

ON THE CAYLEY DIGRAPHS THAT ARE PATTERNS OF UNITARY MATRICES

SIMONE SEVERINI

ABSTRACT. Study the relationship between unitary matrices and their patterns is motivated by works in quantum chaology (see, *e.g.*, [KS99]) and quantum computation (see, *e.g.*, [M96] and [AKV01]). We prove that if a Cayley digraph is a line digraph then it is the pattern of a unitary matrix. We prove that for any finite group with two generators there exists a set of generators such that the Cayley digraph with respect to such a set is a line digraph and hence the pattern of a unitary matrix.

1. DEFINITIONS

The following standard definitions will be central:

Definition 1. Let $G = \langle S \rangle$ be a finite group. The (right) Cayley digraph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S is the digraph whose vertex set is G , and whose arc set is the set of all ordered pairs $\{(g, gs) : g \in G \text{ and } s \in S\}$.

Definition 2. Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph. The line digraph $L(D)$ of D is the digraph whose vertex set is $A(D)$, and $((u, v), (w, z)) \in A(L(D))$ if and only if $v = w$, where $u, v, w, z \in V(D)$ and $(u, v), (w, z) \in A(D)$.

Definition 3. Let M be a square matrix M of size n . A digraph D is said to be the pattern of M , if D is on n vertices and, for every $u, v \in V(D)$, $(u, v) \in A(D)$ if and only if the entry M_{uv} is nonzero.

Definition 4. A square matrix U with complex entries is said to be unitary if it is nonsingular and $U^\dagger U = I$, where U^\dagger and I denote the adjoint of U and the identity matrix, respectively.

2. ON THE CAYLEY DIGRAPHS THAT ARE PATTERNS OF UNITARY MATRICES

Denote by $M(D)$ the adjacency matrix of a digraph D .

Lemma 1. *If a regular digraph is a line digraph then it is the pattern of a unitary matrix.*

Date: June, 2002.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C10.

Key words and phrases. Cayley digraph; line digraph; unitary matrices.

Thanks to Sonia Mansilla for bring [MS01] to my attention. Thanks to Josef Lauri for our conversations on graph theory.

Proof. Recall the Richards characterization of line digraphs [R67]: a digraph is a line digraph if and only if: (i) the columns of its adjacency matrix are identical or orthogonal; (ii) the rows of its adjacency matrix are identical or orthogonal. Let D be a regular line digraph. It is easy to see that, from the Richards characterization, $M(D)$ is composed of independent submatrices without zero entries. Since for any n there exists a unitary matrix of size n without zero entries, and since a matrix composed by independent unitary matrices is unitary, the lemma is proven. ■

Theorem 1. *If a Cayley digraph is a line digraph then it is the pattern of a unitary matrix.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 and since a Cayley digraph is regular. ■

Remark 1. *The converse of Theorem 1 is not true. Denote by \mathbb{Z}_n the group of integers modulo n . The adjacency matrix of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle (0,0), (1,0), (0,1) \rangle$ is*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then, since the matrix } \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is real-orthogonal}$$

and hence unitary, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the pattern of a unitary matrix, but it is not a line digraph because it does not satisfy the Richard characterization.

Lemma 2 ([M01], Proposition 4.3.1). *If, for some $x \in S^{-1}$, $xS = H$, where H is a subgroup of G such that $|H| = r = |S|$, then $\text{Cay}(G, S) = L(D)$, where D is an r -regular (multi)digraph. (See also [MS01], Corollary 7.)*

Denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n .

Theorem 2. *For any finite group with two generators, there exists a set of generators such that the Cayley digraph with respect to such a set is a line digraph and hence the pattern of a unitary matrix.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle S \rangle$, where $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$. Take $s_1^{-1} \in S^{-1}$ (or, equivalently, s_2^{-1}). Then

$$s_1^{-1}S = \{s_1^{-1}s_1, s_1^{-1}s_2\} = \{e, s_1^{-1}s_2\}.$$

Let n be the order of $s_1^{-1}s_2$. Consider the group $C_n = \langle s_1^{-1}s_2 \rangle$. Write $T = s_1C_n$. Then $C_n = s_1^{-1}T$. Since $S \subset T$, $G = \langle T \rangle$, and since $|T| = n = |C_n|$ (in fact T is a left coset of C_n), by Lemma 2, $\text{Cay}(G, T)$ is a line digraph, and hence, by Theorem 1, the pattern of a unitary matrix. ■

Corollary 1. *For any finite simple group there exists a set of generators such that the Cayley digraph of the group with respect to such a set is a line digraph and hence the pattern of a unitary matrix.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, together with the fact that every finite simple group is generated by two elements [AG84]. ■

3. EXAMPLES

Example 1. By Theorem 1, $\text{Cay}(C_n, g)$, for any $g \in C_n$, is the only 1-regular Cayley digraph that is the pattern of a unitary matrix.

Remark 2. By Theorem 1, a Cayley digraph $\text{Cay}(G, \{s_1, s_2\})$ is the pattern of a unitary matrix if and only if $s_1 = s_2 s_1^{-1} s_2$ and $s_2 = s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1$. Moreover, if G is abelian then $s_1^2 = s_2^2$.

Denote by D_n the dihedral group of order $2n$ and by e the identity element.

Example 2. $\text{Cay}(D_n, \{s_1, s_2\})$ is the pattern of a unitary matrix, because of Theorem 1 and since the standard presentation of D_n is $\langle s_1, s_2 : s_1^n = s_2^2 = e, s_2 s_1 s_2 = s_1^{-1} \rangle$ (see, e.g. [CM72], §1.5). Alternatively, by Theorem 1 and since

$$\text{Cay}(D_n, \{s_1, s_2\}) \cong L(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{1, -1\}))$$

(see, e.g., [BEFS95]).

Example 3. By Theorem 1 and by Remark 2, $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_4, \{1, -1\})$ is the pattern of a unitary matrix.

Definition 5 ([F84]). Given the integers k and n , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, denote by $P(n, k)$ the digraph whose vertices are the permutations on k -tuples from $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and whose arcs are of the form $(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k), (i_2 i_3 \dots i_k i_1)$, where $i \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ (see also [BFF97]).

Denote by S_n the symmetric group on $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Example 4. $\text{Cay}(S_n, \{s_1, s_2\})$, where $s_1 = (1\ 2 \dots n)$ and $s_2 = (1\ 2 \dots n-1)$, is the pattern of a unitary matrix, by Theorem 1 and since $\text{Cay}(S_n, \{s_1, s_2\}) \cong L(P(n, n-2))$ ([BFF97], Lemma 2.1).

Example 5. $\text{Cay}(S_n, T)$, where $T = (1\ 2)C_{n-1}$, is the pattern of a unitary matrix. To show this, we use the construction in the proof of Theorem 2. Consider $S_n = \langle S = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 2 \dots n)\} \rangle$. Then $S^{-1} = \{(1\ 2), (1\ n \dots 2)\}$. Write $x = (1\ 2) \in S^{-1}$. Then $(1\ 2)S = \{e, (2\ 3 \dots n)\}$. Consider $C_{n-1} = \langle e, (2\ 3 \dots n) \rangle$. Write

$$T = (1\ 2)C_{n-1} = \{(1\ 2), (1\ 2)(2\ 3 \dots n) = (1\ 2 \dots n), \dots\}.$$

Since $S \subset T$, $S_n = \langle T \rangle$. Moreover $x \in T^{-1}$, $C_{n-1} = xT$ and $|T| = n-1 = C_{n-1}$. Then, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, $\text{Cay}(S_n, T)$ is the pattern of a unitary matrix.

REFERENCES

[AKV01] A. Ambainis, J. Kempe and U. Vazirani, Quantum walks on graphs, *Proc. 33th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (2001), 60-69.
 [AG84] M. Aschbacher and R. Guralnick, Some applications of the first cohomology group, *J. Algebra* **2** (1984), 446-460.
 [BEFS95] J. M. Brunat, M. Espona, M. A. Fiol and O. Serra, On Cayley line digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **138** (1995) 147-159.
 [BFF97] J. M. Brunat, M. A. Fiol and M. L. Fiol, Digraphs on permutations, *Discrete Math.* **174** (1997), 73-86
 [CM72] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups* (third edition), Springer, Berlin, 1972.

- [F84] M. L. Fiol, *The relation between digraphs and groups through Cayley digraphs*, Master Diss. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 1984 (in Catalan).
- [KS99] T. Kottos and U. Smilansky, Periodic orbit theory and spectral statistics for quantum graphs, *Ann. Physics* 274, no. 1 (1999), 76-124.
- [M01] S. P. Mansilla, *k*-Arc transitive covers of digraphs, PhD Thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 2001 (in Spanish).
- [MS01] S. P. Mansilla and O. Serra, Construction of *k*-arc transitive digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **231** (2001) 337-349.
- [M96] D. Meyer, From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases, *J. Stat. Phys.* **85** (1996), 551-574.
- [R67] P. I. Richards, Precedence constraints and arrows diagrams, *SIAM Rev.* **9** (1967), 548-553.

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, U.K.

E-mail address: `severini@cs.bris.ac.uk`