

ON THE DEGENERATION, REGENERATION AND BRAID MONODROMY OF $T \times T$

MEIRAV AMRAM AND MINA TEICHER

ABSTRACT. This paper is the first in a series of three papers concerning the surface $T \times T$. In this paper we study the degeneration of $T \times T$ and the regeneration of its degenerated object. We study the braid monodromy and its regeneration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Algebraic surfaces are classified by discrete and continuous invariants. Fixing the discrete invariants gives a family of algebraic surfaces parameterized by algebraic variety called the **moduli space**. All surfaces in the same moduli space have the same homotopy type and therefore the same fundamental group. So, fundamental groups are discrete invariants of the surfaces and form a central tool in their classification.

We have no algorithm to compute the fundamental group of a given algebraic surface X . But we can cover X by a surface X_{Gal} with a computable fundamental group.

The given surface X is projective. We embed it in \mathbb{CP}^N . The projection of X from a “general” point in $\mathbb{CP}^N - X$ will map X onto a surface in \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} which we project again into \mathbb{CP}^{N-2} , etc., until we finally project X onto \mathbb{CP}^2 . This gives a “generic” projection $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. The ramification locus of f is a curve S in \mathbb{CP}^2 , called the branch curve. The singular points of S are ordinary nodes or cusps.

Put $n = \deg(f)$. Consider the fibred product

$$X \times_f \cdots \times_f X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in X, f(x_i) = f(x_j) \forall i, j\}$$

and the diagonal

$$\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X \times_f \cdots \times_f X \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}.$$

Date: April 4, 2019.

The surface X_{Gal} is the Galois cover of X with respect to f . That is, the Zariski closure of the complement of Δ :

$$X_{Gal} = \overline{X \times_f \cdots \times_f X - \Delta}.$$

In order to compute $\pi_1(X_{Gal})$ we must first compute the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S, *)$, using tools which Moishezon-Teicher developed and applied in [MoTe4], [MoTe5], and [MoTe8].

1. Degeneration of surfaces to unions of planes.
2. The braid group and the braid monodromy algorithm.
3. Regeneration of degenerated objects and regeneration of braids.
4. The van Kampen Method for cuspidal curve.

Having degenerated X into a union X_0 of planes, we project X_0 onto \mathbb{CP}^2 simultaneously with the projection of X . The branch curve now is a line arrangement, that is, a union of lines. We call the intersection points of these lines singular points. We use the braid monodromy to compute braids for the singular points. Now we regenerate the branch curve S from the line arrangement S_0 . This regenerates the braids. Each regenerated braid gives, by van Kampen, a relation in canonical generators $\Gamma_j, \Gamma_{j'}$ of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)$.

Now we project $\mathbb{CP}^2 - S$ into \mathbb{CP}^1 from a “general” point in \mathbb{CP}^2 . The fibre over a “good” point in \mathbb{CP}^1 is a line which cuts S in n points. The group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)$ acts on these points. This leads to a permutation representation $\psi: \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *) \rightarrow S_n$. The images of Γ_j and $\Gamma_{j'}$ under ψ are transpositions. Let N_j be the normal subgroup of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)$ generated by Γ_j^2 and $\Gamma_{j'}^2$. This leads to a short exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \frac{\ker \psi}{N_j} \longrightarrow \frac{\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)}{N_j} \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1.$$

Moishezon-Teicher [Am2, Theorem 1.76] then say:

$$\pi_1(X_{Gal}^{Aff}) \cong \frac{\ker \psi}{N_j},$$

where X_{Gal}^{Aff} denotes the affine part of X_{Gal} .

Finally we use the Reidemeister-Schreier Method to compute the kernel in (1). The group $\pi_1(X_{Gal})$ has one more relation, namely, the product of all generators equals 1.

2. THE SURFACE $T \times T$

Let T_1 be a complex torus, *e.g.* $T_1 = \{(x, y) : y^2 = x^3 - 6x\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$. In order to embed $T_1 \times T_1$ in a projective space, we first transfer to homogeneous coordinates by substituting $\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}$ into the equation. Then we get the projective torus $T = \{[x, y, z] : y^2z = x^3 - 6xz^2\} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2$.

Now T is a curve of dimension 1 and degree 3 in \mathbb{CP}^2 .

The Segre map $T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^8$ is defined by

$$[a_1, a_2, a_3] * [b_1, b_2, b_3] \longmapsto [a_1b_1, a_2b_1, a_3b_1, a_1b_2, a_2b_2, a_3b_2, a_1b_3, a_2b_3, a_3b_3].$$

This embeds $T \times T$ as a surface of dimension 2 and degree 18 in \mathbb{CP}^8 (see Section 3).

We remark that the general form of a projective torus is

$$\{[x, y, z] : y^2z = Ax^3 + Bxz^2 + Cz^3\},$$

see [Sha1] for details.

3. DEGENERATION OF $T \times T$

In this section we describe the process of degeneration of X to a surface X_0 which is a union of planes (each homeomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^2) for which we are able to describe the degenerated branch curve S_0 (a union of lines) and compute the induced (degenerated) braid monodromy.

We give a definition of a degeneration.

Definition 1. Projective degeneration *Let $k : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n, k' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ be projective embeddings. We say that k' is a **projective degeneration** of k if there exist an algebraic variety V and $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that for every irreducible component V_i of V , $\pi(V_i) = \mathbb{C}$ and $\pi^{-1}(0) \simeq X$, $\pi^{-1}(1) \simeq Y$, $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is a generic fiber. Moreover there exists a regular morphism $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, such that $F(\pi^{-1}(t)) \subset \mathbb{CP}^n \times t$, $F_t = F|_{\pi^{-1}(t)} : \pi^{-1}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n \times t$ is a projective embedding of $\pi^{-1}(t)$. $F_0 = k'$ and $F_1 = k$ under the identification of $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(1)$ with X and Y , respectively.*

Definition 2. Total degeneration *Let V be an algebraic variety of dimension n . A projective degeneration $V \rightarrow W$ will be called a **total degeneration** of V if W is a union of linear spaces of dimension n .*

Let k_i and l_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denote the three lines into which two distinct copies of T degenerate, then $T \times T$ is degenerated to a union of the nine quadrics $\{k_i \times l_j\}$, each homeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. In Figure 1 below, each square represents one of the quadrics $k_i \times l_j$. Since k_i intersects with k_j for every i, j (and likewise for l_i, l_j), we identify the extreme edges (right and left, up and down) in the figure. To get a total degeneration, we further divide each quadric to a union of 2 planes, each homeomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^2 .




FIGURE 1.

In Figure 1 the triangles represent copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 , where $X = T \times T$ is degenerated into the union of the 18 planes. The lines represent the intersection of planes. Every plane intersects exactly with three others. Note again that we identify extreme edges, so there are 27 distinct lines. The union of the intersection lines is the branch curve S_0 of the degenerated object of X .

For the regeneration process we have to choose systematically the order of the curves on the fiber over each point. We fix a numeration of the vertices, and use it to numerate the intersection lines.

The order of vertices is chosen to be lexicographic, see Figure 2.




FIGURE 2.

Now let L_1 and L_2 be two edges, where L_i has vertices $\alpha_i < \beta_i$. We set $L_1 < L_2$ iff $\beta_1 < \beta_2$, or $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ and $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ (see Figure 3).

In a similar way we numerate also the quadrics and planes, see Figures 4 and 5.




FIGURE 3.




FIGURE 4.




FIGURE 5.

We have nine points in the degenerated object (see Figure 6). Each one of them is a 6-point. We numerate each point in a local numeration from 1 to 6. This local numeration is compatible with the global one.

We can summarize the resulting degeneration as follows. We have a sequence of projective degenerations:

$$T \times T = Z^{(0)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(1)} \rightsquigarrow \dots \rightsquigarrow Z^{(i)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(i+1)} \rightsquigarrow \dots \rightsquigarrow Z^{(8)}.$$

$Z^{(8)}$ is the degenerated object, see Figure 7. Let $\{\hat{L}_i\}_{i=1}^{27}$ be the 27 lines in $Z^{(8)}$ and $\{j\}_{j=1}^9$ their intersection points (see Figure 3).

Take generic projections $\pi^{(i)} : Z^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ for $0 \leq i \leq 8$. Let $S^{(i)}$ be the branch curve of the generic projection for each i and let $S^{(i+1)}$ be a degeneration of $S^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 7$.




FIGURE 6.

The degenerated branch curve $S^{(8)}$ has a degree of 27.




FIGURE 7.

4. THE BRAID MONODROMY

Consider the following situation (Figure 8). S is an algebraic curve in \mathbb{C}^2 , $p = \deg S$. $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a generic projection on the first coordinate, $K(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \in S\}$ is the

projection to the y -axis. Let $N = \{x \mid \#K(x) < p\}$ and $M' = \{x \in S \mid \pi|_x \text{ is not étale at } x\}$ such that $\pi(M') = N$. Assume $\#(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap M') = 1, \forall x \in N$. Let E (resp. D) be a closed disk on x -axis (resp. y -axis), such that $M' \subset E \times D, N \subset \text{Int}(E)$. We choose $u \in \partial E, x \ll u \quad \forall x \in N$, and define $\mathbb{C}_u = \pi^{-1}(u)$, such that $K = \{q_1, \dots, q_p\}$. Let $\{A_j\}_{i=1}^q$ be the set of singular points and $\{x_j\}_{i=1}^q$ their projection on the x -axis.

We construct a g -base for the fundamental group $\pi_1(E - N, u)$. Take a set of paths $\{\gamma_j\}_{i=1}^q$ which connect u with the points $\{x_j\}_{i=1}^q$. Now encircle each x_j with a small oriented counterclockwise circle c_j . Call the path segment from u to the boundary of this circle as γ'_j . We define an element (a loop) in the g -base as $\ell(\gamma_j) = \gamma'_j c_j \gamma'^{-1}_j$. Shortly we denote it as δ_j .

Let $B_p[D, K]$ be the braid group, and let H_1, \dots, H_{p-1} be its frame (for complete definitions, see [MoTe4, III.1/2]).




FIGURE 8.

We are now able to introduce *the braid monodromy*.

Definition 3. Braid monodromy of an affine curve S with respect to $E \times D, \pi, u$

The braid monodromy of S is a map $\varphi : \pi_1(E - N, u) \rightarrow B_p[D, K]$ defined as follows: every loop in $E - N$ starting at u has liftings to a system of p paths in $(E - N) \times D$ starting at q_1, \dots, q_p . Projecting them to D we get p paths in D defining a motion $\{q_1(t), \dots, q_p(t)\}$ of p points in D starting and ending at $K, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. This motion defines a braid in $B_p[D, K]$.

Theorem 4. The Artin Theorem [A2]

Let S be a curve and let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_q$ be a g -base of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$. Assume that the singularities of S are cusps, nodes and tangent points of a parabola/hyperbola with a line and branch points. Let $\varphi : \pi_1(E - N, u) \rightarrow B_p$ be the braid monodromy. Then for all i , there exist a half-twist $Z_j \in B_p$ and $r_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\varphi(\delta_j) = Z_j^{r_j}$ and r_j depends on the type of the singularity: $r_j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ for branch point, node, cusp, tangent point respectively.

In order to know what Z_j is, we recall the braid monodromy algorithm (the algorithm appears in detail in [Am2] and [Am5]).

We take a singular point A_j and project it to the x -axis to get x_j . We choose a point x'_j close to x_j , such that $\pi^{-1}(x'_j)$ is a typical fiber. We choose it in this way:

If A_j is a node, a cusp, a tangent point or a branch point (locally defined by $y^2 - x = 0$), then x'_j is on the right side of x_j . If A_j is a branch point defined locally by $y^2 + x = 0$, then x'_j is on the left side of x_j .

We encircle A_j with a small circle, and we consider the maximal and minimal irreducible components in the circle which meet at A_j and intersect the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x'_j)$. We connect them by a skeleton $\xi_{x'_j}$ and start to move from one typical fiber to another by a Lefschetz diffeomorphism Ψ (see [Am2, Subsection 1.9.5]), applying diffeomorphisms which correspond to the singularities we pass near by ([Am2, Definition 1.29]) on $\xi_{x'_j}$ till we arrive the typical fiber \mathbb{C}_u . We get a skeleton $L.V.C.(\gamma_j) = (\xi_{x'_j})\Psi$ corresponding to the half-twist Z_j . We denote $Z_j = \Delta < (\xi_{x'_j})\Psi >$.

Remark 5. We compute the braid monodromy using models, since computations are easier in this way ([Am2, Subsection 1.9.4]). There exists a continuous family of diffeomorphisms $\{\beta_x\}$ which are transition functions from (D, K) to its models ([Am2, Lemma 1.37]).

Corollary 6. $\varphi(\delta_j) = \Delta < (\xi_{x'_j})\Psi >^{r_j}$.

We compute a presentation for $\tilde{\pi}_1$ by applying the van Kampen Theorem on the braid monodromy factorization. Now we define a *braid monodromy factorization*.

Proposition 7. [MoTe4, Proposition VI.2.1] Let S be an algebraic curve of degree p in \mathbb{CP}^2 . Let $\pi, u, D, E, \mathbb{C}_u$ be as above. Let φ be the braid monodromy of S with respect to π, u . Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_q$ be a g -base of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^q \varphi(\delta_j) = \Delta_p^2 = \Delta_p^2[u \times D, K \cap S]$.

Such a presentation of Δ_p^2 as a product of (positive) elements is called a braid monodromy factorization of Δ_p^2 associated to S .

Theorem 8. [MoTe4, Prop. V.2.2] *Let $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^{p-1}$ be a frame of B_p . Then for $p \geq 2$, the center of B_p is generated by $\Delta_p^2 = (H_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot H_{p-1})^p$. Moreover, $\deg \Delta_p^2 = p \cdot (p - 1)$.*

5. $S^{(8)}$ AND $\varphi^{(8)}$

Recall that we project the degenerated object Z^8 to \mathbb{CP}^2 . We get a branch curve which is a line arrangement, $S^{(8)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{27} L_i$. $\{j\}_{j=1}^9$ are the vertices in $Z^{(8)}$. Let $V_j = \pi^{(8)}(j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 9$, so V_j are the singular points of $S^{(8)}$.

Let C be the union of all lines connecting pairs of the V_j 's. $S^{(8)}$ is a subcurve of C . C can be chosen as discussed in [MoTe4, Theorem IX.2.1]. This theorem gives a description of a braid monodromy for C : $\Delta_C^2 = \prod_{r=1}^9 Cr \Delta_r^2$ with an appropriate description of L.V.C.. We use this formula to obtain a description of $\varphi^{(8)}$ by deleting all factors that involve lines which do not appear in $S^{(8)}$. Thus, we get $\Delta_{S^{(8)}}^2 = \prod_{j=1}^9 \tilde{C}_j \tilde{\Delta}_j^2$. We discuss each factor separately.

$\tilde{\Delta}_j^2$: Each one of the nine points in $S^{(8)}$ is a 6-point. The numeration of every six lines intersecting at a point is shown in Figure 6. We numerate each six lines by a local numeration from 1 to 6. The local braid monodromies $\varphi_j^{(8)}$ are $\tilde{\Delta}_j^2 = \Delta^2 < 1, \dots, 6 >$ for $1 \leq j \leq 9$ (recall [Am2, Definition 1.27] of $\Delta < 1, \dots, 6 >$). Each $\tilde{\Delta}_j^2$ is regenerated during the regeneration of $Z^{(8)}$ to $Z^{(6)}$.

\tilde{C}_j : We have 27 lines in the degenerated object. Each line L_i , $1 \leq i \leq 27$, can be represented as a pair, by its two end vertices.

Let u be a point, $u \notin S$, such that $\#(\pi^{(8)})^{-1}(u) = 27$.

Let $q_i = L_i \cap \mathbb{C}_u$ be a real point. We take two lines $(i, k) = L_p, (j, \ell) = L_t$ and apply [MoTe4, Theorem IX.2.1] by defining $D_t = \prod_{\substack{p < t \\ L_p \cap L_t = \emptyset}} \tilde{Z}_{pt}^2$ (\tilde{Z}_{pt}^2 are formulated in [MoTe4, p. 526]). \tilde{Z}_{pt}^2 are related to parasitic intersections, since there are lines which do not intersect in \mathbb{CP}^8 but may intersect in \mathbb{CP}^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus: } D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = Id, \quad D_4 = Z_{34}^2, \quad D_5 = \bar{Z}_{15}^2, \quad D_6 = \bar{Z}_{36}^2 Z_{56}^2, \quad D_7 = \prod_{i=2,4,5} \bar{Z}_{i7}^2, \\ D_8 = \prod_{i=1}^3 \bar{Z}_{i8}^2, \quad D_9 = \prod_{i=2,4,5,6,8} \bar{Z}_{i9}^2, \quad D_{10} = \prod_{i=1,4,6-8} \bar{Z}_{i10}^2, \quad D_{11} = \prod_{i=1-3,6,7} \bar{Z}_{i11}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{12} &= \prod_{i=1}^5 \bar{Z}_{i(9)(10)(11)}^2, & D_{13} &= \prod_{\substack{i=3 \\ i \neq 4,6}}^{12} \bar{Z}_{i13}^2, & D_{14} &= \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq 3,7,9}}^{12} \bar{Z}_{i14}^2, & D_{15} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4,5,8,11}}^{12} \bar{Z}_{i15}^2, \\
D_{16} &= \prod_{i=1}^8 \bar{Z}_{i(13)(14)(15)}^2, & D_{17} &= \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq 3,7,9,14}}^{16} \bar{Z}_{i17}^2, & D_{18} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2,3,5,10}}^{16} \bar{Z}_{i18}^2, & D_{19} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4,5,8,11,15}}^{16} \bar{Z}_{i19}^2, \\
D_{20} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 6-8,12}}^{16} \bar{Z}_{i(17)(18)(19)}^2, & D_{21} &= \prod_{i=1}^{12} \bar{Z}_{i(17)-(20)}^2, & D_{22} &= \prod_{\substack{i=3 \\ i \neq 4,6,13}}^{21} \bar{Z}_{i22}^2, & D_{23} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2,3,5,10,18}}^{21} \bar{Z}_{i23}^2, \\
D_{24} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 6-8,12,20}}^{21} \bar{Z}_{i(22)(23)}^2, & D_{25} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 9-12,16}}^{21} \bar{Z}_{i(22)(23)(24)}^2, & D_{26} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 13-16}}^{20} \bar{Z}_{i(22)-(25)}^2, & D_{27} &= \prod_{i=1}^{16} \bar{Z}_{i(22)-(26)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{C}_j = \prod_{V_j \in L_t} D_t$, where V_j is the small vertex among two vertices in L_t .

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}_1 &= D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdot D_4 \cdot D_6 \cdot D_{13} \cdot D_{22}; & \tilde{C}_2 &= D_3 \cdot D_7 \cdot D_9 \cdot D_{14} \cdot D_{17}; & \tilde{C}_3 &= D_5 \cdot D_{10} \cdot D_{18} \cdot D_{23}; & \tilde{C}_4 &= \\
&D_8 \cdot D_{11} \cdot D_{15} \cdot D_{19}; & \tilde{C}_5 &= D_{12} \cdot D_{20} \cdot D_{24}; & \tilde{C}_6 &= D_{16} \cdot D_{25}; & \tilde{C}_7 &= D_{21} \cdot D_{26}; & \tilde{C}_8 &= D_{27}; & \tilde{C}_9 &= Id.
\end{aligned}$$

We start with a suitable description of the degenerated braid monodromy factorization $\varphi^{(8)}$. We compare degrees of the factorized expressions given in $\varphi^{(8)} = \prod_{j=1}^9 \tilde{C}_j \tilde{\Delta}_j^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Deg } S^{(8)} &= 27, \text{ so: } \varphi^{(8)} = \Delta_{27}^2 \text{ and } \deg \Delta_{27}^2 = 27 \cdot 26 = 702. & \sum_{j=1}^9 \deg \tilde{C}_j &= 432 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^9 \deg \tilde{\Delta}_j^2 = \\
9 \cdot (6 \cdot 5) &= 270. \text{ Thus } \sum_{j=1}^9 (\deg \tilde{C}_j \tilde{\Delta}_j^2) = 702. \text{ Therefore } \deg \varphi^{(8)} = \deg \prod_{j=1}^9 \tilde{C}_j \tilde{\Delta}_j^2.
\end{aligned}$$

6. THE REGENERATION OF $S^{(8)}$

Recall [Am2, Definition 1.47] for a regeneration of a braid group, a frame and an embedding.

The degenerated branch curve S_0 has a degree of 27. We regenerate S_0 in a way that each point on the typical fiber is replaced by two close points, since each line in S_0 becomes a conic or two parallel lines.

During the regeneration, each branch point is replaced by two branch points, a node is replaced by two or four nodes and a tangent point is replaced by three cusps (see [MoTe5]). We obtain a regenerated branch curve S , which has a degree of 54.

B_{27} is the braid group corresponding to the degenerated curve S_0 , therefore B_{54} is the braid group corresponding to the regenerated curve S .

In Section 3 we constructed a series of algebraic varieties $Z^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 8$. $Z^{(0)} = T \times T$ and each $Z^{(i+1)}$ is a degeneration of $Z^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, \dots, 7$.

For every $Z^{(i)}$ we take a generic projection $\pi^{(i)}$ to \mathbb{CP}^2 . We denote by $S^{(i)}$ the corresponding branch curve. One can choose a family of generic projections to \mathbb{CP}^2 , such that $S^{(i+1)}$ is a projective degeneration of $S^{(i)}$.

Let us choose a line at infinity transversal to $S^{(i)}$, $0 \leq i \leq 8$, and a system of coordinates $x; y$ in the affine part \mathbb{C}^2 , which is in a general position to each $S^{(i)}$, $0 \leq i \leq 8$. Let $m^{(i)} = \deg S^{(i)} = \deg \pi^{(i)}$. Let $N^{(i)} = \{x \in x\text{-axis} \mid \#\pi^{(i)-1}(x) \leq m^{(i)}\}$. u is a real point in the x -axis, $N^{(i)} \ll u \ \forall i$. Let $\mathbb{C}_u = \{(u, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $K^{(i)} = S^{(i)} \cap \mathbb{C}_u$. Denote $B^{(i)} = B_{m_i}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(i)}]$. $\varphi^{(i)} : \pi_1(x\text{-axis} - N^{(i)}, u) \rightarrow B_{m_i}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(i)}]$ is the braid monodromy w.r.t. $S^{(i)}, \pi^{(i)}, u$.

We want to compute the regenerated braid monodromy factorization $\varphi^{(0)}$.

By the construction of $Z^{(8)}$, $K^{(8)}$ is a set of real points. We start with $\varphi^{(8)}$ and use the below three regeneration rules and the regeneration of a frame to obtain a formula for $\varphi^{(0)}$, proceeding step by step from $i = 8$ to $i = 0$.

For every point c in $K^{(8)}$ there exists an i , such that c is replaced in $K^{(k)}$ for $k \leq i$ by two points close to each other. In $K^{(0)}$ we obtain twice as many points as in $K^{(8)}$. This implies that $B^{(i)}$ is a natural regeneration of $B^{(i+1)}$. We choose a real frame in $B^{(8)}$ and inductively a frame of $B^{(i)}$ which is a regeneration of the frame of $B^{(i+1)}$. We describe every $\varphi^{(i)}$ using these frames.

Let us recall from [Am2] the way to compute $\varphi^{(0)}$. For every 6-point V_j in $S^{(8)}$, $1 \leq j \leq 9$, we shall take a small neighbourhood of V_j and analyze the local braid monodromy $\varphi_j^{(i)}$ of $S_j^{(i)}$ which results from the singularities of this neighbourhood from $i = 8$ to $i = 0$. $S^{(i)} = \bigcup_{j=1}^9 S_j^{(i)}$, thus $\varphi^{(i)}$ compounds the braid monodromies $\varphi_j^{(i)}$. Each one of these $\varphi_j^{(i)}$ is determined by the regeneration of the embedding $B_6[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}] \hookrightarrow B_{27}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}]$ to $B_{12}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(0)}] \hookrightarrow B_{54}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(0)}]$. $\varphi_j^{(8)}$ is induced by braids in $B_6[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}]$, which are embedded in $B_{27}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}]$. We take a local real frame of $B_6[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}]$ and embed it into $B_{27}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(8)}]$ by a sequence of consecutive simple paths connecting the points in $K^{(8)}$ below the real line. When regenerating each 6-point, we get $\varphi_j^{(0)}$. $\varphi_j^{(0)}$ is induced by the braids in $B_{12}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(0)}]$, which are embedded in $B_{54}[\mathbb{C}_u, K^{(0)}]$ in the same way.

We discuss the regeneration in a neighbourhood of every point. We numerate every 6-point locally from 1 to 6 (see Figure 6). The point V_1 is an intersection point of the lines $L_1, L_2, L_4, L_6, L_{13}, L_{22}$. The local numeration is compatible with the global one:

$L_1 \rightarrow 1, L_2 \rightarrow 2, L_4 \rightarrow 3, L_6 \rightarrow 4, L_{13} \rightarrow 5, L_{22} \rightarrow 6$. We also numerate lines which intersect at $\{V_j\}_{j=2}^9$ locally from 1 to 6.

In order to regenerate the degenerated object of $T \times T$ and in order to compute $\varphi^{(0)}$, we follow the sequence of degenerations in Figure 7. Proceeding in the opposite direction of the arrows, we obtain the sequence of projective regenerations in the neighbourhoods of the 6-points. In the second regeneration ($Z^{(6)}$ in Figure 7) each diagonal becomes a conic, which is tangent to the two lines in which it intersected. It holds by the following lemma.

Lemma 9. [MoTe8, Lemma 1]. *Let V be a projective algebraic surface, and D' be a curve in V . Let $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a generic projection. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^2, S' \subset V$ be the branch curve of f and the corresponding ramification curve. Assume S' intersects D' in α' . Let $D = f(D')$ and $\alpha = f(\alpha')$. Assume that there exist neighbourhoods of α and α' , such that their $f|_{S'}$ and $f|_D$ are isomorphisms. Then D is tangent to S at α .*

We obtain the curves $S_j^{(6)}, 1 \leq j \leq 9$. Each $S_j^{(6)}$ compounds two conics and four lines, thus $\deg S_j^{(6)}$ has a degree of 8.

Now we concentrate on the neighbourhoods of the remaining 4-points. From the third regeneration on, the vertical lines are doubled and the horizontal lines become hyperbolas. This is w.r.t. their local neighbourhoods and to the local numeration of lines (see Figures 9, 10, 11).




FIGURE 9.




FIGURE 10.




FIGURE 11.

6.1. The regeneration rules. The regeneration regenerates also the braids.

Recall that $u \in \mathbb{C}$, u real, such that $x \ll u \quad \forall x \in N$. Recall also that K is the set of the intersection points of the curve S with \mathbb{C}_u , $\#K = 54$. Choose $M \in \mathbb{C}_u$, $M \notin S$ below the real line far enough, such that B_{54} does not move M .

Lemma 10. [Am2, Lemma 2.5] *Let $\{a_i, a_{i'}, \dots, a_j, a_{j'}\}$ be a finite regenerated set in K .*

Then: (a) $Z_{ii',j}^2 = Z_{i'j}^2 Z_{ij}^2$; (b) $Z_{i',jj'}^2 = Z_{i'j'}^2 Z_{ij}^2$; (c) $Z_{i',jj'}^{-2} = Z_{i'j}^{-2} Z_{ij'}^{-2}$; (d) $\bar{Z}_{i',jj'}^{-2} = \bar{Z}_{i'j'}^{-2} \bar{Z}_{ij}^{-2}$; (e) $Z_{ii',j}^{-2} = Z_{ij}^{-2} Z_{i'j}^{-2}$; (f) $Z_{ii',jj'}^2 = Z_{i',jj'}^2 Z_{i,jj'}^2$; (g) $Z_{ii',jj'}^{-2} = Z_{i,jj'}^{-2} Z_{i',jj'}^{-2}$.

In what follows we shall describe what happens to a factor in a factorized expression of a braid monodromy by a regeneration of the braid group and of the frame. Following Definition 1.49 of a halftwist in [Am2], we have the following regeneration rules.

Theorem 11. First regeneration rule [Mote5, Lemma 3.1]

A factor of the braid monodromy of the form Z_{ij} is replaced in the regeneration by $Z_{ij'} \cdot Z_{i'j}$. It is invariant under $(Z_{ii'}Z_{jj'})^r \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 12. Second regeneration rule [Mote5, Lemma 3.2]

A factor of the form Z_{ij}^2 is replaced by a factorized expression $Z_{ii',j}^2 = Z_{i'j}^2 \cdot Z_{ij}^2$, by $Z_{i,jj'}^2 = Z_{ij'}^2 \cdot Z_{ij}^2$ or by $Z_{ii',jj'}^2$. $Z_{ii',j}^2$ is invariant under $Z_{ii'}^p$, and $Z_{ii',jj'}^2$ is invariant under $Z_{ii'}^p Z_{jj'}^q$.

Theorem 13. Third regeneration rule [Mote5, Lemma 3.3]

A factor of the form Z_{ij}^4 in the braid monodromy factorized expression is replaced by $Z_{i,jj'}^3 = (Z_{ij}^3)_{\rho_j} \cdot (Z_{ij}^3) \cdot (Z_{ij}^3)_{\rho_j^{-1}}$ (where $\rho_j = Z_{jj'}$). $Z_{i,jj'}^3$ is invariant under $Z_{jj'}^r$.

7. TECHNICAL DETAILS RELATED TO THE BRAID MONODROMY ALGORITHM

In the end of the regeneration we obtain the regenerated branch curve $S^{(0)} = S$, S has a degree of 54. We denote the regenerated braid monodromy factorization $\varphi^{(0)}$ as Δ_{54}^2 .

$\Delta_{54}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^9 C_i H_{V_i}$, where C_i are the regenerated \tilde{C}_i and H_{V_i} are the local braid monodromies $\varphi_i^{(0)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 9$.

7.1. Conjugation property. We apply the following Conjugation Property on some braids in order to simplify some of the paths, corresponding to braids.

Proposition 14. [Mo2] *If Z_1 and Z_2 are two consecutive braids in a list of braids, then $Z_1^{-1}Z_2Z_1$ induces a relation on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, M)$.*

7.2. Complex Conjugation. All explicit curves which we use are defined over \mathbb{R} . Therefore, in all our arguments we can change roles of upper and lower halfplanes in the x -axis and in the typical fiber by simultaneous complex conjugation of the x -axis and the y -axis. Such a change requires also to replace by opposite the order of factors in all our expressions for the braid monodromy. By [MoTe8, Lemma 19], the resulting object is also a braid monodromy factorization.

7.3. Some invariance properties.

Corollary 15. *From the regeneration rules we obtain the following:*

Invariance Rule I: Z_{ij}^1 is invariant under $(Z_{ii'}Z_{jj'})^q$;

Invariance Rule II: $Z_{i,jj'}^2$ is invariant under $Z_{jj'}^q$; $Z_{ii',jj'}^2$ is invariant under $Z_{ii'}^p Z_{jj'}^q$;

Invariance Rule III: $Z_{i,jj'}^3$ is invariant under $Z_{jj'}^q$.

Lemma 16. Chakiri. *Let $g = g_1 \cdots g_k$ be a factorized expression in a group H . Then $g_1 \cdots g_k$ is invariant under g^m for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 17. [MoTe8, Invariance Remarks]

- (i) *To prove invariance of $g_1 \cdots g_k$ under h it is enough to prove that $g_1 \cdots g_t$ is invariant under h and $g_{t+1} \cdots g_k$ is invariant under h . Thus we can divide a factorization into subfactorizations and prove invariance on each part separately.*
- (ii) *A factorized expression of one element g_1 is invariant under h iff g_1 commutes with h .*
- (iii) *If the product of elements that commutes with h is invariant under h , the relevant factorizations are actually equal.*
- (iv) *If $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \emptyset$ then $H(\sigma_1)$ commutes with $H(\sigma_2)$.*
- (v) *If g is invariant under h_1 and h_2 then g is invariant under $h_1 h_2$.*

Consider the above statements. Let z_{ij} be a path connecting q_i or $q_{i'}$ with q_j or $q_{j'}$ and $Z_{ij} = H(z_{ij})$ its corresponding half-twist. We can conjugate Z_{ij} by $\rho_j, \rho_j^{-1}, \rho_i, \rho_i^{-1}$. These conjugations are the actions of ρ_j and ρ_j^{-1} on the “tail” of z_{ij} within a small circle around q_j and $q_{j'}$ and the action of ρ_i and ρ_i^{-1} on the “head” of z_{ij} in a small circle around q_i and $q_{i'}$. The “body” of z_{ij} does not change under $\rho_j^{\pm 1}$ and $\rho_i^{\pm 1}$ and in particular not under ρ_j^m and ρ_i^n for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the following three sections we compute H_{V_1} , H_{V_4} and H_{V_7} . The others have similar computations, which appear in [Am2, Chapter 3]. The resulting H_{V_i} for $i = 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9$ with the tables of braids appear in [AmTe2].




8. THE COMPUTATION OF H_{V_1}

We follow Figure 6 when computing the local braid monodromy for V_1 , denoted by $\varphi_1^{(0)}$, but first we need to compute its factors which come from singularities in the neighbourhood

of V_1 . We start with $\varphi_1^{(6)}$. Then we compute $S_1^{(5)}$ and $\varphi_1^{(5)}$ in the neighbourhood of V_1 . Notice that $\varphi_1^{(5)} = \varphi_1^{(4)} = \varphi_1^{(3)}$ since the fourth and fifth regenerations do not change the neighbourhood of V_1 . Finally we compute $\varphi_1^{(2)}$. $\varphi_1^{(2)} = \varphi_1^{(1)} = \varphi_1^{(0)}$ since the seventh and eighth regenerations act similarly on the neighbourhood of V_1 . Hence we get a factorized expression for $\varphi_1^{(0)}$.

Theorem 8.1 *In the neighbourhood of V_1 , the local braid monodromy of $S_1^{(6)}$ around V_1 is given by:*

$$\varphi_1^{(6)} = (\underline{Z}_{4'i}^2)_{i=2,5,6,6'} \cdot Z_{34}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{4i}^2)_{i=2,5,6,6'}^{Z_{34}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{14}^4 \cdot (Z_{44'})^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{14}^2} \cdot Z_{56}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6}^2)_{i=1,3}^{Z_{i2}^2 Z_{34}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{26}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6'}^2)_{i=1,3}^{Z_{i6}^2 Z_{i5}^2 Z_{i2}^2 Z_{34}^2} \cdot (Z_{66'})^{Z_{56}^2 Z_{26}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 2, 3, 5 >)^{Z_{56}^2 Z_{34}^2}, \text{ where } (Z_{44'})^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{14}^2} \text{ is determined by}$$

 , $(Z_{66'})^{Z_{56}^2 Z_{26}^2}$ is determined by  and the L.V.C. corresponding to $\Delta^2 < 1, 2, 3, 5 >$ is given by .


Proof: Let $\hat{L}_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, be the lines in $Z^{(8)}$ and in $Z^{(7)}$ intersecting in a point 1. Let $L_i = \pi^{(8)}(\hat{L}_i)$. Then $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, intersect in V_1 . $S^{(8)}$ (and $S^{(7)}$) around V_1 is $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 L_i$. After the second regeneration, $S_1^{(6)}$ in a neighbourhood of V_1 is as follows: the lines L_4 and L_6 are replaced by two conics Q_4 and Q_6 , where Q_4 is tangent to the lines L_1 and L_3 , Q_6 is tangent to L_2 and L_5 . This follows from the fact that $P_2 \cup P_3$ (resp. $P_{13} \cup P_{15}$) is regenerated to R_1 (resp. R_6), and the tangency follows from Lemma 9. Thus, in a neighbourhood of V_1 , $S_1^{(6)}$ is of the form: $S_1^{(6)} = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup Q_4 \cup L_5 \cup Q_6$.

$S_1^{(6)}$ is shown in Figure 12.

In order to prove the theorem we divide the proof to parts and we present the following propositions and remarks.

Proposition 8.1.1. *Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_1 = L_1 \cup L_3 \cup Q_4 \cup L_5$, where L_i are lines, $i = 1, 3, 5$, Q_4 is a conic tangent to L_1 and L_3 , it intersects L_5 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 13).*

Then the braid monodromy of C_1 is given by:

$$\varphi_{C_1} = Z_{4'5}^2 \cdot Z_{34}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{45}^2)^{Z_{34}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5 >)^{Z_{34}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{14}^4 \cdot (Z_{44'})^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{14}^2}, \text{ where } (Z_{44'})^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{14}^2} \text{ is determined by } \langle 1 \ 3 \ 4 \ 4' \ 5 \rangle \text{ and the L.V.C. corresponding to } \Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5 > \text{ is given by}$$


Proof: Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .




FIGURE 12.




FIGURE 13.

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:
 A_1, A_3 are intersection points of Q_4 with the line L_5 .
 A_2, A_5 are tangent points of Q_4 with the lines L_3, L_1 respectively.

A_4 is an intersection point of the lines L_1, L_3, L_5 .

A_6 is a point of the type a_1 in Q_4 .

Let $N = \{x(A_j) = x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 6\}$, such that $N \subset E - \partial E, N \subset E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let M be a real point on the x -axis, such that $x_j \ll M \quad \forall x_j \in N, 1 \leq j \leq 6$.

We claim that there exists a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line and the values of φ_M w.r.t. this base and $E \times D$ are the ones given in the proposition.

Recall that $K = K(M)$. Let $i = L_i \cap K$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$. Let $\{4, 4'\} = Q_4 \cap K$. $K = \{1, 3, 4, 4', 5\}$, such that $1, 3, 4, 4', 5$ are real points. Moreover: $1 < 3 < 4 < 4' < 5$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by: $\beta_M(1) = 1, \beta_M(3) = 2, \beta_M(4) = 3, \beta_M(4') = 4, \beta_M(5) = 5$. Moreover: $\deg C_1 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = 5 \quad \forall x$.

We are looking for $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. We choose a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line.

Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 4 \rangle$ |

For computations, we use the formulas in [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{4'5} \\
 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \cdot^1 \quad \cdot^2 \quad \cdot^3 \quad \cdot^4 \text{---} \cdot^5 \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \cdot^1 \quad \cdot^3 \quad \cdot^4 \quad \cdot^4 \text{---} \cdot^5 \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{4'5}^2
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{34} \\
 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \cdot^1 \quad \cdot^2 \text{---} \cdot^3 \quad \cdot^4 \quad \cdot^5 \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \cdot^1 \quad \cdot^3 \text{---} \cdot^4 \quad \cdot^4 \quad \cdot^5 \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{34}^4
 \end{array}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{45}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \\
 & \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = (\underline{Z}_{45}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = (\Delta \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{34}^2} \\
 & \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \\
 & \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{34}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{14} \\
 & \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \\
 & \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = \underline{Z}_{14}^4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\xi_{x'_6})\Psi_{\gamma'_6} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \\
 & \quad \underline{z}_{34}^2 \underline{z}_{14}^2 \\
 & \quad \underline{z}_{44'} \\
 & \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \\
 & \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \\
 & \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = (Z_{44'})^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{14}^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.1.2. Since C_1 is in $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, we shall use a slight modification of Proposition 8.1.1 (using an embedding to the projective plane). In the modification there are 2 more lines L_2, L_6 . L_2 intersects the conic Q_4 in 2 complex points.

Following the proof of Proposition 8.1.1, one can easily see that the formulation of the result is very similar to the formulation of Proposition 8.1.1. The changes in the result are as follows:

- (a) $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{34}^2}$ is replaced by $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 \rangle)^{Z_{34}^2}$ with the corresponding L.V.C. which appears as follows: $\overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{4'}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \overset{6}{\bullet}$.
- (b) $Z_{4'5}^2$ is replaced by $(\underline{Z}_{4'i}^2)_{i=2,5,6}$ as follows: $\overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{4'}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \overset{6}{\bullet}$.
- (c) $(\underline{Z}_{45}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}$ is replaced by $(\underline{Z}_{4i}^2)_{i=2,5,6}^{Z_{34}^2}$ as follows: $\overset{1}{\bullet} \overset{2}{\bullet} \overset{3}{\bullet} \overset{4}{\bullet} \overset{4'}{\bullet} \overset{5}{\bullet} \overset{6}{\bullet}$.

Proposition 8.1.3. Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_2 = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_5 \cup Q_6$, where L_i are lines, $i = 1, 2, 5$, Q_6 is a conic tangent to L_2 and L_5 , it intersects L_1 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 14).

Then the braid monodromy of C_2 is given by:

$\varphi_{C_2} = Z_{56}^4 \cdot (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^2} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{16}^2)^{Z_{12}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{26}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{16'}^2)^{Z_{16}^2 Z_{15}^2 Z_{12}^2} \cdot (Z_{66'})^{Z_{56}^2 Z_{26}^2}$, where $(Z_{66'})^{Z_{56}^2 Z_{26}^2}$ is determined by $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \bullet \end{array}$ and the L.V.C. corresponding to $\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 5 \rangle$ is determined by $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \\ \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array}$.




FIGURE 14.

Proof: Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_1, A_4 are tangent points of Q_6 with the lines L_5, L_2 respectively.

A_3, A_5 are intersection points of Q_6 with the line L_1 .

A_2 is an intersection point of the lines L_1, L_2, L_5 .

A_6 is a point of the type a_1 in Q_6 .

Let $N = \{x(A_j) = x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 6\}$, such that $N \subset E - \partial E, N \subset E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let M be a real point on the x -axis, such that $x_j \ll M \quad \forall x_j \in N, 1 \leq j \leq 6$.

We claim that there exists a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line and the values of φ_M w.r.t. this base and $E \times D$ are the ones given in the proposition.

Recall that $K = K(M)$. Let $i = L_i \cap K$ for $i = 1, 2, 5$. Let $\{6, 6'\} = Q_6 \cap K$. $K = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 6'\}$, such that $1, 2, 5, 6, 6'$ are real points. Moreover: $1 < 2 < 5 < 6 < 6'$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by $\beta_M(1) = 1$, $\beta_M(2) = 2$, $\beta_M(5) = 3$, $\beta_M(6) = 4$, $\beta_M(6') = 5$. Moreover: $\deg C_2 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = 5 \forall x$.

We are looking for $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. We choose a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line.

Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 3 \rangle$ |

For computations, we use the formulas in [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$.

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{56}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{6} \quad \overset{6'}{\cdot} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{56}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = (\Delta \langle 1, 2, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^2}$$

$$\langle 1, 3 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \quad \overset{4}{\cdot} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{6} \quad \overset{6'}{\cdot}$$

$$\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{16}^{Z_{12}^2}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \quad \overset{2}{\cdot} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \quad \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot}$$

$$\beta_M^{-1} \quad \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \quad \overset{5}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{6} \quad \overset{6'}{\cdot} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = (\underline{Z}_{16}^2)^{Z_{12}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} &= \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{26} \\
&\langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \\
&\Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = \underline{Z}_{26}^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} &= \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{16'}^2 \underline{z}_{15}^2 \underline{z}_{12}^2 \\
&\langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \\
&\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (\underline{Z}_{16'}^2) \underline{Z}_{16}^2 \underline{Z}_{15}^2 \underline{Z}_{12}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\xi_{x'_6})\Psi_{\gamma'_6} &= \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \\
&\underline{z}_{56}^2 \underline{z}_{26}^2 \\
&\underline{z}_{66'}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \\
&\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \\
&\beta_M^{-1} \cdot \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = (\underline{Z}_{66'}) \underline{z}_{56}^2 \underline{z}_{26}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.1.4. Since C_2 is in $\mathbb{C}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, we shall use a slight modification of Proposition 8.1.3. In the modification there is one more line L_3 which intersects Q_6 in 2 complex points.

Following the proof of Proposition 8.1.3, one can easily see that the formulation of the result is very similar to the formulation of Proposition 8.1.3. The changes in the result are as follows:

(a) $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^2}$ is replaced by $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^2}$ with the corresponding L.V.C. which appears as follows: $\overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}$.

(b) $(\underline{Z}_{16})^{Z_{12}^2}$ is replaced by $(\underline{Z}_{i6}^2)_{i=1,3}^{Z_{i2}^2}$ as follows: $\overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}$.

(c) $(\underline{Z}_{16'})^{\underline{Z}_{16}^2 \underline{Z}_{15}^2 \underline{Z}_{12}^2}$ is replaced by $(\underline{Z}_{i6'})^{\underline{Z}_{i6}^2 \underline{Z}_{i5}^2 \underline{Z}_{i2}^2}$ as follows: $\overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \overset{6'}$.

Proof of Theorem 8.1: Until now we computed the braid monodromy of all singularities (real and complex). Each one of the intersection points of $L_6 \cap Q_4$ is replaced by 2 intersection points ($\subseteq Q_4 \cap Q_6$) which are close to each other. Take M on the x -axis, such that $\pi^{-1}(M) \cap S_1^{(6)} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 4', 5, 6, 6'\}$.

To build a part of the desired g -base that corresponds to singularities from Proposition 8.1.1 and Remark 8.1.2, we take all elements of the g -base that we constructed for Remark 8.1.2 (except for the one that corresponds to $x(\cap L_i)$) and we make on them the following changes:

(I) We replace each of the paths that corresponds to one of the points $Q_4 \cap L_6$ by 2 paths which follow former paths almost up to the end and then form a bush with 2 branches, see Figure 15.




FIGURE 15.

(II) For the loops that we multiplied we get the following braid monodromies: $\underline{Z}_{4'6}^2$ is replaced by 2 elements $\underline{Z}_{4'6}^2$ and $\underline{Z}_{4'6'}^2$. These braids correspond to the paths $\underline{z}_{4'6}$ and $\underline{z}_{4'6'}$ respectively. $(\underline{Z}_{46}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}$ is replaced by $(\underline{Z}_{46}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}$ and $(\underline{Z}_{46'}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}$. These braids correspond to the paths $\underline{z}_{46}^{Z_{34}^2}$ and $\underline{z}_{46'}^{Z_{34}^2}$ respectively.

(III) Notation: $x(\cap L_i) = x_0$.

We choose a circular neighbourhood E' of x_0 on the x -axis that includes all x -projections of singularities from Proposition 8.1.3 and Remark 8.1.4. Let $M' = \max\{\partial E' \cap \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $S' = \pi^{-1}(E') \cap (S_1^{(6)} - Q_4)$. To build the part of the desired g -base that corresponds to these singularities, we start by building a g -base for $(E' - N, M)$ and computing its braid monodromy. We can apply Proposition 8.1.3 to Figure 8.3 restricted to (E', M', π) .

Thus we get a g -base in $(E' - N, M')$ whose braid monodromy is given in Proposition 8.1.3, since a g -base is determined up to homotopical equivalence. We can assume that the path γ_0 from M to x_0 , which is an element of the g -base of Remark 8.1.2 is entering E' at the point M' . We extend the g -base of $(E' - N, M')$ obtained above until M by adding to it the part γ_0'' of γ_0 outside of E' . The braids of the prolonged loops under the braid monodromy of $S_1^{(6)}$ at M are obtained from the braids of the loops in $(E' - N, M')$ under the braid monodromy of S' at M' , by applying on them a natural monomorphism $e : B[D, K(M') \cap S'] \rightarrow B[D, K(M')]$ followed by $(\psi_{\gamma_0''})^\vee : B[D, K(M')] \rightarrow B[D, K(M)]$. As always, $(\psi_{\gamma_0''})^\vee \circ e$ is determined by its values on a linear frame $H = H(\sigma'_i)_{i=1}^5$ in $B[D, K(M') \cap S']$ where $\xi_{M'} = \{\sigma'_i\}$ is a skeleton in $(D, K(M') \cap S')$. $K(M') \cap S' = \{1^*, 2^*, 3^*, 5^*, 6^*, 6'^*\}$, $\xi_{M'} = \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^5 = \overset{1^*}{\bullet} \overset{2^*}{\bullet} \overset{3^*}{\bullet} \overset{5^*}{\bullet} \overset{6^*}{\bullet} \overset{6'^*}{\bullet}$.

Let us denote by $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^5$ the following skeleton in $(D, K(M), K(M) \cap S')$:

$$\xi_M = \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^5 = \overset{1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{4'}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{5} \overset{6}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{6'} \overset{6'}{\bullet}.$$


In Proposition 8.1.1 and Remark 8.1.2 we computed $(\xi_{x'_0})\psi_{\gamma'_0}$, where $\xi_{x'_0}$ is a skeleton in $(D, K(x'_0))$. Let us write $\gamma'_0 = \gamma_0''' \cup \gamma_0''$. It is obvious from Remark 8.1.2 that $(\xi_{x'_0})\psi_{\gamma_0''} = \xi_{M'}$ and thus $(\xi_{M'})\psi_{\gamma_0''} = (\xi_{x'_0})\psi_{\gamma'_0}$. The last skeleton is computed in Proposition 8.1.1 and it equals $\langle (\sigma_i)^{Z_{34}^2} \rangle$.


Thus $(\xi_{M'})\psi_{\gamma_0''} = \langle (\sigma_i)^{Z_{34}^2} \rangle = \overset{1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{4'}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{5} \overset{6}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{6'} \overset{6'}{\bullet} = \xi_{M'}^{Z_{34}^2}$. Therefore, we have

$$(\psi_{\gamma'_0})^\vee \circ e(H(\sigma'_i)) = H((\sigma'_i)\psi_{\gamma_0''}) = \begin{cases} H(\sigma_i) & i = 1, 4, 5 \\ (H(\sigma_i))^{Z_{34}^2} & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

We conclude that there exist elements of the g -base of $(E - N, u)$ for $S_1^{(6)}$ corresponding to the singularities from Proposition 8.1.3 and Remark 8.1.4 whose braid monodromies are in conjugation with Z_{34}^2 of the corresponding braids for S' and (E', π, M') . Similarly, the related L.V.C.'s are obtained from the corresponding L.V.C.'s for (S', E', π, M') by applying the braid Z_{34}^2 .

Considering the above changes, we present here the list of all braids:





Now we compute $\varphi_1^{(5)}$. Recall that $\varphi_1^{(5)} = \varphi_1^{(4)} = \varphi_1^{(3)}$, so in fact we compute $\varphi_1^{(3)}$.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\omega = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_5$ in $S_1^{(6)}$.*

(a) *In a small neighbourhood U_3 of ω , $T^{(3)} = S_1^{(3)} \cap U_3$ resembles Figure 17, i.e., the singularities of $T^{(3)}$ are 4 nodes, 4 tangency points and 2 branch points.*

(b) *The local braid monodromy of $S_1^{(3)}$ in that neighbourhood is presented by $F_1(F_1)^\rho$, where:*

$$F_1 = Z_{23}^4 \cdot Z_{3'5}^2 \cdot (Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2} \cdot (Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2} \cdot (Z_{12})^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2}.$$

$$\rho = Z_{33'} Z_{55'} \text{ and } (z_{12})^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2} = \text{diagram with 5 strands and crossings}.$$

Proof:

(a) Let $\hat{\omega} = R_1 \cap R_2 \cap R_4 \cap R_6$ in $Z^{(6)}$.

For local analysis we can use holomorphic coordinates in a neighbourhood \hat{U} of $\hat{\omega}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

This allows us to consider \hat{U} as a subvariety of a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^4 with

$$\text{coordinates } X, Y, Z, T \text{ defined by the following system of equations } \hat{U} : \begin{cases} XT = 0 \\ YZ = 0 \end{cases}.$$

By abuse of notation, \hat{L}_5 is called the x -axis (see Figure 16), and then: $R_1 = \{X = 0, Y = 0\}$, $R_2 = \{X = 0, Z = 0\}$, $R_4 = \{T = 0, Y = 0\}$, $R_6 = \{T = 0, Z = 0\}$.




FIGURE 16.

Consider the curve we obtain in [MoTe8, Lemma 6]. We can spin this curve to obtain Figure 17.




FIGURE 17.

Let M be a real point, $x \ll M \quad \forall x \in N, K(M) = \{1, 2, 3, 3', 5, 5'\}$.

(b) After the fifth regeneration, $S_1^{(3)}$ in a neighbourhood of ω is as follows: the lines L_1 and L_2 are replaced to a hyperbola h_{12} , the lines L_3 and L_5 are doubled and each one of them is tangent to h_{12} . This follows from (a). Thus in a neighbourhood of ω , $S_1^{(3)}$ is of the form: $S_1^{(3)} = h_{12} \cup L_3 \cup L_{3'} \cup L_5 \cup L_{5'}$, see Figure 17.

The lines L_3 and $L_{3'}$ intersect in a point $1 \ll x(L_3 \cap L_{3'})$; the lines L_5 and $L_{5'}$ intersect in a point $x(L_5 \cap L_{5'})$, such that $x(L_3 \cap L_{3'}) < x(L_5 \cap L_{5'})$; $x(L_3 \cap L_{3'}), x(L_5 \cap L_{5'}) \notin E$ for E a disk on the x -axis.

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{10}$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_1, A_4, A_7, A_{10} are tangent points of h_{12} with the lines $L_3, L_5, L_{5'}, L_{3'}$ respectively.

A_2, A_3 are intersection points of the line L_5 with the lines $L_{3'}, L_3$ respectively.

A_8, A_9 are intersection points of the line $L_{5'}$ with the lines $L_{3'}, L_3$ respectively.

A_5 is a point of the type a_1 in h_{12} .

A_6 is a point of the type a_2 in h_{12} .

Let $N = \{x(A_j) = x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 10\}$, such that $N \subset E - \partial E, N \subset E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let M be a real point on the x -axis, such that $x_j \ll M \quad \forall x_j \in N, 1 \leq j \leq 10$.

We claim that there exists a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^{10}$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that the first five paths γ_j are below the real line and the last five paths $\gamma_j, 6 \leq j \leq 10$, have a part below the real line and a part above it, see Figure 18.

Moreover, we claim that φ_M , the braid monodromy w.r.t. $E \times D$, is $\varphi_M = F_1(F_1)^\rho^{-1}$ where F_1 and ρ are the ones given in the beginning of the theorem, (b).




FIGURE 18.

We will prove the two of them.

Let us choose a g -base of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$ as follows: let us take the first five elements of the g -base the loops constructed from the standard bush from M to $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^5$ below the real line, namely: $\ell(\gamma_1), \dots, \ell(\gamma_5)$. For the last five elements of the g -base we take $\ell(\gamma_6), \dots, \ell(\gamma_{10})$ where γ_j are constructed as follows: let $-P, P_1, P$ be points on the real line which satisfy $M \ll x(L_3 \cap L_{3'}) < P_1 < x(L_5 \cap L_{5'}) < P, -P \ll x_{10}$.

Let T be a big semi-circle below the real line from $-P$ to P ; T_1 be a semi-circle below the real line from P to P_1 ; T_2 be a semi-circle below the real line from P_1 to M .

Let $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ be paths above the real line from x_j to $-P, 6 \leq j \leq 10$. Let γ_j be the paths of the form: $\gamma_j = \tilde{\gamma}_j T T_1 T_2, 6 \leq j \leq 10$.

By Figure 17, $h_{12} \cap K = \{1, 2\}$, $L_3 \cap K = 3$, $L_{3'} \cap K = 3'$, $L_5 \cap K = 5$, $L_{5'} \cap K = 5'$. So $K = \{1, 2, 3, 3', 5, 5'\}$, such that $1 < 2 < 3 < 3' < 5 < 5'$. We choose a diffeomorphism β_M which satisfies: $\beta_M(1) = 1$, $\beta_M(2) = 2$, $\beta_M(3) = 3$, $\beta_M(3') = 4$, $\beta_M(5) = 5$, $\beta_M(5') = 6$.

We have the following table for the first five points:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 1 \rangle$ |

For computations we use the formulas from [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{23}$$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{23}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{3'5}$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{3'5}^2$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{35}^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = (Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{25}^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{12}^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (Z_{12})^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2}$$

The sequence of braids that we obtain is:

Z_{23}^4 , $Z_{3'5}^2$, $(Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2}$, $(Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2}$, $(Z_{12})^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2}$ which is the sequence that is given by the factorized expression F_1 . So as a factorized expression, $F_1 = \prod_{j=1}^5 \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))$.

Now we want to compute $\beta_M^\vee(\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j)))$ for $6 \leq j \leq 10$. First, we have to compute $\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j)))$ for $6 \leq j \leq 10$. Then we have to compute $\beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)))$ for $6 \leq j \leq 10$. Finally, we will compute $\beta_M^\vee(\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))) = \beta_M^\vee(\varphi_M(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T T_1 T_2)))$ for $6 \leq j \leq 10$.

In the computations of $\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j)))$, we apply δ_{x_j} in a reversed order (see [Am2, Remark 1.46]). The point A_6 is of the type a_1 w.r.t. the point $-P$.

We get the following table for the last five points:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 9 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 8 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 7 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 5, 6 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 5 \rangle$ |

Now we are computing $\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j)))$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$.

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_{10})\beta_{-P} = z_{45}$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{?}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_{10}))) = Z_{45}^4$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_9)\beta_{-P} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{23}$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_9))) = Z_{23}^2$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_8)\beta_{-P} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \bar{z}_{24}^{Z_{45}^2}$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{?}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot}$$

$$\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_8))) = (\bar{Z}_{24}^2)^{Z_{45}^2}$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_7)\beta_{-P} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \underline{z}_{25}^{Z_{23}^2}$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{?}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot}$$

$$\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \overset{!}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_7))) = (\underline{Z}_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_6)\beta_{-P} = \langle 5, 6 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{56}^2 z_{25}^2 z_{45}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 5, 6 \rangle \text{ : } \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \text{---} \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \text{ : } \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \text{ : } \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} \\ & \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \text{ : } \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \text{ : } \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} \\ & \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_6))) = (Z_{56})^2 z_{25}^2 z_{45}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $\text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$.

$\text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P = \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j)\Psi_T\beta_P = \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j)\beta_{-P}(\beta_{-P}^{-1}\Psi_T\beta_P)$, $j = 10, \dots, 6$. Because $S_1^{(3)}$ does not have any singularities at ∞ , $\beta_{-P}\Psi_T\beta_P$ is a 180° rotation centered at $3\frac{1}{2}$.

So we apply 180° rotation on $\text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j)\beta_{-P}$ to get $\text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_{10} T)\beta_P &= \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \text{---} \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} = z_{23} \quad ; \quad \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_9 T)\beta_P = \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \text{---} \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} = z_{45} \\ \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_8 T)\beta_P &= \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} = z_{35}^2 \quad ; \quad \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_7 T)\beta_P = \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} = z_{25}^2 \\ \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_6 T)\beta_P &= \begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \\ \cdot 2 \quad \cdot 3 \quad \cdot 4 \quad \cdot 5 \quad \cdot 6 \end{array} = z_{12}^2 z_{23}^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T))) = \Delta \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P \rangle^{\epsilon_{x_j}}$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus: } \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_{10} T))) &= Z_{23}^4 \quad ; \quad \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_9 T))) = Z_{45}^2 \quad ; \quad \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_8 T))) = (Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2} \quad ; \\ \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_7 T))) &= (Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2} \quad ; \quad \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_6 T))) = Z_{12}^2 Z_{23}^2 . \end{aligned}$$

By Figure 17, L -pair $(x(L_3 \cap L_{3'})) = (3, 3')$ and L -pair $(x(L_5 \cap L_{5'})) = (5, 5')$. These two points are of the type c. By [Am2, Theorem 1.40]: $\beta_P^{-1}\Psi_{T_1 T_2}\beta_M = (\Delta \langle 3, 3' \rangle \Delta \langle 5, 5' \rangle)^{-1}$. Let us denote $\Delta \langle 3, 3' \rangle \Delta \langle 5, 5' \rangle$ by ρ .

Now, for $j = 10, \dots, 6$ we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T T_1 T_2)) &= \Delta^{\epsilon_{x_j}} \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T T_1 T_2) \rangle = \Delta^{\epsilon_{x_j}} \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\Psi_{T_1 T_2} \rangle = \\ & \Delta^{\epsilon_{x_j}} \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P(\beta_P^{-1}\Psi_{T_1 T_2}\beta_M)\beta_M^{-1} \rangle = \Delta^{\epsilon_{x_j}} \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P \rho^{-1}\beta_M^{-1} \rangle = \\ & (\beta_M^{-1})^\vee(\rho^{-1})^\vee \Delta^{\epsilon_{x_j}} \langle \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P \rangle = (\beta_M^{-1})^\vee(\rho^{-1})^\vee(\beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)))) . \end{aligned}$$

So by comparing the beginning and the end of this long equality we have: $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j)) = (\beta_M^{-1})^\vee \beta_P^\vee((\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)))^{\rho^{-1}})$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$ and for $\rho = \Delta \langle 3, 3' \rangle \Delta \langle 5, 5' \rangle$. Therefore:


$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_{10})) &= (Z_{23}^4)^{\rho^{-1}} \\ \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_9)) &= (Z_{3'5}^2)^{\rho^{-1}} \\ \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_8)) &= ((Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2})^{\rho^{-1}} \\ \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_7)) &= ((Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2})^{\rho^{-1}} \\ \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) &= ((Z_{12}^2)^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2})^{\rho^{-1}} . \end{aligned}$$

From the first five points, one can easily see that as a factorized expression:

$F_1 = \prod_{j=10}^6 (\beta_M^{-1})^\vee \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)))$. From the last five points, one can easily see that as a factorized expression: $(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}} = \prod_{j=10}^6 (\beta_M^{-1})^\vee \beta_P^\vee((\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)))^{\rho^{-1}})$. Therefore, the braid monodromy w.r.t. $E \times D$ is $\varphi_M = F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$. \square

Proposition 8.3. *The local braid monodromy for $S_1^{(3)}$ around V_1 is obtained from formula $\varphi_1^{(6)}$ in Theorem 8.1 by the following replacements:*

(i) Consider the following $()^*$ as conjugations by the braids, induced from the following motions:



All the other conjugations do not change, since indices 3, 5 are not involved.

(ii) $\Delta^2 < 1, 2, 3, 5 >$ is replaced by $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

(iii) Each of the degree 4 factors in $\varphi_1^{(6)}$ that involves index 3 or 5 is replaced by 3 cubes as in the third regeneration rule.

(iv) Each of the degree 2 factors in $\varphi_1^{(6)}$ that involves index 3 or 5 is replaced by 2 degree 2 factors, where $: 3$ and $3'$ are replacing 3; 5 and $5'$ are replacing 5.

We call the formula that was obtained: $(3)_1$.

Proof: In the regeneration $S_1^{(6)} \rightsquigarrow S_1^{(3)}$, \hat{L}_3 and \hat{L}_5 are replaced by 2 conics, each of which intersect the typical fiber twice in 2 points that are close to $\hat{L}_3 \cap \mathbb{C}_{V_1}$ and $\hat{L}_5 \cap \mathbb{C}_{V_1}$ respectively, namely $3, 3', 5, 5'$.

(i) By the second regeneration rule: $Z_{34}^2, Z_{23}^2, Z_{36}^2, Z_{35}^2, Z_{56}^2$ should be replaced by the ones appearing above.

(ii) By Theorem 8.2.

(iii) By the third regeneration rule.

(iv) By the second regeneration rule. \square

Now we compute $\varphi_1^{(2)}$. Recall that $\varphi_1^{(2)} = \varphi_1^{(1)} = \varphi_1^{(0)}$, so in fact we compute $\varphi_1^{(0)}$.

Theorem 8.4. *In the notation of Theorem 8.2: let $T^{(0)}$ be the curve obtained from $T^{(3)}$ in the regeneration $Z^{(3)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(0)}$.*

(i) *Then the local braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$, where:*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}_1 &= \underline{Z}_{22',3}^3 \cdot Z_{3'5}^2 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{35}^2)^{Z_{22',3}^2} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{22',5}^3)^{Z_{22',3}^2} \cdot Z_{12'} \cdot Z_{1'2}, \\ \hat{F}_2 &= (\underline{Z}_{22',3}^3)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot (Z_{3'5}^2)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot \left((\underline{Z}_{35}^2)^{Z_{22',3}^2} \right)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot \left((\underline{Z}_{22',5}^3)^{Z_{22',3}^2} \right)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{12'}^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{1'2}^{\rho^{-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *The singularities of the x -projection of $T^{(0)}$ are those arising from $T^{(3)}$ by the regeneration rules, namely: 4 nodes that exist in $T^{(3)}$, 3×4 cusps arising from 4 tangency points in $T^{(3)}$, 2×2 branch points for 2 branch points of $T^{(3)}$.*

(iii) *The braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$.*

$$(iv) \hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2) = \Delta_8^{-2} Z_{33'}^{-2} Z_{55'}^{-2} Z_{11'}^{-2} Z_{22'}^{-2}.$$

Proof: We use the notation of Theorem 8.2 (formulation and proof).

(i) In the regeneration process, $Z^{(3)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(0)}$, $W_1 \cup W_2$ becomes irreducible with equations $ZY = \epsilon$ and $XT = \delta$ where the branch curve in \mathbb{CP}^2 of its projection from U_3 to \mathbb{CP}^2 is a regeneration of $T^{(3)}$, such that the conic is doubled in the generic point. We apply the regeneration rules (I, III) on the local braid monodromy of $T^{(3)}$ on each factor that involves indices 1 or 2, to get $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$.

(ii) Let V be an affine surface in \mathbb{C}^4 , defined by: $YZ = \epsilon$ and $XT = \delta$. Let \bar{V} be its projective closure in \mathbb{CP}^4 , defined by: $YZ = \epsilon W^2$ and $XT = \delta W^2$. It is easy to check that the only singularities of \bar{V} are 4 non-degenerate quadric singularities at ∞ , namely the intersection of \hat{L}_i with $\{W = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$. Let S_V be the branch curve of the $x; y$ projection of V . Let \bar{S}_V be its projective closure. Each of the singularities of \bar{V} corresponds to a singularity of \bar{S}_V , namely: the intersection of L_i with the line in infinity, $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$. Thus \bar{S}_V has 4 nodes at ∞ . $T^{(0)}$ can be identified with a part of S_V . In particular, each singularity of the x -projection of $T^{(0)}$ corresponds to a singularity of the x -projection of S_V . We claim that there are no more singularities of \bar{S}_V besides those mentioned above: the 4 nodes at ∞ and those that come from $T^{(0)}$. We prove it by comparing degrees of the factorized expressions given by the braid monodromy of S_V .

The degree of S_V is 8, degree $\Delta_8^2 = 8 \cdot 7 = 56$. The degree of the product in Δ_8^2 of the factors that come from the nodes at ∞ is $4 \cdot 2 = 8$. The singularities of $T^{(0)}$ that come from the regenerating singularity of $T^{(3)}$ contributes to the factorization of Δ_8^2 factors whose

added degrees are: $4 \cdot 2 + 12 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 1 = 48$. Thus, we have factors together whose added degrees are $48 + 8 = 56$ and there cannot be any additional singularities of the x -projection of $T^{(0)}$ which prove part (ii). Moreover, S_V has no additional singularities, and \bar{S}_V has only the 4 nodes at ∞ .

(iii) Since $T^{(0)}$ has no additional singularities than those coming from regenerating $T^{(0)}$, these contribute all the factors of $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$.


(iv) To prove this point, we have to analyse the contribution of the nodes at ∞ to the braid monodromy of \bar{S}_V .


We move the line in infinity, such that \bar{S}_V is transversal to it. Denote the new affine part of \bar{S}_V by S'_V . Then the nodes of \bar{S}_V are (transversal) intersection points of $L_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 5)$ with a line at ∞ . We want to compute the braid monodromy of S'_V . In the regeneration process that created S'_V these lines were doubled so that the L.V.C. corresponding to the nodes of S'_V close to ∞ are $z_{11'}, z_{22'}, z_{33'}, z_{55'}$ and the contribution of those nodes to the braid monodromy of S'_V is $Z_{11'}^2, Z_{22'}^2, Z_{33'}^2, Z_{55'}^2$. We get the following formula for the braid monodromy of S'_V : $\Delta_8^2 = \hat{F}_1 \hat{F}_2 Z_{11'}^2 Z_{22'}^2 Z_{33'}^2 Z_{55'}^2$. \square


The local braid monodromy of $S_1^{(0)}$ in U_3 is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$. Applying regeneration rules on $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$, we obtain $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

Corollary 8.5. *The paths corresponding to $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ (without their conjugation):*


(a) The paths corresponding to the factors in \hat{F}_1 :


 corresponding to $Z_{22',3}^3$

 corresponding to $Z_{3'5}^2$


 corresponding to $(Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{22',3}^2}$

 corresponding to $(Z_{22',5}^3)^{Z_{22',3}^2}$

 corresponding to $Z_{12'}$


 corresponding to $Z_{1'2}$


(b) The paths corresponding to the factors in $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ for $\rho^{-1} = Z_{55'}^{-1} Z_{33'}^{-1}$:



Theorem 8.6. *The local braid monodromy of $S_1^{(0)}$ around V_1 , denoted by H_{V_1} , equals:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{V_1} = & (\underline{Z}_{4'i}^2)_{i=22',55',6,6'} \cdot Z_{33',4}^3 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{4i}^2)_{i=22',55',6,6'}^{Z_{33',4}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{11',4}^3 \cdot (Z_{44'})^{Z_{33',4}^2 Z_{11',4}^2} \cdot Z_{55',6}^3 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6}^2)_{i=11',33'}^{Z_{i,22'}^2 Z_{33',4}^2} \cdot \\
 & \underline{Z}_{22',6}^3 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6'}^2)_{i=11',33'}^{Z_{i6}^2 Z_{i,55'}^2 Z_{i,22'}^2 Z_{33',4}^2} \cdot (Z_{66'})^{Z_{55',6}^2 Z_{22',6}^2} \cdot \left(\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}\right)^{Z_{55',6}^2 Z_{33',4}^2}, \text{ where the paths corre-} \\
 & \text{sponding to these braids are (the paths corresponding to } \hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \text{ are above):}
 \end{aligned}$$





Proof: In the last step of regeneration, L_1 and L_2 are replaced by conics and in the typical fiber 1 is replaced by 1 and 1', 2 is replaced by 2 and 2'. To obtain a formula for a local braid monodromy of $S_1^{(0)}$ around V_1 we have to take formula (3)₁ and make the following changes:

- (i) All the $()^*$ are conjugations by the braids induced from motions as mentioned in the beginning of the theorem. These conjugations affect the above paths.
- (ii) Replace $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ by $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.
- (iii) Each of the degree 4 factors in (3)₁, that involves index 1 or 2, is replaced by 3 cubes according to the third regeneration rule.
- (iv) Each of the degree 2 factors outside of $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ that involves index 1 or 2 is replaced by 2 degree 2 factors as in the second regeneration rule. \square

Invariance Property 8.7. H_{V_1} is invariant under $(Z_{44'} Z_{66'})^p (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^q (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^r \quad \forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: Recall Invariance Rules I, II, III, Invariance Remarks (i)-(v), the Chakiri Lemma, Conjugation Property and Complex Conjugation in Section 7.

Case 1: $p = q = r$.

$\Delta_{54}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^9 C_i H_{V_i} \prod b_i$. For each i , we can choose a forgetful homomorphism, which neglects

all the indices which are not the indices in H_{V_i} . For braids of the form $Z_{ii',j}^2$ and $Z_{ii'}$ we obtain $Z_{ii'}^2, Z_{ii'}$ when forgetting j . For $Z_{ii',j}^3$ we can apply here Lemma 2(i) in [MoTe8] to obtain $Z_{ii'}$. Applying this homomorphism each time on Δ_{54}^2 we obtain Δ_{12}^2 . So each H_{V_i} is a product of Δ_{12}^2 with $Z_{ii'}^m$, for some m . Thus by Chakiri's Lemma, H_{V_1} is invariant under this product with a power $-p$. Since Δ_{12}^2 is a central element, H_{V_1} is invariant under $(Z_{44'}Z_{66'})^p(Z_{33'}Z_{55'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{22'})^p$.

Case 2: $p = 0$.

Denote: $\epsilon = (Z_{33'}Z_{55'})^q(Z_{11'}Z_{22'})^r$. We want to prove that H_{V_1} is invariant under ϵ .

Step 1: Product of the factors outside of $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

$(Z_{66'})^{Z_{55',6}^2 Z_{22',6}^2}$ and $(Z_{44'})^{Z_{33',4}^2 Z_{11',4}^2}$ commute with ϵ .

$Z_{33',4}^3, Z_{11',4}^3, Z_{55',6}^3, Z_{22',6}^3$ are invariant under ϵ by Invariance Rule III.

All conjugations are invariant under ϵ by Invariance Rule II and by Invariance Remark (iv). The degree 2 factors are of the form $Z_{\alpha\alpha',\beta}^2$ where $\beta = 6, 6', 4, 4'$ or $Z_{\alpha,\beta\beta'}^2$ where $\alpha = 4$ or $4'$. By Invariance Rule II, they are invariant under $Z_{\alpha\alpha'}$ or $Z_{\beta\beta'}$ respectively, and since the other half-twists in ϵ commute with $Z_{\alpha\beta}, Z_{\alpha'\beta}$ (or $Z_{\alpha\beta}, Z_{\alpha\beta'}$) we get that $Z_{\alpha\alpha',\beta}^2$ and $Z_{\alpha,\beta\beta'}^2$ are invariant under ϵ .

Step 2: $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

In order to prove that $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under ϵ we consider the following subcases:

Subcase 2.1: $q = 0; \epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{22'})^r$.

$Z_{22',3}^3, (Z_{22',5}^3)^{Z_{22',3}^2}$ are invariant under $Z_{22'}$ (Invariance Rule III) and commute with $Z_{11'}$ (Invariance Remark (iv)). Thus they are invariant under ϵ (Invariance Remarks (i) and (v)). $Z_{3'5}^2, (Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{22',3}^2}$ commute with $Z_{11'}$ and $Z_{22'}$ and thus with ϵ . $\alpha_1(m_1), \alpha_2(m_1)$ are invariant under $(Z_{11'}Z_{22'})^r$ by Invariance Rule I. Thus \hat{F}_1 is invariant under ϵ . Since $\rho^{-1} = Z_{55'}^{-1}Z_{33'}^{-1}, \rho^{-1}$ commutes with ϵ and thus $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is also invariant under ϵ . Thus $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under ϵ .

Subcase 2.2: $r = 0, q = 1; \epsilon = Z_{33'}Z_{55'}, \epsilon = \rho$.

To prove that $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under ρ , it is necessary to prove that $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is Hurwitz equivalent (He)(see [Am2, Definition 1.55]) to $(\hat{F}_1)^\rho \hat{F}_1$. Since AB is Hurwitz equivalent to BA^B , it is enough to prove that $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $\hat{F}_1 \left((\hat{F}_1)^\rho \right)^{\hat{F}_1}$. Thus it is enough to prove that $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $\left((\hat{F}_1)^\rho \right)^{\hat{F}_1}$ or that $\left((\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \right)^{\hat{F}_1^{-1}}$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $(\hat{F}_1)^\rho$.

By Theorem 8.4 (iv): $\hat{F}_1 = \Delta_8^2 \rho^{-2} Z_{11'}^{-2} Z_{22'}^{-2} (\hat{F}_1^{-1})^{\rho^{-1}}$. Thus $(\hat{F}_1)^{-1} = (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^2 \rho^2 \Delta_8^2$.

Thus:

$$\left((\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \right)^{\hat{F}_1^{-1}} = \left((\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \right)^{(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^2 \rho^2 \Delta_8^2} \stackrel{\text{as factorized expression}}{=} \left((\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \right)^{(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^2 \rho^2}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{He by Chakiri's Lemma}}{\simeq} \left((\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} \right)^{(Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^2 \rho^2} = \left((\hat{F}_1)^{(Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^2} \right)^{\rho} \stackrel{\text{He by Subcase 2.1}}{\simeq} (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho}.$$

Subcase 2.3: $q = 2q'$; $\epsilon = (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{2q'} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^r$.

By Theorem 8.4 (iv): $\hat{F}_1 (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}} = \Delta_8^2 (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{-2} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{-2}$. By Chakiri's Lemma, $\hat{F}_1 (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under $(\Delta_8^2 (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{-2} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{-2})^{-q'}$ and thus under $(Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{2q'} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{2q'}$.

By Subcase 2.1, $\hat{F}_1 (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under $(Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{r-2q'}$. By Invariance Remark (v): $\hat{F}_1 (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under $(Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{2q'} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{2q'} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{r-2q'} = \epsilon$.

Subcase 2.4: $q = 2q' + 1$; $\epsilon = (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{2q'+1} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^r$.

By Subcases 2.2, 2.3 and Invariance Remark (v). Thus $\hat{F}_1 (\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ is invariant under $(Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^q (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^r \forall q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. This finishes Step 2 of Case 2 and thus Case 2 is complete.

Case 3: p, q, r arbitrary; $\epsilon = (Z_{44'} Z_{66'})^p (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^q (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^r$.

By Case 1: H_{V_1} is invariant under $(Z_{44'} Z_{66'})^p (Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^p (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^p$. By Case 2: H_{V_1} is invariant under $(Z_{33'} Z_{55'})^{q-p} (Z_{11'} Z_{22'})^{r-p}$. By Invariance Remark (v), H_{V_1} is invariant under ϵ . \square

Notation: $Z_{ii'} = \rho_i$.

Theorem 8.8. *Consider H_{V_1} from Theorem 8.6. The paths corresponding to the factors in H_{V_1} , are shown below considering the Invariance Property 8.7 and the Conjugation Property.*

Remark: By abuse of notation, the simple braids are denoted by z_{mk} and the more complicated paths are denoted by \tilde{z}_{mk} .

Proof: By the Invariance Property 8.7, H_{V_1} is invariant under $\epsilon = (\rho_4 \rho_6)^p (\rho_3 \rho_5)^q (\rho_1 \rho_2)^r$

$\forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are two possible applications for presenting the braids: (a) if m, k are two indices in two different parts of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^j \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-j}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$. (b) If m, k are in the same part of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^i \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-i}$, i.e.: when conjugating z_{mk} by ρ_m^i for some i , we must conjugate z_{mk} also by ρ_k^i for the same i .

By Conjugation Property, the first figure of (9) is conjugated by (10), in order to simplify (9).

| | The paths corresponding to the braids and their complex conjugates | The braids | The exponent (according to singularity type) |
|------|--|---|--|
| (1) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_m^i \tilde{z}_{m4} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ $m = 2, 5, 6$ | 2 |
| (2) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_3^i \tilde{z}_{34} \rho_3^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (3) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_m^i \tilde{z}_{m4} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ $m = 2, 5, 6$ | 2 |
| (4) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_1^i \tilde{z}_{14} \rho_1^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (5) | | $\tilde{z}_{44'}$ | 1 |
| (6) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_5^i \tilde{z}_{56} \rho_5^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (7) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_m^i \tilde{z}_{m6} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ $m = 1, 3$ | 2 |
| (8) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{26} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (9) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_m^i \tilde{z}_{m6} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ $m = 1, 3$ | 2 |
| (10) | | $\tilde{z}_{66'}$ | 1 |

Consider now $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}(\rho^{-1} = \rho_5^{-1}\rho_3^{-1})$ in H_{V_1} . The factors in \hat{F}_1 and in $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ and their corresponding paths appear in Corollary 8.5. Considering the Invariance Property 8.7, we obtain the following table of braids from \hat{F}_1 and from $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$, in which all paths are considered here and induce relations.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | $\rho_3^j \rho_2^i \underline{z}_{23} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_3^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | $\rho_5^i \rho_3^i \underline{z}_{3'5} \rho_3^{-i} \rho_5^{-i}$ | 2 |
| | $\rho_5^i \rho_3^i \tilde{z}_{35} \rho_3^{-i} \rho_5^{-i}$ | 2 |
| | $\rho_5^j \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{25} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_5^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | $\alpha_1(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $\alpha_2(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_1(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_2(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |

□


9. THE COMPUTATION OF H_{V_4}

We follow Figure 6 when computing the local braid monodromy for V_4 , denoted by $\varphi_4^{(0)}$, but first we need to compute its factors which come from singularities in the neighbourhood of V_4 . We start with $\varphi_4^{(6)}$. Then we compute $S_4^{(5)}$ and $\varphi_4^{(5)}$ in the neighbourhood of V_4 . Notice that $\varphi_4^{(5)} = \varphi_4^{(4)} = \varphi_4^{(3)} = \varphi_4^{(2)}$ since the fourth, fifth and sixth regenerations do not change the neighbourhood of V_4 . Finally we compute $\varphi_4^{(1)}$. $\varphi_4^{(1)} = \varphi_4^{(0)}$ since the eighth regeneration acts similarly on the neighbourhood of V_4 . Hence we get a factorized expression for $\varphi_4^{(0)}$.

Theorem 9.1. *In the neighbourhood of V_4 , the local braid monodromy of $S_4^{(6)}$ around V_4 is given by:*

$$\varphi_4^{(6)} = (\underline{Z}_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,5,6,6'} \cdot Z_{12}^4 \cdot \bar{Z}_{2'4}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{2'i}^2)^{Z_{i4}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2} \cdot (Z_{66'})^{Z_{36}^{-2} Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6'}^2)^{Z_{12}^2} \cdot (Z_{36}^4)^{Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i6}^2)^{Z_{56}^{-2} Z_{12}^2} \cdot Z_{56}^4 \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 4, 5 >)^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{56}^{-2}},$$

where $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2}$ is determined by



corresponding to $\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 4, 5 >$ is given by

Proof: Let $\hat{L}_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, be the lines in $Z^{(8)}$ and in $Z^{(7)}$ intersecting in a point 4. Let $L_i = \pi^{(8)}(\hat{L}_i)$. Then $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, intersect in V_4 . $S^{(8)}$ (and $S^{(7)}$) around V_4 is $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 L_i$. After

the second regeneration, $S_4^{(6)}$ in a neighbourhood of V_4 is as follows: the lines L_2 and L_6 are replaced by two conics Q_2 and Q_6 , where Q_2 is tangent to the lines L_1 and L_4 , Q_6 is tangent to L_3 and L_5 . This follows from the fact that $P_1 \cup P_5$ (resp. $P_{10} \cup P_{12}$) is regenerated to R_2 (resp. R_5), and the tangency follows from Lemma 9. Thus, in a neighbourhood of V_4 , $S_4^{(6)}$ is of the form: $S_4^{(6)} = L_1 \cup Q_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4 \cup L_5 \cup Q_6$.

$S_4^{(6)}$ is shown in Figure 19.




FIGURE 19.

We devide the proof into parts:

Proposition 9.1.1. *Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_1 = L_1 \cup Q_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4$, where*

L_i are lines, $i = 1, 3, 4$, Q_2 is a conic tangent to L_1 and L_4 , it intersects L_3 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 20).

Then the braid monodromy of C_1 is given by:

$\varphi_{C_1} = Z_{2'3}^2 \cdot Z_{12}^4 \cdot \bar{Z}_{2'4}^4 \cdot (Z_{2'3}^2)^{Z_{34}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 4 >)^{Z_{12}^2}$, where $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2}$ is determined by $\begin{matrix} \circled{1} & \circled{2} & \circled{2'} & \circled{3} & \circled{4} \end{matrix}$ and the L.V.C. corresponding to $\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 4 >$ is given by $\begin{matrix} \overset{1}{\bullet} & \overset{2}{\bullet} & \overset{2'}{\bullet} & \overset{3}{\bullet} & \overset{4}{\bullet} \end{matrix}$.




FIGURE 20.

Proof:

Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_1, A_4 are intersection points of Q_2 with the line L_3 .

A_2, A_3 are tangent points of Q_2 with the lines L_1, L_4 respectively.

A_6 is an intersection point of the lines L_1, L_3, L_4 .

A_5 is a point of the type a_1 in Q_2 .

Let $N, M, \{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ as in Proposition 8.1.1. Let $i = L_i \cap K$ for $i = 1, 3, 4$. Let $\{2, 2'\} = Q_2 \cap K$. $K = \{1, 2, 2', 3, 4\}$, such that $1, 2, 2', 3, 4$ are real points. Moreover: $1 < 2 < 2' < 3 < 4$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by: $\beta_M(i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2$, $\beta_M(2') = 3$, $\beta_M(3) = 4$, $\beta_M(4) = 5$. Moreover: $\deg C_1 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \geq 3 \quad \forall x$.

We are looking for $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. We choose a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line.

Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 2 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ |

For computations, we use the formulas in [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

$\beta_{x'_6}(K(x'_6)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4 + i, 4 - i\}$.

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{2'3}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{2'3}^2$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{12}$$

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{12}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \bar{z}_{2'4}$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = \bar{Z}_{2'4}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{2'3}^{Z_{34}^{-2}}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (Z_{2'3}^2)^{Z_{34}^{-2}}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{22'}^2 z_{2'4}^2 z_{2'3}^2$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{4}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \\ \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \beta_M^{-1} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \\ \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (Z_{22'})^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (\xi_{x'_6})\Psi_{\gamma'_6} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \\ (\Delta \langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 1, 3 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{4+i}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{4-i}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 2 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \\ \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \\ \beta_M^{-1} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2} \end{array}$$

Remark 9.1.2. In a similar way as in Remark 8.1.2, the changes are:

(a) $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$ is replaced by $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$ with the corresponding L.V.C. which appears as follows: $\cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{2}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \overset{6}{\text{---}} \cdot$.

(b) $Z_{2'3}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,5,6}$ as follows: $\cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2'}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \overset{6}{\text{---}} \cdot$.

(c) $(Z_{2'3}^2)^{Z_{34}^{-2}}$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,5,6}^{Z_{i4}^{-2}}$ as follows: $\cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{2'}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{4}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \overset{6}{\text{---}} \cdot$.

Proposition 9.1.3. Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_2 = L_1 \cup L_3 \cup L_5 \cup Q_6$, where L_i are lines, $i = 1, 3, 5$, Q_6 is a conic tangent to L_3 and L_5 , it intersects L_1 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 21).

Then the braid monodromy of C_2 is given by:

$$\varphi_{C_2} = (Z_{66'})^{Z_{36}^{-2} Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot Z_{16'}^2 \cdot (Z_{36}^4)^{Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{16})^{Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{56}^{-2}} \cdot Z_{56}^4, \text{ where } (Z_{66'})^{Z_{36}^{-2} Z_{56}^{-2}} \text{ is determined by } \cdot \quad \overset{3}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{6}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{6'}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{1}{\text{---}} \overset{3}{\text{---}} \overset{5}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{6}{\text{---}} \quad \cdot \quad \overset{6'}{\text{---}} \cdot$$

Proof: Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_2, A_4 are intersection points of Q_6 with the line L_1 .

A_3, A_6 are tangent points of Q_6 with the lines L_3, L_5 respectively.

A_5 is an intersection point of the lines L_1, L_3, L_5 .

A_1 is a point of the type a_2 in Q_6 .




FIGURE 21.

Let $K, N, M, \{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ be as in Proposition 8.1.3. Let $i = L_i \cap K$, $i = 1, 3, 5$, $\{6, 6'\} = Q_6 \cap K$. $K = \{1, 3, 5, 6, 6'\}$, such that $1, 3, 5$ are real points and $6, 6'$ are complex ones and $1 < 3 < 5 < \text{Re}(6)$, $\text{Re}(6) = \text{Re}(6')$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by $\beta_M(1) = 1$, $\beta_M(3) = 2$, $\beta_M(5) = 3$, $\beta_M(6) = 4 + i$, $\beta_M(6') = 4 - i$. $\deg C_2 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \geq 3 \forall x$.



Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | P_2 | 1 | $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}I_2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 2 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 3, 5 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 5 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $2 \leq j \leq 6$.

$\beta_{x'_1}(K(x'_1)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4 + i, 4 - i\}$.

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = P_2\beta_M^{-1} = z_{66'}^{-2}z_{36}^{-2}$$

- (b) $Z_{16'}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{i6'}^2)_{i=1,4}$ with the following L.V.C.: 
- (c) $(Z_{16}^2)^{Z_{56}^{-2}}$ is replaced by $(Z_{i6}^2)_{i=1,4}^{Z_{56}^{-2}}$ with the following L.V.C.: 









Proof of Theorem 9.1:


Until now we computed the braid monodromy of all singularities. Each one of the intersection points of $L_6 \cap Q_2$ is replaced by 2 intersection points ($\subseteq Q_2 \cap Q_6$) which are close to each other. So $\pi^{-1}(M) \cap S_4^{(6)} = \{1, 2, 2', 3, 4, 5, 6, 6'\}$.

The changes are:

- (I) Similar to (I) in the proof of Theorem 8.1.
- (II) $Z_{2'6}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=6,6'}$. These braids correspond to the paths $(z_{2'i})_{i=6,6'}$. $(Z_{2'6}^2)^{Z_{46}^{-2}}$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=6,6'}^{Z_{4i}^{-2}}$. These braids correspond to the paths $(z_{2'i})_{i=6,6'}^{Z_{4i}^{-2}}$.
- (III) In a similar proof as in Theorem 8.1, we have to conjugate all braids from Proposition 9.1.3 and Remark 9.1.4 by Z_{12}^2 .

According to these changes, we present the list of braids:

-  corresponding to $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,5,6,6'}$
-  corresponding to Z_{12}^4
-  corresponding to $\bar{Z}_{2'4}^4$
-  corresponding to $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,5,6,6'}^{Z_{i4}^{-2}}$
-  corresponding to $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'4}^2 Z_{2'3}^2}$
-  corresponding to $(Z_{66'})^{Z_{36}^{-2} Z_{56}^{-2}}$
-  corresponding to $(Z_{i6'}^2)_{i=1,4}^{Z_{12}^2}$
-  corresponding to $(Z_{36}^4)^{Z_{56}^{-2}}$



□

Now we compute $\varphi_4^{(5)}$. Recall that $\varphi_4^{(5)} = \varphi_4^{(4)} = \varphi_4^{(3)} = \varphi_4^{(2)}$, so in fact we computed $\varphi_4^{(2)}$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $\omega = L_1 \cup L_3 \cup L_4 \cup L_5$ in $S_4^{(6)}$.*

(a) *In a small neighbourhood U_2 of ω , $T^{(2)} = S_4^{(2)} \cap U_2$ resembles Figure 23, i.e., the singularities of $T^{(2)}$ are 4 tangency points, 4 nodes and 2 branch points.*

(b) *The local braid monodromy of $S_4^{(2)}$ in that neighbourhood is presented by $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$, where:*

$$F_1 = Z_{1'3}^4 \cdot Z_{45}^4 \cdot (Z_{34})^{Z_{45}^2 Z_{1'3}^2} \cdot (Z_{1'5}^2)^{Z_{1'3}^2} \cdot Z_{15}^2 \cdot$$

$$\rho = Z_{11'} Z_{55'} \text{ and } (z_{34})^{Z_{45}^2 Z_{1'3}^2} = ! \text{ (diagram with strands 1', 3, 4, 5) } \cdot 5' \cdot$$

Proof:

(a) Let $\hat{\omega} = R_1 \cap R_2 \cap R_5 \cap R_7$ in $Z^{(6)}$.

For local analysis we can use holomorphic coordinates in a neighbourhood \hat{U} of $\hat{\omega}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This allows us to consider \hat{U} as a subvariety of a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^4 with coordinates X, Y, Z, T defined by the following system of equations $\hat{U} : \begin{cases} XT = 0 \\ YZ = 0 \end{cases}$.

By abuse of notation, \hat{L}_1 is called the x -axis (see Figure 22), and then: $R_1 = \{T = 0, Y = 0\}$, $R_2 = \{Z = 0, T = 0\}$, $R_5 = \{X = 0, Y = 0\}$, $R_7 = \{Z = 0, X = 0\}$.




FIGURE 22.

Now, we consider Lemma 6 in [MoTe8] to obtain Figure 23.




FIGURE 23.

(b) $S_4^{(2)} = L_1 \cup L_{1'} \cup h_{34} \cup L_5 \cup L_{5'}$, see Figure 23. Here $K = \{1, 1', 3, 4, 5, 5'\}$. We apply the proof of Proposition 2.5 in [MoTe5] (where $K = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$) to obtain the above $F_1(F_1)\rho^{-1}$ for $\rho^{-1} = Z_{55'}^{-1}Z_{11'}^{-1}$.

The paths corresponding to the factors in F_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \overset{1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{1'} \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \text{corresponding to } Z_{1'3}^4 ; \quad \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \text{corresponding to } Z_{45}^4 \\
 & \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \text{corresponding to } (Z_{34})^{Z_{45}^2 Z_{1'3}^2} ; \quad \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \text{corresponding to } (\underline{Z}_{1'5})^{Z_{1'3}^2} \\
 & \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \text{corresponding to } \underline{Z}_{15}^2 . \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9.3. *The local braid monodromy for $S_4^{(2)}$ around V_4 is obtained from formula $\varphi_4^{(6)}$ in Theorem 9.1 by the following replacements:*

(i) Consider the following $()^*$ as conjugations by the braids, induced from the following motions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ()^{Z_{4,55'}^{-2}} & \quad \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6'}{\bullet} ; \\
 ()^{Z_{11',2}^2} & \quad ; \quad \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6'}{\bullet} ; \\
 ()^{Z_{55',6}^{-2}} & \quad \overset{1}{\bullet} \quad \overset{1'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2}{\bullet} \quad \overset{2'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{3}{\bullet} \quad \overset{4}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5}{\bullet} \quad \overset{5'}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6}{\bullet} \quad \overset{6'}{\bullet} .
 \end{aligned}$$

All the other conjugations do not change, since indices 1, 5 are not involved.

(ii) $\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 4, 5 >$ is replaced by $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

(iii) Each of the degree 4 factors in $\varphi_4^{(6)}$ that involves index 1 or 5 is replaced by 3 cubes as in the third regeneration rule.

(iv) Each of the degree 2 factors in $\varphi_4^{(6)}$ that involves index 1 or 5 is replaced by 2 degree 2 factors, where : 1 and 1' are replacing 1; 5 and 5' are replacing 5.

We call the formula that was obtained: $(2)_4$.

Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Proposition 8.3, but here changes are applied on indices 1 and 5. \square

Now we compute $\varphi_4^{(1)}$. Recall that $\varphi_4^{(1)} = \varphi_4^{(0)}$, so in fact we compute $\varphi_4^{(0)}$.

Theorem 9.4. In the notation of Theorem 9.2: let $T^{(0)}$ be the curve obtained from $T^{(2)}$ in the regeneration $Z^{(2)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(0)}$.

(i) Then the local braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$, where:

$$\hat{F}_1 = \underline{Z}_{1',33'}^3 \cdot \underline{Z}_{44',5}^3 \cdot Z_{34'} \cdot Z_{3'4} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{1'5}^2)^{\underline{Z}_{1',33'}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{15}^2,$$

$$\hat{F}_2 = (\underline{Z}_{1',33'}^3)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{44',5}^3)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{34'}^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{3'4}^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot \left((\underline{Z}_{1'5}^2)^{\underline{Z}_{1',33'}^2} \right)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{15}^2)^{\rho^{-1}}.$$

(ii) The singularities of the x -projection of $T^{(0)}$ are those arising from $T^{(2)}$ by the regeneration rules, namely: 4 nodes that exist in $T^{(2)}$, 3×4 cusps arising from 4 tangency points in $T^{(2)}$, 2×2 branch points from 2 branch points of $T^{(2)}$.

(iii) The braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$.


$$(iv) \hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2) = \Delta_8^{-2} Z_{11'}^{-2} Z_{33'}^{-2} Z_{44'}^{-2} Z_{55'}^{-2}.$$

Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Theorem 8.4 but replace $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$ with $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$. \square


The local braid monodromy of $S_4^{(0)}$ in U_2 is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$. Applying regeneration rules on $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$, we obtain $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.


Corollary 9.5. The paths corresponding to $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ (without their conjugation).


(a) The paths corresponding to the factors in \hat{F}_1 :





(b) The paths corresponding to the factors in $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ for $\rho^{-1} = Z_{55'}^{-1}Z_{11'}^{-1}$:


 corresponding to $(Z_{1',33'}^3)^{\rho^{-1}}$

 corresponding to $(Z_{44',5}^3)^{\rho^{-1}}$

 corresponding to $Z_{34'}^{\rho^{-1}}$


 corresponding to $Z_{3'4}^{\rho^{-1}}$


 corresponding to $((Z_{1'5}^2)^{Z_{1',33'}^2})^{\rho^{-1}}$


 corresponding to $(Z_{15}^2)^{\rho^{-1}}$


Theorem 9.6. *The local braid monodromy of $S_4^{(0)}$ around V_4 , denoted by H_{V_4} , equals:*


$H_{V_4} = (Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=33',55',6,6'} \cdot Z_{11',2}^3 \cdot \bar{Z}_{2',44'}^3 \cdot (Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=33',55',6,6'}^{Z_{i,44'}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{22'})^{Z_{11',2}^2 Z_{2',44'}^2 Z_{2',33'}^2} \cdot (Z_{66'})^{Z_{33',6}^{-2} Z_{55',6}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{i6'}^2)_{i=11',44'}^{Z_{11',2}^2} \cdot (Z_{33',6}^3)^{Z_{55',6}^{-2}} \cdot (Z_{i6}^2)_{i=11',44'}^{Z_{55',6}^{-2} Z_{11',2}^2} \cdot Z_{55',6}^3 \cdot (\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}})^{Z_{11',2}^2 Z_{55',6}^{-2}}$, where the paths corresponding to these braids are (the paths corresponding to $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ are above):


(1)  corresponding to $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=33',55',6,6'}$


(2)  corresponding to $Z_{11',2}^3$


(3)  corresponding to $\bar{Z}_{2',44'}^3$


(4)  corresponding to $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=33',55',6,6'}^{Z_{i,44'}^{-2}}$

(5)  corresponding to $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{11',2}^2 Z_{2',44'}^2 Z_{2',33'}^2}$

(6)  corresponding to $(Z_{66'})^{Z_{33',6}^{-2} Z_{55',6}^{-2}}$

(7)  corresponding to $(Z_{i6'}^2)_{i=11',44'}^{Z_{11',2}^2}$

(8)  corresponding to $(Z_{33',6}^3)^{Z_{55',6}^{-2}}$

(9)  corresponding to $(Z_{i6}^2)_{i=11',44'}^{Z_{55',6}^{-2} Z_{11',2}^2}$

(10) $\begin{matrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\ & & & & & & \bullet & & & \end{matrix}$ corresponding to $Z_{55',6}^3$

Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Theorem 8.6 but changes are applied on $(2)_4$, to obtain a formula for a local braid monodromy of $S_4^{(0)}$. Moreover, all changes were applied on indices 3,4 to obtain H_{V_4} . \square

Invariance Property 9.7. H_{V_4} is invariant under $(Z_{22'}Z_{66'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^q(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$

$\forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: Case 1: $p = q = r$.

A similar proof as Case 1 in Invariance Property 8.7.

Case 2: $p = 0$.

Denote: $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^q(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$. We want to prove that H_{V_4} is invariant under ϵ .

Step 1: Product of the factors outside of $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

$(Z_{22'})^{Z_{11',2}^2 Z_{2',44'}^2 Z_{2',33'}^2}$ and $(Z_{66'})^{Z_{33',6}^{-2} Z_{55',6}^{-2}}$ commute with ϵ .

The degree 3 factors are invariant under ϵ by Invariance Rule III. All conjugations are invariant under ϵ too. The degree 2 factors are of the forms $Z_{\alpha,\beta\beta'}^2$ where $\alpha = 2'$, $Z_{\alpha\alpha',\beta}^2$ where $\beta = 6, 6'$. So by Invariance Rule II, they are invariant under ϵ .

Step 2: $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

A similar proof as step 2 in Invariance Property 8.7, but for:

Case 2.1: $q = 0$; $\epsilon = (Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$.

Case 2.2: $r = 0$, $q = 1$; $\epsilon = Z_{11'}Z_{55'}$.

Case 2.3: $q = 2q'$; $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^{2q'}(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$.

Case 2.4: $q = 2q' + 1$; $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^{2q'+1}(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$.

Case 3: p, q, r arbitrary ; $\epsilon = (Z_{22'}Z_{66'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^q(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^r$.

By Case 1: H_{V_4} is invariant under $(Z_{22'}Z_{66'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^p(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^p$. By Case 2: H_{V_4} is invariant under $(Z_{11'}Z_{55'})^{q-p}(Z_{33'}Z_{44'})^{r-p}$. By Invariance Remark (v), H_{V_4} is invariant under ϵ . \square

Theorem 9.8. Consider H_{V_4} from Theorem 9.6. The paths corresponding to the factors in H_{V_4} , are shown below considering the Invariance Property 9.7. Moreover, below to (3),(4),(9) their complex conjugates appear too.

Remark: By abuse of notation, the simple braids are denoted by \underline{z}_{mk} and the more complicated paths are denoted by \tilde{z}_{mk} .

Proof: By Invariance Property 9.7, H_{V_4} is invariant under $\epsilon = (\rho_2\rho_6)^p(\rho_1\rho_5)^q(\rho_3\rho_4)^r$

$\forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are two possible applications for presenting the braids: (a) if m, k are

two indices in two different parts of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^j \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-j}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$. (b) If m, k are in the same part of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^i \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-i}$, i.e.: when conjugating z_{mk} by ρ_m^i for some i , we must conjugate z_{mk} also by ρ_k^i for the same i .

We apply Complex Conjugation on (3), (4), (9). Their complex conjugates appear below them.

| | The paths corresponding to the braids and their complex conjugates | The braids | The exponent (according to singularity type) |
|------|--|--|--|
| (1) | | $\rho_m^j \rho_2^i z_{2'm} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_m^{-j}$ $m = 3, 5$ $\rho_6^i \rho_2^i z_{2'6} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_6^{-i}$ | 2 |
| (2) | | $\rho_2^j \rho_1^i z_{12} \rho_1^{-i} \rho_2^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (3) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_2^i z_{2'4} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (4) | | $\rho_m^j \rho_2^i z_{2'm} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_m^{-j}$ $m = 3, 5$ $\rho_6^i \rho_2^i z_{2'6} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_6^{-i}$ | 2 |
| (5) | | $\tilde{z}_{22'}$ | 1 |
| (6) | | $\tilde{z}_{66'}$ | 1 |
| (7) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_m^i z_{m6'} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ $m = 1, 4$ | 2 |
| (8) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_3^i z_{36} \rho_3^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (9) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_m^i z_{m6} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ $m = 1, 4$ | 2 |
| (10) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_5^i z_{56} \rho_5^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ | 3 |

Consider now $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}(\rho^{-1} = \rho_5^{-1}\rho_1^{-1})$ in H_{V_4} . In a similar proof of Theorem 8.8 we obtain the following table:

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | $\rho_3^j \rho_1^i \underline{z}_{1'3}^{-i} \rho_3^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | $\rho_5^j \rho_4^i \underline{z}_{45}^{-i} \rho_5^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | $\alpha_1(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $\alpha_2(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_1(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_2(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |
| | $\rho_5^i \rho_1^i \tilde{z}_{1'5}^{-i} \rho_5^{-i}$ | 2 |
| | $\rho_5^i \rho_1^i \underline{z}_{15}^{-i} \rho_5^{-i}$ | 2 |

□

10. THE COMPUTATION OF H_{V_7}

We follow Figure 6 when computing the local braid monodromy for V_7 , denoted by $\varphi_7^{(0)}$, but first we need to compute its factors which come from singularities in the neighbourhood of V_7 . We start with $\varphi_7^{(6)}$. Then we compute $S_7^{(5)}$ and $\varphi_7^{(5)}$ in the neighbourhood of V_7 . Notice that $\varphi_7^{(5)} = \varphi_7^{(4)} = \varphi_7^{(3)} = \varphi_7^{(2)} = \varphi_7^{(1)}$ since the fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh regenerations act similarly on the the neighbourhood of V_7 . Finally we compute $\varphi_7^{(0)}$. Hence we get a factorized expression for $\varphi_7^{(0)}$.

Theorem 10.1. *In a neighbourhood of V_7 , the local braid monodromy of $S_7^{(6)}$ around V_7 is given by:*

$\varphi_7^{(6)} = (\underline{Z}_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,4,4',6} \cdot Z_{12}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{2'5})^{Z_{2'3}^2} \cdot (\underline{Z}_{2i}^2)_{i=3,4,4',6}^{Z_{12}^2} \cdot (Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 \bar{Z}_{2'5}^2 Z_{44',5}^2} \cdot Z_{34}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i4'}^2)_{i=1,5}^{Z_{12}^2} \cdot \bar{Z}_{4'6}^4 \cdot (\underline{Z}_{i4}^2)_{i=1,5}^{Z_{34}^2 Z_{12}^2} \cdot (Z_{44'})^{\bar{Z}_{4'6}^2 Z_{34}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5, 6 >) \underline{z}_{45}^{-2} \underline{z}_{46}^{-2} Z_{12}^2$, where $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 \bar{Z}_{2'5}^2 Z_{44',5}^2}$ is determined by , $(Z_{44'})^{\bar{Z}_{4'6}^2 Z_{34}^2}$ is determined by and the L.V.C. corresponding to $\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5, 6 >$ is given by .

Proof: Let $\hat{L}_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, be the lines in $Z^{(8)}$ and in $Z^{(7)}$ intersecting in a point 7. Let $L_i = \pi^{(8)}(\hat{L}_i)$. Then $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, intersect in V_7 . $S^{(8)}$ (and $S^{(7)}$) around V_7 is $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 L_i$. After the second regeneration, $S_7^{(6)}$ in a neighbourhood of V_7 is as follows: the lines L_2 and L_4 are replaced by two conics Q_2 and Q_4 , where Q_2 is tangent to the lines L_1 and L_5 and Q_4 is

tangent to L_3 and L_6 . This follows from the fact that $P_7 \cup P_{11}$ (resp. $P_8 \cup P_{16}$) is regenerated to R_4 (resp. R_7), and the tangency follows from Lemma 9. Thus, in a neighbourhood of V_7 , $S_7^{(6)}$ is of the form: $S_7^{(6)} = L_1 \cup Q_2 \cup L_3 \cup Q_4 \cup L_5 \cup L_6$.

$S_7^{(6)}$ is shown in Figure 24.






FIGURE 24.

We divide the proof into parts:

Proposition 10.1.1. *Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_1 = L_1 \cup Q_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_5$, where L_i are lines, $i = 1, 3, 5$, Q_2 is a conic tangent to L_1 and L_5 , it intersects L_3 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 25).*

Then the braid monodromy of C_1 is given by:

$\varphi_{C_1} = Z_{2'3}^2 \cdot Z_{12}^4 \cdot (Z_{2'5}^4)^{Z_{2'3}^2} \cdot (Z_{23}^2)^{Z_{12}^2} \cdot (Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'5}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5 >)^{Z_{12}^2}$, where $(Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'5}^2}$ is determined by 



Proof: Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_1, A_4 are intersection points of Q_2 with the line L_3 .

A_2, A_3 are tangent points of Q_2 with the lines L_1, L_5 respectively.




FIGURE 25.

A_6 is an intersection point of the lines L_1, L_3, L_5 .

A_5 is a point of the type a_1 in Q_2 .

Let $N, M, \{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ as in Proposition 8.1.1. Let $i = L_i \cap K$ for $i = 1, 3, 5$. Let $\{2, 2'\} = Q_2 \cap K$. $K = \{1, 2, 2', 3, 5\}$, such that $1, 2, 2', 3, 5$ are real points. Moreover: $1 < 2 < 2' < 3 < 5$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by: $\beta_M(i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2, 5$, $\beta_M(2') = 3$, $\beta_M(3) = 4$. Moreover: $\deg C_1 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \geq 3 \quad \forall x$.

We are looking for $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. We choose a g -base $\{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ of $\pi_1(E - N, u)$, such that each path γ_j is below the real line.

Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 3 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ |

For computations, we use the formulas in [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

$$\beta_{x'_6}(K(x'_6)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4 + i, 4 - i\}.$$

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{2'3}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{2'3}^2$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{12}$$

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{12}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \frac{Z_{2'3}^2}{Z_{2'5}^2}$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = (Z_{2'5}^4)^{Z_{2'3}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \frac{Z_{12}^2}{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (Z_{23}^2)^{Z_{12}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \frac{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'5}^2}{Z_{22'}^2}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (Z_{22'})^{Z_{12}^2 Z_{2'5}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_6})\Psi_{\gamma'_6} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta^{\frac{1}{2} I_{2\mathbb{R}}} \langle 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} =$$

$$(\Delta \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$$

$$\langle 1, 3 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^{\frac{1}{2} I_{2\mathbb{R}}} \langle 3 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = (\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$$

Remark 10.1.2. In a similar way as in Remark 8.1.2, the changes are:

(a) $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$ is replaced by $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \rangle)^{Z_{12}^2}$ with the corresponding L.V.C.

which appears as follows: $\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array}$.

(b) $Z_{2'3}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,4,6}$ as follows:

(c) $(Z_{23}^2)^{Z_{12}^2}$ is replaced by $(Z_{2i}^2)_{i=3,4,6}^{Z_{12}^2}$ as follows:

Proposition 10.1.3. Consider in \mathbb{C}^2 the following figure: $C_2 = L_3 \cup Q_4 \cup L_5 \cup L_6$, where L_i are lines, $i = 3, 5, 6$, Q_4 is a conic tangent to L_3 and L_6 , it intersects L_5 and the 3 lines meet at one point (see Figure 26).

Then the braid monodromy of C_2 is given by:

$\varphi_{C_2} = Z_{34}^4 \cdot Z_{4'5}^2 \cdot \bar{Z}_{4'6}^4 \cdot (Z_{45}^2)^{Z_{34}^2} \cdot (Z_{44'})^{\bar{Z}_{4'6}^2 Z_{34}^2} \cdot (\Delta^2 < 3, 5, 6 >)^{Z_{45}^{-2} Z_{46}^{-2}}$, where $(Z_{44'})^{\bar{Z}_{4'6}^2 Z_{34}^2}$ is determined by





FIGURE 26.

Proof: Let $\pi_1 : E \times D \rightarrow E$ be the projection to E .

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^6$ be singular points of π_1 as follows:

A_1, A_3 are tangent points of Q_4 with the lines L_3, L_6 respectively.

A_2, A_4 are intersection points of Q_4 with the line L_5 .

A_6 is an intersection point of the lines L_3, L_5, L_6 .

A_5 is a point of the type a_1 in Q_4 .

Let $K, N, M, \{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^6$ be as in Proposition 8.1.3.

Let $i = L_i \cap K$ for $i = 3, 5, 6$, $\{4, 4'\} = Q_4 \cap K$. $K = \{3, 4, 4', 5, 6\}$, such that $3, 4, 4', 5, 6$ are real points and $3 < 4 < 4' < 5 < 6$. Let β_M be the diffeomorphism defined by $\beta_M(3) = 1$, $\beta_M(4) = 2$, $\beta_M(4') = 3$, $\beta_M(5) = 4$, $\beta_M(6) = 5$. $\deg C_2 = 5$ and $\#K_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \geq 3 \forall x$.

Put all data in the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 3 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ |

Remark: $\beta_{x'_j}(K(x'_j)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

$$\beta_{x'_6}(K(x'_6)) = \{1, 2, 3, 4 + i, 4 - i\}.$$

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{34}$$

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{4'}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{34}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{4'5}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{4'}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{5} \overset{6}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{4'5}^2$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \bar{z}_{4'6}$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{5} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{4'}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = \bar{Z}_{4'6}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{45}^{Z_{34}^2}$$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{3} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \overset{2}{\cdot} \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{5}{\cdot}$$

$$\Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \overset{1}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{2} \overset{3}{\cdot} \overset{4}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \beta_M^{-1} \overset{3}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{4} \overset{4'}{\cdot} \overset{5}{\cdot} \overset{6}{\cdot} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (\underline{Z}_{45}^2)^{Z_{34}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \bar{z}_{4'6}^2 z_{4'5}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \quad \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \quad \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \\
 & \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \quad \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \quad \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot \\
 & \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (Z_{44'})^2 Z_{4'6}^2 Z_{34}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\xi_{x'_6}) \Psi_{\gamma'_6} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle \Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = (\Delta \langle 3, 5, 6 \rangle) Z_{45}^{-2} Z_{46}^{-2} \\
 & \langle 1, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \quad \Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \quad \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \\
 & \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \quad \Delta^2 \langle 1, 2 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \\
 & \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot \quad \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = (\Delta^2 \langle 3, 5, 6 \rangle) Z_{45}^{-2} Z_{46}^{-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 10.1.4. Similarly to Remark 10.1.2, the changes are:

(a) $(\Delta^2 \langle 3, 5, 6 \rangle) Z_{45}^{-2} Z_{46}^{-2}$ is replaced by $(\Delta^2 \langle 1, 3, 5, 6 \rangle) Z_{45}^{-2} Z_{46}^{-2}$ with the corresponding L.V.C.: $\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot$.

(b) $Z_{4'5}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{4'i}^2)_{i=1,5}$ with the following L.V.C.: $\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot$.

(c) $(Z_{45}^2) Z_{34}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{4i}^2)_{i=1,5}^2 Z_{34}^2$ with the following L.V.C.: $\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot$.

Proof of Theorem 10.1:

Until now we computed the braid monodromy of all singularities. Each one of the intersection points of $L_4 \cap Q_2$ is replaced by 2 intersection points ($\subseteq Q_2 \cap Q_4$) which are close to each other. So $\pi^{-1}(M) \cap S_7^{(6)} = \{1, 2, 2', 3, 4, 4', 5, 6\}$.

The changes are:

(I) Similar to (I) in the proof of Theorem 8.1.

(II) $Z_{2'4}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=4,4'}$. These braids correspond to the paths $(z_{2'i})_{i=4,4'}$. $(Z_{24}^2) Z_{12}^2$ is replaced by $(Z_{2i}^2)_{i=4,4'}^2 Z_{12}^2$. These braids correspond to the paths $(z_{2i})_{i=4,4'}$.

(III) In a similar proof as in Theorem 8.1, we have to conjugate all braids from Proposition 10.1.3 and Remark 10.1.4 by Z_{12}^2 .

According to the above changes, we present here the list of braids:

$$\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{2'} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot \quad \text{corresponding to } (Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=3,4,4',6}$$

$$\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{2'} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot \quad \text{corresponding to } Z_{12}^4$$

$$\cdot \xrightarrow{1} \cdot \xrightarrow{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{2'} \cdot \xrightarrow{3} \cdot \xrightarrow{4} \cdot \xrightarrow{4'} \cdot \xrightarrow{5} \cdot \xrightarrow{6} \cdot \quad \text{corresponding to } (Z_{2'i}^4)_{i=2,3}^2$$




FIGURE 27.




FIGURE 28.

Let M be a real point, $x \ll M \forall x \in N, K(M) = \{1, 1', 3, 3', 5, 6\}$.

(b) We use the proof of Theorem 8.2(b) here in a similar way. $S_7^{(1)} = L_1 \cup L_{1'} \cup L_3 \cup L_{3'} \cup h_{56}$, see Figure 28.

Let $N, M, E, D, \{\ell(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^{10}$ be as in Theorem 8.2(b) and let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{10}$ be the singular points as shown in Figure 28.

Let $-P, P_1, P, T, T_1, T_2$ be as in Figure 18 and let $x(L_1 \cap L_{1'}), x(L_3 \cap L_{3'})$, such that $M \ll x(L_1 \cap L_{1'}) < P_1 < x(L_3 \cap L_{3'}) < P, -P < x_{10}$. $K(M) = \{1, 1', 3, 3', 5, 6\}$.

Choose a diffeomorphism β_M which satisfies: $\beta_M(i) = i$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, 6, \beta_M(1') = 2, \beta_M(3') = 4$.

We have the following table for the first five points:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 4 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 5 | $\langle 5, 6 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 5 \rangle$ |

For computations we use the formulas from [Am2, Theorems 1.41, 1.44].

$$(\xi_{x'_1})\Psi_{\gamma'_1} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{1'3}$$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_1)) = Z_{1'3}^2$$

$$(\xi_{x'_2})\Psi_{\gamma'_2} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = z_{3'5}$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_2)) = Z_{3'5}^4$$

$$(\xi_{x'_3})\Psi_{\gamma'_3} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \bar{z}_{1'3'}^2 z_{3'5}^2$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_3)) = (\bar{Z}_{1'3'}^2)^{Z_{3'5}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_4})\Psi_{\gamma'_4} = \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \underline{z}_{1'5}^2 z_{3'5}^2$$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_4)) = (\underline{Z}_{1'5}^4)^{Z_{3'5}^2}$$

$$(\xi_{x'_5})\Psi_{\gamma'_5} = \langle 5, 6 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \beta_M^{-1} = \bar{z}_{1'5}^2 z_{3'5}^2$$

$$\langle 5, 6 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta^2 \langle 4, 5 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \Delta \langle 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \beta_M^{-1} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_5)) = (Z_{56})^{\bar{Z}_{1'5}^2 Z_{3'5}^2}$$

The sequence of the braids that we obtain is:

$Z_{1'3}^2, Z_{3'5}^4, (\bar{Z}_{1'3'})^{Z_{3'5}^2}, (\underline{Z}_{1'5}^4)^{Z_{3'5}^2}, (Z_{56})^{\bar{Z}_{1'5}^2 Z_{3'5}^2}$. So we obtain F_1 as a factorized expression.

Now we want to compute $\beta_M^\vee(\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_j)))$ for $6 \leq j \leq 10$. First, we have to compute $\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j)))$. We have the following table:

| j | λ_{x_j} | ϵ_{x_j} | δ_{x_j} |
|-----|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10 | $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ |
| 9 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 8 | $\langle 3, 4 \rangle$ | 2 | $\Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle$ |
| 7 | $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ | 4 | $\Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ |
| 6 | $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$ | 1 | $\Delta_{I_2\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 1 \rangle$ |

Now we are computing $\beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j)))$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$.

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_{10})\beta_{-P} = z_{45}$

$$\langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_{10}))) = Z_{45}^2$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_9)\beta_{-P} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{23}$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_9))) = Z_{23}^4$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_8)\beta_{-P} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{35}^2$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_8))) = (Z_{35}^2)^{Z_{23}^2}$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_7)\beta_{-P} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{25}^2$

$$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_7))) = (Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2}$$

L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_6)\beta_{-P} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle = z_{12}^2 z_{35}^2 z_{23}^2$

$$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 3, 4 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta^2 \langle 2, 3 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \Delta \langle 4, 5 \rangle \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \curvearrowright & & & & \end{array} \quad \beta_{-P}^\vee(\varphi_{-P}(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_6))) = (Z_{12})^2 Z_{25}^2 Z_{23}^2$$

Apply 180° rotation on L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_j)\beta_{-P}$ to get L.V.C. $(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$:

$$\text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_{10} T)\beta_P = \downarrow \xrightarrow{2} 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 = z_{23} ; \text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_9 T)\beta_P = \downarrow \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \xrightarrow{5} 6 = z_{45}$$

$$\text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_8 T)\beta_P = \downarrow \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 = z_{24}^{Z_{45}^2} ; \text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_7 T)\beta_P = \downarrow \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 = z_{25}^{Z_{23}^2}$$

$$\text{L.V.C. } (\tilde{\gamma}_6 T)\beta_P = \downarrow \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 = z_{56}^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{45}^2} .$$

Moreover $\beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_j T))) = \Delta < \text{L.V.C.}(\tilde{\gamma}_j T)\beta_P >^{\epsilon_{x_j}}$ for $j = 10, \dots, 6$. Thus:

$$\beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_{10} T))) = Z_{23}^2 ; \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_9 T))) = Z_{45}^4 ; \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_8 T))) = (\bar{Z}_{24}^2)^{Z_{45}^2} ; \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_7 T))) = (Z_{25}^4)^{Z_{23}^2} ; \beta_P^\vee(\varphi_P(\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_6 T))) = (Z_{56}^2)^{Z_{25}^2 Z_{45}^2} .$$

By Figure 28, L-pair $(x(L_1 \cap L_{1'})) = (1, 1')$ and L-pair $(x(L_3 \cap L_{3'})) = (3, 3')$. $\rho = \Delta(1, 1')\Delta(3, 3')$ and: $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_{10})) = (Z_{1'3}^2)^{\rho^{-1}}$; $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_9)) = (Z_{3'5}^4)^{\rho^{-1}}$; $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_8)) = ((\bar{Z}_{1'3'}^2)^{Z_{3'5}^2})^{\rho^{-1}}$; $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_7)) = ((Z_{1'5}^4)^{Z_{1'3}^2})^{\rho^{-1}}$; $\varphi_M(\ell(\gamma_6)) = ((Z_{56}^2)^{Z_{1'5}^2 Z_{3'5}^2})^{\rho^{-1}}$.

We obtain $(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

Therefore, the braid monodromy w.r.t. $E \times D$ is $\varphi_M = F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$. \square

Proposition 10.3. *The local braid monodromy for $S_7^{(1)}$ around V_7 is obtained from formula*

$\varphi_7^{(6)}$ in Theorem 10.1 by the following replacements:

(i) Consider the following $(\)^*$ as conjugations by the braids, induced from the following motions:

$$\left(\quad \right)^{Z_{2',33'}^2} \downarrow \quad 1' \quad 2' \quad 2' \quad 3 \quad 3' \quad 4 \quad 4' \quad 5 \quad 6 ; \left(\quad \right)^{Z_{11',2}^2} \downarrow \quad 1 \quad 1' \quad 2 \quad 2' \quad 3 \quad 3' \quad 4 \quad 4' \quad 5 \quad 6$$

$$\left(\quad \right)^{Z_{33',4}^2} \downarrow \quad 1' \quad 2' \quad 2' \quad 3 \quad 3' \quad 4 \quad 4' \quad 5 \quad 6$$

All the other conjugations do not change, since indices 1, 3 are not involved.

(ii) $\Delta^2 < 1, 3, 5, 6 >$ is replaced by $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

(iii) Each of the degree 4 factors in $\varphi_7^{(6)}$ that involves index 1 or 3 is replaced by 3 cubes as in the third regeneration rule.

(iv) Each of the degree 2 factors in $\varphi_7^{(6)}$ that involves index 1 or 3 is replaced by 2 degree 2 factors, where : 1 and 1' are replacing 1; 3 and 3' are replacing 3.

We call the formula that was obtained: $(1)_7$.

Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Proposition 8.3 but here changes are applied on indices 1 and 3. \square

Now we compute $\varphi_7^{(0)}$.

Theorem 10.4. *In the notation of Theorem 10.2: let $T^{(0)}$ be the curve obtained from $T^{(1)}$ in the regeneration $Z^{(1)} \rightsquigarrow Z^{(0)}$.*

(i) Then the local braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$, where:

$$\hat{F}_1 = Z_{1'3}^2 \cdot Z_{3',55'}^3 \cdot (Z_{1'3'}^2)^{Z_{3',55'}^2 Z_{1'3}^2} \cdot (Z_{1',55'}^3)^{Z_{1'3}^2} \cdot Z_{56'} \cdot Z_{5'6},$$

$$\hat{F}_2 = (Z_{1'3}^2)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot (Z_{3',55'}^3)^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot ((Z_{1'3'}^2)^{Z_{3',55'}^2 Z_{1'3}^2})^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot ((Z_{1',55'}^3)^{Z_{1'3}^2})^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{56'}^{\rho^{-1}} \cdot Z_{5'6}^{\rho^{-1}}.$$

m_1, m_2 arise from the first regeneration rule applied on $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $(\alpha^{(1)})^{\rho^{-1}}$ respectively. Recall that $\alpha = (Z_{56})^{Z_{1'5}^2 Z_{3'5}^2}$ and $\rho = Z_{11'} Z_{33'}$.

(ii) The singularities of the x -projection of $T^{(0)}$ are those arising from $T^{(1)}$ by the regeneration rules, namely: 4 nodes that exist in $T^{(1)}$, 3×4 cusps arising from 4 tangency points in $T^{(1)}$, 2×2 branch points for 2 branch points of $T^{(1)}$.

(iii) The braid monodromy of $T^{(0)}$ is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2)$.

(iv) $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_2) = \Delta_8^{-2} Z_{11'}^{-2} Z_{33'}^{-2} Z_{55'}^{-2} Z_{66'}^{-2}$.

Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Theorem 8.4 but replace $i = 1, 2, 3, 5$ with $i = 1, 3, 5, 6$. \square

The local braid monodromy of $S_7^{(0)}$ in U_1 is $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$. Applying regeneration rules on $F_1(F_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$, we obtain $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

Corollary 10.5. *The paths corresponding to $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ (without their conjugation).*

(a) The paths corresponding to the factors in \hat{F}_1 :

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $Z_{1'3}^2$

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $Z_{3',55'}^3$

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $(Z_{1'3'}^2)^{Z_{3',55'}^2 Z_{1'3}^2}$

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $(Z_{1',55'}^3)^{Z_{1'3}^2}$


1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $Z_{56'}$

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $Z_{5'6}$

(b) The paths corresponding to $(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ for $\rho^{-1} = Z_{33'}^{-1} Z_{11'}^{-1}$:


1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $(Z_{1'3}^2)^{\rho^{-1}}$

1 1' 2 2' 3 3' 4 4' 5 5' 6 6' corresponding to $(Z_{3',55'}^3)^{\rho^{-1}}$



Theorem 10.6. *The local braid monodromy of $S_7^{(0)}$ around V_7 , denoted by H_{V_7} , equals:*

$H_{V_7} = (Z_{2'i}^2)_{i=33',4,4',66'} \cdot Z_{11',2}^3 \cdot (Z_{2'55'}^3)_{Z_{2',33'}^2} \cdot (Z_{2i}^2)_{i=33',4,4',66'}^{Z_{11',2}^2} \cdot (Z_{22'}^2)_{Z_{11',2}^2 \bar{Z}_{2',55'}^2 Z_{44',55'}^2} \cdot Z_{33',4}^3 \cdot$
 $(Z_{i4'}^2)_{i=11',55'}^{Z_{11',2}^2} \cdot \bar{Z}_{4',66'}^3 \cdot (Z_{i4}^2)_{i=11',55'}^{Z_{33',4}^2 Z_{11',2}^2} \cdot (Z_{44'}^2)_{\bar{Z}_{4',66'}^2 Z_{33',4}^2} \cdot (\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}})_{Z_{4,55'}^{-2} Z_{4,66'}^{-2} Z_{11',2}^2}^{-2}$, where the
 paths corresponding to these braids are (the paths corresponding to $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$ are above):



Proof: A similar proof as the proof of Theorem 8.6 but changes are applied on (1)₇, to obtain a formula for a local braid monodromy of $S_7^{(0)}$. Moreover, all changes were applied on indices 5,6 to obtain H_{V_7} . \square

Invariance Property 10.7. H_{V_7} is invariant under $(Z_{22'}Z_{44'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^q(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r \quad \forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 1: $p = q = r$.

A similar proof as Case 1 in Invariance Property 8.7.

Case 2: $p = 0$.

Denote: $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^q(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r$. We want to prove that H_{V_7} is invariant under ϵ .

Step 1: Product of the factors outside of $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

$(Z_{22'})^{Z_{11',2}^2 \bar{Z}_{2',55'}^2 Z_{44',55'}^2}$ and $(Z_{44'})^{\bar{Z}_{4',66'}^2 Z_{33',4}^2}$ commute with ϵ .

The degree 3 factors are invariant under ϵ by Invariance Rule III. All conjugations are invariant under ϵ too. The degree 2 factors are of the forms $Z_{\alpha,\beta\beta'}^2$ where $\alpha = 2, 2', 4, 4'$ and $Z_{\alpha\alpha',\beta}^2$ where $\beta = 4, 4'$. So they are invariant under ϵ by Invariance Rule II.

Step 2: $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}$.

A similar proof as step 2 in Invariance Property 8.7, but for:

Case 2.1: $q = 0$; $\epsilon = (Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r$.

Case 2.2: $r = 0, q = 1$; $\epsilon = Z_{11'}Z_{33'}$.

Case 2.3: $q = 2q'$; $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^{2q'}(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r$.

Case 2.4: $q = 2q' + 1$; $\epsilon = (Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^{2q'+1}(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r$.

Case 3: p, q, r arbitrary ; $\epsilon = (Z_{22'}Z_{44'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^q(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^r$.

By Case 1: H_{V_7} is invariant under $(Z_{22'}Z_{44'})^p(Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^p(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^p$. By Case 2: H_{V_7} is invariant under $(Z_{11'}Z_{33'})^{q-p}(Z_{55'}Z_{66'})^{r-p}$. By Invariance Remark (v), H_{V_7} is invariant under ϵ . \square

Theorem 10.8. Consider H_{V_7} from Theorem 10.6. The paths corresponding to the factors in H_{V_7} , are shown below considering the Invariance Property 10.7. Moreover, below to some paths, their complex conjugates appear too.

Remark: By abuse of notation, the simple braids are denoted by z_{mk} and the more complicated paths are denoted by \tilde{z}_{mk} .

Proof: By the Invariance Property 10.7, H_{V_7} is invariant under $\epsilon = (\rho_2\rho_4)^p(\rho_1\rho_3)^q(\rho_5\rho_6)^r$

$\forall p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are two possible applications for presenting the braids: (a) if m, k are two indices in two different parts of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^j \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-j}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$. (b)

If m, k are in the same part of ϵ , then the braid is $\rho_k^i \rho_m^i z_{mk} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_k^{-i}$, i.e.: when conjugating z_{mk} by ρ_m^i for some i , we must conjugate z_{mk} also by ρ_k^i for the same i .

We apply Complex Conjugation (8),(10). Their complex conjugates appear below them.

| | The paths corresponding to the braids and their complex conjugates | The braids | The exponent (according to singularity type) |
|------|--|--|--|
| (1) | | $\rho_m^j \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{2'm} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_m^{-j}$ $m = 3, 6$ $\rho_4^i \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{2'4} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_4^{-i}$ | 2 |
| (2) | | $\rho_2^j \rho_1^i \tilde{z}_{12} \rho_1^{-i} \rho_2^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (3) | | $\rho_5^j \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{2'5} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_5^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (4) | | $\rho_m^j \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{2m} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_m^{-j}$ $m = 3, 6$ $\rho_4^i \rho_2^i \tilde{z}_{24} \rho_2^{-i} \rho_4^{-i}$ | 2 |
| (5) | | $\tilde{z}_{22'}$ | 1 |
| (6) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_3^i \tilde{z}_{34} \rho_3^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (7) | | $\rho_m^j \rho_4^i \tilde{z}_{4'm} \rho_4^{-i} \rho_m^{-j}$ $m = 1, 5$ | 2 |
| (8) | | $\rho_6^j \rho_4^i \tilde{z}_{4'6} \rho_4^{-i} \rho_6^{-j}$ | 3 |
| (9) | | $\rho_4^j \rho_m^i \tilde{z}_{m4} \rho_m^{-i} \rho_4^{-j}$ $m = 1, 5$ | 2 |
| (10) | | $\tilde{z}_{44'}$ | 1 |

Consider now $\hat{F}_1(\hat{F}_1)^{\rho^{-1}}(\rho^{-1} = \rho_3^{-1} \rho_1^{-1})$ in H_{V_7} . In a similar proof of Theorem 8.8 we obtain the following table:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | $\rho_3^i \rho_1^i \underline{z}_{1'3} \rho_1^{-i} \rho_3^{-i}$ | 2 |
| | $\rho_5^j \rho_3^j \underline{z}_{3'5} \rho_3^{-j} \rho_5^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | $\rho_3^i \rho_1^i \tilde{z}_{1'3'} \rho_1^{-i} \rho_3^{-i}$ | 2 |
| | | |
| | $\rho_5^j \rho_1^j \tilde{z}_{1'5} \rho_1^{-j} \rho_5^{-j}$ | 3 |
| | | |
| | $\alpha_1(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $\alpha_2(m_1)$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_1(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |
| | $(\alpha_2(m_1))^{\rho^{-1}}$ | 1 |

□

11. THE REGENERATED FACTORS C_i

We computed \tilde{C}_i for $i = 1, \dots, 9$ in Section 5. Recall that: $\tilde{C}_1 = \prod_{t=1,2,4,6,13,22} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_2 = \prod_{t=3,7,9,14,17} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_3 = \prod_{t=5,10,18,23} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_4 = \prod_{t=8,11,15,19} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_5 = \prod_{t=12,20,24} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_6 = \prod_{t=16,25} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_7 = \prod_{t=21,26} D_t$, $\tilde{C}_8 = D_{27}$, $\tilde{C}_9 = Id$.

D_t , $1 \leq t \leq 27$ were computed in Section 5. We use the Complex Conjugation to obtain the following results (denoted as above):

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= D_2 = D_3 = Id, \quad D_4 = Z_{33',44'}^2, \quad D_5 = \overset{(4)(4')}{Z_{11',55'}^2}, \quad D_6 = \underline{Z}_{33',66'}^2 \cdot Z_{55',66'}^2, \\
D_7 &= \prod_{i=2,4} \overset{(6)(6')}{Z_{ii',77'}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{55',77'}^2, \quad D_8 = \prod_{i=1}^3 \overset{(6)-(7')}{Z_{ii',88'}^2}, \quad D_9 = \prod_{i=2,4-6,8} \underline{Z}_{ii',99'}^2, \\
D_{10} &= \prod_{i=1,4,6,7} \overset{(9)(9')}{Z_{ii',10'10'}^2} \cdot \underline{Z}_{88',10'10'}^2, \quad D_{11} = \prod_{i=1-3,6,7} \overset{(9)-(10')}{Z_{ii',11'11'}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{12} &= \prod_{i=1}^5 \underline{Z}_{ii',12\ 12'}^{(9)-(11')} , \quad D_{13} = \prod_{\substack{i=3 \\ i \neq 4,6}}^{12} \underline{Z}_{ii',13\ 13'}^2 , \quad D_{14} = \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq 3,7,9}}^{11} \underline{Z}_{ii',14\ 14'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{12\ 12',14\ 14'}^2 , \\
D_{15} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4,5,8}}^{10} \underline{Z}_{ii',15\ 15'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{12\ 12',15\ 15'}^2 , \quad D_{16} = \prod_{i=1}^8 \underline{Z}_{ii',16\ 16'}^2 , \quad D_{17} = \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq 3,7,9,14}}^{16} \underline{Z}_{ii',17\ 17'}^2 , \\
D_{18} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2,3,5,10}}^{15} \underline{Z}_{ii',18\ 18'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{16\ 16',18\ 18'}^2 , \quad D_{19} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4,5,8,11}}^{14} \underline{Z}_{ii',19\ 19'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{16\ 16',19\ 19'}^2 , \\
D_{20} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 6-8,12}}^{15} \underline{Z}_{ii',20\ 20'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{16\ 16',20\ 20'}^2 , \quad D_{21} = \prod_{i=1}^{12} \underline{Z}_{ii',21\ 21'}^2 , \quad D_{22} = \prod_{\substack{i=3 \\ i \neq 4,6,13}}^{21} \underline{Z}_{ii',22\ 22'}^2 , \\
D_{23} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2,3,5,10,18}}^{20} \underline{Z}_{ii',23\ 23'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{21\ 21',23\ 23'}^2 , \quad D_{24} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 6-8,12}}^{19} \underline{Z}_{ii',24\ 24'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{21\ 21',24\ 24'}^2 , \\
D_{25} &= \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 9-12,16}}^{20} \underline{Z}_{ii',25\ 25'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{21\ 21',25\ 25'}^2 , \quad D_{26} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 13-16}}^{19} \underline{Z}_{ii',26\ 26'}^2 \cdot \underline{Z}_{20\ 20',26\ 26'}^2 , \\
D_{27} &= \prod_{i=1}^{16} \underline{Z}_{ii',27\ 27'}^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

During the regeneration, each \tilde{C}_i is regenerated to C_i , $1 \leq i \leq 9$. Each C_i is now a product of the certain regenerated D_t (as shown for \tilde{C}_i).

12. RESULTS

In this paper we computed the braids C_i . We also computed the local braid monodromies $\varphi_i^{(0)} = H_{V_i}$ for $i = 1, 4, 7$ in Sections 8, 9, 10. The other resulting braid monodromies are shown in [AmTe2].

We get the regenerated braid monodromy factorization $\Delta_{54}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^9 C_i H_{V_i}$.

In order to compute the fundamental group of the Galois cover of $T \times T$ w.r.t. a generic projection to \mathbb{CP}^2 , we need to compute first the fundamental group of the complement of S in \mathbb{C}^2 .

For that we have to apply the van Kampen Theorem on $\Delta_{54}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^9 C_i H_{V_i}$. This is done in [AmTe2].

13. NOTATIONS

$$(A)_B = B^{-1}AB = A^B.$$

X an algebraic surface, $X \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^n$.

X_0 a degenerated object of a surface X , $X_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^N$.

S an algebraic curve defined over \mathbb{R} , $S \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

E (resp. D) be a closed disk on the x -axis (resp. y -axis) with the center on the real part of the x -axis (resp. y -axis), such that $\{\text{singularities of } \pi_1\} \subseteq E * (D - \partial D)$.

$\pi : S \rightarrow E$.

$K(x) = \pi^{-1}(x)$.

$N = \{x \in E : \#K(x) < n\}$.

u real number such that $x \ll u \quad \forall x \in N$.

$\mathbb{C}_u = \pi^{-1}(u)$.

$\rho_j = Z_{jj'}$.

φ = the braid monodromy of an algebraic curve S in M .

$B_p[D, K]$ = the braid group.

$\Delta_p^2 = (H_1 \cdots H_{p-1})^p$.

$\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, M)$ = the fundamental group of a complement of a branch curve S .

$\tilde{\pi}_1 = \frac{\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, M)}{\langle \Gamma_j^2, \Gamma_{j'}^2 \rangle}$.

T = complex torus.

\underline{z}_{ij} = a path from q_i to q_j below the real line.

\bar{z}_{ij} = a path from q_i to q_j above the real line.

The corresponding halftwists are: $H(\underline{z}_{ij}) = \underline{Z}_{ij}$; $H(\bar{z}_{ij}) = \bar{Z}_{ij}$.

REFERENCES

- [A1] Artin, E., *On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Math. **48**, (1947), 101-102.
- [A2] Artin, E., *Theory of braids*, Ann. Math. **48**, (1947), 102-126.
- [Am1] Amram, M., *Braid group and braid monodromy*, M.Sc. Thesis, (1995).
- [Am2] Amram, M., *The Galois Covers of Algebraic Surfaces*, doctoral dissertation, Bar Ilan, Israel, (2001).
- [AmTe1] Amram, M., Teicher, M., *Braid monodromy of special curves*, J. Knot Theory and its Ramifications **10**, no. 2, (2001), 171-212.
- [AmTe2] Amram, M., Teicher, M., *The fundamental group of the complement of the branch curve of the surface $T \times T$ in \mathbb{C}^2* , to be published.
- [AmTeVi] Amram, M., Teicher, M., Vishne, U., *The fundamental group of the Galois cover of the surface $T \times T$* , to be published.
- [FrRoTe] Freitag, P., Robb, A., Teicher, M., *The fundamental group of Galois covers of Hirzebruch surfaces*, in preparation.
- [Mi1] Miyaoka, Y., *On the Chern numbers of surfaces of general type*, Invent. Math. **42**, (1977), 239-272.

- [Mi2] Miyaoka, Y., *Algebraic surfaces with positive indices*, Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds, Birkhäuser, (1982).
- [MKS] Magnus, W., Karrass, A., Solitar, D., *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., (1966), New York.
- [Mo] Moishezon, B., *Stable branch curves and braid monodromies*, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 862, (1981), 107-192.
- [MoRoTe] Moishezon, B., Robb, A., Teicher, M., *On Galois covers of Hirzebruch surfaces*, Math. Ann. **305**, (1996), 493-539.
- [MoTe1] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Existence of simply connected algebraic surfaces of positive and zero indices*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, United States of America, **83**, (1986), 6665-6666.
- [MoTe2] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Simply connected algebraic surfaces of positive index*, Invent. Math. **89**, (1987), 601-643.
- [MoTe3] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Galois coverings in the theory of algebraic surfaces*, Proc. of Symp in Pure Math. **46**, (1987), 47-65.
- [MoTe4] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid group technique in complex geometry I, Line arrangements in $\mathbb{C}P^2$* , Contemporary Math. **78**, (1988), 425-555.
- [MoTe5] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid group technique in complex geometry II, From arrangements of lines and conics to cuspidal curves*, Algebraic Geometry, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. **1479**, (1990).
- [MoTe6] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Finite fundamental groups, free over $\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z}$, Galois covers of $\mathbb{C}P^2$* , Math. Ann. **293**, (1992), 749-766.
- [MoTe7] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid group technique in complex geometry III: Projective degeneration of V_3* , Contemp. Math. **162**, (1993), 313-332.
- [MoTe8] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid group technique in complex geometry IV: Braid monodromy of the branch curve S_3 of $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ and application to $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 - S_3, *)$* , Contemporary Math. **162**, (1993), 332-358.
- [MoTe9] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid group technique in complex geometry V: The fundamental group of a complements of a branch curve of a Veronese generic projection*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **4(1)**, (1996), 1-120.
- [MoTe10] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Fundamental groups of complements of branch curves as solvable groups*, Israel Mathematics Conference Proceedings (AMS Publications), vol. 9, (1996), 329-346.
- [MoTe11] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Braid groups, singularities and algebraic surfaces*, Academic Press (to appear).
- [Ro1] Robb, A., *The topology of branch curves of complete intersections*, Doctoral version, (1993).
- [Ro2] Robb, A., *The Topology of Branch Curves of Complete Intersections*, Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, (1994).

- [RoTe] Robb, A., Teicher, M., *Applications of braid group techniques to the decomposition of moduli spaces, new examples*, Topology and its Applications, **78**, (1997), 143-151.
- [Sha1] Shafarevich, I.R., *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Volume 1, *Varieties and Projective Spaces*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Sha2] Shafarevich, I.R., *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Volume 2, *Schemes and Complex Manifolds*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Te1] Teicher, M., *On toroidal embeddings of 3-folds*, Israel J. of Math. **57**, (1987), no. 1, 49-67.
- [Te2] Teicher, M., *Chern classes of fibered products of surfaces*, Documenta Mathematica 3 (1998), 321-342, (1991).
- [Te3] Teicher, M., *Braid groups, algebraic surfaces and fundamental groups of complement of branch curves*, PSPM, 62 **1**, (1997), 127-149.
- [Te4] Teicher, M., *On the quotient of the braid group by commutators of transversal half-twists and its group actions*, Topology and its Applications **78**, (1997), 153-186.
- [Te5] Teicher, M., *On the Moishezon fundamental groups*, to appear in Collected Papers of B. Moishezon.
- [Te6] Teicher, M., *New invariants of surfaces*, Contemporary Math. 231 (1999), 271-281.
- [Te7] Teicher, M., *The fundamental group of a $\mathbb{C}P^2$ complement of a branch curve as an extension of a solvable group by a symmetric group*, Math. Ann. 314 (1999), 19-38.
- [Te8] Teicher, M., *Open questions on fundamental groups of complements of curves*. Proceedings of Kernforschungszenrum Julich, 1998.
- [Te9] Teicher, M., *Hirzebruch surfaces: degenerations, related braid monodromy, Galois covers*, Based on the talk at "Hirzebruch 70", Banach Institute Warsaw, (1999).
- [vK] van Kampen, E.R., *On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve*, Amer. J. Math. **55**, (1933), 255-260.
- [Z1] Zariski, O., *On the Poincare group of rational plane curve*, Amer. J. Math., **58**, (1936), 607-619.
- [Z2] Zariski, O., *The topological discriminant group of Riemann surface of genus p* , Amer. J. Math., (1937), 335-358.
- [Z3] Zariski, O., *Algebraic Surfaces*, Second Edition, Springer, (1971).