A ction-angle coordinates for time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

Giovanni Giachetta<sup>1</sup>, Luigi Mangiarottiy<sup>2</sup> and Gennadi Sardanashvily z<sup>3</sup>

y D epartm ent of M athem atics and P hysics, U niversity of C am erino, 62032 C am erino (M C), Italy z D epartm ent of T heoretical P hysics, P hysics Faculty, M oscow State U niversity, 117234 M oscow, R ussia

A b stract. A time-dependent completely integrable Ham iltonian system is proved to admit the action-angle coordinates around any instantly compact regular invariant manifold. Written relative to these coordinates, its Ham iltonian and rst integrals are functions only of action coordinates.

## 1 Introduction

A time-dependent Ham iltonian system of m degrees of freedom is called a completely integrable system (henceforth C IS) if it adm its m independent rst integrals in involution. In the framework of homogeneous Ham iltonian formalism, a time-dependent C IS of m degrees of freedom can be extended to an autonomous C IS of m+1 degrees of freedom where the time is regarded as a dynamic variable [3,4,8]. Using this fact, we aim to introduce action-angle coordinates for a time-dependent C IS.

By virtue of the classical A mold (Liouville theorem [2, 6], an autonom ous C IS adm its the action-angle coordinates around a regular compact invariant manifold. The problem is that its invariant manifolds are not compact because of the time axis. Generalizing the above mentioned theorem, we prove that there is a system of action-angle coordinates on an open neighbourhood U of a regular invariant manifold M of an autonomous C IS if H amiltonian vector elds of rst integrals on M are complete and the foliation of U by invariant manifolds is trivial. If M is a compact invariant manifold, these conditions always hold [6]. Then we show that, if a regular connected invariant manifold of a time-dependent C IS is compact at each instant, it is dieomorphic to the product of the time axis R and an m-dimensional torus  $T^m$ , and adm its an open neighbourhood equipped with the time-dependent actionangle coordinates ( $I_i;t;i$ ), i=1;:::;m, where t is the Cartesian coordinate on R and if are cyclic coordinates on  $T^m$ . Written with respect to these coordinates, a H amiltonian and rst integrals of a time-dependent C IS are functions only of action coordinates  $I_i$ .

Thus, action-angle coordinates for a time-dependent C IS provide a particular solution of the problem of a representation of its H am iltonian in terms of rst integrals. However, this representation need not hold with respect to any coordinate system because a H am iltonian fails to be a scalar under time-dependent canonical transform ations [5, 7].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-m ail address: giovannigiachetta@ unicam .it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E-m ail address: luigim angiarotti@ unicam .it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>E-mailaddress: sard@grav.phys.msu.su;URL:http://webcenter.ru/sardan/

## 2 Tim e-dependent com pletely integrable Hamiltonian system s

Recall that the con guration space of a time-dependent mechanical system is a bre bundle Q! R over the time axis R equipped with the bundle coordinates  $(t;q^k)$ , k = 1; ...; m. The corresponding momentum phase space is the vertical cotangent bundle V Q of Q! R endowed with holonomic coordinates  $(t;q^k;p_k=q_k)$  [9-11]. The cotangent bundle T Q, coordinated by  $(q;p) = (t;q^k;p_0;p_k)$ , is the hom ogeneous m om entum phase space of timedependent mechanics. It is provided with the canonical Liouville form canonical symplectic form = d , and the corresponding Poisson bracket

$$ff_{i}f^{0}q_{T} = 0 f0 f^{0} 0 f0 f0 f^{0}; f_{i}f^{0} 2 C^{1} (T Q);$$
 (1)

There is the one-dimensional trivial a nebundle

$$:TQ!VQ:$$
 (2)

G iven its global section h, one can equip T Q with the bre coordinate  $r = p_0$  h possessing the identity transition functions. The bre bundle (2) provides the vertical cotangent bundle V Q with the canonical Poisson structure f; qv such that

$$ff; f^0g_V = f f; f^0g_T; 8f; f^0 2 C^1 (V Q);$$
 (3)

$$ff; f^{0}g_{V} = f f; f^{0}g_{T}; 8f; f^{0}2 C^{1} (V Q);$$
 (3)  
 $ff; f^{0}g_{V} = e^{k}fe_{k}f^{0} e_{k}fe^{k}f^{0};$  (4)

Its characteristic symplectic foliation coincides with the bration V Q ! R.

A Ham iltonian of time-dependent mechanics is de ned as a global section

$$h : V Q ! T Q; p_0 h = H (t; q^i; p_j);$$

(2) [9, 10]. It yields the pull-back Ham iltonian form of the a ne bundle

$$H = h = p_k dq^k \quad H dt \tag{5}$$

on V Q [9,10]. Then there exists a unique vector eld  $_{
m H}$  on V Q such that

$$_{H} \cot = 1; \qquad _{H} \cot H = 0;$$
 (6)

$$_{H} = Q_{+} + Q^{k}H Q_{k} \qquad Q_{k}H Q^{k} :$$
 (7)

Its trajectories obey the Hamilton equation

$$\underline{q}^{k} = \underline{\theta}^{k} H ; \qquad \underline{p}_{k} = \underline{\theta}_{k} H :$$
 (8)

A rst integral of the Hamilton equation (8) is a smooth real function F on V Q whose Lie derivative

$$L_{H}F = H CDF = \theta_{t}F + fH ; F g_{v}$$

along the vector eld  $_{\rm H}$  (7) vanishes, i.e., F is constant on trajectories of  $_{\rm H}$  . A timedependent Ham iltonian system (V Q; H) is said to be completely integrable if the Ham ilton

equation (8) adm its m rst integrals  $F_k$  which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket  $f;g_V$  (4), and whose di erentials  $dF_k$  are linearly independent almost everywhere, i.e., the set of points where this condition fails is nowhere dense in V Q.O ne can associate to this C IS an autonomous C IS on T Q as follows.

Let us consider the pull-back H of the Hamiltonian form H (5) onto the cotangent bundle T Q. It is readily observed that

$$H = \theta_t c ( h ) = p_0 + H$$
 (9)

is a function on T Q. Let us regard H as a Ham iltonian of an autonomous Ham iltonian system on the symplectic manifold (T Q; ) [11]. Its Ham iltonian vector eld

$$_{T} = \varrho_{t} \quad \varrho_{t} H \varrho^{0} + \varrho^{k} H \varrho_{k} \quad \varrho_{k} H \varrho^{k}$$

$$(10)$$

is projected onto the vector eld  $_{\rm H}$  (7) on V Q so that

$$(L_{H}f) = fH ; fg_{T}; 8f 2 C^{1} (V Q):$$

An immediate consequence of this relation is the following.

Theorem 1. (i) Given a time-dependent C IS (V Q;H; $F_k$ ) on V Q, the H am iltonian system (T Q;H;  $F_k$ ) on T Q is completely integrable. (ii) Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of (V Q;H; $F_k$ ). Then h(N) T Q is a connected regular invariant manifold of the autonomous C IS (T Q;H;  $F_k$ ).

Hereafter, we assume that the vector eld  $_{\rm H}$  (7) is complete. In this case, the Ham ilton equation (8) adm its a unique global solution through each point of the momentum phase space V Q, and trajectories of  $_{\rm H}$  de ne a trivial bre bundle V Q!  $V_{\rm t}$  Q over any bre  $V_{\rm t}$  Q of V Q! R.W ithout loss of generality, we choose the bre  $i_0:V_0$  Q! V Q at t=0. Since N is an invariant manifold, the bration

$$: V Q ! V_0 Q$$
 (11)

also yields the bration of N onto N  $_0$  = N \ V $_0$  Q such that N = R N  $_0$  is a trivial bre bundle.

## 3 Tim e-dependent action-angle coordinates

Let us introduce the action-angle coordinates around an invariant manifold N of a time-dependent C IS on V Q by use of the action-angle coordinates around the invariant manifold h (N ) of the autonomous C IS on T Q in Theorem 1. Since N and, consequently, h (N ) are non-compact, we rst prove the following.

Theorem 2. Let M be a connected invariant manifold of an autonomous C IS fF g, = 1;:::;n, on a symplectic manifold (Z; Z), and let the H am iltonian vector elds of the rst integrals F on M be complete. Let U be a neighbourhood of M such that fF g have no

critical points in U and the submersion F: U! Rn is a trivial bundle of Lagrangian invariant m anifolds over a dom ain  $V^0$  R<sup>n</sup>. Then U is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W^{0} = V^{0} (R^{nm} T^{m});$$
 (12)

provided with the generalized action-angle coordinates

$$(I_1; ...; I_n; x^1; ...; x^{n m}; ^1; ...; ^m)$$
 (13)

such that the symplectic form on W $^{0}$  reads

$$z = dI_i \wedge dx^i + dI_{n + k} \wedge d^k;$$

and the st integrals F depend only on the action coordinates (I).

Proof. In accordance with the well-known theorem [1, 2], the invariant manifold M is di eom orphic to the product  $R^{n m}$   $T^{m}$ , provided with coordinates  $(y) = (s^{a};'^{i})$  where 'i are linear functions of param eters s along the integral curves of H am iltonian vector elds of rst integrals F on M. Let (J) be coordinates on  $V^0$  which are values of rst integrals F. Since  $W^0$ !  $V^0$  is a trivial bundle, (J; y) are bundle coordinates on the annulus  $W^0$  (12). It should be emphasized that, since group parameters are given up to a shift, the coordinates y on W  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  are determined up to a shift by functions of coordinates J . W ritten relative to these coordinates, the sym plectic form  $_{\rm Z}$  on W  $^{\rm 0}$  reads

$$_{Z} = dJ \wedge dJ + dJ \wedge dy :$$
 (14)

By the de nition of coordinates (y ), the Ham iltonian vector elds # of rst integrals take the coordinate form # = # (J)@ where

$$\#_a = Q_a + \#_a^i (J) Q_i; \qquad \#_i = \#_i^k (J) Q_k;$$
 (15)

and they obey the relations

$$\# \ C_Z = \ dJ; \qquad \# = :$$
 (16)

is a nondegenerate m atrix and  $\# = (^1)$ , i.e., the m atrix functions depend only on coordinates J. Let us apply the relation (16) to the Hamiltonian vector elds  $\#_a$  and  $\#_i$  (15). We obtain

$$a = b = b;$$
  $#_a = 0;$  (17)

$$a = b = b;$$
  $a = b;$   $a = 0;$  (17)  
 $a = b = b;$   $a = 0;$  (18)

The set of the equalities (18) shows that the matrix  $\frac{j}{k}$  is nondegenerate, and so is  $\#_i^k$ . Then the second one results in a = 0.

Using the well-known Kunneth formula for the de Rham cohomology of a product, one can easily justify that the closed form z on W  $^0$  (12) is exact. M oreover, z = d where takes the form

= 
$$(J;y)dJ + _{i}(J)d'^{i}$$
:

O f course, is determined up to an exact form. Using the fact that components of d=z are independent of y and obey the equalities (17) { (18), we obtain the following.

- (i)  $_{i}^{a} = _{i}^{a} + _{i}^{a} = _{i}^{a} = 0$ . It follows that  $_{i}^{a}$  is independent of ', i.e.,  $_{i}^{a}$  is a ne in ' and, consequently, is independent of ' since ' is a cyclic coordinate. Hence,  $_{i}^{a}$  is a function only of coordinates  $_{i}^{a}$ .
- (ii)  $_{i}^{k} = \theta_{i}^{k} + \theta_{i}^{k}$ . Sim ilarly, one shows that  $_{i}^{k}$  is independent of  $_{i}^{k}$  and  $_{i}^{k} = \theta_{i}^{k}$  i.e.,  $\theta_{i}^{k}$  is a nondegenerate matrix.
  - (iii) a = a = a. Hence,  $a = D a (J) + s^a$ .
  - (iv)  $\stackrel{i}{b} = e_b \stackrel{i}{,} ie., \stackrel{i}{o} is a ne in s^a.$

In view of items (i) { (iv), the Liouville form reads

$$= x^a dJ_a + D^i(J) + B_a^i(J) s^a dJ_i + i(J_i) d'^i;$$

where  $x^a = s^a + D^a(J)$ . Since the matrix  $e^k$  is nondegenerate, one can introduce new coordinates  $I_i = i(J_j)$ ,  $I_a = J_a$ . We obtain

= 
$$x^a dI_a + D^{(i)}(I) + B_a^{(i)}(I) s^a dI_i + I_i d'^{i}$$
:

Finally, put

$$i = 'i \quad \mathbb{D}^{0i}(I) + B_a^{0i}(I)s^a$$

in order to obtain the desired coordinates

$$I_a = J_a;$$
  $I_i(J_k);$   $x^a = s^a;$   $a(s^b;'^i;J):$  (19)

Of course, the generalized action-angle coordinates (13) by no means are unique. For instance, let  $F_a$ , a=1;:::;n m be an arbitrary smooth function on  $R^m$ . Let us consider the canonical coordinate transform ation

$$I_a^0 = I_a F_a(I_j); I_k^0 = I_k; x^0 = x^a; 0 = i + x^a \theta^i F_a(I_j): (20)$$

Then  $(I_a^0; I_k^0; x^{0a}; {}^{0})$  are generalized action-angle coordinates on the symplectic annulus which diers from W  ${}^0$  (12) in another trivialization.

Now, we apply Theorem 2 to the CISs in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of a time-dependent C IS (V Q;H; $F_k$ ), and let the image N $_0$  of its projection (11) be compact. Then the invariant manifold h(N) of the autonomous C IS (T Q;H;  $F_k$ ) has an open neighbourhood U obeying the condition of Theorem 2.

Proof. (i) We rst show that functions  $i_0F_k$  make up a C IS on the symplectic leaf  $(V_0Q;_0)$  and  $N_0$  is its invariant manifold without critical points. Clearly, the functions  $i_0F_k$  are in involution, and  $N_0$  is their connected invariant manifold. Let us show that the set of critical points of  $fi_0F_kg$  is nowhere dense in  $V_0Q$  and  $N_0$  has none of these points. Let  $V_0Q$  be equipped with some coordinates  $(\overline{q}^k; \overline{p}_k)$ . Then the trivial bundle (11) is provided with the bundle coordinates  $(t; \overline{q}^k; \overline{p}_k)$  which play a role of the initial date coordinates on the

m om entum phase space V Q . W ritten with respect to these coordinates, the  $\mbox{rst}$  integrals  $F_k$  become time-independent. It follows that

$$dF_k(y) = di_0 F_k(y)$$
 (21)

for any point y 2 V Q. In particular, if  $y_0$  2  $V_0$  Q is a critical point of  $fi_0F_kg$ , then the trajectory  $^1$  ( $y_0$ ) is a critical set for the rst integrals  $fF_kg$ . The desired statem ent at once follows from this result.

(ii) Since N  $_0$  obeys the condition in item (i), there is an open neighbourhood of N  $_0$  in V  $_0$  Q isomorphic to V N  $_0$  where V R  $^m$  is a domain, and fvg N  $_0$ , v 2 V, are also invariant manifolds in V  $_0$  Q [6]. Then

$$W = {}^{1} (V N_0) = V N$$
 (22)

is an open neighbourhood in V Q of the invariant manifold N foliated by invariant manifolds  $^1$  (fvg  $\,$  N  $_0$ ), v 2 V , of the time-dependent C IS on V Q . By virtue of the equality (21), the rst integrals fF  $_k$ g have no critical points in W . For any real number r 2 ( ";"), let us consider a section

$$h_r : V Q ! T Q; p_0 h_f = H (t; q^j; p_j) + r;$$

of the a nebundle (2). Then the im ages h  $_{\rm r}$  (W ) of W (22) m ake up an open neighbourhood U of h (N ) in T Q . Because (U) = W , the pull-backs F  $_{\rm k}$  of rst integrals F  $_{\rm k}$  are free from critical points in U , and so is the function H (9). Since the coordinate r = p $_{\rm 0}$  h provides a trivialization of the a ne bundle , the open neighbourhood U of h (N ) is diesom or phic to the product

$$(";") h(W) = (";") V h(N)$$

which is a trivialization of the bration

$$H (F_k):U! (";") V:$$

(iii) It remains to prove that the Hamiltonian vector elds of H and  $F_k$  on U are complete. It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector eld  $_T$  (10) of H is tangent to the manifolds  $h_r$  (W), and is the image  $_T$  =  $Th_r$   $_H$  of the vector eld  $_H$  (7). The latter is complete on W, and so is  $_T$  on U. Similarly, the Hamiltonian vector eld

$$_{k} = 0_{+}F_{k}0^{0} + 0^{1}F_{k}0_{1} \quad 0_{1}F_{k}0^{1}$$

of the function  $F_k$  on T Q with respect to the Poisson bracket  $f;g_T$  (1) is tangent to the manifolds  $h_r$  (W ), and is the image  $_k$  = Th $_r$   $\#_k$  of the Ham iltonian vector eld  $\#_k$  of the rst integral  $F_k$  on W with respect to the Poisson bracket  $f;g_V$  (4). The vector elds  $\#_k$  on W are vertical relative to the bration W ! R, and are tangent to compact manifolds. Therefore, they are complete, and so are the vector elds  $_k$  on U. Thus, U is the desired open neighbourhood of the invariant manifold h (N ).

In accordance with Theorem 2, the open neighbourhood U of the invariant manifold h(N) of the autonomous C IS (T Q;H ;  $F_k$ ) in Theorem 3 is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W^{0} = V^{0} \quad (R \quad T^{m}); \quad V^{0} = (";") \quad V;$$
 (23)

provided with the generalized action-angle coordinates

$$(I_0; \dots; I_m; t; ^1; \dots; ^m):$$
 (24)

A glance at the H am iltonian vector eld  $\#_0 = {}_{\rm T}$  (10) and the relation (16) - (19), shows that  ${\rm I}_0 = {\rm J}_0 = {\rm H}$  and the corresponding generalized angle coordinate is  ${\rm x}^0 = {\rm t}$ , while the rst integrals  ${\rm J}_k = {\rm F}_k$  depend only on the action coordinates  ${\rm I}_i$ .

Since the action coordinates  $I_i$  are independent of the coordinate r, the symplectic annulus W  $^0$  (23) inherits the  $\,$  bration

$$W^{0} ! W^{0} = V (R T^{m})$$
: (25)

By the relation similar to (3), the product  $W^{(0)} = W^{(25)}$ , coordinated by  $(I_i;t;^i)$ , is provided with the Poisson structure

$$ff_{i}f_{i}^{0}g_{W} = e^{i}fe_{i}f^{0} e_{i}fe^{i}f^{0}; f_{i}f^{0}2 C^{1} (W^{0}):$$

Therefore, one can regard W  $^{0}$  as the momentum phase space of the time-dependent C IS in question around the invariant manifold N .

It is readily observed that the H am iltonian vector  $\;$  eld  $_{\rm T}$  of the autonom ous H am iltonian H = I $_0$  is  $_{\rm T}$  =  $\theta_{\rm t}$ , and so is its projection  $_{\rm H}$  (7) on W  $^{00}$ . Consequently, the H am ilton equation (8) of a time-dependent C IS with respect to the action-angle coordinates take the form I $_{\rm T}=0$ ,  $_{\rm I}^{-i}=0$ . Consequently, (I $_{\rm i}$ ; t;  $_{\rm I}^{i}$ ) are the initial date coordinates. One can introduce such coordinates as follows. G iven the bration (11), let us provide N $_0$  V  $_{\rm V}_0$  Q in Theorem 3 with action-angle coordinates ( $_{\rm I}^{i}$ ; t;  $_{\rm I}^{-i}$ ) for the C IS fi $_{\rm I}^{i}$ F  $_{\rm K}^{i}$ g on the sym plectic leaf V $_{\rm I}$ Q . Then, it is readily observed that ( $_{\rm I}^{i}$ ; t;  $_{\rm I}^{-i}$ ) are time-dependent action-angle coordinates on W  $_{\rm I}^{0}$ 0 (25) such that the H am iltonian H ( $_{\rm I}^{i}$ ) of a time-dependent C IS relative to these coordinates vanishes, i.e., H =  $_{\rm I}^{0}$ . U sing the canonical transform ations (20), one can consider time-dependent action-angle coordinates besides the initional date ones. G iven a sm ooth function H on R  $_{\rm I}^{\rm m}$ , one can provide W  $_{\rm I}^{0}$  w ith the action-angle coordinates

$$I_0 = \overline{I}_0$$
 H  $(\overline{I}_j)$ ;  $I_i = \overline{I}_i$ ;  $i = -i + t@^iH (\overline{I}_j)$ 

such that H  $(I_i)$  is a Ham iltonian of time-dependent C IS on W  $^{00}$ .

## R eferences

- [1] A mold V 1963 Russ. M ath. Surv. 18 No.5 13
- [2] A mold V (Ed.) 1998 D ynam ical System's III (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [3] Bouquet S and Bourdier A 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57 1273

- [4] Dewisme A and Bouquet S 1993 J.M ath.Phys.34 997
- [5] Kaushal R 1998 Int. J. Theor. Phys. 37 1793
- [6] Lazutkin V 1993 KAM Theory and Semiclassical Approximations to Eigenfunctions (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [7] Lew is H, Leach O, Bouquet S and Feix M 1992 J.M ath. Phys. 33 591
- [8] Lichtenberg A and Lieberm ann M 1983 Regular and Stochastic Motion (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [9] Mangiarotti Land Sardanashvily G 1998 Gauge Mechanics (Singapore: World Scientic)
- [10] Sardanashvily G 1998 J. M ath. Phys. 39 2714
- [11] Sardanashvily G 2000 J.M ath.Phys. 41 5245