

# A generalization of the topological Brauer group

A.V. Ershov\*

## Abstract

In the present paper we introduce some generalization of the topological Brauer group  $H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . We hope that this construction can be transferred to algebraic geometry and would provide a basis for introducing new birational invariants of varieties.

## Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Fans of subalgebras</b>  | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.1      | Definition of $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . . . . .  | 4         |
| 1.2      | The topology and the algebraic structure on $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . . . . .                                    | 6         |
| 1.3      | Automorphisms of fans . . . . .   | 7         |
| 1.4      | The space of $k$ -frames in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . . . . .  | 10        |
| 1.5      | The action $\nu_{k,l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . . . . .     | 11        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Fan bundles</b>  | <b>12</b> |
| 2.1      | Fan bundles associated with algebra bundles . . . . .   | 12        |
| 2.2      | The representation $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ . . . . .                 | 18        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>The classifying space of <math>\mathbb{M}_{k,l}</math>-bundles</b>                                       | <b>20</b> |
| 3.1      | Fibre bundles associated with fan bundles . . . . .   | 20        |
| 3.2      | An exact sequence of functors . . . . .   | 23        |
| 3.3      | The tensor product of fans . . . . .  | 27        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>The stable theory of <math>\mathbb{M}_{k,l}</math>-bundles</b>   | <b>29</b> |
| 4.1      | A stable equivalence relation . . . . .   | 29        |
| 4.2      | A unitary version . . . . .   | 33        |
| 4.3      | $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ . . . . . | 34        |

---

\*Partially supported by RFFI Grant 02-01-00572.

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>5</b> | <b>The generalization of the topological Brauer group</b>                                   | <b>35</b> |
| 5.1      | More about $H$ -spaces $B\mathcal{U}$ and $B\mathcal{PU}$ . . . . .                         | 35        |
| 5.2      | Reminder: the classical Brauer group . . . . .  | 35        |
| 5.3      | The generalized Brauer group . . . . .  | 37        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Appendix: The functor represented by the <math>H</math>-space <math>\text{Gr}</math></b> | <b>40</b> |
| 6.1      | Floating algebra bundles . . . . .  | 40        |
| 6.2      | Classifying spaces . . . . .  | 42        |
| 6.3      | A remark on frame spaces . . . . .  | 43        |
| 6.4      | Stabilization . . . . .   | 44        |
| 6.5      | The group structure . . . . .   | 45        |
| 6.6      | One interesting property of the core of a FAB . . . . .                                     | 46        |
| 6.7      | Localization . . . . .  | 47        |
| 6.8      | Relation between $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1$ and $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}$ -theory . . . . .   | 47        |
| 6.9      | A $\text{U}$ -version . . . . .   | 48        |

## Introduction

The aim of this paper is to introduce a homotopy functor  $\mathbf{GBr}$  which can be viewed as a generalization of the “topological” Brauer group  $\mathbf{Br}$ .

Suppose  $X$  is a finite  $CW$ -complex. The topological Brauer group  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  can be defined as the group of equivalence classes of locally-trivial bundles  $A_k$  over  $X$  with fibers  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  (for arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with respect to the following equivalence relation:

$$A_k \sim B_l \Leftrightarrow A_k \otimes \text{End}(\xi_m) \cong B_l \otimes \text{End}(\eta_n)$$

for some complex vector bundles  $\xi_m, \eta_n$  of rank  $m, n$  respectively (in particular,  $km = ln$ ). Roughly speaking, we can say that  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  is the group of obstructions to the lifting of locally trivial bundles  $A_k$  over  $X$  with fiber the matrix algebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  to bundles of the form  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$  for some locally trivial  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle  $\xi_k$  over  $X$ .

In the case of our generalized Brauer group  $\mathbf{GBr}$ , instead of bundles with fibers  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider bundles  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  whose fibers are “fans”  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ . More precisely, a fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is the union of all central subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  that are isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ . In a natural way one can define automorphisms of  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and equip the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$  of all automorphisms with an appropriate topology. To a given  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  one can associate a fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  as a unique  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -subbundle contained in  $A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l$ , where  $\widetilde{M}_l$  is the trivial  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle. Clearly, the assignment  $A_k \mapsto \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  can be extended to the functor  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  from the category of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles to the category of  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundles over  $X$ . It is easy to prove that the fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  is trivial iff  $A_k$  is a part of some FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  (see the Appendix).

The functor  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  determines the map of classifying spaces  $f_{k,l}: \text{BPU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BAut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$ , where  $\text{BAut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$  is the classifying space of the topological group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$ . We show that the fiber of  $f_{k,l}$  is the matrix Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  (see the Appendix). So we have the fibration

$$\text{Gr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{t_{k,l}} \text{BPU}(k) \xrightarrow{f_{k,l}} \text{BAut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l}),$$

where the map  $t_{k,l}$  is induced by the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{T}_{k,l}: (A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \mapsto A_k$  from the category of FABs to the category of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles (in other words,  $t_{k,l}$  is the classifying map for the core  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  of the tautological FAB over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  as a  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle).

Not every  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  has the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  for some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$ . Similarly to the usual Brauer group, we define  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  as the group of obstructions for the lifting of fan bundles  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  to bundles of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\xi_k))$ , where  $\xi_k$  is a vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle.

Compared to the classical case, a whole new step is added to the procedure of the lifting. At first, we have to lift locally trivial bundles  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  with fibers  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  to bundles of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  for some  $A_k$ . The second step essentially coincides with the lifting of bundles  $A_k$  to bundles of the form  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$  for some locally trivial bundle  $\xi_k$ , i.e. with the classical case.

According to a classical theorem of J.-P. Serre, there is an isomorphism  $\mathbf{Br}(X) \cong H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) [1]$ . In other words, all obstructions for the lifting of locally-trivial bundles  $A_k$  over  $X$  with fiber  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  to bundles of the form  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$  are independent of the higher-dimensional integer cohomology of dimensions greater than 3. In contrast to the classical case, the generalized Brauer group  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  actually depends on the higher-dimensional cohomology of  $X$ .

On the other hand, just as in the classical group  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ , any element of  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  has finite order (moreover, the order of the equivalence class represented by a fan bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  with fiber  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a power of  $k$ ).

**Acknowledgments** I would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for their kind support and generous hospitality while this work was being completed. Regretfully, I no longer have a chance to express my gratitude to A.N. Tyurin, who was the first to listen to a preliminary version of this work and whose kind support was very important to me. I am grateful to E.V. Troitsky for constant attention to this work and all-round support. My special thanks to E.L. Pervova for very helpful discussions concerning many aspects of the present research. A number of related questions were discussed with M.R. Bakuradze, Yu.B. Rudyak, and V.E. Voskressenski at Max Planck Institute and I would like to thank them too.

# 1 Fans of subalgebras

## 1.1 Definition of $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$

Let us fix two coprime integers  $k, l$ . By  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$  be the set of all central simple subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  that are isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ , and for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$  let  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  be the corresponding subalgebra.

**Definition 1.** The set of matrices

$$\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}} M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

is called a (*general*) *fan* of  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let us give another (equivalent) description of  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ .

Noether-Scolem's theorem claims that all subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  are conjugate to each other. In particular, any one of them is conjugate to the so-called “standard subalgebra”  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $E_l$  is the unit  $l \times l$ -matrix. Hence

$$\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l)g^{-1} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Consider the matrix Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} := \mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$  (there is a canonical bijection  $\mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} \cong \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$ , see the Appendix). Let  $\mathcal{A}'_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l}$  be the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l}$ . There is an important relation between the fan  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  and the bundle  $\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}$ . In order to describe it, note that  $\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}$  is equipped with the canonical embedding  $\mu'$  into the trivial bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}'_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & \mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} & \end{array}$$

where for any  $x \in \mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l}$  the fiber  $(\mathcal{A}'_{k,l})_x$  is embedded by  $\mu'$  into the fiber  $(\mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))_x = M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  as the central simple subalgebra corresponding to  $x$ . Consider the composite map

$$\mathcal{A}'_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\mu'} \mathrm{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{p_2} M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

(here  $p_2$  denotes the projection onto the second factor). Clearly,  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} = \mathrm{im}(p_2 \circ \mu') \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus, we have the canonical surjective map  $\pi' := p_2 \circ \mu' : \mathcal{A}'_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  such

that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}'_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & \text{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \mathbb{M}'_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Remark 2.* Notice the following property of fans. Consider the fans  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  and  $\mathbb{M}'_{l,k}$  as contained in the same algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then their intersection  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} \cap \mathbb{M}'_{l,k}$  coincides with the center  $\mathbb{C}E_{kl}$  of  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . This result can be derived from the assumption  $(k, l) = 1$  by using Jordan's normal form of matrices.

However, because  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  is a noncompact space, some problems arise when we deal with the general fan  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ . That is why we also consider a unitary version of fans.

Let  $\text{tr}: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the trace. Consider the standard Hermitian metric  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A\overline{B}^t)$  on  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . We say that a  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$  is *unitary* if there is a unitary transformation in  $\text{Aut}(M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  which takes the standard subalgebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l$  into the given one  $M_{k,\alpha}$ , i.e.  $M_{k,\alpha} = g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l)g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in \text{U}(kl) \subset \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_{k,l}$  be the set of all unitary  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 3.** The set of matrices in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathbb{M}_{k,l} := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{k,l}} M_{k,\alpha} = \bigcup_{g \in \text{U}(kl)} g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l)g^{-1} \subset \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$$

is called a (*unitary*) *fan*.

The defined above Hermitian metric on  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  can be restricted to the unitary fan and determines there a concordant family of Hermitian structures on subalgebras  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{k,l}$ .

*Remark 4.* Note that any  $g \in \text{U}(kl)$  determines a unitary isomorphism from  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l$  to the subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} = g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l)g^{-1}$ , i.e. it preserves the Hermitian structure. This follows from the chain of identities

$$\langle gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1} \rangle = \text{tr}(gAg^{-1}\overline{gBg^{-1}}^t) = \text{tr}(gA\overline{B}^t g^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A\overline{B}^t) = \langle A, B \rangle,$$

because for any  $g \in \text{U}(kl)$  we have  $g^{-1} = \overline{g}^t$ . We see that it is sufficient to define the Hermitian metric only on  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l$ , because it can be spreaded to the whole fan by  $\text{PU}(kl)$ -equivariance.

Let  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} := \text{PU}(kl) / \text{PU}(k) \otimes \text{PU}(l)$  be the “unitary” matrix Grassmannian. Then we have the canonical bijection  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k,l}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  be the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . In the unitary version there is also a closed relation between the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and the bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ . In

order to describe it observe that  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  is defined together with the canonical embedding  $\mu$  into the trivial bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Gr}_{k,l} & \end{array}$$

where for any  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  the corresponding fiber  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x$  is embedded by  $\mu$  into the fiber  $(\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))_x = M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  as a central simple subalgebra parametrized by  $x$ . Consider the composite map

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{p_2} M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

(here  $p_2$  is the projection onto the second factor). Obviously,  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} = \text{im}(p_2 \circ \mu) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus, we have the canonical surjective map  $\pi := p_2 \circ \mu: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Note that the bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  is equipped with the canonical Hermitian metric which is the restriction of the standard Hermitian metric from the trivial bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  to the subbundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ , moreover the map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is an isometry in the natural sense.

## 1.2 The topology and the algebraic structure on $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$

The fan  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is a subset in the Euclidean space  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . By  $\mathcal{O}'$  denote the induced topology on  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ . It follows immediately from the definition that  $\mathcal{O}'$  is the weakest topology on  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  such that the embedding  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} \hookrightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is continuous. Furthermore, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$  the embedding  $M_{k,\alpha} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is a continuous map.

The set  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is not a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, moreover, not even a vector space, in any natural sense. But for elements in the same  $k$ -subalgebra in  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  we have usual operations “ $\cdot$ ” and “ $+$ ”.

Since all  $k$ -subalgebras in  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  contain the identity element, their intersection includes the line  $\mathbb{C}E_{kl}$ . In particular, the vector space structures on different  $k$ -subalgebras are concordant to each other, because the multiplication by scalars is none other than the multiplication by the corresponding matrices from the center  $\mathbb{C}E_{kl} \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The intersection of all  $k$ -subalgebras in  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is just the center  $\mathbb{C}E_{kl} \subset \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ , where  $E_{kl}$  is the unit  $kl \times kl$ -matrix. In the previous notation,*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}} M_{k,\alpha} = \mathbb{C}E_{kl}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\bigcap_{\alpha} M_{k,\alpha}$  is a subalgebra in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ; moreover, it is invariant with respect to all automorphisms of the algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . The required assertion follows from the well-known decomposition of the adjoint representation  $Ad$  of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  into irreducible ones.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* Note that even  $(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l) \cap (\mathbb{C}E_l \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_k(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}E_{kl} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . It can be proved by a direct computation with matrices.

We consider the unitary fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  as a topological space with respect to the topology  $\mathcal{O}$  induced by the embedding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . All the mentioned results about general fan  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  can be transferred (after an appropriate modification) to the unitary fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ .

The proof of the following proposition is based on the specific property of the unitary case, precisely that the space  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is compact (in contrast with the general case, where  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  is a noncompact space).

**Proposition 7.** *The fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a closed subset in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X \in M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $X \notin \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Define the function  $d_X: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows: for any  $\alpha \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$   $d_X(\alpha) =$  “the distance between  $M_{k,\alpha}$  and  $X$ ” (here we consider  $M_{k,\alpha}$  as a vector subspace in the Euclidean space  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ). Clearly,  $d_X$  is continuous and everywhere positive. Since  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is a compact space, there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $d_X(\alpha) > \varepsilon \forall \alpha \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .  $\square$

Put  $\widehat{S} := S \cap \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , where  $S$  is the unit sphere in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $S(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{S^{2k^2-1}} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  be the spherical fibre bundle associated with  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ . Clearly,  $\widehat{S} = \pi(S(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})) \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ .

**Corollary 8.**  *$\widehat{S}$  is a compact subset in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\widehat{S}$  is simultaneously closed and bounded as a subset in the Euclidean space  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Automorphisms of fans

**Definition 9.** An *isomorphism of fans*  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}'_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is a *homeomorphism* of topological spaces  $\{\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}, \mathcal{O}'\}$  such that for any  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  the restriction  $\vartheta|_{M_{k,\alpha}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras isomorphism with some  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\beta} \subset \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$ ), i.e. for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$  the corresponding diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}'_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathbb{M}'_{k,l} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_{k,\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{k,\beta} \end{array}$$

commutes (here  $\beta = \bar{\vartheta}(\alpha)$ , where  $\bar{\vartheta}$  is the induced map on  $\mathbb{A}'_{k,l}$ ).

By  $\mathfrak{G}'_{k,l}$  denote the full group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}'_{k,l})$ .

Acting on  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , the group  $\text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  preserves the subset  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence we have a group homomorphism

$$\kappa'_{k,l}: \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}'_{k,l}.$$

**Proposition 10.** *The homomorphism  $\kappa'_{k,l}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $Z_{\text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})}(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l) = E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , where by  $Z$  we denote a centralizer and by  $E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$  we denote the image of the embedding

$$\text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}) \ni A \mapsto E_k \otimes A \in \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

induced by the Kronecker product of matrices. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1} = \{e\}.$$

It was proven in Proposition 5 that

$$\bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(\mathbb{C}E_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1} = \mathbb{C}E_{kl}.$$

Hence

$$\text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \cap \bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(\mathbb{C}E_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1} = \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{C}E_{kl} = \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl}.$$

Let us factorize the both sides by  $\mathbb{C}^*E_{kl}$ . Then the right-hand side is clearly equal to

$$(\text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{C}E_{kl}) / \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl} = \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl} / \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl} = \{e\}.$$

The input left-hand side is actually equal to

$$\bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{GL}_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1},$$

therefore when factorized by  $\mathbb{C}^*E_{kl}$ , it gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \{e\} &= \left( \bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{GL}_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1} \right) / \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl} = \bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{GL}_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1} / \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl} \\ &= \bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{GL}_l(\mathbb{C}) / \mathbb{C}^*E_{kl})g^{-1} = \bigcap_{g \in \text{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}))g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the desired equality.  $\square$

Consider the embedding  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ , which maps  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  isomorphically onto a  $k$ -subalgebra in  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ .

**Proposition 11.** *The embedding  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$  is functorial.*

*Proof.* Any automorphism  $\theta$  of a matrix  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  can be extended to the uniquely automorphism  $\tilde{\theta}$  of the whole algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\tilde{\theta}|_{Z(M_{k,\alpha})} = \text{id}_{Z(M_{k,\alpha})}$ , where  $Z(M_{k,\alpha}) \cong M_l(\mathbb{C})$  is the centralizer of the subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . The assignment  $\theta \mapsto \tilde{\theta}$  corresponds to the group homomorphism  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\dots \otimes E_l} \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus, we have the automorphism  $\vartheta = \kappa'_{k,l}(\tilde{\theta})$  of the fan  $\mathbb{M}'_{k,l}$ , where  $\kappa'_{k,l}: \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}'_{k,l}$  is the group homomorphism as above.  $\square$

Now consider the unitary version.



**Definition 12.** An *isomorphism of (unitary) fans*  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a *homeomorphism* of topological spaces  $\{\mathbb{M}_{k,l}, \mathcal{O}\}$  such that for any  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  the restriction  $\vartheta|_{M_{k,\alpha}}$  is a unitary (in the sense of the defined above Hermitian structure)  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras isomorphism with some  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\beta} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A}_{k,l}$ ), i.e. for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{k,l}$  the corresponding diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_{k,\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{k,\beta} \end{array}$$

commutes and the isomorphism  $M_{k,\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{k,\beta}$  is unitary (here  $\beta = \bar{\vartheta}(\alpha)$ , where  $\bar{\vartheta}$  is the induced map on  $\mathbb{A}_{k,l}$ ).

Note that since the restriction of the automorphism  $\vartheta$  to a subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a unitary map, we see that  $\vartheta$  preserves the subset  $\widehat{S} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ .

By  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  denote the full group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$ .

Acting on  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , the group  $\text{PU}(kl)$  preserves the subset  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , moreover,  $\text{PU}(kl)$  acts by unitary transformation. Hence we have the group homomorphism

$$\kappa_{k,l}: \text{PU}(kl) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}.$$

The following proposition is an analog of Proposition 10.

**Proposition 13.** *The homomorphism  $\kappa_{k,l}$  is injective.*

*Proof* is a slight modification of the proof of Proposition 10.  $\square$

Now let us define an appropriate topology on  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

Recall that  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is an Euclidean space, let  $\rho$  be the corresponding metric. Define the function  $d: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:  $d(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = \sup_{X \in \widehat{S}} \rho(\vartheta_1(X), \vartheta_2(X))$ ,  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

According to Corollary 8  $\widehat{S}$  is a compact space, therefore this function is well-defined. Notice the formula

$$\sup_{X \in \widehat{S}} \rho(\vartheta_1(X), \vartheta_2(X)) = \sup_{X \neq 0} \frac{\rho(\vartheta_1(X), \vartheta_2(X))}{\rho(X, X)}$$

(in the right-hand side  $X$  runs over all nonzero elements of the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ), which follows from the definition of a fan automorphism: by definition, the restriction of any  $\vartheta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l})$  to an arbitrary subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a linear map.

**Proposition 14.** *The function  $d$  is a metric on  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $d(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2) = 0$ , then  $\rho(\vartheta_1(X), \vartheta_2(X)) = 0 \forall X \in \widehat{S}$ . From the other hand, the automorphisms  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$  are uniquely determined by their restrictions to the set  $\widehat{S}$ .

The other properties of a metric can easily be checked.  $\square$

We shall consider the group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  as a topological group with respect to the topology of the metric space  $(\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}, d)$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a Hausdorff topological space.

**Proposition 15.** *The natural maps: multiplication  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2$ , inversion  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  and action  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$  are continuous.*

*Proof* is clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 16.** *The defined above map  $\kappa_{k,l}$  embeds  $\text{PU}(kl)$  into  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  as a topological subgroup (we consider the standard topology on  $\text{PU}(kl)$ ).*

*Proof.* Clearly, the induced topology on  $\text{PU}(kl) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  coincides with the uniform topology, but it is well known that the uniform topology coincides with the standard one.  $\square$

We see that the group  $\text{PU}(kl)$  can be considered as a topological subgroup in  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  consisting of all those automorphisms of the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  that are restrictions of unitary automorphisms of the matrix algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Remark 17.* Note that the group embedding  $\text{PU}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  corresponding to an unitary embedding  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is continuous. Indeed, it is the composite homomorphism  $\text{PU}(k) \xrightarrow{\dots \otimes E_l} \text{PU}(kl) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{k,l}} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  and the required assertion follows from the previous proposition.

*Remark 18.* It seems to be rather reliable that there is a group embedding  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G}'_{k,l}$ . Indeed, this result we shall prove below by means of some kind of bundles.

## 1.4 The space of $k$ -frames in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$

**Definition 19.** A  $k$ -frame in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is an ordered collection of  $k^2$  linearly independent matrices  $\{\alpha_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$  such that  $\alpha_{i,j} \alpha_{m,n} = \delta_{jm} \alpha_{i,n}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j, m, n \leq k$ , where  $\delta_{jm}$  is the Kronecker delta-symbol.

Clearly, every such  $k$ -frame is a basis in a certain (uniquely determined by the frame)  $k$ -subalgebra in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . We have the “standard”  $k$ -frame  $\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ , where  $e_{i,j} := E_{ij} \otimes E_l$  is the Kronecker product of a “matrix unit”  $E_{ij}$  of order  $k$  with the unit  $l \times l$  matrix  $E_l$ . It is a frame in the subalgebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_l \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Applying Noether-Scolem’s theorem, we see that all  $k$ -frames in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  are conjugate to each other. Thus, the set of  $k$ -frames in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the homogeneous space  $\text{Fr}'_{k,l} := \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})/E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$ . It is the total space of the following principal bundle

$$\text{Fr}'_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})} \text{Gr}'_{k,l}$$

over the matrix Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$ . The fiber of the bundle over  $x \in \text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  consists of all those  $k$ -frames that are contained in the  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,x} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  parametrized by  $x$ . The tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}$  over  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  is associated with this principal bundle.

There is also a unitary analog of this notion. Clearly, the set of all *unitary*  $k$ -frames in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the homogeneous space  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} := \text{PU}(kl)/E_k \otimes \text{PU}(l)$ . It is the total space of the following principal bundle

$$\text{Fr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\text{PU}(k)} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$$

over the matrix Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . The fiber of this bundle over  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  consists of all those *unitary*  $k$ -frames that are contained in the  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,x} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  parametrized by  $x$  (recall that points  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  correspond to unitary  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ). The tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is associated with this principal bundle.

*Remark 20.* Recall that the group  $\text{U}(n)$  ( $\text{PU}(n)$ ) is a strong deformation retract of the group  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  ( $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  respectively). This implies that there are homotopy equivalences  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \simeq \text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  and  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} \simeq \text{Fr}'_{k,l}$ .

## 1.5 The action $\nu_{k,l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$

The group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  acts upon  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and therefore upon the space of  $k$ -frames  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . We shall consider  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  as a topological space with respect to the standard topology of the homogeneous space  $\text{PU}(kl)/E_k \otimes \text{PU}(l)$ .

**Proposition 21.** *The natural map  $\nu_{k,l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We have  $k^2$  continuous maps  $\tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,  $1 \leq m, n \leq k$  which to any  $k$ -frame  $\{\alpha_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$  assign its  $m, n$ -component  $\alpha_{m,n} \in \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Clearly, the product map  $\tilde{\alpha} := \tilde{\alpha}_{1,1} \times \dots \times \tilde{\alpha}_{k,k}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2}$  is injective. Obviously, the topology on  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  coincides with the one induced by the inclusion  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})^{\times k^2}$ . Now the required assertion follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\nu_{k,l}} & \text{Fr}_{k,l} \\ \text{id}_{\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \times \tilde{\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} \\ \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2}, \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}^{\times k^2}$  is the diagonal action.  $\square$

*Remark 22.* Note that the action  $\nu_{k,l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  preserves the structure of the fiber bundle  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\text{PU}(k)} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Since the topology on  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  coincides with the quotient topology, the corresponding action  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is continuous. Furthermore, naturally acting on the direct product  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , the group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  preserves the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \subset \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Since the standard topology on  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  coincides with the topology induced by the natural embedding  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,

the induced action  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  is also continuous. Note that the diagram (in which the horizontal arrows are the considered actions)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \\ \text{id}_{\mathfrak{G}} \times \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

commutes by definition.

Suppose  $\text{St}(x) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is the stabilizer of  $x \in \text{Fr}_{k,l}$ , then  $\text{St}(y) = g\text{St}(x)g^{-1}$  for  $y = gx$ .

**Proposition 23.**

$$\bigcap_{y \in \text{Fr}_{k,l}} \text{St}(y) = \bigcap_{g \in \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} g\text{St}(x)g^{-1} = \{e\} \subset \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}.$$

*In other words, the action  $\nu_{k,l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is effective.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $h \in \bigcap_{g \in \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} g\text{St}(x)g^{-1}$ , then  $h$  keeps all points of  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  fixed  $\Rightarrow h$  keeps all  $k$ -frames in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  fixed  $\Rightarrow$  for any  $k$ -subalgebra  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  the restriction  $h|_{M_{k,\alpha}}$  is the identity homomorphism  $\text{id}_{M_{k,\alpha}} \Rightarrow h$  is the identity map of the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \Rightarrow h = e$ .  $\square$

Thus, the group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a group of homeomorphisms of  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ .

## 2 Fan bundles

In this section we study some properties of fan bundles of a special form and apply the obtained results to fans themselves. In particular, we construct a bifunctor generalizing the tensor product of matrix algebras.

*Starting from this section we shall denote the general and the unitary fan by the same symbol  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . The same is valid for the groups  $\mathfrak{G}'_{k,l}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ , the matrix Grassmannians  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$ ,  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ , and the tautological bundles  $\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  over them. As a rule, we deal with the general (nonunitary) case, but any obtained result has an obvious unitary analog. In order to obtain this analog one should equip any considered bundle with an Hermitian metric and take as morphisms only bundle maps preserving such a metric, in particular, one has to substitute the group  $\text{PU}(n)$  for  $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We shall not indicate a unitary analog if it is clear.*

### 2.1 Fan bundles associated with algebra bundles

Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex. Let  $A_k, C_l$  be bundles over  $X$  with fibers  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$  respectively,  $B_{kl} := A_k \otimes C_l$  their tensor product which is an  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle

over  $X$ . In this subsection we study fan bundles associated with algebra bundles of the form  $A_k \otimes C_l$ .

Consider the  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  over  $X$  associated with  $B_{kl}$ .

**Proposition 24.**  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  is isomorphic to the trivial bundle  $X \times \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

*Proof.* By  $\text{Prin}(B_{kl})$ ,  $\text{Prin}(A_k)$ ,  $\text{Prin}(C_l)$  denote the principal bundles corresponding to the bundles  $B_{kl}$ ,  $A_k$ ,  $C_l$ , respectively. We have the embedding  $\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l) \hookrightarrow \text{Prin}(B_{kl})$  corresponding to the morphism of bundles  $A_k \times C_l \xrightarrow{\otimes} B_{kl}$ . The groups  $\text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$  act freely upon the principal bundles  $\text{Prin}(B_{kl})$ ,  $\text{Prin}(A_k)$ ,  $\text{Prin}(C_l)$ , respectively. Moreover, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{Prin}(B_{kl}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Prin}(B_{kl}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})) \times (\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. the action is compatible with the embedding of the subbundles. Let  $\text{Prin}(B_{kl})/(\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l))$  be the homogeneous space of cosets  $\{g \cdot (\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l)) \mid g \in \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})\}$ . Consider  $\text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant map

$$\text{Prin}(B_{kl})/(\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l)) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})/(\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})),$$

$$g \cdot (\text{Prin}(A_k) \otimes \text{Prin}(C_l)) \mapsto g \cdot (\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})), \quad g \in \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Clearly, it gives us a trivialization of the bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ .  $\square$

Consider the sequence

$$A_k \mapsto A_k \otimes C_l \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l},$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$  is the functor which to an  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle assigns the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle.

As a corollary from Proposition 24 we get the following representation of the fan bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ . Let  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  be the section corresponding to the subbundle  $A_k \otimes 1_{C_l} \subset B_{kl}$ . The group  $\text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  acts naturally on  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  and therefore on the set of sections  $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  conjugate with  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, there is an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle in  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  corresponding to any such a section. We see that any  $k$ -subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  belongs to a unique such a subbundle, moreover, Proposition 24 establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the set of such subbundles and points of  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Notice that the bundle  $A_k$  is not uniquely (up to isomorphism) determined by  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ , because it also depends on the choice of  $\sigma$  (and consequently on the choice of the projection  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) = \text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  as in Proposition 24), see Remark 32.

In particular, we see that the structure of the set of such subbundles does not depend on the choice of  $C_l$ . Now the following proposition does not look suprisingly.

**Proposition 25.** *The isomorphism class of the bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(A_k \otimes C_l)$  does not depend on the choice of  $C_l$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $B_{kl} := A_k \otimes C_l$ ,  $B'_{kl} := A_k \otimes C'_l$ , where  $C'_l$  is some other  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$ . Consider the associated  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ -bundles  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$ ,  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl}) \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  be the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle. Note that it is a subbundle in

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \times_X B_{kl} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B_{kl}$$

whose restriction to any fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  of the bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  is the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  be the  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle which is complementary to the tautological one. Note that it is a subbundle in

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl}) \times_X B'_{kl} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B'_{kl}$$

such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B'_{kl}$  (where  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  is determined by  $B'_{kl}$  in the same way as  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  by  $B_{kl}$ ) and such that its restriction to any fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  of the bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  is the subbundle  $\mathcal{C}_{l,k} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  in  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})|_{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  complementary to the tautological one, i.e. such that  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{l,k} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . According to Proposition 24, we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong X \times \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl}).$$

Identify  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  with  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  by means of the  $\mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  taking the section  $\sigma$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  corresponding to the subbundle  $A_k \otimes 1_{C_l} \subset B_{kl}$  to the section  $\sigma'$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  corresponding to the subbundle  $A_k \otimes 1_{C'_l} \subset B'_{kl}$ . This enables us to consider  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k}$  as bundles over the same base  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ . Consider their tensor product  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k}$ . It has the following properties: first, for the section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  as above we have

$$\sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k}) = A_k \otimes C'_l = B'_{kl}$$

(here we use the identification  $A_k \otimes 1_{C_l} \subset B_{kl}$  with  $A_k \otimes 1_{C'_l} \subset B'_{kl}$ ); second, its restriction to any fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  is the trivial bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{l,k} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . There is the canonical map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \times_{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}} \mathcal{C}_{l,k} \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

given by the tensor product of matrix algebras, i.e.  $\varphi_x: (\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x \times (\mathcal{C}_{l,k})_x \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{l,k})_x = M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the canonical bilinear map, for any  $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . The map  $\varphi$  determines the canonical trivialization of  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{l,k}$ . Since the map  $\varphi$  is canonical, we see that it can be extended to bundles. For example, it defines the canonical maps

$$\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \times_{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{l,k} \rightarrow B_{kl}$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}': \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \times_{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k} \rightarrow B'_{kl}$$

( $\mathrm{im}(\tilde{\varphi}') = B'_{kl}$  because of  $\sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k}) = A_k \otimes C'_l = B'_{kl}$  as we have noticed above). This implies that we can identify  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k}$  with  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B'_{kl}$ .

Note that there is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B_{kl} \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & B_{kl} \end{array}$$

corresponding to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{M}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

after the restriction to a fiber of  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$  (here  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} := \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ ). From the other hand, it follows from the identification  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_{l,k} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B'_{kl}$  that there exists the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times B'_{kl} \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & B'_{kl}. \end{array}$$

This implies that  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) = \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$ .

Note that we also have constructed a canonical isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{A}}'_{k,l}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 26.* Let us give some remarks concerning to the proof. 1) First, let us clarify the role of a fixed section  $\sigma$ . Consider the matrix Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  with the bundles  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{l,k}$  over it. Suppose we have fixed a point  $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Suppose  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{l,k})_x$  (in particular,  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x$  is identified with the subalgebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $(\mathcal{C}_{l,k})_x$  with the subalgebra  $\mathbb{C}E_k \otimes M_l(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ). Then  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{l,k} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  and the decomposition  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{l,k})_x$  is fixed.

2) Note that the obtained result is closely related to the following fact: the fans  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \supset \mathbb{M}_{l,k}$  intersect only in the center  $\mathbb{C}E_{kl}$ . This implies that the fan bundles  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{l,k}(B_{kl})$  are actually independent of each other.

*Remark 27.* Note that actually we have proved that there is an isomorphism  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  taking the subbundle  $A_k \otimes 1_{C_l} \subset \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  to the subbundle  $A_k \otimes 1_{C'_l} \subset \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  (and covering the  $\mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}(B'_{kl})$  identifying the sections  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ ). Therefore we can choose

the bundle  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l)$ , where  $\widetilde{M}_l$  is a trivial  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$ , as a representative of the isomorphism class of fan bundles of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(A_k \otimes C_l)$  (where  $A_k$  is fixed, and  $C_l$  is a variable bundle). Note that this fan bundle is glued by the same  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ -cocycle as the algebra bundle  $A_k$  (we use the natural representation  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdots \otimes E_l} \mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ).

We have already defined the functor  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$  which to any  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_{kl}$  assigns the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle. This functor corresponds to the group embedding  $\mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . Now define the functor  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}: A_k \mapsto A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l \mapsto \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l)$  which to any  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  assigns the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ . Clearly, it corresponds to the composite map  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cdots \otimes E_l} \mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . Moreover, it follows from the previous results that any fan bundle of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(A_k \otimes C_l)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ .

**Corollary 28.** *For any  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_{kl}$  containing  $A_k$  as a matrix algebra subbundle (i.e. such that for any point  $x \in X$  the fiber  $(A_k)_x$  is a central simple subalgebra in  $(B_{kl})_x$ ) we have  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ .*

*Proof.* Any  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_{kl}$  containing  $A_k$  as above has the form  $A_k \otimes C_l$ , where  $C_l$  is the subbundle in  $B_{kl}$  consisting of the centralizers for fibers of  $A_k$ . It follows from Proposition 25 that  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ .  $\square$

Now let us introduce the following notation. Given an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  we denote by  $\Gamma(X; A_k)$  the algebra of its global sections. By  $\mathbf{GL}_l(A_k)$  denote the group  $\mathrm{GL}_l(\Gamma(X; A_k))$  of all invertible  $l \times l$ -matrices with entries from the ring  $\Gamma(X; A_k)$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{GL}_l(A_k) = \mathrm{GL}_1(M_l(\Gamma(X; A_k))) = \mathrm{GL}_1(\Gamma(X; A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l))$ . We have the group monomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}_1(\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_l(A_k), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha E_{kl},$$

identifying  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}))$  with the center of  $\mathbf{GL}_l(A_k)$ , where  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C})) = \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}^\times)$  and  $E_{kl}$  denotes the unit  $kl \times kl$ -matrix. By  $\mathbf{PGL}_l(A_k)$  denote the corresponding quotient group.

Clearly, there is a natural action of the group  $\mathbf{PGL}_l(A_k)$  on the fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  such that its restriction to any fiber (isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ) coincides with the natural action  $\mathrm{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Aut}(M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  upon the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . This shows that the fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{GL}_l(A_k)} g(A_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} E_l) g^{-1}.$$

Note that on fibers this representation coincides with the representation

$$\mathbb{M}_{k,l} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{GL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})} g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} E_l) g^{-1}.$$



**Corollary 29.** *Any automorphism  $\lambda: A_k \rightarrow A_k$  of the bundle  $A_k$  (not necessarily identity on the base) can be extended to a unique  $\mathbf{PGL}_l(A_k)$ -equivariant automorphism  $\tilde{\lambda}: \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  of the corresponding fan bundle.*

*Remark 30.* We mean that  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is  $\mathbf{PGL}_l(A_k)$ -equivariant with respect to the map  $\lambda_*: \Gamma(X; A_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(X; A_k)$  induced by  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* In the obvious notation  $\tilde{\lambda} = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\lambda \otimes \text{id}_{\tilde{M}_l})$ .  $\square$

Let us also describe the unitary analog. Suppose an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  is equipped with an Hermitian metric. By  $\mathbf{U}_l(A_k)$  denote the group  $\mathbf{U}_l(\Gamma(X; A_k))$  of unitary  $l \times l$ -matrices with entries from the ring  $\Gamma(X; A_k)$ . We have the group monomorphism

$$\mathbf{U}_1(\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}_l(A_k), \alpha \mapsto \alpha E_{kl}$$

identifying  $\mathbf{U}_1(\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}))$  with the center of  $\mathbf{U}_l(A_k)$ . By  $\mathbf{PU}_l(A_k)$  denote the corresponding quotient group.

Here by  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  denote the functor which to any  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle equipped with an Hermitian metric assigns the corresponding bundle with fiber the *unitary* fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Clearly, we have the natural action of the group  $\mathbf{PU}_l(A_k)$  on the bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  such that it coincides with the natural action of  $\text{PU}(kl)$  on the *unitary* fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  after the restriction to any fiber. This shows that the fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  has the form

$$\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{U}_l(A_k)} g(A_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} E_l) g^{-1},$$

which coincides with the representation

$$\mathbb{M}_{k,l} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{U}(kl)} g(M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} E_l) g^{-1}$$

on fibers.

There is also a unitary analog of Corollary 29.

**Corollary 31.** *Any unitary automorphism  $\lambda: A_k \rightarrow A_k$  of the bundle  $A_k$  (not necessarily identity on the base) can be extended to a unique  $\mathbf{PU}_l(A_k)$ -equivariant automorphism  $\tilde{\lambda}: \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  of the corresponding bundle of unitary fans.*

*Proof* is rather clear; we only note that Remark 4 is useful in it.  $\square$

*Remark 32.* One may ask a question: what happen if we replace the section (in the notation of Proposition 25)  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  by some other section  $\varsigma$  of the bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \rightarrow X$ ? Then, generally speaking,  $\varsigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}) = A'_k$  is not isomorphic to  $A_k = \sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$ . From the other hand, it is clear that  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A'_k) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) = \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$ . What is the relation between the bundles  $A_k$  and  $A'_k$ ? After study the stable theory we will see that the class of bundles obtained from  $A_k$  by taking the tensor product with

the core of an arbitrary FAB over  $X$  corresponds to the stable equivalence class of the bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ . In particular, suppose  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle. Obviously, the bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  is trivial if and only if  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \cong X \times \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  (as a bundle with structure group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ). In this case any core  $A_k$  of a FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  over  $X$  can be represented as  $A_k = \sigma^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  under an appropriate choice of  $\sigma$ .

In the next proposition we prove the mentioned fact.

**Proposition 33.** *The bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  is trivial if and only if  $A_k$  is the core of some FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ .*

*Remark 34.* Consider the assignment  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \mapsto A_k$  to a FAB its core as a functor which we denote by  $\mathbf{T}_{k,l}$ . We see that the functors  $\mathbf{T}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  determine the exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\text{isomorphism classes of FABs of the form } (A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})\} \\ & \mapsto \{\text{isomorphism classes of } M_k(\mathbb{C})\text{-bundles}\} \\ & \mapsto \{\text{isomorphism classes of } \mathbb{M}_{k,l}\text{-bundles}\} \end{aligned}$$

(all bundles are considered over the same base  $X$ ).

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \cong X \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . By  $\mu: A_k \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  denote the composite map  $A_k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \xrightarrow{\cong} X \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \subset X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . It is clear that the triple  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is a FAB over  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose  $A_k$  is the core of a FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ . Using Corollary 28 we see that  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \cong \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is a trivial bundle.  $\square$

## 2.2 The representation $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$

Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  be the group of fiberwise automorphisms of the  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  those are not necessarily identity on the base  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Recall that by  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  we denote the group of all automorphisms of the fan  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . In this subsection we show that there is an injective group homomorphism  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  and derive some simple consequences from this result.

**Proposition 35.** *Suppose  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  is a fan automorphism. Then there is a unique automorphism  $\widetilde{\vartheta}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  of the core of the tautological FAB over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  such that  $\widetilde{\vartheta}|_{(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x} = \vartheta|_{M_{k,x}}$  for any  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ , where  $M_{k,x}$  is the  $k$ -subalgebra in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  parametrized by  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Moreover, the assignment  $\vartheta \mapsto \widetilde{\vartheta}$  is a group homomorphism  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ .*

*Proof.* To a given  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  we assign the continuous map  $\bar{\vartheta}: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  such that  $\vartheta|_{M_{k,x}}: M_{k,x} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{k,\bar{\vartheta}(x)}$  for any  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Then  $\tilde{\vartheta}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  can be defined as a unique map such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta} \times \vartheta} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\vartheta}} & \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

commutes (the vertical arrows are the canonical embeddings). Obviously,  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  is a continuous map.  $\square$

Now recall that there is the canonical surjective map

$$\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$$

induced by the map  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{pt}$  and identifying  $M_{k,x}$  with  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_x$ .

**Proposition 36.** *Conversely, suppose  $\tilde{\vartheta}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  is an automorphism of the tautological bundle over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  induced by an automorphism  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  of the fan. Then  $\vartheta$  can be restored by  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  as a unique map  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\vartheta}} & \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{M}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

commutes.

*Proof* is clear.  $\square$

*Remark 37.* Note that the map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  canonically identifies the principal  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle associated with the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{p} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  with the space  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  of  $k$ -frames in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Furthermore, the map  $\tilde{\vartheta}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  induces a  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant map of the principal bundles  $\check{\vartheta}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  (covering the map  $\bar{\vartheta}: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  induced by  $\vartheta$  on the base). Clearly,  $\check{\vartheta}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is exactly the map of frame spaces corresponding to  $\vartheta: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ .

*Remark 38.* Note that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{p \times \pi} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow p_2 \\ & & \mathbb{M}_{k,l}, \end{array} \quad (3)$$

where  $p_2$  is the projection onto the second factor, determines the canonical trivialization on the trivial  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . We can equip the trivial bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  with

this trivialization:  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . Let us also observe the following analog of the previous diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Fr}}} & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \\ & \searrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Fr}} & \downarrow p_2 \\ & & \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

where  $p: \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  is the projection of the principal  $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ -bundle which is associated with tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  over  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Indeed, we have already noticed in Remark 37 that  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  canonically identifies the principal bundle  $\mathrm{Prin}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  with the frame space  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ .

*Remark 39.* Note that the proved results can be interpreted as follows. To a given  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ -bundle (i.e. with fiber  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ ) with the structure group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  over some base  $X$  the fiberwise application of the canonical map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  gives the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  such that the total space of the tautological bundle (in the previous notation)  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  over  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  coincides with the input  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ -bundle. The same is true in the reverse order. Note also that since the map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  canonically identifies the principal  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  associated with  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  with the space  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$  of  $k$ -frames in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , we see that the total space of the principal  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle associated with  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  coincides with the frame space of the bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ .

Note that there is a unitary version of the proved results. For example, in the notation of Section 1 instead of  $\mathbf{PGL}_l(\mathcal{A}'_{k,l})$ -equivariant maps we use  $\mathbf{PU}_l(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ -equivariant ones.

### 3 The classifying space of $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundles

In this section we consider only the unitary version. We assume that any bundle is equipped with an Hermitian metric which is preserved by morphisms. The notation coincides with the notation of Section 1.

#### 3.1 Fibre bundles associated with fan bundles

To an arbitrary  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  over  $X$  (not necessarily of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  or even of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ ) we can associate the fiber bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  over  $X$  with fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Note that in the general case  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  is not isomorphic to the product bundle  $X \times \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  as it was in the case of bundles of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  be the core of the tautological FAB over  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ . We have the canonical  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  over  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  which is the tautological subbundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \subset$

$\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  (in particular, for any fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  of the bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  the restriction  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}|_{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ).

Note that the bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  can also be obtained in the following way. Recall that there is the natural representation  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  and we can glue an  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ -bundle using the  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -cocycle of  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ . By  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}'_{k,l}$  denote the obtained  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ -bundle over  $X$ . Clearly, we can identify  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}'_{k,l}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$ .

**Proposition 40.** *The pullback  $p^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  has the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, the pullback  $p^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  is the fibered product  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ ; in particular,  $p^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  contains  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  as an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle. Note that the bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  can be obtained from  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}$  by applying the canonical surjective map  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  whose restriction to a fiber coincides with the canonical map  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . This is clear from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & \swarrow p_2 & \\ \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} & & \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Let  $\tilde{M}_l$  be a trivial  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$ ; consider the tensor product  $\tilde{B}_{kl} := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{M}_l$  and the corresponding fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}) = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\tilde{B}_{kl})$ . For this bundle there also exists the canonical embedding

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\tilde{B}_{kl})$$

whose restriction to any fiber of the fiber bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow X$  coincides with the embedding  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ , where  $B_{kl} = \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{M}_l$  is the restriction of  $\tilde{B}_{kl}$  to the fiber (in the last formula  $\tilde{M}_l$  denotes the trivial bundle over  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ ). According to Proposition 33 the fan bundle  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  is trivial. Moreover, the bundle  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  has the canonical trivialization  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  determined by the requirement that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{i=p \times \pi} & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow p_2 \\ & & \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

commutes. Now arguing as in Remark 39 we see that the trivialization  $\lambda$  can be extended to the isomorphism of fan bundles  $\tilde{\lambda}: \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\tilde{B}_{kl}) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i} = \tilde{p} \times_X \tilde{\pi}} & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \\ & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \downarrow p_2 \\ & & \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

commutes (here  $\tilde{p}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  is the bundle projection).

If the previous argument does not look reliable we give another reasoning.

Note that the assignment  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \mapsto \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  is functorial (as a composition of functors  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \otimes \tilde{M}_l = B_{kl} \mapsto \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$ ), and the same is true for the map  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{p \times \pi} \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  (this follows from the results of Subsection 2.2). Therefore the trivialization  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \cong \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  (see Remark 38) is preserved by the structure group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  of the bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \rightarrow X$  with fiber  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  and therefore  $\lambda$  can be lifted to the global isomorphism  $\tilde{\lambda}: \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(\tilde{B}_{kl}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \times_X \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ .

Note that according to Proposition 25 instead of the trivial bundle  $\tilde{M}_l$  we could take an arbitrary  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $C_l$  over  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$ .  $\square$

To a given  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  over  $X$  one can ask the question: is there an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  over  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ ?

**Proposition 41.** *An  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  over  $X$  has the form  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  for some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  if and only if the fiber bundle  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  has a section  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the previous proposition that  $p^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$ . Consequently, to a given section  $\sigma$  we can take  $A_k = \sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$ . Indeed,  $p \circ \sigma = \text{id}_X$ , hence  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = (\sigma^* \circ p^*)(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) = \sigma^*(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  (here we have used the obvious fact that the functor  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  commutes with the pullback).

Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ , then  $A_k$  is a  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle in  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ . Clearly, any such a subbundle determines a section of  $p$ .  $\square$

By comparison of the previous proposition with Proposition 24 we get the following result.

**Corollary 42.** *The following conditions on  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  has the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  for some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$ ;
- (ii) the fiber bundle  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  has a section;
- (iii)  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  is trivial.

*Remark 43.* In the case  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  the unexpected implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) of the corollary is clear from the following arguments. Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl})$  and assume that  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  has a section  $\sigma$ . Clearly,  $\sigma$  determines a  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle  $A_k \subset B_{kl}$ . Then  $B_{kl} = A_k \otimes C_l$  (the subbundle  $C_l \subset B_{kl}$  is the centralizer of  $A_k \subset B_{kl}$ ). Now according to Proposition 24 the bundle  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  is trivial.

To a given  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  over  $X$  let  $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} X$  be the corresponding fiber bundle of  $k$ -frames over  $X$ .

**Proposition 44.** *An  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  is trivial if and only if the fiber bundle  $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} X$  has a section  $\hat{\sigma}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following three fibrations:  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{p} X$  with fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ ,  $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} X$  with fiber  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ , and  $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  with fiber  $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ . Clearly, the lifting  $\tilde{p}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$  is a trivial  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\tilde{M}_k$  over  $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$ . Furthermore, note that the bundle  $\hat{p}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  has the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{p}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}))$ .

Suppose  $\hat{p}$  has a section  $\hat{\sigma}$ ; then  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\hat{\sigma}^*(\tilde{M}_k))$  is a trivial bundle. The converse implication is clear.  $\square$

*Remark 45.* Note that the existence of a section  $\hat{\sigma}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  of  $\hat{p}$  does not imply that every section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l})$  can be extended to a section of  $\hat{p}: \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow X$ . Indeed,  $\sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l})$  is an arbitrary FAB of the form  $(A_k, \mu, \tilde{M}_{kl})$  over  $X$  whose core  $A_k$  is not necessarily trivial.

### 3.2 An exact sequence of functors

Let  $B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  be the classifying space of the topological group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (recall that we consider the unitary version). Consider the universal  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$  over  $B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  which is glued by the universal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -cocycle. Let us associate the  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  with  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$  ( $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  is glued by the same cocycle by using the natural action  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ ); by  $p_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  denote its projection. Consider the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}, \quad (5)$$

over  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  whose restriction to any fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  of the bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \xrightarrow{p_{k,l}} B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  over the matrix Grassmannian. Let  $\tilde{\pi}_{k,l}: \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$  be the composition of the embedding (5) with the projection onto the second factor

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}.$$

Note that the restriction of  $\tilde{\pi}_{k,l}$  to a fiber  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  coincides with the canonical map  $\pi_{k,l}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , in particular,  $\tilde{\pi}_{k,l}$  is surjective.

By definition, we have  $p_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) = \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$ ; from the other hand, according to Proposition 40, we have  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ})$ . Hence the map  $p_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a classifying map for the bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ})$  as an  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle.

Let  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  be a classifying map for  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}$  as an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle (note that the restriction of the map  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}$  to any fiber coincides with  $t_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  which is a classifying map for  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ ). Let  $f_{k,l}: \mathrm{BPU}(k) \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  be a classifying map for the  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$ , where  $A_k^{univ}$  is the universal  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ .

**Proposition 46.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}_{k,l}} & \mathrm{BPU}(k) \\ p_{k,l} \downarrow & \swarrow f_{k,l} & \\ \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} & & \end{array}$$

*consisting of the classifying maps is commutative (up to homotopy).*

*Proof.* It follows from  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}$  that  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}^*(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ})$ . Hence  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}^*(f_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}) = p_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ .  $\square$

Now let us prove the following main theorem.

**Theorem 47.** *The map  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* We shall prove the following assertion which is equivalent to theorem's statement. Suppose  $X$  is a finite  $CW$ -complex, then the map  $\tilde{t}_{k,l*}: [X; \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})] \rightarrow [X; \mathrm{BPU}(k)]$  is a bijection.

Let  $A_k$  be an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$ . Consider the  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k))$  which is the base of the tautological bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)) \times_X \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ . Note that the subbundle  $A_k \subset \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  determines a section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k))$  such that  $\sigma^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}) = A_k$ .

Now suppose  $\bar{\varphi}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a classifying map for  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ , then we also have the map  $\psi: \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

commutes. Applying the functor  $\mathrm{Gr}$  to  $\psi$ , we get the map  $\mathrm{Gr}(\psi): \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ . Then  $(\mathrm{Gr}(\psi) \circ \sigma)^*(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}) = A_k$ , i.e.  $\varphi := \mathrm{Gr}(\psi) \circ \sigma$  is a classifying map for  $A_k$ , and therefore  $\tilde{t}_{k,l*}: [X; \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})] \rightarrow [X; \mathrm{BPU}(k)]$  is surjective.

Now let us prove that the map  $\tilde{t}_{k,l*}: [X; \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})] \rightarrow [X; \mathrm{BPU}(k)]$  is injective. It requires some preparation.

Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  be a classifying map for a bundle  $A_k$  over  $X$ . Then we have the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \end{array}$$

(the vertical arrows are the projections of bundles). The map  $\tilde{\varphi}$  can be extended to a map of fan bundles  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\varphi}): \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ})$ . According to Proposition 40 we



have  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}) = p_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ , i.e. we have the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{k,l}} & \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) & \xrightarrow{p_{k,l}} & \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}. \end{array}$$

Consider the compositions  $\psi := \tilde{p}_{k,l} \circ \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\varphi}): \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$  and  $\bar{\varphi} := p_{k,l} \circ \varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . Clearly,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a classifying map for  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  as an  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

is a pullback diagram.

We stress that by definition the map  $\psi$  can be lifted to the map  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\varphi}): \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ})$  whose restriction to the subbundle  $A_k \subset \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$  (clearly, we can consider  $A_k$  as a subbundle in  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ ) coincides with the map  $\tilde{\varphi}: A_k \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}$  covering the classifying map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ .

Now we can conclude theorem's prove. Suppose there are two classifying maps  $\varphi_i: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  for the bundle  $A_k$  over  $X$ ; we have to prove that they are homotopic to each other. Since the corresponding maps  $\bar{\varphi}_i: X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  are classifying maps for  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is the classifying space for locally trivial bundles with fiber  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , we see that the maps  $\bar{\varphi}_0$  and  $\bar{\varphi}_1$  are homotopic. Let  $\bar{\Phi}: X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  be a homotopy between them. Let  $p_1: X \times I \rightarrow X$  be the projection onto the first factor. By  $\hat{A}_k$  denote the bundle  $p_1^*(A_k)$  over  $X \times I$ . Consider the corresponding pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\hat{A}_k) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} & \mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}. \end{array}$$

Note that its restrictions to  $X \times \{0\}$  and  $X \times \{1\} \subset X \times I$  coincide with the corresponding diagrams (6) for  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Now in order to obtain a homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$  it is sufficient to apply the functor  $\mathrm{Gr}$  to the map  $\Psi$ . Indeed, suppose  $\hat{\sigma}: X \times I \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\hat{A}_k))$  is the section corresponding to the subbundle  $\hat{A}_k \subset \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(\hat{A}_k)$ , then  $\Phi := \mathrm{Gr}(\Psi) \circ \hat{\sigma}: X \times I \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  determines the required homotopy.  $\square$

*Remark 48.* Note that the homotopy equivalence  $\tilde{t}_{k,l}$  identifies the universal  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k^{univ}$  over  $\mathrm{BPU}(k)$  with the tautological bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k,l}^{univ}$  over  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$ . In particular, the bundle  $A_k^{univ}$  is glued by means of the universal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -cocycle over  $\mathbf{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  by using the natural action  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ .

Consider the sequence

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{t_{k,l}} \mathrm{BPU}(k) \xrightarrow{f_{k,l}} \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}, \quad (7)$$

where  $t_{k,l}$  denotes a classifying map for the core  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  of the canonical FAB over  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  as a  $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ -bundle.

**Corollary 49.** *Sequence (7) is a fibration.*

*Proof* follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{BPU}(k) & \\ \nearrow t_{k,l} & \uparrow \tilde{t}_{k,l} & \searrow f_{k,l} \\ \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} & & \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \\ \searrow i_{k,l} & \downarrow p_{k,l} & \\ & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) & \end{array}$$

where  $i_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  is the embedding of a fiber of the bundle  $p_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 50.* In Remark 34 we have already noticed that there is the exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \text{isomorphism classes of FABs of the form } (A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \} \\ & \mapsto \{ \text{isomorphism classes of } M_k(\mathbb{C})\text{-bundles} \} \\ & \mapsto \{ \text{isomorphism classes of } \mathbb{M}_{k,l}\text{-bundles} \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is induced by the functors  $\mathbf{T}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  (where the functor  $\mathbf{T}_{k,l}$  to any FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  assigns the  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$ ). Since the matrix Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$  is a classifying space for FABs of the form  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  (at least over finite  $CW$ -complexes of dimension  $< 2kl$ , see the Appendix), and  $\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ ,  $\mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  are classifying spaces for locally trivial bundles with fiber  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  respectively, we see that fibration (7) corresponds to this exact sequence.

**Corollary 51.** *There is a weak homotopy equivalence between the topological group  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  and the frame space  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ .*

*Proof* follows from the fact that  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$  is a fiber of the fibration  $t_{k,l}: \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 52.* In particular, we see that  $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is actually the path fibration over  $\mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (here  $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ})$  is the frame fibration associated with the universal  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ).

### 3.3 The tensor product of fans

It is well known that there is the tensor product of matrix algebras  $\otimes: (M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_m(\mathbb{C})) \mapsto M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C}) = M_{km}(\mathbb{C})$  which is a bifunctor. It determines the map of classifying spaces  $\mu_{k,m}: \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(m) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(km)$  which is a classifying map for  $A_k^{\text{univ}} \boxtimes A_m^{\text{univ}}$  as an  $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle,  $A_k^{\text{univ}} \boxtimes A_m^{\text{univ}} = \mu_{k,m}^*(A_{km}^{\text{univ}})$  and therefore  $\mu_{k,m}$  is unique up to homotopy.

Suppose  $(km, ln) = 1$ . In this subsection we show that there is the bifunctor  $\widehat{\otimes}: (\mathbb{M}_{k,l}, \mathbb{M}_{m,n}) \mapsto \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{M}_{m,n} = \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$  generalizing the usual tensor product of matrix algebras. We prove this result by constructing an appropriate map of classifying spaces  $\text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$ .

Recall that  $\text{BPU}(k) \cong \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}})$  is the total space of the fiber bundle  $f_{k,l}: \text{BPU}(k) \rightarrow \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  with fiber  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

**Proposition 53.** *The map  $\mu_{k,m}: \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(m) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(km)$  is fiberwise, i.e. it induces the map  $\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}: \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(m) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{k,m}} & \text{BPU}(km) \\ \downarrow f_{k,l} \times f_{m,n} & & \downarrow f_{km,ln} \\ \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}} & \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln} \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* First recall that  $\text{BPU}(k) \cong \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}})$  and  $f_{k,l}$  coincides with the bundle projection  $p_{k,l}: \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  under this identification. Secondly recall that the  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$  coincides with the pullback  $p_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) = \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) \times_{\text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}$  under this identification.

Obviously,

$$\mu_{k,m}^*(\mathbf{F}_{km,ln}(A_{km}^{\text{univ}})) = \mathbf{F}_{km,ln}(A_k^{\text{univ}} \boxtimes A_m^{\text{univ}}). \quad (8)$$

Consider the restriction  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})|_{\text{Gr}_{k,l}} \cong \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ . It follows easily from (8) that the restriction of  $\mu_{k,m}$  to a fiber  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{m,n}$  of the fibration  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) \times \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{m,n}$  is the map  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{m,n} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{km,ln}$  induced by the tensor product  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} M_{klmn}(\mathbb{C})$ . In particular, the map  $\mu_{k,m}$  is fiberwise.

We can give another version of the proof using principal bundles. Indeed, consider  $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) \times_{\text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}})$ . It is exactly the frame fibration associated with  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) = p_{k,l}^*(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) = \text{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}) \times_{\text{B } \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{\text{univ}}$ . Hence it can also be written as  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_l)$  in natural notation. The map  $\mu_{k,m}$  is covered by the bundle map  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_l) \times \text{Fr}_{m,n}(A_m^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_n) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{km,ln}(A_{km}^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{ln})$  which is induced by the tensor product  $(A_k^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_l) \times (A_m^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_n) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} A_{km}^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{ln}$ . Now observe that after the identification

$\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) = \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ} \otimes \widetilde{M}_l)$ , etc, this map induces the fiberwise map

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{km,ln}^{univ})$$

whose restriction to any fiber coincides with the natural map  $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{km,ln}$  induced by the tensor product of algebras  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} M_{klmn}(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

It follows from the proof of the previous proposition that the map

$$\mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times \mathbf{F}_{m,n}(A_m^{univ}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{km,ln}(A_{km}^{univ})$$

preserves the structure of fiber product, i.e. it is the fiberwise map

$$(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}) \times (\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n}} \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{A}_{km,ln}^{univ}) \times_{B\mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}} \mathfrak{A}_{km,ln}^{univ}.$$

Hence we have the map  $\tilde{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}: \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \times \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{km,ln}^{univ}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \times \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}} & \mathfrak{A}_{km,ln}^{univ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}} & B\mathfrak{G}_{km,ln} \end{array}$$

commutes. The map  $\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}$  is a classifying map for some  $\mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ -bundle over  $B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n}$  which we denote by  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ}$  and we have the canonical map

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \times \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_{m,n}^{univ}$$

of bundles over  $B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n}$  which is identity on the base. Because of the universality of  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{univ}$ , there is the bifunctor  $\widehat{\otimes}$  which assigns to a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}, \mathfrak{B}_{m,n})$  consisting of an  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$  and an  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{B}_{m,n}$  over a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$  some  $\mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}_{m,n}$  over  $X$ .

It is reasonable to assume that the map  $\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}: B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$  is induced by the functor  $\widehat{\otimes}: (\mathbb{M}_{k,l}, \mathbb{M}_{m,n}) \mapsto \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ ; in particular, the map  $B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$  is induced by some group homomorphism  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$ .

*Remark 54.* Recall that in Corollary 51 we proved the homotopy equivalence  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \simeq \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ . It follows easily that the homotopy class of the map  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$  corresponding to  $\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}$  coincides with the class of the map of frame spaces  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{km,ln}$  induced by the tensor product of algebras  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{mn}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} M_{klmn}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let us summarize the basic result in the following theorem.

**Theorem 55.** *There is a functor which to any pair  $(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}, \mathfrak{B}_{m,n})$  consisting of an  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and an  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ -bundle over  $X$  assigns the  $\mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ -bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}_{m,n}$  over the same base. This functor corresponds to the map  $\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}: B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times B\mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}$  of classifying spaces.*

Note that for three bundles  $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_{m,n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_{r,s}$  such that  $(kmr, lns) = 1$  we have

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}_{m,n}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_{r,s} \cong \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathfrak{B}_{m,n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_{r,s}).$$

Now we want to show that the tensor product of fans is in a certain sense concordant with the tensor product of algebras.

Recall that we defined two functors  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$  which correspond to the assignments  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ , respectively.

It easily follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{BPU}(k) \times \mathrm{BPU}(m) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{k,m}} & \mathrm{BPU}(km) \\ f_{k,l} \times f_{m,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{km,ln} \\ \mathrm{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{B} \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n}} & \mathrm{B} \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln} \end{array}$$

that the functor  $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$  assigning to any  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle an  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle preserves the tensor product, i.e.  $\mathbf{F}_{km,ln}(A_k \otimes A'_m) = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}(A_k) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{m,n}(A'_m)$ . The same is true for the functor  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$  which to any  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle assigns the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle:  $\mathbf{R}_{km,ln}(B_{kl} \otimes B'_{mn}) = \mathbf{R}_{k,l}(B_{kl}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{R}_{m,n}(B'_{mn})$ .

*Remark 56.* In this remark we discuss the image  $\widehat{\otimes}: \mathbb{M}_{k,l} \times \mathbb{M}_{m,n} \subset \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ . By  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{m,n} \subset \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$  denote the proper subset obtaining by the tensor product of all possible  $k$  and  $m$ -subalgebras contained in  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ , respectively.

There is the natural map  $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}_{k,l,m,n}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{km,ln}$  covering the classifying map  $\lambda: \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{km,ln}$  induced by the tensor product of matrix algebras  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ . Consider the composite map

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} \mathcal{A}_{km,ln} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{M}_{km,ln},$$

where  $\pi: \mathcal{A}_{km,ln} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$  is the canonical projection as above. Clearly, the image  $\mathrm{im}(\pi \circ \tilde{\lambda})$  coincides with the subset  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{m,n} \subset \mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ .

## 4 The stable theory of $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundles

### 4.1 A stable equivalence relation

Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex,  $k, l, m, n$  positive integers greater than 1 such that  $(km, ln) = 1$ . We proved in the previous section that the tensor product of fan bundles with fibers  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$  is a well-defined fan bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{M}_{km,ln}$ .

By  $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k,l}$  denote a trivial  $\mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ -bundle over  $X$ .

**Definition 57.** Two fan bundles  $\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s}$  over  $X$  are said to be *stable equivalent* if there are positive integers  $t, u, v, w$  such that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k^t, l^u} \cong \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k^v, l^w}$$

(of course,  $m + t = r + v$ ,  $n + u = s + w$ ).

By  $[\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}]$  denote the stable equivalence class of  $\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}$ .

By  $\text{Fan}^{k, l}(X)$  denote the set of stable equivalence classes of fan bundles over  $X$  with fibers of the form  $\mathbb{M}_{k^m, l^n}$  (for arbitrary  $m, n$ ).

Define the map  $B \iota_{k, l; m, n}: B \mathfrak{G}_{k, l} \rightarrow B \mathfrak{G}_{km, ln}$  as the composition  $B \mathfrak{G}_{k, l} \times \{*\} \hookrightarrow B \mathfrak{G}_{k, l} \times B \mathfrak{G}_{m, n} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k, l; m, n}} B \mathfrak{G}_{km, ln}$ , where  $*$  is a marked point in  $B \mathfrak{G}_{m, n}$ . Clearly,  $(B \iota_{k, l; m, n})^*(\mathfrak{A}_{km, ln}^{univ}) = \mathfrak{A}_{k, l}^{univ} \widehat{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{m, n}$ .

By  $B \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  denote the direct limit  $\varinjlim_n B \mathfrak{G}_{k^n, l^n}$ . Notice that  $\mathfrak{G}_{k, l} \simeq \Omega(B \mathfrak{G}_{k, l})$  and let  $\iota_{k, l; m, n}: \mathfrak{G}_{k, l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{km, ln}$  be the map corresponding to  $B \iota_{k, l; m, n}$ . Thus, we also have the direct limit  $\varinjlim_n \mathfrak{G}_{k^n, l^n}$  denoted by  $\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ .

By  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  denote the direct limit  $\varinjlim_n \text{Fr}_{k^n, l^n}$  with respect to the following maps  $\alpha_n$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{PU}(l^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{E_{k^{n+1}} \otimes \dots} & \text{PU}((kl)^{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fr}_{k^{n+1}, l^{n+1}} \\ \dots \otimes E_l \uparrow & & \uparrow E_k \otimes \dots \otimes E_l & & \uparrow \alpha_{n+1} \\ \text{PU}(l^n) & \xrightarrow{E_{k^n} \otimes \dots} & \text{PU}((kl)^n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fr}_{k^n, l^n} \end{array}$$

(the rows are fibrations).

**Proposition 58.** *The homotopy groups of the space  $B \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  are following:  $\pi_r(B \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}) = \varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/k^n \mathbb{Z}$  for even  $r$  and 0 otherwise.*

*Proof.* One can easily verify that in the stable dimensions  $r$   $\pi_r(\text{Fr}_{k^n, l^n}) = \mathbb{Z}/k^n \mathbb{Z}$  for odd  $r \geq 1$  and 0 otherwise. Furthermore, it follows from the above diagram that  $\alpha_{n+1}$  induces the embedding

$$\pi_{2s-1}(\text{Fr}_{k^n, l^n}) \hookrightarrow \pi_{2s-1}(\text{Fr}_{k^{n+1}, l^{n+1}}), \quad \mathbb{Z}/k^n \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

Hence  $\pi_r(\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}) = \varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/k^n \mathbb{Z}$  for odd  $r$  and 0 otherwise.

It follows from Corollary 51 that the spaces  $\mathfrak{G}_{k, l}$  and  $\text{Fr}_{k, l}$  are weakly homotopy equivalent. Moreover, it is clear that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fr}_{k^{n+1}, l^{n+1}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{G}_{k^{n+1}, l^{n+1}} \\ \alpha_{n+1} \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_{n+1} \\ \text{Fr}_{k^n, l^n} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{G}_{k^n, l^n} \end{array}$$

commutes, where we set  $\iota_{n+1} := \iota_{k^n, l^n; k, l}: \mathfrak{G}_{k^n, l^n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k^{n+1}, l^{n+1}}$ . Now the equivalence  $\Omega(B \mathfrak{G}_{k, l}) = \mathfrak{G}_{k, l}$  completes the proof.  $\square$

We can simplify our notation with the help of the following lemma.

**Lemma 59.** The homotopy type of the space  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  does not depend on the choice of  $l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  is a fiber of the map

$$\text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty} \xrightarrow{t_{k^\infty, l^\infty}} \text{BPU}(k^\infty), \quad (9)$$

where  $t_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  is a classifying map for the core  $\mathcal{A}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  of the universal FAB over  $\text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ . But the homotopy type of  $\text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  does not depend on the choice of  $k, l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ , moreover,  $\text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty} \simeq \text{BSU}$ . The map  $t_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  actually does not depend on  $l$ , because for  $k, l, m$ ,  $(k, lm) = 1$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Gr}_{k^\infty, (lm)^\infty} & & \\ & \nearrow \simeq & \downarrow t_{k^\infty, (lm)^\infty} & \nwarrow \simeq & \\ \text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty} & & & & \text{Gr}_{k^\infty, m^\infty} \\ & \searrow t_{k^\infty, l^\infty} & & \swarrow t_{k^\infty, m^\infty} & \\ & & \text{BPU}(k^\infty) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus, we see that  $\text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  and  $t_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  in (9) do not depend on  $l$  under the condition  $(l, k) = 1$ , and therefore this is also true for the fiber  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 60.* Another proof of the previous lemma can be obtained in the following way. Suppose  $(k, lm) = 1$ , then the maps in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Fr}_{k, lm} & \\ \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\ \text{Fr}_{k, l} & & \text{Fr}_{k, m} \end{array} \quad (10)$$

are homotopy equivalences in small dimensions. Thus,  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty} \simeq \text{Fr}_{k^\infty, (lm)^\infty} \simeq \text{Fr}_{k^\infty, m^\infty}$ .

**Corollary 61.** *The homotopy types of the spaces  $\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ ,  $\text{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$  do not depend on the choice of  $l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ .*

*Proof* follows from the previous lemma and Corollary 51.  $\square$

Therefore we can omit  $l$  in notation  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ , and etc.

The proof of the following theorem is standard.

**Theorem 62.** *The functor  $X \mapsto \text{Fan}^{k, l}(X)$  is represented by the space  $\text{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}$ .*

**Corollary 63.** *The functors  $X \mapsto \text{Fan}^{k, l}(X)$  corresponding to different  $l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  are naturally equivalent to each other.*

Thus, we can write just  $\text{Fan}^k(X)$  instead of  $\text{Fan}^{k, l}(X)$ .

Define the *product* of two stable equivalence classes  $[\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}]$ ,  $[\mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s}]$  over  $X$  as

$$[\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}] \cdot [\mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s}] := [\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s}].$$

Clearly, the product is well defined.

**Lemma 64.** The order of any stable equivalence class of fan bundles over a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$  with respect to the above-defined operation is finite, i.e. there is  $w \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n}]^{k^w}$  is the stable equivalence class of a trivial bundle.

*Proof* follows from Theorem 62 and the fact that  $\pi_r(\mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}) = \varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/k^n \mathbb{Z}$  for even  $r$  and 0 otherwise.  $\square$

It follows from the previous lemma that any stable equivalence class of fan bundles is invertible. Recall that we have already noticed that for  $(kmr, lns) = 1$  we have

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{B}_{m,n}) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_{r,s} \cong \mathfrak{A}_{k,l} \hat{\otimes} (\mathfrak{B}_{m,n} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{C}_{r,s}),$$

i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \times \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{r,s} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k,l;m,n} \times \text{id}} & \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln} \times \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{r,s} \\ \text{id} \times \bar{\mu}_{m,n;r,s} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\mu}_{km,ln;r,s} \\ \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{mr,ns} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_{k,l;mr,ns}} & \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{kmr,lns} \end{array}$$

commutes.

**Corollary 65.** *The product of stable equivalence classes induces a structure of Abelian group on the set  $\text{Fan}^k(X)$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}$  is an  $H$ -space (with an inversion).*

*Remark 66.* Note that the product on the  $H$ -space  $\mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}$  is defined by the maps

$$\bar{\mu}_{k^m, l^n, k^r, l^s} : \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^m, l^n} \times \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^r, l^s} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^{m+r}, l^{n+s}}$$

which classify the tensor product of universal fan bundles over  $\mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^m, l^n}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^r, l^s}$  as an  $\mathbb{M}_{k^m, l^n} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{M}_{k^r, l^s} = \mathbb{M}_{k^{m+r}, l^{n+s}}$ -bundle.

Recall that in Corollary 49 we have considered the fibration

$$\text{Gr}_{k^n, l^n} \xrightarrow{t_{k^n, l^n}} \text{BPU}(k^n) \xrightarrow{f_{k^n, l^n}} \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^n, l^n}.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the following fibration

$$\text{Gr} \xrightarrow{t_{k^\infty}} \text{BPU}(k^\infty) \xrightarrow{f_{k^\infty}} \mathbf{B} \mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\text{Gr} := \text{Gr}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}$ ,  $\text{BPU}(k^\infty) := \varinjlim_n \text{BPU}(k^n)$  with respect to the maps induced by the group homomorphisms  $\text{PU}(k^n) \rightarrow \text{PU}(k^{n+1})$ ,  $A \mapsto A \otimes E_k$ , and  $t_{k^\infty} := \varinjlim_n t_{k^n, l^n}$ ,  $f_{k^\infty} := \varinjlim_n f_{k^n, l^n}$ .

Recall that  $\text{Gr}$  and  $\text{BPU}(k^\infty)$  are  $H$ -spaces. More precisely,  $\text{Gr}$  is a classifying space for stable equivalence classes of FABs with the operation induced by the tensor product of FABs (see the Appendix). The  $H$ -space  $\text{BPU}(k^\infty)$  is a classifying space for classes



of locally trivial bundles with fibers  $M_{k^m}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with respect to the following equivalence relation:

$$A_{k^m} \sim B_{k^n} \Leftrightarrow \exists r, s \text{ such that } A_{k^m} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{k^r} \cong B_{k^n} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{k^s},$$

where  $\widetilde{M}_{k^m}$  is a trivial bundle with fiber  $M_{k^m}(\mathbb{C})$ . The operation on this equivalence classes is induced by the tensor product of bundles. Thus, we have obtained an interpretation of the groups  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X) := [X; \text{Gr}]$  and  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X) := [X; \text{BPU}(k^\infty)]$ .

**Theorem 67.** *For any finite CW-complex  $X$  the sequence of Abelian group*

$$\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X) \xrightarrow{t_{k^\infty*}} \widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X) \xrightarrow{f_{k^\infty*}} \text{Fan}^k(X)$$

*is exact.*

*Proof* follows from the fact that the composition (11) is a fibration and  $\mathbf{F}_{k^m, l^m}(A_k \otimes A'_m) = \mathbf{F}_{k, l}(A_k) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{m, n}(A'_m)$  for all  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles  $A_k$  and  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles  $A'_m$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 A unitary version

Consider the spaces  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k, l}$  and  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}$  defined in the Appendix. Let  $\widehat{t}_{k, l}: \widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k, l} \rightarrow \text{BU}(k)$  be a classifying map for the tautological  $\text{U}(k)$ -bundle over  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k, l}$ . Let  $\widehat{f}_{k, l}: \text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k, l}$  be a classifying map for the fan bundle  $\mathbf{F}_{k, l}(\text{End}(\xi_k^{\text{univ}}))$ , where  $\xi_k^{\text{univ}}$  is the universal  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle over  $\text{BU}(k)$ . In particular, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BU}(k^\infty) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \widehat{f}_{k^\infty} & \\ \text{BPU}(k^\infty) & \xrightarrow{f_{k^\infty}} & \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty} \end{array}$$

commutes.

It is easy to prove that the sequence

$$\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k, l} \xrightarrow{\widehat{t}_{k, l}} \text{BU}(k) \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}_{k, l}} \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k, l}$$

is a fibration. Taking the limit, we get the fibration

$$\widehat{\text{Gr}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{t}_{k^\infty}} \text{BU}(k^\infty) \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}_{k^\infty}} \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}. \quad (12)$$

**Proposition 68.** *The sequence (12) is a fibration.*

*Proof* is trivial.  $\square$

It has already been mentioned in the Appendix that the map  $\widehat{t}_{k^\infty}: \widehat{\text{Gr}} \rightarrow \text{BU}(k^\infty)$  is a localization at  $k$  (in particular,  $\text{BU}(k^\infty)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]$ -local space). The existence of fibration (12) agrees with the fact that  $\text{Fr}_{k^\infty} \simeq \Omega(\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty})$  is a fiber of the localization map  $\widehat{\mathbf{t}}_{k^\infty}: \widehat{\text{Gr}} \rightarrow \text{BU}(k^\infty)$ .

Finally note that fibration (12) is a U-analog of fibration (11):

$$\text{Gr} \xrightarrow{t_{k^\infty}} \text{BPU}(k^\infty) \xrightarrow{f_{k^\infty}} \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}.$$

### 4.3 $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$

Let us introduce the following notation for direct limits

$$B\mathcal{U} := \varinjlim_k BU(k), \quad B\mathcal{PU} := \varinjlim_k BPU(k), \quad B\mathfrak{G} := \varinjlim_k B\mathfrak{G}_k,$$

where  $k$  runs over all positive integers and the limits are taken with respect to the maps corresponding to the tensor product of bundles (in particular,  $B\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -space).

*Remark 69.* In this remark we give a more detailed definition of the direct limit  $B\mathfrak{G} = \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} B\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$  be the sequence of primes  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  we can choose  $q_r$  such that  $(p_1 \dots p_r, q_r) = 1$ . Suppose  $(p_1 \dots p_r p_{r+1}, q_r) > 1$ . It follows from Proposition 51 and (10) that there are homotopy equivalences

$$B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r)^\infty, q_r^\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r)^\infty, (q_r q_{r+1})^\infty} \xleftarrow{\sim} B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r)^\infty, q_{r+1}^\infty}.$$

Therefore we have the composite map

$$B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r)^\infty, q_r^\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r)^\infty, q_{r+1}^\infty} \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_{(p_1 \dots p_r p_{r+1})^\infty, q_{r+1}^\infty},$$

and we can take the direct limit with respect to such maps.

The sequences (11) and (12) give the following fibrations:

$$\mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{t} B\mathcal{PU} \xrightarrow{f} B\mathfrak{G} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} \xrightarrow{\hat{t}} B\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} B\mathfrak{G}, \quad (14)$$

respectively.

The relation between (13) and (14) is very clear. More precisely, recall that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} = \mathrm{Gr} \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty, \quad B\mathcal{U} = \prod_{q \geq 1} K(2q, \mathbb{Q}), \quad B\mathcal{PU} = K(2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \prod_{q \geq 2} K(2q, \mathbb{Q}), \quad (15)$$

$\mathbb{C}P^\infty = K(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , and we have the fibration

$$\mathbb{C}P^\infty \hookrightarrow K(2, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow K(2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

corresponding to the exact sequence of groups in the title of this subsection. Therefore (13) can be obtained from (14) with the help of the “factorization” by  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .

Note that sequence (14) relates something “integer” ( $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}$ ), something “rational” ( $B\mathcal{U}$ ) and something “finite” ( $B\mathfrak{G}$ ). Indeed, the sequence of homotopy groups

$$\pi_r(\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}) \xrightarrow{\hat{t}_*} \pi_r(B\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_*} \pi_r(B\mathfrak{G})$$

coincides with

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

for even  $r$  and  $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$  for odd  $r$ .

# 5 The generalization of the topological Brauer group

## 5.1 More about $H$ -spaces $B\mathcal{U}$ and $B\mathcal{PU}$

Recall that we consider the spaces  $B\mathcal{U}$  and  $B\mathcal{PU}$  as  $H$ -spaces with respect to the multiplication induced by the tensor product of vector  $\mathcal{U}$ -bundles and  $\mathcal{PU}$ -bundles with fiber a matrix algebra, respectively.

Consider  $\prod_{q \geq 1} K(2q, \mathbb{Q})$  as an  $H$ -space with respect to the multiplication induced by the product of formal series of the form  $1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots$ ,  $x_i \in H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . We claim that  $H$ -spaces  $B\mathcal{U}$  and  $\prod_{q \geq 1} K(2q, \mathbb{Q})$  are isomorphic to each other. Indeed, it follows from the identity  $ch(\xi \otimes \eta) = ch(\xi)ch(\eta)$  for any vector bundles  $\xi, \eta$ , where  $ch$  is the Chern character.

Note that for the special unitary group  $SU$  we have the similar isomorphism

$$BSU \xrightarrow{ch} \prod_{q \geq 2} K(2q, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $\prod_{q \geq 2} K(2q, \mathbb{Q})$  is considered as an  $H$ -space with respect to the multiplication induced by the product of formal series of the form  $1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots$ ,  $x_i \in H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Lemma 70.** The  $H$ -space  $B\mathcal{PU}$  is isomorphic to the product of  $H$ -spaces  $K(2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \prod_{q \geq 2} K(2q, \mathbb{Q})$  (we consider  $K(2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  as an Eilenberg-MacLane space, i.e. with respect to the  $H$ -space structure related to the addition of cohomology classes).

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram of  $H$ -spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathcal{PU} & \xrightarrow{ch} & \prod_{q \geq 2} K(2q, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \cong & \\ BSU & & \end{array}$$

It follows from the last isomorphism in (15) that  $\ker(ch)$  coincides with the  $H$ -space  $K(2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

## 5.2 Reminder: the classical Brauer group

Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex. Consider the set of isomorphism classes of locally trivial bundles over  $X$  with fiber  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  with an arbitrary integer  $k > 1$ . On the set of such bundles consider the following equivalence relation:

$$A_k \sim B_l \Leftrightarrow A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_m \cong B_l \otimes \widetilde{M}_n \quad \text{for some } m, n > 1, \quad (16)$$

where by  $\widetilde{M}_r$  we denote the trivial bundle over  $X$  with fiber  $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ . By  $\{C_m\}$  denote the stable equivalence class of  $C_m$ . It can easily be checked that the product  $\{A_k\} \circ \{B_l\} :=$

$\{A_k \otimes B_l\}$  is well defined and equips the set of stable equivalence classes with the structure of Abelian group. We denote this group by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{AB}}(X)$ .

The  $H$ -space  $\mathbf{B}\mathcal{PU}$  represents the homotopy functor  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{AB}}(X)$  on the category of finite  $CW$ -complexes. Since we take the direct limit  $\mathbf{B}\mathcal{PU} = \varinjlim_k \mathbf{BPU}(k)$  by the maps of classifying spaces  $\mathbf{BPU}(k) \xrightarrow{i_{k,m}} \mathbf{BPU}(km)$  such that  $i_{k,m}^*(A_{km}^{univ}) = A_k^{univ} \otimes \widetilde{M}_m$ , where by  $A_r^{univ}$  we denote the universal  $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\mathbf{BPU}(r)$ , the stable equivalence relation (16) appears.

Now consider the following more coarse stable equivalence relation:

$$A_k \sim B_l \Leftrightarrow \exists \xi_m, \eta_n \text{ such that } A_k \otimes \text{End}(\xi_m) \cong B_l \otimes \text{End}(\eta_n), \quad (17)$$

where by  $\xi_m, \eta_n$  we denote vector bundles over  $X$  of rank  $m, n$ , respectively. By  $[C_m]$  denote the stable equivalence class of  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $C_m$ . The tensor product of bundles induces a group structure on the set of such stable equivalence classes. It is just the classical topological Brauer group  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ .

Let us give a homotopic description of  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ . Consider the fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}P^\infty & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{BU}(k) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{BPU}(k) \end{array} \quad (18)$$

corresponding to the exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{PU}(k) \rightarrow 1.$$

After taking the limit with  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the above fibration gives us the fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}P^\infty & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{BU} & \mathbb{C}P^\infty \hookrightarrow \prod_{q \geq 1} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2q) \\ & & \downarrow p & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{B}\mathcal{PU}, \text{ i.e.} & \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \prod_{q \geq 2} \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2q). \end{array} \quad (19)$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{Br}(X) = \text{coker}\{p_*: [X; \mathbf{BU}] \rightarrow [X; \mathbf{B}\mathcal{PU}]\}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{Br}(X) = \text{coker}\{[X; \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2)] \rightarrow [X; \mathbf{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2)]\} = \text{coker}\{H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\}$ . Using the exact sequence of cohomology groups induced by the sequence of coefficients

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

we see that  $\text{coker}\{H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\} = \text{im}\{H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})\}$  (here  $\delta$  is the coboundary homomorphism), i.e.  $\mathbf{Br}(X) = H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

The explicit form of the isomorphism  $\mathbf{Br}(X) \cong H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$  can be described as follows. Recall that the structure group of a bundle  $A_k$  with fiber  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\text{Aut}(M_k(\mathbb{C})) = \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  contains  $\mathbf{PU}(k)$  as a strong deformation retract. The obstruction theory asserts that the first (and unique!) obstruction for the

lifting of  $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ -bundle to a  $\mathrm{U}(k)$ -bundle belongs to the group  $H^3(X; \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty))$  (see fibration (18)). Therefore the assignment

$$A_k \mapsto \{\text{the first obstruction for the lifting}\}$$

gives us the required description of the isomorphism  $\mathbf{Br}(X) \cong H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Thus, any element of the group  $H_{tors}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$  can be realized as an obstruction for the lifting of some  $\mathrm{PU}$ -bundle to a  $\mathrm{U}$ -bundle (or equivalent a  $\mathrm{PGL}$ -bundle to a  $\mathrm{GL}$ -bundle).

*Remark 71.* Now suppose  $A_k$  has a lifting  $\xi_k$  (i.e.  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) = A_k$ ). Then the obstruction theory says that  $\xi_k$  is determined by  $A_k$  up to taking the tensor product with a line bundle  $\zeta$  over  $X$ . Indeed,  $H^2(X; \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)) = H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to the group of line bundles with respect to the tensor product; from the other hand, for any line bundle  $\zeta$  we have  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k \otimes \zeta) = \mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ .

*Remark 72.* Note that for any fixed integer  $k > 1$  one can develop the corresponding theory of bundles with fibers of the form  $M_{k^n}(\mathbb{C})$  for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this way one can define the  $k$ -component of the Brauer group  $\mathbf{Br}_k(X) = \mathrm{coker}\{p_{k*}: [X; \mathrm{BU}(k^\infty)] \rightarrow [X; \mathrm{BPU}(k^\infty)]\}$  (which is the  $k$ -primary subgroup in the “whole” group  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ ).

### 5.3 The generalized Brauer group

Let us return to fibration (14):

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} \xrightarrow{\hat{t}} \mathrm{BU} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}.$$

By Fan we denote the homotopy functor to the category of Abelian groups represented by the  $H$ -space  $\mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}$ , i.e.  $\mathrm{Fan}(X) = [X; \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}]$ .

Thus, we have the exact sequence of groups

$$[X; \widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}] \xrightarrow{\hat{t}_*} [X; \mathrm{BU}] \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_*} \mathrm{Fan}(X).$$

**Definition 73.** The *generalized topological Brauer group*  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  of  $X$  is  $\mathrm{coker} \hat{f}_* = \mathrm{Fan}(X) / \mathrm{im}(\hat{f}_*)$ .

*Remark 74.* Since for a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$   $\mathrm{Fan}(X)$  is a finite (Abelian) group, so is  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$ . Moreover, its  $k$ -primary component  $\mathbf{GBr}_k(X)$  is just  $\mathrm{coker} \hat{f}_{k^\infty *} = \mathrm{Fan}^k(X) / \mathrm{im}(\hat{f}_{k^\infty *})$ .

Let us also remark that fibration (14) can be considered as an analog of fibration (19):

$$\mathbb{C}P^\infty \xhookrightarrow{i} \mathrm{BU} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{BPU}.$$

In particular, the space  $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} \cong \mathrm{BU}_\otimes$  represents the homotopy functor

$$X \mapsto \{\text{the group of virtual U-bundles of virtual dimension 1 over } X\},$$

where the group operation is the tensor product of virtual bundles of virtual dimension 1, therefore it is an analog of the  $H$ -space  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ , which represents the group (of isomorphism classes) of *geometric* line bundles with respect to the operation induced by the tensor product.

Now consider fibration (13):

$$\mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{t} \mathrm{B}\mathcal{PU} \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}.$$

By definition, put  $\overline{\mathbf{GBr}}(X) := \mathrm{coker}\{f_*: [X; \mathrm{B}\mathcal{PU}] \rightarrow [X; \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}]\}$ . Using the fibrations (14) and (13), one can easily prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 75.** *There is the exact sequence of functors*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Br} \rightarrow \mathbf{GBr} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{GBr}} \rightarrow 0.$$

*In other words,  $\mathbf{GBr}$  is an extension of the classical Brauer group  $\mathbf{Br}$  by  $\overline{\mathbf{GBr}}$ .*

*Proof* follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{BU} & & \\ \mathbb{C}P^\infty \downarrow & \searrow \hat{f} & \\ \mathrm{B}\mathcal{PU} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}. \quad \square \end{array}$$

Now we want to obtain a description of the generalized Brauer group in terms of equivalence classes of fan bundles with respect to some equivalence relation which is an analog of relation (17).

Recall that the  $H$ -space  $\mathrm{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}$  is a classifying space for equivalence classes of fan bundles with fibers of the form  $\mathbb{M}_{k^m, l^n}$  (for some  $l$  coprime with  $k$ ) with respect to the following equivalence relation:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \sim \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \hat{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k^t, l^u} \cong \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \hat{\otimes} \widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k^v, l^w}, \quad (20)$$

where by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k^m, l^n}$  we denote the trivial  $\mathbb{M}_{k^m, l^n}$ -bundle over  $X$ . This equivalence relation is an analog of relation (16).

The analog of relation (17) is the following one:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \sim \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \Leftrightarrow \text{there are vector bundles } \xi_{k^t}, \eta_{k^v}$$

with fibers  $\mathbb{C}^{k^t}, \mathbb{C}^{k^v}$ , respectively, and integers  $u, w \geq 1$  such that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{k^t, l^u}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_{k^t})) \cong \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{k^v, l^w}(\mathrm{End}(\eta_{k^v})) \quad (21)$$

(recall that  $\mathbf{F}_{k, l}$  is the functor to a  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle assigning the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k, l}$ -bundle, in particular,  $\mathbf{F}_{k, l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k))$  is a fan bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{M}_{k, l}$ ). It is natural to denote the composite functor  $\xi_k \mapsto \mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \mapsto \mathbf{F}_{k, l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k))$  by  $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}_{k, l}$ .

Note that the tensor product of fan bundles induces a well-defined operation on equivalence classes of equivalence relation (21). Note also that the equivalence classes of bundles of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k^t, l^u}(\text{End}(\xi_{k^t}))$  form the image of the homomorphism  $\widehat{f}_{k^\infty*}: [X; \text{BU}(k^\infty)] \rightarrow [X; \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}]$ . Now the proof of the following proposition is clear.

**Proposition 76.** *The  $k$ -primary component  $\mathbf{GBr}_k(X)$  of the generalized Brauer group  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  coincides with the group of equivalence classes of equivalence relation (21).*

The similar description can be given for the group  $\overline{\mathbf{GBr}}(X)$ . More precisely, consider the following equivalence relation:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \sim \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \Leftrightarrow \text{there are bundles } A_{k^t}, B_{k^v}$$

with fibers  $M_{k^t}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $M_{k^v}(\mathbb{C})$ , respectively, and integers  $u, w \geq 1$  such that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{k^m, l^n} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{k^t, l^u}(A_{k^t}) \cong \mathfrak{B}_{k^r, l^s} \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{F}_{k^v, l^w}(B_{k^v}). \quad (22)$$

Note that the equivalence classes of bundles of the form  $\mathbf{F}_{k^t, l^u}(A_{k^t})$  form the image of the homomorphism  $f_{k^\infty*}: [X; \text{BPU}(k^\infty)] \rightarrow [X; \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k^\infty}]$ .

**Proposition 77.** *The  $k$ -primary component  $\overline{\mathbf{GBr}}_k(X)$  of the group  $\overline{\mathbf{GBr}}(X)$  coincides with the group of equivalence classes of relation (22).*

*Remark 78.* (compare with Remark 71). Suppose a fan bundle  $\mathfrak{A}_{k, l}$  over  $X$  has a lifting  $A_k$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{A}_{k, l} = \mathbf{F}_{k, l}(A_k)$  for some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$ ). Then the bundle  $A_k$  is determined by the equivalence class (20) of  $\mathfrak{A}_{k, l}$  up to taking the tensor product with the core of some FAB. In particular, liftings of the stable equivalence class (20) of a trivial fan bundle are precisely cores of FABs over  $X$ ; thus, we see that FABs play the same role as (geometric) line bundles. This result follows from fibration (13). The similar result is true for fibration (14).

*Remark 79.* Recall that we defined the functor  $\mathbf{R}_{k, l}$  which to any  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_{kl}$  assigns the corresponding  $\mathbb{M}_{k, l}$ -bundle. It determines the map  $r_{kl}: \text{BPU}(kl) \rightarrow \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k, l}$  which is a fibration with fiber  $\text{BPU}(l)$ . Therefore one can study the obstructions for the lifting of an  $\mathbb{M}_{k, l}$ -bundle to a  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle. We want to show that in the stable case this problem can be reduced to the one studied above.

It is sufficient to prove that in the case of a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$  for sufficiently large  $n$  every  $M_{(kl)^n}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_{(kl)^n}$  has the form  $A_{k^n} \otimes C_{l^n}$  for some  $M_{k^n}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_{k^n}$  and  $M_{l^n}(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $C_{l^n}$ .

First, applying the obstruction theory to the fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}_{k^n, l^n} & \longrightarrow & \text{BSU}(k^n) \times \text{BSU}(l^n) \\ & & \downarrow \otimes \\ & & \text{BSU}((kl)^n), \end{array}$$

we obtain that the map  $[X, \mathrm{BSU}(k^n) \times \mathrm{BSU}(l^n)] \rightarrow [X, \mathrm{BSU}((kl)^n)]$  is surjective (for  $n$  such that  $\dim(X) \leq 2 \min\{k^n, l^n\}$ ), i.e. the required result holds for bundles whose structure group reduces to the special linear group.

Going over to the general case, note that it follows from the described theory of the classical Brauer group that the map

$$[X, \mathrm{BPU}(k^n)] \rightarrow [X, \mathrm{K}(\mu_{k^n}, 2)]$$

induced by the projection of the fibration

$$\mathrm{BSU}(k^n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k^n) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mu_{k^n}, 2)$$

is surjective for sufficiently large  $n$  (here  $\mu_{k^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/k^n\mathbb{Z}$  is the group of degree  $k^n$  roots of unity). Now the required assertion can be proved by the diagram search using the exactness of rows and columns in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
[X, \mathrm{Gr}_{k^n, l^n}] & \longrightarrow & [X, \mathrm{BSU}(k^n) \times \mathrm{BSU}(l^n)] & \longrightarrow & [X, \mathrm{BSU}((kl)^n)] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
[X, \mathrm{Gr}_{k^n, l^n}] & \longrightarrow & [X, \mathrm{BPU}(k^n) \times \mathrm{BPU}(l^n)] & \longrightarrow & [X, \mathrm{BPU}((kl)^n)] & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & [X, \mathrm{K}(\mu_{k^n}, 2) \times \mathrm{K}(\mu_{l^n}, 2)] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [X, \mathrm{K}(\mu_{(kl)^n}, 2)] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$

Suppose a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$  is obtained by applying the forgetful functor to some algebraic variety  $\tilde{X}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the group  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  is not only a homotopy invariant of  $X$  but also a birational invariant of  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Conjecture 80.** The group  $\mathbf{GBr}(X)$  is a birational invariant of  $\tilde{X}$ .

## 6 Appendix: The functor represented by the $H$ -space $\mathrm{Gr}$

In this appendix we give a brief survey of the stable theory of floating algebra bundles, for more details see [3].

### 6.1 Floating algebra bundles

Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex. By  $\widetilde{M}_n$  denote a trivial bundle (over  $X$ ) with fiber  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ .



**Definition 81.** Let  $A_k$  ( $k > 1$ ) be a locally trivial bundle over  $X$  with fiber  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ . Assume that there is a bundle map  $\mu$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \widetilde{M}_{kl} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

such that for any point  $x \in X$  it embeds the fiber  $(A_k)_x \cong M_k(\mathbb{C})$  into the fiber  $(\widetilde{M}_{kl})_x \cong M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  as a central simple subalgebra, and the positive integers  $k, l$  are relatively prime (i.e. their greatest common divisor  $(k, l) = 1$ ). Then the triple  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is called a *floating algebra bundle* (abbrev. FAB) over  $X$ .

*Remark 82.* Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $B \subset A$  a central simple subalgebra in  $A$ . It is well known that the centralizer  $Z_A(B)$  of  $B$  in  $A$  is a central simple subalgebra in  $A$  again, moreover, the equality  $A = B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} Z_A(B)$  holds. Taking centralizers for all fibers of the subbundle  $A_k \subset \widetilde{M}_{kl}$  in the corresponding fibers of the trivial bundle  $\widetilde{M}_{kl}$ , we get the complementary subbundle  $B_l$  with fiber  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$  together with its embedding  $\nu: B_l \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ . Thus, we have the complementary subbundle  $\nu(B_l) \subset \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ , where  $B_l$  is a locally trivial bundle with fiber  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $\nu$  is its evident embedding into  $\widetilde{M}_{kl}$ . Moreover,  $A_k \otimes B_l = \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ .

Conversely, to a given pair  $(A_k, B_l)$  consisting of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k$  and  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_l$  over  $X$  such that  $A_k \otimes B_l = \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ , we can construct a unique triple  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ , where  $\mu$  is the embedding  $A_k \hookrightarrow A_k \otimes B_l$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_{B_l}$ .

**Definition 83.** A *morphism from a FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  to a FAB  $(C_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$  over  $X$*  is a pair  $(f, g)$  of bundle maps  $f: A_k \hookrightarrow C_m$ ,  $g: \widetilde{M}_{kl} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{mn}$  such that

- $f, g$  are fiberwise homomorphisms of algebras (i.e. they are actually embeddings);
- the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}_{kl} & \xrightarrow{g} & \widetilde{M}_{mn} \\ \mu \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{f} & C_m \end{array}$$

commutes;

- let  $B_l \subset \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ ,  $D_n \subset \widetilde{M}_{mn}$  be the complementary subbundles for  $A_k$ ,  $C_m$ , respectively (see the remark above), then  $g$  maps  $B_l$  into  $D_n$ .

Note that a morphism  $(f, g): (A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \rightarrow (C_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$  exists only if  $k|m$ ,  $l|n$ .

In particular, an *isomorphism between FABs  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  and  $(C_k, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$*  is a pair of bundle maps  $f: A_k \rightarrow C_k$ ,  $g: \widetilde{M}_{kl} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{kl}$  which are fiberwise isomorphisms of

algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}_{kl} & \xrightarrow{g} & \widetilde{M}_{kl} \\ \mu \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{f} & C_k \end{array}$$

commutes.

Clearly, FABs over  $X$  with morphisms just defined form a category  $\mathfrak{FAB}(X)$ .

For a continuous map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  we have the natural transformation  $\varphi^*: \mathfrak{FAB}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{FAB}(X)$ .

## 6.2 Classifying spaces

For any pair  $k, l > 1$  there is the “matrix Grassmannian”  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  parametrizing  $k$ -subalgebras (i.e. those isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ) in the fixed matrix algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . As a homogeneous space it can be represented as follows:

$$\text{Gr}'_{k,l} = \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) / \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}),$$

where by  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$  we denote the image of the embedding  $\text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  induced by the Kronecker product of matrices.

By  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{kl}$  denote the trivial bundle  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . There is a *tautological FAB*  $(\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}, \mu', \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{kl})$  over  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  which can be defined as follows: the fiber  $(\mathcal{A}'_{k,l})_x$  over  $x \in \text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  is the  $k$ -subalgebra in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{kl})_x$  corresponding to this point.

Let  $\Psi_{k,l}(X)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of FABs of the form  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  over  $X$ .

**Proposition 84.** *If  $\dim X < 2kl$ , then the assignment*

$$[X, \text{Gr}'_{k,l}] \rightarrow \Psi_{k,l}(X), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*(\mathcal{A}'_{k,l}, \mu', \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{kl})$$

*is a bijection.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any finite  $CW$ -complex, then it is clear that for any FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  over  $X$  there is a classifying map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Thus, we have to prove the uniqueness of such a map  $\varphi$  up to homotopy.

The proof is based on the obstruction theory. We claim that  $H^n(\text{BSU}(k) \times \text{BSU}(l); \pi_n(\text{SU}(kl))) = 0$  if  $n < 2kl$ . Indeed, suppose  $n = 2t$  is even; then  $\pi_{2t}(\text{SU}(kl)) = 0$  if  $t < kl$ . For  $n$  odd the required assertion follows from  $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{BSU}(k) \times \text{BSU}(l); A) = 0$  for any Abelian group  $A$ .

Now consider the fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SU}(kl) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \\ & & \downarrow \kappa_{k,l} \\ & & \text{BSU}(k) \times \text{BSU}(l), \end{array}$$

where  $\kappa_{k,l}$  is the product of the classifying maps for the core  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  of the tautological FAB over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and its complementary subbundle  $\mathcal{C}_{l,k}$  in the trivial bundle as  $\text{SU}(k)$  and  $\text{SU}(l)$ -bundle respectively.

Observe that for any two classifying maps  $\varphi_i: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  of  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  the compositions  $\bar{\varphi}_i := \kappa_{k,l} \circ \varphi_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  are homotopic to each other.

Let  $\bar{\Phi}: X \times I \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k) \times \text{BSU}(l)$  be the homotopy between  $\bar{\varphi}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}|_{X \times \{i\}} = \bar{\varphi}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Using the covering homotopy property we get a lifting  $\Phi: X \times I \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  of  $\bar{\Phi}$  such that  $\Phi|_{X \times \{0\}} = \varphi_0$ . By  $\varphi'_1$  denote  $\Phi|_{X \times \{1\}}$ . Thus, we have two liftings  $\varphi_1, \varphi'_1$  of  $\bar{\varphi}_1$ .

Now applying the obstruction theory and using  $H^n(\text{BSU}(k) \times \text{BSU}(l); \pi_n(\text{SU}(kl))) = 0$  we see that the liftings  $\varphi_1, \varphi'_1$  are homotopic. This clearly implies that  $\varphi_0 \simeq \varphi_1$ .  $\square$

The noncompact space  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  can be replaced by a homotopy equivalent compact one  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . More precisely, let  $\text{PU}(n)$  be the projective unitary group, i.e. the quotient group  $\text{U}(n)/\{\exp(i\varphi)E_n \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then

$$\text{Gr}_{k,l} = \text{PU}(kl)/\text{PU}(k) \otimes \text{PU}(l)$$

(which is a subspace in  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  corresponding to the “unitary” subalgebras) with a tautological FAB  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  over it.

Because of the homotopy equivalence  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \simeq \text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  it makes no difference between  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l}$  in this Appendix.

### 6.3 A remark on frame spaces

Let  $\text{Fr}'_{k,l} := \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})/E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} := \text{PU}(kl)/E_k \otimes \text{PU}(l)$  be the frame spaces as in Section 1. In this subsection we give an interpretation of the map  $\text{Gr}'_{k,l} \xrightarrow{t'_{k,l}} \text{BPGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  (or  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{t_{k,l}} \text{BPU}(k)$ ).

**Proposition 85.** *The space  $\text{Fr}'_{k,l}$  (resp.  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ ) parametrizes embeddings  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  as a central subalgebra (resp. unitary embeddings).*

*Proof.* According to Noether-Scolem’s theorem all such embeddings  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  conjugate and the stabilizer of the fixed embedding  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}E_l \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the subgroup  $E_k \otimes \text{PGL}_l(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{PGL}_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . The same is true for unitary embeddings.  $\square$

*Remark 86.* Consider the product bundle  $\text{Fr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . It contains the canonical trivial  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundle  $\mathcal{D}'_k \xrightarrow{i} \text{Fr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, the bundle  $\mathcal{D}'_k$  is equipped with the canonical trivialization and has the following property: for a frame  $x \in \text{Fr}'_{k,l}$  the composite map  $(p_2 \circ i)_x: (\mathcal{D}'_k)_x \hookrightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the embedding parametrized by  $x$  (here  $p_2: \text{Fr}'_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the projection onto the second factor). Moreover, any embedding  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  can be obtained in this way.

Let  $t_{k,l}: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  be the classifying map for the core  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$  of the tautological FAB  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}, \mu, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{kl})$  over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  as a  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle. Clearly, the fiber of the map  $t_{k,l}: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  is  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . Thus, we have the fibration

$$\text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{t_{k,l}} \text{BPU}(k)$$

which can also be described in the following way. Let  $A_k^{\text{univ}}$  be the universal  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $\text{BPU}(k)$  and let  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$  be the space of  $k$ -frames in  $A_k^{\text{univ}} \otimes \widetilde{M}_l$ . Let  $\widetilde{p}_{k,l}: \text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  be the corresponding projection. Clearly, there is a homotopy equivalence  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  which identifies  $\widetilde{p}_{k,l}$  with  $t_{k,l}$  and  $\widetilde{p}_{k,l}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}})$  with  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ . Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 85 that there is the canonical embedding  $\widetilde{\mu}: \widetilde{p}_{k,l}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  whose restriction to any fiber  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  of the fibration  $\widetilde{p}_{k,l}: \text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  coincides with the embedding  $\mathcal{D}_k \hookrightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  from Remark 86. Thus,  $(\widetilde{p}_{k,l}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}}), \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is a FAB over  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$  and it is easy to see that the equivalence  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  identifies  $(\widetilde{p}_{k,l}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}}), \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  with  $(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}, \mu, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{kl})$ .

*Remark 87.* Let  $A_k$  be an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$ . It follows from the previous arguments that the determination of an embedding  $A_k \xrightarrow{\mu} M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is equivalent to the determination of a section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k)$  of the fibration  $p: \text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$ , where  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}(A_k)$  is the space of  $k$ -frames in the bundle  $A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_l$ .

## 6.4 Stabilization

Define the product  $\circ$  of two FABs over  $X$   $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ ,  $(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$  such that  $(km, ln) = 1$  as

$$(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \circ (B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn}) = (A_k \otimes B_m, \mu \otimes \nu, \widetilde{M}_{kl} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{mn})$$

(notice that  $\widetilde{M}_{kl} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{mn} = \widetilde{M}_{klmn}$ ).

**Definition 88.** A FAB of the form  $(\widetilde{M}_k, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is called *trivial* if the map  $\tau: \widetilde{M}_k \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{kl}$  acts as follows:

$$X \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), \quad (x, T) \mapsto (x, T \otimes E_l)$$

(under some choice of trivializations on  $\widetilde{M}_k$  and  $\widetilde{M}_{kl}$ ) for any point  $x \in X$ , where  $E_l$  is the unit  $l \times l$ -matrix and  $T \otimes E_l$  denotes the Kronecker product of matrices. In other words, the bundle  $\widetilde{M}_k$  is embedded into  $\widetilde{M}_{kl}$  as a fixed subalgebra.

**Definition 89.** Two FABs  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  and  $(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$  over  $X$  are said to be *stable equivalent* if there is a sequence of pairs  $\{t_i, u_i\} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$  such that

- $\{t_1, u_1\} = \{k, l\}$ ,  $\{t_s, u_s\} = \{m, n\}$ ;

- $(t_i t_{i+1}, u_i u_{i+1}) = 1$  if  $s > 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ ,

and a corresponding sequence of FABs  $(A_{t_i}, \mu_i, \widetilde{M}_{t_i u_i})$  over  $X$  such that

- $(A_{t_1}, \mu_1, \widetilde{M}_{t_1 u_1}) = (A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ ,  $(A_{t_s}, \mu_s, \widetilde{M}_{t_s u_s}) = (B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$ ;
- $(A_{t_i}, \mu_i, \widetilde{M}_{t_i u_i}) \circ (\widetilde{M}_{t_{i+1}}, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{t_{i+1} u_{i+1}}) \cong (A_{t_{i+1}}, \mu_{i+1}, \widetilde{M}_{t_{i+1} u_{i+1}}) \circ (\widetilde{M}_{t_i}, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{t_i u_i})$ ,

where  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$  and  $(\widetilde{M}_{t_i}, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{t_i u_i})$  are trivial FABs.

By  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$  denote the set of stable equivalence classes of FABs over  $X$ .

The following theorem justifies the previous definition.

**Theorem 90.** 1) For all sequences of pairs of positive integers  $\{k_j, l_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(i) \quad k_j, l_j \rightarrow \infty; \quad (ii) \quad k_j | k_{j+1}, l_j | l_{j+1}; \quad (iii) \quad (k_j, l_j) = 1$$

for every  $j$ , the corresponding direct limits  $\varinjlim_j \text{Gr}_{k_j, l_j}$  are homotopy-equivalent. This unique homotopy type we denote by  $\text{Gr}$ .

2)  $\text{Gr}$  is a classifying space for stable equivalence classes of FABs over a finite CW-complex  $X$ . In other words, the functor  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$  from the homotopy category of finite CW-complexes to the category **Sets** is represented by the space  $\text{Gr}$ .

The proof is based on Proposition 84 and on the following lemma (recall that we consider embeddings  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{m,n}$  induced by homomorphisms of algebras  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ ; in particular,  $k|m$ ,  $l|n$ ).

**Lemma 91.** If  $(m, n) = 1$  ( $\Rightarrow (k, l) = 1$ ), then the embedding

$$\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{m,n} \tag{23}$$

is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions  $\leq 2 \min\{k, l\}$ .

## 6.5 The group structure

Let  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  be a FAB over  $X$ . By  $[(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$  we denote its stable equivalence class. Define the product  $\diamond$  of two classes  $[(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$ ,  $[(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})] \diamond [(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})] &= [(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl}) \circ (B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})] \\ &= [(A_k \otimes B_m, \mu \otimes \nu, \widetilde{M}_{klmn})]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this product is well defined if  $(km, ln) = 1$ . The following lemma allows us to reject this restriction.

**Lemma 92.** For any pair  $\{k, l\}$  such that (i)  $(k, l) = 1$ , (ii)  $2 \min\{k, l\} \geq \dim X$ , any stable equivalence class of FABs over  $X$  has a representative of the form  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ .

Clearly, the product  $\diamond$  is associative, commutative, and has identity element  $[(\widetilde{M}_k, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$ , where  $(\widetilde{M}_k, \tau, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$  is a trivial FAB. Moreover, for any class  $[(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$  there exists the inverse element. In order to find it, let us recall the following fact. The centralizer  $Z_P(Q)$  of a central simple subalgebra  $Q$  in a central simple algebra  $P$  (over some field  $\mathbb{K}$ ) is a central simple subalgebra again, moreover, the equality  $P = Q \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} Z_P(Q)$  holds. Therefore by taking centralizers for every fiber of the subbundle  $A_k$  in  $\widetilde{M}_{kl}$ , we obtain the complementary subbundle  $B_l$  with fiber  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$  together with its embedding  $\nu: B_l \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{kl}$  into the trivial bundle. Moreover,  $A_k \otimes B_l = \widetilde{M}_{kl}$ . It is not hard to prove that  $[(B_l, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$  is the inverse element for  $[(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$ . Thus, the functor  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$  takes values in the category of Abelian groups  $\mathfrak{Ab}$ .

**Proposition 93.** *The space  $\text{Gr}$  can be equipped with an  $H$ -space structure such that there is a natural equivalence of functors  $X \mapsto [X, \text{Gr}]$  and  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$  taking values in the category  $\mathfrak{Ab}$ .*

## 6.6 One interesting property of the core of a FAB

**Definition 94.** For a FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ , the locally trivial  $\text{Aut}(M_k(\mathbb{C})) \cong \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  (or  $\text{PU}(k)$ )-bundle  $A_k$  is said to be a *core* of  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ .

**Lemma 95.** If  $A_k$  is the core of some FAB  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})$ , then its structure group can be reduced from  $\text{Aut } M_k(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  to  $\text{SL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  (or equivalent from  $\text{PU}(k)$  to  $\text{SU}(k)$ ).

**Lemma 96.** Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex. Suppose  $\dim X \leq 2 \min\{k, m\}$ ; then the following conditions are equivalent:

- $A_k$  is the core of some FAB over  $X$ ;
- for arbitrary  $m$  such that  $2m \geq \dim X$  there is a bundle  $B_m$  with fiber  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_m \cong B_m \otimes \widetilde{M}_k$ ;
- $A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_m \cong B_m \otimes \widetilde{M}_k$  for some locally trivial bundle  $B_m$  with fiber  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $(k, m) = 1$ .

Moreover, for any pair of bundles  $A_k, B_m$  such that  $(k, m) = 1$  and  $A_k \otimes \widetilde{M}_m \cong B_m \otimes \widetilde{M}_k$ , there exists a unique stable equivalence class of FABs over  $X$  which has (for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $(km, n) = 1$ ) FABs of the forms  $(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kn})$ ,  $(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})$  as representatives (for some embeddings  $\mu, \nu$ ).

## 6.7 Localization

Let  $X$  be a finite  $CW$ -complex, let  $k \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. The set of isomorphism classes of bundles of the form  $A_{k^m}$  (for arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) over  $X$  with fiber  $M_{k^m}(\mathbb{C})$  is a monoid with respect to the operation  $\otimes$  (with the identity element  $M_{k^0}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ).

Let us consider the following equivalence relation

$$A_{k^m} \sim B_{k^n} \iff \exists r, s \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } A_{k^m} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{k^r} \cong B_{k^n} \otimes \widetilde{M}_{k^s} \quad (24)$$

( $\Rightarrow m + r = n + s$ ). The set of equivalence classes  $[A_{k^m}]$  of such bundles is a group with respect to the operation induced by  $\otimes$ . This group we denote by  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X)$ .

Let us consider the direct limit  $\varinjlim_n \text{BPU}(k^n)$  with respect to the maps induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PU}(k^n) &\hookrightarrow \text{PU}(k^{n+1}), \\ A &\mapsto A \otimes E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the functor  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X)$  is represented by  $\varinjlim_n \text{BPU}(k^n)$ .

According to Lemma 92, for any stable equivalence class of FABs over  $X$  there is a representative of the form  $(A_{k^m}, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{(kl)^m})$ . Therefore for any  $k$  we have the forgetful functor

$$\mathbf{T}_{k^\infty, l^\infty}: \widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X), \quad [(A_{k^m}, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{(kl)^m})] \mapsto [A_{k^m}].$$

This functor corresponds to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Gr}_{k^2, l^2} & \longrightarrow & \text{BPU}(k^2) \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Gr}_{k, l} & \longrightarrow & \text{BPU}(k), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are classifying maps for the cores  $\mathcal{A}_{k, l}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{k^2, l^2}$  as  $\text{PU}(k)$  and  $\text{PU}(k^2)$ -bundles, respectively.

The kernel of the homomorphism  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{AB}}^k(X)$  is just the  $k$ -torsion subgroup in  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$ .

## 6.8 Relation between $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1$ and $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}$ -theory

Recall that  $\text{BSU}_\otimes$  is the space  $\text{BSU}$  with the structure of  $H$ -space related to the tensor product of virtual  $\text{SU}$ -bundles of virtual dimension 1.

**Theorem 97.** *There is an  $H$ -space isomorphism  $\text{Gr} \cong \text{BSU}_\otimes$ .*

By  $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}(X)$  denote the reduced K-functor constructed by means of SU-bundles over  $X$ . Recall that  $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}(X)$  is a ring with the multiplication induced by the tensor product of bundles.

The previous theorem shows that the group  $\widetilde{\text{AB}}^1(X)$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the ring  $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}(X)$ , i.e. to the group ( $X$  is a finite  $CW$ -complex) of elements of  $\widetilde{\text{KSU}}(X)$  with respect to the operation  $\xi * \eta = \xi + \eta + \xi \eta$  ( $\xi, \eta \in \widetilde{\text{KSU}}(X)$ ).

This gives us a geometric description of the  $H$ -structure on  $\text{BSU}_\otimes$ . For example, the construction of the inverse stable equivalence class  $[(B_m, \nu, \widetilde{M}_{mn})]$  for a given one  $[(A_k, \mu, \widetilde{M}_{kl})]$  is closely connected with taking centralizer for a subalgebra in a fixed matrix algebra.

## 6.9 A U-version

Consider the canonical map  $\text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  induced by the group homomorphism  $\text{U}(k) \rightarrow \text{PU}(k)$ . By  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l}$  denote the total space of the  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ -fibration (recall that  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  denotes the space of (unitary)  $k$ -frames in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , see Section 1) induced by the fibration  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\text{Fr}_{k,l}} \text{BPU}(k)$  and the map  $\text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  (as ever, the integers  $k, l$  are assumed to be coprime).

It follows easily that there is a  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ -fibration  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

Consider the following morphism of  $\text{U}(k)$ -fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{U}(k) & \longrightarrow & * \\
 & \nearrow = & & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 \text{U}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fr}_{k,l} & & \text{BU}(k) \\
 & & \downarrow & \nearrow \hat{t}_{k,l} & \\
 & & \widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l} & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\hat{t}_{k,l}$  is a classifying map for the canonical  $\text{U}(k)$ -bundle over  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l}$  and by  $*$  we denote a contractible space. A simple computation with homotopy sequences of the fibrations shows that  $\hat{t}_{k,l*}: \pi_{2r}(\widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \pi_{2r}(\text{BU}(k))$ ,  $r \leq \min\{k, l\}$  is just the monomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \mapsto k \cdot 1$  (note that the odd-dimensional stable homotopy groups of both spaces are equal to 0). This implies that the limit map  $\hat{t}_{k^\infty}: \widehat{\text{Gr}} \rightarrow \text{BU}(k^\infty)$  is just the localization at  $k$  (in the sense that  $k$  is invertible; in particular,  $\text{BU}(k^\infty)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]$ -local space), where  $\widehat{\text{Gr}} := \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} \widehat{\text{Gr}}_{k,l}$ .

The space  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}$  is an  $H$ -space with respect to the multiplication induced by the tensor product of bundles. It can be proved that  $\widehat{\text{Gr}} \cong \text{BU}_\otimes$  as  $H$ -spaces. Let us also recall that  $\text{BU}_\otimes \cong \text{BSU}_\otimes \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  and  $\text{Gr} \cong \text{BSU}_\otimes$  as  $H$ -spaces, hence  $\widehat{\text{Gr}} \cong \text{Gr} \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ . In particular, the  $H$ -space  $\widehat{\text{Gr}}$  represents the functor of “multiplicative group” of the ring  $\widetilde{\text{K}}_\mathbb{C}$ , i.e. the functor  $X \mapsto \widetilde{\text{K}}_\mathbb{C}(X)$ , where  $\widetilde{\text{K}}_\mathbb{C}(X)$  is considered as a group with respect



to the operation  $\xi * \eta = \xi + \eta + \xi\eta$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \widetilde{K}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  (here  $\widetilde{K}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the reduced complex  $K$ -functor).

## References

- [1] A. GROTHENDIECK Le groupe de Brauer I. *Sem. Bourbaki* 1964/65, no. 290, 21p.
- [2] N. STEENROD The topology of fibre bundles. *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, New Jersey, 1951.
- [3] A.V. ERSHOV Homotopy theory of bundles with fiber matrix algebra.—  
arXiv:math.AT/0301151