic analysis, and Zeta-functions, (Second edition), *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **58**. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [15] MARC KRASNER: Prolongement analytique uniforme et multiforme dans les corps valués complets, *Colloque du C.N.R.S.*, Clermont-Ferrand, 1964.
- [16] A. LAUDER; DAQING WAN: Computing zeta functions of Artin-Schreier curves over finite fields. *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **5** (2002), 34–55.
- [17] A. LAUDER; DAQING WAN: Counting rational points on varieties over finite fields of small characteristic, to appear in *MSRI Computational Number Theory Proceedings*.
- [18] G. LAUMON: Exponential sums and ℓ -adic cohomology: A survey, *Israel J. Math.* **120** (2000), 225–257.
- [19] BARRY MAZUR: Frobenius and the Hodge filtration, *Bull. A.M.S.* **78** (1972), 653–667.
- [20] P. MONSKY; WASHNITZER: Formal cohomology I, *Ann. of Math.* **88** (1968), 181–217.
- [21] P. MONSKY: Formal cohomology II, III, *Ann of Math.* **88** (1968), 218–238 and **93** (1971), 315–343.
- [22] AUTHUR OGUS: F-crystals, Griffiths transversality, and the Hodge decomposition, *Astérisque* 221 (1994), ii+183pp.
- [23] DANIEL REICH: A p -adic fixed point formula. *Amer. J. Math.* **91** (1969), 835–850.
- [24] PHILIPPE ROBBA: Fonctions analytiques sur les corps valués untramétriques complets, page 109–218, in *Prolongement analytique et algèbres banach ultramétriques*, Société Mathématique de France *Astérisque* **10**, 1973.
- [25] PHILIPPE ROBBA: Index of p -adic differential operators III. Application to twisted exponential sums, in *Cohomologie p -adique* Société Mathématique de France *Astérisque* **119–120**, (1984), 191–266.
- [26] PHILIPPE ROBBA: Une Introduction naïve aux cohomologies de Dwork, *Société Mathématique de France Mémoire* **23** (1986), 61–105.
- [27] W.H. SCHIKHOF: Ultrametric calculus – an introduction to p -adic analysis. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced mathematics* **4**. Cambridge University Press.
- [28] J-P SERRE: Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adique, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, Vol. **12** (1962), 69–85.
- [29] STEVEN SPERBER: Congruence properties of the hyper-Kloosterman sum. *Compositio Math.* **40** (1980), 3–33.
- [30] STEVEN SPERBER: On the p -adic theory of exponential sums, *Amer. J. Math.*, **109** (1986), 255–296.
- [31] DAQING WAN: New polygons of zeta functions and L functions. *Ann. Math.*, **137** (1993), 249–293.
- [32] HUI JUNE ZHU: p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, I. To appear in *Amer. J. Math.*
- [33] HUI JUNE ZHU: p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, II. [math.AG/0206284](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0206284)
- [34] HUI JUNE ZHU: In preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ON L8S 4K1, CANADA

E-mail address: zhu@cal.berkeley.edu