

# LOCAL-GLOBAL PROBLEM FOR DRINFELD MODULES

G.J. VAN DER HEIDEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the group

$$S(a, K) := \ker \left( E(K)/aE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) \right)$$

in two cases. In the first case  $E$  is a Drinfeld module,  $K$  a function field and  $(a)$  a principal prime ideal in the ring  $A$  of regular functions which occurs in the definition of a Drinfeld module. In the second case  $E$  is an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$  and  $a$  is a prime number. We will prove that  $S(a, K)$  is trivial in the elliptic curve case. In the Drinfeld module case we will compute  $S(a, K)$  when the Drinfeld module has rank 2. We will show in what cases this group is trivial and that it can become arbitrarily large.

## 1. THE DRINFELD MODULE CASE

Let  $X$  be a projective, smooth, absolutely irreducible curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) = p$ , and let  $\infty \in X$  be some fixed closed point on  $X$ .  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$  will denote the function field of  $X$  and  $A$  will denote the ring of functions in  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$  which are regular outside  $\infty$ .

Let  $K$  be some separable, finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ , which is also an  $A$ -algebra via the natural embedding  $\gamma : A \rightarrow K$  and let  $K^s$  be the separable closure of  $K$  inside some algebraic closure  $K^{\text{alg}}$  of  $K$ . Let  $K\{\tau\}$  be the skew polynomial ring consisting of elements  $\sum_i k_i \tau^i$ ,  $k_i \in K$ . Multiplication in  $K\{\tau\}$  is given by the rule  $\tau k = k^q \tau$  for all  $k \in K$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a *Drinfeld module over  $K$  of rank  $r$* , i.e.  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{G}_{a,K}) \cong K\{\tau\},$$

such that for all  $a \in A$

$$\varphi_a = \sum_{i=0}^{r \deg(a)} k_i \tau^i, \quad \text{with } k_{r \deg(a)} \in K^*, k_0 = \gamma(a).$$

Note that we write  $\varphi_a$  instead of  $\varphi(a)$ .

For any field extension  $L$  of  $K$ ,  $\varphi$  induces an  $A$ -module structure on  $L$  via the embedding  $K \subset L$ , by

$$\varphi_a(l) = \sum_i k_i \tau^i(l) = \sum_i k_i l^{q^i} \quad \text{for all } l \in L.$$

$E(L)$  denotes  $L$  with this  $A$ -module structure.

We write  $E[a](L)$  for  $\ker(\varphi_a)(L)$  and moreover  $aQ$  for  $\varphi_a(Q)$ , with  $Q \in E(L)$ . Let  $(a) \subset A$  be a principal prime ideal of  $A$ . The object of study in this paper is the kernel

$$S(a, K) := \ker \left( E(K)/aE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) \right),$$

where the product is taken over all places  $\nu$  of  $K$ .

## 2. THE GROUP $S(a, K)$

For any  $P \in E(K)$ , let

$$K_P := \text{the splitting field of } \varphi_a(Z) - P \in K[Z] \text{ over } K.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The finite field extensions  $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$  are Galois.*

*Proof.* Because  $\frac{d}{dZ}(\varphi_a(Z) - P) = \gamma(a) \neq 0$ , the polynomial  $\varphi_a(Z) - P$  is separable and hence  $K_P$  is a finite Galois extension of  $K$ . In particular  $K_0$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ . Moreover for any  $P$ , let  $Q \in K_P$  be a zero of  $\varphi_a(Z) - P$ , then  $K_P = K_0(Q)$ , because  $Q + \ker(\varphi_a(Z))$  is the set of all zeroes of  $\varphi_a(Z) - P$ . Hence the extension  $K_P \supset K_0$  is also Galois.  $\square$

Note that  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$  is a function field, i.e., a finite separable extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ , for some transcendental element  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ . For function fields, as well as for number fields, we have *Chebotarev's density theorem*, cf. [Jar82]. The following lemma is a consequence of this theorem.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a function field (resp. a number field) and let  $L$  be a finite separable extension of  $K$ . If for all places  $\nu$  of  $K$  there exists a place  $\omega$  of  $L$  of degree 1, then  $K = L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the normal closure of  $L/K$ , then both  $M/L$  and  $M/K$  are finite Galois extensions. Let  $H = \text{Gal}(M/L)$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(M/K)$ . By Chebotarev, every  $\sigma \in G$  is the Frobenius of some place  $\mu$  of  $M$  lying above some place  $\nu$  of  $K$ . This implies that  $\sigma \pmod{\nu}$  generates the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k_{\mu}/k_{\nu})$ , where  $k_{\mu}$  and  $k_{\nu}$  denote the residue fields at  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively. Because both  $M/K$  and  $M/L$  are Galois, there is a  $\tau \in G$  such that the conjugate  $\mu' = \tau(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  lies above a place  $\omega$  of  $L$ , which has degree 1 over  $\nu$ . In particular we see that  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  generates  $\text{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_{\nu}) = \text{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_{\omega})$ , where the equality follows from the fact that  $\deg(\omega/\nu) = 1$ . And thus we see that  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \in H$ , so  $\sigma \in \tau^{-1}H\tau$ .

We conclude that

$$G = \bigcup_{\tau \in G} \tau H \tau^{-1} = \bigcup_{\tau H \in G/H} \tau H \tau^{-1}.$$

Note that  $1 \in \tau H \tau^{-1}$  for all  $\tau \in G$ . On the other hand,  $G$  equals the union of all distinct cosets  $\tau H$ , which is a disjoint union. By comparing

the number of elements one sees that this is only possible if  $H = G$ , hence  $K = M^G = M^H = L$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *For every class  $[P] = P + aE(K) \in S(a, K)$  we have  $K_P = K_0$ . In particular  $S(a, K_0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First note that for every  $Q \in aE(K)$ , we have  $K_Q = K_0$ , hence the extension  $K_P$  only depends on the class  $[P] = P + aE(K)$ .

Let now  $P \in S(a, K)$  and let  $\nu$  be a place of  $K$ . Then  $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$  and correspondingly we have places  $\nu, \nu_0$  and  $\nu_P$ , with  $\nu_0$  a place of  $K_0$  lying above  $\nu$  and  $\nu_P$  a place of  $K_P$  lying above  $\nu_0$ .

Because  $P \in S(a, K)$ , there exists a solution of  $\varphi_a(Z) - P = 0$  in  $K_\nu$ , hence all solutions of this equation lie in  $(K_0)_{\nu_0}$ . This means that  $K_0 \subset K_P \subset (K_0)_{\nu_0}$ . It follows that  $(K_0)_{\nu_0} = (K_P)_{\nu_P}$  and in particular  $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$ . From lemma's (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that  $K_P = K_0$ .

If  $[P] \in S(a, K_0)$ , then  $(K_0)_P = (K_0)_0 = K_0$ , hence  $P \in aE(K_0)$ , thus  $[P] = 0$ .  $\square$

We write throughout this paper  $G_{K_0} = \text{Gal}(K_0/K)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *We have*

$$S(a, K) \cong \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_{\omega}).$$

Here the intersection is taken over all places  $\omega$  of  $K_0$  and  $\text{Res}_{\omega}$  is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_{\omega} : H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0)) \longrightarrow H^1(D_{\omega}, E[a]((K_0)_{\omega})),$$

where  $D_{\omega}$  denotes the decomposition group at  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & S(a, K) & \longrightarrow & S(a, K_0) = 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi & \longrightarrow & E(K)/aE(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/aE(K_{\nu}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\omega} E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega}). \end{array}$$

Clearly, the second and third row are exact as well as all columns. From this it follows that also the first row is exact. Hence we see that  $S(a, K) \cong C$ .

To determine the groups  $C$  and  $B$ , we use some Galois cohomology. Starting from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E[a](K^s) \longrightarrow E(K^s) \xrightarrow{\varphi_a} E(K^s) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we deduce that

$$E(K)/aE(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(K^s/K), E[a](K^s)).$$

By additive Hilbert 90 the cokernel of this map is  $H^1(\text{Gal}(K^s/K), E(K^s)) = 0$ , because  $E(K^s)$  is here just  $(K^s)^+$ , hence

$$E(K)/aE(K) \cong H^1(\text{Gal}(K^s/K), E[a](K^s)).$$

Similarly we deduce that

$$E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \cong H^1(\text{Gal}(K^s/K_0), E[a](K^s)).$$

In particular this means that

$$\Phi \cong H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0)).$$

Applying the same arguments to  $K_\nu$  and  $K_{0,\omega}$ , for some place  $\nu$  of  $K$  and  $\omega$  of  $K_0$  lying above  $\nu$ , we deduce

$$\ker(E(K_\nu)/aE(K_\nu) \rightarrow E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega})) \cong H^1(D_\omega, E[a](K_{0,\omega})),$$

where  $D_\omega$  denotes the decomposition group at  $\omega$ . This isomorphism implies that

$$B \cong \prod_{\nu} \bigcap_{\omega|\nu} H^1(D_\omega, E[a](K_{0,\omega})),$$

where the product runs over all places  $\nu$  of  $K$ . If we furthermore note that the map  $\text{Res}_\omega$  only depends on the place  $\nu$  underlying  $\omega$ , it follows that  $C$  is the kernel of the map  $\prod_{\nu} \text{Res}_\omega$ , with  $\text{Res}_\omega$  as in the proposition.  $\square$

### 3. THE GROUP $H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0))$

In the following we will write  $\mathbb{F} = A/(a)$  and  $V = E[a](K_0)$ . For all field extensions  $L \supset K_0$ , we have  $V = E[a](L)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , because  $(a)$  is prime. It is well-known that  $V \cong \mathbb{F}^r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $\varphi$ . The action of  $\sigma \in G_{K_0}$  on elements in  $K_0$  commutes with the action of  $\varphi_f$  for all  $f \in A$  and hence we have a representation

$$G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \text{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}),$$

which is an embedding because  $K_0$  is given by adjoining the elements of  $V$ , which are the zeroes of  $\varphi_a(Z)$ , to  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every Drinfeld module of rank 1, we have  $S(a, K) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  and thus  $p \nmid \#G_{K_0}$ , but  $p$  does divide  $\#V = \#\mathbb{F}$ , hence  $H^1(G_{K_0}, V) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $W$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space of dimension  $r$ . If  $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ , then*

$$H^1(\text{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

*If  $p \neq 2$ , then*

$$H^1(\text{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

*Proof.* For the first part, note that if  $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ , then we may choose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ , such that  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Hence  $H = \langle \alpha I \rangle$  is a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$ . Note that  $W^H = 0$ . Moreover  $H^1(H, W) = 0$ , because this group is annihilated by both  $\#H$ , which is prime to  $p$ , and  $p$ . By the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})/H, W^H) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) \longrightarrow H^1(H, W) = 0,$$

the first statement follows.

For the second part, we let  $H$  be the normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F})$  consisting of the diagonal matrices, whose diagonal entries are 1 or  $-1$ . If  $r > 1$ , then  $H$  is not trivial and  $W^H = 0$ . Moreover  $\#H \mid 2^r$ , hence  $H^1(H, W) = 0$ , because it is annihilated by both 2 and  $p \neq 2$ . Applying the same sequence as in the proof of the first part gives the result.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* For rank  $r = 2$  the Galois group  $G_{K_0}$  is generically  $\mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ , cf. [Gar01]. It is conjectured that for arbitrary rank this is also true, i.e. the Galois group is generically  $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$ . Proposition (3.2) states that given this conjecture,  $S(a, K)$  is generically 0.

#### 4. THE RANK 2 CASE.

From now on we will assume that the rank of the Drinfeld module  $\varphi$  is 2. Throughout the rest of this paper  $H$  will denote

$$H := G_{K_0} \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}).$$

Note that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_{K_0}$  and that  $p \nmid [G_{K_0} : H]$ , hence

$$H^1(G_{K_0}/H, V^H) = 0$$

and we see by group cohomology that

$$H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

The classification of subgroups  $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  from [Suz82] shows that  $H$  is one of the following.

- (1)  $p \nmid \#H$ .
- (2)  $D_{2n}$ ; in this case  $p = 2$  and  $n$  is odd.
- (3)  $A_5$ ; in this case  $p = 3$ .
- (4)  $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ .
- (5)  $\langle \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}), \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ , where  $\lambda^2$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ , but  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ .
- (6)  $H$  is a Borel group, i.e.,  $H$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $Q$ , such that  $H/Q$  is cyclic of order dividing  $\#\mathbb{F}^*$ .

In the following proposition we deal with most of the subgroups in the classification.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $H$  is of type (1) or (2) or if  $p > 2$  and  $2 \mid \#H$ , then*

$$H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

*Consequently, in all these cases  $S(a, K) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the different types of  $H$ :

*Type (1).*  $H^1(H, V)$  is annihilated by both  $p$  and  $\#H$ , hence

$$H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

*Type (2).* In this case  $p = 2$ . We consider the following exact sequence, where  $x$  is one of the generators of order 2 of  $D_{2n}$

$$H^1(D_{2n}, V) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^1(\langle x \rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\text{Cor}} H^1(D_{2n}, V).$$

Now by [Ser79]  $\text{Cor} \circ \text{Res} = n$ . Because  $x$  is of order 2 and  $p = 2$ , we may assume that

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition (4.3) implies that

$$H^1(\langle x \rangle, V) = 0,$$

hence  $H^1(H, V)$  is annihilated by both 2 and an odd number  $n$ .

*Type  $p > 2$  and  $2 \mid \#H$ .* This implies that  $H$  contains the non-trivial normal subgroup  $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ . Now the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(H/\langle \pm 1 \rangle, V^{\langle \pm 1 \rangle}) \longrightarrow H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \pm 1 \rangle, V) = 0,$$

gives that  $H^1(H, V) = 0$ . □

*Remark 4.2.* The only cases of the classification which are not covered by this theorem are the cases when  $H$  is of type (4) or (5), when  $p = 2$ , and  $H$  is of type (6) (such that  $2 \nmid \#H$ ).

If  $p = 2$  and  $H$  is of type (4), then by [CPS75], table 4.5, we obtain that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G_{K_0}, V) = 1$ . So if  $p = 2$  and  $H$  is of type (4) or (5), this might give rise to examples for which  $S(a, K)$  is non-trivial. If this is possible, then the construction should be analogous to the construction in case  $H$  is of type (6), which we will give in section (5).

*H of type (6).* In the rest of this section we will assume that  $H$  is of type (6) and we compute  $H^1(H, V)$ . Let  $Q$  be the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $H$ . Clearly

$$H^1(H/Q, V^Q) = 0,$$

because this group is annihilated by both  $p$  and  $\#(H/Q)$ , which is prime to  $p$ . It follows that

$$H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(Q, V).$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p^k = \#Q$ , then  $Q = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \rangle$  and  $H = \langle Q, \rho \rangle$ , where

$$\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that the  $\lambda_i$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$  generates  $H/Q$ . Let  $\tau \in Q$  and write  $\text{Res}_{\langle \tau \rangle}$  for the residue map

$$\text{Res}_{\langle \tau \rangle} : H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle \tau \rangle, V).$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *The  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $H^1(Q, V)$  has dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p > 2 \\ -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $H = Q$  and  $\sigma \in Q$  is not the identity, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q.$$

*Proof.* We write  $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$ . Note that  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ -module. The group ring  $\mathbb{F}[Q]$  is isomorphic to the commutative ring  $\mathbb{F}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k]$  with only relations  $\sigma_i^p = 1$ . Write  $x_i = \sigma_i - 1$ , then  $\mathbb{F}[Q]$  is the commutative ring  $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  subject to the relations  $x_i^p = 0$  and these are the only relations.

Note that  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . To compute  $H^1(Q, V)$ , we consider the following free resolution of the  $R$ -module  $\mathbb{F}$ :

$$R^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xrightarrow{d_1} R^k \xrightarrow{d_0} R \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $R$  linear maps are given as follows:

$$d_{-1} : 1 \mapsto 1 \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_k)},$$

write  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  for generators of  $R^k$  over  $R$ , then

$$d_0 : b_i \mapsto x_i,$$

write  $c_1, \dots, c_k, c_{i,j}$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  for the generators of  $R^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}$ , then

$$d_1 : c_i \mapsto x_i^{p-1} b_i, \quad d_1 : c_{i,j} \mapsto x_i b_j - x_j b_i.$$

To see that the given sequence is exact, note that  $\ker(d_0)$  is generated by the elements  $d_1(c_i), d_1(c_{i,j})$  for all  $i, j$ , because these exactly describe all relations in the ring  $R$ .

From this sequence we arrive at the cocomplex

$$V^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xleftarrow{d_1} V^k \xleftarrow{d_0} V \longleftarrow 0,$$

with

$$d_0(v) = (x_1 v, \dots, x_k v),$$

and

$$d_1(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (x_1^{p-1} v_1, \dots, x_k^{p-1} v_k, (x_i v_j - x_j v_i)_{i < j}).$$

To compute  $\ker(d_1)$  and  $\text{im}(d_0)$ , note that the action of  $R$  on  $V$  is given by  $x_i e_1 = 0$  and  $x_i e_2 = \lambda_i e_1$  for all  $i$ . From this it follows immediately that  $\text{im}(d_0)$  is generated over  $\mathbb{F}$  by the vector  $(\lambda_1 e_1, \dots, \lambda_k e_1)$ . Hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{im}(d_0) = 1$ .

To compute  $\ker(d_1)$ , note that  $x_i^{p-1} v = 0$  for all  $v$  if  $p > 2$ . So if  $p > 2$ , then

an element of  $V^k$  lies in  $\ker(d_1)$  iff  $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$ . Write  $v_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2$ , with  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$ , then

$$x_i(a_j e_1 + b_j e_2) = \lambda_i b_j e_1 = x_j(a_i e_1 + b_i e_2) = \lambda_j b_i e_1.$$

From this it follows that  $\ker(d_1)$  is generated by

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1), (\lambda_1 e_2, \dots, \lambda_k e_2),$$

hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k + 1$ . So we see that for  $p > 2$ , that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k$ .

If  $p = 2$ , then elements in  $\ker(d_1)$  must satisfy  $x_i^{p-1} v_i = x_i v_i = 0$ , hence  $v_i = a_i e_1$ , with  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ . Hence  $\ker(d_1)$  is contained in the span of

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1).$$

For vectors in this span clearly also the other equations  $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$  hold, hence this span equals  $\ker(d_1)$  and thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k$ . So for  $p = 2$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k - 1$ .

Clearly, by this computation  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V) = 1$  if  $p > 2$  and 0 if  $p = 2$ . From this the dimension formula for  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle})$  follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that  $H \neq Q$ , say  $H/Q \cong \langle \alpha \rangle$ , with  $2 \nmid \text{ord}(\alpha)$ . Let  $\delta = 1$  if  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$  and  $p > 2$  and  $\delta = 0$  otherwise. Let  $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha^2 \text{ for all } j; \\ l + \delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* First note that we may extend the restriction-inflation sequence as follows (cf. [Ser79]):

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(H/Q, V^Q) \longrightarrow H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q} \longrightarrow H^2(H/Q, V^Q),$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$H^1(H, V) \cong H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q},$$

because  $H^1(H/Q, V^Q) = H^2(H/Q, V^Q) = 0$ .

We will now compute the  $H/Q$ -invariant cocycle classes in  $H^1(Q, V)$ . We will use the following notation: for a cocycle  $\xi : Q \rightarrow V$  we write

$$\xi(\sigma_\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_\lambda \\ y_\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \sigma_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write  $x : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  for the map  $x : \lambda \mapsto x_\lambda$  and  $y : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  for the second coordinate, then the cocycle relations and the relations between the elements in  $Q$  imply that  $x$  and  $y$  are determined by the following relations:

$$(1a) \quad x(\mu + \lambda) = x(\mu) + x(\lambda) + \lambda \mu y(1)$$

$$(1b) \quad y(\lambda) = \lambda y(1) \quad \text{and } y(1) = 0 \text{ if } p = 2.$$

So we see that in particular that  $y$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear. Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$



where  $\alpha$  is as in the proposition. The action of  $H/Q$  on cocycles is given as follows: the cocycle  $\alpha\xi$  maps  $\sigma \mapsto \rho^{-1}\xi(\rho\sigma\rho^{-1})$ . An easy computation now shows that a cocycle class  $[\xi]$  represented by a cocycle  $\xi$  is invariant under  $H/Q$  when there is a coboundary  $\eta$ , given by  $(m_1, m_2) \in V$ , such that for all  $\sigma_\lambda \in Q$ ,

$$\rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda) + \eta(\sigma_\lambda).$$

Let now  $\tilde{\eta}$  be the coboundary given by  $(0, \frac{m_2}{\alpha-1}) \in V$ . An easy computation shows that if we replace  $\xi$  by  $\xi - \tilde{\eta}$ , then for this  $\xi$  the following equation holds:

$$(2) \quad \rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda).$$

This  $\xi$  represents the class  $[\xi]$  uniquely and the relations read in coordinates:

$$(2a) \quad \alpha^{-1}x(\alpha^2\lambda) = x(\lambda)$$

$$(2b) \quad \alpha y(\alpha^2\lambda) = y(\lambda).$$

So if we let  $W$  be the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vectorspace consisting of tuples  $(x, y)$ , where  $x : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is subject to the relations (1a) and (2a) and  $y : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is subject to the relations (1b) and (2b), then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$ . We will compute the latter dimension.

(1b) and (2b) imply that  $\alpha^3 y(1) = y(1)$ . So either  $y = 0$  or  $\alpha$  has order 3 and then  $y$  is determined by  $y(1)$ .

If we let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$  be generators of  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ , where  $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$ , then by (1a) and (2a),  $x$  is determined by  $x(\lambda_i)$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . Hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W \leq l + \delta$ .

Suppose that  $y(1) = 0$ , then  $x$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear. Let  $h$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha^2$ , then for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , we have  $0 = x(h(\alpha^2)\lambda) = h(\alpha)x(\lambda)$ . Hence if  $h(\alpha) \neq 0$ , i.e. if  $h$  is not the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , then  $x(\lambda) = 0$ . Note that  $h$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  iff  $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover if  $\delta = 1$ , then the order of  $\alpha$  is 3, i.e.  $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$  and in particular this means that  $\alpha^p = \alpha^2$ . So we see that if  $\alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha$ , then  $x(\lambda) = y(\lambda) = 0$ , hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$  and let  $y(1) = 0$ , then  $x$  is not only  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear, but even  $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ -semi linear. This means that the  $\mathbb{F}$ -subspace of  $W$  consisting of the tuples  $(x, y)$ , with  $y = 0$ , has dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}' = l$ .

If  $\delta = 0$ , then this subspace equals  $W$  and we see  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$ . If  $\delta = 1$ , then the dimension of  $W$  is either  $l$  or  $l + 1$ . So let  $\delta = 1$ , then  $p > 2$  and  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$ . Suppose that  $y(1) \neq 0$  and let  $x : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be given by  $x(\lambda) = c\lambda^2$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{2}y(1)$ . Then one checks easily that  $x$  has property (1a). And because  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 3$ , it has property (2a) as well. This shows that  $W$  contains an element  $(x, y)$  with  $y \neq 0$ , hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$ .  $\square$

In the following lemma, we show that  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$  does not depend on the choice of  $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *For all  $\sigma, \tau \in Q$ , with both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  not the identity, we have  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $p = 2$ , by proposition (4.3)  $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) = 0$ , hence  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V)$  for all  $\sigma \in Q$ .

Let now  $p > 2$ . Note that

$$\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V) \cap \ker(H^1(Q, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because  $H^1(H, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$ , so we may assume that  $H = Q$ .

Clearly, if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\langle\sigma\rangle = \langle\tau\rangle$ , so  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$ . If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then we may extend them to a basis  $\langle\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\rangle$ , with  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \tau = \sigma_2$  and  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$ .

We write  $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$  such that the  $\sigma_i$ 's are upper triangular on the basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$ . Note that the kernel of  $\text{Res}_{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}$  is the image of

$$H^1(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, V^{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}) \simeq \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1)$$

under the injective inflation map. The inflation map

$$\text{Inf} : \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$$

is given by  $\hat{\xi} \mapsto [\xi]$ , such that if  $\hat{\xi}([\sigma_j]) = a_j \in \mathbb{F}, j \neq i$ , then  $\xi$  is the cocycle given by

$$\xi(\sigma_j) = (a_j, 0) \in V, \quad j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(\sigma_i) = (0, 0).$$

Now we will show that  $\ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_k}) \subset \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_l})$ . If  $[\xi] \in \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_k})$ , it comes from a  $\hat{\xi}$  as mentioned above. Now let  $\eta$  be a coboundary given by  $(m_1, m_2) \in V$ , hence

$$\eta : \sigma_i \mapsto (\lambda_i m_2, 0) \quad \text{for all } i.$$

We choose  $m_2$  such that  $\lambda_l m_2 + a_l = 0$ , then by construction there is a  $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_l\rangle, \mathbb{F} \cdot e_1)$  such that  $\text{Res}_{\sigma_l}(\tilde{\xi}) = [\xi + \eta]$ . This shows that  $[\xi] = [\xi + \eta] \in \ker(\text{Res}_{\sigma_l})$ . □

Recall that for any place  $\omega$  of  $K_0$  the map  $\text{Res}_\omega$  is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_\omega : H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, V).$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$  be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 and let  $H = G_{K_0} \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  be of type (6), with  $p$ -Sylow group  $Q$ . Let  $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$ , then*

$$S(a, K) = \ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)) \bigcap_{\omega: p^2 \nmid \#D_\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_\omega),$$

where the intersection is taken over places  $\omega$  of  $K_0$ . This intersection is finite.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\omega$  is any place of  $K_0$ . If  $p \nmid \#D_\omega$ , then  $\ker(\text{Res}_\omega) = H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$ , because  $H^1(D_\omega, V) = 0$ .

If  $p \mid \#D_\omega$ , but  $p^2 \nmid \#D_\omega$ , then

$$\ker(\text{Res}_\omega) \subset \ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because  $H^1(D_\omega, H) \hookrightarrow H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V)$ . By Chebotarev's density theorem, it follows that there exists a place  $\omega$  of  $K_0$  with  $D_\omega \cong \langle \sigma \rangle$ , from which the theorem follows.

For the finiteness of the intersection, note if  $p^2 \mid \#D_\omega$ , then  $\omega$  is ramified and there are only finitely many ramified places.  $\square$

*Remark 4.7.* Clearly,  $\ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \rightarrow H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V)) \subset \ker(\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle})$ , with  $\text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle} : H^1(H, V) \rightarrow H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V)$  as before. Hence (4.6) combined with (4.4) and (4.3) gives a bound on  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K)$ .

**Corollary 4.8.** *If  $\varphi$  is a Drinfeld module of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p > 2$  prime and  $(a) \subset A$  is a prime ideal of degree 1, then  $S(a, K) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* In this case we have by theorem (4.6) that  $S(a, K) \cong \text{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}$  and because  $H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$  embeds into  $H^1(Q, V)$ , we have by lemma (4.5), that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) \leq -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$ . Note that now  $G_{K_0} \subset \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = 1$ .  $\square$

The proof of theorem (6.1) is similar to the proof of this corollary.

## 5. EXAMPLES OF NON-TRIVIAL $S(a, K)$

**Example 5.1.** Let  $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , where  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 2$  and let  $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ . Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow K\{\tau\}$  be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 given by  $\varphi_t = t + t\tau + t^2\tau^2$ . We let  $K_0 = K(\ker(\varphi_t))$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K_0/K) \subset \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

We consider the decomposition group  $D_t$ . Clearly, the Newton polygon of  $\varphi_t(Z)$  has two slopes, namely 0 and  $\frac{1}{q(q-1)}$ . To factor  $\varphi_t(Z)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))[Z]$ , we need at least a completely ramified extension of degree  $q(q-1)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ . Hence  $D_t \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  contains a subgroup of  $q$  elements. If we compare this with the classification of subgroups of  $\text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in section (4), we see that  $D_t$  contains  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as a subgroup. We conclude that  $\text{Gal}(K_0/K)$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Recall that a system of Artin-Schreier equations over some  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -field  $M$

$$\begin{cases} z_1^p - z_1 = f_1 & f_1 \in M \\ \vdots \\ z_n^p - z_n = f_n & f_n \in M, \end{cases}$$

is called *independent* over  $M$ , if for all  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , such that not all  $\lambda_i$  are 0, the equation  $z^p - z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$  has no solutions in  $M$ . Such a system gives rise to a tower of field extensions  $M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n$  where the extension  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is given by  $z_i^p - z_i = f_i$  and is of degree  $p$ .

**Example 5.2.** Let  $q = p^k$ ,  $p > 2$  and let

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow K\{\tau\},$$

be a Drinfeld module of rank 2, such that  $\text{Gal}(K(\ker(\varphi_t)/K)$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

e.g. the examples of (5.1). We write

$$\varphi_t = t + tc_1\tau + tc_2\tau^2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in K,$$

hence  $K_0$  is the splitting field of the equation

$$(1) \quad 1 + c_1Z^{q-1} + c_2Z^{q^2-1} = 0.$$

Furthermore there exist elements  $P, Q \in K_0$ , such that  $\ker(\varphi_t) = \mathbb{F}_q \cdot P + \mathbb{F}_q \cdot Q$ . We let  $L = K_0^H$ . Because  $\text{Gal}(K_0/L) = H$ , we may assume that  $P \in L$ .

If we substitute  $U = Z^{q-1}$  in (1), we get

$$(2) \quad 1 + c_1U + c_2U^{q+1} = 0.$$

Let  $L_1$  be the splitting field of (2), then we have the field inclusion  $L \subset L_1 \subset K_0$ , where the latter field extension is given by the equation  $U = Z^{q-1}$ . This implies that  $[K_0 : L_1] \mid q-1$ , but  $[K_0 : L] = \#H = q$ , hence  $L_1 = K_0$ . This shows that  $K_0$  is the splitting field of (2) over  $L$ .

Because  $P \in L$ , we already know a solution of (2), namely  $u = P^{q-1}$ . Substituting  $V = U - u$  in (1) gives

$$1 + c_1(V + u) + c_2(V + u)(V^q + u^q) = c_1V + c_2Vu^q + c_2uV^q + c_2V^{q+1}.$$

Subsequently we divide out  $V$  and substitute  $W = V^{-1}$ , which shows that  $K_0/L$  is the splitting field of the equation

$$(3) \quad W^q + \frac{c_2u}{c_1 + c_2u^q}W + \frac{c_2}{c_1 + c_2u^q} = 0.$$

To simplify this equation a little more, we consider it over the extension  $L(b)$  of  $L$ , with  $b^{q-1} = -\frac{c_2u}{c_1 + c_2u^q}$ . Because  $[L(b) : L] \mid q-1$ , the degree of  $M := L(b)$  over  $L$  is relatively prime to  $q$ , hence the splitting field  $M_0 := K_0(b)$  of (3) over  $M$  also has Galois group  $\text{Gal}(M_0/M) \cong H$ .

Substituting  $bX = W$  in (3) gives

$$X^q - X = f, \quad \text{where } f = \frac{1}{bu}.$$

The following theorem shows that  $S(a, K)$  can be arbitrarily large.

**Theorem 5.3.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , there exists a function field  $K$ , a Drinfeld module  $\varphi : A \rightarrow K\{\tau\}$  and a prime ideal  $(a) \subset A$ , such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) = k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q = p^k$  for some integer  $k > 1$  and  $p > 2$  a prime. The computations of example (5.1) and (5.2) show that there is a Drinfeld module  $\varphi$  over some function field  $M$ , such that  $M_0 = M(\ker(\varphi_t))$  is a Galois extension

with Galois group  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and moreover this extension  $M_0/M$  is an Artin-Schreier extension given by

$$(4) \quad X^q - X = f, \quad f \in M.$$

This extension is also given by the system of Artin-Schreier equations

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} x_1^p - x_1 = \beta_1 f \\ \vdots \\ x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f \end{cases},$$

where the  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . To see this, write  $z = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$ , with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . An easy computation shows that  $z$  is a solution of  $X^q - X = f$  if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_k \\ \beta_1^p & \cdots & \beta_k^p \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_1^{p^{k-1}} & \cdots & \beta_k^{p^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because this matrix is invertible (cf. [Gos96]), it follows that (5) is indeed equivalent to  $X^q - X = f$ .

Now we consider the extension  $M(z_1)/M$ , given by  $z_1^p - z_1 = \beta_1 f - g_1$ , where we choose  $g_1$  as follows: for the finitely many places  $\nu$  of  $M$ , for which  $v_\nu(f) < 0$ , we let  $v_\nu(g_1) > 0$  and for one place  $\nu_0$  for which  $v_{\nu_0}(f) > 0$ , we let  $v_{\nu_0}(g_1) = -1$ . Such a  $g_1$  exists, cf. [Bou89], corollary VI.2.1. The condition  $v_{\nu_0}(g) = -1$ , makes sure that system given by (5) and the equation for  $z_1$  is independent: Namely, by Hensel's lemma all equations of (5) have solutions in  $M_{\nu_0}$ , whereas the equation for  $z_1$  gives rise to a totally ramified extension of degree  $p$ .

We conclude that (5) is still independent over  $M(z_1)$ . Now we consider  $M(z_1, z_2)/M(z_1)$  given by  $z_2^p - z_2 = \beta_2 f + g_2$ . We choose  $g_2$  in the same way as we chose  $g_1$ , with  $M$  replaced by  $M(z_1)$ . This implies that (5) is independent over  $M(z_1, z_2)$ . By repeating this we get that (5) is independent over the field  $M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ .

Let  $L/M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$  be the field extension given by (5), then its Galois group is  $H$ . Let  $\nu$  be a place of  $M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$  and let  $v_\nu$  be its corresponding valuation. Let  $\omega$  be a place of  $L$  lying above  $\nu$ . We distinguish the following cases.

- (a)  $v_\nu(f) > 0$ , in this case we see that the equations of (5) are over the residue field given by  $x_i^p - x_i = 0$ , hence they split completely over the residue field. Hensel's lemma implies that  $D_\omega$  is trivial.
- (b)  $v_\nu(f) = 0$ , then also  $v_\nu(\beta_i f) = 0$ , hence all equations of (5) are over the residue field given by  $x_i^p - x_i = \alpha_i$ , with  $\alpha_i$  in the residue field. Hence all equations only give rise to a residue field extension. This

shows that  $\nu$  is in  $L$  and thus  $D_\omega$  is cyclic and can have at most  $p$  elements, because the elements of  $H$  have at most order  $p$ .

- (c)  $v_\nu(f) < 0$ . Note that the equations  $x_i^p - x_i = \beta_i f$  are equivalent to  $y_i^p - y_i = g_i$  by substituting  $y_i = z_i - x_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . Because by construction  $v_\nu(g_i) > 0$ , it follows that these equations give a trivial extension at  $\nu$ . So only the equation  $x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f$  can give rise to a non-trivial extension, but this extension has at most degree  $p$ , hence  $D_\omega$  can at most have  $p$  elements.

We see that at any place  $\omega$ , the decomposition group  $D_\omega$  has at most  $p$  elements. This means that for the non-trivial  $D_\omega$ , the kernel  $\ker(\text{Res}_\omega)$  has dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \ker(\text{Res}_\omega) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^1(H, V) = k - 1,$$

by proposition (4.3). Hence it follows by proposition (4.5), that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} S(t, M(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})) = k - 1.$$

□

## 6. THE ELLIPTIC CURVE CASE

In this section we will treat the analogous problem for elliptic curves. Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over some number field  $K$  and let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  be a prime number. For any  $P \in E(K)$  we denote  $K_P = K(p^{-1}P)$ . In this section we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ , let  $p$  be a prime number, then the kernel*

$$S(p, K) = \ker \left( E(K)/pE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_\nu)/pE(K_\nu) \right),$$

where  $\nu$  runs through the places of  $K$ , is trivial.

As before, we will write  $G_{K_0} = \text{Gal}(K_0/K)$ . Because  $E[p](\overline{K}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p P + \mathbb{F}_p Q$ , with  $P, Q \in K_0$ , we see that

$$G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Clearly  $K_0 \subset K_P$ . We will denote  $V = E[p](K_0) = E[p](K_{0,\omega})$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *For every  $P \bmod pE(K) \in S(p, K)$ , we have  $K_P = K_0$ . In particular  $S(p, K_0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $Q \in pE(K)$ , clearly  $K_Q = K_0$ , hence  $K_P$  only depends on the class  $[P] = P + pE(K)$ . Furthermore, if  $P \in S(p, K)$ , then  $P \in pE(K_\nu)$  for every place  $\nu$  of  $K$ . If we let  $\nu_0$  be a place of  $K_0$  lying above  $\nu$  and  $\nu_P$  be a place of  $K_P$  lying above  $\nu_0$ , then this implies that  $(K_\nu)_P \subset K_{0,\nu_0}$ . This gives rise to an embedding  $K_0 \subset K_P \subset K_{0,\nu_0}$ , hence  $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$ . By lemma (2.2) it follows that  $K_P = K_0$ .

Now  $S(p, K_0) = 0$  as in (2.3). □

**Proposition 6.3.** *We have that*

$$S(p, K) \subset \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_{\omega}),$$

where the intersection is taken over all places  $\omega$  of  $K_0$  and the map  $\text{Res}_{\omega}$  is the restriction map

$$\text{Res}_{\omega} : H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_{\omega}, V),$$

with  $D_{\omega}$  the decomposition group at  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of (2.4), we have the following diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & S(p, K) & \longrightarrow & S(p, K_0) = 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi & \longrightarrow & E(K)/pE(K) & \longrightarrow & E(K_0)/pE(K_0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\nu} E(K_{\nu})/pE(K_{\nu}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\omega} E(K_{0,\omega})/pE(K_{0,\omega}). \end{array}$$

Applying the same arguments of Galois cohomology as in the proof of proposition (2.4) we obtain injections  $E(L)/pE(L) \hookrightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L), E[p](\overline{K}))$  for  $L = K$  and  $L = K_0$ . This gives rise to an embedding  $\Phi \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$ . Arguing in the same way we get an embedding

$$B \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu} H^1(D_{\nu}, E[p](K_{0,\nu})),$$

where the product runs over all places  $\nu$  of  $K$ . This implies that

$$C \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\text{Res}_{\omega}).$$

□

Let  $H = G_{K_0} \cap \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . As before we have

$$H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

Because  $H \subset \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  we have that  $H$  is one of the following, cf. the classification in section (4):

- (1)  $p \nmid \#H$ .
- (2)  $D_2$ ; in this case  $p = 2$ .
- (3)  $\text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .
- (4)  $H$  is a Borel group, i.e.  $H$  has a cyclic normal subgroup  $Q = \langle \sigma \rangle$  of order  $p$  and  $H/Q$  is cyclic of order dividing  $p - 1$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *If  $H$  is of type (1), (2) or (3), then  $H^1(G_{K_0}, V) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Except for the case  $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ , this follows from (4.1). So let  $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and let  $\sigma \in H$  be an element of order 2. The group  $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) = 0$  - this is (4.3), with  $p = 2$  and  $Q = \langle\sigma\rangle$ . Consider the restriction-corestriction sequence

$$H^1(H, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}} H^1(H, V).$$

Then  $\mathrm{Cor} \circ \mathrm{Res} = [H : \langle\sigma\rangle]$ , hence

$$[H : \langle\sigma\rangle]H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Because  $[H : \langle\sigma\rangle]$  is relatively prime to 2, it follows that  $H^1(H, V) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof. Of theorem (6.1).* Because  $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V)$ , we know by (6.4) that if  $H$  is not of type (4), then  $S(a, K) = 0$ . Suppose now that  $H$  is of type (4) and let  $\sigma \in H$  be an element of order  $p$ . Then by Chebotarev and (6.3), we have  $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$ . By (4.3), this kernel has dimension  $-1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = -1 + 1 = 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bou89] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Commutative Algebra. Chapters 1-7, Reprint.
- [CPS75] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott. Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (45):169–191, 1975.
- [Gar01] F. Gardeyn. *t-Motives & Galois Representations*. PhD thesis, University of Ghent, 2001.
- [Gos96] D. Goss. *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Jar82] M. Jarden. The Čebotarev density theorem for function fields: an elementary approach. *Math. Ann.*, 261(4):467–475, 1982.
- [Ser79] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by M. J. Greenberg.
- [Suz82] M. Suzuki. *Group theory. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Translated from the Japanese by the author.

VAKGROEP WISKUNDE RuG, P.O. BOX 800, 9700 AV GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS