

# A GEOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTREMAL SETS IN $\ell_p$ SPACES

by Viet NguyenKhac <sup>1</sup> & Khiem NguyenVan <sup>2</sup>

Hanoi Institute of Mathematics & Hanoi University of Education

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20, 46E30.

## Abstract

We give a geometric characterization of extremal sets in  $\ell_p$  spaces that generalizes our previous result for such sets in Hilbert spaces.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. For a non-empty bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$  and a non-empty subset  $B$  of  $X$  let's fix the following notations:  $d(A) := \sup \{\|x - y\| : x, y \in A\}$  – the diameter of  $A$ ;  $r_B(A) := \inf_{y \in B} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - y\|$  – the relative Chebyshev radius of  $A$  with respect to  $B$ ; in particular  $r(A) := r_{\overline{\text{co}}A}(A)$  with  $\overline{\text{co}}A$  denoting the closed convex hull of  $A$ ; a point  $y \in B$  is called a Chebyshev center of  $A$  in  $B$ , if  $\sup_{x \in A} \|x - y\| = r_B(A)$ .

The Jung constant of  $X$  is defined by  $J(X) := \sup \{r_X(A) : A \subset X, \text{ with } d(A) = 1\}$ . The problem of estimating Jung's constant plays an important role in the geometry of Banach spaces (*cf.* [1], [7]). It is well-known that for inner-product spaces  $J(E^n) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2(n+1)}}$  ([5], *cf.* [2], [4]) and  $J(H) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  ( $H$  denotes a Hilbert space) ([8]). In general if  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional normed space, then  $J(X) \leq \frac{n}{n+1}$ . Furthermore the equality is attained for certain spaces (see [7]). As for  $\ell_p, L_p$  spaces ( $p > 1$ ) S. A. Pichugov ([7]) has obtained the

---

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, P.O.Box 631 Bo Ho, 10000 Hanoi, Vietnam; e-mail: nkviet@thevinh.ncst.ac.vn

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Education, Cau Giay dist., Hanoi, Vietnam.

exact values for the Jung constant of these spaces:  $J(\ell_p) = J(L_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}}$ ,  $q := \frac{p}{p-1}$ , if  $1 < p \leq 2$ , and  $J(\ell_p) = J(L_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}}$ , if  $p > 2$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([6]). We say that a bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$  consisting of at least two points is an extremal set, if  $r_X(A) = J(X).d(A)$ .

The main result of [6] states that a bounded subset  $A$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $r(A) = 1$  is extremal if and only if for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2})$ , for every positive integer  $m$  there exists an  $m$ -simplex  $\Delta$  with its vertices in  $A$  and each edge of  $\Delta$  has length not less than  $\sqrt{2} - \varepsilon$ . Furthermore for such a subset  $A$  we have  $\alpha(A) = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\chi(A) = 1$ , where  $\alpha(A)$ ,  $\chi(A)$  denote the Kuratowski and Hausdorff measures of non-compactness of  $A$  respectively.

Our aim in this paper is to treat the next interesting case: the case of  $\ell_p$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). We obtain a partial generalization of the result above. More precisely, if  $A$  is an extremal subset of a given  $\ell_p$  space ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), then  $\alpha(A) = d(A)$ . As an immediate consequence one obtains a Gulevich-type result for  $\ell_p$  spaces: extremal sets in  $\ell_p$ , ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are not relatively compact (*cf.* [3]). Moreover for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$ , for every positive integer  $m$  there exists an  $m$ -simplex  $\Delta$  with its vertices in  $A$  and each edge of  $\Delta$  has length not less than  $d(A) - \varepsilon$ . The proof is based on a further development of a purely combinatorial method in our previous paper [6] which essentially relies on a very deep part of convex analysis. It should be noted that this observation was first noted in [4], §10.2, where the authors exposed classical Jung's theorem from the point of view of "subdifferentials", and was later extended in [7] to the case of  $\ell_p$  spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we review some facts related to the "Clearance"-type Theorem ("Decomposition Theorem" in translation), especially for  $\ell_p$  spaces, we shall need in the sequel. The heart of this section is Proposition 2.1 which should be considered as an infinite-dimensional variation of the main claim in [7]. In §3 we first formulate without proof two auxiliary inequalities (Lemma 3.1) one of which was essentially due to N. I. Chernykh (personal communication to the author of [7]). Our main results are Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 the proof of which is proceeded then by means of the combinatorial method of [6] extended to  $\ell_p$  spaces together with Proposition 2.1 and inequalities from Lemma 3.1.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the basic definitions and concepts in convex analysis we refer the reader to [4]. The following proposition is a slight generalization of the main proposition of [7].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly smooth Banach space,  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  a finite subset with  $r := r_X(A) > 0$ ,  $c$  a Chebyshev center of  $A$  in  $X$ . Then there exist points*

$y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  ( $m \leq n$ ) in  $A$ , linear functionals  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  in  $X^*$  and positive numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  satisfying:

- (i)  $\langle y_i - c, f_i \rangle := f_i(y_i - c) = \|y_i - c\| = r$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;
- (ii)  $\|f_i\|_{X^*} = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$ .

*Proof.* For completeness we give here a proof valid in all dimensions. From the uniform smoothness of  $X$  it follows that  $X$  is reflexive (hence there exists a Chebyshev center of  $A$  in  $X$ ) and the mapping

$$J: X \longrightarrow 2^{X^*}$$

$$x \longmapsto J(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| = 1, \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|\}$$

is single-valued. Let's consider the following two functionals:

$$F: X \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(x, a) \longmapsto F(x, a) := \|x - a\|,$$

and

$$f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \longmapsto f(x) := \max_{a \in A} F(x, a)$$

With  $A_0(x) := \{a \in A : F(x, a) = f(x)\}$  we see that the hypotheses of Theorem 3, §4.2, Chapter 4 in [4] are fulfilled. Hence for every  $x \in X$  we have

$$\overline{co} \left( \bigcup_{a \in A_0(x)} \partial F(x, a) \right) = \partial f(x) \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\partial F(x, \cdot)$  and  $\partial f(x)$  denote subdifferentials of  $F$  and  $f$  at  $x$ , and the closure in (2.1) is taken in the  $w^*$ -topology of the space  $X^*$ , which clearly coincides with the  $w$ -topology of  $X^*$ , since  $X$  is reflexive.

Recall that for  $c \in X$  to be a Chebyshev center of  $A$  in  $X$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $0 \in \partial f(c)$  (cf. [4], §1.3, Proposition 1). One may write  $A_0(c) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$  with  $\|y_i - c\| = r$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . From (2.1) it follows that  $0 \in \overline{co} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^m \partial F(c, y_i) \right)$ . Since  $\|y_i - c\| = r > 0$  we have

$$\partial F(c, y_i) = \{x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| = 1, \langle y_i - c, x^* \rangle = r\} = J(y_i - c).$$

As noted above  $J$  is a single-valued mapping, hence  $J(y_i - c)$  consists of a unique point, say  $f_i$ . Therefore  $0 \in \overline{co} \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\} = co \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\}$ , and so there exist

non-negative numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$  and  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i$ . Without loss of generality one can assume that all  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are positive. It is a simple verification that these data also satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) above. The proof is complete.

**Remark 2.2.** We shall be interested mainly in the case  $X = \ell_p$  ( $p > 1$ ). For this purpose it is more convenient to use the following “scaled” version of the mapping  $J$ : for  $x \in X$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$  we define  $J(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\| \cdot \|x^*\| = \|x\|^p\}$ . Obviously for  $f_i, y_i$  and  $c$  as in Proposition 2.1,  $\|y_i - c\|^{p-1} \cdot f_i \in J(y_i - c)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** It is well-known that spaces  $\ell_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are both uniformly convex and uniformly smooth. Hence the mapping  $J$  is single-valued. Also it is weakly sequentially continuous in the following sense: if  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  in  $\ell_p$ , then  $\{J(x_n)\}$  converges weakly to  $J(x)$  in  $\ell_q$  ( $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ).

**Remark 2.4.** Clearly if  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \ell_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), then  $J(x) = (\text{sgn}(x_1) \cdot |x_1|^{p-1}, \text{sgn}(x_2) \cdot |x_2|^{p-1}, \dots, \text{sgn}(x_n) \cdot |x_n|^{p-1}, \dots)$ . Hence in the situation of Proposition 2.1 with  $X = \ell_p$  we have

$$f_i = \frac{J(y_i - c)}{\|y_i - c\|^{p-1}} = \frac{J(y_i - c)}{r^{p-1}}.$$

### 3. The results

For our use later it is convenient to formulate two auxiliary inequalities in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two real numbers. Then*

- (i)  $\left| \text{sgn}(a) \cdot |a|^{p-1} - \text{sgn}(b) \cdot |b|^{p-1} \right| \leq 2^{2-p} \cdot |a - b|^{p-1}$ , provided  $1 < p \leq 2$ ;
- (ii)  $|a|^p + |b|^p - p \cdot (a \cdot \text{sgn}(b) \cdot |b|^{p-1} + b \cdot \text{sgn}(a) \cdot |a|^{p-1}) \leq |a - b|^p$ , if  $p > 2$ .

The proof is standard, and we shall omit it.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an extremal set in an  $\ell_p$  space with  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then we have  $\alpha(A) = d(A)$ .*

Here  $\alpha(A)$  denotes the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness of  $A$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $r_{\ell_p}(A) = 1$ . Then for each integer number  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x, 1 - \frac{1}{n}) = \emptyset$ , where  $B(x, r)$  denotes the closed ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$  which

is weakly compact since  $\ell_p$  is reflexive. Hence there exist  $x_{t_{n-1}+1}, x_{t_{n-1}+2}, \dots, x_{t_n}$  in  $A$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=t_{n-1}+1}^{t_n} B(x_i, 1 - \frac{1}{n}) = \emptyset$  (with convention  $t_1 = 0$ ).

Setting  $A_n := \{x_{t_{n-1}+1}, x_{t_{n-1}+2}, \dots, x_{t_n}\}$  we denote the Chebyshev center of  $A_n$  in  $\ell_p$  by  $c_n$  and let  $r_n := r_{\ell_p}(A_n)$ , then  $r_n > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . In view of Proposition 2.1 one can find  $y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}$  in  $A_n$ , continuous linear functionals  $f_{s_{n-1}+1}, f_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, f_{s_n}$  on  $\ell_p$  and positive numbers  $\alpha_{s_{n-1}+1}, \alpha_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s_n}$  (with convention  $s_1 = 0$ ) such that:

- (i)  $\langle y_i - c_n, f_i \rangle = r_n$  for  $i = s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \dots, s_n$ ;
- (ii)  $\|f_i\| = 1$ ,  $i = s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \dots, s_n$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{i=s_{n-1}+1}^{s_n} \alpha_i f_i = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=s_{n-1}+1}^{s_n} \alpha_i = 1$ .

Setting  $A_\infty := \{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  we claim that  $\alpha(A_\infty) = d(A)$ . Suppose on the contrary  $\alpha(A_\infty) < d(A)$ . Then one can choose  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, d(A))$  satisfying  $\alpha(A_\infty) \leq d(A) - \varepsilon_0$ , and so subsets  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m$  of  $\ell_p$  with  $d(D_i) \leq d(A) - \varepsilon_0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that  $A_\infty \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i$ . There exists at least one set among  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m$ , say  $D_1$  with the property that there are infinitely many  $n$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in J_n} \alpha_i \geq \frac{1}{m} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$J_n := \{i \in I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 1, \dots, s_n\} : y_i \in D_1\}.$$

We shall estimate the sum  $T_n := \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle y_i - y_j, f_i - f_j \rangle$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle (y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n), f_i - f_j \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \left[ \langle y_i - c_n, f_i \rangle + \langle y_j - c_n, f_j \rangle - \langle y_i - c_n, f_j \rangle - \langle y_j - c_n, f_i \rangle \right] = \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \alpha_j r_n - \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \langle y_i - c_n, \sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j f_j \rangle - \sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j \langle y_j - c_n, \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i f_i \rangle = \\ &= 2 \cdot r_n - 2 \cdot \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \langle y_i - c_n, 0 \rangle = 2 \cdot r_n \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

As noted in Remark 2.4

$$f_i = \frac{J(y_i - c_n)}{\|y_i - c_n\|^{p-1}} = \frac{J(y_i - c_n)}{r_n^{p-1}}, \quad \forall i \in I_n.$$

Therefore for all  $i, j \in I_n$

$$\langle y_i - y_j, f_i - f_j \rangle = \frac{1}{r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \langle (y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n), J(y_i - c_n) - J(y_j - c_n) \rangle.$$

1) *The case*  $1 < p \leq 2$ : Since the Jung constant  $J(\ell_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}}$ , thus  $d(A) = \sqrt[p]{2}$ . Applying part (i) of Lemma 3.1 coordinatewise to the above expression and remembering  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$  one gets

$$\langle (y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n), J(y_i - c_n) - J(y_j - c_n) \rangle \leq 2^{2-p} \cdot \|(y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n)\|^p.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &\leq \frac{1}{r_n^{p-1}} \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot 2^{2-p} \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p = \\ &= \frac{2^{2-p}}{r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + \sum_{(i,j) \in I_n^2 \setminus J_n^2} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2-p}}{r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \left[ (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \cdot \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j + 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}}}{r_n^{p-1}} - \frac{2^{2-p}}{r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \left( 2^{\frac{p}{q}} - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \right) \leq \end{aligned}$$

(for all  $n$  satisfying (3.1))

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}}}{r_n^{p-1}} - \frac{2^{2-p}}{r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \left[ 2^{\frac{p}{q}} - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right] \cdot \frac{1}{m^2} = \\ &= \frac{2}{r_n^{p-1}} - \frac{2^{2-p}}{m^2 \cdot r_n^{p-1}} \cdot \left[ 2^{\frac{p}{q}} - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Comparing (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$2 \cdot r_n^p \leq 2 - \frac{2^{2-p}}{m^2} \cdot \left[ 2^{\frac{p}{q}} - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right]$$

for all  $n$  satisfying (3.1). Since there infinitely many such  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1$ , we come to a contradiction.

2) *The case  $p > 2$ :* Since the Jung constant  $J(\ell_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}}$ , thus  $d(A) = \sqrt[p]{2}$ . Writting  $y_i - c_n = (z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots)$ ,  $J(y_i - c_n) = (v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots)$  with  $v_j^i = \text{sgn}(z_j^i) \cdot \|z_j^i\|^{p-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and applying part (ii) of Lemma 3.1 coordinatewise to  $\langle (y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n), J(y_i - c_n) - J(y_j - c_n) \rangle$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (y_i - c_n) - (y_j - c_n), J(y_i - c_n) - J(y_j - c_n) \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z_k^i - z_k^j) \cdot (v_k^i - v_k^j) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|z_k^i - z_k^j\|^p + (p-1) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z_k^i \cdot v_k^j + z_k^j \cdot v_k^i), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore after summing up

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^{p-1} \cdot T_n &\leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + (p-1) \cdot \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z_k^i \cdot v_k^j + z_k^j \cdot v_k^i) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + 2 \cdot (p-1) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot z_k^i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j \cdot v_k^j \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from  $\sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j \cdot v_k^j = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , which in turn can be deduced from the condition  $\sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j \cdot f_j = 0$ , or equivalently  $\sum_{j \in I_n} \alpha_j \cdot J(y_j - c_n) = 0$ . Thus in view of (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot r_n^p &\leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p = \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + \sum_{(i,j) \in I_n^2 \setminus J_n^2} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p \leq \\ &\leq (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \right) + 2 \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 - \left[ 2 - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right] \cdot \left( \sum_{i,j \in J_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \right) \leq \\
&\text{(for all } n \text{ satisfying (3.1))} \\
&\leq 2 - \left[ 2 - (\sqrt[p]{2} - \varepsilon_0)^p \right] \cdot \frac{1}{m^2},
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^p = 1$  and there are infinitely many  $n$  satisfying (3.1).

One concludes that  $\alpha(A_\infty) = d(A)$ , and hence  $\alpha(A) = d(A)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

As an immediate consequence one obtains an extension of Gulevich's result for  $\ell_p$  spaces.

**Corollary 3.3** (cf. [3]). *Let  $A$  be a relatively compact set in an  $\ell_p$  space with  $d(A) > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{\ell_p}(A) &< \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}} \cdot d(A), & 1 < p \leq 2; \\
r_{\ell_p}(A) &< \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}} \cdot d(A), & 2 < p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be an extremal set in a given  $\ell_p$  space with  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, d(A))$ , every positive integer  $m$ , there exists an  $m$ -simplex  $\Delta$  with vertices in  $A$  such that each edge of  $\Delta$  has length not less than  $d(A) - \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We shall assume  $r_{\ell_p}(A) = 1$ . From the proof of Theorem 3.2 we derived a sequence  $\{y_{s_{n-1}+1}, y_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, y_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  in  $A$ , a sequence of continuous linear functionals  $\{f_{s_{n-1}+1}, f_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, f_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  in  $\ell_q$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\alpha_{s_{n-1}+1}, \alpha_{s_{n-1}+2}, \dots, \alpha_{s_n}\}_{n=2}^\infty$  (with convention  $s_1 = 0$ ) such that:

- (i)  $\langle y_i - c_n, f_i \rangle = r_n$  for  $i \in I_n := \{s_{n-1} + 1, s_{n-1} + 2, \dots, s_n\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\|f_i\| = 1$ ,  $i \in I_n$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i f_i = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i = 1$ ,

where  $c_n \in \ell_p$ , and  $r_n \in (1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1]$ . Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \cdot r_n^p &\leq 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p, & 1 < p \leq 2; \\
2 \cdot r_n^p &\leq \sum_{i,j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p, & 2 < p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$



1) *The case*  $1 < p \leq 2$ . We denote by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{nj} &:= 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p, \\ S_n &:= \{j \in I_n : T_{nj} \geq 2 \cdot r_n^p \cdot (1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^p})\}, \\ \lambda_n &:= \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n} \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot r_n^p &\leq 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i, j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p = \\ &= \sum_{j \in S_n} \sum_{i \in I_n} 2^{2-p} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} \sum_{i \in I_n} 2^{2-p} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p \leq \\ &\leq 2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \sum_{j \in S_n} \alpha_j + \sum_{j \in I_n \setminus S_n} \alpha_j \cdot 2 \cdot r_n^p \cdot (1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^p}) = \\ &= 2 \cdot (1 - \lambda_n) + 2 \cdot \lambda_n \cdot r_n^p \cdot (1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^p}) = \\ &= 2 - 2 \cdot \lambda_n \cdot (1 - r_n^p + r_n^p \cdot \sqrt{1 - r_n^p}) \leq \\ &\leq 2 - 2 \cdot \lambda_n \cdot \sqrt{1 - r_n^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_n \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^p} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i \in S_n} \alpha_i \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda_n) = 1.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p &= \sum_{i \neq j \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p \leq \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$2.r_n^p \leq 2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i^2 \right) \right] \leq 2 \cdot (1 - \alpha_i^2)$$

for each  $i \in I_n$ . Therefore  $\alpha_i \leq \sqrt{1 - r_n^p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . One concludes that

$$|S_n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that for each positive integer  $m$  one can choose  $n$  sufficiently large so that  $|S_n| > m$ . For  $j \in S_n$  put

$$S_n(y_j) := \left\{ i \in I_n : \|y_i - y_j\|^p \geq 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right) \right\},$$

$$\hat{S}_n(y_j) := \{y_i : i \in S_n(y_j)\}.$$

As  $j \in S_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p \cdot \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p} \right) &\leq 2 \cdot r_n^p \cdot \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^p} \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p = \\ &= 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i \in S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p + 2^{2-p} \cdot \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p \leq \\ &\leq 2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( 1 - \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i \right) + 2^{2-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i \right) = \\ &= 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \cdot \left( \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i &< \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p \cdot \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p} \right) \right] \cdot \sqrt[4]{n} = \\ &= \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p \right] \cdot \sqrt[4]{n} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p \cdot \sqrt{1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^p} \cdot \sqrt[4]{n} < \\ &< \frac{p}{\sqrt[4]{n^3}} + \sqrt{\frac{p}{\sqrt{n}}} < \\ &< \frac{2 \cdot p}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and so

$$\sum_{i \in S_n(y_j)} \alpha_i > 1 - \frac{2 \cdot p}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \quad (3.5)$$

Now for a given positive integer  $m$  we choose  $n$  sufficiently large such that

$$|S_n| > m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2 \cdot p \cdot m}{\sqrt[4]{n}} < 1.$$

We claim that for every choice of  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in S_n$  we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^m S_n(y_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, otherwise  $\bigcap_{k=1}^m S_n(y_{i_k}) = \emptyset$  would imply

$$S_n(y_{i_1}) \subset I_n \setminus \left( \bigcap_{k=2}^m S_n(y_{i_k}) \right) = \bigcup_{k=2}^m \left( I_n \setminus S_n(y_{i_k}) \right).$$

Consequently by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2 \cdot p}{\sqrt[4]{n}} &< \sum_{\nu \in S_n(y_{i_1})} \alpha_\nu \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^m \sum_{\nu \in I_n \setminus S_n(y_{i_k})} \alpha_\nu < (m-1) \cdot \frac{2 \cdot p}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

or  $1 < \frac{2 \cdot p \cdot m}{\sqrt[4]{n}}$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $n$ .

Furthermore from (3.6) it follows that if  $1 \leq k \leq m$  and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in S_n$ , then

$$\bigcap_{\nu=1}^k \hat{S}_n(y_{i_\nu}) \neq \emptyset.$$

With  $m$  and  $n$  as above let's fix some  $j \in S_n$ . Setting  $z_1 := y_j$  we take consecutively  $z_2 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1)$ ,  $z_3 \in \hat{S}_n(z_1) \cap \hat{S}_n(z_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $z_{m+1} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^m \hat{S}_n(z_k)$ . Obviously

$$\|z_i - z_j\|^p \geq 2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}\right)$$

for all  $i \neq j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ . Now for a given  $\varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt[q]{2})$  one can choose  $n$  sufficiently large as above, and moreover so that

$$2^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{n}}\right) \geq \left(\sqrt[q]{2} - \varepsilon\right)^p.$$

One obtains an  $m$ -simplex formed by  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m+1}$ , whose edges have length not less than  $\left(\sqrt[q]{2} - \varepsilon\right)$ , as claimed.

2) *The case  $2 < p < \infty$*  can be proceeded in the same way just with replacing those  $T_{nj}$  and  $S_n$  in the first case suitably, *i.e.* as

$$T_{nj} := \sum_{i \in I_n} \alpha_i \cdot \|y_i - y_j\|^p,$$

$$S_n := \{j \in I_n : T_{nj} \geq 2 \cdot r_n^p \cdot (1 - \sqrt{1 - r_n^p})\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is complete.

## References

- [1] Amir, D., *On Jung's constant and related constants in normed linear spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **118** (1985), 1–15.
- [2] Danzer, L., Grünbaum, B., Klee, V., *Helly's theorem and its relatives*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., **7** (1963), 101–180.
- [3] Gulevich, N. M., *The radius of a compact set in a Hilbert space*, Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov LOMI im. V. A. Steklova, AN SSSR, vol. **164** (1988), 157–158 (Russian).
- [4] Ioffe, A. D., Tikhomirov, V. M., *Theory of Extremal Problems*, Moscow: Nauka, 1974 (Russian).
- [5] Jung, H. W. E., *Über die kleinste Kugel, die eine räumliche Figur einschliesst*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **123** (1901), 241–257.
- [6] NguyenKhac, V., NguyenVan, K., *A characterization of extremal sets in Hilbert spaces*, arXiv: math. MG/0203190.
- [7] Pichugov, S. A., *Jung's constants of  $L_p$  space*, Math. Zametki, **43** (1988), 604–614 (Russian).

- [8] Routledge, N. A., *A result in Hilbert space*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford, (2) **3** (1952), N<sup>o</sup> 9, 12–18.