

A FULLY NONLINEAR CONFORMAL FLOW ON LOCALLY CONFORMALLY FLAT MANIFOLDS

PENGFEI GUAN AND GUOFANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We study a fully nonlinear flow for conformal metrics. The long-time existence and the sequential convergence of flow are established for locally conformally flat manifolds. As an application, we solve the σ_k -Yamabe problem for locally conformal flat manifolds when $k \neq n/2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g_0) be a compact, connected smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let S_g denote the Schouten tensor of the metric g , i.e.,

$$S_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-1)} \cdot g \right),$$

where Ric_g and R_g are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of g respectively. We are interested in deforming the metric in the conformal class $[g_0]$ of g_0 to certain extremal metric along some curvature flow.

To introduce the flow, let σ_k -scalar curvature of g (see [16]) be

$$\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(g^{-1} \cdot S_g),$$

where $g^{-1} \cdot S_g$ is defined locally by $(g^{-1} \cdot S_g)_j^i = g^{ik}(S_g)_{kj}$, and $\sigma_k(A)$ is the k th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of $n \times n$ matrix A . When $k = 1$, σ_1 -scalar curvature is just the scalar curvature R (upto a constant multiple). For $k > 1$, it is natural to consider σ_k in the positive cone

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_j(\Lambda) > 0, \forall j \leq k\}.$$

A metric g is said to be in Γ_k^+ if $\sigma_k(g)(x) \in \Gamma_k^+$, $\forall x \in M$.

We propose the following curvature flow

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}g &= -(\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) &= g_0, \end{cases}$$

where $r_k(g)$ is given by

$$r_k(g) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{vol(g)} \int_M \log \sigma_k(g) dvol(g) \right).$$

Date: October 2001.

Research of the first author was supported in part by NSERC Grant OGP-0046732.

The main goal of this paper is to prove the following existence and convergence result of flow (1) on locally conformally flat manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Suppose (M, g_0) be a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold. Assume that $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$ and smooth, then flow (1) exists for all time $0 < t < \infty$ and $g(t) \in C^\infty(M) \quad \forall t$. There exist two positive constants C, β depending only on g_0, k and n (independent of t), such that,*

$$(2) \quad \|g\|_{C^2(M)} \leq C, \quad \text{and } \forall k \neq n/2 \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_k(g) - \beta\|_{L^2(M)} = 0,$$

where the norms are taken with respect to the background metric g_0 . Furthermore, $\forall k \neq n/2$, for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $\{t_{n_i}\}$ with $g(t_{n_i})$ converging in $C^{1,\alpha}$ -norm ($\forall 0 < \alpha < 1$) to some smooth metric g and

$$(3) \quad \sigma_k(g) = \beta.$$

If $k = 1$, flow (1) is a logarithmic version of the Yamabe flow introduced by Hamilton [7] in connection to the Yamabe problem [19]. The Yamabe problem is a problem of deforming scalar curvature to a constant along the conformal class, the final solution was obtained by Schoen [12] after works of Aubin [1], Trudinger [15] and others. For the study of the Yamabe flow, we refer to [20] and [3].

The problem of finding constant σ_k -curvature in the conformal class for $k \geq 2$ is a fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem. This problem was considered in [16]. It's reduced to a nonlinear elliptic equation. The main difficulty in this elliptic approach is the lack of compactness. One way to attack the problem is the blow-up analysis as in [13] to rule out the standard sphere. In the case $k = n$, Viaclovsky obtained a sufficient condition for the solution of this nonlinear problem in [17]. Chang, Gursky and Yang [2] solved this problem for $k = 2, n = 4$ on general 4 dimensional manifolds using a priori estimates and blow-up analysis. To do the blow-up analysis, one needs local estimates and classification of resulting entire solutions of the corresponding equation on \mathbb{R}^n . These type of local estimates for the fully nonlinear version of Yamabe problem has been established by us in [6] for general cases. Flow (1) is designed as a parabolic approach to the problem. As a consequence of our main result, we obtain the solution for the fully nonlinear version of Yamabe problem for locally conformally flat manifolds when $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ (of course, the $k = 1$ is a result of Schoen [12]).

Corollary 1. *If (M, g_0) is a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$, then there is a smooth metric $g \in \Gamma_k^+$ in $[g_0]$ such that the σ_k -curvature of g is a positive constant.*

Remark 1. *If (M, g_0) is a compact, connected and locally conformally flat manifold with positive Ricci curvature, then (M, g_0) is conformally equivalent to a space form. Hence, Corollary 1 is trivial for the case $k = n$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect and derive some properties of σ_k and its functionals.

Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The k -th elementary symmetric function is defined as

$$\sigma_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

A real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A is said to lie in Γ_k^+ if its eigenvalues lie in Γ_k^+ .

Let A_{ij} be the $\{ij\}$ -entry of an $n \times n$ matrix. Then for $0 \leq k \leq n$, the k th *Newton transformation* associated with A is defined to be

$$T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A)I - \sigma_{k-1}(A)A + \cdots + (-1)^k A^k.$$

We have (see [11])

$$T_k(A)_j^i = \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_k j}^{i_1 \dots i_k i} A_{i_1 j_1} \cdots A_{i_k j_k},$$

where $\delta_{j_1 \dots j_k j}^{i_1 \dots i_k i}$ is the generalized Kronecker delta symbol. Here we use the summation convention. By definition,

$$\sigma_k(A) = \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} A_{i_1 j_1} \cdots A_{i_k j_k},$$

$$T_{k-1}(A)_j^i = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(A)}{\partial A_{ij}}.$$

The following properties of σ_k and Γ_k^+ are well-known (e.g., see [4]).

Proposition 1. *We have*

1. Each set Γ_k^+ is an open convex cone.
2. $T_{k-1}(A)$ is positive definite when $A \in \Gamma_k^+$.
3. $\log \sigma_k$ and $\sigma_k^{1/k}$ are concave.

The following are some variational characterizations of $\sigma_k(g)$ (see [16]).

Proposition 2. *If (M, g) is locally conformally flat, then $T_k(S_g)$ is divergence free with respect to the metric g , i.e., for any orthonormal frame, $\forall j$*

$$(4) \quad \sum_i \nabla_i (T_k(S_g)_j^i) = 0.$$

If $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$, any solution of equation (3) is a critical point of the functional

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(g) = \text{vol}(g)^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g)$$

in $[g_0]$.

Now we consider some properties of flow (1).

Lemma 1. *The flow (1) preserves the volume. When $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ and g_0 is locally conformally flat, then*

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_k(g) = -\frac{n-2k}{2} \text{vol}(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}} \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g)) (\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)).$$

Therefore, for $k < n/2$, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) \leq 0$, and for $k > n/2$, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) \geq 0$.

Proof: The volume is preserved, as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(g) &= \int_M g^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} g \, d\text{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_M (\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \, d\text{vol}(g) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On any locally conformally flat manifold, from the computation in [16],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M \sigma_k(g) \, d\text{vol}(g) = \frac{n-2k}{2} \int_M \sigma_k(g) g^{-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} g \, d\text{vol}(g).$$

Since flow preserves the volume, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_k(g) &= \frac{n-2k}{2} \text{vol}(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}} \int_M \sigma_k(g) g^{-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} g \, d\text{vol}(g) \\ &= \frac{n-2k}{2} \text{vol}(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}} \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g)) g^{-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} g \, d\text{vol}(g) \\ &= -\frac{n-2k}{2} \text{vol}(g)^{\frac{2k-n}{2}} \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g)) (\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g)) \, d\text{vol}(g). \end{aligned}$$

■

If $g = e^{-2u} \cdot g_0$, one may compute that (see [16])

$$\sigma_k(g) = e^{2ku} \sigma_k \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0} \right).$$

Equation (1) can be written in the following form

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} 2 \frac{du}{dt} = \log \sigma_k \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0} \right) + 2ku - \log r_k \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

If $g = v^{-2} g_0$, equation (1) is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} 2 \frac{dv}{dt} = \log \sigma_k \left(\frac{\nabla^2 v}{v} - \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2} g_0 + S_{g_0} \right) + 2k \log v - \log r_k \\ v(0) = v_0. \end{cases}$$

Here all covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the fixed metric g_0 .

Since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, flow (1) is parabolic near $t = 0$, by the standard implicit function theorem we have the following short-time existence result.

Proposition 3. *For any $g_0 \in C^2(M)$ with $\sigma_k(g_0) \in \Gamma_k^+$, there exists a positive constant T^* such that flow (1) exists and parabolic for $t \in [0, T^*)$, and $\forall T < T^*$,*

$$g \in C^{3,\alpha}([0, T] \times M), \forall 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+.$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish some a priori estimates for flow (1). Here the assumption of the locally conformally flatness is used. By the fundamental result of Schoen-Yau on positive mass theorem, if (M, g_0) is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere, there is a precise asymptotic property for the developing mapping of (M, g_0) into the standard sphere [14]. Schoen applied this property together with Alexandrov's moving plane method developed in [5] to obtain the compactness in [13]. Similar approach was also taken by Ye in [20] to obtain a Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe flow. With little modification, the proof in [20] yields the following Harnack type estimates for flow (8).

Proposition 4. *Let (M, g_0) be a locally conformally flat manifold and $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. If $g(t) = v^{-2}g_0$ is a solution of (8) with initial metric g_0 with $\sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+$ in a time interval $[0, T^*)$, then*

$$(9) \quad \sup_M \frac{|\nabla_{g_0} v|}{v} \leq C, \quad \text{for } x \in M, t \in [0, T^*),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on g_0 , k and n .

Proof: One only needs to consider the case (M, g_0) is not conformally covered by n . By [14], there is a conformal diffeomorphism Φ from the universal cover \widetilde{M} of M onto a dense domain Ω of n . The boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω is non-empty. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the covering map and $\tilde{g} = (\Phi^{-1})^* \pi^* g$ the pull-back metric. Set $\tilde{g} = \tilde{v}^{-2} g_n$, where g_n is the standard metric of the unit sphere. By the conformal invariance, we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} 2 \frac{d\tilde{v}}{dt} = \tilde{v}^2 \sigma_k^{1/k} \left(\frac{\nabla^2 \tilde{v}}{\tilde{v}} - \frac{|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2}{2\tilde{v}^2} g_n + S_{g_n} \right) - r_k^{1/k}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \tilde{v}(0) = \tilde{v}_0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\nabla \tilde{v}$ and $\nabla^2 \tilde{v}$ are derivatives with respect to the standard metric g_n . A result of [14] implies

Asymptotic fact: $\forall T < T^*$, $\tilde{v}^{-1}(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly $\forall t \leq T$, as $x \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.

Ye used the moving plane method of [5] to prove the Harnack inequality for solutions of Yamabe flow. The main ingredients in his proof are:

1. The **asymptotic fact**;
2. Certain growth conditions at ∞ of the transformed function w of \tilde{v} under the stereographic projection from n to \mathbf{R}^n ;
2. The invariance of the resulting parabolic equation for w in \mathbf{R}^n under reflections and translations.

In fact, the explicit form of the equation for Yamabe flow were not used in the proof of the Harnack inequality in [20]. As all these ingredients are available for flow (8), the proof in [20] can be adapted to get the Harnack type inequality (9) for flow (8). We will not repeat it here. ■

By Lemma 1, the volume is preserved under flow (1). For $u = \log v$ satisfying (7), we have

Corollary 2. *There exists a constant C depending only on (M, g_0) , k and n , such that for any solution u of flow (7),*

$$(11) \quad \|u\|_{C^1} \leq C.$$

Now, we consider the C^2 -estimation for flow (1). We note that in the case of the Yamabe flow, C^2 and higher regularity estimates follow directly from C^1 estimates because the leading term is the heat equation. When $k \geq 2$, flow (1) is fully nonlinear. It is not elliptic until the establishment of C^2 estimates and the positivity of $\sigma_k(g)$ in the time interval considered. C^2 estimates are crucial to the global existence of flow (1).

Proposition 5. *Let $g = e^{-2u}g_0$ be a solution of flow (1) with $\sigma_k(g(t)) \in \Gamma_k^+$ on $M \times [0, T^*)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on g_0 , k and n (independent of T^*) such that*

$$(12) \quad |\nabla^2 g(t)| \leq c, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*).$$

Proof: For any local frame e_1, \dots, e_n , we denote $u_i = \nabla_i u$ and $u_{li} = \nabla_l \nabla_i u$ the first and second covariant derivatives with respect to the background metric g_0 . The similar notation will be used for the higher order covariant derivatives.

We want to bound Δu . If $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma_k(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}) \in \Gamma_k^+$, we know that

$$|u_{ij}| \leq c_1(\Delta u + |\nabla u| + 1).$$

Hence we only need to get an upper bound of Δu . Consider $G := (\Delta u + m|\nabla u|^2)$ on $M \times [0, T]$ for a given $T \in (0, T^*)$. Here m is a constant to be fixed later. Assume G achieves the maximum at $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, T]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $G(x_0, t_0) \geq 1$. Since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded, we may also assume that at the point

$$G \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}).$$

By the Newton-MacLaurin inequality, at this point

$$(13) \quad G \geq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{k!(n-k)!}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}).$$

We have, at (x_0, t_0) ,

$$(14) \quad G_t = \sum_l (u_{llt} + 2mu_{lt}u_l) \geq 0$$

and

$$(15) \quad G_i = \sum_l (u_{lli} + 2mu_{li}u_l) = 0.$$

In what follows, we indicate c to be the constant (which may vary line form line) depending only on the quantities specified in the proposition. By (15) we have at (x_0, t_0) ,

$$(16) \quad \left| \sum_l u_{ll} \right| \leq cG, \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Furthermore the matrix (G_{ij}) is semi-negative definite at this point. Let $W = (W_{ij})$ be a matrix defined by

$$W_{ij} = u_{ij} + u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij}$$

and $F = \log \sigma_k(W)$. Set

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial W_{ij}}.$$

We may assume that (W_{ij}) is diagonal at x_0 , so (F^{ij}) is also diagonal at the point. From the positivity of (F^{ij}) , we have

$$(17) \quad 0 \geq \sum_{i,j} F^{ij} G_{ij} = \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} (u_{llij} + 2m u_{li} u_{lj} + 2u_{lij} u_l).$$

Since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded, commutators of the covariant derivatives can be estimated as,

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} |u_{lij} - u_{ijl}| &\leq c, \\ |u_{llij} - u_{ijll}| &\leq cG. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (14)–(18) and the concavity of F , we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{i,j} F^{ij} G_{ij} \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} (u_{ijll} + 2m u_{li} u_{lj} + 2u_{lij} u_l) - c \sum_i F^{ii} G \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} \{ w_{ijll} - (u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij})_{ll} + 2m u_{li} u_{lj} \\ &\quad + 2m w_{ijl} u_l - 2m u_l (u_i u_j - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \delta_{ij} + S(g_0)_{ij})_l \} - c \sum_i F^{ii} G \\ &\geq \Delta F + 2m \sum_l F_l u_l + \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ii} u_{jl}^2 + 2(m-1) \sum_{i,l} F^{ii} u_{li}^2 - c \sum_i F^{ii} G \\ &\geq \Delta F + 2m \sum_l F_l u_l + \frac{1}{n} G^2 \sum_i F^{ii} + 2(m-1) \sum_{i,l} F^{ii} u_{li}^2 - c \sum_i F^{ii} G. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $F = u_t - 2ku - \log r(g)$, (14) and (19) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad 0 &\geq (\Delta u_t + 2m \sum_l u_l u_{tl}) - 2k\Delta u - 4mk|\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_i F^{ii} G^2 + 2(m-1) \sum_i F^{ii} u_{ii}^2 - c \sum_i F^{ii} G \\
&\geq \{-2kG + 2(m-1) \sum_i F^{ii} u_{ii}^2\} + \sum_i F^{ii} \left(\frac{1}{n} G^2 - cG\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We *claim* that for suitable large $m > 1$,

$$(21) \quad -2kG + 2(m-1) \sum_i F^{ii} u_{ii}^2 \geq -c(1 + \sum_i F^{ii} G).$$

Since W and (F^{ij}) are diagonal at the point, $\lambda_i = W_{ii}$ and

$$W_i = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots),$$

it is easy to check that $F^{ii} = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W_i)}{\sigma_k(W)}$. From (20) and the identity

$$\sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i) = (n-k+1)\sigma_{k-1}(W),$$

if the *claim* is true,

$$(22) \quad \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W)}{\sigma_k(W)} (G^2 - cG) \leq c.$$

The Newton-MacLaurin inequality yields

$$\frac{\sigma_{k-1}(W)}{\sigma_k(W)} \geq \frac{kn}{(n-k+1)tr(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})}.$$

Then the Proposition follows from (13) and (22).

Now we prove the *claim*. From (11) and the assumption that $G(x_0, t_0) \geq 1$, there is a constant $c > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\sum F^{ii} u_{ii}^2 \geq \sum F^{ii} w_{ii}^2 - c \sum F^{ii} G.$$

Since W is diagonal at the point, we have the identity (e.g., see [8])

$$\sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i) w_{ii}^2 = \sigma_1(W)\sigma_k(W) - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}(W).$$

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum F^{ii} w_{ii}^2 &= \frac{1}{\sigma_k(W)} \sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(W_i) w_{ii}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_k(W)} (\sigma_1(W)\sigma_k(W) - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}(W)).
\end{aligned}$$

When $\sigma_{k+1}(W) \leq 0$, the claim is automatically true. Hence we assume that $\sigma_{k+1}(W) > 0$. The Newton-MacLaurin inequality

$$(k+1)\sigma_{k+1} \leq \frac{n-k}{n}\sigma_1\sigma_k$$

yields

$$\sum F^{ii}w_{ii}^2 \geq \frac{k}{n}\sigma_1(W).$$

Now the claim is verified if we choose $m > n + 1$. This completes the proof of the Proposition. \blacksquare

4. A NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

In this section, we consider a nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$(23) \quad \sigma_k^{1/k} \left(\nabla^2 \phi + d\phi \otimes d\phi - \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{2} + S_{g_0} \right) = \lambda,$$

where covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the background metric. We say ϕ is admissible if $e^{-2\phi}g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. We refer to [10] and [18] for the treatment of other types of nonlinear eigenvalue problems.

Theorem 2. *Let $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$. Then there exists a function ϕ and a positive number λ such that ϕ is an admissible solution of equation (23). (ϕ, λ) is unique, in the sense that if there are two admissible (ϕ, λ) and (ϕ', λ') satisfying (23), then $\lambda = \lambda'$ and $\phi = \phi' + c$ for some constant c .*

In order to prove Theorem 2, we introduce an auxiliary equation

$$(24) \quad \sigma_k^{1/k} \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + S_{g_0} \right) = he^u + f,$$

for some functions $f > 0$, $h \geq 0$.

Proposition 6. *Let $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, suppose $\frac{1}{L} \leq f \leq L$ for some constant $L > 0$ and $h \geq 0$. If u is a C^4 admissible solution of (24) and $\max_M u = \gamma$ for some constant γ , then for each $0 < \alpha < 1$, $l \geq 2$ integer, there is a constant C depending only on $l, \alpha, g_0, L, \gamma, \|h\|_{C^l}, \|f\|_{C^l}$ such that $\|u\|_{C^{l+1, \alpha}(M)} \leq C$.*

Proof of Proposition 6. We will repeat the arguments in [6] for the a priori estimates. In fact, stronger local estimates hold for the solutions of (24) following the same lines of the proofs in [6]. Here we only concentrate on the global estimates.

We first obtain a C^1 bound. Since $\max_M u = \gamma$, we only need to bound the gradient of u . Let $W = (\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})$ and let w_{ij} be the entries of W . Set $H = |\nabla u|^2$ and assume that H achieves its maximum at x_0 . After appropriate choice of

the normal coordinates at x_0 , we may assume that W is diagonal at the point. Since x_0 is the maximum point of H , we have $H_i(x_0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$(25) \quad \sum_{l=1}^n u_{il}u_l = 0.$$

We may assume that $H(x_0) \geq A_0^2$ and $|S_{g_0}| \leq A_0^{-1}|\nabla u|^2$ for some large fixed number A_0 to be chosen later.

Since x_0 is the maximum point of H , the matrix $(H_{ij}) = (2u_{ij}u_l + 2u_{il}u_{jl})$ is semi-negative definite. Set

$$F^{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma_k^{1/k}}{\partial w_{ij}}.$$

(F^{ij}) is a diagonal matrix at x_0 as W is diagonal.

Again, as in the proof of Proposition 5, we denote C (which may vary from line to line) as a constant depending only on the quantities mentioned in this proposition. Since $F = (he^u + f)^{1/k}$, $\sum_l F_l u_l \leq C(H + 1)$. We have

$$(26) \quad 0 \geq F^{ij} H_{ij} = F^{ij} (2u_{ij}u_l + 2u_{il}u_{jl}).$$

Using (25), after commuting the covariant derivatives, the first term in (26) can be estimated as follows,

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} u_{ijl} u_l &\geq \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} u_{ijl} u_l - C|\nabla u|^2 \sum_i F^{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} \left\{ w_{ijl} u_l - (u_i u_j - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \delta_{ij})_l u_l \right\} - C|\nabla u|^2 \sum_i F^{ii} \\ &= \sum_l F_l u_l - 2 \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} u_{il} u_j u_l + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} u_{kl} u_k u_l - C|\nabla u|^2 \sum_i F^{ii} \\ &\geq -C|\nabla u|^2 \sum_i F^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the homogeneity $\sum_i F^{ii} w_{ii} = F$.

By Lemma 1 in [6], for A_0 sufficiently large (depending only on k , n , and $\|g_0\|_{C^3}$)

$$(28) \quad \sum_{i,j,l} F^{ij} u_{il} u_{jl} \geq A_0^{-\frac{3}{4}} |\nabla u|^4 \sum_{i \geq 1} F^{ii}.$$

(27) and (28), together with (26) yield the desired C^1 estimate.

With the C^1 bound, a C^2 bound can be obtained following the same lines of proof of Proposition 5 in the previous section. We may assume $k \geq 2$, since C^2 bound for $k = 1$ follows from linear elliptic theory. Since u is admissible, in this case we only need to get an upper bound for Δu as in the proof of Proposition 5. We estimate the maximum of $G = (\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2)$. We note that $F = \sigma_k^{1/k} (\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0})$ is also concave.

At any maximum point $y_0 \in M$, by the similar computations as in (19) and (20) in the proof of Proposition 5, we have,

$$(29) \quad 0 \geq \Delta F + 2 \sum_l F_l u_l + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} u_{kl}^2 - C(1+G) \sum_i F^{ii}.$$

We estimate the terms on the right hand side. As $F = (he^u + f)^{1/k}$, we have

$$\sum_l F_l u_l \geq -C, \quad \sum_{l \geq 1} F_{ll} \geq -CG.$$

By the facts $\sum F^{ii} \geq 1$ for $F = \sigma_k^{1/k}$ and $G(y_0) \geq 1$, the above yields

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -C \sum F^{ii} G + \sum_{i,k,l} F^{ii} u_{kl}^2 \geq -C \sum F^{ii} G + \frac{1}{n} \sum_i F^{ii} (\Delta u)^2 \\ &\geq \sum F^{ii} \left\{ -CG + \frac{1}{n} G^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (30) that at y_0 , $G \leq C$.

The higher regularity estimates follow from the Evans-Krylov theorem (e.g., [9]). \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2. First we want to prove that for small $\lambda > 0$ the following equation has a unique smooth admissible solution

$$(31) \quad \tilde{F}(u) =: \sigma_k^{1/k} \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} + S_{g_0} \right) - e^u = \lambda.$$

Since $\frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial u} < 0$, the uniqueness for the solutions of (31) follows from the Maximum principle. For the same reason, the kernel of the linearized operator of \tilde{F} is trivial at any admissible v . We note that for any admissible u and $\forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$, since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, $u_t = tu$ is also admissible. The linearized operator of \tilde{F} at $u_0 = 0$ is $L(\rho) = \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_{g_0}) \nabla_{g_0}^2 \rho\} - h\rho$. By (4), it is self-adjoint with respect to the metric g_0 . Since the index of elliptic operator is invariant under homotopy, so the kernel of the adjoint operator of the linearized operator of \tilde{F} at u is trivial. That is, the linearized operator of \tilde{F} is invertible at every admissible solution u . This fact will be used later in the proof.

We now want to show the existence using the continuity method. Since $g_0 \in \Gamma_k^+$, there is a constant $C_1 > 1$ such that $C_1^{-1} < \sigma_k^{1/k}(S_{g_0}) < C_1$. Thus, for small $\lambda > 0$, one can find two constants $\underline{\delta} < 0 < \bar{\delta}$ such that

$$e^{\underline{\delta}} + \lambda < \sigma_k^{1/k}(S_{g_0}) < e^{\bar{\delta}} + \lambda.$$

Let $v = \underline{\delta}$, we have $\tilde{F}(v) = f$ for some smooth positive function $f \geq \lambda$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, let us consider the equation

$$(32) \quad \tilde{F}(u) = t\lambda + (1-t)f.$$

By the Maximum principle, for any solution u of (32), $\min u \geq \min v = \underline{\delta}$. Also for $\tilde{v} = \bar{\delta}$, as $\tilde{F}(\tilde{v}) < \lambda$, again by the Maximum principle, $\max u \geq \max \tilde{v} = \bar{\delta}$. That is, u is bounded.

By Proposition 6 we have the uniform a priori estimates for solution u of (32). This implies the closeness. The openness follows from the standard implicit function theorem since the linearized operator of \tilde{F} is invertible. Therefore, the existence of the unique solution of (31) is established for $\lambda > 0$ small.

Set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda > 0 \mid (31) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

Since $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$, we define

$$\lambda^* = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda.$$

We claim λ^* is finite. For any admissible solution u of (31), by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &< e^u + \lambda = \sigma_k^{1/k}(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}) \\ (33) \quad &\leq \frac{\left(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n} \sigma_1(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0}) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n} \left(\Delta u - \frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2\right) + cR_0, \end{aligned}$$

where R_0 is the scalar curvature function of (M, g_0) and c is a constant depending only on n and k . Integrating the above inequality over M , we get $\lambda \leq c \frac{\int_M R_0 d\text{vol}(g_0)}{\text{Vol}(g_0)}$. We conclude that $\lambda^* \leq c \frac{\int_M R_0 d\text{vol}(g_0)}{\text{Vol}(g_0)}$.

For any sequence $\{\lambda_i\} \subset \Lambda$ with $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda^*$, and let u_{λ_i} be the corresponding solution of (31) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We claim that $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \geq -C_0$. From equation (31), at any maximum point x_0 of u_{λ_i} , $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \leq -C$ for some constant C depending only on n, k, g_0 . Then Proposition 6 implies that u_{λ_i} (by taking a subsequence) converges to a smooth function u_0 in C^4 , such that u_0 satisfies (31) for $\lambda = \lambda_1$. As remarked at the beginning of the proof, the linearized operator of equation (31) is invertible. By the standard implicit function theorem, we have a solution of (31) for $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, this is a contradiction. Hence $\max_M u_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now let $w_{\lambda_i} = u_{\lambda_i} - \max_M u_{\lambda_i}$. It is clear that w_{λ_i} satisfies,

$$\sigma_k^{1/k} \left(\nabla^2 w_{\lambda_i} + dw_{\lambda_i} \otimes dw_{\lambda_i} - \frac{|\nabla w_{\lambda_i}|^2}{2}g + S_g \right) = e^{\max_M u_{\lambda_i}} e^w + \lambda_i$$

with $\max_M w_{\lambda_i} \rightarrow 0$. By Proposition 6 again, w_{λ_i} converges to a smooth function ϕ in C^4 and ϕ satisfies (23) with $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

Finally we prove the uniqueness. For each admissible u , let

$$W = (\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}g_0 + S_{g_0})$$

and

$$a_{ij}(W) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(W)}{\partial w_{ij}}.$$

For any smooth functions u_0 and u_1 , let $v = u_1 - u_0$, $u_t = tu_1 + (1-t)u_0$ and $W_t = \Delta u_t + du_t \otimes du_t - \frac{|\nabla u_t|^2}{2}g + S_g$. By (4), the following identity holds

$$(34) \quad \sigma_k(W_1) - \sigma_k(W_0) = \sum_{ij} \nabla_j \left[\left(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t) dt \right) \nabla_i v \right] + \sum_l b^l \nabla_l v,$$

for some bounded functions b^l , $l = 1, \dots, n$. If $u_0 = \phi$ and $u_1 = \phi'$ are two admissible solutions of (23) for some λ and λ' respectively, then $(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t) dt)$ is positive definite. Therefore, $\phi = \phi' + c$ for some constant c by (4) and the Maximum principle. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \blacksquare

5. EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE

First, we want to use the a priori estimates established in the section 3 to obtain the long time existence of flow. These estimates are independent of time t , as long as $u(t, x)$ stays in the positive cone Γ_k^+ . Therefore, to establish the long time existence, we need to show σ_k stays strictly positive for all t .

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$(35) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log \sigma_k(g) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)} \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g) \nabla_g^2 \log \sigma_k(g)\} + \log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g).$$

Proof: It is easy to check, see for example [11],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_k(g) = k \sigma_k(g) g \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (g^{-1}) + \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g) g^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} S_g\}.$$

Under the conformal change $g = e^{-2u} g_0$, the Schouten tensor is changed as follows

$$S_g = \nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g_0 + S_{g_0}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} S_g = \nabla_g^2 \frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_g^2 (g^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} g).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \log \sigma_k(g) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_k^{-1} \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g) g^{-1} \nabla_g^2 (g^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} g)\} + \log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)} \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g) \nabla_g^2 \log \sigma_k(g)\} + \log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k(g). \end{aligned}$$

\blacksquare

Corollary 3. *Suppose $g(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) with initial metric g_0 on a time interval $[0, T^*)$, then there is a positive constant $c > 0$ depending on g_0, k, n (independent of t), such that $\forall t \in [0, T^*)$,*

$$(36) \quad \sigma_k(g(t)) \geq ce^{-\frac{t}{c}}.$$

Therefore, flow (1) exists for all $t > 0$.

Proof: By Propositions 4 and 5, $\log r_k(g) \leq C$ for some constant C independent of t . Let $f = e^{-t} \log \sigma_k(g) - Ce^{-t}$. By Lemma 2 f satisfies a parabolic inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}f \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma_k(g)} \text{tr}\{T_{k-1}(S_g)\nabla_g^2 f\}.$$

Now the corollary follows from the maximum principle. Again by Propositions 4, 5, and what we just proved, flow (1) stays uniformly parabolic in $[0, T)$ for any fixed $T < \infty$. By Krylov Theorem for fully nonlinear parabolic equations [9], $g(t) \in C^{2, \alpha_T}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$ for some $\alpha_T > 0$. In turn, $\|g\|_{C^l([0, T] \times M)} \leq C_{l, T}$ for all $l \geq 2$. Now the long time existence follows from the standard parabolic PDE theory. \blacksquare

The next proposition will also be used in our proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 7. *If $g_0 \in \Gamma_+^k$, then there is no $C^{1,1}$ function $u \in \overline{\Gamma_+^k}$ such that $\sigma_k(g) = 0$ for $g = e^{-2u}g_0$ in the viscosity sense.*

Proof of Proposition 7. By Theorem 2, there is a smooth admissible solution ϕ of (23) for some $\lambda > 0$. Assume by contradiction that there is a $C^{1,1}$ solution u of

$$\sigma_k \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g + S_g \right) = 0.$$

Let $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = \phi$ and $v = u_1 - u_0$, then (34) still holds for v in weak sense (since $u \in C^{1,1}$). Also, $(\int_0^1 a_{ij}(W_t) dt)$ is uniformly positive definite everywhere since $a_{ij}(W_t)$ is semi-positive definite for all t and uniformly positive definite for $\delta \leq t \leq 1, \forall \delta > 0$. By Maximum principle again, $u = \phi + c$ for some constant c . That is,

$$0 = \sigma_k \left(\nabla^2 u + du \otimes du - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} g + S_g \right) = \sigma_k(W_1) = \lambda > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1. The a priori estimates and the longtime existence are proved in Proposition 5 and Corollary 3. We now prove the convergence for $k \neq n/2$. Since the volume is preserved under flow (1), from (6) we have $\forall T$

$$\int_0^T \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g)) (\log \sigma_k(g) - \log r_k) d\text{vol}(g) dt \leq \frac{2V^{\frac{n-2k}{2}}(g_0)}{n-2k} |\mathcal{F}_k(g(T)) - \mathcal{F}_k(g_0)|.$$

By Proposition 5, $\sigma_k(g)$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(g)$ are uniformly bounded. We conclude that

$$(37) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_M (\sigma_k(g) - r_k(g))^2 d\text{vol}(g) dt < \infty.$$

Therefore for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $\{t_{n_l}\}$ such that $r_k(g_{t_{n_l}}) \rightarrow \beta$, for some nonnegative constant β , and $\int_M (\sigma_k(g_{t_{n_l}}) - r_k(g_{t_{n_l}}))^2 d\text{vol}(g_{t_n}) \rightarrow 0$. Again, from Proposition 5 (by taking subsequence) $u(t_{n_l})$ converges to some $C^{1,1}$ function u_∞ in $C^{1,\alpha}$ norm for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. By Lebesgue domination theorem,

$$(38) \quad \sigma_k(g_\infty) = \beta, \quad \text{almost everywhere,}$$

where $g_\infty = e^{-2u_\infty} g_0$. Since $u_\infty \in C^{1,1}$, u_∞ satisfies the equation (38) in viscosity sense. By Lemma 1 $\mathcal{F}_k(g(t))$ is monotonic, β is independent of the choice of the sequence. It follows (37) again that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_k(g) - \beta\|_{L^2(M)} = 0.$$

Finally, β is positive by (38) and Corollary 7. We conclude that $u_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ by the Evans-Krylov Theorem. We remark that the positivity of β for $k > n/2$ also follows from Lemma 1, Propositions 4 and 5, since $r_k(g_t)$ is uniformly bounded from below. \blacksquare

Remark 2. *With some modifications in our proof, we can establish the similar a priori estimates for flow ($0 \leq l < k \leq n$)*

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}g &= -(\log(\frac{\sigma_k(g)}{\sigma_l(g)}) - \log r_{k,l}(g)) \cdot g, \\ g(0) &= g_0, \end{cases}$$

with $r_{k,l}(g)$ given by

$$r_{k,l}(g) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\text{vol}(g)} \int_M \log\left(\frac{\sigma_k(g)}{\sigma_l(g)}\right) d\text{vol}(g)\right).$$

Therefore the global existence result is valid for (39).

Remark 3. *When $k = \frac{n}{2}$, the right hand side in (6) is trivial. We do not know the behavior of flow (1) at ∞ in this case. The sequential convergence of flow (1) is suffice for Corollary 1. It is of interest to know the uniqueness of g_∞ and general convergence of flow (1). Finally, we would like to ask whether Theorem 1 is true without the assumption of locally conformally flatness.*

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269-296.
- [2] A. Chang, M. Gursky and P. Yang, *An a priori estimate for a fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds*, Preprint, August, 2001.
- [3] B. Chow, *The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), no. 8, 1003–1014.
- [4] L. Gårding, *An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 957–965.
- [5] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), no. 3, 209–243.
- [6] P. Guan and G. Wang, *Local estimates for a class of conformal equation arising from conformal geometry*, Preprint, Aug. 2001.

- [7] R. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Mathematics and general relativity, Contemporary Math., Vol. **71**, AMS, (1988), 237-262.
- [8] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*. Acta Math. **183**, (1999), 45–70.
- [9] N. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, D. Reidel, (1987)
- [10] P. L. Lions, *Two remarks on the Monge-Ampère*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **142** (1985), 263-275
- [11] R. Reilly, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph*, Michigan Math. J. **20** (1973), 373–383.
- [12] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 2, 479–495.
- [13] R. Schoen, *On the number of constant scalar curvature metrics in a conformal class*, Differential geometry, 311–320, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.
- [14] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 1, 47–71.
- [15] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22**, (1968), 265-274.
- [16] J. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry and the calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316.
- [17] J. Viaclovsky, *Estimates and some existence results for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*, [math-DG/0104227](#).
- [18] X. J. Wang, *A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), no. 1, 25–54.
- [19] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. **12**, 1960 21–37.
- [20] R. Ye, *Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 1, 35–50

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCMMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONT. L8S 4K1, CANADA.,
 FAX: (905)522-0935

E-mail address: guan@math.mcmaster.ca

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, INSELSTR. 22-26, 04103 LEIPZIG,
 GERMANY

E-mail address: gwang@mis.mpg.de