

CLASSIFICATION OF EXCEPTIONAL LOG DEL PEZZO SURFACES WITH $\delta = 1$

S. A. KUDRYAVTSEV

ABSTRACT. The exceptional log Del Pezzo surfaces with $\delta = 1$ are classified.

Introduction

Now the main problem in log Minimal Model Program is the study of extremal contractions, singularities. In solving this problem the first step applied in the three-dimensional Fano manifold classification is to find a "good" divisor in the multiple anticanonical linear system. Recently V.V. Shokurov have suggested the inductive method to construct a "good" divisor $D \in |-nK_X|$ in the paper [19]. Such divisor is called n -complement. The essence of method is the following one: the construction of n -complement for m -dimensional extremal contraction $X \rightarrow Z$ of local type (that is $\dim Z > 0$) or singularity (that is $Z = X$) follows from the construction of n -complement for $(m-1)$ -dimensional log variety. Let us demonstrate it in the case of singularities.

Let $(X \ni P)$ be a klt singularity. Then there exists a plt blow-up $f: (Y, S) \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ [11, theorem 1.5], i.e. $S = \text{Exc } f$ is an irreducible, f anti-ample divisor and (Y, S) is a plt pair. Then n -complement of $K_S + \text{Diff}_S(0)$ is extended to n -complement of $K_Y + S$ and its image is n -complement of K_X . The converse statement is also true, i.e. n -complement of K_X induces n -complement of $K_Y + S$ and $K_S + \text{Diff}_S(0)$. It should be noticed that the coefficients of different $\text{Diff}_S(0)$ are standard, i.e. they have form $\frac{k_i-1}{k_i}$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$. See [12] in the case of hypersurface singularities. Also see examples 2.9–2.11.

Therefore there appears an important problem when studying the three-dimensional singularities and contractions.

Problem. Describe the class of all log Del Pezzo surfaces, \mathbb{P}^1 and elliptic fibrations which can be exceptional divisors of some plt blow-ups of three-dimensional log canonical singularities.

When finding complement the next important concept is an exceptionality. The exceptionality also remains valid after an inductive

transfer from an extremal contraction $X \rightarrow Z$ of local type to a log variety $(S, \text{Diff}_S(0))$. The importance of separation of extremal contractions, log varieties on exceptional and non-exceptional ones follows from following two properties.

1. If a variety or extremal contraction is nonexceptional then the linear system $| -nK_X |$ must have a "good" member for small n . For example, we can take $n \in \{1, 2\}$ for two-dimensional log canonical singularities [19, example 5.2] and $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ for three-dimensional log canonical singularities [20, theorem 7.1].
2. The exceptional singularities are "bounded" and can be classified in details.

The boundedness relates to some invariants, for instance to minimal log discrepancies (see [20, §7]). Hypothetically, the boundedness of exceptional singularities means the following: $(S, \text{Diff}_S(0))$ belongs to the finite number of algebraic families. For three-dimensional exceptional log canonical singularities it was checked in [12]. The other results about a boundedness and references about it can be found in [17] and [16].

Therefore to classify the three-dimensional extremal contractions and singularities we have to know the classification of the following exceptional log surfaces (S, D) , where D is a boundary with standard coefficients, $-(K_S + D)$ is an ample divisor and $K_S + D^+$ is a klt divisor for any complement D^+ of $K_S + D$.

Let us consider the following invariant

$$\delta(S, D) = \#\left\{E \mid E \text{ is a divisor with discrepancy } a(E, D) \leq -\frac{6}{7}\right\}.$$

By theorem 5.1 [20] we have $\delta(S, D) \leq 2$. The classification of surfaces with $\delta(S, D) = 2$ is obtained in [20, §5].

The main result of this paper is to classify the surfaces in the case $\delta(S, D) = 1$. These results imply the classification of log Enriques surfaces with $\delta(S, D) = 1, 2$ (see [13], [14]).

Let us remark that the surfaces with $\delta(S, D) = 1$ were studied earlier. The case of "elliptic curve" was developed in the preprint [1]. The remaining cases were studied in [2]. The answer obtained in this dissertation wasn't justified and wasn't correct.

To study the exceptional log Del Pezzo surfaces another approach was given in [7].

This paper is organized in the following way. In chapter §1 the main definitions and preliminary results are collected. In chapter §2 the classification theorem is formulated and its corollaries are proved. In chapter §3 the basic definitions and constructions are introduced to

prove the classification theorem. The classification process is completed in chapters §4, §5, §6 and §7.

I am grateful to Professor Yu.G. Prokhorov for valuable remarks.

The research was partially supported by a grant 02-01-00441 from the Russian Foundation of Basic Research and a grant INTAS-OPEN 2000#269.

1. Preliminary facts and results

All varieties are algebraic and are assumed to be defined over \mathbb{C} , the complex number field. The main definitions, terminology and notations used in the paper are given in [8], [17].

1.1. List of notations.

The zero and minimal sections of ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$ are denoted by E_0 and E_∞ respectively. Its fiber is denoted by f .

The coordinates of weighted projective space $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are denoted by x_1, \dots, x_n . The general hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P} is denoted by X_d . In many cases it is enough to require the irreducibility and reducibility of X_d .

A log Del Pezzo surface with $\rho(S) = 1$ is denoted by $S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_5)$. In the brackets its singularities are written. In our case the surface has \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_5 singularities.

Put $\Phi_{\mathbf{sm}} = \{1 - 1/m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}\}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{sm}} \cup [6/7, 1]$. The coefficient d is called *standard*, if $d \in \Phi_{\mathbf{sm}}$.

The symbol \cong_{an} means an analytical isomorphism.

The intersection index of D_1 and D_2 at the point P is denoted by $(D_1 \cdot D_2)_P$.

The arithmetical genus of D is denoted by $p_a(D)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $(X/Z, D)$ be a log pair, where D is a subboundary. Then a \mathbb{Q} -complement of $K_X + D$ is a log divisor $K_X + D'$ such that $D' \geq D$, $K_X + D'$ is lc and $n(K_X + D') \sim 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a normal variety and let $D = S + B$ be a subboundary on X such that B and S have no common components, S is an effective integral divisor and $\lfloor B \rfloor \leq 0$. Then we say that $K_X + D$ is *n-complementary* if there is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor D^+ such that

1. $n(K_X + D^+) \sim 0$ (in particular, nD^+ is an integral divisor);
2. $K_X + D^+$ is lc;
3. $nD^+ \geq nS + \lfloor (n+1)B \rfloor$.

In this situation the *n-complement* of $K_X + D$ is $K_X + D^+$. The divisor D^+ is called an *n-complement* too.

Theorem 1.4. [20, theorem 3.1] *Let $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ be a log surface of local type (i.e. Z is not point), where $f: X \rightarrow Z \ni P$ is a contraction. Assume that $-(K_X + D)$ is f -nef and $K_X + D$ is lc. Then there exists an 1-,2-,3-,4- or 6-complement of $K_X + D$ near $f^{-1}(P)$.*

Definition 1.5. Let $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ be a contraction of varieties, where D is a boundary.

1. Assume that Z is not a point (local case). Then $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ is said to be *exceptional* over P if for any \mathbb{Q} -complement $K_X + D'$ of $K_X + D$ near the fiber over P there exists at most one (not necessarily exceptional) divisor E such that $a(E, D') = -1$.
2. Assume that Z is a point (global case). Then (X, D) is said to be *exceptional* if every \mathbb{Q} -complement of $K_X + D$ is klt.

Definition 1.6. A pair (X, D) is called a *log del Pezzo surface* if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. every coefficient of D belongs to $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}$;
2. $-(K_X + D)$ is nef;
3. $K_X + D$ is lc;
4. there exists a \mathbb{Q} -complement of $K_X + D$.

Remark 1.7. The conditions written in definition 1.6 are very convenient, although they can be replaced by other ones (see [20, theorem 4.1, proposition 4.6]). In particular, from definition 1.6 it follows that $-(K_X + D)$ is a semi-ample divisor and a complement of $K_X + D$ exists.

Definition 1.8. Define

$$\delta(X, D) = \#\left\{ E \mid E \text{ is an exceptional or non-exceptional divisor} \right. \\ \left. \text{with discrepancy } a(E, D) \leq -\frac{6}{7} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.9. [20, theorem 5.1] *Let (X, D) be an exceptional log Del Pezzo surface. Then $\delta(X, D) \leq 2$.*

Proposition 1.10. *Let $(X \ni P, D = bC + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i B_i)$ be a germ of two-dimensional log surface. Assume that $b \geq 6/7$, $b_i \in \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $K_X + D$ is $\frac{1}{7}$ -log terminal divisor. Then $k = 1$ and one of the following three possibilities holds:*

1. $(X \ni P, D) \cong_{\text{an}} (\mathbb{C}^2 \ni 0, b\{x + y^2 = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x = 0\})$, where $b < 13/14$.

2. $(X \ni P, D) \cong_{\text{an}} (\mathbb{C}^2 \ni 0, b\{x=0\} + b_1\{y=0\})$, where $b + b_1 < 13/7$.
3. $(X \ni P, D) \cong_{\text{an}} (\mathbb{C}^2 \ni 0, b\{x=0\} + b_1\{y=0\})/\mathbb{Z}_n(q, 1)$, where $\frac{n/7-1+b_1}{1-b} < q \leq n-1$ and $(q, n) = 1$.

Proof. By proposition [20, 5.2] the pair $(X \ni P, C + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i B_i)$ is plt, except the case (1). If $(X \ni P)$ is a smooth point then we have the case (2). Let $(X \ni P)$ be a singular point. Then $(X \ni P, C) \cong_{\text{an}} (\mathbb{C}^2 \ni 0, \{x=0\})/\mathbb{Z}_n(q, 1)$ by the classification of two-dimensional singularities [17, theorem 2.1.3]. Let us consider a cyclic cover $\psi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_n(q, 1)$ of degree n . By the case (2) $k=1$ and $\psi^{-1}(C)$ has the simple normal crossings with $\psi^{-1}(B_1)$. Therefore we have the case (3).

Take a weighted blow-up with weights $(\frac{q}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$. Let E be a corresponding exceptional divisor. The condition $a(E, D) > -6/7$ is written in the case (3). Let us prove that it is sufficient. Let $\varphi: (Y, E') \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ be another blow-up with $a(E', D) \leq -6/7$. We may assume that the minimal resolution $\tilde{X} \rightarrow (X \ni P)$ factors through φ , i.e. we have $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$. Take the weighted blow-up of cyclic singularity $\frac{1}{n_1}(q_1, 1)$ at the point $P = E' \cap C_Y$ with weights $(\frac{q_1}{n_1}, \frac{1}{n_1})$, where C_Y is a proper transform of C on Y . Then $a(\cdot, a(E', D)E' + bC_Y) \leq -6/7$. Repeating the process we get $a(E, D) \leq -6/7$, a contradiction. \square

Let us recall well-known statements.

Proposition 1.11. *Let X be a normal projective surface with $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $H = \sum h_i H_i$ be an effective Cartier divisor such that $\text{Supp } H \cap \text{Sing } X = \emptyset$. Assume that $p_a(H) = 0$, $p_a(H_i) = 0$ for all i and H is nef. Then*

1. $|H|$ is a free linear system and $\dim |H| = H^2 + 1$;
2. if $H^2 \geq 1$ then $|H|$ gives a birational morphism $\psi: X \rightarrow X'$. Besides, $(X', \psi(H))$ is one of the following pairs: $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(m))$, where $m = 1, 2$; $(\mathbb{F}_n, E_0 + mf)$, where $m \geq 1$; $(\mathbb{P}(n, 1, 1), X_n)$, where $n \geq 2$.

Proof. From an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(H) \longrightarrow 0$$

we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \longrightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(H)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H \cdot H_i \geq 0$, where H_i is an arbitrary component of H then a linear system $|H|_H$ is free [3]. Therefore $|H|$ is a free linear system and $\dim |H| = H^2 + 1$. Hence, it follows in the standard way that

$|H|$ separates the points if $H^2 \geq 1$. Besides, X' is a minimal degree surface. All surfaces of minimal degree are written in the statement of proposition (see [5, chapter 4 §3]). \square


Proposition 1.12. *Let X be a normal projective surface with $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and H be an irreducible curve with $p_a(H) = 1$. Assume that $H^2 \geq 3$ and $\text{Supp } H \cap \text{Sing } X = \emptyset$. Then a linear system $|H|$ gives a birational morphism and $\dim |H| = H^2$.*

Proof. The proof is the same one as in proposition 1.11. \square

2. Classification and its corollaries

In the next theorem the classification of exceptional log Del Pezzo surfaces with $\delta = 1$ is given.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(X, D_X = \sum d_i D_i)$ be an exceptional log Del Pezzo surface with $\delta(X, D_X) = 1$. If $d_i < 6/7$ for all i then let us take a blow-up $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ and an exceptional curve E with discrepancy $a(E, D_X) \leq -6/7$ appears ($\text{Exc } \tau = E$). Write $K_{X'} + D_{X'} = \tau^*(K_X + D_X)$. If $d_i \geq 6/7$ for some i then put $X' = X$.*



Then there exists a birational contraction $g: X' \rightarrow S$ with the following properties: $\rho(S) = 1$, g doesn't contract the curve E . Let $D = g(D_{X'})$. Then (S, D) is an exceptional log Del Pezzo surface with same complements (i.e. n -complement of $K_X + D_X$ induces n -complement of $K_S + D$ and conversely). Put $D = tC + \sum b_i B_i$, where $6/7 \leq t < 1$, $b_i \in \Phi_{\text{sm}}$, $C = g(E)$. Then (S, D) is one of the following pairs¹:

- 1) $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. There are the following possibilities for D .
 - 1(+4) $D = tX_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 3, 4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2k}]$.
 - 2(+1) $D = tX_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_1 + \frac{3}{4}X_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{k}]$.
 - 3(+1) $D = tX_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_1 + \frac{4}{5}X_1 + \frac{4}{5}X_1$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
 - 4(+1) $D = tX_1 + \frac{2}{3}X_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 4, 5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{k}]$. If $k = 5$ then a straight line X_1 is not tangent X_2 . It is possible that there is $X_1 + X_1$ instead of X_2 .
- 2) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
 - 1(ell) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_1$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
 - 2(+4) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_2$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.

¹See remark 2.2 about the conditions on C and B_i .


- 3(+2) $D = tX_2 + \frac{5}{6}X_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_1$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
- 4(+2) $D = tX_2 + \frac{4}{5}X_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 2, 3$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{6}{5} - \frac{k-1}{2k}]$.
- 5(+2) $D = tX_2 + \frac{3}{4}X_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 3, 4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{4} - \frac{k-1}{2k}]$.
- 6(+2) $D = tX_2 + \frac{2}{3}X_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 4, 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{4}{3} - \frac{k-1}{2k}]$.
- 7(+2) $D = tX_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 3, 4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{4} - \frac{k-1}{2k}]$.
It is possible that there is $X_2 + X_1$ instead of X_3 .
- 8(0) $D = tX_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_2 + \frac{2}{3}X_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 4, 5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{k}]$.
- 9(0) $D = tX_1 + \frac{1}{2}X_3 + \frac{4}{5}X_2$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$. *It is possible that there is $X_2 + X_1$ instead of X_3 . Note that X_1 and X_3 have the intersections at two different points.*
- 10(0) $D = tX_1 + \frac{3}{4}X_2 + \frac{4}{5}X_2$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 3) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3)$.** *There are the following possibilities for D .*
- 1(+5) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_3$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 2(+3) $D = tX_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_3$, where $k = 4, 5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{3} - \frac{k-1}{k}]$.
- 3(+3) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, where $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{3k}]$.
- 4) (+4) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4)$ and $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$ or $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_1$,
where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 5) (+5) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 5)$, $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 6) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$.** *There are the following possibilities for D .*
- 1(ell) $D = tX_6 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_1$, $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, 1 - \frac{k-1}{6k}]$ and $2 \leq k \leq 6$.
- 2(ell) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7$.
- 3(+3) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_3$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 4(+2) $D = tX_4 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_3$, where $k = 4, 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4k}]$.
- 5(+2) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$. *It is possible that there is $X_3 + X_2$ instead of X_5 .*
- 6(+1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{k_1-1}{k_1}X_3 + \frac{k_2-1}{k_2}X_2$, where $(k_1, k_2) = (2, 5), (2, 6), (3, 3), (4, 2), (5, 2)$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{2}{3k_2}]$.
- 7(+1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_4 + \frac{2}{3}X_2$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 8(+1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 9(+1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_1$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{17}{18}]$.
- 10(+1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_4$, where $k = 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3k}]$.
- 11(0) $D = tX_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
- 12(0) $D = tX_2 + \frac{3}{4}X_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 13(0) $D = tX_2 + \frac{2}{3}X_3 + \frac{3}{4}X_3$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 7) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5)$.** *There are the following possibilities for D .*
- 1(+3) $D = tX_6 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
- 2(+2) $D = tX_5 + \frac{2}{3}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{14}{15}]$.
- 3(+2) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$. *It is possible that there is $X_5 + X_2$ instead of X_7 .*
- 4(+2) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_6 + \frac{1}{2}X_1$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.

- 5(0) $D = tX_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_5 + \frac{3}{4}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 8) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(ell) $D = tX_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 2(+3) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_4$.
- 3(+1) $D = tX_4 + \frac{k_1-1}{k_1}X_4 + \frac{k_2-1}{k_2}X_3$, where $(k_1, k_2) = (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 6), (3, 2)$; $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4k_2}]$ if $k_1 = 2$, and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{19}{21}]$ if $k_1 = 3$.
- 4(+1) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$. It is possible that there is $X_6 + X_3$ instead of X_9 .
- 5(+1) $D = tX_4 + \frac{3}{4}X_6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 6(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_4 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_4$, where $k = 5, 6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3k}]$.
- 7(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$. It is possible that there is $X_4 + X_4$ instead of X_8 .
- 9) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 5)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(ell) $D = tX_{10}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 2(+2) $D = tX_6 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_5$, where $k = 3, 4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6k}]$.
- 3(+1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$. It is possible that the monomial $x_1x_2x_3$ is absent in the polynomial defining X_9 . Also it is possible that there is $X_6 + X_3$ instead of X_9 .
- 4(+1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{3}{4}X_6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 5(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 6(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_5 + \frac{3}{4}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
- 10) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 7)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(+3) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_8$.
- 2(+3) $D = tX_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{13}{14}]$.
- 3(0) $D = tX_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_7 + \frac{2}{3}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$. It is possible that $(B_1 \cdot B_2)_{(0:1:0)} = 3/2$.
- 11) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 5)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(+1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_5 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_4$, where $k = 3, 4, 5$; $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{19}{21}]$ if $k = 3$, and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{10} + \frac{4}{5k}]$ if $k \geq 4$.
- 2(+1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{2}{3}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{14}{15}]$.
- 3(0) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_5 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_5$, where $k = 4, 5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{8} + \frac{5}{4k}]$.
- 12) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 5)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(+1) $D = tX_8 + \frac{1}{2}X_5$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{16}]$.
- 2(0) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_{11}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$. It is possible that there is $X_6 + X_5$ instead of X_{11} .
- 3(0) $D = tX_5 + \frac{2}{3}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{19}{21}]$.
- 13) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 7)$. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(+2) $D = tX_7 + \frac{2}{3}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{19}{21}]$.
- 2(+2) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_{10}$. It is possible that there is $X_7 + X_3$ instead of X_{10} .

- 3(+2) $D = tX_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{13}{14}]$.
4(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_7 + \frac{2}{3}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{17}{18}]$.
- 14) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 8)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+3) $D = tX_9 + \frac{1}{2}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
2(+2) $D = tX_8 + \frac{1}{2}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{16}]$.
3(0) $D = tX_3 + \frac{1}{2}X_8 + \frac{2}{3}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 15) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 7)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+2) $D = tX_8 + \frac{2}{3}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
2(+1) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_{12}$. *It is possible that there is $X_8 + X_4$ instead of X_{12} .*
3(+1) $D = tX_7 + \frac{k-1}{k}X_8$, where $k = 3, 4$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{4}{7} + \frac{8}{7k}]$.
- 16) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 6)$, $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_6 + \frac{3}{4}X_6$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 17) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 7)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+1) $D = tX_9 + \frac{1}{2}X_7$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{17}{18}]$.
2(0) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_{12}$.
3(0) $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{2}{3}X_9$.
4(-1) $D = tX_3 + \frac{2}{3}X_{14}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 18) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+1) $D = tX_{13}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{12}{13}]$.
2(0) $D = tX_9 + \frac{1}{2}X_8$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
3(0) $D = tX_8 + \frac{1}{2}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{25}{28}]$.
4(0) $D = tX_8 + \frac{1}{2}X_{10}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
5(-1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 19) (ell) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 7)$, $D = tX_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{13}{15}]$.
- 20) (+3) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 10)$, $D = tX_{10} + \frac{1}{2}X_{10}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 21) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 9)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+2) $D = tX_9 + \frac{1}{2}X_{12}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
2(0) $D = tX_4 + \frac{1}{2}X_9 + \frac{2}{3}X_9$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 22) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 8)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(ell) $D = tX_{16}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
2(+1) $D = tX_8 + \frac{2}{3}X_{10}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{11}{12}]$.
- 23) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 7)$, $D = tX_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{13}{14}]$.
- 24) (+1) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 9)$, $D = tX_9 + \frac{2}{3}X_{10}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{25}{27}]$.
- 25) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 5, 7)$. *There are the following possibilities for D .*
1(+1) $D = tX_{17}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{17}]$.
2(-1) $D = tX_5 + \frac{1}{2}X_{21}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 26) (+2) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{1}{2}X_{12}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11}]$.
- 27) (+1) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{1}{2}X_{11}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{21}{22}]$.
- 28) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 5, 9)$, $D = tX_9 + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{17}{18}]$.
- 29) (+3) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 13)$, $D = tX_{13} + \frac{1}{2}X_{13}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{23}{26}]$.


- 30) (+1) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 6, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{2}{3}X_{12}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11}]$.
- 31) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 5, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{21}{22}]$.
- 32) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{21}{22}]$.
- 33) (-1) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 7, 8)$, $D = \frac{6}{7}X_7 + \frac{1}{2}X_{24}$.
- 34) (+2) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 13)$, $D = tX_{13} + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{23}{26}]$.
- 35) (ell) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 7, 11)$, $D = tX_{22}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{19}{22}]$.
- 36) (+2) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 5, 14)$, $D = tX_{14} + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{25}{28}]$.
- 37) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 5, 13)$, $D = tX_{13} + \frac{1}{2}X_{15}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{25}{26}]$.
- 38) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 13)$, $D = tX_{13} + \frac{1}{2}X_{16}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{12}{13}]$.
- 39) (-1) $S = \mathbb{P}(4, 5, 11)$, $D = tX_{11} + \frac{1}{2}X_{20}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11}]$.
- 40) (+2) $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 6, 17)$, $D = tX_{17} + \frac{1}{2}X_{18}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{17}]$.
- 41) (0) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 5, 17)$, $D = tX_{17} + \frac{1}{2}X_{20}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{17}]$.
- 42) (+1) $S = \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 19)$, $D = tX_{19} + \frac{1}{2}X_{19}$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{33}{38}]$.
- 43) (+1) $S = S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \frac{1}{4}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{14}(9, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where $C \sim B_1$ is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{13}{14}]$.
- 44) (+1) $S = S(\frac{1}{3}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{3}(1, 1) + \frac{1}{15}(11, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where $C \sim B_1$ is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 45) (+1) $S = S(\frac{1}{3}(1, 1) + \mathbb{A}_2 + \frac{1}{9}(4, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where B_1 is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $C \sim B_1 + T$, where T is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the second and third points (on a minimal resolution of surface S a proper transform of C passes through two (-3) curves) and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 46) (+1) $S = S(\frac{1}{4}(1, 1) + \mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_5)$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$. The structure of C and B_1 is similar to 45(+1) and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{10}]$.
- 47) $S = S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_3)$ is a toric surface. There are the following possibilities for D .
- 1(+1) $D = tC + \frac{2}{3}B_1$. The structure of C and B_1 is similar to 45(+1) and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.
- 2(0) $D = tC + \frac{3}{4}B_1$, where C is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $B_1 \sim 3T$, where T is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and third points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 48) (+1) $S = S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_1 + \frac{1}{8}(5, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where C is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $B_1 \sim C + T$, where T is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the second and third points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.

- 49) (0) $S = S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_5 + \frac{1}{16}(11, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where C is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $B_1 \sim 3T$, where T is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and third points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{16}]$.
- 50) (0) $S = S(\frac{1}{4}(1, 1) + \mathbb{A}_3 + \frac{1}{16}(13, 1))$ is a toric surface; $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where C is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and second points and $B_1 \sim 5T$, where T is a closure of one-dimensional orbit passing through the first and third points and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.
- 51) The minimal resolution of S is one of the following ones:



- 1(ell) $D = tC + \frac{k-1}{k}B_1$, where $k = 2, 3$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, 1 - \frac{k-1}{5k}]$.
- 2(ell) $D = tC + \frac{k-1}{k}B_1$, where $k = 1, 2$ and $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11} - \frac{k-1}{11k}]$.
- 3(ell) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{15}{17}]$.
- 4(ell) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{20}{23}]$.
- 5(ell) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{25}{29}]$.
- 6(ell) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.


- 52) The minimal resolution of toric surface S is one of the following ones:



1(ell) $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.

2(ell) $D = \frac{6}{7}C$. Note that $S = \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 7)$.


53) The minimal resolution of S is one of the following ones:



1(ell) $D = tC + \frac{1}{2}B_1$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}]$.


2(ell) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{8}{9}]$.

54) The minimal resolution of S is the following one:




(ell) $D = \frac{6}{7}C$.

55) The minimal resolution of S is the following one:



(0) $D = tC$, where $t \in [\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11}]$.

56) The minimal resolution of S is the following one:



(0) $D = \frac{6}{7}C$.

Remark 2.2. In the elliptic curve case ($p_a(C) = 1$) we always suppose that the singular point of C (if it exists) is an ordinary double point and every component B_i doesn't pass through it.

If $b_i = 1/2$ then the intersection multiplicity of C and B_i is not more than 2 in the smooth point of surface. If $b_i \geq 2/3$ then it is equal to 1 in the smooth point of S . Consider a singular point of S . Then C and B_i are the different components of toric boundary, i.e. $K_S + B_i + C \sim 0$ is a lc divisor in the neighborhood of singularity. The details are given in proposition 1.10.

In many cases it is enough to require the irreducibility and reducibility of X_d . The reader can easily find the required conditions in every case. The variants of D which lead to different \widehat{D} (see the definition of \widehat{D} in §3) are shown in the theorem. For instance, see case 9 – 3(+1). Also the minimal complementary index of (S, D) can be easily computed.

If we write ell in the brackets then $p_a(C) = 1$. If we write q in the brackets then $p_a(C) = 0$ and the self-intersection index of proper transform of C on a minimal resolution of S is equal to q .

In theorem 2.1 the toric surfaces not being the weighted projective spaces are written out. The reader will have no difficulty in finding their minimal resolutions. Also, since we know the numbers q and r , where r is the number of singularities of S lying on C then it is easily to find this surface in the text.

Corollary 2.3. *In the notations of theorem 2.1 the surface S is toric, except the cases 51, 53, 54, 55, 56.*

By theorem 2.1 and [20, §5] we have the next corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, D_X) be an exceptional log Del Pezzo surface with $\delta(X, D_X) \geq 1$. Then the minimal complementary index $\text{Compl}(X, D_X) \leq 66$, where*

$$\text{Compl}(X, D_X) = \min\{n \mid K_X + D_X \text{ is } n\text{-complementary}\}.$$

Remark 2.5. Let us remark that the case $\text{Compl}(X, D_X) = 66$ is realized. Then $(X, D_X) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \frac{1}{2}L_1 + \frac{2}{3}L_2 + \frac{10}{11}L_3 + \frac{12}{13}L_4)$, where L_i are the straight lines in the general position. Also this surface can be realized as an exceptional divisor of plt blow-up of three-dimensional exceptional canonical hypersurface singularity $x_1^2 + x_2^3 + x_3^{11} + x_4^{13} = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$. Hypothetically, $\text{Compl}(X, D_X) \leq 66$ for any log Del Pezzo surface with standard coefficients. In particular, the same conjecture $\text{Compl}(X, D_X) \leq 66$ for the three-dimensional contractions of local type follows from the previous two-dimensional conjecture.

Definition 2.6. A log canonical threshold of (X, D) at the point P is denoted by $c_P(X, D)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ define the set $\mathcal{T}_n \subset [0, 1]$

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \left\{ c(X, F) \mid \begin{array}{l} \dim X = n, (X \ni P) \text{ has log canonical singularities} \\ \text{and } D \neq 0 \text{ is an effective Weil } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier divisor} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Corollary 2.7. [9, §5] $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap (\frac{41}{42}, 1) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $6/7 \leq c = c_P(X, D) < 1$. Let $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be an inductive blow-up of (X, cD) [11, theorem 1.5]. Then $K_Y + E + cD_Y = \psi^*(K_X + cD)$. A pair $(E, \text{Diff}_E(cD_Y))$ is a log Del Pezzo surface by corollary 3.10 [19]. If it is non-exceptional then there exists 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- or 6-complement by theorem 2.3 [20], a contradiction with $c < 1$. Thus, (X, D) corresponds to the unique exceptional log Del Pezzo surface with $\delta \geq 1$. The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of inductive blow-up of exceptional pair. Also $\psi(E) = P$ (cf. corollary 1.7 and proposition 1.8 [11]). Now our corollary is proved by exhaustion of all cases in theorem 2.1 and [20, §5]. \square

Remark 2.8. Using theorem 2.1 and [20, §5] the reader will easily describe the finite set $\mathcal{T}_3 \cap (\frac{6}{7}, 1)$.

Let us consider some examples demonstrating the inductive connection of log Del Pezzo surfaces and three-dimensional singularities [12]. The calculation details can be found in [12].


Example 2.9. Consider the exceptional canonical singularity $(X \ni 0) = (t^2 + z^3 + x^7y^4 + azy^8 + by^{12} = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0))$, where $|a| + |b| \neq 0$. It is 7-complementary [12]. A weighted blow-up of \mathbb{C}^4 with weights $(42, 28, 8, 7)$ induces a plt blow-up $(Y, E) \rightarrow (X \ni 0)$. Then

$$(E, \text{Diff}_E(0)) = (t^2 + z^3 + xy^2 + azy^4 + by^6 \subset \mathbb{P}(3, 2, 4, 1), \\ (6/7)\{x = 0\} + (1/2)\{y = 0\}).$$

The singularities of E are $(x_1^2 + x_2^3 + x_3^2 = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0))/\mathbb{Z}_4(3, 2, 1) = \mathbb{D}_5$ (see [18, point 4.10]). Since $K_E^2 = 4$ then $K_{\tilde{E}}^2 = 4$, where \tilde{E} is a minimal resolution of E . By Noether's formula $\rho(\tilde{E}) = 6$ and $\rho(E) = 1$. The curve $\{x = 0\}$ is elliptic. We get $E = S(\mathbb{D}_5)$. It is the case $53 - 1(\text{ell})$.

Example 2.10. Consider the exceptional canonical singularity $(X \ni 0) = (f = t^2 + z^3x + x^7y - z^2y^4 = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0))$. It is 9-complementary [12]. By the same argument as in the previous example we have $(E, \text{Diff}_E(0)) = (f \subset \mathbb{P}(43, 25, 11, 9), 0)$. The singularities of

E are $\frac{1}{25}(2, 1)$, $\frac{1}{11}(1, 8)$ and $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^7 = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)) / \mathbb{Z}_9(7, 7, 2) = \frac{1}{63}(55, 1)$. Calculating $K_E^2 = \frac{8}{25 \cdot 11 \cdot 9}$ and $K_{\tilde{E}}^2 = -11$ we get $\rho(E) = 2$. Since $\delta(E, 0) = 1$ then let us consider a blow-up $E' \rightarrow E$. The unique exceptional curve C' has the discrepancy $a(C', 0) = -\frac{22}{25} \leq -\frac{6}{7}$. We have $\{x = 0\} = \{x = t - zy^2 = 0\} \cup \{x = t + zy^2 = 0\} = C_1 \cup C_2$. The minimal resolution $\tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ is shown in the next figure.



Let us contract the proper transforms of C_1 and C_2 on E' . We get $\psi: E' \rightarrow S$. Then $p_a(\psi(C')) = 1$. We obtain the surface from the case $51 - 2(\text{ell})$.

Example 2.11. Consider the exceptional canonical singularities $(X \ni 0) = (t^2 + z^3 + x^9y + x^4y^n = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0))$, where $n = 7, 9$. They are 18-, 30-complementary for $n = 7, 9$ respectively [12]. Then

$$(E, \text{Diff}_E(0)) = (t + z + x^9y + x^4y^n \subset \mathbb{P}(9n - 4, 9n - 4, n - 1, 5), \\ (1/2)\{t = 0\} + (2/3)\{z = 0\}) = (\mathbb{P}(9n - 4, n - 1, 5), \text{Diff}_E(0)).$$

The singularities of E are $\frac{1}{n-1}(1, 1)$, \mathbb{A}_4 , $\frac{1}{9n-4}(2n - 1, 1)$ and $\delta(E, \text{Diff}_E(0)) = 2$. Let us extract the two curves with discrepancies $\frac{5}{6n-6} - 1 \leq -6/7$ and $-\frac{14}{15} \leq -6/7$ and contract the proper transforms of $\{x = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$. We get \mathbb{P}^2 . It is the type A_2^1 [20, §5].

3. Beginning of main theorem proof

The existence of $g: X' \rightarrow S$ with required properties was proved in §4, §5 of the paper [20].

Now we introduce the basic notions and notations used later on.

Always we assume that $(S, D = tC + \sum b_i B_i)$ is an exceptional log Del Pezzo surface with $\delta(S, D) = 1$, $6/7 \leq t < 1$, $b_i \in \Phi_{\text{sm}}$ and $\rho(S) = 1$. It is clear that S is a rational surface.

Let us define a rational number b from the following equality $K_S + D' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_S + bC + \sum b_i B_i \equiv 0$. It can happen that $\delta(S, D') = 2$.

Definition 3.1. Let $g': S^{\text{min}} \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution of singularities P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_m} lying on C . Let us contract all curves from $\text{Exc } g'$, which don't intersect a proper transform of C on S^{min} . We get $S^{\text{min}} \rightarrow \tilde{S} \xrightarrow{f} S$. The surface \tilde{S} is called a *partial resolution of S along*

C taking at the points P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_m} . If $\text{Sing } S \cap C = \{P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_m}\}$ then the surface \tilde{S} is called a *partial resolution of S along C* .

The proper transforms of C and B_i on \tilde{S} are denoted by \tilde{C} and \tilde{B}_i respectively.

The exceptional curves from $\text{Exc } f$ are denoted by $\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_r$. It is obvious that r is a number of singularities of S , which lie on C . By proposition 1.10 the singularities lying on C are $\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}(q_i, 1)$, where $i = 1, \dots, r$. The curve C is given by the equation $x = 0$ at the points P_i .




Fig. 1

Thus

$$K_{\tilde{S}} + b\tilde{C} + \sum b_i\tilde{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i\tilde{E}_i = f^*(K_S + bC + \sum b_iB_i).$$

Let \tilde{S} be a partial resolution of S along C . Then we will construct a birational morphism $h: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ in the case $p_a(C) = 1$ and in the case $p_a(C) = 0$, $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 1$, where \hat{S} will be a well-known surface. The morphism h will be given by a linear system $|\tilde{C}|$.

In the case $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\tilde{C}^2 = 0$ the birational morphism f will be the composition of partial resolutions. The birational morphism h will be given by a linear system $|\tilde{E}_1 + m\tilde{C}|$, where $m \gg 0$.

In the case $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\tilde{C}^2 = -1$ the surface \tilde{S} will be constructed by the following way: $S \xleftarrow{\varphi} S^\circ \xrightarrow{\psi} \tilde{S}$, where φ is the composition of partial resolutions and ψ is the contraction of proper transform of C . Also a birational morphism h will be given by a concrete linear system.

In the first and second possibilities the birational morphism h doesn't contract \tilde{C} and \tilde{E}_i for all i . We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\tilde{S}} + b\tilde{C} + \sum b_i\tilde{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i\tilde{E}_i &= h^*(K_{\hat{S}} + b\hat{C} + \sum b_i\hat{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i\hat{E}_i) = \\ &= h^*(K_{\hat{S}} + \hat{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that h can contract some \tilde{B}_i .

It is clear that $(\hat{S}, t\hat{C} + \sum b_i\hat{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i(\tilde{E}_i, D)\hat{E}_i)$ is an exceptional log surface. It is easily to prove the next lemma by proposition 1.10.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $a(\tilde{E}_i, bC) = 1 - \frac{(1-b)q_i+1}{n_i}$. This implies that $a_i \geq 3/7$ and if $a_i = 3/7$ then $n_i = 2$, $q_i = 1$, $b = 6/7$, $P_i \notin \text{Supp } B_k$ for all k .*

The idea of classification is the following one (cf. [13], [14]): since we know the structure of \hat{S} we sort out all possibilities for \hat{D} . Then we describe all possible birational morphisms h and f for every \hat{D} . By the construction it is clear that any exceptional divisor E of h has a discrepancy $a(E, \hat{D})=0$ or $(\frac{1}{n} - 1)$ and f must contract all proper transforms of irreducible divisors from \hat{D} with non-standard coefficients, except a proper transform of \hat{C} .

Definition 3.3. The birational morphism h is called *an extraction*. Every curve from $\text{Exc } h$ is called *an extracted curve*.

Proposition 3.4. [20, proposition 5.4] $p_a(C) \leq 1$.

In section 4 the elliptic curve case (i.e. $p_a(C) = 1$) is considered. In sections 5, 6, 7 the rational curve case (i.e. $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 1$, $\tilde{C}^2 = 0$, $\tilde{C}^2 = -1$ respectively) is considered.

4. Elliptic curve case: $p_a(C) = 1$

Let $p_a(C) = 1$. According to proposition 1.10 a singularity of curve C can be only ordinary double point.

Proposition 4.1. $\deg \text{Diff}_C(0) = \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - 1/n_i)$ and

$$C^2 = \frac{\deg \text{Diff}_C(0) + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C)}{1 - b}, \quad \tilde{C}^2 = C^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i}{n_i}.$$

Proof. By the adjunction theorem $\deg \text{Diff}_C(0) = (K_S + C) \cdot C = (K_S + bC + \sum b_i B_i) \cdot C + ((1-b)C - \sum b_i B_i) \cdot C = (1-b)C^2 - \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C)$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *We have $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 3$. This implies that a linear system $|\tilde{C}|$ gives a birational morphism by proposition 1.12.*

Proof. If $\text{Diff}_C(0) \neq \emptyset$ then $\tilde{C}^2 \geq C^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{n_i-1}{n_i} \geq 6 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{n_i-1}{n_i} \geq 3$. If $\text{Diff}_C(0) = \emptyset$ then $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7 \cdot \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C) \geq 7/2$ and hence $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 4$. \square

In figure 1 the birational morphism h is given by a linear system $|\tilde{C}|$.

Proposition 4.3. *We have $r \leq 2$. Moreover, $r = 0$ if and only if \tilde{C} is very ample divisor.*

Proof. Let $r \geq 3$. Then as in corollary 4.2 $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 6 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{n_i-1}{n_i} \geq 9$. Let \bar{S} be a minimal model of S^{\min} and let \bar{C}, \bar{E}_i be the images of C, E_i on S^{\min} respectively. Since $p_a(\bar{C}) = 1$ [20, proposition 5.4] then $\bar{S} = \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{F}_n$, where $n = 0, 2$ and $\bar{C} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3), 2E_0+2f, 2E_0$ respectively. Since $\text{Supp } \tilde{C} \cap \text{Sing } \tilde{S} = \emptyset$ then $\bar{C}^2 \geq 9$. Therefore $\bar{S} = \mathbb{P}^2, \bar{C}^2 = 9$ and hence the proper transforms of curves \tilde{E}_i don't contract in the transfer process to the minimal model. By lemma 3.2 we get a contradiction with $-(K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + b\bar{C} + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \bar{E}_i)$ to be nef.

If $r = 0$ then $S = \tilde{S} = \hat{S}$. Now, let \tilde{C} be very ample divisor and $r \geq 1$. Then as above $p_a(\bar{C}) = 1$. Therefore every (-1) curve intersects \tilde{C} only at the single point in the transfer process to the minimal model \bar{S} . Hence $K_{S^{\min}} + C_{S^{\min}} = \psi^*(K_{\bar{S}} + \bar{C}) \equiv 0$, where $\psi: S^{\min} \rightarrow \bar{S}$. We get a contradiction $0 \geq 2 + (E_i^{\min})^2 = -K_{S^{\min}} \cdot E_i^{\min} = C_{S^{\min}} \cdot E_i^{\min} = 1$, where E_i^{\min} is a proper transform of E_i . \square

Corollary 4.4. *Just one of the following two possibilities holds:*

1. $r \leq 2$ and $\rho(\hat{S}) = 1$;
2. $r = 2$ and $\rho(\hat{S}) = 2$.

Proof. Since $r \leq 2$ then $\rho(\hat{S}) \leq 3$. If $\rho(\hat{S}) = 3$ then $r = 2$ and hence \tilde{C} is very ample divisor, a contradiction. Similarly, if $\rho(\hat{S}) = 2$ then $r \neq 0, 1$. Thus $r = 2$. \square

4.5. *Consider the first case $\rho(\hat{S}) = 1$ and $r \leq 2$.*

We have $(K_{\hat{S}} + \hat{C}) \cdot \hat{C} = 0$. Therefore $-K_{\hat{S}} \sim \hat{C}$ is Cartier divisor, i.e. \hat{S} is log Del Pezzo surface with Du Val singularities. Since $K_{\hat{S}}^2 \geq 3$ then $\hat{S} = \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2), \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3), S(\mathbb{A}_4), S(2\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_3), S(\mathbb{D}_5), S(3\mathbb{A}_2), S(\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_5)$ or $S(\mathbb{E}_6)$ [4]. The curves \hat{E}_i are (-1) curves on a minimal resolution of \hat{S} because $\hat{C} \cdot \hat{E}_i = 1$. Therefore r is not more then the number of (-1) curves on the minimal resolution of \hat{S} .

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that $\tilde{C}^2 \leq 6$, i.e. $\hat{S} \neq \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ and let $\hat{B}_i \neq 0$. Then $\hat{B}_i \cdot \hat{C} = 1$ and \hat{B}_i is (-1) curve on a minimal resolution of \hat{S} .*

Proof. Indeed, if $\hat{B}_i \cdot \hat{C} \geq 2$ then $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7 - \frac{n_1-1}{n_1}$ by proposition 4.1. Hence $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7$, a contradiction. \square


Let us consider case by case all variants of \hat{S} .

A). $\hat{S} = \mathbb{P}^2$. Then $r = 0$. There are no cases.

B). $\hat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. Then $r = 0$. We have the case 2-1(ell).

C). $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3)$. Then $r = 0, 1$. If $r = 0$ then we have the case 6–1(ell). Let $r = 1$. By lemma 3.2 we have $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + 6(1 - b)\widehat{E}_1$, where $\widehat{C}_1 = X_6$ and $\widehat{E}_1 = X_1$. If $b = 6/7$ then $6(1 - b) = 6/7$. Therefore we can assume that $b > 6/7$. Our problem is reduced to describe the following procedures. At first take a blow-up $h: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ with the single exceptional divisor E and a discrepancy $a(E, 6(1 - b)\widehat{E}_1)$ being equal to 0 or $1/n - 1$. We also require that a curve \widetilde{E}_1 has a self-intersection index ≤ -2 on a minimal resolution of \widetilde{S} . After it we contract \widetilde{E}_1 . The surface obtained is a required one. In our variant the extraction of necessary curve can happen only at two singular points \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_2 .

Consider the first opportunity $(\mathbb{C}^2, 6(1 - b)\{x = 0\})/\mathbb{Z}_2(1, 1)$. Under the condition $b > 6/7$ the extraction of required curve is shown in the next diagram.



Definition 4.7. Let us describe the diagram of such type. The numbers over circles are equal to the self-intersection indexes of exceptional curves. The number over square is equal to the difference of self-intersection indexes of corresponding curve. The numbers below circles and squares are equal to the corresponding discrepancies taken with an opposite sign for a convenience. The required extracted curves are enumerated.

Let k curves be enumerated. If we have to extract k' curves then we have to contract the remained curves (of course we have to contract the remained enumerated $k - k'$ curves). Thus we have the different $C_k^{k'}$ possibilities.


Unless otherwise stated we assume that the curve enumerated is extracted with a discrepancy 0.

If the curve 1 is extracted (and $b = 8/9$) then we have the case 8–1(ell). If the curve 2 is extracted (and $b = 13/15$) then we have the case 19(ell). The obtained surfaces S are toric. In order to not check every time that the extractions and contractions are the toric ones we can use a toric criterion.

Theorem 4.8. [20, theorem 6.4] *A normal projective surface X is toric if and only if there exists a boundary $D_X = \sum_{i=1}^m D_i$ such that $K_X + D_X \equiv 0$ is a lc divisor and $\rho(X) = m - 2$.*


In this and next cases we take a standard toric boundary $T = \sum_{i=1}^3 \{x_i = 0\}$ on \widehat{S} . After taking blow-up h the exceptional divisor appears with discrepancy $a(\cdot, T) = -1$. After taking contraction f we obtain a desired toric boundary consisting of three required divisors. Thus S is a toric surface.

Consider the second opportunity $(\mathbb{C}^2, 6(1-b)\{x=0\})/\mathbb{Z}_3(2,1)$. Then




If the curve 1 is extracted then we have the case 9-1(ell). If the curve 2 is extracted then we have the case 22-1(ell). If the curve 3 is extracted then we have the case 35(ell).

D). $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{A}_4)$. Then $r = 0$ or 1. If $r = 0$ then by lemma 4.6 we have the case 51-1(ell). Let $r = 1$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + 5(1-b)\widehat{E}_1$. If $b = 6/7$ then $\delta(\widehat{S}, \widehat{D}) = 2$ (on the diagram the exceptional divisor with discrepancy $-6/7$ is (-6) curve). Therefore $b > 6/7$. We have the next diagram.



If the curve with number $k-1$ is extracted then we have the case $51-k$ (ell). The curve 1 can be extracted with discrepancy 0 or $-1/2$.


E). $\widehat{S} = S(2\mathbb{A}_1 + \mathbb{A}_3)$. Then $r = 0, 1, 2$. If $r = 0$ then by lemma 4.6 we have the case 52-1(ell). Let $r = 1$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + 4(1-b)\widehat{E}_1$. The extraction of required curve is possible only at two points: \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_3 . At the point \mathbb{A}_1 it is absent. At the point \mathbb{A}_3 we have the next diagram.



We obtained the case 52-2(ell). Let $r = 2$. Then $a_1 + a_2 = 4(1-b) \leq 4/7$, a contradiction with lemma 3.2.

F). $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{D}_5)$. Then $r = 0$ or 1. If $r = 0$ then by lemma 4.6 we have the case 53-1(ell). Let $r = 1$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + 4(1-b)\widehat{E}_1$. If $b = 6/7$ then $\delta(\widehat{S}, \widehat{D}) = 2$ (on the diagram the exceptional divisor with


discrepancy $-6/7$ is the central curve). Therefore $b > 6/7$. We have the next diagram.



We obtained the case 53-2(ell).

G). $\widehat{S} = S(3A_2)$. Then $r = 0$ or 1 . If $r = 0$ then by the proof of corollary 4.2 it follows that $\widetilde{C}^2 \geq 4$. Therefore the variant $r = 0$ is impossible. Let $r = 1$. Then there are no cases since there is no an extracted curve with required discrepancy for the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, 3(1-b)\{x = 0\})/\mathbb{Z}_3(2, 1)$.

H). $\widehat{S} = S(A_1 + A_5)$. Similarly to point **G)** we have $r = 1, 2$. Just as in point **E)** $r \neq 2$. Let $r = 1$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + 3(1-b)\widehat{E}_1 = \frac{6}{7}\widehat{C} + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1$ (see lemma 3.2), where \widehat{E}_1 is one of two (-1) curves (on a minimal resolution). The extraction can happen only if \widehat{E}_1 is (-1) curve not passing through A_1 .



We obtained the case 54(ell).

I). $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{E}_6)$. Similarly to the previous point $r = 1$ and $b = 6/7$. Then $\widehat{D} = \frac{6}{7}\widehat{C} + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1$ and $\delta(\widehat{S}, \widehat{D}) = 2$. Indeed, after a blow-up of \mathbb{C}^3 with weights $(3, 2, 2)$ for the pair

$$\left(\widehat{S}, (3/7)\widehat{E}_1\right) \cong_{\text{an}} \left(x^2 + y^3 + z^3 = 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^3, (3/7)\{z = 0\}\right)$$

the exceptional divisor with discrepancy $a(\cdot, \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1) = -6/7$ appears. Therefore there are no cases.

4.9. Consider the second case $\rho(\widehat{S}) = 2$ and $r = 2$.

According to proposition 4.1 $\widetilde{C}^2 \geq 7$, except the case $n_1 = n_2 = 2$, $b = 6/7$ and $B = 0$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7$. Then the surface \widehat{S} can be obtained by usual blow-up of point on the cone $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$, which is not its vertex.*

Proof. Let $\psi: \widehat{S}^{\min} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ be a minimal resolution and \overline{S} be a minimal model of \widehat{S}^{\min} . All variants of \overline{S} were described in the proof of proposition 4.3. Therefore, if $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) \geq 4$ then we have a contradiction with $\overline{C}^2 \geq 11 - \rho(\overline{S})$, where \overline{C} is an image of \widehat{C} .

If $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 3$ then we have the case in the lemma statement. Moreover $\widehat{C}^2 = 7$ and the singular points \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_2 lie on C .

If $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 2$ then $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{F}_0$ since \widehat{C} is very ample divisor. The divisor $-(K_{\mathbb{F}_0} + b\widehat{C} + (3/7)\widehat{E}_1 + (3/7)\widehat{E}_2)$ is not nef, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.11. *If $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7$ then (S, D) is the log surface $6 - 2(\text{ell})$.*

Now let $n_1 = n_2 = 2$, $b = 6/7$ and $B = 0$. Let us prove that there are no cases. Similarly to previous lemma 4.10 $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 2, 3, 4$ and the variant $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 2$ is impossible. Besides, if $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 3$ then $\overline{C}^2 = 9 - \rho(\overline{S})$. A contradiction.

Let $\rho(\widehat{S}^{\min}) = 4$. Then \mathbb{F}_2 is a minimal model of \widehat{S}^{\min} and $\varphi: \widehat{S}^{\min} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 = \overline{S}$. There are two possibilities: the proper transforms of \widehat{E}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 don't contract by φ ; the proper transform of \widehat{E}_1 is contracted by φ but the proper transform of \widehat{E}_2 is not. In the first possibility $-(K_{\mathbb{F}_2} + \frac{6}{7}(2E_0) + (3/7)\overline{E}_1 + (3/7)\overline{E}_2)$ is not a nef divisor. We get a contradiction. In the second possibility there is an exceptional curve Γ on \widehat{S}^{\min} with $a(\Gamma) = a(\Gamma, (6/7)\widehat{C} + (3/7)\widehat{E}_1 + (3/7)\widehat{E}_2) < 0$.

Lemma 4.12. $a(\Gamma) \leq -3/14$.

Proof. If $\psi(\Gamma) \in \text{Supp } \widehat{D}$ then in the same way as in lemma 3.2 we obtain the required inequality. If $\psi(\Gamma) \notin \text{Supp } \widehat{D}$ then $\psi(\Gamma) \in \widehat{S}$ is not Du Val singularity. Therefore $a(\Gamma) \leq -1/3$ [10, proposition 2.4.8]. \square

The divisor $-(K_{\mathbb{F}_2} + \frac{6}{7}(2E_0) + (3/7)\overline{E}_2 + (3/14)\overline{\Gamma})$ is not nef. We get a contradiction.

5. Case $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 1$

Let $p_a(C) = 0$. The next proposition is proved as previous proposition 4.1.

Proposition 5.1. $\deg \text{Diff}_C(0) = \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - 1/n_i)$ and

$$C^2 = \frac{-2 + \deg \text{Diff}_C(0) + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C)}{1 - b}, \quad \tilde{C}^2 = C^2 - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i}{n_i}.$$

Corollary 5.2. $\tilde{C}^2 \geq -1$.

Proof. By proposition 5.1 $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 7(-2 + \text{Diff}_C(0) + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C)) - \text{Diff}_C(0) = 6(-2 + \text{Diff}_C(0) + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C)) - 2 + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C) > -2 + \sum b_i(B_i \cdot C) \geq -2$. \square

Consider the first case $\tilde{C}^2 \geq 1$. By proposition 1.11 a linear system $|\tilde{C}|$ gives a birational morphism h and $\hat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, n), \mathbb{F}_n$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\hat{S}, \hat{C}) \simeq (\mathbb{F}_n, E_0 + kf)$. Then $n = 1, 2, 3$; $k = 1$ and we obtain four cases $2 - 2(+4)$, $3 - 1(+5)$, $6 - 3(+3)$, $8 - 2(+3)$.*

Proof. Let $n \neq 0$. Since $\hat{E}_i \cdot \hat{C} = 1$ then either $\hat{E}_i \sim f$ for all i , or $\hat{E}_1 = E_\infty, \hat{E}_i \sim f$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $k = 1$.

Consider the first variant. Then there exists 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- or 6-complement $D^+ \geq \hat{C} + \sum b_i \hat{B}_i$ of the divisor

$$(1) \quad K_{\hat{S}} + b\hat{C} + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \hat{E}_i + \sum b_i \hat{B}_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$$

in the neighborhood of fiber f' by theorem 1.4. From (1) we have $(K_{\hat{S}} + D^+) \cdot f' > 0$, a contradiction.

Consider the second variant. Then the divisor from (1) is \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalent to the next one

$$K_{\hat{S}} + b(E_0 + f) + a_1 E_\infty + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i f + \sum b_i (k_i E_0 + l_i f) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0.$$

It is obvious that there exists a number i_0 such that $k_{i_0} \neq 0$. Since $0 \leq -(K_{\hat{S}} + (6/7)(E_0 + f) + (1/2)E_0) \cdot E_0 = 8/7 - (5/14)n$ then $n \leq 3$.

Let $n = 3$. Then $\hat{D} = (7/8)\hat{C} + (5/8)\hat{E}_1 + (1/2)B_1$, where $B_1 \sim E_0$. Thus $r = 1, \tilde{S} = \hat{S}$. We obtain the case $3 - 1(+5)$.

Let $n = 2$. Then $\hat{D} = (8/9)\hat{C} + (4/9)\hat{E}_1 + (2/3)B_1$ or $\hat{D} = (6/7)\hat{C} + (9/14)\hat{E}_1 + (3/7)\hat{E}_2 + (1/2)B_1$, where $B_1 \sim E_0$. In the first variant $r = 1$ and we obtain the case $2 - 2(+4)$. In the second variant $r = 2$. The extraction of required curve can take place at the point $\hat{E}_1 \cap \hat{E}_2$ only. It is easy to prove that it is absent.

Let $n = 1$. Then $r \leq 2$ and $\hat{D} = (6/7+a)\hat{C} + (9/14-a)\hat{E}_1 + (11/14-2a)\hat{E}_2 + (1/2)B_1$ or $\hat{D} = (6/7+a)\hat{C} + (10/21-a)\hat{E}_1 + (13/21-2a)\hat{E}_2 + (2/3)B_1$, where $B_1 \sim E_0$ and $a \in [0, \frac{1}{7})$. In both variants $r = 2$ and the extraction of required curve can take place at the point $\hat{E}_1 \cap \hat{E}_2$ only. For the pair $(\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2, (9/14-a)\{x=0\} + (11/14-2a)\{y=0\})$ we can extract a divisor with discrepancy 0 only. It is not hard to prove that it happens by the weighted blow-ups with weights $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 2), (1, 3)$

and $(2, 1)$. We obtain the cases $6 - 3(+3)$ and $8 - 2(+3)$ in the first and second possibilities for (α, β) respectively. The possibility $(2, 1)$ is not realized since a proper transform of \widehat{E}_2 is (-1) curve on a minimal resolution. For the same reason ($\beta = 1$) the second variant of \widehat{D} is not realized.

Let $n = 0$. Then the number of intersection points between \widehat{E}_i is not more than $r - 1$. Therefore, one can assume without loss of generality that $\widehat{E}_1 \sim E_0$, $\widehat{E}_i \sim f$ for $i \geq 2$. By the same argument we can prove that it is impossible to convert every \widehat{E}_i to $(-k_i)$ curve (on a minimal resolution), where $k_i \geq 2$. Hence, there are no cases. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\widehat{S}, \widehat{C}) = (\mathbb{P}(1, 1, n), X_n)$. Then $n \leq 5$ and \widehat{E}_i are the generators of cone.*

Proof. Since $\widehat{E}_i \cdot \widehat{C} = 1$ then \widehat{E}_i is the generator of cone. It is clear that $K_{\widehat{S}} + \widehat{D}$ is $\frac{1}{7}$ -log terminal divisor at the cone vertex. Let $n \geq 6$ and $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ be a minimal resolution. A proper transform of \widehat{B}_i is denoted by \overline{B}_i . Then $\overline{B}_i \sim f$ for all i . Indeed, if $\overline{B}_i \sim l_i E_0 + k_i f$, where $l_i \geq 1$ then $-(K_{\widehat{S}} + (6/7)\widehat{C} + (1/2)\widehat{B}_i)$ is not a nef divisor, a contradiction. Hence $K_{\widehat{S}} + \widehat{D}$ is not $\frac{1}{7}$ -log terminal divisor at the cone vertex. \square

Consider case by case all variants. The requirement to be $\frac{1}{7}$ -log terminal at the cone vertex ($n \geq 2$) implies the existence of i_0 such that $(\widehat{B}_{i_0} - X_n)$ is a nef divisor. Put $i_0 = 1$.

A). $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 5)$. We have $r = 0$ and the case $5(+5)$.

B). $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4)$. Then $r = 0, 1$ and if $r = 0$ then we have the case $4(+4)$. Let $r = 1$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + (1/2)\widehat{B}_1 + (4 - 4b)\widehat{E}_1$, where $\widehat{B}_1 = X_4$. The extraction of required curve can take place at the point $\widehat{E}_1 \cap \widehat{B}_1$ and at the cone vertex only. It can easily be checked that it is absent.

C). $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3)$. We have the following possibilities for \widehat{D} :


1. $\widehat{D} = (\frac{5}{3} - \frac{k-1}{k})\widehat{C} + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1$, where $k = 4, 5$. We obtain the case $3 - 2(+3)$.
2. $\widehat{D} = \frac{6}{7}\widehat{C} + \frac{2}{3}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1$. It is not realized.
3. $\widehat{D} = \frac{6}{7}\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_2 + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1$. We obtain the case $10 - 1(+3)$.
4. $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2$. It is not realized.
5. $\widehat{D} = (\frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{3k})\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$. It is the case $3 - 3(+3)$.
6. $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{7}{2} - 3b)\widehat{E}_1$. We obtain the cases $7 - 1(+3)$, $14 - 1(+3)$, $10 - 2(+3)$, $20(+3)$, $29(+3)$.

Here $\widehat{B}_1 = X_3$, $\widehat{B}_2 = X_1$, $a_1 + a_2 = 7/2 - 3b$. In the third possibility it is possible that $\widehat{B}_3 = \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{B}_2$ is an irreducible curve X_4 .

It follows easily that possibilities (2),(4) are not realized. In possibility (3) the extraction can be only if $\widehat{B}_3 \cap \widehat{E}_1 = P$, where P is a cone vertex. It is the case $10 - 1(+3)$. The calculations are similarly as in possibility (6).

In possibility (6) $r = 1$ and the extraction of required curve can take place at the point $Q = \widehat{E}_1 \cap \widehat{B}_1$ and at the cone vertex. Consider the point Q . Let $h: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow (\widehat{S} \ni Q)$ be an required extraction. Then condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ gives the following requirement: on a minimal resolution of surface \widetilde{S} a proper transform of \widehat{E}_1 is a curve with self-intersection index $k = -2, -3$ (see proposition 1.10) and there is only one singular point of \widetilde{S} , which is the cone vertex and lies on \widetilde{E}_1 . For the pair $(\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2, \frac{1}{2}\{x=0\} + (\frac{7}{2} - 3b)\{y=0\})$ these two variants correspond to the weighted blow-ups with weights (1,2) and (1,3). We have the cases $7 - 1(+3)$, $14 - 1(+3)$.

Consider the cone vertex. Similarly, by proposition 1.10 there are no singular points of \widetilde{S} which lie on \widetilde{E}_1 and $\widetilde{E}_1^2 = -2, -3, -4$. The realization of these three possibilities is shown in the next diagram.



We obtain the cases $10 - 2(+3)$, $20(+3)$, $29(+3)$.

D). $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. We have the following possibilities for \widehat{D} . Here $\widehat{B}_1 = X_2$, $\widehat{B}_2 = X_1$, $\widehat{B}_3 = X_3$ and $\widehat{B}_4 = X_1$.

(1). $\widehat{D} = \frac{11}{12}\widehat{C} + \frac{5}{6}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_2$. It is the case $2 - 3(+2)$.

(2). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{5}{6}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{7}{3} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$. The extraction can take place at the point $\widehat{B}_1 \cap \widehat{E}_1$ only. Now let us give a reasoning which will be used many times. It allows to decrease the computation quantity. Thus, consider the pair $(\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2, (\frac{7}{3} - 2b)\{x=0\} + \frac{5}{6}\{y=0\})$.

Lemma 5.5. *The extraction of required curve can take place by a blow-up with weights (2, 1) only. It is the case $6 - 4(+2)$.*

Proof. It can easily be checked that the extraction of required curve with a discrepancy $1/\vartheta - 1$ can be realized by a toric blow-up only, i.e. by a weighted blow-up with weights (α, β) . Take a blow-up $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ with weights (α, β) . The condition that \widetilde{E}_1 is not (-1) curve on a minimal resolution \widetilde{S} is $\widetilde{E}_1^2 < -1$, i.e. $-\alpha/\beta < -1$. Hence $\alpha \geq \beta + 1$. Solving the equation system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(12b - 8) + \beta = \frac{6}{\vartheta} \\ \alpha \geq \beta + 1 \\ \alpha, \beta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; (\alpha, \beta) = 1; b \in [\frac{6}{7}, 1), \end{cases}$$

We get $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)$, $\vartheta = 1$, $b = 7/8$. \square

(3). $\widehat{D} = (\frac{6}{5} - \frac{k-1}{2k})\widehat{C} + \frac{4}{5}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $k = 2, 3$. It is the case $2 - 4(+2)$.


(4). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{4}{5}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{12}{5} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$. The extraction can happen at the point $\widehat{B}_1 \cap \widehat{E}_1$ only. Likewise (2) the extraction is realized by a blow-up with weights $(2, 1)$ and $b = 9/10$, $\vartheta = 1$. We obtain the case $6 - 4(+2)$.

(5). $\widehat{D} = (\frac{5}{4} - \frac{k-1}{2k})\widehat{C} + \frac{3}{4}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $k = 3, 4$. It is the case $2 - 5(+2)$.

(6). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{3}{4}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{5}{2} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$. The extraction can happen at the point $\widehat{B}_1 \cap \widehat{E}_1$ only. Likewise (2) the extraction is realized by the blow-ups with weights $(2, 1)$, $(3, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 1$. We obtain the cases $6 - 4(+2)$ and $9 - 2(+2)$.

(7). $\widehat{D} = (\frac{4}{3} - \frac{k-1}{2k})\widehat{C} + \frac{2}{3}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $k = 4, 5, 6$. It is the case $2 - 6(+2)$.

(8). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{2}{3}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{8}{3} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$. Consider the extraction at the cone vertex. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies (see proposition 1.10) $\widetilde{E}_1 \cap \text{Sing } \widetilde{S} = \emptyset$ and $\widetilde{E}_1^2 = -2, -3$. These cases are realized.



We obtain the cases $7 - 2(+2)$, $13 - 1(+2)$. In the last case, if $t = 19/21$ then $\delta(S, D) = 2$.

Consider the extraction at the point $\widehat{B}_1 \cap \widehat{E}_1$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies (see proposition 1.10) $\widetilde{E}_1 \cap \text{Sing } \widetilde{S} = P$, where P is a cone vertex, $\vartheta = 1$ and $\widetilde{E}_1^2 = -2 + \frac{1}{2}, -3 + \frac{1}{2}, -4 + \frac{1}{2}$. The case $\widetilde{E}_1^2 = -2 + \frac{1}{2}$ is not realized since $b < 1$. Other two cases are realized by the blow-ups with weights $(3, 1)$ and $(4, 1)$. We obtain the cases $9 - 2(+2)$, $15 - 1(+2)$.


(9). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{2}{3}\widehat{B}_1 + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2$, where $a_1 + a_2 = 8/3 - 2b$. It is not hard to prove that this possibility is not realized.

(10). $\widehat{D} = (\frac{5}{4} - \frac{k-1}{2k})\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $k = 3, 4$. It can happen that $\widehat{B}_3 = \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{B}_4$. It is the case $2 - 7(+2)$.

(11). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_3 + (\frac{5}{2} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$. It is possible that $\widehat{B}_3 = \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{B}_2$.

Let $\widehat{B}_3 \cap \widehat{E}_1 \neq P$, where P is a cone vertex. If $\widehat{B}_3 = \widehat{B}_1 + \widehat{B}_2$ then this condition is always fulfilled. Considering the cone vertex as in point (8) we obtain the cases $7 - 3(+2)$, $13 - 2(+2)$. Consider the smooth point \widehat{S} , where \widehat{B}_3 intersects \widehat{E}_1 . Similarly the extraction can take place by a blow-up with weights $(2,1)$ only. It is the case $6 - 5(+2)$.

Let $\widehat{B}_3 \cap \widehat{E}_1 = P$. Then



Later on the required curve is extracted by a weighted blow-up at the point $\widehat{E}_1 \cap T$. Let its discrepancy is equal to $1/\vartheta - 1$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $7/2 - 3b < 6/7$, i.e. $b > 37/42$. Similarly to lemma 5.5 we have to solve the equation $\alpha(4b - 3) + \beta(6b - 5) = 2/\vartheta$. We obtain $\vartheta = 2$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$; $\vartheta = 1$ and $(1, 2)$, $(1, 3)$, $(1, 4)$, $(1, 5)$, $(2, 1)$, $(2, 3)$, $(3, 1)$. We have the cases $7 - 4(+2)$, $14 - 2(+2)$, $26(+2)$, $36(+2)$, $40(+2)$, $13 - 3(+2)$, $34(+2)$, $21 - 1(+2)$ respectively.

(12). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2 + (2 + \frac{1}{k} - 2b)\widehat{E}_1$, where $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$. It is not hard to prove that this possibility is not realized.

(13). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2$, where $a_1 + a_2 = 3 - 2b$. It is not hard to prove that this possibility is not realized.

(14). $\widehat{D} = \frac{6}{7}\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_1 + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{E}_3$. It is not hard to prove that this possibility is not realized.

E). $(\widehat{S}, \widehat{C}) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$. Since $\widehat{E}_i \cdot \widehat{C} = 1$ then $r = 0$. It is the case $1 - 1(+4)$.


F). $(\widehat{S}, \widehat{C}) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. Consider case by case all possibilities for \widehat{D} .

(I). Let $\text{Supp } \widehat{D}$ consists of the straight lines only and s is their quantity. If $r = 0$ then we have the cases $1 - 2(+1)$, $1 - 3(+1)$, $1 - 4(+1)$.

Let $r = 1$. Then $s = 4, 5$. At first consider $s = 5$. Then by proposition 1.10 three straight lines pass through some point on \widehat{E}_1 , which doesn't lie on \widehat{C} . Let \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{B}_2 be among them. Since $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = 3 - b - a_1 \leq 12/7$ (see lemma 3.2) then $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{k-1}{k})$, where $k = 2, 3$ or $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$. Since $\widehat{E}_1^2 \leq -2$ then $b_1 + b_2 + 3a_1 - 3 \geq 0$. Substituting b_1, b_2, b_3 we obtain a contradiction. Now let $s = 4$. Then all straight lines from $\text{Supp } \widehat{D}$ are in the general position.

By proposition 1.10 $\tilde{E}_1^2 = -2, -3, -4$ and $\tilde{E}_1 \cap \text{Sing } \tilde{S} = \emptyset$, i.e. we have the extractions by the blow-ups with weights $(\alpha, 1)$ only, where $\alpha = 3, 4, 5$. We obtain the cases $6 - 6(+1)$, $8 - 3(+1)$, $11 - 1(+1)$.

Let $r = 2$. Then $s = 4, 5$. For the same reason the case $s = 5$ is impossible. Thus $s = 4$ and all straight lines from $\text{Supp } \hat{D}$ are in the general position. We have two extraction types, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ are the extracted curves.




Consider the type I. By proposition 1.10 $\tilde{E}_i^2 = -n_i$, where $n_i = 2, 3, 4$, $\tilde{E}_i \cap \text{Sing } \tilde{S} = \emptyset$ and the discrepancies $a(\tilde{\Gamma}_i, \hat{D}) = 0$. Thus

$$(2) \quad 3 = b + b_1 + a_1 + a_2 = b + b_1 + 1 + \frac{b + b_1 - 2}{n_1} + 1 + \frac{b + b_1 - 2}{n_2}.$$

Taking into account $6/7 \leq b < 1$ we have $b_1 = 1/2$ and $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4)$. Two required toric blow-ups have the weights $(n_1 + 1, 1)$ and $(1, n_2 + 1)$. We obtain the cases $27(+1)$, $43(+1)$, $44(+1)$, $42(+1)$ respectively.

Consider the type II. By proposition 1.10 $\tilde{E}_2^2 = -(m - 1)$, where $m = 3, 4, 5$; $\tilde{E}_2 \cap \text{Sing } \tilde{S} = \emptyset$; $\tilde{E}_1 \cap \text{Sing } \tilde{S} = P$ and the discrepancies $a(\tilde{\Gamma}_i, \hat{D}) = 0$. Thus, at the point P the surface \tilde{S} has a singularity \mathbb{A}_{m-1} and the curve \tilde{E}_1 is contracted to a singular point $\frac{1}{km-m+1}(m, 1)$, where $k = -\tilde{E}_1^2 + \frac{m-1}{m}$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $m(k-2) < 6$. Hence $k = 2, 3$ and $m = 3, 4, 5$. Taking into account the condition as (2) we obtain $17 - 1(+1)$ and $(3, 3, 1/2)$, $12 - 1(+1)$ and $(4, 2, 1/2)$, $45(+1)$ and $(4, 3, 1/2)$, $46(+1)$ and $(5, 2, 1/2)$, $47 - 1(+1)$ and $(3, 2, 2/3)$, where the triples of numbers are equal to (m, k, b_1) .

Let $r = 3$. Then $s = 4, 5$. For the same reason the case $s = 5$ is impossible. Thus $s = 4$ and all straight lines from $\text{Supp } \hat{D}$ are in the general position. The extraction of three curves is shown in the next figure.



By proposition 1.10 all curves are extracted with discrepancy 0. Thus $\text{Sing } \tilde{S} = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$ and the self-intersection indexes of proper transforms of \tilde{E}_i are equal to $(-n_i)$ on a minimal resolution. At the points Q_i we have the singularities \mathbb{A}_{n_i-1} respectively. Put $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies

$$\begin{cases} n_1(n_2 - 2) < 6 \\ n_2(n_3 - 2) < 6 \\ n_3(n_1 - 2) < 6. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the condition as (2) we obtain $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (3, 2, 2)$ or $(4, 2, 2)$. We have the cases $18 - 1(+1)$, $25 - 1(+1)$.

(II). $\text{Supp } \hat{D}$ contains an irreducible conic. If $r = 0$ then we have the case $1 - 4(+1)$.

Let $r = 1$. Then we have the following possibilities for \hat{D} , where $\hat{B}_1 = X_2$ and $\hat{B}_2 = X_1$.

(1). $\hat{D} = b\hat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_2 + (\frac{3}{2} - b)\hat{E}_1$. It can easily be checked that the extraction can be only if \hat{E}_1 is tangent \hat{B}_1 and \hat{B}_2 passes through the point of tangency. We have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (\frac{3}{2} - b)\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x + y^2 = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{y = 0\})$. Similarly to lemma 5.5 we obtain $(\alpha, \beta) = (4, 1)$, $(5, 2)$, $(7, 3)$ and $\vartheta = 1$. These are the cases $8 - 4(+1)$, $9 - 3(+1)$, $15 - 2(+1)$.

(2). $\hat{D} = b\hat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_1 + \frac{2}{3}\hat{B}_2 + (\frac{4}{3} - b)\hat{E}_1$. Similarly \hat{E}_1 is tangent \hat{B}_1 and \hat{B}_2 passes through the point of tangency. We obtain $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 1$, i.e. it is the case $6 - 7(+1)$.

(3). $\hat{D} = b\hat{C} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{B}_1 + (\frac{5}{3} - b)\hat{E}_1$. Let \hat{E}_1 is not tangent \hat{B}_1 . Then taking a blow-up of their intersection point we get $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 1$, i.e. it is the case $6 - 8(+1)$.

Let \hat{E}_1 is tangent \hat{B}_1 . Take a blow-up with weights $(2, 1)$. Then a discrepancy is equal to $a(\cdot, \hat{D}) = 2b - 8/3 > -6/7$. Therefore $b > 19/21$. Taking it into account we obtain $(3, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 2$; $(5, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 1$; $(7, 3)$ and $\vartheta = 1$; $(8, 3)$ and $\vartheta = 1$; $(9, 4)$ and $\vartheta = 1$; $(11, 5)$ and $\vartheta = 1$ as in lemma 5.5. These are the cases $6 - 9(+1)$, $11 - 2(+1)$, $15 - 3(+1)$, $22 - 2(+1)$, $24(+1)$, $30(+1)$ respectively.

(4). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{3}{4}\widehat{B}_1 + (\frac{3}{2} - b)\widehat{E}_1$. Similarly \widehat{E}_1 is tangent \widehat{B}_1 . Then $\vartheta = 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (4, 1), (5, 2), (7, 3)$. These are the cases $8 - 5(+1), 9 - 4(+1), 15 - 3(+1)$ respectively.

(5). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1 + (1 + \frac{2}{k} - b)\widehat{E}_1$, where $k = 5, 6$. Similarly \widehat{E}_1 is tangent \widehat{B}_1 . Then $\vartheta = 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 1)$. It is the case $6 - 10(+1)$.

Let $r = 2$. Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2$, where $a_1 + a_2 = 2 - b$. Similarly \widehat{E}_1 is tangent \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 passes through the point P of tangency. Besides, two extracted curves are contracted to the point P . Similarly to lemma 5.5 we obtain that these blow-ups correspond to ones with weights $(3, 1), (1, 3)$ and the discrepancies are equal to 0. It is the case $48(+1)$.

(III). $\text{Supp } \widehat{D}$ contains an irreducible cubic B' . Then $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + \frac{1}{2}B' + (\frac{3}{2} - b)\widehat{E}_1$. By proposition 1.10 \widehat{E}_1 intersects B' at one or two points. It is easy to prove that the case of two points is not realized. The case of one point was developed in II (1), where the cubic was decomposed. In the obtained answer these new cases were included. Notice that the condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $b > \frac{37}{42}$ in the case $(\mathbb{C}^2, \frac{1}{2}\{x^2 + y^3 = 0\} + (\frac{3}{2} - b)\{x = 0\})$. Then $(\vartheta, \alpha, \beta) = (1, 5, 2)$. It is the case $9 - 3(+1)$, moreover the monomial $x_1x_2x_3$ is absent in the polynomial defining X_9 .

6. Case $\mathbf{p_a(C) = 0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}^2 = \mathbf{0}$.

Let $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\widetilde{C}^2 = 0$. Let $\psi: S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C and

$$K_{S'} + bC' + \sum b_i B'_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i E'_i = \psi^*(K_S + D').$$

Let $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_1 . Then we have

$$K_{\widetilde{S}} + b\widetilde{C} + \sum b_i \widetilde{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \widetilde{E}_i + \gamma \widetilde{\Gamma} = f^*(K_{S'} + D').$$

If $\text{Sing } S' \cap E'_1 = \emptyset$ then suppose that $S' = \widetilde{S}$. For $m \gg 0$ a linear system $|\widetilde{E}_1 + m\widetilde{C}|$ gives a birational morphism $h: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ by proposition 1.11. Note that h doesn't contract $\widetilde{E}_i, \widetilde{C}, \widetilde{\Gamma}$. We have

$$K_{\widetilde{S}} + b\widetilde{C} + \sum b_i \widetilde{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \widetilde{E}_i + \gamma \widetilde{\Gamma} = h^*(K_{\widehat{S}} + b\widehat{C} + \sum b_i \widehat{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \widehat{E}_i + \gamma \widehat{\Gamma}).$$

It is clear that $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{F}_n$, where $n \geq 2$; $\widehat{C} \sim \widehat{\Gamma} \sim f$; $\widehat{E}_1 = E_\infty$; $\widehat{E}_i \sim E_0$ for $i \geq 2$.

Lemma 6.1. *If $S' \neq \tilde{S}$ then $a_1 \geq 4/7$ and $\gamma \geq 2/7$.*

Proof. The proof is the same one as in lemma 3.2. Note that the equality takes place in the case \mathbb{A}_2 and $b = 6/7$ only. \square

Proposition 6.2. $1 \leq r \leq 3$.

Proof. Let $r \geq 4$. Then by proposition 5.1 we have $r = 4$ and the singular point \mathbb{A}_1 lies on C . Let it be the first point P_1 . Then $S' = \tilde{S}$, $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 5$ and $\hat{S} = \mathbb{F}_2$. By proposition 5.1 there is j such that $a_j \geq 4/7$. Hence $r = 4$ and $\hat{D} = b\hat{C} + \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \hat{E}_i$. The set $\Phi = \{E_i \cap E_j \mid i, j \geq 1, i > j\}$ consists of at most three different points. There are four variants $(2P_1, 2P_2, 2P_3)$, $(3P_1, 3P_2)$, $(4P_1, P_2, P_3)$, $(6P_1)$, where $P_i \in \Phi$ and the numbers at P_i are equal to $\sum_{j:j \neq i} (E_i \cdot E_j)_{P_i}$. Considering these variants as in lemma 5.5 we get a contradiction, for instance with $a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 2 - a_1 \leq 11/7$. \square

A). Let $r = 1$. There are two opportunities.

(I). Assume that $S' = \tilde{S}$. Then $S = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, n)$ and $C = X_1$ is a generator of cone. We obtain the cases $2 - 8(0)$, $2 - 9(0)$, $2 - 10(0)$.

(II). Assume that $S' \neq \tilde{S}$ and $\hat{S} = \mathbb{F}_n$. By proposition 1.10 we have $\hat{B}_i \sim l_i E_0$ for all i . By the equality $2 = a_1 + \sum l_i b_i$ and lemma 6.1 we get either $l_i = 1$ for all i and $(b_1, b_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{5}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}), (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4})$, or $\hat{B}_1 \sim 2E_0$ and $b_1 = 2/3$. Let $l_i = 1$ for all i . Then $n + 2 = n \sum b_i + b + \gamma$ and there are two variants to extract a required curve.

(1). Let $(\mathbb{C}^2, (2 - n(b_1 + b_2 - 1) - b)\{x = 0\} + b_i\{y = 0\})$ and $\vartheta = 1$. Thus $\alpha \geq \beta + 1$ and similarly to lemma 5.5 we have

$$\alpha(n(b_1 + b_2 - 1) + b - 1) + \beta(1 - b_i) = 1.$$

Then $(n, b_i, b_1, b_2, \alpha, \beta) = (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 2, 1), (2, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 3, 1), (2, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 2, 1)$. We obtain the cases $6 - 11(0)$, $9 - 5(0)$, $6 - 12(0)$.

(2). Let $(\mathbb{C}^2, (2 - n(b_1 + b_2 - 1) - b)\{x = 0\} + b_1\{y + x^k\} + b_2\{y = 0\})$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus $\alpha \geq \beta + 1$ and similarly to lemma 5.5 we have

$$\alpha(n(b_1 + b_2 - 1) + b - 1) - \beta(b_1 + b_2 - 1) = 1/\vartheta.$$

Take a blow-up with weights $(1, 1)$. Then the requirement the pair to be $\frac{1}{7}$ -log terminal implies $b_1 + b_2 + 1/7 < n(b_1 + b_2 - 1) + b$. We obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and $(n, b_1, b_2, \alpha, \beta) = (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 3, 1), (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 4, 3), (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 5, 4), (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{5}, 3, 2), (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{5}, 4, 3), (2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}, 3, 2), (2, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 3, 2), (2, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, 2, 1), (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 3, 1), (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 3, 2), (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 4, 3), (3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 2, 1), (4, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 2, 1)$. In all cases $k = 1$, except the last one in which $k \leq 2$. We obtain the

cases $9 - 6(0)$, $11 - 3(0)$, $16(0)$, $8 - 6(0)$, $11 - 3(0)$, $8 - 6(0)$, $8 - 7(0)$, $6 - 13(0)$, $14 - 3(0)$, $13 - 4(0)$, $21 - 2(0)$, $7 - 5(0)$, $10 - 3(0)$.

The case $\widehat{B}_1 \sim 2E_0$ and $b_1 = 2/3$ was included in the case from (2) in which \widehat{B}_1 is decomposed into two sections.

B). Let $r = 2$. There are two variants.

(I). Assume that $S' = \widetilde{S}$ and $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{F}_n$. Then $\rho(S') = 3$. Put $\widehat{B} = \sum b_i \widehat{B}_i$. By lemma 3.2 $a_1 + a_2 \geq 6/7$. Hence $\widehat{B} \cdot f = 2 - a_1 - a_2 = \frac{k-1}{k}$, where $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \{\infty\}$. From a structure of \mathbb{F}_n we have $n + 2 = b + a_2 n + \widehat{B} \cdot E_0$. To be definite, assume that $\widetilde{E}_1^2 \geq \widetilde{E}_2^2$ if $\text{Sing } \widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{E}_2 = \emptyset$. Taking into account proposition 1.10 we have the following possibilities.

(1). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1$, where $n = 2$ and $\widehat{B}_1 \sim 2E_0$. It is possible that \widehat{B}_1 is decomposed into \widehat{B}'_1 and \widehat{B}''_1 , where $\widehat{B}'_1 \sim \widehat{B}''_1 \sim E_0$. Thus $a_2 = 1 - b/2$. Considering all variants we obtain only one:

$$(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - b/2)\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{(x + y^3)y = 0\}) \text{ and } (\vartheta, \alpha, \beta) = (1, 7, 2).$$

In this variant \widehat{B}_1 is an irreducible divisor. It is the case $17 - 2(0)$.

(2). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1$, where $\widehat{B}_1 \sim E_0 + f$ and $k = 2, 3, 4$. Then $a_2 = \frac{1}{n}(1 + \frac{1}{k} - b) + \frac{1}{k}$.

Let $k = 2$. By proposition 1.10 it follows that $n \leq 4$ and \widehat{B}_1 intersects \widehat{E}_2 at most at two different points.

Assume that $n = 2$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 3. We have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (\frac{5}{4} - \frac{b}{2})\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x + y^3 = 0\})$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $3b/2 - 9/4 > -6/7$, i.e. $b > 13/14$. Similarly to lemma 5.5 we obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (9, 2), (11, 3), (13, 4), (16, 5)$. These are the cases $28(0)$, $31(0)$, $37(0)$, $49(0)$.

Assume that $n = 2$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 2. Similarly we obtain $(\alpha, \beta) = (5, 1)$ and $\vartheta = 1$. It is the case $12 - 2(0)$.

Assume that $n = 3$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 4. Similarly the condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $b > 6/7$. We obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (13, 3), (17, 4)$. These are the cases $38(0)$, $41(0)$.

Assume that $n = 3$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 3. There are no cases.

Assume that $n = 4$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 5. Similarly we obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and $(11, 2), (16, 3)$. These are the cases $32(0)$ and $50(0)$. The remaining variants of intersection \widehat{B}_1 with \widehat{E}_2 are not realized.

Let $k = 3$. By proposition 1.10 it follows that $n \leq 3$.

Assume that $n = 2$ and \widehat{B}_1 is tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 3. Similarly we obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and (7,2). It is the case $17 - 3(0)$.

The remaining variants of intersection \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 are not realized. The case $n = 3$ is not realized too.

Let $k = 4$. By proposition 1.10 it follows that $n = 2$. Similarly the curve \widehat{B}_1 must be tangent \widehat{E}_2 with a multiplicity 3. We obtain $\vartheta = 1$ and (4,1). It is the case $47 - 2(0)$.

(3). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_2$, where $\widehat{B}_1 \sim E_0$ and $\widehat{B}_2 \sim f$. This case was included in the answer of point (2).

(4). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1$, where $\widehat{B}_1 \sim E_0$ and $2 \leq k \leq 6$. Then $a_2 = \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n}(2 - b)$. Let ν be an intersection multiplicity of \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 at the extraction point. By proposition 1.10 it follows either $\nu = n$ or $n - 1$. In the case $\nu = n$ a discrepancy is equal to $b - 2 < -1$ after a blow-up with weights $(n, 1)$. We get a contradiction. Therefore $\nu = n - 1$, $\vartheta = 1$ and $\beta = 1$. Taking into account $\widetilde{E}_1^2 > \widetilde{E}_2^2$ and $\delta(S, D) = 1$ we obtain $(n, k, \alpha, \beta) = (2, 3, 5, 1), (3, 2, 7, 1)$. These are the cases $12 - 3(0), 23(0)$.

(5). $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{l-1}{l}\widehat{B}_2$, where $\widehat{B}_1 \sim E_0, \widehat{B}_2 \sim f, k \neq l$ and $l \geq 2$. Then $a_2 = \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n}(1 + \frac{1}{l} - b)$. There are two variants: the extraction takes place either at the point lying on \widehat{B}_2 , or at the point not lying on \widehat{B}_2 . In the first variant the condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ and proposition 1.10 imply $\vartheta = 1, \beta = 1$ and the intersection multiplicity of \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 is equal to $n - 1$ at the extraction point. Proving by exhaustion this case is impossible. Similarly, in the second case we have that $\vartheta = 1, \beta = 1$ and the intersection multiplicity is equal to n . This case is impossible too.

(II). Assume that $S' \neq \widetilde{S}, E'_2 \cap \text{Sing } S' \neq \emptyset$ and $\widehat{S} = \mathbb{F}_n$. Then $\rho(\widetilde{S}) = 4$ and two curves are extracted. To be definite, assume that $a_1 \leq a_2$. By proposition 1.10 it follows that $\widehat{B}_i \sim l_i E_0$ and the curves are extracted with $\vartheta = 1$. By lemma 6.1 we have $\sum b_i = 2 - a_1 - a_2 \leq 6/7$. Thus $\widehat{D} = b\widehat{C} + a_1\widehat{E}_1 + a_2\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{k-1}{k}\widehat{B}_1 + \gamma\widehat{\Gamma}$, where $\widehat{B}_1 \sim E_0, k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ if $n = 2$, and $k = 2$ if $n = 3$.

(1). Let $n = 2$. Then $4 = 2a_2 + \gamma + b + 2(\frac{k-1}{k})$. Hence by lemma 6.1 we obtain $a_2 \leq \frac{3}{7} + \frac{1}{k}$. Put $P = \widehat{\Gamma} \cap \widehat{E}_2$. There are two possibilities.

Let $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_P = 1$. Another intersection point of \widehat{B}_1 and \widehat{E}_2 is denoted by Q . When taking a blow-up at the point Q the self-intersection index of \widehat{E}_2 must be decreased at least by 3. Therefore, taking a blow-up with weights (3,1) we get $k = 2$. Thus, the extraction at the point Q can take place by a blow-up with weights $(m, 1)$, where $m = 3, 4$ (if

$m \geq 5$ then $\delta(S, D) = 2$). Then $a_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$. Hence $\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{m} - b$. Let the blow-up at the point P has the weights (α, β) . Then

$$b = \frac{1 + \beta(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m})}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Hence $(m, \alpha, \beta) = (3, 3, 2), (4, 2, 1)$. These are the cases $18 - 2(0)$, $18 - 3(0)$.

Let $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_P = 2$. Then taking a blow-up with weights $(2, 1)$ at the point P we get a discrepancy $-2 + b < -1$, a contradiction.

(2). Let $n = 3$ ($k = 2$). Similarly $a_2 \leq \frac{11}{14}$. Put $P = \widehat{\Gamma} \cap \widehat{E}_2$. If $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_P = 3$ then we obtain the same contradiction with a log canonicity. If $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_P = 2$ then the intersection multiplicity is equal to 1 at another point Q . When taking the extraction at the point Q the self-intersection index of \widehat{E}_2 must be decreased at least by 4. Then we have a contradiction with $a_2 \leq \frac{11}{14}$. Thus $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_P = 1$ and $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_2)_Q = 2$. Similarly, taking a blow-up with weights $(m, 1)$ at the point Q (here $m \geq 4$) we get

$$a_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{m}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} - b + \frac{3}{m}, \quad b = \frac{1 + \beta(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m})}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{m} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence $(m, \alpha, \beta) = (4, 2, 1)$. It is the case $18 - 4(0)$.

C). Let $r = 3$. To be definite, assume $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$. Then $2 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \frac{k-1}{k}$. Hence $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3k}$. From a structure of \mathbb{F}_n it follows that $n + 2 \geq (2 - a_1)n + b + \gamma \geq (\frac{5}{3} - \frac{1}{3k})n + \frac{6}{7} + \gamma$. Hence $\frac{8}{7} - \gamma \geq (\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3k})n$. Therefore $(n, k) = (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)$ if $\gamma = 0$ and $(n, k) = (2, 1)$ if $\gamma \neq 0$. Also in all cases $\sum b_i \widehat{B}_i = b_1 \widehat{B}_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{k-1}{k} E_0$.

Let $(n, k, \gamma) = (2, 1, 0)$. The condition $K_{\widehat{S}} + \widehat{D}$ to be log canonical implies that \widehat{E}_2 intersects \widehat{E}_3 at two different points P_1 and P_2 . By proposition 1.10 we must take the blow-ups with weights $(m_i, 1)$ at these points, where $m_i \geq 3$. Moreover $\vartheta = 1$. The condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ implies $m_i \leq 4$. Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} m_1 - m_1 a_2 - a_3 = 0 \\ m_2 - m_2 a_3 - a_2 = 0 \\ a_2 + a_3 = 2 - \frac{b}{2}, \quad b \in [\frac{6}{7}, 1). \end{cases}$$

Hence $(m_1, m_2) = (3, 4)$ and $b = \frac{10}{11}$. It is the case $55(0)$.

Let $\gamma = 0$ and $(n, k) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$. Taking into account $\delta(S, D) = 1$ we have two possibilities: either \widehat{E}_2 intersects \widehat{E}_3 at two different points P_1, P_2 and \widehat{B}_1 passes through P_1, P_2 , or \widehat{E}_2 intersects \widehat{E}_3 at the point P with a multiplicity 2 and $(\widehat{B}_1 \cdot \widehat{E}_i)_P = 1$, where $i = 2, 3$.

Writing out the equation system as (3) we get that the cases are not realized.

Let $(\gamma, n, k) = (0, 3, 1)$. Similarly \widehat{E}_2 must intersect \widehat{E}_3 at the first point with a multiplicity 2 and at another point with a multiplicity 1. As before this case is not realized.

Let $\gamma > 0$, $(n, k) = (2, 1)$ and $\text{Sing } \widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{E}_i \neq \emptyset$, where $i = 2, 3$. Then \widehat{E}_2 intersects \widehat{E}_3 at two different points P_1 and P_2 , and $\widehat{\Gamma}$ passes through P_2 . Therefore one curve is extracted at the point P_1 and two curves are extracted at the point P_2 . Thus, at the point P_2 we have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, \gamma\{x = 0\} + a_2\{y = 0\} + a_3\{x + y = 0\})$. Write out the equation system as (3). Then the extractions are the blow-ups with weights $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 3)$ at the point P_2 , and a blow-up with weights $(3, 1)$ at the point P_1 . It is the case 56(0).

7. Case $\mathbf{p}_a(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}^2 = -1$.

Let $p_a(C) = 0$ and $\widetilde{C}^2 = -1$. Let P_1, \dots, P_r be the singularities of S , which lie on C . Recall that they are the cyclic singularities $\frac{1}{n_i}(q_i, 1)$. Put $B = \sum b_i B_i$ and $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. By proposition 5.1 we have

$$(4) \quad \frac{-2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{n_i - 1}{n_i} + B \cdot C}{1 - b} - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i}{n_i} = -1.$$

Proposition 7.1. $r = 2, 3$.

Proof. Since $C^2 > 0$ then by (4) it follows that $r \geq 2$. Let $r \geq 4$. Assume that $r = 4$ and $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 2$. Then $B \neq 0$. Thus $B \cdot C \geq 1/4$. A contradiction with (4).

Assume that $r \geq 5$ or $n_r \geq 3$. Then by (4) it immediately follows that $r = 4$, $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 2$, $n_4 = 3$, $q_4 = 2$, $b = \frac{6}{7}$ and $B = 0$. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the points P_1, P_2 and P_3 , and $S' \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$ be a contraction of proper transform of C . Then $\rho(\widetilde{S}) = 3$, $\widetilde{E}_i \cdot \widetilde{E}_j = 3$ if $i \neq j$ and $\widetilde{E}_i^2 = 1$. Thus $K_{\widetilde{S}} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{3}{7} \widetilde{E}_i \equiv 0$. A linear system $|\widetilde{E}_1|$ gives a birational morphism $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ by proposition 1.11. The images of \widetilde{E}_i are denoted by \widehat{E}_i . Then $\widehat{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ and $\widehat{E}_2 \sim \widehat{E}_3 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$. Since $\widehat{E}_2^2 = \widehat{E}_3^2 = 9$ then taking the extraction we must decrease the self-intersection index by 8. It is impossible to make it. For example, let us consider the following case: $(\mathbb{C}^2, \frac{3}{7}\{xy = 0\} + \frac{3}{7}\{(x + y^2)(y + x^2) = 0\})$. Taking a blow-up with weights $(4, 1)$ we obtain a discrepancy $\frac{4}{7} > 0$, a contradiction. \square

A). Let $r = 2$. Then

$$(5) \quad B \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B \cdot C - \frac{1}{n_1} - \frac{1}{n_2}}{1 - b} - \frac{q_1}{n_1} - \frac{q_2}{n_2} = -1.$$

Since $C^2 > 0$ then a divisor B must intersect a curve C at the smooth point of S by proposition 1.10 . From (5) it follows that $B \cdot C < 1$. In particular, B intersects C at the single smooth point of S . Consider case by case all intersection variants of B and C .

(I). Let B intersects C at the single point. Put $B = \frac{k-1}{k}B_1$. From (5) we get the following possibilities.

No.	k	(n_1, q_1)	(n_2, q_2)	b
1	2	(3,2)	(7,4), (7,5), (7,6), (8,5), (8,7), (9,7), (9,8), (10,9), (11,10), (12,11)	$\frac{9}{10}, \frac{15}{16}, \frac{21}{22}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{12}{13},$ $\frac{7}{8}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{15}{17}, \frac{33}{38}, \frac{6}{7}$
2	2	(3,1)	(7,6)	$\frac{7}{8}$
3	2	(4,3)	(5,3), (5,4), (6,5)	$\frac{6}{7}, \frac{10}{11}, \frac{6}{7}$
4	3	(2,1)	(7,5), (7,6), (8,7), (9,8)	$\frac{8}{9}, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{8}{9}, \frac{6}{7}$

Consider the first case from the table. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the point P_2 and $\psi: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_2 and $\text{Exc } \psi = \Gamma''$. Let $S'' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a contraction of proper transform of C . Then $\tilde{B}_1 \cdot \tilde{E}_2 = 3, \tilde{E}_2^2 = 1$ (see the table). A linear system $|\tilde{E}_2|$ gives a birational morphism $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \hat{S} = \mathbb{P}^2$ by proposition 1.11 and $\hat{E}_2 \sim \hat{\Gamma} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1), \hat{B}_1 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$. Since $\rho(\hat{S}) = 2$ then only one curve can be extracted at the single intersection point of $\hat{\Gamma}$ and \hat{B}_1 . Denote this point by P . Thus $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_1 + \gamma\hat{\Gamma} + a_2\hat{E}_2 \equiv 0$. At the point of tangency of \hat{E}_2 and \hat{B}_1 it must be extracted the curve with a discrepancy $(-b)$. Hence $a_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{3}$ and $\gamma = 1 - \frac{b}{3}$.

(1). Assume that we have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{3})\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x + y^3 = 0\})$ in the neighborhood of P . Similarly to lemma 5.5 we obtain $(\alpha, \beta) = (5, 1), (7, 2)$. These are the cases $25 - 2(-1), 33(-1)$.

(2). Assume that we have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{3})\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x^2 + y^3 = 0\})$ in the neighborhood of P . We obtain a contradiction with log canonicity.

(3). Assume that we have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{3})\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{y(x + y^2) = 0\})$ in the neighborhood of P . The condition $\delta = 1$ gives $b > \frac{27}{28}$. By the same argument as in lemma 5.5 we obtain that the possibility is not realized.

Consider the second case from the table. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution S' along C taking at the point P_1 and $S' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a contraction of proper transform of C . Then $\rho(\tilde{S}) = 1$ and $\tilde{E}_1^2 = 4$. Since $\tilde{B}_1 \cdot \tilde{E}_1 = 7$ then we have $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4)$ and $\tilde{B}_1 = X_7$ by proposition 1.11. At the cone vertex $K_{\tilde{S}} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}_1$ is not $(1/7)$ -log terminal divisor, a contradiction.

Consider the third case from the table. The surface \tilde{S} is constructed in the same way as in the first case from the table. We have $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ and $\hat{E}_2 = X_2, \hat{\Gamma} = X_1, \hat{B}_1 = X_4$. Also $\hat{\Gamma}$ intersects \hat{B}_1 at the single point P . Thus $K_{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_1 + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{4})\hat{E}_2 + (1 - \frac{b}{2})\hat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$.

If P is a not cone vertex then similarly to lemma 5.5 we obtain that the possibility is not realized.

Let P be a cone vertex and \bar{B}_1 and $\bar{\Gamma}$ are the proper transforms of \hat{B}_1 and $\hat{\Gamma}$ on a minimal resolution of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. Then $\bar{B}_1 \sim E_0 + 2f$. There are two variants.

(1). Let $\bar{B}_1 \cap E_\infty \cap \bar{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ and \bar{B}_1 is tangent to E_∞ . A contradiction with $\delta = 1$.

(2). Let $\bar{B}_1 \cap E_\infty \cap \bar{\Gamma} = Q_1$ and \bar{B}_1 intersects E_∞ at two different points. At the point Q_1 we have the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{2})\{x = 0\} + (1 - \frac{b}{4})\{y = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x + y = 0\})$. The condition $\delta = 1$ gives $b > 6/7$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta) = (4, 3)$. It is the case 39(-1).

Consider the fourth case from the table. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C . Take a partial resolution $\psi_1: S'' \rightarrow S'$ of S' along E'_2 and $E_2^{(2)} = \text{Exc } \psi_1$. Take a partial resolution $\psi_2: S''' \rightarrow S''$ of S'' along $E_2^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma''' = \text{Exc } \psi_2$. Let $S''' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a contraction of proper transform of C and be a contraction of all exceptional curves over P_2 , except Γ''' . Then a linear system $|\tilde{E}_1|$ gives a birational morphism $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ by proposition 1.11. In the notations as above $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{B}_1 + \frac{b}{2}\hat{E}_1 + (1 - \frac{b}{2})\hat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$. Here $\hat{B}_1 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3), \hat{E}_1 \sim \hat{\Gamma} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ intersects \hat{B}_1 with a multiplicity 2 at the point different from $\hat{B}_1 \cap \hat{E}_1$. Taking into account $\delta = 1$ we obtain that the extraction can be for the next pair only: $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{2})\{x = 0\} + \frac{2}{3}\{x + y^2 = 0\})$ if $(\alpha, \beta) = (3, 1)$. It is the case 17 - 4(-1).

(II). Let B intersects C at two points. To be definite, assume that the coefficient of irreducible divisor from B , which intersects C at the smooth point of S is equal to $\frac{k-1}{k}$, and the coefficient of irreducible divisor from B , which intersects C at the singular point of S is equal to $\frac{l-1}{l}$. Now we refuse from the condition $n_1 \leq n_2$. Then by (4) and

corollary 3.10 [19] we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{\frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{1}{n_1 l} - \frac{1}{n_2}}{1-b} - \frac{q_1}{n_1} - \frac{q_2}{n_2} = -1.$$

Hence $(k, l, n_1, q_1, n_2, q_2, b) = (2, 2, 4, 3, 3, 2, \frac{9}{10}), (2, 2, 5, 4, 3, 2, \frac{6}{7})$.
Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the point P_1 and $\psi: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_1 and $\text{Exc } \psi = \Gamma''$.
Consider a contraction $S'' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ of proper transform of C . Then a linear system $|\tilde{E}_1|$ gives a birational morphism $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Thus $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{B}_1 + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{3})\hat{E}_1 + (1 - \frac{b}{3})\hat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$. Here $\hat{E}_1 \sim \hat{\Gamma} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, $\hat{B}_1 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$.
As in the first case of the table consider the pair $(\mathbb{C}^2, (1 - \frac{b}{3})\{x = 0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{x + y^3 = 0\})$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma} \cdot \tilde{B}_1 \neq 0$ after the extraction. Then $(\vartheta, \alpha, \beta) = (1, 5, 2)$ and hence $B = \frac{1}{2}B_1$. It is the case $18 - 5(-1)$.

(III). Let B intersects C at three points. Similarly to (6) we have

$$\frac{\frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{1}{n_1 l_1} - \frac{1}{n_2 l_2}}{1-b} - \frac{q_1}{n_1} - \frac{q_2}{n_2} = -1.$$

There are no solutions.

B). Let $r = 3$. Immediately note that this variant is not realized. From (4) it follows that B can't intersect C at the smooth point of S . We suppose that the coefficients of irreducible divisors from B , which pass through the points P_i are equal to $\frac{l_i-1}{l_i}$ respectively. Then

$$(7) \quad \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{n_i l_i}}{1-b} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{q_i}{n_i} = -1.$$

To be definite, assume that $n_1 l_1 \leq n_2 l_2 \leq n_3 l_3$. From (7) it follows that $l_1 = 1$ and $(n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, n_3 l_3) = (2, 3, m)$, where $m \geq 7$; $(2, 4, m)$, where $5 \leq m \leq 12$; $(2, 5, m)$, where $5 \leq m \leq 7$; $(2, 6, 6)$; $(3, 3, m)$, where $4 \leq m \leq 6$; $(3, 4, 4)$. Moreover, the next proposition directly follows from (7).

Proposition 7.2. *There is one of the following cases:*

1. *there exists $i \geq 2$ such that $n_i < 2q_i$;*
2. $(n_1, q_1, n_2, q_2, l_2) = (2, 1, 3, 1, 1)$;
3. $(n_1, q_1, n_2, q_2, n_3, q_3, l_2, l_3, b) = (2, 1, 2, 1, 5, 2, 2, 1, \frac{7}{8})$.

Remark 7.3. All possibilities from (2), except $(n_3, q_3, b) = (7, 3, \frac{10}{11})$ satisfy the condition of the case (1). All possibilities from (2) will be considered together for the accuracy.

(I). Consider the first case from proposition 7.2. Depending on n_1 there are two variants.

(1). Assume that $n_1 = 2$. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the points P_2 and P_3 , and $\psi_1: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_i and $\Gamma'' = \text{Exc } \psi_1$. The number i from proposition 7.2 is determined in the next table. If $\text{Sing } S'' \cap \Gamma'' \neq \emptyset$ then take a partial resolution $\psi_2: S''' \rightarrow S''$ of S'' along Γ'' and $\Upsilon''' = \text{Exc } \psi_2$. Take a contraction $S''' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ of proper transform of C . For $m \gg 0$ a linear system $|m\tilde{E}_i + \tilde{\Gamma}|$ gives a birational morphism $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$, where $\hat{S} = \mathbb{F}_n$. We have $K_{\hat{S}} + \hat{\Theta} \equiv 0$, where $\hat{\Theta} = d_1\hat{E}_i + d_2\hat{\Gamma} + d_3\hat{Y} + d_4\hat{E}_j + \hat{D}$ and $j \neq i, j \geq 2$. It is clear that $\hat{E}_i \sim \hat{Y} \sim f, \hat{\Gamma} = E_\infty, \hat{E}_j \sim 2E_0$. Except the possibility 6 from table we always have $\hat{B} = 0$. All possibilities are described in the next tables.

No.	i	(n_i, q_i)	$\rho(\tilde{S}/\hat{S})$	\hat{S}	(n_j, q_j)	b	l_2, l_3
1	2	(3, 2)	1	\mathbb{F}_2			$l_2 = 1$
2	2	(4, 3)	2	\mathbb{F}_2			$l_2 = 1$
3	3	(5, 3)	1	\mathbb{F}_3	(4, 1)	$\frac{6}{7}$	$l_2 = l_3 = 1$
4	3	(5, 4), (6, 5)	2	\mathbb{F}_2	(4, 1)	$\frac{10}{11}, \frac{6}{7}$	$l_2 = l_3 = 1$
5	3	(5, 3)	1	\mathbb{F}_3	(2, 1)	$\frac{11}{12}$	$l_2 = 2, l_3 = 1$
6	3	(3, 2)	1	\mathbb{F}_2	(2, 1)	$\frac{7}{8}$	$l_2 = l_3 = 2$
7	3	$(n_i, n_i - 1)$ $5 \leq n_i \leq 8$	2	\mathbb{F}_2	(2, 1)		$l_2 = 2, l_3 = 1$
8	2	(5, 3)	1	\mathbb{F}_3			$l_2 = l_3 = 1$
9		(5, 4), (6, 5)	2	\mathbb{F}_2			$l_2 = 1$

Let us remark that the remaining information in this table is not necessary because it is not involved in the further proof. Now we write out the divisor $\hat{\Theta}$, which corresponds to the possibilities from this table.

No.	Divisor $\widehat{\Theta}$ ($K_{\widehat{S}} + \widehat{\Theta} \equiv 0$)
1	$\frac{2b}{3}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{b}{3}\widehat{\Gamma} + (1 - \frac{b}{6})\widehat{E}_j$
2	$\frac{3b}{4}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{b}{2}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{b}{4}\widehat{\Upsilon} + (1 - \frac{b}{4})\widehat{E}_j$
3	$\frac{5}{7}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{4}{7}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{5}{7}\widehat{E}_j$
4	$\frac{8}{11}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{6}{11}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{4}{11}\widehat{\Upsilon} + \frac{8}{11}\widehat{E}_j, \frac{5}{7}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{4}{7}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{3}{7}\widehat{\Upsilon} + \frac{5}{7}\widehat{E}_j$
5	$\frac{3}{4}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{7}{12}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{17}{24}\widehat{E}_j$
6	$\frac{3}{4}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{5}{8}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{11}{16}\widehat{E}_j + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_k$, where $\widehat{B}_k \sim f$
7	$\frac{3}{4}\widehat{E}_i + (\frac{3}{2} - b)\widehat{\Gamma} + (\frac{9}{4} - 2b)\widehat{\Upsilon} + (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{b}{2})\widehat{E}_j$
8	$(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{3b}{5})\widehat{E}_i + (\frac{2}{5} + \frac{b}{5})\widehat{\Gamma} + (\frac{4}{5} - \frac{b}{10})\widehat{E}_j$
9	$\frac{4b}{5}\widehat{E}_i + \frac{3b}{5}\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{2b}{5}\widehat{\Upsilon} + (1 - \frac{3b}{10})\widehat{E}_j$

Using the condition $\delta(S, D) = 1$ and the proof of lemma 5.5 the reader will have no difficulty in showing that the required extractions are absent.

(2). Assume that $(n_1, q_1) = (3, 2)$. Then $l_2 = l_3 = 1$. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the points P_2 and P_3 , and $\psi: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_3 and $\Gamma'' = \text{Exc } \psi$. Consider a contraction $S'' \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$ of proper transform of C . Then a linear system $|\widetilde{E}_3|$ gives a birational morphism $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + x\widehat{E}_2 + (1 + \frac{b}{3} - x)\widehat{E}_3 + (2 - 2x - \frac{b}{3})\widehat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$, where $\widehat{E}_2 \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$, $\widehat{E}_3 \sim \widehat{\Gamma} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Depending on the singularity at the point P_2 we have $x = \frac{2b}{3}, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{b}{3}$ or $\frac{3b}{4}$. The corresponding singularities are $\mathbb{A}_2, \frac{1}{3}(1, 1), \mathbb{A}_3$. It can easily be checked that the extraction of two required curves is absent.

(II). Consider the second case from proposition 7.2. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the point P_3 , and $\psi: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_3 and $\Gamma'' = \text{Exc } \psi$. Consider a contraction $S'' \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$ of proper transform of C . Then $p_a(\widetilde{E}_3) = 1$ and from (7) it follows that $\widetilde{E}_3^2 = 3, 4$. Similarly to proposition 7.2 it is proved that $|\widetilde{E}_3|$ is not very ample linear system, except the case $S'' = S'$. In any case it gives a birational morphism $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$, where \widehat{S} is Del Pezzo surface with Du Val singularities. Since the curve \widetilde{E}_3 has a cusp then $\pi_1(\widehat{S} \setminus \text{Sing } \widehat{S}) = 0$ by remark 1.12 [6]. Therefore, by lemma 6 [15] it follows that $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{D}_5)$ or $S(\mathbb{E}_6)$. Thus $K_{\widehat{S}} + (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{b}{6})\widehat{E}_3 + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{b}{6}) \cdot (K_{\widehat{S}})^2 \widehat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$. Here $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is (-1) curve on a minimal resolution of \widehat{S}

since $\widehat{E}_3 \cdot \widehat{\Gamma} = 1$. In the case $S'' = S'$ the component of B which passes through P_3 will be the curve $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

1). $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{D}_5)$. Then $K_{\widehat{S}} + (\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2b}{3})\widehat{\Gamma}$ is not log canonical divisor (see point **F** from §4), a contradiction.

2). $\widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{E}_6)$. Then $K_{\widehat{S}} + (1 - \frac{b}{2})\widehat{\Gamma}$ is not log canonical divisor (see point **I** from §4), a contradiction.

(III). Consider the third case from proposition 7.2. Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be a partial resolution of S along C taking at the points P_2 and P_3 , and $\psi: S'' \rightarrow S'$ be a partial resolution of S' along E'_3 and $\Gamma'' = \text{Exc } \psi$. Consider a contraction $S'' \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$ of E''_3 and proper transform of C . As in point (II) a linear system $|\widetilde{E}_2|$ gives a birational morphism $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S} = S(\mathbb{D}_5)$. Thus $K_{\widehat{S}} + \frac{11}{16}\widehat{E}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{B}_1 + \frac{3}{8}\widehat{\Gamma} \equiv 0$, where \widehat{B}_1 is (-1) curve on a minimal resolution of \widehat{S} , and $\widehat{\Gamma} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2}\widehat{E}_2$. Since $-1 = (K_{\widehat{S}} + \widehat{\Gamma}) \cdot \widehat{\Gamma} = -2 + \text{Diff}_{\widehat{\Gamma}}(0)$ then $\text{Diff}_{\widehat{\Gamma}}(0) = 1$. By the classification of two-dimensional singularities (for example, see [17, theorem 2.1.3]) it follows that at the point \mathbb{D}_5 we have

$$\left(\widehat{S}, (1/2)\widehat{B}_1 + (3/8)\widehat{\Gamma}\right) \cong_{\text{an}} \left(x^2 + y^2z - z^4 = 0 \subset (\mathbb{C}^3, 0), (1/2)\{y = x - z^2 = 0\} + (3/8)\{x = z = 0\}\right).$$

Taking a blow-up with weights $(4, 3, 2)$ the discrepancy of exceptional divisor is equal to $-9/8 < -1$. We obtain a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] *Abe T.* Classification of exceptional complements: Elliptic curve case. e-print math.AG/9711029.
- [2] *Abe T.* Classification of exceptional surface complements. PhD thesis // Johns Hopkins Univ. 1998.
- [3] *Catanese F., Franciosi M., Hulek K., Reid M.* Embeddings of curves and surfaces // Nagoya Math. J. 1999. V. 154. P. 185–220.
- [4] *Furushima M.* Singular del Pezzo surfaces and analytic compactifications of 3-dimensional complex affine space \mathbb{C}^3 // Nagoya Math. J. 1986. V. 104. P. 1–28.
- [5] *Griffiths P., Harris J.* Principles of Algebraic Geometry // John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1978.
- [6] *Gurjar R.V., Zhang D.-Q.* π_1 of smooth points of a log del Pezzo surfaces is finite: I // J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 1994. V. 1. P. 137–180.
- [7] *Keel S., McKernan J.* Rational curves on quasi-projective surfaces // Memoirs AMS 1999. V. 140. no. 669.
- [8] *Kollar J. et al* Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds // Astérisque 1992. V. 211.

- [9] *Kollár J.* Log surfaces of general type; some conjectures // Contemporary Math. AMS 1994. V. 162. P. 261–275.
- [10] *Kollár J., Kovács S.* Birational geometry of log surfaces. Preprint // Utah University 1994. <http://www.math.princeton.edu/~kollar/FromMyHomePage/BiratLogSurf.ps>
- [11] *Kudryavtsev S. A.* Pure log terminal blow-ups // Math. Notes. 2001. V. 69. No. 6. P. 814–819.
- [12] *Kudryavtsev S. A.* Classification of three-dimensional exceptional log canonical hypersurface singularities I // appear in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.; e-print math.AG/0201025.
- [13] *Kudryavtsev S. A.* Classification of log Enriques surfaces with $\delta = 2$ // appear in Math. Notes; e-print math.AG/0204083.
- [14] *Kudryavtsev S. A.* Classification of log Enriques surfaces with $\delta = 1$. preprint 2002.
- [15] *Miyanishi M., Zhang D.-Q.* Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one // J. of Algebra. 1988. V. 118. P. 63–84.
- [16] *Prokhorov Yu. G., Shokurov V. V.* The first main theorem on complements: from global to local // Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 2001. V. 65. No. 6.
- [17] *Prokhorov Yu. G.* Lectures on complements on log surfaces // MSJ Memoirs V. 10. 2001.
- [18] *Reid M.* Young person’s guide to canonical singularities // Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 1987. V. 46. P. 343–416.
- [19] *Shokurov V. V.* 3-fold log flips // Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 1993. V. 40. P. 93–202.
- [20] *Shokurov V. V.* Complements on surfaces // J. of Math. Sci. 2000. V. 102. No. 2. P. 3876–3932.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE
 LOMONOSOV UNIVERSITY, 117234 MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: kudryav@mech.math.msu.su