

## An exponential function on the set of varieties \*

S.M. Gusein-Zade †    I. Luengo    A. Melle–Hernández ‡

**Abstract**

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be either the Grothendieck semiring (semigroup with multiplication) of complex quasi–projective varieties, or the Grothendieck ring of these varieties, or the Grothendieck ring localized by the class  $\mathbb{L}$  of the complex affine line. We introduce a construction which defines operations of taking powers of series over these (semi)rings. This means that, for a power series  $A(t) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i t^i$  with the coefficients

$A_i$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  and for  $M \in \mathcal{R}$ , there is defined a series  $(A(t))^M$  (also with coefficients from  $\mathcal{R}$ ) so that all the usual properties of the exponential function hold. We also express in these terms the generating function of the Hilbert scheme of points (0-dimensional subschemes) on a surface.

Let  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , and  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$  be the Grothendieck semiring (semigroup with multiplication) of complex quasi–projective varieties, the Grothendieck ring of these varieties, and the Grothendieck ring localized by the class  $\mathbb{L}$  of the complex affine line  $\mathbb{C}$  respectively. (If we want to discuss any of them without specification, we shall denote it by  $\mathcal{R}$ . In all these cases, if  $Y$  is a Zariski closed subset of  $X$ , then  $[X] = [Y] + [X \setminus Y]$ .) Power series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i t^i$  with coefficients from one of these (semi)rings are usual objects of study, in particular, in the framework of the theory of motivic integration (see, e.g., [1], [5]). There are defined the sum and the product of such series and therefore the  $m$ -th power of a series for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . In what follows we shall consider power series with coefficients from one of these (semi)rings with  $A_0 = 1$  ( $= pt$ ). We

---

\*Math. Subject Class. 14A99, 14G10

†Partially supported by the grants RFBR–01–01–00739, INTAS–00–259, NWO–RFBR–047.008.005. Address: Moscow State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, Moscow, 119899, Russia. E-mail: sabir@mccme.ru

‡The last two authors were partially supported by the grant BFM2001–1488–C02–01. Address: University Complutense de Madrid, Dept. of Algebra, Madrid, 28040, Spain. E-mail: iluengo@mat.ucm.es, amelle@mat.ucm.es

shall show that there exists a (natural in some sense) notion of the  $M$ -th power of such a series for the exponent  $M$  from the same ring or semiring.

The problem arose from attempts to understand motivic versions of some notions and/or statements in which the Euler characteristic participates as an exponent of a series. The most straightforward approach using the Taylor decomposition of the series  $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots)^m$  leads to a series coefficients of which are polynomials in  $m$  and  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with rational coefficients, what has (or can be given) sense in  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  but not in  $\mathcal{R}$  itself.

The idea started from the following observation. For a complex algebraic variety  $M$ , its zeta function  $\zeta_M(t)$  is defined as the power series

$$\zeta_M(t) = 1 + S^1M \cdot t + S^2M \cdot t^2 + S^3M \cdot t^3 + \dots,$$

where  $S^kM$  is the  $k$ th symmetric power  $M^k/S_k$  of the variety  $M$ ,  $S_k$  is the symmetric group of permutations on  $k$  elements (see [3],[5]). One has:  $\zeta_0(t) = 1$ ,  $\zeta_1(t) = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots$ ,  $\zeta_{M+N}(t) = \zeta_M(t) \cdot \zeta_N(t)$  (here 0 and 1 are the classes of the empty set and of a one-point set respectively). Therefore one can consider  $\zeta_M(t)$  as the exponential function  $(1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^M$ . (The last property above guarantees that this definition can be extended to  $M$  from the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ :  $\zeta_{-M}(t) := (\zeta_M(t))^{-1}$  (of course in this case the coefficients of the series have to be considered as elements of the ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  as well).) One can say that the aim of this paper is to extend this definition of a power of the series  $1 + t + t^2 + \dots$  to an arbitrary series  $1 + A_1t + A_2t^2 + \dots$  with the coefficients  $A_i$  from one of the (semi)rings under discussion ( $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , or  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ ).

Let  $1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]$  be the set of (formal) power series  $A(t) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i t^i$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring,  $1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]$  is an abelian group with respect to the multiplication. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a semiring,  $1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]$  is an (abelian) semigroup (in this case the division is not defined).

**Definition:** An operation of taking powers of series over a (semi)ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is a map from  $(1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]) \times \mathcal{R}$  to  $1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]$  which is denoted by  $(A(t))^M$  ( $A(t) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i t^i \in 1 + \mathcal{R}_+[[t]]$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{R}$ ) and which possesses the properties:

1.  $(A(t))^0 = 1$ .
2.  $(A(t))^1 = A(t)$ .
3.  $(A(t) \cdot B(t))^M = (A(t))^M \cdot (B(t))^M$ .
4.  $(A(t))^{M+N} = (A(t))^M \cdot (A(t))^N$ .

$$5. (A(t))^{MN} = \left( (A(t))^N \right)^M.$$

**Remark.** In what follows we shall permit ourselves to denote  $(A(t))^M$  by  $A^M(t)$  as well. This is convenient in cases when we substitute the variable  $t$  by an expression (e.g., by  $t^s$  or by  $\mathbb{L}t$  below). For instance, the meaning of the expression  $A^M(t^s)$  is clear and is different from the (natural) meaning of  $(A(t^s))^M$ . (In fact for our construction these two expressions happen to coincide, however, this follows only from the definition below.) Usually we shall use the same notations for a variety (or for a constructible set) and for its class in the corresponding semiring or ring.

**Theorem 1** *There exists an operation of taking powers of series over the Grothendieck semiring  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  of complex algebraic varieties such that*

$$(1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^M = 1 + S^1 M \cdot t + S^2 M \cdot t^2 + \dots = \zeta_M(t).$$

**Proof.** Before giving the construction (definition) of the operation, we shall try to explain where it comes from. One property which we would like to have is

$$(A(t))^{MN} = \left( (A(t))^N \right)^M.$$

In particular, this means that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + S^1(MN)t + S^2(MN)t^2 + \dots &= \zeta_{MN}(t) = (1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^{MN} = \\ &= (\zeta_N(t))^M = (1 + S^1 N \cdot t + S^2 N \cdot t^2 + \dots)^M. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric power  $S^k(MN)$  of the product  $MN$  can be obtained from the symmetric powers  $S^i N$  and the variety  $M$  in the following way. Let  $\pi : (MN)^k \rightarrow M^k$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : S^k(MN) \rightarrow S^k M$  be the natural projections. The spaces  $M^k$  and  $S^k M$  have natural decompositions into parts corresponding to different patterns of coinciding points. For  $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots)$  with  $\sum_i i k_i = k$ ,

let  $M_{\underline{k}}$  (respectively  $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}}$ ) be the subset of  $M^k$  (respectively of  $S^k M$ ), points of which ( $k$ -tuples of points of  $M$ , ordered or unordered respectively) consist of  $k_1$  different points of  $M$ ,  $k_2$  (different) pairs of equal points,  $k_3$  triples of equal points,  $\dots$ . The subspace  $M_{\underline{k}}$  is locally closed in  $M^k$ ,  $M^k = \bigcup_{\underline{k}: \sum i k_i = k} M_{\underline{k}}$ ,  $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}} = M_{\underline{k}}/S_k$ ,  $S^k M = \bigcup_{\underline{k}: \sum i k_i = k} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}}$ . The preimage  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}})$  of the set  $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}}$  in  $S^k(MN)$  can be obtained in the following way. Consider the direct product

$$V_{\underline{k}} = M_{\underline{k}} \times \underbrace{N \times \dots \times N}_{k_1} \times \underbrace{S^2 N \times \dots \times S^2 N}_{k_2} \times \dots$$

One has  $M_{\underline{k}} \cong \left( \prod_i M^{k_i} \right) \setminus \Delta$  ( $= M^{\Sigma k_i} \setminus \Delta$ ), where  $\Delta$  is the "large diagonal" in  $M^{\Sigma k_i}$  which consists of  $(\Sigma k_i)$ -tuples of points of  $M$  with at least two coinciding ones. There is a natural free action of the group  $\prod_i S_{k_i}$  on the space  $V_{\underline{k}}$ , where  $S_{k_i}$  acts by permuting corresponding  $k_i$  factors in  $\prod_i M^{k_i} \supset M_{\underline{k}}$  and the spaces  $S^i N$  (there are  $k_i$  of them in the product). The preimage  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}})$  is nothing else but the factor of the space  $V_{\underline{k}}$  by this action,  $S^k(MN) = \bigcup_{\underline{k}: \Sigma i k_i = k} \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\widetilde{M}_{\underline{k}})$ .

To get the definition of the coefficients of the series  $(A(t))^M = (1 + A_1 t + A_2 t^2 + \dots)^M$ , one should substitute the spaces  $S^i N$  in the description above by the spaces  $A_i$ . This leads to the following definition.

**Definition:**

$$\begin{aligned} (A(t))^M &= (1 + A_1 t + A_2 t^2 + \dots)^M = \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\underline{k}: \Sigma i k_i = k} \left( \left( \prod_i M^{k_i} \right) \setminus \Delta \right) \times \prod_i A_i^{k_i} / \prod_i S_{k_i} \right\} \cdot t^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the group  $S_{k_i}$  acts by permuting corresponding  $k_i$  factors in  $\prod_i M^{k_i} \supset (\prod_i M^{k_i}) \setminus \Delta$  and the spaces  $A_i$ .

**Remark.** This definition can also be "read from" the following formula for the (usual) power  $(1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots)^m$  of a series with a natural exponent:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots)^m &= \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\underline{k}: \Sigma i k_i = k} m(m-1) \dots (m - \Sigma k_i + 1) \cdot \prod_i a_i^{k_i} / \prod_i k_i! \right) \cdot t^k \end{aligned}$$

(see, e.g., [8], page 40). In this formula one should understand the product  $m(m-1) \dots (m - \Sigma k_i + 1)$  as  $M^{\Sigma k_i} \setminus \Delta$  (this product is the number of elements of the indicated space if  $M$  is a set which consists of  $m$  points) and should understand the division by  $\prod_i k_i!$  as the factorization by the group  $\prod_i S_{k_i}$ .

The fact that  $(1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^M = 1 + S^1 M \cdot t + S^2 M \cdot t^2 + \dots = \zeta_M(t)$  and the properties 1 and 2 from the definition of an operation of taking powers of series are obvious. Let us reformulate the definition of the series  $(A(t))^M$  a little bit so that the properties 3 to 5 will be proved by establishing one-to-one correspondences between sets representing the coefficients of the left hand side (**LHS**) and the right hand side (**RHS**) series. (We don't use this version as the initial definition since in this case one has to define the corresponding sets as constructible ones.) The coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the series  $(A(t))^M$

is represented by the set whose element is a (finite) subset  $K$  of points of the variety  $M$  with (positive) multiplicities such that the total number of points of the set  $K$  counted with multiplicities is equal to  $k$  plus a map  $\varphi$  from  $K$  to  $\mathcal{A} = \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  ( $A_0 = 1 = pt$ ) such that a point of multiplicity  $s$  goes to  $A_s \subset \mathcal{A}$  ( $\coprod$  means the disjoint union, i.e., the sum in the semiring  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ). For short, instead of writing that "a coefficient of a series is represented by a set which consists of elements of the form..." we shall write that "an element of the coefficient is...".

Proof of 3. Let  $C_j$  be the coefficient at the monomial  $t^j$  in the product  $A(t) \cdot B(t)$  ( $B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i t^i$ ):  $C_j = \sum_{i=0}^j A_i B_{j-i}$ . An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **LHS** of the equation 3 is a  $k$ -point subset  $K$  of  $M$  with a map  $\varphi$  from  $K$  to  $\coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i = \coprod_{i,j \geq 0} A_i B_j$  such that a point of multiplicity  $s$  goes to  $C_s = \coprod_{i=0}^s A_i \times B_{s-i}$ . An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **RHS** of this equation is: an  $\ell$ -point subset  $K_1$  of the variety  $M$  with  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ , a map  $\varphi_1$  from  $K_1$  to  $\mathcal{A} = \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  (such that a point of multiplicity  $s$  goes to  $A_s$ ), a  $(k - \ell)$ -point subset  $K_2$  of the variety  $M$ , a map  $\varphi_2$  from  $K_2$  to  $\mathcal{B} = \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i$  (such that a point of multiplicity  $s$  goes to  $B_s$ ). Suppose we have an element of the first set. Let us decompose the subset  $K$  into two parts  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . A point  $x$  of  $K$  of multiplicity  $s$  ( $= s(x)$ ) goes to  $C_s = \coprod_{i=0}^s A_i \times B_{s-i}$  and thus  $\varphi(x)$  belongs to one of the summands, say to  $A_{i_0} \times B_{s-i_0}$ . Let us include the point  $x$  into the set  $K_1$  with the multiplicity  $i_0$  and into the set  $K_2$  with the multiplicity  $s - i_0$ . If  $i_0$  and/or  $s - i_0$  is positive, define  $\varphi_1(x)$  and/or  $\varphi_2(x)$  as the corresponding projection (to  $A_{i_0}$  and/or to  $B_{s-i_0}$  respectively) of the point  $\varphi(x) \in A_{i_0} \times B_{s-i_0}$ . In the other direction, from an element of the second set one can construct an element of the first one uniting the subsets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (so that a multiplicity of a point  $x$  in  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is equal to the sum  $s_1 + s_2$  of its multiplicities in  $K_1$  and in  $K_2$ ) and defining  $\varphi(x)$  as  $(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) \in A_{s_1} \times B_{s_2} \subset C_{s_1+s_2}$ . One easily sees that these correspondences are inverse to each other and thus are one-to-one.

Proof of 4. An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **LHS** of the equation is a  $k$ -point subset  $K$  of the variety  $M \cup N$  with a map  $\varphi$  from  $K$  to  $\mathcal{A} = \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ . An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **RHS** is a pair: an  $\ell$ -point subset  $K_1$  of the variety  $M$  with  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$  and a  $(k - \ell)$ -point subset  $K_2$  of the variety  $N$  with their maps to  $\mathcal{A}$ . The union of these two subsets with the corresponding map to  $\mathcal{A}$  gives a subset in the union

$M \cup N$  with a map to  $\mathcal{A}$ . This correspondence is obviously one-to-one.

**Proof of 5.** An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **LHS** of the equation is a  $k$ -point subset  $K$  of the product  $M \times N$  with a map  $\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ . An element of the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the **RHS** is a  $k$ -point subset  $K_M$  of the variety  $M$  with a map from it which sends a point of multiplicity  $s$  to an  $s$  point subset of  $N$  with a map from it to  $\mathcal{A}$ . To establish a one-to-one correspondence between these coefficients (or rather between the variety representing them) one should define  $K_M$  as the projection  $\text{pr}_M K$  of the subset  $K \subset M \times N$  to the first factor and, for  $x \in K_M$ , the corresponding subset in  $N$  as  $\text{pr}_N \text{pr}_M^{-1}(x)$  (with the natural map to  $\mathcal{A}$ ).  $\square$

**Examples.**

$$(1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^M = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S^k M \cdot t^k;$$

$$(1 + t)^M = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (M^k \setminus \Delta) / S_k \cdot t^k.$$

Let  $\chi(X)$  be the Euler characteristic of the space  $X$ . For a series  $A(t) = 1 + A_1 t + A_2 t^2 + \dots$ , one defines its Euler characteristic as the series

$$\chi(A(t)) = 1 + \chi(A_1)t + \chi(A_2)t^2 + \dots \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

**Statement 1**

$$\chi((A(t))^M) = (\chi(A(t)))^{\chi(M)}.$$

The **proof** follows either from direct calculations or from the fact that the coefficients at the monomials  $t^k$  in the **LHS** of the equation are polynomials in the Euler characteristics of the varieties  $M$  and  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and the equation holds for "natural numbers", i.e., for the case when all the varieties  $M$  and  $A_i$  are finite sets of points.  $\square$

**Remark.** The corresponding formula for the virtual Hodge–Deligne polynomial is not so straightforward.

**Theorem 2** *There exists an operation of taking powers of series over the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  of complex algebraic varieties which extends the one defined over the semiring  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

**Proof.** To define the operation notice that for any series  $A(t) \in 1 + K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_+[[t]]$  there exists a series  $B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i t^i \in 1 + K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_+[[t]]$  with the coefficients

from the image of the natural map  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  such that all the coefficients of the product  $C(t) = A(t) \cdot B(t)$  are from the same image as well. Then one puts:

$$(A(t))^M := (C(t))^M / (B(t))^M.$$

To define the power of a series with the exponent  $M$  from the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , one puts

$$(A(t))^{-M} := 1 / (A(t))^M.$$

The properties of the definition of an operation of taking powers of series obviously hold.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *There exists an operation of taking powers of series over the ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$  (i.e., over the Grothendieck ring of complex algebraic varieties localized by the class  $\mathbb{L}$  of the complex affine line  $\mathbb{C}$ ) which extends the one defined over the ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

**Proof.** First let us define the operation for series  $A(t)$  with the coefficients  $A_i$  from the ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$  with the exponent  $M$  from the "non-localized" ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . This is possible because of the following statement.

**Statement 2** *Let  $A_i$  and  $M$  be from the Grothendieck ring of complex algebraic varieties. Then, for  $s \geq 0$ ,  $(A(\mathbb{L}^s t))^M = A^M(\mathbb{L}^s t)$ .*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove this equation for a series with the coefficients from  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . The coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the power series  $A^M(t)$  is a sum of varieties of the form

$$V = \left( \left( \prod_i M^{k_i} \right) \setminus \Delta \right) \times \prod_i A_i^{k_i} / \prod_i S_{k_i}$$

with  $\sum ik_i = k$ . The corresponding summand  $\tilde{V}$  in the coefficient at the monomial  $t^k$  in the power series  $(A(\mathbb{L}^s t))^M$  has the form

$$\tilde{V} = \left( \left( \prod_i M^{k_i} \right) \setminus \Delta \right) \times \prod_i (\mathbb{L}^{s_i} A_i)^{k_i} / \prod_i S_{k_i}.$$

There is a natural map  $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  which from the point of view of differential geometry is a complex analytic vector bundle of rank  $sk$  (i.e., it is locally trivial over a neighbourhood of each point in the usual topology). According to [7] this is a vector bundle in the "algebraic sense", i.e., it is locally trivial over a

Zariski open neighbourhood of each point. This implies that  $[\tilde{V}] = \mathbb{L}^{sk} \cdot [V]$ .  
 $\square$

For a series  $A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i t^i$ , let  $J^r A(t)$  be its truncation up to terms of degree  $r$ , i.e.,  $J^r A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r A_i t^i$ . Statement 2 implies that, for  $A_i \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $M \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , one can define the power  $(A(t))^M$  by the formula

$$J^r \left( (A(t))^M \right) := (J^r A(\mathbb{L}^s t))^M \Big|_{t \rightarrow t/\mathbb{L}^s}$$

for  $s$  large enough so that all the coefficients of  $J^r A(\mathbb{L}^s t)$  belong to the image of the map  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ . One can easily see that the properties 3–5 hold.

Now we have to extend the operation to the exponent  $M$  from the localized ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ . First let us do it for one particular series, namely for  $(1 + t + t^2 + \dots)$ . One can say that we define the zeta function  $\zeta_M(t)$  for  $M \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ .

**Statement 3** For  $M \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{L}^s M}(t) = \zeta_M(\mathbb{L}^s t).$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove the equation for  $s = 1$ . One has  $\zeta_{\mathbb{L}}(t) = 1 + \mathbb{L}t + \mathbb{L}^2 t^2 + \dots$  and therefore

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{L}M}(t) = (1 + \mathbb{L}t + \mathbb{L}^2 t^2 + \dots)^M = (1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^M \Big|_{t \rightarrow \mathbb{L}t},$$

(we have used Statement 2).  $\square$

This statement permits to define  $\zeta_M(t)$  for  $M \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$  by the formula

$$\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_{\mathbb{L}^s M}(\mathbb{L}^{-s} t)$$

for  $s$  large enough (so that  $\mathbb{L}^s M$  belongs to the image of the map  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ ).

For  $A(t) \in 1 + K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_+[[t]]$ ,  $M \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $s > 0$ , one has

$$(A(t^s))^M = A^M(t^s).$$

Since  $\zeta_M(t) = 1 + Mt + \dots$ , for any series  $A(t) \in 1 + K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}[[t]]$  and for any  $r > 0$ , the truncated series  $J^r A(t)$  can be (in a unique way) represented as

$$J^r \left( \zeta_{A_1}(t) \cdot \zeta_{A'_2}(t^2) \cdot \zeta_{A'_3}(t^3) \cdot \dots \cdot \zeta_{A'_r}(t^r) \right)$$



with  $A'_i \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ . One defines  $(A(t))^M$  by the formula

$$J^r \left( (A(t))^M \right) := J^r \left( \zeta_{MA_1}(t) \cdot \zeta_{MA'_2}(t^2) \cdot \dots \cdot \zeta_{MA'_r}(t^r) \right). \quad (1)$$

Properties 3–5 of the definition of taking powers of series obviously hold.  $\square$

**Remarks. 1.** If the zeta function  $\zeta_M(t)$  itself is already defined for  $M$  from  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  or from  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})_{(\mathbb{L})}$ , the formula (1) can be used to define the operation of taking powers of series over these rings. Such an operation is **unique** (because of Property 6). However it does not help to define this operation over  $S_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

**2.** The described structure is somewhat similar to the structure of a  $\lambda$ -ring (see, e.g., [4]), but is different from it. If the series  $\lambda_t(M) = (1+t)^M$  would define the structure of a  $\lambda$ -ring, then the coefficient at  $t^2$  in  $(1+t)^{\mathbb{L}^2}$  (computed as  $\lambda_t(\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{L})$ ) has to be equal to  $2\mathbb{L}^4 - 2\mathbb{L}^2$  when in our construction it is equal to  $\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^2$ .

**3.** Yu.I.Manin has explained us that his calculations in [6] can be considered as a particular case of our construction.

**An application.** For a smooth quasi-projective surface  $M$ , let  $M^{[n]} = \text{Hilb}^n M$  be the Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional subschemes of length  $n$  on  $M$ .

**Statement 4** *In the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} M^{[n]} t^n &= \prod_{k \geq 1} \zeta_{\mathbb{L}^{-1}M}((\mathbb{L}t)^k) \\ &= \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \zeta_M(\mathbb{L}^{k-1} t^k) \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{k-1} t^k} \right)^M \\ &= \prod_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - t^k} \right)^{\mathbb{L}^{k-1} M}. \end{aligned}$$

The **proof** follows from the following result of L. Göttsche [2]:

$$M^{[n]} = \sum_{\underline{k}: \sum k_i = n} S^{\underline{k}} M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{n - |\underline{k}|},$$

where  $|\underline{k}| = \sum k_i$ ,  $S^{\underline{k}} M = S^{k_1} M \times \dots \times S^{k_n} M$ . Therefore

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} M^{[n]} t^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\underline{k}: \sum k_i = n} S^{\underline{k}} M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{n - |\underline{k}|} \right) \cdot t^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\underline{k}: \Sigma i k_i = n} S^{k_1} M \cdot \dots \cdot S^{k_n} M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{n-(k_1+\dots+k_n)} \right) \cdot t^{\Sigma i k_i} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\underline{k}: \Sigma i k_i = n} (S^{k_1} M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-k_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (S^{k_n} M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-k_n}) \right) \cdot (\mathbb{L}t)^{\Sigma i k_i} \\
&= \prod_{k \geq 1} \left( \sum_{r \geq 0} S^r M \cdot \mathbb{L}^{-r} (\mathbb{L}t)^{kr} \right) = \prod_{k \geq 1} \zeta_{\mathbb{L}^{-1}M} \left( (\mathbb{L}t)^k \right). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** D. van Straten told us that the fact that the generating function of the Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional subschemes of a surface is in some sense an exponent was conjectured by several people.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors are thankful to Tomás L. Gómez for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] Denef J., Loeser F. Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, v.135, no.1, 201–232 (1999).
- [2] Göttsche L. On the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface. *Mathematical Research Letters* 8, 613–627 (2001).
- [3] Kapranov M. The elliptic curve in the  $S$ -duality theory and Eisenstein series for Kac-Moody groups. Preprint math.AG/0001005.
- [4] Knutson D.  $\lambda$ -rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, V. 308. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [5] Looijenga E. Motivic measures. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1999/2000. *Astérisque*, no.276, 267–297 (2002).
- [6] Manin Yu. Generating functions in algebraic geometry and sums over trees. In: *The Moduli Space of Curves*, ed. by R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, G. van der Geer. *Progress in Math.*, vol. 129. Birkhäuser, 1995, 401–417.
- [7] Serre J.P. Les espaces fibrés algébriques. In: *Seminaire C. Chevalley*, 2e année: 1958. *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, 1-37.
- [8] Stanley R.P. *Enumerative Combinatorics*. Vol.2. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **62**, 1999.