

# The space of clouds in an Euclidean space

Jean-Claude HAUSMANN and Eugenio RODRIGUEZ

July 12 2002

## Abstract

We study the space  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  of clouds in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (ordered sets of  $m$  points modulo the action of the group of affine isometries). We show that  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  is a smooth space, stratified over a certain hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We give an algorithm to list all the chambers and other strata (this is independent of  $d$ ). With the help of a computer, we obtain the list of all the chambers for  $m \leq 9$  and all the strata when  $m \leq 8$ . As the strata are the product of a polygon spaces with a disk, this gives a classification of  $m$ -gon spaces for  $m \leq 9$ . When  $d = 2, 3$ ,  $m = 5, 6, 7$  and modulo reordering, we show that the chambers (and so the different generic polygon spaces) are distinguished by the ring structure of their mod 2-cohomology.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  be an oriented finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  be the space of ordered sets of  $m$  points in  $E$ , modulo the group of rigid motions of  $E$ ; more precisely,

$$\mathcal{N}_E^m := G(E) \backslash E^m,$$

where the Lie group  $G(E)$  is the semi-direct product of the translation group of  $E$  by  $SO(E)$ , the group of linear orientation-preserving isometries of  $E$ , and the group  $G(E)$  acts diagonally on  $E^m$ . We shall occasionally consider the space  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m = \bar{G}(E) \backslash E^m$ , where  $\bar{G}$  is the group of all affine isometries of  $E^m$ . Observe that  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}_{E'}^m$  when  $E$  is a proper subspace of  $E'$ . An element of  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  will be called a *cloud* of  $m$  points in  $E$ . (The letter  $\mathcal{N}$  stands for “nuage”, meaning “cloud” in French.) We abbreviate  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}^d}^m$  to  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is canonically homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ , when  $d = \dim E$ .

The space  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  plays a natural role in celestial mechanics, at least for  $d = 2$  or 3 (see, for instance, [AC]). Moreover, its importance was recognized especially in statistical shape theory, a subject which has developed rapidly during the last two decades (see [Sm] and [KBCL]) for a history). There, the space  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  is called the *size-and-shape space* and is denoted by  $S\Sigma_d^m$  [KBCL, § 11.2]. This

terminology and notation emphasizes that  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  is the cone, with vertex  $\mathcal{N}_0^m$ , over the *shape space*  $\Sigma_d^m$ , defined as the quotient of  $\mathcal{N}_d^m - \mathcal{N}_0^m$  by the homotheties. A great amount is known about the homotopy type of shape spaces. For instance, in [KBCL], Kendall, Barden, Carne and Li show that  $\Sigma_d^m$  admits cellular decompositions leading to a complete computation of its homology groups.

In this paper, we present an alternative decomposition of the space  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$ . It is based on polygon spaces, a subject which has also encountered a rich development during the last decade, in connection with Hamiltonian geometry. This approach is completely different from that of statistical shape theory and this paper is essentially self-contained.

First of all, the point set topology of  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  is well behaved and  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  is endowed with a smooth structure. More precisely, the translations act freely and properly on  $E^m$  with quotient diffeomorphic to the vector subspace  $\mathcal{K}(E^m) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in E^m \mid \sum z_i = 0\}$ . Being therefore the quotient of  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  by the action of the compact group  $SO(E)$ , the space of clouds  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is locally compact (in particular Hausdorff). Classical invariant theory provides a proper topological embedding  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{N}_d^m$  into an Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (see 2.2). This embedding makes  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  a *smooth space*, i.e. a topological space together with an algebra  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  of *smooth functions* (with real values): those functions which are locally the composition of  $\varphi$  with a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . One can prove that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  if and only if  $f \circ \pi$  is smooth on  $E^m$ , where  $\pi : E^m \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_E^m$  is the natural projection (Proposition 2.3). Any subspace of a smooth space naturally inherits a smooth structure and, together with *smooth maps* (see 2.1), smooth spaces form a category whose equivalences are called *diffeomorphisms*. Finally, we mention that the space  $\mathcal{N}_3^m$  has the special feature that the smooth maps admit a Poisson bracket (see 2.6).

Our main tool for stratifying the space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is the map  $\ell : \mathcal{N}_E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  defined on  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in E^m$  by

$$\ell(\rho) := (|\rho_1 - b(\rho)|, \dots, |\rho_m - b(\rho)|),$$

where  $b(\rho) = \frac{1}{m} \sum \rho_i$  is the barycentre of  $\rho$ . This map  $\ell$  is continuous and is smooth on  $\ell^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ , the open subset of points  $\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m$  such that no  $\rho_i$  is equal to  $b(\rho)$ .

We shall prove in Section 3 that the critical points of  $\ell$  are the one-dimensional clouds  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1^m \subset \mathcal{N}_E^m$ . The space of critical values is then an arrangement of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  that we shall describe now. Let  $\underline{m} := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  the family of subsets of  $\underline{m}$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_I$  be the hyperplane of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_I := \{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sum_{i \notin I} a_i\}.$$

We call these hyperplanes *walls*. They determine a stratification  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,

i.e. a filtration

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{H}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{H}^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathbb{R}^m,$$

with  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  being the subset of those  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$  which belong to at least  $m - k$  distinct walls  $\mathcal{H}_I$ . A *stratum* of dimension  $k$  is a connected component of  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^m) - \mathcal{H}^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Note that a stratum of dimension  $k \geq 1$  is an open convex cone in a  $k$ -plane of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Strata of dimension  $m$  are called *chambers*. We denote by  $\text{Str}(a)$  the stratum of  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $\text{Str}(a)$  is a chamber, the  $m$ -tuple  $a$  is called *generic* and we will often denote  $\text{Str}(a)$  by  $\text{Ch}(a)$ .

The stratification  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  induces a stratification of any subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , in particular of  $U = (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ . Write  $\text{Str}(U)$  for the set of all the strata of  $U$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  the preimage  $\ell^{-1}(\{a\})$  of  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Strengthening results of [HK2], we shall prove the following theorem in Section 3.

**Theorem A** *Let  $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ . Then there is a diffeomorphism from  $\ell^{-1}(\text{Str}(a))$  onto to  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \times \text{Str}(a)$  intertwining the map  $\ell$  with the projection to  $\text{Str}(a)$ .*

In the proof of Theorem A, we actually construct, when  $\text{Str}(a) = \text{Str}(b)$ , a diffeomorphism  $\psi_{ba} : \mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{N}_E^m(b)$ . One has  $\psi_{ab} = \psi_{ba}^{-1}$  and  $\psi_{aa} = \text{id}$ . For  $\alpha \in \text{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ , we will sometimes use the notation  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$  for any of the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  with  $a \in \alpha$ . This is in fact ambiguous because, in general,  $\psi_{ca} \neq \psi_{cb} \circ \psi_{ba}$ , so one cannot use the maps  $\psi_{ba}$  to define an equivalence relation on  $\ell^{-1}(\text{Str}(a))$  giving the points of  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$ . However,  $\psi_{ca}$  is isotopic to  $\psi_{cb} \circ \psi_{ba}$ , and so the homotopy invariants of  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$ , for instance the elements of its cohomology ring, are well defined.

Theorem A may provide good local models for describing the evolution of a cloud. This is especially likely when  $\dim E = 2, 3$ , where, for generic  $a$ , the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  and thus  $\ell^{-1}(\text{Str}(a))$  are smooth manifolds (see below).

Theorem A shows that  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is obtained by gluing together pieces of the form  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha) \times \alpha$  for various  $\alpha \in \text{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ . From this point of view, the following questions are natural.

1. Describe the set of all strata of  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ , in particular the set of chambers. This combinatorial problem does not depend on  $E$ .
2. Describe  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ .
3. Describe how a stratum of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  is attached to its bordering strata of lower dimension.

The main issue of this paper is to answer Question 1 and, partly Question 2 above. It is convenient to take advantage of the right action of the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}_m$  on  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  and on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by permutation of the coordinates (to deal directly with the smooth space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m/\text{Sym}_m$  and get a corresponding statement of

Theorem A, see 2.5). This action permutes the strata of  $\mathcal{H}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\alpha^\sigma)$  for  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_m$ . The map  $\ell$  is equivariant and each  $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$  has a unique representative in  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$ , where

$$\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m := \{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid 0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m\}.$$

Therefore, the set  $\text{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\text{Sym}_m$  is in bijection with the set  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$ .

In Sections 4 and 5, we show how to obtain a complete list of the elements of  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  and  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$ . For this, we first show that the set of inequalities defining a chamber  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$  can be recovered from some very concentrated information which we call the *genetic code* of  $\alpha$ . Abstracting some properties of these genetic codes gives rise to the combinatorial notion of a *virtual genetic code*. We design an algorithm to find all virtual genetic codes, with the help of a computer (the program in C++ is available at [HRWeb]). Deciding which virtual genetic code is the genetic code of a chamber (realizability) is essentially done using the simplex algorithm of linear programming. We thus obtain the list of all the chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$ , with the restriction  $m \leq 9$  due to the computer's limited capacities. The set  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1})$  is determined using an injection of  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1})$  into  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  (see §5). The number of elements of these sets is

$m$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$ \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m) $	2	3	7	21	135	2470	175428
$ \text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m) $	3	7	21	117	1506	62254	?

(1.1)

It turns out that, for  $m \leq 8$ , all virtual genetic codes are realizable, but not for  $m = 9$ : only 175428 out of 319124 are realizable. The non-realizable ones might well be of interest (see Remark 7.13).

Our algorithms produce, in each chamber  $\alpha$ , a distinguished element  $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$  with integral coordinates and with  $\sum a_i$  minimal. Several theoretical questions about these elements  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  remain open (see §4).

To describe the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  (Question 2 above), we note that

$$\mathcal{N}_E^m(a) = SO(E) \setminus \{\rho \in E^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0 \text{ and } |\rho_i| = a_i\}.$$

The condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0$  suggests the picture of a closed  $m$ -step piecewise-linear path in  $E$ , whose  $i$ th step has length  $a_i$ . Therefore, the space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  is often called the  $m$ -gon space (in  $E$ ) of type  $a$  (we could call it the space of clouds “calibrated at  $a$ ”). These polygon spaces have been studied in different notations, especially for  $\dim E = 2$  and  $3$  where, for generic  $a$ , they are manifolds: see, for instance, [Kl], [KM], [HK1], [HK2]. For  $\dim E > 3$  or for  $a$  non-generic, see [Ka1] and [Ka2].

The classification of the polygon spaces  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ , for generic  $a$ , was previously known when  $\dim E = 2, 3$  and  $m \leq 5$  (see, for instance [HK1, §6]). The genetic

codes introduced in this paper extend this classification up to  $m = 9$ . In §6, we give handle-decomposition information about the 6-gon spaces  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$  for the 21 chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^6$ . This type of method could be applied to any space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  for generic  $a$ . In addition to these geometric descriptions, algorithms were previously found which compute cohomological invariants of the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ , for example their Poincaré polynomial ([Kl, Th. 2.2.4], [HK2, Cor. 4.3]). This enables us, in Section 7, to compute the Betti numbers of the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  for  $m \leq 9$ . Moreover, presentations of the cohomology ring of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  for any coefficients were given in [HK2, Th. 6.4]. This permits us to compute some invariants of the ring  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  and prove in 7.10 the following result:

**Proposition B** *For  $5 \leq m \leq 7$ , the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  (or  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$ ), for distinct chambers  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$ , have non-isomorphic mod 2-cohomology rings.*

Here, the ring structure of  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is important: the Betti numbers alone do not distinguish the spaces. Interestingly enough, the virtual genetic codes which are not realizable also give rise to non-trivial graded rings. We do not know if these rings are cohomology rings of a space, or of a manifold (see Remark 7.13).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set the background of the smooth structure on  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  which is used in Section 3 to prove Theorem A. In Section 4, we introduce the genetic code of a chamber and show how to obtain the list of all chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$  for  $m \leq 9$ . In Section 5 we study the injection  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1})$  into  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  and show how to obtain the list of all strata of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$  for  $m \leq 8$ . Section 6 contains our information on the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(a)$  for generic  $a$ . Section 7 is devoted the cohomology invariants of the polygon spaces. Finally, the results of Sections 6 and 7 are applied in Section 8 to the case of hexagon spaces.

**Acknowledgments:** Both authors thank the Swiss National Fund for Scientific Research for its support. We are indebted to R. Bacher for suggesting the cohomology invariant  $s(\alpha)$  of Proposition 7.8.

## 2 The smooth structure on $\mathcal{N}_E^m$

**2.1 Smooth spaces and maps.** For  $X$  a topological space, denote by  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of continuous functions on  $X$  with real values. If  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map, denote by  $h^* : \mathcal{C}^0(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(X)$  the map  $h^*(f) = f \circ h$ .

Let  $X$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *smooth* if, for each  $x \in X$  there exists an open set  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  containing  $x$  and a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  map  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which coincides with  $f$  throughout  $U \cap X$  (compare [Mi, §1]). The smooth maps on  $X$  constitute a subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^0(X)$ .

More generally, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a topological embedding of a space  $X$  into

$\mathbb{R}^N$  one may consider the subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) = \varphi^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\varphi(X)))$ . We call  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  a *smooth structure* on  $X$  and  $X$  (or rather the pair  $(X, \mathcal{C}^\infty(X))$ ) a *smooth space*.

A continuous map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  between smooth spaces is called *smooth* if  $h^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Y)) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . The map  $h$  is a *diffeomorphism* if and only if it is a homeomorphism and  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are smooth. It is a *smooth embedding* if  $h^*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(Y)) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . A smooth embedding is thus a diffeomorphism onto its image.

**2.2** *The smooth structure on  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ .* Let  $\kappa : E^m \rightarrow E^m$  be the linear projection

$$\kappa(z_1, \dots, z_m) = (z_1 - b(z), \dots, z_m - b(z)),$$

where  $b(z) = \frac{1}{m} \sum z_i$  is the barycentre of  $z$ . The image of  $\kappa$  is  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  and its kernel is the diagonal  $\Delta$  in  $E^m$ .

The normal subgroup  $E$  in  $G(E)$  of translations acts freely and properly on  $E^m$  and the quotient space  $E \backslash E^m$  is the same as the quotient vector space  $E/\Delta$ . The projection  $\kappa$  descends to a linear isomorphism  $\bar{\kappa} : E \backslash E^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}(E^m)$ . The space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is now the quotient of  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  by the action of the compact group  $SO(E)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Consider the  $m^2$  polynomial functions on  $E^m$  given by  $z \mapsto \langle \kappa(z_i), \kappa(z_j) \rangle$ , where  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the scalar product on  $E$ . Choose an orientation on  $E$ . The determinants  $|\kappa(z_{i_1}), \dots, \kappa(z_{i_k})|$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$  ( $k = \dim E$ ) are another family of  $\binom{m}{k}$  polynomial functions on  $E^m$ . All these functions are  $G(E)$ -invariant and produce a continuous map  $\varphi : \mathcal{N}_E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N = m^2 + \binom{m}{k}$ . It is an exercise to prove that  $\varphi$  is injective and proper. As  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  is locally compact, the map  $\varphi$  is a topological embedding of  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  into  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and its image is closed (for a family of inequalities defining  $\varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  as a semi-algebraic set, see [PS]).

The embedding  $\varphi$  endows  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$  with a smooth structure. The following proposition identifies  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  with the smooth functions on  $E^m$  which are  $G(E)$ -invariant.

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (A)  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ .
- (B) *There is a global  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function  $F : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = F \circ \varphi$ .*
- (C) *The map  $f \circ \pi : E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ , where  $\pi : E^m \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_E^m$  denotes the natural projection.*

PROOF: It is clear that (B) implies (A). Conversely, let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$ . For every  $\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m$ , one has an open set  $U_\rho$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  containing  $\varphi(\rho)$  and a smooth function  $F_\rho : U_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f_\rho \circ \varphi = f$  on  $\varphi^{-1}(U_\rho)$ . Call  $U_\infty = \mathbb{R}^N - \varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  and  $F_\infty : U_\infty \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{R}$  the constant map to 0. As  $\varphi(\mathcal{N}_E^m)$  is closed, the family  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\rho\}_{\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m \cup \{\infty\}}$  is an open covering of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $\mu_\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth partition of the unity subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $F(x) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{N}_E^m \cup \{\infty\}} F_\rho(x)$  satisfies (B).

Statement (B) is obviously stronger than (C) (which, incidentally, implies that  $\pi$  is a smooth map). For the converse, one uses that the components of  $\varphi$  constitute a generating set for the algebra of  $SO(E)$ -invariant polynomial functions on  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  [Wl, §II.9]. Then, any  $SO(E)$ -invariant smooth function on  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  is of the form  $F \circ \varphi$  by the Theorem of G. Schwarz [Sch].  $\square$

**2.4** The smooth structure on the space  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m = G(E) \backslash E^m$  is obtained as in 2.2. The embedding  $\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{N}}_E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$  is given by the polynomial function  $\rho \mapsto \langle \rho_i \rho_j \rangle$ . Proposition 2.3 holds true.

**2.5** *Clouds of unordered points.* On  $E^m = \{\rho : \underline{m} \rightarrow E\}$ , the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}_m$  acts on the right, by pre-composition (or by permuting the coordinates). This action descends on  $\mathcal{N}_E^m$ .

As in 2.2, the space  $\mathcal{N}_E^m / \text{Sym}_m = G(E) \backslash E^m / \text{Sym}_m$  has a smooth structure, via a topological embedding  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m / \text{Sym}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  given by a generating set of the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathcal{K}(E^m)$  which are  $SO(E) \times \text{Sym}_m$ -invariant. Proposition 2.3 holds true accordingly.

The space  $\mathbb{R}^m / \text{Sym}_m$  also has a smooth structure via the smooth embedding  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m / \text{Sym}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  given by the  $m$  elementary symmetric polynomials. The map  $\ell$  descends to a continuous map  $\bar{\ell} : \mathcal{N}_E^m / \text{Sym}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m / \text{Sym}_m$  which is smooth away from  $\ell^{-1}(\{0\})$ . The composition  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_{>}^m \subset (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m / \text{Sym}_m$  is a smooth homeomorphism. The stratification  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}_{>}^m)$  can be transported via  $\psi$  to  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m / \text{Sym}_m$ , giving rise to a stratification  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m / \text{Sym}_m)$ . The map  $\bar{\ell}$  is stratified and Theorem A holds true for  $\bar{\ell}$ . Indeed, the diffeomorphisms constructed in the proof of Theorem A given in §3 are natural with respect to the action of  $\text{Sym}_m$ .

We must be careful that the smooth homeomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_{>}^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m / \text{Sym}_m$  is not a diffeomorphism: the projection onto the first coordinate is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}_{>}^m$  but not on  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m / \text{Sym}_m$ .

**2.6** *Poisson structures on  $\mathcal{N}_3^m$ .* Recall that a Poisson structure on a smooth manifold  $X$  is a Lie bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  satisfying the Leibnitz rule:  $\{fg, h\} = f\{g, h\} + \{f, h\}g$ . See [MR] for properties of Poisson manifolds. The same definition makes sense on a smooth space.

The Euclidean space  $E = \mathbb{R}^3$  has a standard smooth structure by

$$\{f, g\}(x) := \langle \nabla f \times \nabla g, x \rangle.$$

We endow the product space  $E^m$  with the product Poisson structure. If  $f, g : E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $SO(E)$ -invariant, so is the bracket  $\{f, g\}$ . Thus, the quotient space  $SO(E) \backslash E^m$  inherits a Poisson structure.

Using a canonical identification of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $so(3)^*$ , the above Poisson bracket on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  corresponds, up to sign, to the classical Poisson structure on  $so(3)^*$  [MR, p. 287]. The map  $\mu : z \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m z_i$ , from  $\mathbb{R}^3 = so(3)^*$  to  $E$  is the moment map for the diagonal action of  $SO(E)$ . Let  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear map. By the Theorem of Noether [MR, Th. 11.4.1], if  $f : E^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth  $SO(3)$ -invariant map, then  $\{f, \xi \circ \mu\} = 0$ . This proves that  $\{f, g\} = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(E^m)$  such that  $g|_{\mathcal{K}(E^m)} = 0$ . Thus, the space  $\mathcal{N}_3^m$  inherits a Poisson structure so that the inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_3^m \subset SO(E) \backslash E^m$  is a Poisson map.

When  $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$  is generic, the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  are manifolds and are the symplectic leaves of  $\ell^{-1}(\text{Str}(a))$ . This accounts for the symplectic structures on the polygon spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  studied in [Kl], [KM] and [HK1 and 2].

### 3 Proof of Theorem A

Throughout this section, the Euclidean space  $E$  and the number of points are constant. Denote by  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$  the subset of  $m$ -tuples  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in E^m$  such that  $\rho_i \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i = 0$ . Define the map  $\tilde{\ell} : \dot{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $\tilde{\ell}(\rho) := (|\rho_1|, \dots, |\rho_m|)$ .

An element  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in E^m$  is called *1-dimensional* if the vector subspace of  $E$  spanned by  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m$  is of dimension 1 (therefore,  $\rho$  represents an element of  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1^m \subset \mathcal{N}_E^m$ ). These are precisely the singularities of the map  $\tilde{\ell} : \dot{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Indeed:

**Lemma 3.1** *Suppose that  $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  is not 1-dimensional. Then  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$  is surjective.*

PROOF: Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \tilde{\ell}(\rho)$ . As  $\rho$  is not 1-dimensional, there are two vectors among  $\rho_2, \dots, \rho_m$  which are linearly independent. The orthogonal complements to these two vectors then span  $E$ . Thus, there are curves  $\rho_i(t)$  for  $i = 2, \dots, m$  such that  $|\rho_i(t)| = a_i$  and

$$\sum_{i=2}^m \rho_i(t) = -(1 + \frac{t}{a_1})\rho_1.$$

Therefore the map

$$t \mapsto ((1 + \frac{t}{a_1})\rho_1, \rho_2(t), \dots, \rho_m(t))$$

represents a tangent vector  $v \in T_\rho \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  with  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}(v) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The same can be done for the other basis vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  proving that  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$  is surjective.  $\square$

Let  $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  be 1-dimensional. One thus has  $\rho_i = \lambda_i \rho_m$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ . Let  $I(\rho) \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  defined by  $i \in I(\rho)$  if and only if  $\lambda_i < 0$ . It is obvious that  $\tilde{\ell}(\rho)$  belongs to the wall  $\mathcal{H}_{I(\rho)}$ .



**Lemma 3.2** *Suppose that  $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  is 1-dimensional. Then the image of  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$  is  $\mathcal{H}_{I(\rho)}$ .*

PROOF: Let  $I = I(\rho)$ . One has  $\sum_{i \in I} \rho_i = -\sum_{i \notin I} \rho_i$ . The components  $\rho_i(t)$  of a curve  $\rho(t) \in E^m$  with  $\rho(0) = \rho$  are of the form

$$\rho_i(t) = \left(1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i}\right) \rho_i + w_i(t),$$

with  $c_i(0) = 0$  and  $w_i(0) = 0$ , where  $c_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $w_i(t)$  is in the orthogonal complement of  $\rho_i$ . The curve  $\rho(t)$  is in  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i(t) = 0$  and

$$\sum_{i \in I} \left(1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i}\right) \rho_i = -\sum_{i \notin I} \left(1 + \frac{c_i(t)}{a_i}\right) \rho_i. \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $c(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_m(t))$ . The vector  $\frac{\rho_i}{a_i}$  is constant when  $i \in I$  and  $\frac{\rho_j}{a_j} = -\frac{\rho_i}{a_i}$  if  $i \in I$  and  $j \notin I$ . Therefore, Equation (3.2) is equivalent to  $c(t) \in \mathcal{H}_I$ . Finally, a direct computation shows that the tangent vector  $v \in T_\rho \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  represented by  $\rho(t)$  satisfies  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}(v) = \dot{c}(0)$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM A : Let  $a, b \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$  be in the same stratum  $\alpha$ . Let  $X \subset \alpha$  be the segment joining  $a$  to  $b$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , write  $U_\delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid d(x, X) < \delta\}$ , where  $d(x, X)$  is the distance from  $x$  to the segment  $X$ . We choose  $\delta$  small enough so that the walls meeting  $U_\delta$ , if any, are only those containing  $\alpha$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_\delta := \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(U_\delta) \subset \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ .

Let  $V^b$  be a vector field on  $U_\delta$  of the form  $V_x^b = \lambda(x)(b - a)$ , where  $\lambda : U_\delta \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a smooth function equal to 1 on  $U_{\delta/3}$  and to 0 out of  $U_{2\delta/3}$ .

Put on  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the standard Riemannian metrics. For  $\rho \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}$ , define the vector subspace  $\Delta_\rho$  of  $T_\rho \dot{\mathcal{K}}$  by  $\Delta_\rho := (T_\rho \tilde{\ell})^\sharp(T_{\ell(\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^m))$ , where  $(T_\rho \tilde{\ell})^\sharp$  is the adjoint of  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$ . The vector spaces  $\Delta_\rho$  form a smooth distribution (of non-constant rank) on  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ .

The tangent map  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$  sends  $\Delta_\rho$  isomorphically onto the image of  $T_\rho \tilde{\ell}$ . Since  $X$  lies in  $\alpha$ , Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 show that  $V_{\tilde{\ell}(z)}^b$  is in the image of  $T_z \tilde{\ell}$  for all  $z \in \tilde{U}_\delta$ . Therefore, there exists a unique vector field  $W^b$  on  $\tilde{U}_\delta$  such that, for each  $z \in \tilde{U}_\delta$ , one has  $W_z^b \in \Delta_z$  and  $T_z \tilde{\ell}(W_z^b) = V_{\tilde{\ell}(z)}^b$ . The map  $\tilde{\ell}$  being proper, the vector field  $W^b$  has compact support, so its flow  $\Phi_t$  is defined for all times  $t$ . Therefore,  $z \mapsto \Phi_1(z)$  gives a diffeomorphism  $\psi_{ba} : \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(b) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(a)$ .

As its notation suggests, the map  $\psi_{ba}$  depends only on  $b$  and not on the choices involved in the definition of  $V^b$  ( $\delta$  and  $\lambda$ ). One can thus define  $\psi : \tilde{\ell}^{-1}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \times \alpha$  by  $\psi(z) := (\tilde{\ell}(z), \psi_{\tilde{\ell}(z)a}(z))$ . The vector fields  $V^b$  and  $W^b$  depending smoothly on  $b \in \alpha$ , the map is smooth as well as its inverse  $(x, u) \mapsto \psi_{ax}(u)$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  is a diffeomorphism. As the Riemannian metric on  $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$  and the map  $\tilde{\ell}$  are invariant with respect to the action of  $SO(E) \times \text{Sym}_m$  the map  $\psi$  descends to a diffeomorphism  $\psi : \ell^{-1}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_E^m(a) \times \alpha$ , which proves Theorem A. Actually, each diffeomorphism  $\psi_{ba}$  descends to a diffeomorphism  $\psi_{ba} : \mathcal{N}_E^m(b) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$ .

**Remark 3.3** Theorem A is also true for the spaces  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_E^m$ .

## 4 The genetic code of a chamber

Let  $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^m$ . Following [HK2, § 2], we define  $S(a) \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  by

$$I \in S(a) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq \sum_{i \notin I} a_i. \quad (4.1)$$

The very definition of the stratification  $\mathcal{H}$  implies that  $S(a) = S(a')$  if and only if  $\text{Str}(a) = \text{Str}(a')$ . Thus, for  $\alpha$  a stratum of  $(\mathbb{R}_{> 0})^m$ , we shall write  $S(\alpha)$  for the common set  $S(a)$  with  $a \in \alpha$ .

When  $\alpha$  is a chamber, the inequalities in (4.1) are all strict. The elements of  $S(\alpha)$  are then, as in [HK2, § 2], called *short subsets* of  $\underline{m}$ . Observe that  $A \in \underline{m}$  is short if and only if its complement  $\bar{A}$  is not short. Therefore, if  $\alpha$  is a chamber, the set  $S(\alpha)$  contains  $2^{m-1}$  elements.

Define  $S_m(\alpha) := S(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m}) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m}) \mid m \in X\}$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{> 0})^m)$ . Then  $S(\alpha)$  is determined by  $S_m(\alpha)$ .*

PROOF: One has

$$I \in S(\alpha) \iff \begin{cases} m \in I \text{ and } I \in S_m(\alpha) \\ \text{or} \\ m \notin I \text{ and } \bar{I} \notin S_m(\alpha). \quad \square \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Let us now restrict ourselves to chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^m$ . We shall determine them by a very concentrated information called their “genetic code”. Define a partial order “ $\hookrightarrow$ ” on  $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  by saying that  $A \hookrightarrow B$  if and only if there exists a non-decreasing map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi(x) \geq x$ . For instance  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  if  $X \subset Y$  since one can take  $\varphi$  being the inclusion. The *genetic code* of  $\alpha$  is the set of elements  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  of  $S_m(\alpha)$  which are maximal with respect to the order “ $\hookrightarrow$ ”. By Lemma 4.2, the chamber  $\alpha$  is determined by its genetic code; we write  $\alpha = \langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle$  and call the sets  $A_i$  the *genes* of  $\alpha$ . Thanks to (4.2), the explicit reconstruction of  $S(\alpha)$  out of its genetic code is given by the following recipe.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $\alpha = \langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^m)$ . Let  $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ . Then*

$$I \in S(\alpha) \iff \begin{cases} m \in I \text{ and } \exists j \in \underline{k} \text{ with } I \hookrightarrow A_j \\ \text{or} \\ m \notin I \text{ and } \bar{I} \not\hookrightarrow A_j \forall j \in \underline{k}. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

**Example 4.4** To unburden the notations, a subset  $A$  of  $\underline{m}$  is denoted by the number whose digits are the elements of  $A$  in decreasing order; example:  $531 = \{5, 3, 1\}$ . In  $\mathbb{R}_{\succ}^3$ , there are 2 chambers. One of them, say  $\alpha_0$ , contains points such as  $(1, 1, 3)$  which are not in the image of  $\ell : \mathcal{N}_E^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . One has  $S_3(\alpha_0) = \emptyset$ . Its genetic code is empty and one has

$$\alpha_0 = \langle \rangle \quad ; \quad S(\alpha_0) = \{\emptyset, 1, 2, 21\}.$$

The other,  $\alpha_1$  contains  $(1, 1, 1)$ , and one has

$$\alpha_1 = \langle 3 \rangle \quad ; \quad S(\alpha_1) = \{\emptyset, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let us now figure out which subset  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$  is the genetic code of a chamber of  $\mathbb{R}_{\succ}^m$ . To reduce the number of trials, observe that if  $\alpha = \langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle$ , then

- (a)  $A_i \not\leftrightarrow A_j$  for all  $i \neq j$  and
- (b)  $\bar{A}_i \not\leftrightarrow A_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

Indeed, one has Condition (a) since the sets  $A_i$  are maximal (and we do not write them twice). For Condition (b), if  $\bar{A}_i \leftrightarrow A_j$ , then  $A_i$  would be both short and not short and Inequalities (4.1) would have no solution. A finite set  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ , with  $A_i \in \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$  satisfying Conditions (a) and (b) is called a *virtual genetic code* (of type  $m$ ), and we keep writing it by  $\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_m$  be the set of virtual genetic codes and  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)}$  the subset of those virtual genetic codes containing  $k$  genes.

The determination of  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is algorithmic:

1.  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(0)} = \{\langle \rangle\}$ .
2. Each  $A \in \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$  satisfying  $\bar{A} \not\leftrightarrow A$  gives rise to a virtual genetic code  $\langle A \rangle$ . This gives the set  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(1)}$ .
3. Suppose, by induction, that we know the set  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)}$ . Then, each  $(\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle, \langle A_{k+1} \rangle)$  in  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k)} \times \mathcal{G}_m^{(1)}$ , so that  $\{A_1, \dots, A_{k+1}\}$  satisfies Conditions (a) and (b), gives rise to an element of  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k+1)}$ .

When  $\mathcal{G}_m^{(k+1)} = \emptyset$ , the process stops and  $\mathcal{G}_m = \bigcup_{i=0}^m \mathcal{G}_m^{(i)}$ .

**Examples 4.5** For  $m = 3$ , the family  $\mathcal{P}_3(\underline{3})$  contains the sets 3, 31, 32 and 321 (with the notations introduced in Example 4.4). Only 3 satisfies  $\bar{3} = 21 \not\leftrightarrow 3$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}_3^{(1)} = \{3\}$  while  $\mathcal{G}_3^{(2)}$  is empty. We deduce that  $\mathcal{G}_3 = \{\langle \rangle, \langle 3 \rangle\}$ . They correspond to the two chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{\succ}^3$  found in Example 4.4. In the same way, we easily find the following table:

$m$	Elements of $\mathcal{G}_m$
2	$\langle \rangle$ .
3	$\langle \rangle, \langle 3 \rangle$ .
4	$\langle \rangle, \langle 4 \rangle, \langle 41 \rangle$ .
5	$\langle \rangle, \langle 5 \rangle, \langle 51 \rangle, \langle 52 \rangle, \langle 53 \rangle, \langle 54 \rangle, \langle 521 \rangle$ .

Having found the virtual genetic codes of type  $m$ , the next question is which of them are *realizable*, that is, which of them is the genetic code of a chamber of  $\mathbb{R}_{>}^m$ . We proceed as follows. Each virtual genetic codes  $\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle$  of type  $m$  determines, a subset  $S_{\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle}$  by the recipe of Lemma 4.3. Define the open polyhedral cone  $P := P_{\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle}$  by

$$P := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^m \mid \sum_{i \in I} x_i < \sum_{i \notin I} x_i \forall I \in S_{\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle} \right\}.$$

If there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{>}^m)$  with  $\alpha = \langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle$ , then  $\alpha \in P_{\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle}$ . The realization problem is thus equivalent to  $P$  being not empty. To find a point inside  $P$ , we “push” its walls and consider:

$$P_1 := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^m \mid \sum_{i \in I} x_i \leq \sum_{i \notin I} x_i - 1 \forall I \in S_{\langle A_1, \dots, A_k \rangle} \right\} \subset P \quad (4.5)$$

As  $P$  is an open cone in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $P$  is not empty if and only if  $P_1$  is not empty. Indeed, if  $P$  is not empty, then  $\emptyset \neq P \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>}^m \subset P_1$ . We then use the *simplex algorithm* of linear programming to minimize the  $\ell_1$ -norm  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i$  on  $P_1$ . This algorithm either outputs an optimal solution, which is a vertex of  $P_1$ , or concludes that  $P_1$  is empty [Ch].

A program in C++ was designed, following the above algorithms (comments on this program and the source code can be found in [HRWeb]). A computer could thus list all the chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{>}^m$  for  $m \leq 9$ . Each chamber  $\alpha$  is given by a distinguished element  $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}^m$  with minimal  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ . The number of these chambers,  $|\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{>}^m)| = |\text{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\text{Sym}_m|$  is the one given in the first line of Table (1.1) in the introduction.

Experimentally, it turned out that, for  $m \leq 8$ , all virtual genetic codes are realizable. This is not true for  $m = 9$ :

**Lemma 4.6** *The virtual genetic code  $\langle 9642 \rangle \in \mathcal{G}_9$  is not realizable.*

PROOF: Let  $S := S_{\langle 9642 \rangle}$ . As  $9531 \leftrightarrow 9642$ , one has  $9531 \in S$ . On the other hand,  $\overline{9642} = 87531 \notin S$ . If  $S = S(a)$  for some generic  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^9$ , we would have  $a_7 + a_8 > a_9$ . Now  $965 \notin S$  by Lemma 4.3, therefore  $\overline{965} = 874321 \in S$ . By the above inequality on the  $a_i$ 's, this would imply that  $94321 \in S$  which contradicts  $94321 \not\leftrightarrow 9642$ .  $\square$

Our algorithm found 319124 elements in  $\mathcal{G}_9$ , out of which 175428 are realizable.

The list of all the chambers  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{>}^m$  with their representative  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  can be found further in this paper for  $m \leq 6$  (Sections 6 and 8) and on the WEB page [HRWeb] for  $m = 7, 8, 9$ .

Several theoretical questions about  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  remain open. For example, why  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  has integral coordinates (with the  $\ell_1$ -norm  $|a|_1 = \sum a_i$  odd)? *A priori*, the vertices of  $P_1$  should only be in  $\mathbb{Q}_{>}^m$ . Is  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  always unique? This suggests the following

#### 4.7 CONJECTURES :

a) any stratum of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}_{>}^m)$  contains a unique element  $a_{\min}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}^m$  with minimal  $\ell_1$ -norm.

b)  $\alpha$  is a chamber if and only  $|a_{\min}(\alpha)|_1$  is an odd integer.

c) All vertices of  $P_1(S)$  have integral coordinates.

Conjecture b) is supported by the following evidences. First, it is obvious that an element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>}^m$  with  $|a|_1$  odd is generic. On the other hand, it is experimentally true for  $m \leq 9$ . Conjecture a) for non generic strata is experimentally true for  $m \leq 8$  (see Section 5). Conjecture c) has been checked for  $m \leq 8$ .

**4.8 Cuts:** One can prove that the set  $\mathcal{G}_m$  of virtual genetic code of type  $m$  is in bijection with the set of “cuts” on  $\underline{m}$  (the name is given in analogy with the Dedekind cuts of the rationals). A subset  $S$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  is a *cut* if, for all  $I, J \subset \underline{m}$  the two following conditions are fulfilled:

(A)  $I \in S \Leftrightarrow \bar{I} \notin S$ .

(B) if  $I \in S$  and  $J \hookrightarrow I$ , then  $J \in S$ .

The bijection sends a cut  $S$  of  $\underline{m}$  to the set of maximal elements (with respect to the order “ $\hookrightarrow$ ”) of  $S_m$ . For details, see [HRWeb].

## 5 Non generic strata

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^m$  is not generic, some inequalities of (4.1) are equalities. Thus, an element  $I \in S(a)$  is either a *short subset* of  $\underline{m}$  (strict inequality) or an *almost short subset*. As in Lemma 4.2,  $S(a)$  is determined by  $S_m(a) = S(a) \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$  and the latter is determined by those elements which are maximal with respect to the order “ $\hookrightarrow$ ” (the *genes* of  $S(a)$ ). We denote the genes which are short subsets by  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  and those which are almost short by  $B_1^=, \dots, B_l^=$ . For instance, when  $m = 3$ , one writes  $S(1, 1, 1) = \langle 3 \rangle$  and  $S(1, 1, 2) = \langle 3^= \rangle$ . To be more precise on our conventions, let  $I^=$  be an almost short gene of  $S(a)$  and  $J \hookrightarrow I$ . If  $|J| < |I|$ , then  $J$  is automatically short (since  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>}^m \subset (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ ). If  $|J| = |I|$ , then  $J$  is supposed to be almost short unless there is a short gene  $K$  with  $J \hookrightarrow K$ . For instance,  $S(1, 2, 2, 3, 4) = \langle 51, 53^= \rangle$ .

The set  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  of all the strata of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  will be studied via a map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$  from  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1})$  to  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  which we define now. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1}$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, the  $m$ -tuple  $a^+ := (\delta, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})$  is a generic element of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$  for  $\delta < \varepsilon$  and  $\alpha^+ := \text{Ch}(a^+)$  depends only on  $\alpha = \text{Str}(a)$ .

If  $\beta = \alpha^+$ , we denote  $\alpha = \beta^-$ . This makes sense because of the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** *The map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$  is injective.*

PROOF: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1}$  such that  $\text{Str}(a) \neq \text{Str}(b)$ . The segment joining  $a$  to  $b$  will then cross a wall  $\mathcal{H}_I$  which does not contain  $\text{Str}(a)$ . But then, the segment joining  $a^+$  and  $b^+$  will also cross  $\mathcal{H}_I$ , showing that  $\text{Ch}(a^+) \neq \text{Ch}(b^+)$ .  $\square$

The correspondence  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$  can easily be described on the genetic codes. The genetic code of  $a^+$  has the same number of genes than that of  $a$ . The correspondence goes as follows. If  $\{p_1 \dots p_r\}$  is a gene of  $S(a)$  which is short, then  $\{p_1^+ \dots p_r^+, 1\}$  is a gene of  $S(a)^+$ , where  $p_i^+ = p_i + 1$  (the genes of  $S(a^+)$  are all short). If  $\{p_1 \dots p_r\}^-$  is an almost short gene of  $S(a)$ , then  $\{p_1^+ \dots p_r^+\}$  is a gene of  $S(a^+)$ .

The following convention will be useful.

**5.2** Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a generic element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\nearrow}^k$ . For  $m \geq k$ , the  $m$ -tuple  $\hat{a} = (0, \dots, 0, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  determines a chamber  $\hat{\alpha}$  represented by  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{m-k}, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ , where  $\delta_i > 0$  and  $\sum \delta_i < 1$ . We say that  $\hat{a}$  is a *conventional representative* of  $\hat{\alpha}$ . For instance,  $\langle 521 \rangle$  having the conventional representative  $(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$  shows that  $\langle 521 \rangle = \langle 321 \rangle^{++}$ .

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $\alpha$  be a chamber of  $\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m$ . Then  $\alpha = \beta^+$  if and only if one (at least) of the two following statement holds:*

1.  $\alpha$  has a conventional representative  $(0, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ .
2. there exists  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$  with  $\sum a_i$  odd and  $a_1 = 1$ .

PROOF: It is clear that either 1. or 2. implies  $\alpha = \text{Str}(a_2, \dots, a_m)^+$ . Also, if  $\alpha = \beta^+$  for  $\beta$  generic, then  $\alpha$  admits a conventional representative. It remains to show that, if  $\alpha = \beta^+$  with  $\beta$  non-generic, then Statement 2. holds true.



Observe that, as the walls  $\mathcal{H}_I$  are defined by linear equations with integral coefficients, then  $\beta \cap \mathbb{Q}^{m-1}$  is dense in  $\beta$ . As  $\beta$  is a cone, it must contain a point in  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\nearrow}^{m-1}$ . As  $b$  is not generic, then  $\sum b_i$  must be even and the  $m$ -tuples  $(\delta, b_1, \dots, b_{m-1})$  are all in  $\alpha$  for  $\delta < 2$ .  $\square$

Tables III-V of Section 6 and Table VI of Section 8 show that  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  satisfies the above conditions for all  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  when  $m \leq 6$ . This proves the following

**Proposition 5.4** *The correspondence  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$  gives a bijection  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  for  $m \leq 6$ .*

Tables I and II below make the bijection  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^-$  from  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  to  $\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^{m-1})$  explicit (we put a conventional  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  when there exists one).

<b>The bijection <math>\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^4) \xrightarrow{\approx} \text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^3)</math></b>					
$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^4(\alpha)$	$\alpha^-$	$a_{\min}(\alpha^-)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^3(\alpha^-)$
$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, 1)	$\emptyset$	$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 1)	$\emptyset$
$\langle 4 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 2)	$S^1$	$\langle 3^= \rangle$	(1, 1, 2)	1 point
$\langle 41 \rangle$	(0, 1, 1, 1)	$S^1 \amalg S^1$	$\langle 3 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1)	2 point

<b>The bijection <math>\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^5) \xrightarrow{\approx} \text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^4)</math></b>					
$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^5(\alpha)$	$\alpha^-$	$a_{\min}(\alpha^-)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^4(\alpha^-)$
$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	$\emptyset$	$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, , 1)	$\emptyset$
$\langle 5 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 1, 3)	$S^2$	$\langle 4^= \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 3)	1 point
$\langle 51 \rangle$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2)	$T^2$	$\langle 4 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 2)	$S^1$
$\langle 52 \rangle$	(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)	$\Sigma_2^{or}$	$\langle 41^= \rangle$	(1, 2, 2, 3)	$S^1 \vee S^1$
$\langle 521 \rangle$	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)	$T^2 \amalg T^2$	$\langle 41 \rangle$	(0, 1, 1, 1)	$S^1 \amalg S^1$
$\langle 53 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	$\Sigma_3^{or}$	$\langle 42^= \rangle$	(1, 1, 2, 2)	
$\langle 54 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$\Sigma_4^{or}$	$\langle 43^= \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 1)	

Here,  $\Sigma_g^{or}$  stands for the orientable surface of genus  $g$  and the two graphs in the last column are the 2 and 3-fold covers of  $S^1 \vee S^1$  without loops. The same work with the bijection  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^6) \xrightarrow{\approx} \text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^5)$  gives the classification of all the 21 pentagon spaces (not necessarily generic) obtained by A. Wenger [We].

In the above two tables, one sees that  $\mathcal{N}_2^5(\alpha)$  is the boundary of a regular neighborhood (here in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) of  $\mathcal{N}_2^4(\alpha^-)$ . This reflects the following fact. Let  $a_0 \in \alpha$  without zero coordinate. For any  $a \in \alpha$ , the Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a)$  is canonically diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a_0)$  by Theorem A and its proof. This produces a family of Riemannian metrics  $g_a$  on  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(a_0)$ , indexed by  $a \in \alpha$ . When  $a$  tends to a point  $a^- \in \alpha^-$ , the Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{N}_E^m(a_0), g_a)$  converges, for the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, to the metric space  $\mathcal{N}_E^{m-1}(a^-)$ .

On the other hand, the map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^+$  is not surjective when  $m \geq 7$ . For instance,  $\langle 764 \rangle$ , with  $a_{\min} = (2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3)$ , is not of the form  $(\alpha^-)^+$ . For,  $\alpha^-$  would be  $\langle 653^= \rangle$ . As  $421 = \overline{653} \hookrightarrow 653$ , this would imply that all  $a_i^-$  are equal and  $\alpha^- = \langle 654^= \rangle$ . But  $\langle 654^= \rangle^+ = \langle 765 \rangle \neq \langle 764 \rangle$ .

The table of  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^7)$  (giving the 135 7-gon spaces) shows 18 chambers with the first coordinate  $a_{\min}$  not equal to 0 or 1 (see [HRWeb]). One might ask whether

there are other  $m$ -tuples  $a$  in these chambers with  $a_1 = 0, 1$ . But, by applying the simplex algorithm to minimize  $a_1$  on the polytope  $P_1$  of (4.5), we saw that this is not the case. Therefore  $|\text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^6)| = 118$ . The same procedure succeeded for  $m = 8$  and 9, giving the cardinality of  $\text{Str}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)/\text{Sym}_m = \text{Str}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$  for  $m \leq 8$  listed in the introduction.

## 6 Geometric descriptions of the $\mathcal{N}_{2,3}^m(\alpha)$ 's

When  $d = 2$  or 3 and  $a$  is generic, the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_d^m(a)$  are smooth manifolds, since  $SO(d)$  acts freely on the non-lined configurations. The space  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(a)$  is also a manifold and the map  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(a) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(a)$  is a 2-sheeted covering. The space  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(a)$  lies in  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  as the fixed point set for the involution  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  obtained by reflection through a hyperplane. Observe that  $\dim \mathcal{N}_3^m = 2(m - 3)$  while  $\dim \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m = m - 3$ . The manifold  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m$  plays the role of a real locus of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ , the latter being endowed with a natural Kaehler structure for which the involution  $\tau$  is antiholomorphic (see [HK2, §9]). It is shown in [HK2, Th. 9.1] that the cohomology rings  $H^{2*}(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  are isomorphic, by a graded ring isomorphism dividing the degrees by 2.

The above polygon spaces were previously known for  $m \leq 5$  (see, for instance, [HK1, §6]). Our classification by genetic code produces the more systematic tables below. Conventional representatives  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$  (see 5.2) are used when available.

**Table III : the 3-gon spaces**

$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_3^3(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^3(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^3(a)$
$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 1)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 3 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1)	1 point	1 point	2 points

**Table IV : the 4-gon spaces**

$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_3^4(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^4(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^4(a)$
$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, 1)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$\langle 4 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 2)	$\mathbb{C}P^1$	$\mathbb{R}P^1$	$S^1$
$\langle 41 \rangle$	(1, 2, 2, 2)	$S^2$	$S^1$	$S^1 \amalg S^1$



**Table V : the 5-gon spaces**

	$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_3^5(\alpha)$	$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^5(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^5(\alpha)$
1	$\langle \rangle$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
2	$\langle 5 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 3)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2$	$\mathbb{R}P^2$	$S^2$
3	$\langle 51 \rangle$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	$\Sigma_1$	$T^2$
4	$\langle 52 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$	$(S^2 \times S^2) \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	$\Sigma_2$	$\Sigma_2^{or}$
5	$\langle 521 \rangle$	$(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$	$S^2 \times S^2$	$T^2$	$T^2 \amalg T^2$
6	$\langle 53 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 3\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	$\Sigma_3$	$\Sigma_3^{or}$
7	$\langle 54 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	$\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$	$\Sigma_4$	$\Sigma_4^{or}$

Our method produces a classification of the spaces  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^m(\alpha)$  for  $m \leq 9$ ,  $\alpha$  a chamber, and  $n \geq 2$ . Table VI of Section 8 gives the list of hexagon spaces. The tables for generic  $m$ -gon spaces when  $m = 7, 8, 9$  are too big to be included in this paper. They can be consulted on the WEB page [HRWeb].

We shall now give procedures describing  $\mathcal{N}_E^m(\beta^+)$  in terms of  $\mathcal{N}_E^{m-1}(\beta)$  when  $\beta$  is generic and  $\dim E = 2, 3$ . A  $m$ -tuple  $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in \mathcal{K}(E^m)$  is called a *vertical configuration* if  $\rho_m = (0, \dots, 0, -|\rho_m|)$ .

**Proposition 6.1** *If  $\beta \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{>}^m)$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\beta^+)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta) \times S^1$ .*

PROOF: Let  $(b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \beta$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough so that  $a := (\varepsilon, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \beta^+$ . A class in  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(a)$  has a unique representative  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)$  which is a vertical configuration. As  $b$  is generic, if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, then  $(b_2, \dots, b'_m) \in \beta$  when  $|b'_m - b_m| < \varepsilon$ . The  $(m-1)$ -tuple  $(\rho_2, \dots, \rho_m + \rho_1)$  thus represents an element  $\rho' \in \mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta)$  and the correspondence  $\rho \mapsto (\rho', \rho_1)$  produces a diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\beta^+)$  to  $\mathcal{N}_2^{m-1}(\beta) \times S^1$ .  $\square$

Let  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^m$ . Let  $\rho_m^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\rho_m$ , oriented by the vector  $\rho$ . Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the composition of the orthogonal projection  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \rho_m^\perp$  with some chosen isometry  $\rho_m^\perp \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{R}^2$  preserving the orientation. If  $a \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$  is generic, then  $\pi(\rho_1), \pi(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \dots, \pi(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_{m-2})$  are not all zero. This defines a smooth map

$$r : \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2)^{m-2} - \{0\} / SO(2) \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\alpha = \text{Ch}(a)$ . The right hand member of Equation (6.1) is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3}$ . The map  $r$  thus determines a cohomology class  $R \in H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$  which is the characteristic class of some principal circle bundle  $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ . The class  $R$  was introduced in [HK2, §6 and 7] and will appear again in Section 6 below.

**Lemma 6.2** (compare [HK2, Prop. 7.3]) *The total space  $\mathcal{E}(\alpha)$  is  $S^1$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the spaces of representatives of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ ,  $(\text{Ch}(a) = \alpha)$  which are vertical configurations.*

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \subset (\mathbb{R}^3)^m$  be the space described in the statement. Any element of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  has at least one representative which is a vertical configuration and any two of those are in the same orbit under the orthogonal action of  $S^1 = SO(2)$  fixing the vertical axis. As  $a$  is generic, the quotient map  $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  is then a principal circle bundle. If  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  denotes the projection onto the first two coordinates, the correspondence  $\rho \mapsto (\pi(\rho_1), \pi(\rho_1 + \rho_2), \dots, \pi(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_{m-2}))$  defines a smooth  $S^1$ -equivariant map  $\tilde{r} : \mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2)^{m-2}$  which covers the map  $r$ . This proves that the characteristic class of  $\mathcal{E}'(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  is  $R$ .  $\square$

**Example 6.3** The chamber  $\alpha = \langle m \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{\neq}^m$  has its minimal representative  $a = a_{\min}(\alpha) = (1, \dots, 1, m-2)$ . As, in a vertical configuration  $\rho$  of  $[\rho] \in \mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ , one has  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \rho_i = (0, \dots, 0, m-2)$ , the sequence of the third coordinate of  $\rho_1, \rho_1 + \rho_2, \dots$ , must be strictly increasing. This implies that the map  $\tilde{r}$  of the proof of 6.2 is a smooth  $S^1$ -equivariant embedding. It induces a diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m \rangle)$  onto  $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3}$  and an identification of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}(\langle m \rangle) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m \rangle)$  with the Hopf bundle. (see also [Ha, Remark 4.2]).

Let  $\mathcal{D}(\alpha)$  be the total space of the  $D^2$ -bundle associated to  $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$ .

**Proposition 6.4** *If  $\beta \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\neq}^{m-1})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\beta^+)$  is diffeomorphic to the double of  $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ .*

PROOF: Let  $(b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \beta$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough so that  $a := (\varepsilon, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \beta^+$ . A class in  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  has a representative  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)$  which is a vertical configuration and with  $\rho_1 = (\varepsilon \cos \theta, 0, \varepsilon \sin \theta)$ . Let  $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$  be the space of such representatives. The map sending  $\rho$  to  $\theta$  is a smooth map  $\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \rightarrow [0, \pi]$ .

If  $\rho \in \check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$ , then  $\rho_m + \rho_1$  is close to  $\rho_m$ . This defines a smooth map  $P : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \rightarrow SO(3)$ , sending  $\rho$  to  $P_\rho$ , characterized by  $P_\rho(\rho_m + \rho_1) = (0, 0, -|\rho_m + \rho_1|)$  and  $P_\rho = \text{id}$  if  $\theta(\rho) = 0, \pi$ . The smooth map  $\check{F} : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \rightarrow [0, \pi] \times \mathcal{E}(\beta)$  given by

$$\check{F}(\rho) := \left( \theta(\rho), (P_\rho(\rho_2), \dots, P_\rho(\rho_{m-1}), P_\rho(\rho_m + \rho_1)) \right)$$

is a diffeomorphism. It induces a diffeomorphism

$$F : \mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha) \cong \mathcal{N}_3^m(a) \longrightarrow [0, \pi] \times \mathcal{E}(\beta) / \sim \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation given by  $(0, \eta) \sim (0, g \cdot \eta)$  and  $(\pi, \eta) \sim (\pi, g \cdot \eta)$  for all  $g \in SO(2)$ . The right member of (6.4) is diffeomorphic to the double of  $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$  which proves the proposition.  $\square$

If, in (6.1), one replaces  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , one gets a map

$$r : \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1} - \{0\} / \{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{m-2}$$

This produces a cohomology class  $R \in H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  which is the Stiefel-Whitney class of the double covering  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$ . Lemma 6.2 holds true and Example 6.3 becomes:

**Example 6.5** For the chamber  $\langle m \rangle$ , realized by  $a = (1, \dots, 1, m-2)$ , the map  $r$  is homotopic to a diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$  and gives an identification of the double covering  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\langle m \rangle) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$  with  $S^{m-3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$ .

If  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha)$  denotes the total space of the  $D^1$ -bundle associated to the double covering  $\mathcal{N}_2^m(\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$ , one proves, as in Proposition 6.4, that

**Proposition 6.6** *If  $\beta \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}P^{m-1})$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\beta^+)$  is diffeomorphic to the double of  $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ .  $\square$*

**Example 6.7** The chamber  $\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle = \langle m-1 \rangle^+$  is represented by  $a = (1/2, 1, \dots, 1, m-3)$ . As seen in Example 6.3 one has that  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle m-1 \rangle)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^{m-4}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\langle m-1 \rangle)$  is the disk bundle associated to the Hopf bundle. Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^{m-3} \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^{m-3}$ . For planar polygons, one has  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m-1 \rangle)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}P^{m-4}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(\langle m \rangle) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle m \rangle)$  is the double covering. Therefore,  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\langle \{m, 1\} \rangle)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}P^{m-3} \# \overline{\mathbb{R}P}^{m-3}$  (of course,  $\overline{\mathbb{R}P}^{m-3} = \mathbb{R}P^{m-3}$  when  $m$  is even).

**6.8 Case where  $\beta$  is non generic.** When  $\alpha = \beta^+$  with  $\beta$  non generic, some partial information about  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  can still be gathered. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.4, with the same notations. If  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, the  $(m-1)$ -tuple  $b_\delta := (b_2, \dots, b_m + \delta)$  is generic when  $0 < |\delta| \leq \varepsilon$ ; set  $\beta_{\pm\varepsilon} := \text{Ch}(b_{\pm\varepsilon})$ . The manifold  $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$  is now a cobordism between  $\mathcal{E}(\beta_\varepsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\beta_{-\varepsilon})$ . By [Ha, Thm 3.2], the map  $-\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \rightarrow [-\pi, 0]$  is a Morse function. It has only one critical value, the angle for which the diagonal length  $|\rho_m + \rho_1|$  is equal to  $b_m$ . The preimage of this critical value is diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_3^{m-1}(\beta)$  and the (isolated) critical points are the lined configurations. There is one for each almost short subset  $I = S_{m-1}(\beta)$  and its index is equal to  $2|I|$  (or  $|I|$  for planar polygons). As in Equation (6.4), the space  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  is diffeomorphic to the quotient of the cobordism  $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$  by the following identifications on its two ends:  $\eta \sim g \cdot \eta$  for all  $g \in SO(2)$ , when  $\theta(\eta) = 0, \pi$  (for planar polygons,  $g \in O(1)$ ).

As an application of the results of this section, we will describe all the hexagon spaces in Section 8.

**6.9 Use of toric manifolds.** Recall that a symplectic manifold  $M^{2n}$  is called toric if it is endowed with a Hamiltonian action of a torus  $T$  of dimension  $n$  (the maximal possible dimension for a Hamiltonian torus action). The moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \text{Lie}(T)^* \approx \mathbb{R}^n$  has for image a convex polytope, the *moment polytope*, which determines  $M$  up to  $T$ -equivariant symplectomorphism (see [Gu]).

The spatial polygon space  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  with its symplectic structure (see 2.6) may admit Hamiltonian torus actions by so the so called *bending flows* (see [Kl], [KM], [HT]), which we recall now. For  $I \in \underline{m}$ , define  $f_I : \mathcal{N}_3^m(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_I(\rho) := |\sum_{i \in I} \rho_i|$ . If  $f_I$  does not vanish, it is a smooth map which generates a Hamiltonian circle action on  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$ . This action rotates at constant speed the set of vectors  $\{\rho_i \mid i \in I\}$  around the axis  $\sum_{i \in I} \rho_i$  (see [Kl, § 2.1], [KM, Corollary 3.9]). The non-vanishing of  $f_I$  is equivalent to  $I$  being *lopsided*, that is there exists  $i \in I$  with  $a_i > \sum_{j \in I - \{i\}} a_j$  (see [HT]).

Suppose that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$  is formed of lopsided subsets satisfying the following “absorption condition”: if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $I \neq J$ , then either  $I \cap J = \emptyset$  or one is contained in the other. Then, the Hamiltonian flow of the  $f_i$ ’s of  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  commute and generate a Hamiltonian action of a torus  $T_{\mathcal{I}}$ . (see [Kl, § 2.1], [HT, Lemma 2.1]). Thus, when  $\dim T_{\mathcal{I}} = m - 3$ , the manifold  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(a)$  is a toric manifold which is determined by the moment polytope for the  $T_{\mathcal{I}}$ -action.

For example, when  $m = 5$ , each chamber  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^5)$  has a representative  $a \in \alpha$  with  $a_1 \neq a_2$  and  $a_3 \neq a_4$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{N}_3^5(a)$  admits a Hamiltonian action of the 2-dimensional torus  $T_{\mathcal{I}}$  for  $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ . This shows that the diffeomorphism type of  $\mathcal{N}_3^5(a)$  is that of a toric manifold. The determination of all the 2-dimensional moment polytopes was the principle of the classification of the 5-gon spaces given in [HK1, § 6].

The same holds for  $m = 6$  since each chamber  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^6)$  has a representative  $a \in \alpha$  with  $a_1 \neq a_2$ ,  $a_3 \neq a_4$  and  $a_5 \neq a_6$ . Therefore, all  $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$  are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds. The 3-dimensional moment polytopes can still be visualized but with more difficulties.

The above two cases generalizes in the following

**Proposition 6.10** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^m)$ . Suppose that there exists  $a \in \alpha \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$  with  $a_m \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-5} a_i$ . Then the diffeomorphism type of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  is that of a toric manifold.*

PROOF: One can find  $a' \in \alpha$  arbitrarily close to  $a$  so that  $a_{m-4} \neq a_{m-3}$ ,  $a_{m-2} \neq a_{m-1}$  and  $a_m > \sum_{i=1}^{m-5} a_i$ . Therefore the family of lopsided sets

$$\{m, 1\}, \{m, 2, 1\}, \dots, \{m, m-5, m-4, \dots, 1\}, \{m-4, m-3\}, \{m-2, m-1\}$$

satisfy the absorption condition. Their bending flows generate a Hamiltonian action of a torus of dimension  $m - 3$ , which proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Examples :** Consulting the table of the 135 seven-gons (see [HRWeb]), we see that there are only three  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^7)$  for which  $a = a_{\min}(\alpha)$  does not satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 6.10, that is, here,  $a_7 \geq a_1 + a_2$ . These are

$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$
$\langle 754, 762 \rangle$	$(3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5)$
$\langle 764 \rangle$	$(2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3)$
$\langle 765 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$

Thus, all the other 133 heptagon spaces are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds. We do not know whether the above three heptagon spaces are diffeomorphic to toric manifolds.

The same experiment with  $m = 8$  or  $9$  gives the following results: 217 elements of  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^8)$  (out of 2400) and 56550 elements of  $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\nearrow}^9)$  (out of 175428) do not satisfy the hypothesis of 6.10.

## 7 Cohomology invariants of $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$

Let  $\alpha$  be a chamber of  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m$ . In [HK2], presentations of the cohomology rings  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  were obtained in terms of  $\alpha$ . Our algorithms allowed us, with the help of a computer, to find enough information about these rings to prove that, for  $5 \leq m \leq 7$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha'$  if and only if the mod 2 cohomology rings of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  and of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha')$  are isomorphic.

We start by the Poincaré polynomial. Recall that  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  has a cellular decomposition with only even-dimensional cells [HK2, § 4], so its Poincaré polynomial is the same for any field  $\mathbb{F}$ :

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2(m-3)} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}) t^i$$

and has only terms of even degree. Moreover, the polynomial  $P(\sqrt{t})$  is the Poincaré polynomial of  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha)$  for the coefficient field with two elements  $\mathbb{F}_2$  [HK2, § 9]. The first formula for computing  $P(t)$  in terms of  $\alpha$  was found by A. Kliachko [Kl, Th. 2.2.4]. We will use the more economical formula, using only elements of  $S_m(\alpha)$ , obtained in [HK2, Cor. 4.3]. With our notation, this is:

**Proposition 7.1** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ . The Poincaré polynomial of  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  is*

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^2} \sum_{J \in S_m(\alpha)} (t^{2(|J|-1)} - t^{2(m-1-|J|)}).$$

**Remark 7.2** The difference between the formula in Proposition 7.1 and that of [HK2, Cor. 4.3] comes from that, there, the notation  $S_m$  is used for the set of  $I \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1})$  such that  $J \cup \{m\} \in S$ . Recall that, here,  $S_m = S \cap \mathcal{P}_m(\underline{m})$ . So, each occurrence of  $|J|$  in [HK2, Cor. 4.3] is replaced here by  $|J| - 1$ .

For  $\beta \subset \mathcal{P}(\underline{m})$ , denote by  $\text{NS}_i(\beta)$  the number of sets  $I \in \beta$  with  $|I| = i + 1$ . The formula of Proposition 7.1 gives the Betti numbers  $b_{2i} := b_{2i}(\alpha) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^i(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$  as the solution of the system of equations

$$b_{2i} - b_{2i-2} = \text{NS}_i(S_m(\alpha)) - \text{NS}_{m-2-i}(S_m(\alpha)), \quad (7.3)$$

starting with  $b_{2i} = 0$  if  $i < 0$ . For instance, if  $\alpha = \langle 54 \rangle$ , realized by  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ , one has

$$S_5(\alpha) = \{5, 51, 52, 53, 54\}$$

thus  $\text{NS}_0(S_5(\alpha)) = 1$ ,  $\text{NS}_1(S_5(\alpha)) = 4$  and the other  $\text{NS}_i(S_5(\alpha))$  vanish. This gives  $b_0 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 5$  and  $b_4 = 1$ , which are indeed the Betti numbers of  $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 54 \rangle) = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# 4\mathbb{C}P^2$  [HK2, Example 10.4].

As our computer algorithm had to list all the sets of  $S_m(\alpha)$  (for instance, for the realization), the numbers  $\text{NS}_i(S_m(\alpha))$  are available and so are the  $b_{2i}$ 's.

We now recall the presentation of  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z})$  obtained in [HK2, Thm 6.4]. Taking care of Remark 7.2, this gives:

**Proposition 7.4** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ch}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^m)$ . The cohomology ring of the polygon space  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  with coefficient in a ring  $\Lambda$  is*

$$H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \Lambda) = \Lambda[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}] / \mathcal{I}(\alpha)$$

where  $R$  and  $V_i$  are of degree 2 and  $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$  is the ideal of  $\Lambda[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}]$  generated by the three families

$$\begin{aligned} (R1) \quad & V_i^2 + RV_i && i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ (R2) \quad & \prod_{i \in L} V_i && \text{for all } L \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1}) \text{ with } L \cup \{m\} \notin S(\alpha) \\ (R3) \quad & \sum_{\substack{S \subset L \\ S \cup \{m\} \in \alpha}} \left( \prod_{i \in S} V_i \right) R^{|L-S|-1} && \text{for all } L \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{m-1}) \text{ with } L \notin S(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

We shall use this presentation, first to compute a homotopy invariant  $r_{\cup}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined as the rank of the linear map  $x \mapsto x \cup x$  from  $H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  to  $H^4(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  (recall that  $x \mapsto x^2$  is a linear map in an algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ). Observe that  $r_{\cup}(\alpha)$  is also the rank of the same map from  $H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  to  $H^2(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

**Proposition 7.5** *For all  $\alpha$  a chamber of  $\mathbb{R}_{>}^m$ , one has*

$$r_{\cup}(\alpha) = 1 + \text{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) - \text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) - \text{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha)). \quad (7.5)$$

PROOF: One has  $1 + \text{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) - \text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = b_2$  by equations 7.3. Let us first consider the case  $\text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . This means that  $S_m(\alpha)$  contains a set with  $m - 2$  elements and thus contains the smallest of those for the order  $\hookrightarrow$ , which is  $I := \{m, m - 3, m - 4, \dots, 1\}$ . Then  $\alpha = \langle I \rangle$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha \neq \langle I \rangle$ , then  $\alpha$  would contain  $J := \{m, m - 2\}$ , which is impossible since  $\bar{J} \hookrightarrow I$ . Therefore,

$$\text{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha)) = m - 3 \quad , \quad \text{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha)) = m - 3 \quad , \quad \text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = 1$$

and the right hand member of (7.5) is equal to zero. On the other hand, Relator (R3) of Proposition 7.4, with  $L = \{m - 2, m - 1\}$  and  $S = \emptyset$  gives the equality  $R = 0$ . By Relators (R1), all squares vanish and  $r_{\cup} = 0$ . Formula (7.5) is then proven in the case  $\text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\langle I \rangle)$  is diffeomorphic to a product of  $m - 3$  copies of the sphere  $S^2$  [HK2, Example 10.2].

Assume then that  $\text{NS}_{m-3}(S_m(\alpha)) = 0$ . By Proposition 7.4, the vector space  $H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$  has the basis  $R, V_1, \dots, V_p$  for  $p = \text{NS}_1(S_m(\alpha))$ . Indeed,  $V_i = 0$  for  $i > p$  by Relator (R2) with  $L = \{i\}$ . The image of  $x \mapsto x^2$  is generated by  $R^2, V_1^2, \dots, V_p^2$ . The relations between these generators come from relators (R3) of Proposition 7.4 with  $|L| = 3$ . For such an  $L = \{i, j, k\}$  (denoted by  $ijk$ ), the relation is

$$R^2 + RV_i + RV_j + RV_k + V_iV_j + V_iV_k + V_jV_k = 0. \quad (7.6)$$

The three last terms of the left hand member vanish by Relator (R2). Indeed, since  $ijk \notin \alpha$  and  $ijk \hookrightarrow ijm$ , then  $ijm \notin \alpha$ . By Relator (R1), Equation (7.6) becomes

$$R^2 - V_i^2 - V_j^2 - V_k^2 = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

To establish Proposition 7.5, it is enough to prove that, for distinct  $i, j, k$ , Equations (7.7) are independent. But, by Proposition 7.4, The vector space  $H^4(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  is generated by the  $b_1$  elements  $R^2, V_1^2, \dots, V_p^2$  together with the  $\text{NS}_2(S_m(\alpha))$  non-vanishing products  $V_iV_j$  ( $ijm \in \alpha$ ) and these generators are just subject to Equations (7.7). This implies that  $b_2 \geq b_1 + \text{NS}_2(S_m(\alpha)) - \text{NS}_{m-4}(S_m(\alpha))$ . By Equations (7.3), this inequality is an equality, showing that Equations (7.7) are independent.  $\square$

The idea of our last cohomology invariant Was given to us by R. Bacher. Define  $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$  to be the ideal of  $\mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}]$  generated by the elements of  $\mathcal{I}(\alpha)$  which are polynomials of degree  $\leq k$  in the variables  $R$  and  $V_i$ 's (then giving elements of degree  $\leq 2k$  in  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$ ). Define  $\text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{F}P^{m-1}$  to be the projective variety defined by the equations  $W = 0$  for all  $W \in \mathcal{I}_2(\alpha)$ .

**Proposition 7.8** *a) Let  $\alpha, \alpha'$  be chambers of  $\mathbb{R}_{\neq}^m$ . Any graded ring isomorphism from  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F})$  onto  $H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha'); \mathbb{F})$  induces, for  $k \geq 1$ , a bijection from  $\text{Sol}_k(\alpha'; \mathbb{F})$  to  $\text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$ .*

b) Suppose that  $k \geq 2$ . Then, any element  $\zeta \in \text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$  has a unique representative of the form  $(-1, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^m$  with  $v_i = 0$  or  $1$ . In particular, the set  $\text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$  is finite.

c) The finite set  $\text{Sol}_2(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$  does not depend on the field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

PROOF:

*Proof of a)* : Let  $q : H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha'); \mathbb{F})$  be a graded ring homomorphism. By Proposition 7.4, the homomorphism  $q$  is covered by a graded ring homomorphism  $\tilde{q} : \mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[R', V'_1, \dots, V'_{m-1}]$  which sends  $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$  into  $\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha')$  for all  $k$ . Such a lifting  $\tilde{q}$  is well defined up to a homomorphism with image in  $\mathcal{I}_1(\alpha')$ , therefore  $q$  functorially induces homomorphisms  $\tilde{q} : \mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[R', V'_1, \dots, V'_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha')$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Observe that  $\text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$  can be identified with the projectivization of the vector space of ring homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{F}[R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}]/\mathcal{I}_k(\alpha)$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ . Therefore, the homomorphism  $q$  will functorially induce maps  $\hat{q} : \text{Sol}_k(\alpha'; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . By this functoriality, if  $q$  is an isomorphism, then  $\hat{q}$  is a bijection, which proves a).

*Proof of b)* : let  $z = (r, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^m - \{0\}$  represent an element  $\zeta \in \text{Sol}_k(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$ . Then,  $r \neq 0$ , since, otherwise relators (R1) of Proposition 7.4 would give equations  $v_i^2 = -rv_i$ , implying that  $z = 0$ . Then,  $[z]$  has a unique representative with  $r = -1$  and the equations  $v_i^2 = -rv_i$  imply that  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof of c)* : The equations defining  $\text{Sol}_2(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$ , coming from Relators (R1)-(R3) of 7.4, are, for  $i, j, k = 1 \dots, m - 1$ :

- (i)  $v_i^2 = -rv_i$ . Having normalized  $r = -1$ , these are equivalent to  $v_i = 0, 1$ .
- (ii)  $v_i = 0$ , for  $\{i, m\} \notin \alpha$  and  $v_i v_j = 0$  for  $\{i, j, m\} \notin \alpha$ .
- (iii) Equations (7.7) which, after (i), become  $v_i^2 + v_j^2 + v_k^2 = 1$ , for  $\{i, j, k\} \notin \alpha$ .

The solutions  $v_i = 0, 1$  of Equations (ii) are clearly independent of the ground field  $\mathbb{F}$ . The solutions  $v_i = 0, 1$  of an equation like  $v_i^2 + v_j^2 + v_k^2 = 1$  seem, a priori, to depend on the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$ . But, as seen just before Equation (7.7), such an equation occurs only if  $r_i r_j = r_j r_k = r_k r_i = 0$ . Thus, Equation (iii) is equivalent to the fact that exactly one of the  $v_i, v_j, v_k$  is equal to one, a condition independent of  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.9** We set  $s(\alpha)$  to be the number of elements of  $\text{Sol}_2(\alpha; \mathbb{F})$ . This does not depend on the field  $\mathbb{F}$  by Proposition 7.8.

It is not difficult to compute  $s(\alpha)$  with or without the help of a computer. We select the elements of  $W(R, V_1, \dots, V_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{I}_2(\alpha)$  and count how many of them vanish when  $R = -1$  and  $V_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .



**7.10 Proof of Proposition B:** The following implies Proposition B of the introduction:

**Proposition 7.11** *Let  $5 \leq m \leq 7$  and let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{Ch}(\mathbb{R}_{\rightarrow}^m)$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha'$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_3^m(\alpha')$  have the same Betti numbers,  $r_{\cup}(\alpha) = r_{\cup}(\alpha')$  and  $s(\alpha) = s(\alpha')$ .*

PROOF: For  $m = 5$ , by the list of Table V, The only case where two 5-gon spaces have the same Betti numbers are  $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 52 \rangle) \approx \mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_3^5(\langle 521 \rangle) \approx S^2 \times S^2$ . But  $r_{\cup}(\langle 52 \rangle) = 1$  while  $r_{\cup}(\langle 521 \rangle) = 0$ . (Taking  $\mathbb{F}_2$  coefficients is important here: for instance, these two spaces have isomorphic cohomology ring with real coefficients.)

For  $m = 6$ , the list of Table VI in Section 8 has been sorted by lexicographic order of the triple  $b_2(\alpha), r_{\cup}(\alpha), s(\alpha)$ . One thus can check that no such triples occur twice. The same holds for  $m = 7$  with  $b_2(\alpha), b_4(\alpha), r_{\cup}(\alpha), s(\alpha)$  (table in [HRWeb]).

**Remark 7.12** By [HK2, §9], the cohomology ring  $H^*(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2)$  admits the presentation of Proposition 7.4, with  $R$  and the  $V_i$ 's of degree 1. Therefore, the above invariants are mod 2 cohomology invariants of the spaces  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^m(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2$  and Proposition 7.11 holds true for these spaces.

**Problem 7.13** When a virtual genetic code  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_m$  is not realizable (for instance when  $m = 9$ ), it gives rise as well to a non-trivial graded ring. Is this ring the cohomology ring of a space? Does it satisfy Poincaré duality? Is this ring the cohomology ring of a manifold?

## 8 The hexagon spaces

As for Tables III-V of Section 6 the first column of Table VI below contains the list of the 21 genetic codes of type 6, all realized by a chamber  $\alpha$  whose minimal realization  $a_{\min}(\alpha)$ , using conventional representatives (see 5.2), is written in the second column. The next three columns give the cohomology invariants of  $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$  or  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$  which are defined in Section 7, with the abbreviations

$$b := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha); \mathbb{F}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H^1(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha); \mathbb{F}_2) , \quad r_{\cup} = r_{\cup}(\alpha) , \quad s = s(\alpha).$$

By Poincaré duality, the number  $b$  determines the Poincaré polynomial  $P(t)$  of  $\mathcal{N}_3^6(\alpha)$  which is

$$P(t) = 1 + bt^2 + bt^4 + t^6$$

(for  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$ , this would be  $P(t) = 1 + bt + bt^2 + t^3$ ). The 6-gon spaces have been listed by the lexicographic order of the triples  $(b, r_{\cup}, s)$ , showing that the homotopy

type of the hexagon spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are distinguished by these cohomology invariants.

The last two columns contain some geometric descriptions of  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2^6(\alpha)$  obtained by the methods discussed in Section 6. In the last column, we see the hexagon spaces coming from the 7 generic pentagons by adding a tiny vector; their descriptions uses Proposition 6.1. Lines 2 and 3, illustrate Examples 6.5 and 6.7. Line 4 is a special case of [HK2, Example 10.2] (see also the proof of Proposition 7.5). The other descriptions come from the method of 6.8. Using the notations of 6.8, the 3-manifold  $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$  is a cobordism between the orientable surfaces  $\mathcal{E}(\beta_\varepsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\beta_{-\varepsilon})$ . When they are connected (all cases except Line 7), we denote their genus respectively by  $g_+$  and  $g_-$ . The map  $-\theta : \check{\mathcal{E}}(a) \rightarrow [-\pi, 0]$  is a Morse function with  $n_1$  critical points of index 1 and  $n_2$  critical points of index 2. This situation is indicated in Table VI by the writing  $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$  (observe that  $g_- = g_+ + n_1 - n_2$ ). The orientable 3-manifold  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6(\alpha)$  is diffeomorphic to the quotient of the cobordism  $\check{\mathcal{E}}(a)$  by, on each end, a free involution reversing the orientation.

In other words, the notation  $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$  tells us that the orientable 3-manifold  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$  is obtained in the following way. For  $\Sigma$  a surface, denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma)$  the mapping cylinder of the orientation covering of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $W_+ = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_{g_+})$  union with  $n_1$  1-handles and  $W_- = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_{g_-})$  union with  $n_2$  1-handles. If we require that  $W_\pm$  are orientable, they are well defined since there is only one way, up to diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity, to attach 1-handles to  $\Sigma_{g_\pm}^{or} \times [0, 1]$  in order to obtain an orientable manifold. Thus,  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$  is obtained by gluing  $W_+$  to  $W_-$  by a diffeomorphism of their boundary. In the case where  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ , one has  $W_+ = W_-$  and Proposition 6.6 says that the gluing diffeomorphism is the identity. We were not able to identify this gluing diffeomorphism in the other cases, so, *a priori*, the numbers  $[g_+; n_1, n_2; g_-]$  do not determine the homeomorphism type of  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$ .

In the case  $\alpha = \langle 632 \rangle$  (line 7 of the table),  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^5(\beta_\varepsilon) = T^2$  (the only case where it is orientable). Therefore  $W_+ = T^2 \times [-1, 1]$  and  $W_- = \bar{\mathcal{D}}(\Sigma_2)$ .

**Table VI : the 6-gon spaces**

	$\alpha$	$a_{\min}(\alpha)$	$b$	$r_{\cup}$	$s$	$\mathcal{N}_2^6(\alpha)$	$\mathcal{N}_2^6(\alpha)$
1	$\langle \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	0	0	0	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
2	$\langle 6 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4)	1	1	1	$\mathbb{R}P^3$	$S^3$
3	$\langle 61 \rangle$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3)	2	2	2	$\mathbb{R}P^3 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{R}P^3}$	$S^2 \times S^1$
4	$\langle 6321 \rangle$	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)	3	0	0	$T^3$	$T^3 \amalg T^3$
5	$\langle 621 \rangle$	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2)	3	2	0	[1; 0, 0; 1]	$T^3$
6	$\langle 62 \rangle$	(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 5)	3	3	3	[0; 1, 0; 1]	
7	$\langle 632 \rangle$	(1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 4)	4	1	1	see above	
8	$\langle 631 \rangle$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3)	4	2	0	[2; 0, 0; 2]	$\Sigma_2^{or} \times S^1$

9	$\langle 621, 63 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 5)$	4	3	1	$[1; 1, 0; 2]$	
10	$\langle 63 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 4)$	4	4	4	$[0; 2, 1; 2]$	
11	$\langle 641 \rangle$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$	5	2	0	$[3; 0, 0; 3]$	$\Sigma_3^{or} \times S^1$
12	$\langle 632, 64 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3)$	5	2	2	$[2; 1, 1; 2]$	
13	$\langle 631, 64 \rangle$	$(1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	5	3	1	$[2; 1, 0; 3]$	
14	$\langle 621, 64 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4)$	5	4	2	$[1; 2, 0; 3]$	
15	$\langle 64 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$	5	5	5	$[0; 3, 0; 3]$	
16	$\langle 651 \rangle$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$	6	2	0	$[4; 0, 0; 4]$	$\Sigma_4^{or} \times S^1$
17	$\langle 641, 65 \rangle$	$(1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3)$	6	3	1	$[3; 1, 0; 4]$	
18	$\langle 632, 65 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)$	6	3	3	$[2; 2, 1; 3]$	
19	$\langle 631, 65 \rangle$	$(1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4)$	6	4	2	$[2; 2, 0; 4]$	
20	$\langle 621, 65 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3)$	6	5	3	$[1; 3, 0; 4]$	
21	$\langle 65 \rangle$	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$	6	6	6	$[0; 4, 0; 4]$	

---

**8.1 Problem.** Describe more precisely the 3-dimensional manifolds  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_2^6$ , for instance in terms of the Kirby calculus.

## References

- [AC] A. Albouy & A. Chenciner. Le problème des  $n$  corps et les distances mutuelles. *Inventiones Math.* 131 (1998), 151–184.
- [Ch] Chvátal V. Linear programming. W.H. Freeman and Co. 1983.
- [Gu] Guillemin, V. Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian  $T^n$ -spaces. *Birkhäuser* (1994).
- [Ha] Hausmann, J-C. Sur la topologie des bras articulés. In “*Algebraic Topology, Poznan*”, *Springer Lectures Notes* **1474** (1989), 146–159.
- [HK1] Hausmann, J-C. and Knutson A. Polygon spaces and Grassmannians. *Enseign. Math.* 43 (1997) 173–198.
- [HK2] Hausmann, J-C. and Knutson A. The cohomology rings of polygon spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 48 (1998) 281–321.
- [HRWeb] <http://www.unige.ch/math/folks/hausmann/polygones>. Web page organized by the authors.
- [HT] Hausmann, J-C. and Tolman S. Maximal Hamiltonian tori for polygon spaces. *preprint, June 2002, math.SG/0207062*
- [Ka1] Kamiyama Y. The homology of singular polygon spaces. *Can. J. Math.* 50 (1998) 581–594

- [Ka2] Kamiyama Y. and Tezuka M. Topology and geometry of equilateral polygon linkages in the Euclidean plane. *Can. J. Math.*
- [KBCL] Kendall D.G., Barden D, Carne T.K. and Le H. Shape and Shape Theory. John Wiley & Sons.ltd 1999.
- [Kl] Klyachko, A. Spatial polygons and stable configurations of points in the projective line. in: *Algebraic geometry and its applications (Yaroslavl, 1992), Aspects Math., Vieweg, Braunschweig* (1994) 67–84.
- [KM] Kapovich, M. & Millson, J. The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space. *Jour. Diff. Geom.* 44 (1996), no. 3, 479–513
- [Mi] Milnor, J. Topology from the differential viewpoint. *The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville* 1965.
- [MR] Marsden, J. and Ratiu, T. Introduction to mechanics and symmetry. *Springer-Verlag*, 1994.
- [Pa] Palais R. On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups. *Ann. of Math.* 73 (1961) 295–323.
- [PS] Procesi C. & Schwarz, G.W. Inequalities defining orbit spaces. *Inventiones Math.* 81 (1985), 539–554.
- [Sm] Small C. The statistical theory of shape. *Springer-Verlag*, 1996.
- [Sch] Schwarz, G.W. Smooth functions invariants under the action of a compact Lie group. *Topology* 14 (1975) 63–68.
- [We] Wenger, A. Etudes des espaces de configurations de certains systèmes articulés. *Travail de diplôme, University of Geneva*, 1988.
- [Wl] Weyl H. The classical groups. *Princeton University Press* 1939.

Section de Mathématiques  
 Université de Genève, B.P. 240  
 CH-1211 Geneva 24, Switzerland

hausmann@math.unige.ch  
 rodriguez@math.unige.ch