

REPRESENTATIONS OF n -LIE ALGEBRAS

A.S. DZHUMADIL'DAEV

ABSTRACT. Let $V_n = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$ be a vector products n -Lie algebra with n -Lie commutator $[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i$ over the field of complex numbers. Any finite-dimensional n -Lie V_n -module is completely reducible. Any finite-dimensional irreducible n -Lie V_n -module is isomorphic to a n -Lie extension of so_{n+1} -module with highest weight $t\pi_1$ for some nonnegative integer t .

1. INTRODUCTION

An algebra $A = (A, [\ , \])$ with a n -multiplication $[\ , \] : \wedge^n A \rightarrow A, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is called it n -Lie, if

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, [a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1}]] = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} (-1)^i [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i], a_n, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1}],$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$ [3].

To any n -Lie algebra one can associate a Lie algebra $L(A) = \wedge^{n-1} A$, called *basic* Lie algebra, with a multiplication given by

$$[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} [[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i], b_1, \dots, \hat{b}_i, \dots, b_{n-1}],$$

or by

$$[a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+n} [a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n, [a_i, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]],$$

where \hat{b}_i means that the element b_i is omitted.

Example 1. Let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ under Jacobian map $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \det(\partial_i(a_j))$. Then A is n -Lie [3], [4] and its basic algebra is isomorphic to divergenceless vector fields algebra S_{n-1} [6].

Example 2. Let V_n be $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$. Then V_n under a n -Lie multiplication $[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i$ can be endowed by a structure of n -Lie algebra. This algebra is called *vector products n -Lie algebra*. For $n = 2$ we obtain well known vector products algebra on K^3 . From results of [3] it follows that $L(V_n) \cong so_{n+1}$.

Let $U(L(A))$ be an universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $L(A)$. Let $Q(A)$ be an ideal of $U(L(A))$ generated by elements

$$X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = [a_1, \dots, a_n] \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2} \\ - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{i+n} (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) (a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Let $U(A)$ be a factor-algebra of $U(L(A))$ by the ideal $Q(A)$.

A module M of the Lie algebra $L(A)$, or $U(L(A))$ -module, is called *module of n -Lie algebra A* , if $Q(A)$ acts on M in a trivial way. Such n -Lie modules have the following property: if A is any n -Lie algebra and M is any n -Lie module over A , then their semi-direct sum $A + M$ is once again n -Lie algebra. This is the main reason why we consider $L(A)$ -modules with the condition $Q(A)M = 0$ as n -Lie modules.

One can expect that the n -Lie algebra V_n plays in theory of n -Lie algebras a role like sl_2 in theory of Lie algebras. The aim of our paper is to describe all finite-dimensional representations of vector products n -Lie algebra over the field of complex numbers.

Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{[n+1/2]}$ be the fundamental weights for so_{n+1} . Recall that $so_4 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ and any irreducible so_4 -module can be realized as $sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ -module $M_{t,r} = M_t \otimes M_r$, where M_t denotes $(t+1)$ -dimensional irreducible sl_2 -module with highest weight t .

The main result of our paper is the following

Theorem 1.1. $K = \mathbf{C}$.

i) *Any finite-dimensional n -Lie representation of $V_n, n \geq 2$, is completely reducible.*

ii) *Let $M_{t,r}$ be an irreducible so_4 -module with highest weight (t, r) . Then $M_{t,r}$ can be prolonged to 3-Lie module over V_3 , if and only if $t = r$.*

iii) *Let M be an irreducible module of Lie algebra $so_{n+1}, n > 3$, with highest weight α . Then M can be prolonged to n -Lie module of V_n , if and only if α has a form $t\pi_1$, for some nonnegative integer t .*

So, we obtain complete description of finite-dimensional n -Lie V_n -modules over \mathbf{C} . Our result shows that any irreducible n -Lie representation of V_n is ruled by some nonnegative integer t as in the Lie case $V_2 \cong sl_2$. Call t mentioned in theorem 1.1 *n -Lie highest weight*.

Corollary 1.2. ($K = \mathbf{C}, n > 2$) *The dimension of any irreducible n -Lie V_n -module with highest weight t is equal to $\frac{n+2t-1}{n+t-1} \binom{n+t-1}{t}$.*

For example, the dimension of any irreducible V_3 -module with highest weight t is equal to $(t+1)^2$.

Remark. If $n = 3$ and if we allow considering infinite-dimensional modules, then studying of V_3 -representations can be reduced to the problem on describing of gl_λ -modules. A definition of complex size matrices algebra gl_λ see [2], [5]. One can prove that $U(V_3)$ has a sub-algebra isomorphic to $gl_\lambda \otimes gl_\lambda$.

2. n -LIE MODULES

Let $A = (A, \omega)$ be a n -Lie algebra with multiplication ω . This means that $\omega \in C^n(A, A)$ is a skew-symmetric polylinear map $\omega : \wedge^n A \rightarrow A$ with n arguments and satisfies the following n -Lie identity (Leibniz rule)

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

where a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1} are any elements of A [4] The Leibniz rule can be written as follows

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} (-1)^{n+i} \omega(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_n, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$. Here the notation \hat{a}_i means that a_i is omitted.

Let $End A$ be a space of linear maps $A \rightarrow A$. Recall that an operator $D \in End A$ is called *derivation*, if

$$D(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, D(a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. Let $Der A$ be a space of derivations of A . According n -Lie identity for any $n - 1$ elements $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ one can correspond adjoint derivation $ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \in Der A$ by the rule

$$ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Call a derivation $D \in Der A$ *interior*, if there exists some $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$, such that $D = ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. Denote by $Int A$ a space of interior derivations of A . Then $Der A$ is a Lie algebra under commutator $(D_1, D_2) \mapsto [D_1, D_2] := D_1 D_2 - D_2 D_1$ and $Int A$ is its Lie ideal. Notice that the commutator of $Int A$ can be given by

$$[ad \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, ad \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}] = ad \{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\},$$

where

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \omega(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i), b_1, \dots, \hat{b}_i, \dots, b_{n-1}).$$

By skew-symmetric condition $\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ can be defined also by

$$\{c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \omega(\omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, a_i), a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

Moreover, the $(n-1)$ -th exterior power $L(A) = \wedge^{n-1} A$ under commutator

$$[a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}, b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{n-1}] = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge \dots \wedge c_{n-1}$$

can be endowed by structure of Lie algebra (write $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ instead of $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1}$). The adjoint map

$$\wedge^{n-1} A \rightarrow \text{Int } A, \quad a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1} \mapsto \text{ad} \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\},$$

gives us a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Following L. Takhtajan call $L(A)$ a *basic* Lie algebra of A .

A vector space M is called *A-module*, or *n-Lie module over A*, if there are given n polylinear maps

$$\omega_i : A \otimes \dots \otimes A \otimes \underset{i}{M} \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A \rightarrow M, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that

- $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ is skew-symmetric by all a -type arguments

•

$$\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n) = -\omega_{i+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, m, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n),$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

•

$$\omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-2}, m)) =$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{2n-2} \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}, m)$$

$$+ \omega_n(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-2}, \omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m)),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$ and $m \in M$,

•

$$\omega_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, m, \omega(a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1})) =$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega_i(a_n, \dots, a_{i-1}, \omega_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, m, a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$ and $m \in M$.

If M is an A -module, we will say that there are given representation $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ of A on the vector space M .

So, any module of n -Lie algebra is an usual module of Lie algebra, if $n = 2$. If $n > 2$, then any module of n -Lie algebra A is a Lie module of the basic Lie algebra $L(A)$ under representation $\rho : \wedge^{n-1} A \rightarrow \text{End } M$ defined by $\rho(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m) = \omega_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+n} \rho(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. If M is a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$ that satisfies the condition (1) for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$, then we will say that Lie module structure on M over $L(A)$ can be *prolonged* to a n -Lie module structure over n -Lie algebra A , or shortly that Lie module M can be prolonged to n -Lie module.

Example. For any n -Lie algebra A its adjoint module, i.e., a module with vector space A and the action $(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})b = [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b]$ is n -Lie module.

For Lie algebra L denote by $U(L)$ its universal enveloping algebra. Let $Q(A)$ be an ideal of $U(L(A))$ generated by elements

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2} \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) (a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{U}(A)$ be factor-algebra of $U(L(A))$ over $Q(A)$.

Any Lie module of $L(A)$ can be prolonged to n -Lie module, if and only if it is trivial $Q(A)$ -module. In other words, there are one-to-one correspondence between n -Lie modules and $\bar{U}(A)$ -modules. In this sense $\bar{U}(A)$ can be considered as universal enveloping algebra of n -Lie algebra A .

Let A be a n -Lie algebra and M be an A -module. For simplifying notations we will write $\omega(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$ instead of $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$. Then n -Lie module conditions can be written in a unique way

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, \omega(b_n, \dots, b_{2n-1})) = \\ & \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} \omega(b_n, \dots, b_{i-1}, \omega(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_i), \dots, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{2n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $b_1, \dots, b_{2n-1} \in A + M$.

Let $\tilde{A} = A + M$ be a direct sum of vector spaces A and M . Endow \tilde{A} by the structure of n -multiplication $\tilde{\omega}$ by the following rules

$$\tilde{\omega}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$,

$$\tilde{\omega}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = \omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

if $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots \in A$, $m \in M$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\tilde{\omega}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0,$$

if at least two elements of the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset Q$ belongs to M .

It is easy to check that $\tilde{\omega}$ is skew-symmetric and that $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule. So, \tilde{A} is n -Lie, if A is n -Lie and M is n -Lie module over A . Call \tilde{A} *semi-direct sum* or *split extension* of n -Lie algebra A by A -module M .

Suppose that Q is a n -Lie algebra with abelian ideal M , such that Q/M is n -Lie. Then M has natural structure of n -Lie module over factor-algebra Q/M . Suppose that Q has subalgebra A isomorphic to Q/M . Then Q is isomorphic to the semi-direct sum $A + M$.

Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Let M_1 be a subspace of M , such that $\omega_i(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) \in N$, for any $m \in M_1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$. In such case we will say that M_1 is *n -Lie submodule* or just submodule of M . Any module has trivial submodules 0 and M . Call M *irreducible*, if any its submodule is trivial. Call M *completely reducible*, if it can be decomposed to a direct sum of irreducible submodules. Equivalent definition: M is completely reducible, if for any submodule $N \subseteq M$ one can find additional submodule $S \subseteq M$, such that $M \cong N \oplus S$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Then any submodule, any factor-module and dual module of M are also n -Lie modules. If M_1 and M_2 are n -Lie modules over A , then their direct sum $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is also n -Lie module.*

Proof. Consider M as a Lie module of Lie algebra $L(A)$. Suppose that N is a Lie submodule of M . Denote by ρ_M and ρ_N representations of $L(A)$ on modules M and N correspondingly. By our condition M is n -Lie module, therefore according (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_M(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho_M(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Since $\rho_N(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m) = \rho_M(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{n-1})(m)$, for any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$ and $m \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_N(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{a}_i \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \rho_N(a_i \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{2n-2}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \in A$. Therefore, N has a structure of n -module over n -Lie algebra A . By similar reasons M/N is also n -Lie module.

It is evident that $M_1 \oplus M_2$ under action $[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_1 + m_2] = [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_1] + [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, m_2]$ is n -Lie module.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra A . Then*

- *M is irreducible, if and only if M is irreducible as a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$.*
- *M is completely reducible, if and only if M is completely reducible as a Lie module over Lie algebra $L(A)$.*

Proof. The first statement is evident. Irreducibility of M as a Lie module of $L(A)$ and irreducibility of M as n -Lie module are equivalent by definition.

Prove the second one. Let N and S be submodules of M , such that $M \cong N \oplus S$. Then N and S are Lie submodules of M as modules over the Lie algebra $L(A)$ and $M \cong N \oplus S$ as Lie modules. If M is completely reducible as Lie module, then for any Lie submodule $N \subseteq M$ one can find another Lie submodule S , such that $M \cong N \oplus S$. By proposition 2.1 N and S are also n -Lie submodules. So, $M \cong N \oplus S$ as n -Lie modules.

3. VECTOR PRODUCTS n -LIE ALGEBRA AND ITS MODULES

Let V_n be a vector products n -Lie algebra over \mathbf{C} . It is $(n+1)$ -dimensional and the multiplication on a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is given by

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^i e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For example, V_2 is the vector products algebra on \mathbf{C}^3 and as a Lie algebra it is isomorphic to sl_2 .

Recall that the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric $n \times n$ -matrices so_n , $n \geq 3$, is semi-simple over $K = \mathbf{C}$. More exactly, it is simple, if $n \neq 4$ and has type $B_{[n/2]}$, if n is odd and type $D_{n/2}$, if n is even. If $n = 4$, then $so_4 \cong A_1 \oplus A_1$. For $n = 3$, $so_3 \cong A_1$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$ denote by $[\lambda]$ a maximal integer, such that $[\lambda] \leq \lambda$. Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{[n/2]}$ be the fundamental weights of so_n and $M(\alpha)$ be the irreducible so_n -module with highest weight α . Any highest weight can be characterized by $[n/2]$ -tuple of nonnegative integers $\{s_1, \dots, s_{[n/2]}\}$,

namely $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \alpha_i \pi_i$. There is another way to describe highest weights.

Suppose that a sequence of integers or half-integers $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{[n/2]}\}$ satisfies the following conditions

- $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{[n/2]} \geq 0$, if n is odd and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{n/2}|$, if n is even.
- $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, are nonnegative integers, where $\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, [n/2] - 1$ and $\alpha_{[n/2]} = 2\lambda_{[n/2]}$, if n is odd and $\alpha_{n/2} = \lambda_{n/2-1} + \lambda_{n/2}$, if n is even.

Then any irreducible finite-dimensional so_n -module with highest weight α can be restored by a such sequence λ .

Let M be an irreducible so_n -module. For $n \neq 4$, set $q(M) = r$, if its highest weight α satisfies the condition $\alpha_r \neq 0$, but $\alpha_{r'} = 0$, for any $r' > r$. For $n = 4$, set $q(M) = 1$, if so_4 -module is isomorphic to $M_{t,t}$, for some nonnegative integer t and $q(M) = 2$, if $M \cong M_{t,r}$, for some $t \neq r$.

Let α be a highest weight for so_n -module and $n \neq 4$. Then $q(\alpha) = 1$, if and only if α has the form $k\pi_1$ for some nonnegative integer k .

Any finite-dimensional irreducible sl_2 -module is isomorphic to $(l+1)$ -dimensional irreducible module M_l with highest weight l . Recall that any highest weight of sl_2 can be identified with a some nonnegative integer. As we mentioned above $so_4 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2$. Any irreducible so_4 -module M can be characterized by two nonnegative integers (t, r) . Namely, $M \cong M_{t,r} = M_t \otimes M_r$, where the action of $a + b$ on $m + s$, where a is an element of the first copy of sl_2 and b is an element of the second copy of sl_2 and $m' \in M_t, m'' \in M_r$, is given by

$$(a + b)(m' \otimes m'') = a(m') \otimes m'' + m' \otimes b(m'').$$

Notice that in this realization to so_4 -module M with $q(M) = 1$ corresponds the $sl_2 \oplus sl_2$ -module $M_{t,t}$, for $t \geq 0, t \in \mathbf{Z}$.

In [4] is proved that V_n is simple and any derivation of V_n is interior. Therefore, $\wedge^{n-1} V_n \cong \text{Int } V_n$. More detailed observation of his proof shows that takes place the following

Theorem 3.1. *For any $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{Der } V_n \cong \text{Int } V_n \cong so_{n+1}.$$

In particular,

$$L(V_2) \cong V_2 \cong sl_2,$$

$$L(V_3) \cong V_3 \wedge V_3 \cong sl_2 \oplus sl_2,$$

$$L(V_n) \cong \wedge^{n-1} V_n \cong so_{n+1}, n \geq 4.$$

In other words, take place the following isomorphisms of Lie algebras

$$V_2 \cong A_1,$$

$$L(V_3) \cong A_1 \oplus A_1,$$

$$L(V_n) \cong B_{n/2}, \text{ if } n \geq 4 \text{ is even,}$$

$$L(V_n) \cong D_{(n+1)/2}, \text{ if } n \geq 4 \text{ is odd.}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The isomorphism of Lie algebras $L(V_n) \cong so_{n+1}$ can be given by*

$$e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1} \mapsto (-1)^{i+j+n+1} e_{ij}, \quad i < j.$$

Proof. Easy calculations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be so_{n+1} -module. Define quadratic elements R_{ijsk} of $U(so_{n+1})$ by*

$$R_{ijsk} = e_{ij}e_{sk} + e_{is}e_{kj} + e_{ik}e_{js}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, s, k \leq n+1.$$

Then M can be prolonged to n -Lie V_n -module, if and only if, $R_{ijsk}m = 0$, for any $m \in M$ and $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\}$.

Proof. Below we use the following notation. If a, b, c, \dots are some vectors, then $\langle a, b, c, \dots \rangle$ denotes their linear span and $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$ denotes the set of these elements (order of elements are not important) and by (a, b, c, \dots) we denote the vector with components a, b, c, \dots (order of elements is important).

Notice that $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}$ is skew-symmetric under arguments a_1, \dots, a_n and a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2} . Therefore, $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if dimension of the subspace $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is less than n or dimension of the subspace $\langle a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$ is less than $n-2$.

Suppose that $\dim \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = n$.

Check that $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if $V_n \neq \langle a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$. We can assume that a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} are basic vectors. Suppose that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = \{e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Since V_n does not coincide with the subspace $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} \rangle$ and therefore, its dimension is less than $n+1$, we have $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}\} = \{e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ for some $j, s \neq i, j < s$. Let for simplicity $a_1 = e_1, \dots, a_{i-1} = e_{i-1}, a_i = e_{i+1}, \dots, a_n = e_{n+1}$ and $(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, e_{n+1})$.

We have

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \omega(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_n) = (-1)^i e_i.$$

Further

$$a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2} = 0,$$

if $a_r \neq e_i, e_j, e_s$. Therefore,

$$(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) (a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) = 0,$$

if $a_r \neq e_i, e_j, e_s$.

Let $f : \wedge^{n-1} V_n \rightarrow so_{n+1}$ be the isomorphism of Lie algebras constructed in lemma 3.2. Prolong it to the isomorphism of universal enveloping algebras $f : U(\wedge^{n-1} V_n) \rightarrow U(so_{n+1})$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge (a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2})) &= \\ f((-1)^i e_i \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ -f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{js}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+n} f(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) f(a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) &= \\ (-1)^{n+j-1} f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) f(e_j \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ +(-1)^{n+s-1} f(e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) f(e_s \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_j \wedge \cdots \hat{e}_s \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+1}) &= \\ (-1)^{j+s+n+1} e_{ij} e_{is} + (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{is} e_{ij} &= \\ -(-1)^{j+s+n} [e_{ij}, e_{is}] = (-1)^{j+s+n} e_{js}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}) = 0$, and $X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}} = 0$, if the subspace generated by a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} does not coincide with V_n .

Now suppose that V_n is generated by elements a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2} . As above we can assume that these elements are basic elements and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1})$ and $(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}) = (e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, \hat{e}_s, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, e_{n+1})$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\}$. Then

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2} = 0,$$

since $e_i \in \{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-2}\}$. Calculations as above show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r+n} f(a_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{a}_r \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) f(a_r \wedge a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2n-2}) &= \\ \pm R_{ijsk}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $f(X_{a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}}) \in \langle R_{ijsk} : 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j, s, k \leq n+1 \rangle$.

Notice that R_{ijsk} is skew-symmetric by arguments j, s, k . Moreover,

$$R_{ijsk} = -e_{ij}[e_{is}, e_{ik}] - e_{is}[e_{ik}, e_{ij}] - e_{ik}[e_{ij}, e_{is}],$$

and,

$$R_{ijsk} = 0,$$

if $i \in \{j, s, k\}$.

So, so_{n+1} -module M can be prolonged to n -Lie module, if and only if $R_{ijsk}m = 0$, for any $m \in M, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n+1$. \square

Denote by $U_r(L) = \langle x_1 x_2 \dots x_{r'} : x_i \in L, r' \leq r \rangle$ a subspace of $U(L)$ generated by products of r elements. Let $0 \subset U_1(L) = L \subset U_2(L) \subset \dots$ be an increasing filtration of $U(L)$ and $S(L)$ be a symmetric algebra of L . Endow $U(L)$ and $S(L) \cong gr U(L)$ by a structure of L -modules under adjoint action. Then $S(L) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} S^r(L)$, where $S^r(L) \cong U_r(L)/U_{r-1}(L)$ is a symmetric r -th power of adjoint representation. In particular, there is a natural imbedding $Q_2(A) = Q(A) \cap U_2(L(A)) \rightarrow S^2(Ad)$. One can establish the following isomorphisms of so_{n+1} -modules

$$Q_2(V_n) \cong \begin{cases} M(\pi_4), & \text{if } n \geq 10 \\ M(\pi_4 + \pi_5), & \text{if } n = 9 \\ M(2\pi_4), & \text{if } n = 8 \\ M(2\pi_4) + M(2\pi_3), & \text{if } n = 7 \\ M(2\pi_3), & \text{if } n = 6 \\ M(\pi_2 + \pi_3), & \text{if } n = 5 \\ M(\pi_1), & \text{if } n = 4 \\ \mathbf{C}, & \text{if } n = 3 \end{cases}$$

Below we use branching rules for irreducible modules corresponding to the imbedding $so_{n-1} \subset so_n$ given in [1].

The proof of theorem 1.1 is based on the following

Theorem 3.4. *Let $k > 1$.*

i) *Let $M = M(\alpha)$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible so_{2k+1} -module with highest weight $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \pi_i$. Then M as a module over Lie subalgebra so_{2k} has a submodule, isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$.*

ii) *Let $M = M(\alpha)$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible so_{2k} -module with highest weight $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \pi_i$. Then M as a module over Lie subalgebra so_{2k-1} has a submodule, isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-2$, $\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$.*

Proof.

i) Take

$$\lambda_k = \alpha_k/2, \lambda_i = \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \alpha_j + \alpha_k/2, 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

According branching theorem 12.1b [1] any so_{2k} -submodule of $M(\alpha)$ has weight of the form $\bar{\alpha}$, such that corresponding $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\lambda_1 \geq |\bar{\lambda}_1| \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq |\bar{\lambda}_{k-1}| \geq \lambda_k \geq |\bar{\lambda}_k|.$$

The $\bar{\lambda}_j$ are integral or half-integral according to what the λ_j are. If we take $\bar{\lambda}_i := \lambda_i$, then such $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies these conditions. Therefore, $M(\alpha)$ has so_{2k} -submodule isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\alpha}_i \pi_i$, $\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} = \alpha_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \bar{\lambda}_{k-1} + \bar{\lambda}_k = \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$. So, the so_{2k+1} -module $M(\alpha)$ as so_{2k} -module has a submodule isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i \pi_i + (\alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k) \pi_k$.

ii) We have

$$\alpha_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \alpha_k = \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k.$$

By branching theorem 12.1a [1] any so_{2k-1} -submodule of $M(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $M(\bar{\alpha})$, such that corresponding $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\lambda_1 \geq |\bar{\lambda}_1| \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq |\bar{\lambda}_{k-1}| \geq |\lambda_k|.$$

The $\bar{\lambda}_j$ are integral or half-integral according to what the λ_j are. Notice that a sequence $\bar{\lambda}$ constructed by the following way satisfies these conditions

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

So, so_{2k} -module $M(\alpha)$ as so_{2k-1} -module has submodule $M(\bar{\alpha})$, where

$$\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} = \alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq k-2,$$

$$\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = 2\bar{\lambda}_{k-1} = 2\lambda_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k.$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $n > 4$ and M be irreducible so_n -module such that $q(M) > 1$. Then M as a module over subalgebra $so_{n-1} \subset so_n$ has a submodule isomorphic to \bar{M} , such that $q(\bar{M}) > 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for irreducible module M with highest weight α , the condition $q(M) > 1$, is equivalent to the condition $\sum_{i>1} \alpha_i > 0$.

Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be highest weight of so_{n-1} , defined by $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$, if $n = 2k+1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, k-2$, $\bar{\alpha}_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k$, if $n = 2k$.

Notice that

$$\sum_{i>1} \bar{\alpha}_i = \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i + 2\alpha_{k-1} \geq \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i,$$

if $n = 2k+1, k > 1$ and

$$\sum_{i>1} \bar{\alpha}_i = \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i,$$

if $n = 2k, k > 2$.

By theorem 3.4 so_n -module $M = M(\alpha)$ as so_{n-1} -module has a submodule isomorphic to $\bar{M} = M(\bar{\alpha})$. If $q(M) > 1$, then $q(\bar{M}) > 1$. \square

Notice that gl_n can be realized as a Lie algebra of derivations of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} x_i \partial_j$, $\lambda_{ij} \in K$. Its subalgebra so_n is generated by elements $e_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i$. The set $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ consists of basis of so_n . The multiplication on so_n can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}, e_{sk}] &= 0, \quad \text{if } |\{i, j, s, k\}| = 4, \\ [e_{ij}, e_{is}] &= -e_{js}, [e_{ij}, e_{js}] = e_{is}, [e_{is}, e_{js}] = -e_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $M = M_{t,r}$ be an irreducible so_4 -module. Then M can be prolonged to 3-module over 3-Lie vector products algebra V_3 , if and only if $t = r$.*

Proof. The algebra so_4 has the basis $\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$. Take here another basis $\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$, by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (e_{12} + e_{34})/2, f_2 = (e_{13} - e_{24})/2, f_3 = (e_{14} + e_{23})/2, \\ f_4 &= (-e_{12} + e_{34})/2, f_5 = (e_{13} + e_{24})/2, f_6 = (-e_{14} + e_{23})/2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, f_2] &= -f_3, [f_1, f_3] = f_2, [f_2, f_3] = -f_1, \\ [f_4, f_5] &= f_6, [f_5, f_6] = f_4, [f_6, f_4] = f_5, \\ [f_i, f_j] &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 4, 5, 6. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} &= f_1 - f_4, e_{13} = f_2 + f_5, e_{14} = f_3 - f_6, \\ e_{23} &= f_3 + f_6, e_{24} = -f_2 + f_5, e_{34} = f_1 + f_4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1234} &= e_{12}e_{34} - e_{13}e_{24} + e_{14}e_{23} = \\ &= f_1^2 - f_4^2 + f_2^2 - f_5^2 + f_3^2 - f_6^2 = \\ &= C_1 - C_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2, \quad C_2 = f_4^2 + f_5^2 + f_6^2,$$

are Casimir elements of subalgebras $\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \cong sl_2$ and $\langle f_4, f_5, f_6 \rangle \cong sl_2$. Well known that any irreducible finite-dimensional sl_2 -module is uniquely defined by eigenvalue of the Casimir operator on this module. Therefore, $M_{t,r}$ is 3-Lie module, if and only if $t = r$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $n > 3$. Any irreducible so_{n+1} -module $M(t\pi_1)$ can be prolonged to n -Lie module of V_n . Let M be an irreducible so_{n+1} -module with $q(M) > 1$. Then M can not be prolonged to n -Lie module over n -Lie algebra V_n .*

Proof. Let $n > 3$. Let us consider realization of $M(t\pi_1)$ as a space of homogeneous polynomials $\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq n+1} \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_t} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t}$.

By lemma 3.3 we need to check that

$$R_{ijsk}u = 0, \quad \text{for } u = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_t},$$

for any $\{i, j, s, k\}$, such that $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $1 \leq j \leq s \leq k \leq n+1$, $i \notin \{j, s, k\}$ and $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_t \leq n+1$.

Let $I = \{i, j, s, k\}$. Present u in the form vw , where $v = \prod_{l \in I \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}} x_l$ and $w = \prod_{l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \setminus I} x_l$. Notice that

$$R_{ijsk}(vw) = R_{ijsk}(v)w.$$

Therefore it is enough to check that $R_{ijsk}(v) = 0$, for elements $v \in M(t\pi_1)$ of the form

$$v = x_i x_j x_s x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1, i \notin \{j, s, k\},$$

$$v = x_j x_s x_k, 1 \leq j \leq s \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i x_s x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq s \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_j x_k, 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i x_k, 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_k, 1 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

$$v = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Let $i \neq j, s, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_j x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_j x_s^2 - x_i x_j x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(x_i x_s x_k^2 - x_i x_j^2 x_s) + e_{ik}(x_i x_j^2 x_k - x_i x_s^2 x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_j) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_i x_j) x_k^2 &+ e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_k^2 - e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_j^2 + e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_j^2 - e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_s^2 = \\ (x_i^2 - x_j^2) x_s^2 - (x_i^2 - x_j^2) x_k^2 &+ (x_i^2 - x_s^2) x_k^2 - (x_i^2 - x_s^2) x_j^2 + (x_i^2 - x_k^2) x_j^2 - (x_i^2 - x_k^2) x_s^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_j x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_j x_s^2 - x_j x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(x_s x_k^2 - x_j^2 x_s) + e_{ik}(x_j^2 x_k - x_s^2 x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_j) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_j) x_k^2 &+ e_{is}(x_s) x_k^2 - e_{is}(x_s) x_j^2 + e_{ik}(x_k) x_j^2 - e_{ik}(x_k) x_s^2 = \\ x_i x_s^2 - x_i x_k^2 &+ x_i x_k^2 - x_i x_j^2 + x_i x_j^2 - x_i x_s^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_s x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_s^2 - x_i x_k^2) &+ e_{is}(-x_i x_j x_s) + e_{ik}(x_i x_j x_k) = \\ e_{ij}(x_i) x_s^2 - e_{ij}(x_i) x_k^2 &- e_{is}(x_i x_s) x_j + e_{ik}(x_i x_k) x_j = \\ -x_j x_s^2 + x_j x_k^2 - x_i^2 x_j &+ x_s^2 x_j + x_i^2 x_j - x_k^2 x_j = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_s x_k) =$$

$$e_{ij}(x_s^2 - x_k^2) + e_{is}(-x_j x_s) + e_{ik}(x_j x_k) = -x_j^2 + x_j^2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijsk}(x_i x_k) &= \\ e_{ij}(x_i x_s) + e_{is}(-x_i x_j) &= -x_j x_s + x_s x_j = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_k) = e_{ij}(x_s) + e_{is}(-x_j) = 0,$$

$$R_{ijsk}(x_i) = 0.$$

So, we have checked that $Q(V_n)M(t\pi_1) = 0$, if $n > 3$.

Suppose now that $q(M) > 1$. We need to prove that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j < s < k \leq n+1$ and $m \in M$.

Let us use induction on $n \geq 3$. If $n = 3$, then by lemma 3.6 any irreducible so_{n+1} -module M with $q(M) > 1$ can not be prolonged to n -Lie module. Suppose that the statement is true for $n-1 \geq 3$. If $q(M) > 1$ for so_{n+1} -module M , then by corollary 3.5 there exists its so_n -submodule \bar{M} , such that $q(\bar{M}) > 1$. Then by inductive suggestion there exists some $R_{ijsk} \in Q(V_{n-1}) \subset U(so_n)$ and $m \in \bar{M}$, such that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$. Since $m \in \bar{M} \subseteq M$ and $R_{ijsk} \in U(so_n) \subset U(so_{n+1})$, this means that $R_{ijsk}m \neq 0$ as elements of M . So, we have proved that our statement for n .

Proof of theorem 1.1.

i) By theorem 3.1 Lie algebra $\wedge^{n-1}V_n \cong so_{n+1}$ is semi-simple. Therefore, by Weyl theorem and proposition 2.2, any any finite-dimensional n -Lie representation of V_n is completely reducible.

ii) and iii) For $n = 2$ our statements are evident. Let $n > 2$. By lemma 3.7 and lemma 3.6 $M(t\pi_1), n > 3$, or $M_{t,t}, n = 3$, is V_n -module for any nonnegative integer t and any module with $q(M) > 1$ can not be n -Lie module.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to INTAS foundation for support.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Boerner, *Group representations*, Berlin 1955.
- [2] J. Dixmier, *Quotients simples de l'algèbre envelopante de sl_2* , J. Algebra, **24**(1973), 551-564.
- [3] V.T. Filipov, *n -Lie algebras*, Sib. Mat. J. **26**(1985), 126-140.
- [4] V.T. Filipov, *On n -Lie algebra of jacobians*, Sib. Mat. J., **39**(1998), 660-669.
- [5] B. L. Feigin, *The Lie algebra $gl(\lambda)$ and the cohomologies of differential operators*, Uspechi Mathem. nauk, **43**(1988), No.2, 157-158.
- [6] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Identities and derivations for jacobian algebras*, Proc. workshop "Quantization, deformations, and new homological and categorical methods in mathematical physics", Manchester, 2001.

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, BURES-SUR-YVETTE
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF KAZAKHSTAN, ALMATY
E-mail address: **askar@math.kz**