

RECOVERING l -ADIC REPRESENTATIONS

C. S. RAJAN

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem of recovering l -adic representations from a knowledge of the character values at the Frobenius elements associated to l -adic representations constructed algebraically out of the original representations. These results generalize earlier results in [Ra] concerning refinements of strong multiplicity one for l -adic representations, and a result of Ramakrishnan [DR] recovering modular forms from a knowledge of the squares of the Hecke eigenvalues. For example, we show that if the characters of some tensor or symmetric powers of two absolutely irreducible l -adic representation with the algebraic envelope of the image being connected, agree at the Frobenius elements corresponding to a set of places of positive upper density, then the representations are twists of each other by a finite order character.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a global field and let G_K denote the Galois group over K of an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero, and M an affine, algebraic group over F . Suppose

$$\rho_i : G_K \rightarrow M(F), \quad i = 1, 2$$

are continuous, semisimple representations of the Galois group G_K into $GL_n(F)$, unramified outside a finite set S of places containing the archimedean places of K . Let

$$R : M \rightarrow GL_m$$

be a rational representation of M into GL_m defined over F . We assume that the kernel Z_R of R , is contained inside the centre of M . In this paper, we are interested in the problem of recovering l -adic representations from a knowledge of l -adic representations constructed algebraically out of the original representations, as follows:

Question 1.1. Let T be a subset of the set of places Σ_K of K satisfying,

$$(1.1) \quad T = \{v \notin S \mid \text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_1(\sigma_v)) = \text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_2(\sigma_v))\},$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F80; Secondary 11R45.

where $\rho_i(\sigma_v)$ for $v \notin S$ denotes the Frobenius conjugacy classes lying in the image. Suppose that T is a ‘sufficiently large’ set of places of K . How are ρ_1 and ρ_2 related?

More specifically, under what conditions on T , R or the nature of the representations ρ_i , can we conclude that there exists a central abelian representation $\chi : G_K \rightarrow Z_R(F)$, such that the representations ρ_2 and $\rho_1 \otimes \chi$ are conjugate by an element of $M(F)$?

Further we would also like to know the answer when we take R to be a ‘standard representation’, for example if R is taken to be k^{th} tensor, or symmetric or exterior powers of a linear representation of M . The representation $R \circ \rho$ can be thought of as an l -adic representation constructed algebraically from the original representation ρ .

When M is isomorphic to GL_m , and R is taken to be the identity morphism, then the question is a refinement of strong multiplicity one and was considered in earlier papers [DR1], [Ra]. In [Ra], we proved Ramakrishnan’s conjecture [DR1], that if the upper density of T is strictly greater than $1 - 1/2m^2$, then $\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2$. Further, if the upper density of T is sufficiently large in relation with the number of connected components of the algebraic envelope of the image of ρ_1 , then the representations are isomorphic upon restriction to the absolute Galois group of a finite extension of K . Moreover it was shown that if ρ_1 is absolutely irreducible, the algebraic envelope of the image of ρ_1 is connected and T is of positive density, then there exists a character χ of G_K , such that $\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi$ (Theorem 3.1 below).

It was shown by Ramakrishnan [DR], that holomorphic newforms are determined upto a quadratic twist from knowing that the squares of the Hecke eigenvalues coincide at a set of places of density at least $17/18$. In this paper we use the methods and techniques of our earlier paper [Ra], to obtain generalisations in the context of l -adic representations, of the theorem of Ramakrishnan. In the above setting, Ramakrishnan’s theorem can be obtained by taking M to be GL_2 , and R to be either the symmetric square or the two fold tensor product of the two dimensional regular representation of $GL(2)$. In the process we obtain a different proof (and also a generalisation) of Ramakrishnan’s theorem.

We break the general problem outlined above into two steps. First we use the results of [Ra], to conclude that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ are isomorphic under suitable density hypothesis on T . We consider then the algebraic envelopes of the l -adic representations, and we try to answer the question of recovering algebraic representations with the role of the Galois group replaced by a reductive group. We first state a general theorem that under suitable density hypothesis on T , we can

conclude upto twisting by a central abelian representation, that the representation ρ_2 is essentially an ‘algebraic twist’ of ρ_1 . Combining this with a theorem of Richardson [Ri], we obtain a finiteness result, that if we fix a l -adic representation ρ_1 , then the collection of l -adic representations ρ_2 satisfying the hypothesis of the above question for some R fall into finitely many ‘algebraic classes’ upto twisting by an abelian representation commuting with ρ_1 .

We then specialize R to be either symmetric, tensor power, adjoint and twisted product (Asai) representations of the ambient group GL_n . In this situation, the ambiguity regarding algebraic twists can be resolved, and under suitable density hypothesis, we can essentially recover representations from knowing that the character values of the symmetric or tensor powers of them coincide. We discuss the situation when the representation is absolutely irreducible and the algebraic envelope of the image is not connected, with the expectation that it may be of use in understanding some aspects of endoscopy, as for instance arising in the work of Blasius [Bl].

Specialising to modular forms we generalise the results of Ramakrishnan [DR], where we consider arbitrary k^{th} powers of the eigenvalues for a natural number k , and also k^{th} symmetric powers in the eigenvalues of the modular forms, and the Asai representations. We also present an application in the context of abelian varieties.

Acknowledgement. The initial ideas for this paper was conceived when the author was visiting Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montréal during the Special Year on Arithmetic held in 1998, and my sincere thanks to CRM for their hospitality and support. I thank M. Ram Murty for useful discussions and the invitation to visit CRM, and to Gopal Prasad for helping me with the references to the work of Richardson’s and of Fong and Greiss. Some of these results were indicated in [Ra2]. The arithmetical application of Theorem 5.4 d), to generalized Asai representations was suggested by D. Ramakrishnan’s work, who had earlier proved a similar result for the usual degree two Asai representations, and I thank him for conveying to me his results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Notation. Denote by Σ_K the set of places of K . For a nonarchimedean place v of K , let \mathfrak{p}_v denote the corresponding prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , and Nv the norm of v be the number of elements of the finite field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_v$. Suppose L is a finite Galois extension of K , with Galois group $G(L/K)$. Let S denote a subset of Σ_K , containing the archimedean places together with the set of places of K which ramify in L . For each place

v of K not in S , and a place w of L lying over v , we have a canonical Frobenius element σ_w in $G(L/K)$, defined by the following property:

$$\sigma_w(x) \cong x^{Nv} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_w}.$$

The set $\{\sigma_w \mid w|v\}$ form the Frobenius conjugacy class in $G(L/K)$, which we continue to denote by σ_v .

Let M denote a connected, reductive algebraic group defined over F , and let ρ be a continuous representation of G_K into $M(F)$, where F is a non-archimedean local field of residue characteristic l . Let L denote the fixed field of \bar{K} by the kernel of ρ . Write $L = \cup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}$, where L_{α} are finite extensions of K . ρ is said to be unramified outside a set of primes S of K , if each of the extensions L_{α} is an unramified extension of K outside S .

We will assume henceforth that all our linear l -adic representations of G_K are continuous and semisimple, since we need to determine a linear representation from its character. By the results of [KR], it follows that the set of ramified primes is of density zero, and hence arguments involving density as in [Ra], go through essentially unchanged. S will indicate a set of primes of density zero, containing the ramified primes of the (finite) number of l -adic representations under consideration, and the archimedean places of K .

Let w be a valuation on L extending a valuation $v \notin S$. The Frobenius elements at the various finite layers for the valuation $w|_{L_{\alpha}}$ patch together to give rise to the Frobenius element $\sigma_w \in G(L/K)$, and a Frobenius conjugacy class $\sigma_v \in G(L/K)$. Thus $\rho(\sigma_w)$ (resp. $\rho(\sigma_v)$) is a well defined element (resp. conjugacy class) in $M(F)$. If $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_m(F)$ is a linear representation, let χ_{ρ} denote the associated character. $\chi_{\rho}(\sigma_v)$ is well defined for v a place of K not in S .

Let G be a connected, reductive group. We have a decomposition,

$$G = C_G G_d,$$

where C_G is the connected component of the center of G , G_d the semisimple component of G , is the derived group of G , and $C_G \cap G_d$ is a finite central subgroup of G_d .

For a diagonalisable group D , let $X^*(D)$ denote the finitely generated dual abelian group of characters of D . D is a torus if and only if $X^*(D)$ is a free abelian group. Given a morphism $\phi : D \rightarrow D'$ between diagonalisable groups, let ϕ^* denote the dual morphism $X^*(D') \rightarrow X^*(D)$. We recall that there is an (anti)-equivalence between the category of diagonalisable groups over \bar{F} and the category of finitely generated abelian groups, where the morphism one way at the level of objects is given by sending D to its character group $X^*(D)$.

For a continuous morphism $\rho : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$, denote by H_ρ denote the algebraic envelope in M of the image group $\rho(G_K)$ inside $M(F)$, i.e., the smallest algebraic subgroup H_ρ of M , defined over F , such that $\rho(G_K) \subset H_\rho(F)$. H_ρ is also the Zariski closure over F of $\rho(G_K)$ inside M . For $i = 1, 2$, let $H_i = H_{\rho_i}$, and let H_i^0 be the identity component of H_i .

We let $R : M \rightarrow GL_m$ denote a rational representation of M , and Z a central subgroup of M containing the kernel Z_R of R .

3. STRONG MULTIPLICITY ONE

We recall here the results of [Ra]. Let $R : M \rightarrow GL_m$ be a rational representation of M . The upper density $ud(P)$ of a set P of primes of K , is defined to be the ratio,

$$ud(P) = \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{v \in \Sigma_K \mid Nv \leq x, v \in P\}}{\#\{v \in \Sigma_K \mid Nv \leq x\}}.$$

Consider the following two hypothesis on the upper density of T , depending on the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 :

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{DH1} : \quad ud(T) > 1 - 1/2m^2$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{DH2} : \quad ud(T) > \min(1 - 1/c_1, 1 - 1/c_2),$$

where $c_i = |R(H_i)/R(H_i)^0|$ is the number of connected components of $R(H_i)$. As a consequence of the refinements of strong multiplicity one proved in [Ra, Theorems 1 and 2], we obtain

Theorem 3.1. *i) If T satisfies **DH1**, then $R \circ \rho_1 \simeq R \circ \rho_2$.*

*ii) If T satisfies **DH2**, then there is a finite Galois extension L of K , such that $R \circ \rho_1|_{G_L} \simeq R \circ \rho_2|_{G_L}$. The connected component $R(H_2^0)$ is conjugate to $R(H_1^0)$. In particular if either H_1 or H_2 is connected and $ud(T)$ is positive, then there is a finite Galois extension L of K , such that $R \circ \rho_1|_{G_L} \simeq R \circ \rho_2|_{G_L}$.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness of exposition, we present a brief outline of the proof and refer to [Ra] for more details. The proof reduces to taking $M = GL_m$ and R to be the identity morphism. Let $\rho = \rho_1 \times \rho_2$, and let G denote the image $\rho(G_K)$. Consider the algebraic subscheme

$$X = \{(g_1, g_2) \mid \text{Tr}(g_1) = \text{Tr}(g_2)\}.$$

It is known that if C is a closed, analytic subset of G , stable under conjugation by G and of dimension strictly smaller than that of G , then the set of Frobenius conjugacy classes lying in C is of density 0.

Using this it follows that the collection of Frobenius conjugacy classes lying in X has a density equal to

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda = \frac{|\{\phi \in H/H^0 \mid H^\phi \subset X\}|}{|H/H^0|}.$$

Since this last condition is algebraically defined, the above expression can be calculated after base changing to \mathbb{C} . Let J denote a maximal compact subgroup of $H(\mathbb{C})$, and let p_1, p_2 denote the two natural projections of the product $GL_m \times GL_m$. Assume that p_1 and p_2 give rise to inequivalent representations of J . (i) follows from the inequalities

$$(3.4) \quad 2 \leq \int_J |\mathrm{Tr}(p_1(j)) - \mathrm{Tr}(p_2(j))|^2 d\mu(j) \leq (1 - \lambda)4m^2,$$

where $d\mu(j)$ denotes a normalized Haar measure on J . The first inequality follows from the orthogonality relations for characters. For the second inequality, we observe that the eigenvalues of $p_1(j)$ and $p_2(j)$ are roots of unity, and hence

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(p_1(j)) - \mathrm{Tr}(p_2(j))|^2 \leq 4m^2.$$

Combining this with the expression for the density λ given by equation 3.3 gives us the second inequality.

To prove (ii), it is enough to show that $H^0 \subset X$. Let $c_1 < c_2$. The density hypothesis implies together with the expression (3.3) for the density, that there is some element of the form $(1, j) \in J \cap X$. The proof concludes by observing that the only element in the unitary group $U(m) \subset GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ with trace equal to m is the identity matrix, and hence the connected component of the identity in J (or H) is contained inside X . \square

Remark 3.1. In the automorphic context, assuming the Ramanujan-Petersson conjectures, it is possible to obtain the inequalities in (3.4), by analogous arguments, and thus a proof of Ramakrishnan's conjecture in the automorphic context. The first inequality follows from replacing the orthogonality relations for characters of compact groups, by the Rankin-Selberg convolution of L -functions, and amounts to studying the behavior at $s = 1$ of the logarithm of the function,

$$L(s, |\pi_1 - \pi_2|^{2'}) := \frac{L(s, \pi_1 \times \tilde{\pi}_1)L(s, \pi_2 \times \tilde{\pi}_2)}{L(s, \pi_1 \times \tilde{\pi}_2)L(s, \tilde{\pi}_1 \times \pi_2)},$$

where π_1 and π_2 are unitary, automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_K)$ of a number field K , and $\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2$ are the contragredient representations of π_1 and π_2 . The second inequality follows from the Ramanujan hypothesis. For more details we refer to [Ra1].

4. RECOVERING REPRESENTATIONS

Theorem 3.1 allowed us to conclude under certain density hypothesis on T , that $R \circ \rho_1 \simeq R \circ \rho_2$. Our aim now is to find sufficient algebraic and group theoretic conditions in order to deduce that ρ_1 and ρ_2 determine each other upto twisting by a central abelian representation. The problem essentially boils down to considering extensions of morphisms between tori.

Let $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an algebraic homomorphism between reductive groups defined over F . Suppose H'_2 is a reductive group over F , with a *surjective* homomorphism,

$$\pi : H'_2 \rightarrow H_2,$$

with $Z'_\pi = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ a central subgroup in H'_2 . We say that a homomorphism $\psi : H_1 \rightarrow H'_2$ defined over \bar{F} , lifts ϕ , if

$$\pi \circ \psi = \phi.$$

We have the following lemma giving sufficient conditions for a lift to exist:

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a homomorphism between connected, reductive groups over F . With notation as above, we have the following:*

1. *There exists a connected, reductive group H'_1 together with a isogeny $f : H'_1 \rightarrow H_1$, and a lift $\psi : H'_1 \rightarrow H'_2$ of $\phi \circ f : H'_1 \rightarrow H_2$.*
2. *Suppose the derived group H_{1d} is simply connected, and that the kernel Z_π of π is connected. Then a lift ψ of ϕ exists.*

In particular if the derived group H_{1d} is simply connected, $H_2 = \text{PGL}(n)$, $H'_2 = \text{GL}(n)$, then a lift exists.

Proof. 1) Let H_{1s} be the simply connected cover of the derived group H_{1d} of H_1 . Let $H'_1 = C_{H_1} \times H_{1s}$. We have a natural finite morphism $f'' : H'_1 \rightarrow H_1$. Since H_{1s} is simply connected, a lifting $\psi_s : H_{1s} \rightarrow H'_2$ of $\phi \circ f''|_{H_{1s}}$ exists. We have reduced the proof to the following statement:

- $H_1 = C_1 \times H_{1s}$, H_{1s} simply connected and C_1 a torus.
- There are morphisms $\psi_s : H_{1s} \rightarrow H'_2$ and $\phi_c : C_1 \rightarrow H_2$, such that the image of ϕ_c commutes with the image of $\phi_s = \pi \circ \psi_s$.
- we need to produce a torus C'_1 together with a isogeny $f_c : C'_1 \rightarrow C_1$ and a lift $\psi_c : C'_1 \rightarrow H'_2$ of $\phi_c \circ f_c$, such that it's image is contained in the commutant of the image group $\psi_s(H_{1s})$.

Let T_2 be the image torus $\phi_c(C_1)$. Since the kernel of π is central, we can find a torus T'_2 contained inside H'_2 which surjects via π onto T_2 ,

and commutes with the image $\psi_s(H_{1s})$. We have an injection at the dual level $X^*(T_2) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} X^*(T'_2)$, and let

$$M(\pi) = \{\alpha \in X^*(T'_2) \mid n\alpha \in \pi^*(X^*(T_2)) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

be the torsion closure of $\pi^*(X^*(T_2))$ inside $X^*(T'_2)$. $M(\pi)$ is a direct summand of $X^*(T'_2)$. Form the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^*(T_2) & \xrightarrow{(\phi \circ f)^*} & X^*(C_{H_1}) \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(\pi) & \longrightarrow & M_1 \end{array}$$

where M_1 is the maximal torsion-free subgroup of the group

$$\frac{M(\pi) \oplus X^*(C_{H_1})}{\text{Image}(\pi^* \oplus (\phi \circ f)^*)(X^*(T_2))}.$$

Since $\pi^*(X^*(T_2))$ is of finite index in $M(\pi)$, M_1 is a free abelian group of the same rank as $X^*(C_{H_1})$. By the duality theory for tori, let C'_1 be a torus with character group M . C'_1 comes equipped with a finite map to C_{H_1} corresponding to the dual map on the character groups $X^*(C_{H_1}) \rightarrow M_1$. Since $M(\pi)$ is a direct summand of $X^*(T'_2)$, there is a map from $C'_1 \rightarrow T'_2$, and by construction this commutes with the image $\psi_s(H_{1s})$.

2) Let ϕ_d denote a lift of $\phi|_{H_{1d}}$ to H'_2 . In order to produce a lift of ϕ , we need to extend the morphism $\phi_d|_{C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d}} : C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d} \rightarrow H'_2$ to a map from C_{H_1} to H'_2 commuting with the image $\phi_d(H_{1d})$. Let T be the image torus $\phi(C_{H_1})$. Let T' be the inverse image of T with respect to π . Since kernel of π is central, T' commutes with the image $\phi_d(H_{1d})$. Hence, it is enough to extend the map $\phi_d|_{C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d}} : C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d} \rightarrow T'$ to a map from C_{H_1} to T' . By the duality theory for tori, to produce a lift over \bar{F} is equivalent to producing a map from $X^*(T')$ to $X^*(C_{H_1})$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^*(C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d}) & \longleftarrow & X^*(T') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X^*(C_{H_1}) & \longleftarrow & X^*(T) \end{array}$$

We have the exact sequence of tori,

$$1 \rightarrow Z_\pi \rightarrow T' \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1,$$

and the corresponding dual sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow X^*(T) \rightarrow X^*(T') \rightarrow X^*(Z_\pi) \rightarrow 1,$$

where the surjection follows from the fact that characters of closed subgroups of diagonalisable groups extend to the ambient group. Since Z_π is connected, we have a splitting $s : X^*(Z_\pi) \rightarrow X^*(T')$ and

$$X^*(T') = X^*(T) \oplus s(X^*(Z)).$$

We are reduced then to producing a lift from $s(X^*(Z_\pi))$ to $X^*(C_{H_1})$ compatible with the projection to $X^*(C_{H_1} \cap H_{1d})$. But since Z_π is connected, $s(X^*(Z_\pi))$ is free, and by duality we obtain a lift as desired. \square

Remark 4.1. (Rationality) The question arises of imposing sufficient conditions in order to ensure that θ is defined over F . From the proof given above, the obstruction to the lift being defined over F lies essentially at the level of the morphism restricted to the center. One sufficient condition is to assume that C_{H_1} and Z are split tori over F , and $T' \simeq T \times Z$ over F . For example, if $M = GL_n$, the representation ρ_1 is absolutely irreducible with H_1 connected and H_{1d} simply connected, and Z is the group of scalar matrices, then θ can be taken to be defined over F .

Definition 4.1. Let $\rho_1 : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$ be a l -adic representation as above, and let H_1 be the algebraic envelope of the image of ρ_1 . A l -adic representation ρ_2 is an *(algebraic) conjugate* of ρ_1 , if there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\theta : H_1 \rightarrow M$ defined over \bar{F} , such that

$$\rho_2 = \theta \circ \rho_1.$$

Let Z be a subgroup of the center of M . ρ_2 is said to be a conjugate of ρ_1 upto twisting by a central abelian representation with values in Z , if there exists a homomorphism $\chi : G_K \rightarrow Z(\bar{F})$ such that for any $\sigma \in G_K$, we have

$$\rho_2(\sigma) = (\theta \circ \rho_1)(\sigma)\chi(\sigma).$$

Let $R : M \rightarrow GL_m$ be a rational representation of M such that the kernel Z_R of R is a central subgroup of M . Combining Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following general theorem giving sufficient conditions to recover l -adic representations under suitable density hypothesis for the corresponding characters:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\rho_i : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$, $i = 1, 2$ be l -adic representations as above. With the above notation, we have the following:*

*i) Suppose that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy **DH2**. Then the following hold:*

- there exists a connected, reductive group H'_1 over \bar{F} with a finite morphism $f : H'_1 \rightarrow H_1^0$ and an algebraic homomorphism $\theta : H'_1 \rightarrow M$.
- a finite extension L of K , and a splitting $\rho'_1 : G_L \rightarrow H'_1(\bar{F})$ satisfying $f \circ \rho'_1 = \rho_1|_{G_L}$.
- there exists a representation $\chi : G_L \rightarrow Z_R(\bar{F})$ such that,

$$\rho_2|_{G_L} = (\theta \circ \rho'_1) \otimes \chi.$$

ii) Suppose that H_1 is connected, and the semisimple component H_{1d}^0 is simply connected. Let Z be a connected, central subgroup of M containing the kernel Z_R of R .

a) Suppose further that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy **DH2**. Then there exists a finite extension L of K , such that $\rho_2|_{G_L}$ is an algebraic twist of $\rho_1|_{G_L}$ upto twisting by a representation with values in Z .

b) Suppose that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy **DH1**. Then ρ_2 is an algebraic twist of ρ_1 upto twisting by a representation with values in Z .

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we can assume by the density hypothesis **DH2**, that there exists a finite extension L of K , such that

$$R \circ \rho_1|_{G_L} \simeq R \circ \rho_2|_{G_L}.$$

If **DH1** is satisfied, we can take $L = K$.

For $i = 1, 2$, let \bar{H}_i denote the image of H_i in the quotient group M/Z_R . The morphism R factors via

$$R : M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/Z_R \xrightarrow{\bar{R}} GL_m,$$

where $\pi : M \rightarrow M/Z_R$ denotes the projection map, and \bar{R} is the embedding of M/Z_R into GL_m given by R . Denote by

$$\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2 : G_K \rightarrow (M/Z_R)(F)$$

obtained from the inclusion $M(F)/Z_R(F) \subset (M/Z_R)(F)$. Since $R \circ \rho_1 \simeq R \circ \rho_2$, there is $A \in GL_m(F)$ such that

$$R \circ \rho_2(g) = A^{-1}(R \circ \rho_1)(g)A, \quad \forall g \in G_K.$$

Denote by θ_A the automorphism of GL_m , given by conjugation by A . The above equation translates to,

$$\theta_A \circ \bar{R} \circ \bar{\rho}_1 = \bar{R} \circ \bar{\rho}_2$$

as morphisms from G_K to $GL_m(F)$. The image subgroups $\bar{\rho}_1(G_K)$ and $\bar{\rho}_2(G_K)$ are Zariski dense in \bar{H}_1 and \bar{H}_2 respectively. Thus $\theta_A \circ \bar{R}$ defines a homomorphism from \bar{H}_1 to $\bar{R}(\bar{H}_2)$. Since \bar{R} is an isomorphism of M/Z_R onto its image, there exists an algebraic isomorphism

$$\bar{\theta} : \bar{H}_1 \rightarrow \bar{H}_2,$$

satisfying

$$\bar{\theta} \circ \bar{\rho}_1 = \bar{\rho}_2.$$

To prove the first part, by Lemma 4.1, there exists a connected reductive group H'_1 over \bar{F} , a finite morphism $f : H'_1 \rightarrow H_1^0$, and a morphism $\theta : H'_1 \rightarrow H_2$ lifting $\bar{\theta} \circ \pi_1$, where π_1 is the projection from $H_1 \rightarrow \bar{H}_1$. Since f is a finite central isogeny, pulling back this central extension of $H_1^0(F)$ to G_K by the morphism ρ_1 , we obtain a finite, central extension of G_K by a finite abelian group A . This gives an element α in $H^2(G_K, A) := \varinjlim_L H^2(G_K/G_L, A)$, where L runs over the collection of finite Galois extensions of K contained inside \bar{K} . Thus there is a finite extension L of K , at which α can be considered as a class in $H^2(G_K/G_L, A)$, and then the restriction of α to G_L becomes trivial. Hence the central extension splits after going to a finite extension, and so we obtain a splitting $\rho'_1 : G_L \rightarrow H'_1(\bar{F})$ satisfying $f \circ \rho'_1 = \rho_1|_{G_L}$.

Since $\bar{\theta} \circ \bar{\rho}_1 = \bar{\rho}_2$, we obtain

$$\pi \circ \theta \circ \rho'_1 = (\pi \circ \rho_2)|_{G_L},$$

as morphisms from G_L to $M(F)$. Since the kernel of π at the level of F -points is $Z_R(F)$, we obtain the first part of the theorem.

To prove the second and the third parts of the theorem, since $Z_R \subset Z$, it follows from reasoning as above that there is an isomorphism $\bar{\theta}$ between the images of H_1 and H_2 in M/Z . Using the fact that $H_2/H_2 \cap Z \simeq H_2Z/Z$, we now apply the second part of Lemma 4.1 to obtain the proof in these cases. \square

Part ii b) of the foregoing theorem allows us to avoid base changing our original representation to an extension of the base field, but instead allow the values of the twisting character to lie in a connected, central group containing Z_R . We now give a criterion for extending an isomorphism between two representations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ be l -adic representations of G_K . Suppose there is a finite extension L of K such that $\rho_1|_{G_L} \simeq \rho_2|_{G_L}$. Assume further that $\rho_1|_{G_L}$ is absolutely irreducible. Then there is a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow F^*$ such that,*

$$\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi.$$

Proof. By Schur's lemma, the commutant of $\rho_1(G_L)$ inside the algebraic group GL_n/F , is a form of GL_1 . By Hilbert Theorem 90, the commutant of $\rho_1(G_L)$ inside $GL_n(F)$, consists of precisely the scalar matrices.

For $\sigma \in G_K$, let $T(\sigma) = \rho_1(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma)$. Since $\rho_1|_{G_L} = \rho_2|_{G_L}$, $T(\sigma) = Id$ for $\sigma \in G_L$. Now for $\tau \in G_L$ and $\sigma \in G_K$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_1(\tau)T(\sigma) &= \rho_2(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_1(\sigma)\rho_1(\tau)\rho_1(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma) \\ &= \rho_2(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_1(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma) \\ &= \rho_2(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma) \\ &= \rho_2(\tau) \\ &= \rho_1(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(\sigma)$ is equivariant with respect to the representation $\rho_1|_{G_L}$, and hence is given by a scalar matrix $\chi(\sigma)$. Since $\chi(\sigma)$ is a scalar matrix, it follows that for $\sigma, \tau \in G_K$, $\chi(\sigma\tau) = \chi(\sigma)\chi(\tau)$, i.e., χ is character of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ into the group of invertible elements F^* of F , and $\rho_2(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma)\rho_1(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G_K$. \square

Remark 4.2. In the context of Question 1.1, the above lemma is applicable, whenever H_{1d} has a unique representation into GL_n , or as we shall see in the next section when R is a tensor or symmetric power of the original representation and L is such that the algebraic envelope of the image of $(\rho_1 \times \rho_2)|_{G_L}$ is connected. In conjunction with Theorem 4.1, it allows us to impose a mild hypothesis- that T has positive upper density for an answer to Question 1.1.

We now single out the case when $M = GL_n$. Other interesting examples can be obtained by specialising M to be GSp_n or GO_n . For the sake of simplicity we assume that H_{1d}^0 is simply connected. Observe that in the special case when ρ_1 is further irreducible, by Remark 4.1 following Lemma 4.1, we obtain that θ is defined over F .

Corollary 4.1. *Let $M = GL_n$, and assume that the semisimple component H_{1d}^0 of the connected component of the algebraic envelope of the image of ρ_1 is simply connected. Let $R : GL_n \rightarrow GL_m$ be a rational representation, $c_i = |R(H_i)/R(H_i)^0|$, and let*

$$T = \{v \in \Sigma_K - S \mid \text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_1(\sigma_v)) = \text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_2(\sigma_v))\}.$$

a) Suppose that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy the following **DH2**:

$$ud(T) > \min(1 - 1/c_1, 1 - 1/c_2).$$

Then there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\theta : H_1 \rightarrow M$ defined over F , a finite extension L of K , and a character $\chi : G_L \rightarrow GL_1(F)$ such that,

$$\rho_2|_{G_L} = (\theta \circ \rho_1|_{G_L}) \otimes \chi.$$

b) Assume further that H_1 is connected and that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy the following **DH1**:

$$ud(T) > 1 - 1/2m^2.$$

Then there is an algebraic homomorphism $\theta : H_1 \rightarrow M$ defined over F , and a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow GL_1(F)$ such that,

$$\rho_2 = (\theta \circ \rho_1) \otimes \chi.$$

c) If further H_{1d}^0 has a unique absolutely irreducible representation to GL_n upto equivalence, and if $ud(T)$ is positive, we have

$$\rho_2 = \rho_1 \otimes \chi.$$

Remark 4.3. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group over F , and let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m$ be the irreducible representations of G to GL_n . Let R denote the tensor product $(F^n)^{\otimes m}$, on which G acts via the tensor product of the representations $\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_m$. Suppose $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ is a Galois representation with the Zariski closure of the image being isomorphic to G . We do have for any i that $R \circ \rho \simeq R \circ (\theta_i \circ \rho)$. Thus if we are dealing with R general, it is not possible to limit θ further. In the next section, we will see that if we restrict R to be the tensor or symmetric power of the original representation, then for ‘general’ representations (meaning non-induced), we can conclude under the hypothesis of the Theorem that θ can be taken to be conjugation by an element of $GL_n(F)$.

Remark 4.4. In the automorphic context, if we replace G_K by the conjectural Langlands group L_K whose admissible representations to the dual L -group of a reductive group G over K , parametrize automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_K)$, then corresponding to R as above, there is a conjectural functoriality lift. In this context the theorems above and in the next section, amount to finding a description of the fibres of the functoriality lifting with respect to R .

4.1. A finiteness result. Given a representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$ and an element $m \in M(\bar{F})$, define the twisted representation $\rho^{(m)} : G_K \rightarrow M(\bar{F})$ by

$$\rho^{(m)}(\sigma) = m^{-1}\rho(\sigma)m.$$

Combining Theorem 4.1 with a theorem of Richaradson [Ri], we deduce a finiteness result upto twisting by representations with values in the center. For the sake of simplicity, we impose some additional hypothesis on ρ_1 .

Corollary 4.2. *Fix a continuous representation $\rho_1 : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$ as above. Assume that H_1 is connected, H_{1d} is simply connected, and that the center Z of M is connected. Then there exists finitely many representations $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_d$ with the following property: suppose $\rho : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$ is a continuous representation as above such that $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho$ satisfy **DH1**, where $R : M \rightarrow GL_m$ is some rational representation of M with kernel contained in the center Z of M . Then there exists $m \in M(\bar{F})$ and a representation $\chi : G_K \rightarrow Z(\bar{F})$ with values in Z , such that*

$$\rho \simeq \rho_i^{(m)} \otimes \chi.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain that there exists a morphism $\theta : H_{1d} \rightarrow M$ defined over \bar{F} , and a representation $\chi : G_K \rightarrow Z(\bar{F})$, such that under the hypothesis of the Theorem,

$$\rho \simeq \rho_i^{(m)} \otimes \chi.$$

It follows from a theorem of Richardson [Ri], that upto conjugacy by elements in $M(\bar{F})$, there exists only finitely many representations of H_{1d} into M . Choosing a representative in each equivalence class, we obtain the Theorem. \square

5. TENSOR AND SYMMETRIC POWERS, ADJOINT, ASAI AND INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

We now specialize R to some familiar representations. The algebraic formulation of our problem, allows us the advantage to base change and work over the complex numbers. For a linear representation ρ of a group G into GL_n , let $T^k(\rho)$, $S^k(\rho)$, $E^k(\rho)$ ($k \leq n$), $\text{Ad}(\rho)$ be respectively the k^{th} tensor, symmetric, exterior product and adjoint representations of G .

5.1. Tensor powers.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a connected algebraic group over a characteristic zero base field F , and let ρ_1, ρ_2 be finite dimensional semisimple representations of G into GL_n . Suppose that*

$$T^k(\rho_1) \simeq T^k(\rho_2)$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Then $\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2$.

Proof. We can work over \mathbb{C} . Let χ_{ρ_1} and χ_{ρ_2} denote respectively the characters of ρ_1 and ρ_2 . Since $\chi_{\rho_1}^k = \chi_{\rho_2}^k$, χ_{ρ_1} and χ_{ρ_2} differ by a k^{th} root of unity. Choose a connected neighbourhood U of the identity in $G(\mathbb{C})$, where the characters are non-vanishing. Since $\chi_{\rho_1}(1) = \chi_{\rho_2}(1)$, and the characters differ by a root of unity, we have $\chi_{\rho_1} = \chi_{\rho_2}$ on U .

Since they are rational functions on $G(\mathbb{C})$ and U is Zariski dense as G is connected, we see that $\chi_{\rho_1} = \chi_{\rho_2}$ on $G(\mathbb{C})$. Since the representations are semisimple, we obtain that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are equivalent. \square

Example 5.1. The connectedness assumption cannot be dropped. Fong and Greiss [FG] (see also Blasius[Bl]), have constructed for infinitely many triples (n, q, m) homomorphisms of $PSL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ into $PGL_m(\mathbb{C})$, which are elementwise conjugate but not conjugate as representations. Here \mathbb{F}_q is the finite field with q -elements. Lift two such homomorphisms to representations

$$\rho_1, \rho_2 : SL(n, \mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C}).$$

We obtain that for each $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, $\rho_1(g)$ is conjugate to $\lambda \rho_2(g)$, with λ a scalar. Let l be an exponent of the group $SL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $g^l = 1$ implies that $\lambda^l = 1$. It follows that the characters χ_1 and χ_2 of ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively satisfy

$$\chi_1^l = \chi_2^l.$$

Thus the l^{th} tensor powers of ρ_1 and ρ_2 are equivalent, but by construction there does not exist a character χ of $SL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi$.

5.2. Symmetric powers.

Proposition 5.2. *Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over a characteristic zero base field F . Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be finite dimensional representations of G into GL_n . Suppose that*

$$S^k(\rho_1) \simeq S^k(\rho_2)$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Then $\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2$.

Proof. We can work over \mathbb{C} . Let T be a maximal torus of G . Since two representations of a reductive group are equivalent if and only if their collection of weights with respect to a maximal torus T are the same, it is enough to show that the collection of weights of ρ_1 and ρ_2 with respect to T are the same. By Zariski density or Weyl's unitary trick, we can work with a compact form of $G(\mathbb{C})$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_r . Let \mathfrak{t} be a maximal torus inside \mathfrak{g}_r . The weights of the corresponding Lie algebra representations associated to ρ_1 and ρ_2 are real valued. Consequently we can order them with respect to a lexicographic ordering on the dual of \mathfrak{t} .

Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ (resp. $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$) be the weights of ρ_1 (resp. ρ_2) with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ (resp. $\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n$). The weights of $S^k(\rho_1)$

are composed of elements of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} k_i \lambda_i \mid \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} k_i = k \right\},$$

and similarly for $S^k(\rho_2)$.

By assumption the weights of $S^k(\rho_1)$ and $S^k(\rho_2)$ are same. Since $k\lambda_1$ (resp. $k\mu_1$) is the highest weight of $S^k(\rho_1)$ (resp. $S^k(\rho_2)$) with respect to the lexicographic ordering, we have $k\lambda_1 = k\mu_1$. Hence $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$.

By induction, assume that for $j < l$, $\lambda_j = \mu_j$. Then the set of weights $\{\sum_{i < j} k_i \lambda_i \mid \sum k_i = k\}$ and $\{\sum_{i < j} k_i \mu_i \mid \sum k_i = k\}$ are same. Hence the complementary sets $T_1(i)$ (resp. $T_2(i)$) composed of weights in $S^k(\rho_1)$ (resp. $S^k(\rho_2)$), where at least one λ_j (resp. μ_j) occurs with positive coefficient for some $j \geq i$ are the same.

The highest weight in $T_1(i)$ is $(k-1)\lambda_1 + \lambda_i$, and in $T_2(i)$ is $(k-1)\mu_1 + \mu_i$. Since $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$, we obtain $\lambda_i = \mu_i$. Hence we have shown that the collection of weights of ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the same, and so the representations are equivalent. \square

5.3. Adjoint and Generalized Asai representations. For a G -module V , let $\text{Ad}(V)$ denote the adjoint G -module given by the natural action of G on $\text{End}(V) \simeq V^* \otimes V$, where V^* denotes the dual of V .

Example 5.2. Let G be a semisimple group, and let V, W be non self-dual irreducible representations of G . Let $V_1 = V \otimes W$, $V_2 = V \otimes W^*$, considered as $G \times G$ -modules. Then as $G \times G$ irreducible modules (or as reducible G modules), $\text{Ad}(V_1) \simeq \text{Ad}(V_2)$, but V_1 is neither isomorphic to V_2 or to the dual V_2^* .

However when G is simple, the following proposition is proved in [Ra3].

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let V_1, \dots, V_n and W_1, \dots, W_m be non-trivial, irreducible, finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules. Assume that there is an isomorphism of the tensor products,*

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \simeq W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_m,$$

as \mathfrak{g} -modules. Then $m = n$, and there is a permutation τ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, such that

$$V_i \simeq W_{\tau(i)},$$

as \mathfrak{g} -modules.

In particular, if V, W are irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules, and assume that

$$\text{End}(V) \simeq \text{End}(W),$$

as \mathfrak{g} -modules. Then V is either isomorphic to W or the dual \mathfrak{g} -module W^* .

The above theorem arose out of the application to recovering representations knowing that the adjoint representations are isomorphic. The arithmetical application of the above theorem to Asai representations was suggested by D. Ramakrishnan's work, who has proved a similar result as below for the usual degree two Asai representations, and I thank him for conveying to me his results. We consider now a generalisation of Asai representations. Let K/k be a Galois extension with Galois group $G(K/k)$. Given ρ , we can associate the *pre-Asai representation*,

$$As(\rho) = \bigotimes_{g \in G(K/k)} \rho^g,$$

where $\rho^g(\sigma) = \rho(\tilde{g}\sigma\tilde{g}^{-1})$, $\sigma \in G_K$, and where $\tilde{g} \in G_k$ is a lift of $g \in G(K/k)$. At an unramified place v of K , which is split completely over a place u of k , the Asai character is given by,

$$\chi_{As(\rho)}(\sigma_v) = \prod_{v|u} \chi_\rho(\sigma_v).$$

Hence we get that upto isomorphism, $As(\rho)$ does not depend on the choice of the lifts \tilde{g} . If further $As(\rho)$ is irreducible, and K/k is cyclic, then $As(\rho)$ extends to a representation of G_k (called the Asai representation associated to ρ when $n = 2$ and K/k is quadratic).

5.4. Exterior powers. Let V and W be G -modules. It does not seem possible to conclude in general from an equivalence of the form $E^k(V) \simeq E^k(W)$ as G -modules, that $V \simeq W$. Here $E^k(V)$ denotes the exterior k^{th} power of V . For example, let V be a non self-dual G -module of even dimension $2n$. If G is semisimple, then $E^n(V)$ is self-dual, and also that $E^n(V)$ is dual to $E^n(V^*)$, where V^* denotes the dual of V . Hence we have $E^n(V) \simeq E^n(V^*)$, but $V \not\simeq V^*$.

It would be interesting to know, for the possible applications in geometry, the relationship between two linear representations of a connected reductive group G over \mathbb{C} into GL_n , given that their exterior k^{th} power representations for some positive integer $k < n$ are isomorphic.

5.5. We summarize the results obtained so far when R is taken to be a special representation.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ be l -adic representations of G_K . Let R be the representation T^k or S^k of GL_n , for some $k \geq 1$. Suppose that the representations $R \circ \rho_1$ and $R \circ \rho_2$ satisfy **DH2**. Then*

a) *There is a finite extension L of K such that*

$$\rho_1|_{G_L} \simeq \rho_2|_{G_L}.$$

b) *Assume further that H_1^0 acts irreducibly on F^n . Then there is a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow F^*$ such that,*

$$\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi.$$

c) *Suppose now that H_1^0 acts irreducibly on F^n and that the Lie algebra of the semisimple component of H_1^0 is simple. Assume now that the representations $\text{Ad}(\rho_1)$ and $\text{Ad}(\rho_2)$ satisfy **DH2**. Then there is a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow F^*$ such that*

$$\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_2 \simeq \rho_1^* \otimes \chi.$$

d) *Suppose now that H_1^0 acts irreducibly on F^n and that the Lie algebra of the semisimple component of H_1^0 is simple. Let $G(K/k)$ be a finite group of automorphisms acting on K , with quotient field k . Assume now that the generalized Asai representations $\text{As}(\rho_1)$ and $\text{As}(\rho_2)$ satisfy **DH2**. Then there is a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow F^*$, and an element $g \in G(K/k)$ such that*

$$\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1^g \otimes \chi.$$

e) *Suppose that the representations $T^k(\rho_1)$ and $T^k(\rho_2)$ satisfy **DH1**. Then if ρ_1 is irreducible, so is ρ_2 .*

Proof. Let $\rho := \rho_1 \times \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow (GL_n \times GL_n)(F)$ be the product representation, and let $H = H_\rho$. Applying the above Propositions 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 to H^0 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain parts a), b), c) of the theorem. Part d) follows, since by assumptions the twisted tensor product can be considered as different representations of the same algebraic group (since the algebraic envelopes of the twists are isomorphic), and applying Theorem 5.3. Part e) follows from Proposition 5.5 proved below.

□

5.6. Induced representations. Theorem 5.4 shows that it is easier to understand the generic situation when the algebraic envelope is connected and the representation is absolutely irreducible. The troublesome case then is to consider representations which are induced from a subgroup of finite index. We now discuss Question 1.1 in this context, and hope that this will help in clarifying some of the issues pertaining to endoscopy in the context of the work of Labesse and Langlands and Blasius [Bl]. We first present another application of the algebraic machinery.

Proposition 5.5. *Let G be an algebraic group over a characteristic zero base field F , and let ρ_1, ρ_2 be finite dimensional semisimple representations of G into GL_n . Suppose that*

$$T^k(\rho_1) \simeq T^k(\rho_2)$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Then if ρ_1 is irreducible, so is ρ_2 .

Proof. The proof follows by base changing to complex numbers and considering the characters χ_1, χ_2 of the representations restricted to a maximal compact subgroup. The characters differ by a root of unity in each connected component, and hence $\langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle = \langle \chi_2, \chi_2 \rangle$. Hence it follows by Schur orthogonality relations that if one of the representations is irreducible, so is the other. \square

We continue with the hypothesis of the above proposition. By Proposition 5.1, we can assume that $\rho_1|_{G^0}$ and $\rho_2|_{G^0}$ are isomorphic, which we will denote by ρ^0 . Write

$$\rho^0 = \oplus_{i \in I} r_i,$$

where r_i are the representations on the isotypical components, and I is the indexing set of the isotypical components of $\rho^{(0)}$. The group $\Phi := G/G^0$ acts via the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 to give rise to two permutation representations σ_1 and σ_2 on I . For each $\phi \in \Phi$, $l = 1, 2$ let

$$S_l(\phi) = \{i \in I \mid \sigma_l(\phi)(i) = i\}.$$

Denote by V_l^ϕ the representation space of H^0 obtained by taking the direct sum of the representations indexed by the elements occurring in $S_l(\phi)$. For $\phi \in \Phi$, let G^ϕ denote the corresponding connected component (identity component is G^0). Let p_l^ϕ, p_l^0 denote respectively the projections of G^ϕ, G^0 to $GL(V_l^\phi)$. With these assumptions we have,

Proposition 5.6. *Let G be an algebraic group over a characteristic zero base field F , and let ρ_1, ρ_2 be finite dimensional semisimple representations of G into GL_n . Suppose that*

$$T^k(\rho_1) \simeq T^k(\rho_2)$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Assume further that either ρ_1 or ρ_2 is absolutely irreducible. With notation as above, we have for all $\phi \in \Phi$, $S_1(\phi) = S_2(\phi)$. In particular we have $\text{Ker}(\sigma_1) = \text{Ker}(\sigma_2)$.

Assume further that one of the representations is irreducible. Then ρ_1 and ρ_2 are induced respectively from representations r'_1, r'_2 of the same subgroup G' of G , such that the restriction of r'_1 and r'_2 to G^0 is an isotypical component of ρ^0 .

Proof. Let η_i denote the character of r_i . Let $G^{(\phi)}$ denote the coset of G^0 in G corresponding to $\phi \in \Phi$. Denote by χ_1 and χ_2 the characters of ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively. For an element $g\phi \in G^\phi$, $g \in G^0$, $l = 1, 2$ we have

$$\chi_l(g\phi) = \sum_{i \in S_l(\phi)} \eta_i(g).$$

Since $\chi_1^k = \chi_2^k$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in S_1(\phi)} \eta_i = \zeta \sum_{i \in S_2(\phi)} \eta_i,$$

for some ζ a k^{th} root of unity. The first part of the lemma follows from linear independence of irreducible characters of a group.

To prove the second assertion, fix an isotypical component say r_{i_0} of ρ^0 . The subgroup G' of G which stabilizes r_{i_0} is the same for both the representations. Let r'_1, r'_2 be the extension of r_{i_0} as representations of G' associated respectively to ρ_1 and ρ_2 . It follows by theorems of Clifford that the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are induced from r'_1 and r'_2 respectively. \square

Assume now that the constituents r_i of ρ^0 are absolutely irreducible, i.e, the irreducible representations occur with multiplicity one. In the notation of Proposition 5.6, the assumption of multiplicity one on ρ^0 , implies that $r'_2 = r'_1 \otimes \chi$ for some character $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G', F'^*)$ trivial upon restriction to G^0 , where F' is a finite extension of F . Assuming the hypothesis of Proposition 5.5, we would like to know whether ρ_2 and ρ_1 differ by a character. This amounts to knowing that the character χ extends to a character of G , since the representations are induced. Assume from now onwards that G' is normal in G . Then the question of extending χ amounts first to showing that χ is invariant and then to show that invariant characters extend.

Remark 5.1. We rephrase the problem in a different language, with the hope that it may shed further light on the question. For $\sigma \in G$, let $T(\sigma) = \rho_1(\sigma)^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma)$ be as in Lemma 4.2. The calculations of Lemma 4.2 with now $\sigma \in G, \tau \in G^0$, show that $T(\sigma)$ takes values in the commutant of ρ^0 . Let S denote the F' -valued points of commutant torus of ρ^0 which is defined over a finite extension F' of F . Since we have assumed that ρ_1 is irreducible, G acts on S via σ_1 transitively as a permutation representation on indexing set I . Hence I can be taken to be G/G' and S is isomorphic to the induced module $\text{Ind}_{G'}^G(F'^*)$, where the action of G' on F'^* is trivial. We have for $\sigma, \tau \in G$,

$$T(\sigma\tau) = \rho_1(\tau)^{-1}T(\sigma)\rho_1(\tau)T(\tau),$$

i.e, T is a one cocycle on G with values in S . Since S is induced, the ‘restriction’ map $H^1(G, S) \rightarrow H^1(G', F'^*)$ is an isomorphism. The invariants of the G -action on S is given by the diagonal F'^* sitting inside S , and the composite map, $\text{Hom}(G, F'^*) \rightarrow H^1(G, S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G', F'^*)$ is the restriction map. To say that χ extends to a character of G , amounts to knowing that χ lies in the image of this composite map.

Example 5.3. We consider the example given by Blasius [Bl] in this context. Let n be an odd prime, and let H_n be the finite Heisenberg group, with generators A, B, C subject to the relations: $A^n = B^n = C^n = 1$, $AC = CA$, $BC = CB$, $AB = CBA$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for \mathbb{C}^n , and let ξ_n be a primitive n^{th} root of unity. For each integer a coprime to n , define the representation $\rho_a : H_n \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ by,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_a(A)e_i &= \xi_n^{(i-1)a}e_i \\ \rho_a(B)e_i &= e_{i+1} \\ \rho_a(C)e_i &= \xi_n^a e_i,\end{aligned}$$

where the notation is that $e_{n+1} = e_1$. It can be seen that ρ_a are irreducible representations, and that the corresponding projective representations for any pair of integers a, b not congruent modulo n , are inequivalent. Further for any element $h \in H_n$, the images of $\rho_a(h)$ and $\rho_b(h)$ in $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ are conjugate. Hence it follows that for some positive integer k (which we can take to be n) the representations $T^k(\rho_a)$ and $T^k(\rho_b)$ are isomorphic.

Let T be the abelian normal subgroup of index n generated by A and C . There exists a character χ_{ab} of T such that $\rho_a|_T \simeq \rho_b|_T \otimes \chi_{ab}$. From the theory of induced representations, it can be further checked that $\rho_a|_T$ has multiplicity one, and that ρ_a is induced from a character ψ_a of T . However we have that there does not exist any character η of H_n such that $\rho_a \simeq \rho_b \otimes \eta$.

With this example in mind, we now present proposition in the positive direction (which applies in particular to CM forms of weight ≥ 2):

Proposition 5.7. *Let G be an algebraic group over a characteristic zero base field F , and let ρ_1, ρ_2 be finite dimensional semisimple representations of G into GL_n . Suppose that*

$$T^k(\rho_1) \simeq T^k(\rho_2)$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Assume further that either ρ_1 or ρ_2 is absolutely irreducible, and the following assumptions:

- the representation $\rho^0 := \rho|_{G^0}$ can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations $\oplus_{i \in I} r_i$ with multiplicity one, i.e., each of the isotypical components r_i are irreducible.
- the subgroup G' is normal in G .

Let r'_1, r'_2 be representations of G' as in the proof of Proposition 5.6. Let χ be a character of G' such that $r'_2 \simeq r'_1 \otimes \chi$ (this exists because of the assumption of multiplicity one).

Then χ is invariant with respect to the action of G on the characters of G' . In particular, if invariant characters of G' extend to invariant characters of G (which happens if G/G' is cyclic), then we have

$$\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi.$$

Proof. Restricting ρ_1 and ρ_2 to G' , have by our assumptions

$$\rho_1|_{G'} = \oplus_{\phi \in G/G'} r'_1{}^\phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2|_{G'} = \oplus_{\phi \in G/G'} (r'_1 \chi)^\phi,$$

where χ is a character of G' trivial on G^0 . Let μ_1 denote the character of the representation r_1 of G^0 . Let τ be an element of $G - G'$. If $\chi \neq \chi^\tau$, choose an element θ of G' such that $\chi(\theta) \neq \chi^\tau(\theta)$. It follows from our hypothesis of multiplicity one, that any element x in the coset G^θ of G^0 defined by θ can be written as $x = zy$, where z is a fixed element in the center of G' depending only on θ and not on x , and $y \in G^0$. We obtain from our assumption $T^k(\rho_1) \simeq T^k(\rho_2)$, that for some $\zeta \in \mu_k$, we have

$$\zeta \sum_{\phi \in G/G'} (\mu_1(z)\mu_1(y))^\phi = \sum_{\phi \in G/G'} (\mu_1(z)\mu_1(y)\chi(\theta))^\phi,$$

for all $y \in G^0$. But the assumption of multiplicity one implies that the irreducible characters μ_1^ϕ of G^0 are linearly independent, and hence the above equality forces the character χ to be invariant. \square

6. APPLICATIONS TO MODULAR FORMS AND ABELIAN VARIETIES

We consider now applications of the general theory developed so far to the l -adic representations attached to holomorphic (Hilbert) modular forms and to abelian varieties. As a corollary to Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.7, we have

Corollary 6.1. *Let K be a number field and let $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow GL_2(F)$ be continuous l -adic representations as above. Suppose that the algebraic envelope H_1 of the image $\rho_1(G_K)$ contains a maximal torus. Let $R : GL_2 \rightarrow GL_m$ be a rational representation with kernel contained in the center of $GL(2)$, and T be as in Question 1.1. Then the following holds:*

- a) Assume that the algebraic envelope of the image of ρ_1 contains SL_2 . If $ud(T)$ is positive, then there exists a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow GL_1(\bar{F})$ such that $\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi$.
- b) Suppose that $ud(T) > 1/2$. Then there exists a finite extension L of K and a character $\chi : G_L \rightarrow GL_1(\bar{F})$ such that $\rho_2|_{G_L} \simeq \rho_1|_{G_L} \otimes \chi$.
- c) Suppose that the representations $T^k(\rho_1)$ and $T^k(\rho_2)$ satisfy **DH1**, for some positive integer k and ρ_1 is irreducible. Then there exists a character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow GL_1(\bar{F})$ such that $\rho_2 \simeq \rho_1 \otimes \chi$.

We now make explicit the application to classical holomorphic modular forms. A similar statement as below can be made for the class of Hilbert modular forms too. For any pair of positive integers $N, k \geq 2$, and Nebentypus character $\omega : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, denote by $S_k^n(N, \omega)$ the set of normalized newforms of weight k on $\Gamma_1(N)$. The assumption on the weights imply that the Zariski closure of the image of the l -adic representation ρ_f of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ attached to f contains a maximal torus, thus satisfying the hypothesis of the above corollary. For $f \in S_k^n(N, \omega)$ and p coprime to N , let $a_p(f)$ denote the corresponding Hecke eigenvalue.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $f \in S_k^n(N, \omega)$ and $f' \in S_{k'}^n(N', \omega')$ and let $\rho_f, \rho_{f'}$ be the associated l -adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $R : GL_2 \rightarrow GL_m$ be a rational representation with kernel contained in the center of $GL(2)$, and T be as in Question 1.1. Then the following holds:*

- a) *If f is not a CM-form and $ud(T)$ is positive, then there exists a Dirichlet character χ such that for all p coprime to NN' , we have*

$$a_p(f) = a_p(f')\chi(p).$$

In particular $k = k'$ and $\omega = \omega'\chi^2$.

- b) *Suppose that for some positive integer l , we have*

$$a_p(f)^l = a_p(f')^l,$$

for a set of primes of density strictly greater than $1 - 2^{-(2l+1)}$. Assume also that if f is dihedral, then it is not of weight one. Then there exists a Dirichlet character χ such that for all p coprime to NN' , we have

$$a_p(f) = a_p(f')\chi(p).$$

If we further assume that the conductors N and N' are squarefree in a) and b) above, we can conclude that $f = f'$.

This gives us the generalization to classical modular forms of the theorems of Ramakrishnan [DR]. We now consider an application to Asai representations associated to holomorphic Hilbert modular forms. With notation as in Part d) of Theorem 5.4, assume further that K/k is a quadratic extension of totally real number fields, and that ρ_1, ρ_2

are l -adic representations attached respectively to holomorphic Hilbert modular forms f_1, f_2 over K . Let σ denote a generator of the Galois group of K/k , and further assume that f_1 (resp. f_2) is not isomorphic to any twist of f_1^σ (resp. f_2^σ) by a character, where f_1^σ denotes the form f_1 twisted by σ . Then the twisted tensor automorphic representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_K)$, defined by $f_i \otimes f_2^\sigma$ (with an abuse of notation) is irreducible. Further it has been shown to be modular by D. Ramakrishnan [DR2], and descend to define automorphic representations denoted respectively by $As(f_1)$ and $As(f_2)$ on $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_k)$. As a simple consequence of Part d) of Theorem 5.4, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 6.3. *Assume further that f_1 and f_2 are non-CM forms, and that the Fourier coefficients of $As(f_1)$ and $As(f_2)$ are equal at a positive density of places of k , which split in K . Then there is an idele-class character χ of K satisfying $\chi\chi^\sigma = 1$ such that,*

$$f_2 = f_1 \otimes \chi \quad \text{or} \quad f_2 = f_1^\sigma \otimes \chi.$$

We limit ourselves now to providing a simple application of the theorems in the context of abelian varieties. Let K be a number field, and A be an abelian variety of dimension d over K , and let ρ_A be the associated l -adic representation of G_K on the Tate module $V_l(A) = \varprojlim A_{l^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq GL_{2d}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$, where A_{l^n} denotes the group of l^n torsion points of A . As applications of our general theorems, we have

Corollary 6.4. *Let A, B be abelian varieties as above.*

a) *Let R be either T^k or S^k for some positive integer k . Suppose that $R \circ \rho_A$ and $R \circ \rho_B$ satisfy the density hypothesis **DH2**. Then A and B are isogenous over \bar{K} .*

If further the connected component of the algebraic envelope H_A of ρ_A acts absolutely irreducibly on the Tate module $V_l(A)$, then there exists a Dirichlet character χ of K such that $\rho_B \simeq \rho_A \otimes \chi$.

b) *Suppose that we are in the ‘generic’ case, i.e., $H_A = GSp_d$. Let R be any representation of GSp_d with finite kernel, such that $\text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_A)(\sigma_v) = \text{Tr}(R \circ \rho_B)(\sigma_v)$ on a set of places v of K of positive upper density. Then A and B are isogenous over \bar{K} , and there exists a Dirichlet character χ of K such that $\rho_B \simeq \rho_A \otimes \chi$.*

REFERENCES

- [Bl] D. Blasius, *On multiplicities for $SL(n)$* , Israel J. Math. 88 (1994), no. 1-3, 237–251.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), no. 3, 349–366.
- [FG] P. Fong, R. L. Griess, *An infinite family of elementwise-conjugate nonconjugate homomorphisms* Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995) no. 5, 249–252.

- [KR] C. Khare, C. S. Rajan, *The density of ramified primes in semisimple p -adic Galois representations*, International Math. Res. Notices, 2001, No. 12, 601-607.
- [Pi] R. Pink, *l -adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford-Tate conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math. 495 (1998), 187-237.
- [Ra] C. S. Rajan, *On strong multiplicity one for l -adic representations*, International Math. Res. Notices, 1998, No.3, pp. 161-172.
- [Ra1] C. S. Rajan, *Refinement of strong multiplicity one for automorphic representations of $GL(n)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1999), 691-700.
- [Ra2] C. S. Rajan, *An algebraic Chebotarev density theorem*, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Cohomology of Arithmetic Groups, L-functions and Automorphic Forms, Mumbai 98, ed. T. N. Venkataramana, Narosa-AMS, 2001, New Delhi.
- [Ra3] C. S. Rajan, *Some properties of irreducible representations of a simple algebraic group*, preprint.
- [DR] D. Ramakrishnan, *Recovering representation from squares*, Appendix to: W. Duke and E. Kowalski, A problem of Linnik for elliptic curves and mean-value estimates for automorphic representations, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 1, 1-39.
- [DR1] D. Ramakrishnan, *Pure motives and automorphic forms*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **55**, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, 411-446.
- [DR2] D. Ramakrishnan, *Modularity of solvable Artin representations of $GO(4)$ -type*, preprint.
- [Ri] R. W. Richardson Jr., *A rigidity theorem for subalgebras of Lie and associative algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 11 1967 92-110.
- [Ser1] J.-P. Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, Publ. Math. IHES, **54** (1982), 123-201.
- [Ser2] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Inv. Math., **15**, (1972), 259-331.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY - 400 005, INDIA.

E-mail address: `rajan@math.tifr.res.in`