

Occupation densities for SPDE's with Reflection

Lorenzo Zambotti

Scuola Normale Superiore

Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

E-mail: zambotti@sns.it

Abstract

We consider the solution (u, η) of the white-noise driven stochastic partial differential equation with reflection on the space interval $[0, 1]$ introduced by Nualart and Pardoux. First, we prove that at any fixed time $t > 0$, the measure $\eta([0, t] \times d\theta)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure $d\theta$ on $(0, 1)$. We characterize the density as a family of additive functionals of u , and we interpret it as a renormalized local time at 0 of $(u(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$. Finally we study the behaviour of η at the boundary of $[0, 1]$. The main technical novelty is a projection principle from the Dirichlet space of a Gaussian process, vector-valued solution of a linear SPDE, to the Dirichlet space of the process u .

1 Introduction

We are concerned with the solution (u, η) of the stochastic partial differential equation with reflection of the Nualart-Pardoux type, see [8]:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \theta} + \eta(t, \theta) \\ u(0, \theta) = x(\theta), \quad u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 \\ u \geq 0, \quad d\eta \geq 0, \quad \int u \, d\eta = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where u is a continuous function of $(t, \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} := [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1]$, η a positive measure on $\mathcal{O} := [0, +\infty) \times (0, 1)$, $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$ and $\{W(t, \theta) : (t, \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\}$ is a Brownian sheet. We denote by ν the law of a Bessel Bridge $(e_\theta)_{\theta \in [0, 1]}$ of dimension 3.

The main aim of this paper is to prove the following properties of the reflecting measure η :

1. For all $t \geq 0$ the measure $\eta([0, t], d\theta)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d\theta$ on $(0, 1)$:

$$\eta([0, t], d\theta) = \eta([0, t], \theta) d\theta. \quad (2)$$

The process $(\eta([0, t], \theta))_{t \geq 0}$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, is an Additive Functional of u , increasing only on $\{t : u(t, \theta) = 0\}$, with Revuz measure:

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} \nu(dx \mid x(\theta) = 0). \quad (3)$$

2. For all $t \geq 0$:

$$\eta([0, t], \theta) = \frac{3}{4} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \int_0^t 1_{[0, \epsilon]}(u(s, \theta)) ds, \quad (4)$$

in probability.

3. There exists a family of Additive Functionals of u , $(l^a(\cdot, \theta))_{a \in [0, \infty), \theta \in (0, 1)}$, such that $l^a(\cdot, \theta)$ increases only on $\{t : u(t, \theta) = a\}$ and such that the following occupation times formula holds for all $F \in B_b(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\int_0^t F(u(s, \theta)) ds = \int_0^\infty F(a) l^a(t, \theta) da, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

4. For all $t \geq 0$:

$$\eta([0, t], \theta) = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{a \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{a^2} l^a(t, \theta) \quad (6)$$

in probability.

5. For all $t \geq 0$ and $a \in (0, 1)$:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^a \left(1 \wedge \frac{\theta}{\epsilon}\right) \eta([0, t], d\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t \quad (7)$$

and symmetrically:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_a^1 \left(1 \wedge \frac{1-\theta}{\epsilon} \right) \eta([0, t], d\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t, \quad (8)$$

in probability.

Recall that if B is a linear Brownian Motion and (X, L) is the unique continuous solution of the Skorohod problem:

$$dX = dB + dL, \quad X(0) = x \geq 0, \quad L(0) = 0,$$

$$X \geq 0, \quad t \mapsto L(t) \text{ non-decreasing}, \quad \int_0^\infty X(t) dL(t) = 0,$$

then it turns out that $2L$ is the local time of X at 0 and:

$$L(t) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t 1_{[0, \epsilon]}(X(s)) ds. \quad (9)$$

In the infinite-dimensional equation (1), the reflecting term η is a random measure on space-time. In [10], the following decomposition formula was proved:

$$\eta(ds, d\theta) = \delta_{r(s)}(d\theta) \eta(ds, (0, 1)), \quad (10)$$

where δ_a is the Dirac mass at $a \in (0, 1)$ and $r(s) \in (0, 1)$, for $\eta(ds, (0, 1))$ -a.e. s , is the unique $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $u(s, r) = 0$. This formula was used in [10] to write equation (1) as the following Skorohod problem in the infinite dimensional convex set K_0 of continuous non-negative $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$:

$$du = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} dt + dW + \frac{1}{2} n(u) \cdot dL,$$

interpreting the set of $x \in K_0$ having a unique zero in $(0, 1)$ as the boundary of K_0 , the increasing process $t \mapsto L_t := 2\eta([0, t], (0, 1))$ as the local time of u at this boundary and the measure $n(u) = \delta_{r(s)}$ as the normal vector field to this boundary at $u(s, \cdot)$.

On the other hand, the absolute-continuity result (2) suggests an interpretation of η as sum of reflecting processes $t \mapsto \eta([0, t], \theta)$, each depending only on $(u(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ and increasing only on $\{t : u(t, \theta) = 0\}$. Therefore, by (2) equation (1) can also be interpreted as the following infinite system of

1-dimensional Skorohod problems, parametrized by $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and coupled through the interaction given by the second derivative w.r.t. θ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(t, \theta) = x(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(s, \theta) ds + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) + \eta([0, t], \theta) \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 \\ u \geq 0, \eta(dt, \theta) \geq 0, \int_0^\infty u(t, \theta) \eta(dt, \theta) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1), \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

see (47) below. This interpretation is reminiscent of the result of Funaki and Olla in [5], where the fluctuations around the hydrodynamic limit of a particle system with reflection on a wall is proved to be governed by the SPDE (1).

By (5), $(u(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ admits for all $a \geq 0$ a local time at a , $(l^a(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$. However, by (6), the reflecting term $\eta([0, \cdot], \theta)$ which appears in (11) is not proportional to $l^0(\cdot, \theta)$, which in fact turns out to be identically 0, and is rather a renormalized local time. The necessity of such renormalization is linked with the unusual rescaling of (4). These two properties of η seem to be significant differences w.r.t. the finite-dimensional Skorohod problems.

The formulae (7) and (8) give informations about the behaviour of η near the boundary of $[0, 1]$. In particular, (7) and (8) prove that for any $t > 0$ and any initial condition x , the mass of η on $[0, t] \times (0, 1)$ is infinite. This solves a problem posed by Nualart and Pardoux in [8]. Notice also that the right hand sides of (7)-(8) are independent of the initial condition x .

In [10] it was proved that for all $I \subset\subset (0, 1)$, the process $t \mapsto \eta([0, t] \times I)$, where η is the reflecting term of (1), is an Additive Functional of u , with Revuz measure:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} \nu(dx | x(\theta) = 0) d\theta. \quad (12)$$

At a heuristic level, the informations given by the formulae (2), (4), (6), (7) and (8) are already contained in (12) and in the properties of the invariant measure ν of u : for instance, if the limit in the right-hand side of (4) exists for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then by the properties of ν the Revuz-measure of the limit is (3) and therefore (2) holds by (12) and by the injectivity of the Revuz-correspondence.

However, the existence of such limit is not implied by the structure of (12) alone. According to the Theory of Dirichlet Forms, a sufficient condition for the convergence of a family of additive functionals of a Markov process, as for instance in (4), is the convergence in the Dirichlet space of the corresponding 1-potentials: see Chapter 5 of [3]. In our case, this amounts to introduce the potentials:

$$U_\epsilon(x) := \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathbb{E} [1_{[0,\epsilon]}(u(s, \theta))] ds,$$

where $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is continuous and u is the corresponding solution of (1), and prove that U_ϵ has a limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with respect to the Dirichlet Form:

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2} \int \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\nu, \quad \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\nu),$$

where ∇ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote respectively the gradient and the canonical scalar product in $H := L^2(0, 1)$. Indeed, as proved in [10], u is the diffusion properly associated with \mathcal{E} in $L^2(\nu)$.

However, due to the strong irregularity of the reflecting measure η in (1), a direct computation of the norm of the gradient of U_ϵ seems to be out of reach. In order to overcome this difficulty, we take advantage of a connection between equation (1) and the following \mathbb{R}^3 -valued linear SPDE with additive white-noise:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial z_3}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 z_3}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{W}_3}{\partial t \partial \theta} \\ z_3(t, 0) = z_3(t, 1) = 0 \\ z_3(0, \theta) = \overline{x}(\theta) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $\overline{x} \in H^3$ and \overline{W}_3 is the \mathbb{R}^3 -valued Gaussian process whose components are 3 independent copies of W . The process z_3 is also called the \mathbb{R}^3 -valued random string (see [4] and [7]), and is the diffusion properly associated with the Dirichlet Form in $L^2(\mu_3)$:

$$\Lambda^3(F, G) := \int_{H^3} \langle \overline{\nabla} F, \overline{\nabla} G \rangle_{H^3} d\mu_3 \quad F, G \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3),$$

where μ_3 is the law in H^3 of a standard \mathbb{R}^3 -valued Brownian Bridge, and $\overline{\nabla} F : H^3 \mapsto H^3$ is the gradient of F in H^3 . Then, in [10] it was noticed

that the Dirichlet Form \mathcal{E} is the image of Λ^3 under the map $\Phi_3 : H^3 \mapsto H$, $\Phi_3(y)(\theta) := |y(\theta)|_{\mathbb{R}^3}$, i.e. ν is the image μ_3 under Φ_3 and:

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,2}(\nu) &= \{\varphi \in L^2(\nu) : \varphi \circ \Phi_3 \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \psi) &= \Lambda^3(\varphi \circ \Phi_3, \psi \circ \Phi_3) \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

This connection involves directly the Dirichlet Forms \mathcal{E} and Λ^3 , but not the corresponding processes. In particular, it does not imply that u is equal in law to $|z_3|$. Nevertheless, in this paper we prove that this connection gives a useful projection principle from $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ onto $W^{1,2}(\nu)$ and that, in particular, the convergence in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ of the 1-potentials of z_3 :

$$\overline{U}_\epsilon(\overline{x}) := \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \mathbb{E} [1_{[0,\epsilon]}(|z_3(s, \theta)|)] ds,$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, implies the convergence of the 1-potentials U_ϵ of u in $W^{1,2}(\nu)$, and therefore that (4) holds. Also the formulae (6), (7) and (8) are proved similarly. Therefore, precise and non-trivial informations about u can be obtained from the study of the Gaussian process z_3 .

We recall that an analogous connection has been proved in [11] to hold between the \mathbb{R}^d -valued solution of a linear white-noise driven SPDE, $d \geq 4$, and the solution of a real-valued non-linear white-noise driven SPDE with a singular drift.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the main definitions and the preliminary results on potentials of the random string in dimension 3. In section 3 the occupation densities and the occupation times formula (5) are obtained for the SPDE with reflection (1). The main results, together with some corollaries, are then proved in section 4.

2 The 3-dimensional random string

We denote by $(g_t(\theta, \theta') : t > 0, \theta, \theta' \in (0, 1))$ the fundamental solution of the heat equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, i.e.:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta^2} \\ g_t(0, \theta') = g_t(1, \theta') = 0 \\ g_0(\theta, \cdot) = \delta_\theta \end{cases}$$

where δ_a is the Dirac mass at $a \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, we set $H := L^2(0, 1)$ with the canonical scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$, $K_0 := \{x \in H : x \geq 0\}$,

$$C_0 := C_0(0, 1) := \{c : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous, } c(0) = c(1) = 0\},$$

$$A : D(A) \subset H \mapsto H, \quad D(A) := W^{2,2} \cap W_0^{1,2}(0, 1), \quad A := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2},$$

and for all Fréchet differentiable $F : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\nabla F : H \mapsto H$ the gradient in H . We set $\mathcal{O} := [0, +\infty) \times (0, 1)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}} := [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1]$. We denote by $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ the semigroup generated by A in H , i.e.:

$$e^{tA}h(\theta) := \int_0^1 g_t(\theta, \theta') h(\theta') d\theta', \quad h \in H.$$

Let W be a two-parameter Wiener process defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, i.e. a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance function

$$\mathbb{E} [W(t, \theta) W(t', \theta')] = (t \wedge t')(\theta \wedge \theta'), \quad (t, \theta), (t', \theta') \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Let $\overline{W}_3 := (\overline{W}_3^i)_{i=1,2,3}$ be a \mathbb{R}^3 -valued process, whose components are three independent copies of W , defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We denote by \mathcal{F}_t the σ -field generated by the random variables $(W(s, \theta) : (s, \theta) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1])$.

We set for $\overline{x} \in H^3 = L^2((0, 1); \mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$w_3(t, \theta) := \int_0^t \int_0^1 g_{t-s}(\theta, \theta') \overline{W}_3(ds, d\theta')$$

$$z_3(t, \theta) := e^{tA}\overline{x}(\theta) + w_3(t, \theta), \quad Z_3(t, \overline{x}) := z_3(t, \cdot).$$

Then z_3 is the unique solution of the following \mathbb{R}^3 -valued linear SPDE with additive white-noise:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial z_3}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 z_3}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{W}_3}{\partial t \partial \theta} \\ z_3(t, 0) = z_3(t, 1) = 0 \\ z_3(0, \theta) = \overline{x}(\theta) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{x} \in H^3$. The process z_3 is also called the \mathbb{R}^3 -valued random string: see [4] and [7]. Recall that the law of $Z_3(t, \bar{x})$ is the Gaussian measure $\mathcal{N}(e^{tA}\bar{x}, Q_t)$ on H^3 , with mean $e^{tA}\bar{x}$ and covariance operator $Q_t : H^3 \mapsto H^3$:

$$Q_t \bar{h}(\theta) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 q_t(\theta, \theta') \bar{h}(\theta') d\theta' ds, \quad (15)$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty]$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $\bar{h} \in H^3$, where:

$$q_t(\theta, \theta') := \int_0^t g_{2s}(\theta, \theta') ds, \quad t \in [0, \infty], \quad \theta, \theta' \in (0, 1). \quad (16)$$

We denote by $(\bar{\beta}(\theta))_{\theta \in [0, 1]}$ a 3-dimensional standard Brownian Bridge, and by μ_3 the law of $\bar{\beta}$. Recall that μ_3 is equal to the Gaussian measure $\mathcal{N}(0, Q_\infty)$ on H^3 , $Q_\infty = (-2A)^{-1}$, and:

$$q_\infty(\theta, \theta') = \theta \wedge \theta' - \theta\theta'. \quad (17)$$

We set also for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\theta, \theta' \in (0, 1)$:

$$q^t(\theta, \theta') := \int_t^\infty g_{2s}(\theta, \theta') ds = q_\infty(\theta, \theta') - q_t(\theta, \theta'). \quad (18)$$

It is well known that Z_3 is the Markov process associated with the Dirichlet Form in $L^2(\mu_3)$:

$$\Lambda^3(F, G) := \int_{H^3} \langle \bar{\nabla} F, \bar{\nabla} G \rangle_{H^3} d\mu_3, \quad F, G \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3),$$

where $\bar{\nabla} F : H^3 \mapsto H^3$ is the gradient of F in H^3 . For all $f : H^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ bounded and Borel and for all $\bar{x} \in H^3$ we set:

$$R_3(1)f(\bar{x}) := \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \mathbb{E}[f(Z_3(t, \bar{x}))] dt.$$

The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 2.1

1. For all $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the function $U_3^{\theta, a} : H^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$:

$$U_3^{\theta, a}(\bar{x}) := \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{(2\pi q_t(\theta, \theta))^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a|^2}{2q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) dt, \quad (19)$$

is well defined and belongs to $C_b(H^3) \cap W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$. If $(a_n, \theta_n) \rightarrow (a, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1)$, then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H^3} \left[\left| U_3^{\theta_n, a_n} - U_3^{\theta, a} \right|^2 + \left\| \nabla U_3^{\theta_n, a_n} - \nabla U_3^{\theta, a} \right\|^2 \right] d\mu_3 = 0. \quad (20)$$

Moreover $(\theta^{3/2}(1 - \theta)^{3/2} U_3^{\theta, a})_{\theta \in (0, 1), a \in \mathbb{R}^3}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e.:

$$\sup_{\theta \in (0, 1), a \in \mathbb{R}^3} \theta^{3/2}(1 - \theta)^{3/2} \sup_{\bar{x} \in H^3} U_3^{\theta, a}(\bar{x}) < \infty. \quad (21)$$

2. Set $\bar{\gamma}^\theta(\bar{x}) := |\bar{x}(\theta)|/\sqrt{\theta}$, $\bar{x} \in (C_0)^3$. Then $\Gamma_3^\theta := R_3(1)\bar{\gamma}^\theta$ converges to $\sqrt{8/\pi}$ in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. Let $\omega_3 := 4\pi/3$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\lambda \cdot I$ the linear application $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni \alpha \mapsto \lambda \cdot \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Step 1. Let $\bar{x} \in H^3$ be fixed. Notice that $z_3(t, \theta)$ has law $\mathcal{N}(e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta), q_t(\theta, \theta) \cdot I)$, where $q_t(\theta, \theta)$ is defined as in (16). We denote by $(G_t(a, b) : t, a, b > 0)$ the fundamental solution of the heat equation on $(0, +\infty)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. By the reflection principle we have the explicit representation:

$$G_t(a, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(a - b)^2}{2t}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2ab}{t}\right)\right).$$

We set $\tau_\theta := \inf\{t > 0 : \theta + B_t \in \{0, 1\}\}$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then we have:

$$g_t(\theta, \theta') = G_t(\theta, \theta') - \mathbb{E} \left[1_{(t > \tau_{\theta'}, \theta' + B_{\tau_{\theta'}=1})} G_{t-\tau_{\theta'}}(\theta, 1) \right].$$

Let $c_0 := 1 - \exp(-1) \in (0, 1)$. Then for all $t > 0$ and $a \geq 0$:

$$\frac{c_0}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{2a^2}{t}\right) \leq G_t(a, a) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{2a^2}{t}\right).$$

Let now $\theta \in [0, 1/2]$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[1_{(2s > \tau_\theta, \theta + B_{\tau_\theta} = 1)} G_{2s - \tau_\theta}(\theta, 1) \right] ds \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{(2t - \tau_\theta)^+} \frac{1_{(\theta + B_{\tau_\theta} = 1)}}{2\sqrt{2\pi r}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta - 1)^2}{2r}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\theta}{r}\right)\right) dr \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[1_{(\theta + B_{\tau_\theta} = 1)} \int_0^{2t} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi r}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta - 1)^2}{2r}\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\theta}{r}\right)\right) dr \right] \\
&\leq \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\pi}} t \theta^2, \quad c_1 := \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2r^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8r}\right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

For all $t > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 1/2]$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
q_t(\theta, \theta) &\geq \int_0^t G_{2s}(\theta, \theta) ds - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\pi}} t \theta^2 \geq \int_0^t \frac{c_0}{2\sqrt{\pi s}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\theta^2}{s}\right) ds - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\pi}} t \theta^2 \\
&= \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1_{(t \leq \theta^2)} \sqrt{t} + 1_{(\theta^2 \leq t)} \left(2\theta - \frac{\theta^2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) - t \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \theta^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(c_0 1_{(t \leq \theta^2)} \sqrt{t} + c_0 1_{(\theta^2 \leq t)} \theta - c_1 t \theta^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $t_0 := (c_0/2c_1) \wedge (c_0/2c_1)^2$. If $t \geq t_0$, then $q_t(\theta, \theta) \geq q_{t_0}(\theta, \theta)$. If $t \leq t_0$ then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\theta}{q_t(\theta, \theta)} &\leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{t}(c_0 - c_1\sqrt{t}\theta^2)} 1_{(t \leq \theta^2)} + \frac{\theta}{\theta(c_0 - c_1t\theta)} 1_{(\theta^2 \leq t)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{c_0} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we obtain that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\left(\frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{q_t(\theta, \theta)} \right)^{3/2} \leq C_0 \left(\frac{1}{t^{3/4}} \wedge 1 \right), \quad t > 0. \quad (22)$$

Step 2. Fix $\theta \in (0, 1)$. By (22), $U_3^{\theta, a}$ is well defined and in $C_b(H^3)$. Moreover for all $\bar{x} \in H^3$:

$$\theta^{3/2}(1 - \theta)^{3/2} U_3^{\theta, a}(\bar{x}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left(\frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{2\pi q_t(\theta, \theta)} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a|^2}{2q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{C_0}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left(\frac{1}{t^{3/4}} \wedge 1 \right) dt < \infty,$$

so that (21) is proved. For all $\epsilon > 0$ we set:

$$\bar{f}^\epsilon(\bar{y}) := \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} 1_{(|\bar{y}(\theta) - a| \leq \epsilon)}, \quad \bar{y} \in (C_0)^3.$$

Let $\bar{x} \in H^3$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(1)\bar{f}^\epsilon(\bar{x}) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \mathbb{P}(|z_3(t, \theta) + e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a| \leq \epsilon) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} 1_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \mathcal{N}(e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a, q_t(\theta, \theta) \cdot I) (d\alpha) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \frac{1}{(2\pi q_t(\theta, \theta))^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha - e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) + a|^2}{2q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{(2\pi q_t(\theta, \theta))^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha - e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) + a|^2}{2q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) dt \right] d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} U_3^{\theta, a+\alpha}(\bar{x}) d\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By (22) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have that for all $(\theta, a) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} R_3(1)\bar{f}^\epsilon(\bar{x}) = U_3^{\theta, a}(\bar{x}), \quad \forall \bar{x} \in H^3, \tag{24}$$

uniformly for \bar{x} in bounded sets of H^3 , and by (22):

$$|R_3(1)\bar{f}^\epsilon(\bar{x})| \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{(2\pi q_t(\theta, \theta))^{3/2}} dt < \infty. \tag{25}$$

Step 3. Notice that by the Dominated Convergence Theorem the map $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1) \ni (a, \theta) \mapsto U_3^{\theta, a} \in L^2(\mu_3)$ is continuous. We want to prove now that $U_3^{\theta, a}$ is in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$: to this aim we shall prove that $R_3(1)\bar{f}^\epsilon$ converges to $U_3^{\theta, a}$ in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$. We define for all $\bar{x} \in (C_0)^3$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\bar{x}(\theta)\}$:

$$\mathcal{U}_h^{\theta, a}(\bar{x}) := - \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{e^{tA}\bar{h}(\theta)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}(q_t(\theta, \theta))^2} \psi\left((e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a)/\sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) dt,$$

$$\text{where :} \quad \psi : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \psi(a) := a \exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2}\right). \quad (26)$$

Recall now that for all $\bar{h} \in H^3$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{tA}\bar{h}(\theta)| &\leq e^{tA}|\bar{h}|(\theta) = \int_0^1 |\bar{h}(\theta')| g_t(\theta, \theta') d\theta' \leq \int_0^1 |\bar{h}(\theta')| G_t(\theta, \theta') d\theta' \\ &\leq \frac{1 \wedge (2\theta/t)}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^1 |\bar{h}(\theta')| \exp\left(-\frac{|\theta - \theta'|^2}{2t}\right) d\theta' \leq \frac{1 \wedge (2\theta/t)}{t^{1/4}} \|\bar{h}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

so that:

$$\left| \sup_{\|\bar{h}\|=1} \mathcal{U}_{\bar{h}}^{\theta,a}(\bar{x}) \right| \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(q_t(\theta, \theta))^2 t^{1/4}} \left| \psi\left((e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a)/\sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) \right| dt.$$

Since $\bar{\beta}$ has law $\mu_3 = \mathcal{N}(0, Q_\infty)$, then $e^{tA}\bar{\beta}$ has law $\mathcal{N}(0, e^{tA}Q_\infty e^{tA}) = \mathcal{N}(0, Q_\infty - Q_t)$. Then, by (22), and since $|\psi| \leq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{\|\bar{h}\|=1} \mathcal{U}_{\bar{h}}^{\theta,a}(\bar{\beta}) \right|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(q_t(\theta, \theta))^2 t^{1/4}} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \psi\left(\alpha'/\sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) \right|^2 \mathcal{N}(a, q^t(\theta, \theta) \cdot I)(d\alpha') \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(q_t(\theta, \theta))^2 t^{1/4}} \left\{ 1 \wedge \left[\left(\frac{q_t(\theta, \theta)}{2\pi q^t(\theta, \theta)} \right)^{3/4} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right] \right\} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(q^1(\theta, \theta))^{3/4}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(q_t(\theta, \theta))^{5/4} t^{1/4}} dt + \frac{1}{(q_1(\theta, \theta))^2} \int_1^\infty e^{-t} dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting for μ_3 -a.e. \bar{x} :

$$\mathcal{U}^{\theta,a} := - \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{g_t(\theta, \cdot)}{(2\pi)^{3/2} (q_t(\theta, \theta))^2} \psi\left((e^{tA}\bar{x}(\theta) - a)/\sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}\right) dt,$$

we have that $\mathcal{U}^{\theta,a} \in L^2(H^3, \mu_3; H^3)$, and $\langle \mathcal{U}^{\theta,a}, \bar{h} \rangle = \mathcal{U}_{\bar{h}}^{\theta,a}$ in $L^2(\mu_3)$, for all $\bar{h} \in H^3$. Arguing analogously we have:

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\mathcal{U}^{\theta,a+\alpha}(\bar{\beta}) - \mathcal{U}^{\theta,a}(\bar{\beta})\|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(q_t(\theta, \theta))^2 t^{1/4}} dt.$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 1 \wedge \left[\left(\frac{q_t(\theta, \theta)}{2\pi q^t(\theta, \theta)} \right)^{3/4} \left\| \psi \left(\cdot + \alpha / \sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)} \right) - \psi \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right] \right\} dt \quad (28)$$

which tends to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we can differentiate under the integral sign in (23) and obtain:

$$\bar{\nabla} R_3(1) \bar{f}^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \mathcal{U}^{\theta, a+\alpha} d\alpha, \quad \text{in } L^2(H^3, \mu_3; H^3).$$

Therefore by (28):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{H^3} \left\| \bar{\nabla} R_3(1) \bar{f}^\epsilon - \mathcal{U}^{\theta, a} \right\|^2 d\mu_3 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\omega_3 \epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \int_{H^3} \left\| \mathcal{U}^{\theta, a+\alpha} - \mathcal{U}^{\theta, a} \right\|^2 d\mu_3 d\alpha \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $R_3(1) \bar{f}^\epsilon$ converges to $U_3^{\theta, a}$ in $L^2(\mu_3)$ and $\bar{\nabla} R_3(1) \bar{f}^\epsilon$ converges to $\mathcal{U}^{\theta, a}$ in $L^2(H^3, \mu_3; H^3)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ is complete, then $U_3^{\theta, a} \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$, $\bar{\nabla} U_3^{\theta, a} = \mathcal{U}^{\theta, a}$ in $L^2(H^3, \mu_3; H^3)$ and $R_3(1) \bar{f}^\epsilon$ converges to $U_3^{\theta, a}$ in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, by (28), (20) is proved.

Step 4. We prove now the last assertion. By symmetry, it is enough to consider the case $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Recall that $\bar{\gamma}^\theta(\bar{x}) = |\bar{x}(\theta)|/\sqrt{\theta}$, $\bar{x} \in (C_0)^3$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{x}) &:= R_3(1) \bar{\gamma}^\theta(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\alpha| \mathcal{N}(e^{tA} \bar{x}(\theta), q_t(\theta, \theta) \cdot I) (d\alpha) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \sqrt{\frac{q_t(\theta, \theta)}{\theta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\alpha| \mathcal{N}(e^{tA} \bar{x}(\theta) / \sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}, I) (d\alpha) dt, \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla} \Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{x}) &= - \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \sqrt{\frac{q_t(\theta, \theta)}{\theta}} g_t(\theta, \cdot) \cdot \\ &\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\alpha| \left(\alpha - e^{tA} \bar{x}(\theta) / \sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)} \right) \mathcal{N}(e^{tA} \bar{x}(\theta) / \sqrt{q_t(\theta, \theta)}, I) (d\alpha) dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\bar{x} \in (C_0)^3$. By (27) and by Schwartz's inequality:

$$\|\bar{\nabla} \Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{x})\| \leq \sqrt{3} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{t^{1/4}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{2\theta}{t} \right) \sqrt{3 + \frac{|e^{tA} \bar{x}(\theta)|^2}{q_t(\theta, \theta)}} dt.$$

By the sub-additivity of the square-root, by (22) and since $q^t(\theta, \theta) \leq \theta(1 - \theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\left\| \nabla \Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{\beta}) \right\|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} &\leq \sqrt{3} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{1/4}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{2\theta}{t} \right) \left(\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{\frac{q^t(\theta, \theta)}{q_t(\theta, \theta)}} \right) dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{3} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left(1 \wedge \frac{2\theta}{t} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{t^{1/4}} + \frac{(C_0)^{1/3}}{t^{3/4}} \right) dt \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Since μ_3 is invariant for z_3 , we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{\beta})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \mathbb{E}(|\bar{\beta}(\theta)|) =: c_\theta.$$

By the Poincaré inequality for Λ^3 , see [1], there exists $C > 0$ such that:

$$\mathbb{E}(\Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{\beta}) - c_\theta)^2 \leq \frac{1}{C} \mathbb{E}(\|\nabla \Gamma_3^\theta(\bar{\beta})\|^2) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, and since:

$$\begin{aligned} c_\theta &= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta(1-\theta))^{3/2}} r^3 \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\theta(1-\theta)}\right) dr \\ &= \sqrt{1-\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty r^3 \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2}\right) dr = \sqrt{1-\theta} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that Γ_3^θ converges to $\sqrt{8/\pi}$ in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. \square

3 Occupation densities

Following [8], we set the:

Definition 3.1 *A pair (u, η) is said to be a solution of equation (1) with initial value $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$, if:*

- (i) *$\{u(t, \theta) : (t, \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\}$ is a continuous and adapted process, i.e. $u(t, \theta)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for all $(t, \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, a.s. $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, $u(t, \cdot) \in K_0 \cap C_0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $u(0, \cdot) = x$.*
- (ii) *$\eta(dt, d\theta)$ is a random positive measure on \mathcal{O} such that $\eta([0, T] \times [\delta, 1 - \delta]) < +\infty$ for all $T, \delta > 0$, and η is adapted, i.e. $\eta(B)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for every Borel set $B \subset [0, t] \times (0, 1)$.*

(iii) For all $t \geq 0$ and $h \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(t, \cdot), h \rangle - \langle x, h \rangle - \int_0^t \langle u(s, \cdot), Ah \rangle ds \\ = - \int_0^1 h'(\theta) W(t, \theta) d\theta + \int_0^t \int_0^1 h(\theta) \eta(ds, d\theta), \quad \text{a.s..} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$(iv) \int_{\mathcal{O}} u d\eta = 0.$$

In [8], existence and uniqueness solutions of equation (1) were proved.

We denote by $(e(\theta))_{\theta \in [0,1]}$ the 3-Bessel Bridge between 0 and 0, see [9], and by ν the law on K_0 of e . We recall the following result, proved in [10].

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\Phi_3 : H^3 = L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^3) \mapsto K_0$, $\Phi_3(y)(\theta) := |y(\theta)|_{\mathbb{R}^3}$.*

1. *The process u is a Strong-Feller Markov process properly associated with the symmetric Dirichlet Form \mathcal{E} in $L^2(\nu)$:*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\nu, \quad \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\nu).$$

2. *The Dirichlet Form \mathcal{E} is the image of Λ^3 under the map Φ_3 , i.e. ν is the image μ_3 under Φ_3 and:*

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,2}(\nu) &= \{\varphi \in L^2(\nu) : \varphi \circ \Phi_3 \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \psi) &= \Lambda^3(\varphi \circ \Phi_3, \psi \circ \Phi_3) \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We refer to [3] and [6] for all basic definitions in the Theory of Dirichlet Forms. Notice that by point 1 in Theorem 3.1 and by Theorem IV.5.1 in [6], the Dirichlet Form \mathcal{E} is quasi-regular. In particular, by the transfer method stated in VI.2 of [6] we can apply several results of [3] in our setting.

We recall the definition of an Additive Functional of the Markov process u . We denote by $(\mathbb{P}_x : x \in K_0)$ the family the of laws of u on $E := C([0, \infty); K_0)$ and the coordinate process on K_0 by: $X_t : E \mapsto K_0, t \geq 0, X_t(e) := e(t)$. By a Positive Continuous Additive Functional (PCAF) in the strict sense of u , we mean a family of functions $A_t : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+, t \geq 0$, such that:

(A.1) $(A_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is adapted to the minimum admissible filtration $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of u , see Appendix A.2 in [3].

(A.2) There exists a set $\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}_x(\Lambda) = 1$ for all $x \in K_0$, $\theta_t(\Lambda) \subseteq \Lambda$ for all $t \geq 0$, and for all $\omega \in \Lambda$: $t \mapsto A_t(\omega)$ is continuous non-decreasing, $A_0(\omega) = 0$ and for all $t, s \geq 0$:

$$A_{t+s}(\omega) = A_s(\omega) + A_t(\theta_s \omega), \quad (31)$$

where $(\theta_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is the time-translation semigroup on E .

Two PCAFs in the strict sense A^1 and A^2 are said to be equivalent if

$$\mathbb{P}_x(A_t^1 = A_t^2) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0, \forall x \in K_0.$$

If A is a linear combination of PCAFs in the strict sense of u , then the Revuz-measure of A is a Borel signed measure m on K_0 such that:

$$\int_{K_0} \varphi dm = \int_{K_0} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^1 \varphi(X_t) dA_t \right] \nu(dx), \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(K_0).$$

Moreover $U \in D(\mathcal{E})$ is the 1-potential of a PCAF A in the strict sense with Revuz-measure m , if:

$$\mathcal{E}_1(U, \varphi) = \int_{K_0} \varphi dm, \quad \forall \varphi \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_b(K_0),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{E} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\nu)}$. We introduce the following notion of convergence of Positive Continuous Additive Functionals in the strict sense of X .

Definition 3.2 Let $(A_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, be a sequence of PCAF's in the strict sense of u . We say that A_n converges to A_∞ , if:

1. For all $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(t + \epsilon) - A_n(\epsilon) = A_\infty(t + \epsilon) - A_\infty(\epsilon), \quad (32)$$

uniformly for t in compact sets of $[0, \infty)$, \mathbb{P}_x -almost surely.

2. For \mathcal{E} -q.e. $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(t) = A_\infty(t), \quad (33)$$

uniformly for t in compact sets of $[0, \infty)$, \mathbb{P}_x -almost surely.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(A_n(t))_{t \geq 0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, be a sequence of PCAF's in the strict sense of X , and let U_n be the 1-potential of A_n , $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $U_n \rightarrow U_\infty$ in $D(\mathcal{E})$, then A_n converges to A_∞ in the sense of Definition 3.2.*

Proof. Since $U_n \rightarrow U_\infty$ in $D(\mathcal{E})$, by Corollary 5.2.1 in [3], we have point 2 of Definition 3.2, i.e. there exists an \mathcal{E} -exceptional set V such that (33) holds for all $x \in K_0 \setminus V$. By the Strong Feller property of X , \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. $X_t \in E \setminus V$, for all $t > 0$ and for all $x \in K_0$, and by the additivity property (32) holds for all $x \in K_0$. \square

Remark 3.1 We recall that if (A, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space and X_n is a sequence of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variables, such that $X_n(a, \cdot)$ converges in probability for every $a \in A$, then there exists a $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable X , such that $X(a, \cdot)$ is the limit in probability of $X_n(a, \cdot)$ for every $a \in A$.

We can now state the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $a \geq 0$.*

1. *For all $(\theta, a) \in (0, 1) \times [0, \infty)$, there exists a PCAF in the strict sense of u , $(l^a(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$, such that $(l^a(\cdot, \theta))_{\theta \in (0, 1), a \in [0, \infty)}$ is continuous in the sense of Definition 3.2 and jointly measurable, and such that for all $a \geq 0$:*

$$l^a(t, \theta) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t 1_{[a, a+\epsilon]}(u(s, \theta)) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

in the sense of Definition 3.2.

2. *The Revuz measure of $l^a(\cdot, \theta)$ is:*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} a^2 \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\theta(1-\theta)}\right) \nu(dx | x(\theta) = a), \quad a \geq 0,$$

and in particular $l^0(\cdot, \theta) \equiv 0$. Moreover, $l^a(\cdot, \theta)$ increases only on $\{t : u(t, \theta) = a\}$.

3. *The following occupation times formula holds for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$:*

$$\int_0^t F(u(s, \theta)) ds = \int_0^\infty F(a) l^a(t, \theta) da, \quad F \in B_b(\mathbb{R}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (34)$$

For an overview on existence of occupation densities see [2].

We set $\Lambda_1^3 := \Lambda^3 + (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mu_3)}$, $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{E} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\nu)}$. For all $f : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ bounded and Borel and for all $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$ we introduce the 1-resolvent of u :

$$R(1)f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] dt,$$

where \mathbb{E}_x denotes the expectation w.r.t. the law of the solution u of (1) with initial value x . The next Lemma gives the projection principle from the Dirichlet space $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$, associated with the Gaussian process z_3 , to the Dirichlet space $W^{1,2}(\nu)$ of the solution u of the SPDE with reflection (1).

Lemma 3.2 *There exists a unique bounded linear operator $\Pi : W^{1,2}(\mu_3) \mapsto W^{1,2}(\nu)$, such that for all $F, G \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ and $f \in W^{1,2}(\nu)$:*

$$\Lambda_1^3(F, f \circ \Phi_3) = \mathcal{E}_1(\Pi F, f), \quad (35)$$

$$\Lambda_1^3((\Pi F) \circ \Phi_3, G) = \Lambda_1^3(F, (\Pi G) \circ \Phi_3). \quad (36)$$

In particular, we have that for all $\varphi \in L^2(\nu)$ and $F \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$:

$$R(1)\varphi = \Pi(R_3(1)[\varphi \circ \Phi_3]), \quad (37)$$

$$\|\Pi F\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq \|F\|_{\Lambda_1^3}. \quad (38)$$

Finally, Π is Markovian, i.e. $\Pi 1 = 1$ and:

$$F \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3), \quad 0 \leq F \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq \Pi F \leq 1. \quad (39)$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} := \{\varphi \circ \Phi_3 : \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\nu)\} \subset W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$. Let $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ be endowed with the scalar product Λ_1^3 : then, by (30), \mathcal{D} is a closed subspace of $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$. Therefore there exists a unique bounded linear projector $\hat{\Pi} : W^{1,2}(\mu_3) \mapsto \mathcal{D}$, symmetric with respect to the scalar product Λ_1^3 . For all $F \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ we set $\Pi F := f$ where f is the unique element of $W^{1,2}(\nu)$ such that $f \circ \Phi_3 = \hat{\Pi} F$. Then (35) and (36) are satisfied by construction. Let now $\varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\nu)$. Then by (30):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(R(1)\varphi, \psi) &= \int_{K_0} \varphi \psi d\nu = \int_{H^3} (\varphi \circ \Phi_3) (\psi \circ \Phi_3) d\mu_3 \\ &= \Lambda_1^3(R_3(1)[\varphi \circ \Phi_3], \psi \circ \Phi_3) = \Lambda_1^3(\hat{\Pi} R_3(1)[\varphi \circ \Phi_3], \psi \circ \Phi_3) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_1(\Pi R_3(1)[\varphi \circ \Phi_3], \psi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (37). Then, since $\hat{\Pi}$ is a symmetric projector:

$$\|\Pi F\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} = \|\hat{\Pi}F\|_{\Lambda_1^3} \leq \|F\|_{\Lambda_1^3},$$

so that (38) is proved. Notice now that $1 \in \mathcal{D}$, so that obviously $\Pi 1 = 1$. Moreover, recall that $\hat{\Pi}F$ is characterized by the property:

$$\hat{\Pi}F \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \Lambda_1^3(F - \hat{\Pi}F, G) = 0, \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let $F \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ such that $F \geq 0$. Since \mathcal{E} is a Dirichlet Form, then $(\hat{\Pi}F)^- := (-\hat{\Pi}F) \vee 0$ still belongs to \mathcal{D} , and since Λ_1^3 is a Dirichlet Form:

$$0 = \Lambda_1^3(F - \hat{\Pi}F, (\hat{\Pi}F)^-) = \Lambda_1^3(F, (\hat{\Pi}F)^-) + \|(\hat{\Pi}F)^-\|_{\Lambda_1^3}^2 \geq \|(\hat{\Pi}F)^-\|_{\Lambda_1^3}^2,$$

so that $\hat{\Pi}F \geq 0$, and (39) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $a \geq 0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ we set:

$$f^\epsilon(y) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} 1_{[a, a+\epsilon]}(y(\theta)), \quad y \in K_0 \cap C_0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} R(1)f^\epsilon &= \Pi(R_3(1)[f^\epsilon \circ \Phi_3]) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{(a \leq |\alpha| \leq a+\epsilon)} \Pi(U_3^{\theta, a+\alpha}) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_a^{a+\epsilon} r^2 dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Pi(U_3^{\theta, r \cdot n}) \mathcal{H}^2(dn), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H}^2 is the 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure, $U_3^{\theta, a \cdot n}$ is the 1-potential in $W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ defined by (19) and Π is the operator defined in Lemma 3.2. By (20) above, the map $r \mapsto U_3^{\theta, r \cdot n} \in W^{1,2}(\mu_3)$ is continuous. Let $U^{\theta, a} \in W^{1,2}(\nu)$ be defined by:

$$U^{\theta, a} := a^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Pi(U_3^{\theta, a \cdot n}) \mathcal{H}^2(dn), \quad a \geq 0.$$

By (38) we have that $R(1)f^\epsilon$ converges to $U^{\theta, a}$ in $W^{1,2}(\nu)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\nu) \cap C_b(K_0)$ we have:

$$\mathcal{E}_1(R(1)f^\epsilon, \varphi) = \int_{K_0} f^\epsilon \varphi d\nu = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} [\varphi(e) 1_{[a, a+\epsilon]}(e(\theta))],$$

where the law of e is ν and $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\nu)}$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(U^{\theta,a}, \varphi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} [\varphi(e) 1_{[a, a+\epsilon]}(e(\theta))] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} a^2 \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\theta(1-\theta)}\right) \mathbb{E} [\varphi(e) | e(\theta) = a]. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

By Lemma 3.2, Π is a Markovian operator and by (21) in Proposition 2.1 the family $(U_3^{\theta, a \cdot n} : n \in \mathbb{S}^2)$ is uniformly bounded in the supremum-norm. Therefore, $U^{\theta,a}$ is bounded, and by (40) $U^{\theta,a}$ is the 1-potential of a non-negative finite measure. By Theorem 5.1.6 in [3], there exists a PCAF $(l^a(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ in the strict sense of u , with 1-potential equal to $U^{\theta,a}$ and with Revuz-measure given by (40). Notice now that $R(1)f^\epsilon$ is the 1-potential of the following PCAF in the strict sense of u :

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t 1_{[a, a+\epsilon]}(u(s, \theta)) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, points 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.2 are proved by (20), Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1. To prove the last assertion of point 2, just notice that the following PCAF of u :

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t |u(s, \theta) - a| l^a(ds, \theta),$$

has Revuz measure:

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} a^2 \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\theta(1-\theta)}\right) \cdot |x(\theta) - a| \nu(dx | x(\theta) = a) \equiv 0.$$

To prove point 3 it is enough to notice that the PCAF of u in the left hand-side of (34) has 1-potential $R(1)F_\theta$, where $F_\theta(y) := F(y(\theta))$, $y \in K_0 \cap C_0$, and the PCAF in the right hand side has 1-potential:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty r^2 F(r) dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Pi(U_3^{\theta, r \cdot n}) \mathcal{H}^2(dn) = \Pi\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(|\alpha|) U_3^{\theta, \alpha} d\alpha\right) \\ &= \Pi(R_3(1)[F_\theta \circ \Phi_3]) = R(1)F_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(1)F_\theta$ is bounded, then, arguing like in Theorem 5.1.6 of [3], the two processes in (34) coincide as PCAF's in the strict sense. \square

4 The reflecting measure η

Recall that η is the reflecting measure on $\mathcal{O} = [0, \infty) \times (0, 1)$ which appears in equation (1). The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $a \geq 0$.*

1. *For all $\theta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a PCAF in the strict sense $(l(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ of u , such that $(l(\cdot, \theta))_{\theta \in (0, 1)}$ is continuous in the sense of Definition 3.2 and jointly measurable, and such that:*

$$l(t, \theta) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \int_0^t 1_{[0, \epsilon]}(u(s, \theta)) ds,$$

in the sense of Definition 3.2.

2. *The PCAF $(l(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ has Revuz measure:*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \theta^3 (1 - \theta)^3}} \nu(dx \mid x(\theta) = 0),$$

and increases only on $\{t : u(t, \theta) = 0\}$.

3. *We have:*

$$l(t, \theta) = \lim_{a \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{a^2} l^a(t, \theta)$$

in the sense of Definition 3.2.

4. *For all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in K_0$, $\eta([0, t], d\theta)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure $d\theta$ and:*

$$\eta([0, t], d\theta) = \frac{1}{4} l(t, \theta) d\theta. \tag{41}$$

5. *For all $a \in (0, 1)$:*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^a \left(1 \wedge \frac{\theta}{\epsilon}\right) \eta([0, t], d\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t,$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_a^1 \left(1 \wedge \frac{1 - \theta}{\epsilon}\right) \eta([0, t], d\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t$$

in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Proof. For all $\epsilon > 0$ we set:

$$g^\epsilon(y) := \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} 1_{[0,\epsilon]}(y(\theta)), \quad y \in K_0 \cap C_0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} R(1)g^\epsilon &= \Pi(R_3(1)[g^\epsilon \circ \Phi_3]) = \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \int_{(|\alpha| \leq \epsilon)} \Pi(U_3^{\theta,\alpha}) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \int_0^\epsilon r^2 dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Pi(U_3^{\theta,r \cdot n}) \mathcal{H}^2(dn). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, $R(1)g^\epsilon$ converges in $W^{1,2}(\nu)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to:

$$U^\theta := 4\pi \Pi(U_3^{\theta,0}), \quad a \geq 0.$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\nu) \cap C_b(K_0)$ we have:

$$\mathcal{E}_1(R(1)g^\epsilon, \varphi) = \int_{K_0} g^\epsilon \varphi d\nu = \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(e) 1_{[0,\epsilon]}(e(\theta))],$$

and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1(U^\theta, \varphi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(e) 1_{[0,\epsilon]}(e(\theta))] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(e) \mid e(\theta) = 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Since Π is Markovian, by (21) U^θ is bounded, and by (42) U^θ is the 1-potential of a non-negative finite measure. By Theorem 5.1.6 in [3], there exists a PCAF $(l(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0}$ in the strict sense of u , with 1-potential equal to U^θ and with Revuz-measure given by (42). Since $R(1)g^\epsilon$ is the 1-potential of the following PCAF of u :

$$t \mapsto \frac{3}{\epsilon^3} \int_0^t 1_{[0,\epsilon]}(u(s, \theta)) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

then, points 1 and 2 of Theorem 4.1 are proved by (20), Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1. To prove the last assertion of point 2, just notice that the following PCAF of u :

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t u(s, \theta) l(ds, \theta),$$

has Revuz measure:

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} \cdot x(\theta) \nu(dx | x(\theta) = 0) \equiv 0.$$

From the Proof of Theorem 3.2, we know that the 1-potential of $l^a(\cdot, \theta)$ is:

$$U^{\theta,a} = a^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Pi(U_3^{\theta,a,n}) \mathcal{H}^2(dn), \quad a \geq 0.$$

Then $U^{\theta,a}/a^2$ converges as $a \rightarrow 0$ to U^θ in $W^{1,2}(\nu)$. Since $U^{\theta,a}/a^2$ is the 1-potential of $l^a(\cdot, \theta)/a^2$, by Lemma 3.1 point 3 of Theorem 4.1 is proved. Let now $I \subset\subset (0, 1)$ be Borel. Notice that the following PCAF in the strict sense of u :

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{4} \int_I l(t, \theta) d\theta \quad (43)$$

has Revuz measure:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_I \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta^3(1-\theta)^3}} \nu(dx | x(\theta) = 0) d\theta, \quad (44)$$

and 1-potential equal to:

$$\frac{1}{4} U^I := \frac{1}{4} \int_I U^\theta d\theta.$$

On the other hand, it was proved in Theorem 7 of [10] that the PCAF in the strict sense of u :

$$t \mapsto \eta([0, t] \times I) \quad (45)$$

has Revuz measure equal to (44). Therefore, by Theorem 5.1.6 in [3], the two PCAFs of u in (43) and (45) coincide, and since U^I is a bounded 1-potential then they coincide as PCAFs in the strict sense. Therefore point 4 is proved. We prove now the last assertion. For all $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ set $h_\epsilon : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$:

$$h_\epsilon(\theta) := \sqrt{\epsilon} \left(\left(1 \wedge \frac{\theta}{\epsilon} \right) 1_{[0, 1/2]}(\theta) + 4\theta(1-\theta) 1_{[1/2, 1]}(\theta) \right).$$

Then h_ϵ is concave and continuous on $[0, 1]$, with:

$$h_\epsilon''(d\theta) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \delta_\epsilon(d\theta) - \sqrt{\epsilon} 8 1_{[1/2, 1]}(\theta) d\theta,$$

where δ_ϵ is the Dirac mass at ϵ . Moreover $h_\epsilon(0) = h_\epsilon(1) = 0$ and $h_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By (29) we have then:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t u(s, \epsilon) ds - \sqrt{\epsilon} \int_0^{1/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\theta}{\epsilon} \right) \eta([0, t], d\theta) \right) = 0. \quad (46)$$

Recall the definition of $\bar{\gamma}^\theta$ given in point 2 of Proposition 2.1. We set $\gamma^\epsilon : K_0 \cap C_0 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma^\epsilon(x) := x(\epsilon)/\sqrt{\epsilon}$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $R(1)\gamma^\epsilon = \Pi(R_3(1)\bar{\gamma}^\epsilon)$. By point 2 of Proposition 2.1 and by Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $R(1)\gamma^\epsilon$ converges to $\sqrt{8/\pi}$ in $W^{1,2}(\nu)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t u(s, \epsilon) ds = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t,$$

in the sense of Definition 3.2, and by (46) point 5 is proved. \square

Corollary 4.1 *For all $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$, a.s. the set:*

$$S := \{s > 0 : \exists \theta \in (0, 1), u(s, \theta) = 0\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R}^+ and has zero Lebesgue measure.

Proof. By Point 5 in Theorem 4.1, for all $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$, a.s. for all $t > 0$ we have $\eta([0, t] \times (0, 1)) = +\infty$, so that in particular $\eta([0, t] \times (0, 1)) > 0$. By (iv) in Definition 3.1 the support of η is contained in the set $\{u = 0\}$, so that for all $t > 0$ there exists $s \in (0, t) \cap S$. By the Markov property, for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and all $t > q$, there exists $s \in (q, t) \cap S$, which implies the density of S in \mathbb{R}^+ . To prove that S has zero Lebesgue measure, recall that the law of $u(t, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. ν for all $t > 0$, and $\nu(x : \exists \theta \in (0, 1), x(\theta) = 0) = 0$. Then, if \mathcal{H}^1 is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} :

$$\mathbb{E}_x [\mathcal{H}^1(S)] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_x [1_S(t)] dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(\exists \theta \in (0, 1), u(t, \theta) = 0) dt = 0. \quad \square$$

Notice now that, by Points 2 and 4 of Theorem 4.1, equation (1) can be formally written in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} u(t, \theta) = x(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(s, \theta) ds + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) + \frac{1}{4} l(t, \theta) \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 \\ u \geq 0, l(dt, \theta) \geq 0, \int_0^\infty u(t, \theta) l(dt, \theta) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1), \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

where, as usual, the first line is rigorously defined after taking the scalar product in H between each term and any $h \in D(A)$. Formula (47) allows to interpret $(u(\cdot, \theta), l(\cdot, \theta))_{\theta \in (0,1)}$ as solution of a system of 1-dimensional Skorohod problems, parametrized by $\theta \in (0, 1)$. This fact is reminiscent of the result of Funaki and Olla who proved in [5] that the stationary solution of a certain system of 1-dimensional Skorohod problems converges under a suitable rescaling to the stationary solution of (1).

Finally, we show that u satisfies a closed formula and that equation (1) is related to a fully non-linear equation. Let $(w(t, \theta))_{t \geq 0, \theta \in [0,1]}$ be the Stochastic Convolution:

$$w(t, \theta) := \int_0^t \int_0^1 g_{t-s}(\theta, \theta') W(ds, d\theta'),$$

solution of:

$$\begin{cases} w(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}(s, \theta) ds + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, 1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

Subtracting the first line of (47) and the first line of (48), we obtain that:

$$(t, \theta) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_0^t (u(s, \theta) - w(s, \theta)) ds$$

is in $L^1_{loc}((0, 1); C([0, T]))$ for all $T > 0$, i.e. admits a measurable version which is continuous in t for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and such that the sup-norm in $t \in [0, T]$ is locally integrable in θ . Then, we can write:

$$\begin{cases} u(t, \theta) = x(\theta) + w(t, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_0^t (u - w)(s, \theta) ds + \frac{1}{4} l(t, \theta) \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 \\ u \geq 0, l(dt, \theta) \geq 0, \int_0^\infty u(t, \theta) l(dt, \theta) = 0, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1), \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

where every term is now well-defined and continuous in t , and we can apply Skorohod's Lemma (see Lemma VI.2.1 in [9]) for fixed $\theta \in (0, 1)$, obtaining:

$$\frac{1}{4} l(t, \theta) = \sup_{s \leq t} \left[- \left(x(\theta) + w(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_0^s (u - w)(r, \theta) dr \right) \right] \vee 0, \quad (50)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Therefore we have the following:

Corollary 4.2 *For all $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$, a.s. u satisfies the closed formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, \theta) &= x(\theta) + w(t, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_0^t (u(s, \theta) - w(s, \theta)) ds \\ &+ \sup_{s \leq t} \left[- \left(x(\theta) + w(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_0^s (u(r, \theta) - w(r, \theta)) dr \right) \right] \vee 0, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$. In particular v , defined by:

$$v(t, \theta) := \int_0^t (u(s, \theta) - w(s, \theta)) ds,$$

is solution of the following fully non-linear equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} + x(\theta) + \sup_{s \leq t} \left[- \left(x(\theta) + w(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2}(s, \theta) \right) \right] \vee 0 \\ v(0, \cdot) = 0, \quad v(t, 0) = v(t, 1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

unique in $\mathcal{V} := \{v' : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous: } \partial v' / \partial t \text{ continuous, } \partial^2 v' / \partial \theta^2 \in L^1_{loc}((0, 1); C([0, T])) \text{ for all } T > 0\}$.

The uniqueness of solutions of equation (52) in \mathcal{V} is a consequence of the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of equation (1), proved in [8]: indeed, if $v' \in \mathcal{V}$ is a solution of (52), then setting

$$u'(t, \theta) := \frac{\partial v'}{\partial t}(t, \theta) + w(t, \theta),$$

$$\eta'(dt, d\theta) := d_t \left\{ \sup_{s \leq t} \left[- \left(x(\theta) + w(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v'}{\partial \theta^2}(s, \theta) \right) \right] \vee 0 \right\} d\theta$$

and repeating the above arguments backwards, we obtain that (u', η') is a weak solution of (1), so that $u' = u$ and therefore $v' = v$.

Notice that, by point 5 in Theorem 4.1, by (41)-(50)-(52) and by the continuity of $\partial v / \partial t$ on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, then, for all $t > 0$, $\partial^2 v / \partial \theta^2(t, \cdot)$ is not in $L^1(0, 1)$, so that by the uniqueness a $C^{1,2}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ solution of (52) does not exist.

References

- [1] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, (2002), *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] D. Geman, J. Horowitz, (1980), *Occupation densities*, Ann. Probab., **8**, no. 1, 1–67.
- [3] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, M. Takeda, (1994), *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York.
- [4] T. Funaki, (1984), *Random motion of strings and stochastic differential equations on the space $C([0, 1], \mathbf{R}^d)$* , In K. Itô, editor, Stochastic Analysis: proceedings of the Taniguchi International Symposium on Stochastic Analysis, Katada and Kyoto, 1982, Mathematical library v. 32, pages 121–133, Amsterdam, New York, North-Holland.
- [5] T. Funaki, S. Olla, (2001), *Fluctuations for $\nabla\phi$ interface model on a wall*, Stoch. Proc. and Appl., **94**, no. 1, 1–27.
- [6] Z. M. Ma, M. Röckner, (1992), *Introduction to the Theory of (Non Symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [7] C. Mueller, R. Tribe, (2002), *Hitting properties of a random string*, preprint.
- [8] D. Nualart, E. Pardoux, (1992), *White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection*, Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields, **93**, pp. 77-89.
- [9] D. Revuz, M. Yor, (1991), *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer Verlag.
- [10] L. Zambotti, (2002), *Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to SPDEs with reflection*, to appear in Prob. Theory and Related Fields.
- [11] L. Zambotti (2002), *Integration by parts on δ -Bessel Bridges, $\delta > 3$, and related SPDEs*, to appear in Annals of Probability.