

A Hypergeometric Approach, Via Linear Forms Involving Logarithms, to Irrationality Criteria for Euler's Constant

Jonathan Sondow
With an Appendix by Sergey Zlobin

February 8, 2020

Abstract

Using a hypergeometric function, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for irrationality of Euler's constant, γ . The proof is by reduction to earlier criteria involving a Beukers-type double integral. We employ a method for constructing linear forms in 1, γ and logarithms from rational functions, via Nesterenko-type series.

1 Introduction

In [S1] we gave criteria for (ir)rationality of Euler's constant,

$$\gamma := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (H_N - \log N)$$

(where $H_N := \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-1}$ is the N th harmonic number). The conditions involved a Beukers-style [B] double integral I_n , and the main ingredient of the proof was the construction of $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear forms in 1, γ and logarithms. Namely, we showed that

$$(1) \quad d_{2n} I_n \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\gamma + \mathbb{Z}\log(n+1) + \mathbb{Z}\log(n+2) + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\log(2n),$$

where d_n is the least common multiple of the first n natural numbers.

In this note, we define I_n instead as an integral involving a hypergeometric function, and prove that the criteria hold with this new I_n . The proof is by showing that the two definitions of I_n are equivalent. (Alternatively, one could give a self-contained proof along the lines of [S1]; the required inequality $I_n < 2^{-4n}$ follows easily from Lemma 1 below.) To show the equivalence, we introduce a series modelled on the one Y. Nesterenko used in [N1] to give a new proof of R. Apéry's theorem that $\zeta(3)$ is irrational. (We modify Nesterenko's rational

function, and where he differentiates to go “up” to $\zeta(3)$, we integrate to go “down” to γ , which we may think of as “ $\zeta(1)$.”) We prove that both versions of I_n are equal to the sum of our series. (In the Appendix, S. Zlobin gives a change-of-variables proof that the double integral equals the series.)

The chronology of discovery, different from what one might expect from the above, was as follows. After reading [N1], we constructed the series and derived rationality criteria for γ from it. Later, D. Huylebrouck’s survey [H] of multiple integrals in irrationality proofs led us to find the double integral, and using it we rederived the criteria. Recently, W. Zudilin’s work [Z] gave us the idea to express the series in hypergeometric form. We hope that the variety of expressions for I_n will prove useful in deciding the arithmetic nature of γ .

In [S2], we define a measure of irrationality for Liouville numbers, and refine the criteria to give conditional measures for γ .

I thank W. Zudilin for suggestions on exposition and for making a preprint of [Z] available.

2 Rationality Criteria for γ

We state the criteria. For $n > 0$, let S_n be the positive integer

$$(2) \quad S_n := \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{k=0}^{\min(m-1, n-m)} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n-k} (n+m)^{\binom{n}{k}^2 \frac{2d_{2n}}{j}}$$

and let I_n be the “hypergeometric integral”

$$(3) \quad I_n := \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(t)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2}{\Gamma(t+n+1)^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} t, t, 1 \\ t+n+1, t+n+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) dt,$$

whose convergence follows immediately from the proof of Lemma 1.

Theorem 1 (Rationality Criteria for γ) *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The fractional part of $\log S_n$ equals $d_{2n} I_n$, for some n .*
2. *The assertion is true for all n sufficiently large.*
3. *Euler’s constant is a rational number.*

After several lemmas, we give the proof in Section 6.

3 A Series for I_n

We express the integral I_n in (3) as a series.

Lemma 1 (Hypergeometric = Series) For $n > 0$, we have the equality

$$(4) \quad \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(t)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2}{\Gamma(t+n+1)^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} t, t, 1 \\ t+n+1, t+n+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) dt \\ = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{\nu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n!}{t(t+1) \cdots (t+n)} \right)^2 dt.$$

Proof It follows from the definition

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} t, t, 1 \\ t+n+1, t+n+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = 1 + \frac{t^2}{(t+n+1)^2} + \frac{t^2(t+1)^2}{(t+n+1)^2(t+n+2)^2} + \cdots$$

that

$$I_n = \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(t+\nu)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2}{\Gamma(t+\nu+n+1)^2} dt = \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} R_n(t+\nu) dt,$$

where $R_n(t)$ is the rational function

$$(5) \quad R_n(t) := \left(\frac{n!}{t(t+1) \cdots (t+n)} \right)^2$$

in (4). After interchanging integral and sum (with a nod to Weierstrass), we replace t by $t - \nu$, and ν by $\nu - n - 1$, and arrive at the desired series. ■

4 Linear Forms in 1 , γ and Logarithms From Series

We give a method for constructing linear forms involving γ and logarithms from rational functions, via Nesterenko-type series. Fix $n > 0$.

Proposition 1 Let $R(t)$ be a rational function over $\mathbb{C}$ whose partial fraction decomposition takes the form

$$(6) \quad R(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{B_{k2}}{(t+k)^2} + \frac{B_{k1}}{t+k} \right).$$

If $R(t)$ satisfies the asymptotic condition $R(t) = O(t^{-3})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then the series summation

$$(7) \quad I := \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{\nu}^{\infty} R(t) dt = B\gamma + L - A$$

holds, where

$$(8) \quad B = \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k2}, \quad L = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=m}^n B_{k1} \log(n+m), \quad A = \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k2} H_{n+k}.$$

Proof From (6) we obtain the expansion $R(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i t^{-i}$, for $|t|$ large where $b_1 = \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k1}$ and $b_2 = \sum_{k=0}^n (B_{k2} - kB_{k1})$. The asymptotic condition implies that $b_1 = b_2 = 0$, so we have the relations

$$(9.1), (9.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n B_{k1} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (B_{k2} - kB_{k1}) = 0.$$

In view of (9.1), the sums $\sum_{k=0}^n B_{k1} \log(t+k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^n B_{k1} \log(1+kt^{-1})$ are equal. Hence for $N > n$ we have

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\nu=n+1}^N \int_{\nu}^{\infty} R(t) dt = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{B_{k2}}{\nu+k} - B_{k1} \log(\nu+k) \right).$$

Define B , L , A by (8), and rewrite L as $L = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=n+1}^{n+k} B_{k1} \log m$. Evidently the double sum in (10) differs from the expression

$$(11) \quad BH_N + L - A + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=N+1}^{N+k} \left(\frac{B_{k2}}{m} - B_{k1} \log m \right)$$

by the quantity $\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{m=n+1}^N B_{k1} \log m$, which vanishes by (9.1). Since n is fixed and $k \leq n$, the double sum in (11) equals $-\sum_{k=0}^n kB_{k1} \log N + O(N^{-1})$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Using (9.2), it follows that the left-hand side of (10) is equal to $B(H_N - \log N) + L - A + O(N^{-1})$, and we obtain the required formula (7) by letting N tend to infinity. ■

5 Summing the Series for I_n

By applying Proposition 1 to the rational function (5), we sum the series for I_n , obtaining inclusion (1). Fix $n > 0$ and set $H_0 = 0$.

Lemma 2 (Series = Linear Form) *The series summation*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{\nu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n!}{t(t+1) \cdots (t+n)} \right)^2 dt = \binom{2n}{n} \gamma + L_n - A_n$$

is valid, where $L_n := d_{2n}^{-1} \log S_n$ (see (2)) is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear form in logarithms

$$L_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\min(m-1, n-m)} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}^2 \frac{2}{j} \log(n+m)$$

and $A_n \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot d_{2n}^{-1}$ is the rational number $A_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 H_{n+k}$.

Proof The partial fraction decomposition of the integrand $R_n(t)$ is given by the right-hand side of (6), where

$$B_{k2} = (t+k)^2 R_n(t)|_{t=-k} = \binom{n}{k}^2,$$

$$B_{k1} = \frac{d}{dt}((t+k)^2 R_n(t))|_{t=-k} = 2 \binom{n}{k}^2 (H_k - H_{n-k}).$$

Using the relations $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $B_{n-k,1} = -B_{k1}$, the result follows from Proposition 1. ■

6 A Double Integral For I_n and Proof of the Criteria

Using Lemma 2, we obtain another representation of I_n , as a double integral, and finally prove the rationality criteria for γ .

Lemma 3 (Series = Double Integral, or “Nesterenko Meets Beukers”)

For $n > 0$, we have the equality

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \int_{\nu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n!}{t(t+1) \cdots (t+n)} \right)^2 dt = \int_{[0,1]^2} -\frac{(x(1-x)y(1-y))^n}{(1-xy) \log xy} dx dy.$$

Proof According to (12) and [S1, equation (7)], the sum of the series on the left coincides with the value of the double integral on the right. ■

For a direct proof, see the Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 1 This follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 3, together with the main result of [S1], which is the same as Theorem 1, except that in [S1] we defined J_n as the double integral in (13). ■

Appendix by S. Zlobin

In this appendix we prove the statement of Lemma 3 without expanding integrals to linear forms. First, we develop $1/(1-xy)$ in a geometric series

$$J_n := \int_{[0,1]^2} -\frac{x^n(1-x)^n y^n(1-y)^n}{(1-xy) \log xy} dx dy$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,1]^2} -\frac{x^{n+k}(1-x)^n y^{n+k}(1-y)^n}{\log xy} dx dy.$$

To justify the interchange of the sum and the double integral, one can expand $1/(1 - xy)$ in a finite sum with remainder and make the same estimations as in [S1]. Further, we substitute

$$-\frac{(xy)^k}{\log xy} = \int_k^\infty (xy)^t dt,$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{[0,1]^2} \left(\int_k^\infty x^{n+t}(1-x)^n y^{n+t}(1-y)^n dt \right) dx dy \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_k^\infty \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} x^{n+t}(1-x)^n y^{n+t}(1-y)^n dx dy \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we can change the order of integration because the integrand is nonnegative and all the integrals converge. Since

$$\int_0^1 u^{n+t}(1-u)^n du = \frac{n!}{(t+n+1)(t+n+2) \cdots (t+2n+1)}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_k^\infty \frac{(n!)^2}{((t+n+1)(t+n+2) \cdots (t+2n+1))^2} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \int_k^\infty \frac{(n!)^2}{(t(t+1) \cdots (t+n))^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the desired identity. The same method can be applied to prove that (minus) the series Nesterenko used in [N1] is equal to Beukers' triple integral in [B]. Another proof of that fact is given in [N2] and uses an identity with a complex integral.

References

- [B] F. Beukers, A note on the irrationality of $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$, *Bull. London Math. Society* **11** (1979) 268–272.
- [H] D. Huylebrouck, Similarities in irrationality proofs for π , $\ln 2$, $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **108** (2001) 222–231.
- [N1] Y. Nesterenko, A few remarks on $\zeta(3)$, *Math. Notes* **59** (1996) 625–636.
- [N2] ———, Integral identities and constructions of approximations to zeta values, *Actes des 12èmes rencontres arithmétiques de Caen* (June 2001), J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2003), to appear.

- [S1] J. Sondow, Criteria for irrationality of Euler's constant, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [S2] ———, An irrationality measure for Liouville numbers and conditional measures for Euler's constant, in preparation.
- [Z] W. Zudilin, A few remarks on linear forms involving Catalan's constant, to appear in a special volume dedicated to the 85th birthday of N. Korobov.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J72, Secondary 33C20, 11J86.

Key words and phrases. Euler's constant, irrationality, hypergeometric, linear forms in logarithms.

209 West 97th Street
 New York, NY 10025 USA
<http://home.earthlink.net/~jsondow/>
 jsondow@alumni.princeton.edu

Moscow Lomonosov State University
 Department of Mechanics
 and Mathematics, Number Theory Chair
 Vorobiovy Gory, Moscow 119899 Russia
 sirg_zlobin@mail.ru