

## ON DIMENSIONALLY RESTRICTED MAPS

H. MURAT TUNCALI AND VESKO VALOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed  $n$ -dimensional surjective map of metrizable spaces. It is shown that if  $Y$  is a  $C$ -space, then: (1) the set of all maps  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  with  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ ; (2) for every  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$  there exists an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_k$  of  $X$  such that  $\dim A_k \leq k$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is  $(n - k - 1)$ -dimensional. These are extensions of theorems by Pasynkov and Toruńczyk, respectively, obtained for finite-dimensional spaces. A generalization of a result due to Dranishnikov and Uspenskij about extensional dimension is also established.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces are assumed to be completely regular and all maps continuous. This paper concerns with the following two results. The first one was proved by Pasynkov [25] (see [24] for non-compact versions) and the second one by Toruńczyk [31]:

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Pasynkov*). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -dimensional map with  $X$  and  $Y$  being finite-dimensional compact metric spaces. Then there exists  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f \times g: X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is 0-dimensional. Moreover, the set of all such  $g$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to uniform convergence topology.*

**Theorem 1.2.** (*Toruńczyk*). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed map of separable metric spaces with  $\dim f = n$  and  $\dim Y < \infty$ . Then for each  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$  there exists an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_k$  of  $X$  such that  $\dim A_k \leq k$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is  $(n - k - 1)$ -dimensional.*

The above two theorems are equivalent in the realm of compact spaces (see [19] and [29]). However, the problem whether these two theorems hold without any dimensional restrictions on  $Y$  is still open. Sternfeld and Levin made a significant progress in solving this problem. In 1995, Sternfeld [29] proved that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $n$ -dimensional map between compact metric spaces, then

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 54F45; Secondary: 55M10, 54C65.

*Key words and phrases*. finite-dimensional maps, extensional dimension,  $C$ -space.

The first author was partially supported by NSERC grant.

The second author was partially supported by Nipissing University Research Council Grant.

$\dim(f \times g) \leq 1$  for almost all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ ; equivalently, there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $\dim(f|(X \setminus A)) \leq 1$ . Levin [19] improved Sternfeld's result showing that  $\dim(f \times g) \leq 0$  for almost all maps  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{n+1})$  which is equivalent to the existence of a  $\sigma$ -compact  $n$ -dimensional set  $A \subset X$  with  $\dim(f|(X \setminus A)) \leq 0$ .

In the present paper we generalize Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to arbitrary metrizable spaces by replacing the finite dimensionality of  $Y$  with the less restrictive condition to be a  $C$ -space. Recall that a space  $X$  is a  $C$ -space [1] if for any sequence  $\{\omega_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open covers of  $X$  there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open disjoint families in  $X$  such that each  $\gamma_n$  refines  $\omega_n$  and  $\cup\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X$ .  $C$ -space property was introduced by Haver [15] for compact metric spaces and Addis and Gresham [1] extended Haver's definition for more general spaces. All countable-dimensional metrizable spaces (spaces which are countable union of finite-dimensional subsets), in particular all finite-dimensional ones, form a proper subclass of the class of  $C$ -spaces because there exists a metric  $C$ -compactum which is not countable-dimensional [27].

Here is the generalized version of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed map of metric spaces with  $\dim f = n$  and  $Y$  a  $C$ -space. Then all maps  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  form a dense subset of  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology. Moreover, if  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -perfect, then this set is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

Theorem 1.3 answers positively Pasynkov's question in [25] whether Theorem 1.1 is true for countable-dimensional spaces.

For any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$   $\dim f = \sup\{\dim f^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$  is the dimension of  $f$ . We say that a surjective map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $\sigma$ -closed (resp.,  $\sigma$ -perfect) if  $X$  is the union of countably many closed sets  $X_i$  such that each restriction  $f|X_i: X_i \rightarrow f(X_i)$  is a closed (resp., perfect) map and all  $f(X_i)$  are closed in  $Y$ .

Using Theorem 1.3 we prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.2:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed map of metric spaces with  $\dim f = n$  and  $Y$  a  $C$ -space. Then for each  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$  there exists an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_k$  of  $X$  such that  $\dim A_k \leq k$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is  $(n - k - 1)$ -dimensional.*

A few words about this note. In Section 2 we give a characterization of finite-dimensional proper maps (see Theorem 2.2) which is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on a selection theorem established by V. Gutev and the second author [14, Theorem 1.1]. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, respectively. In the last Section 5 we provide applications of the main results. One of them is a generalization of a result by Dranishnikov and Uspenskij [10] concerning

maps which lower extensional dimension, another one is a parametric version of the Bogatyĭ representation theorem of  $n$ -dimensional metrizable spaces [2]. Some results in the spirit of Pasyukov's recent paper [24] are also obtained.

## 2. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MAPS

In this section we provide a characterization of  $n$ -dimensional perfect maps onto paracompact  $C$ -spaces, see Theorem 2.2 below.

For any spaces  $M$  and  $K$  by  $C(K, M)$  we denote the set of all continuous maps from  $K$  into  $M$ . If  $(M, d)$  is a metric space and  $K$  is any space, then the source limitation topology on  $C(K, M)$  is defined in the following way: a subset  $U \subset C(K, M)$  is open in  $C(K, M)$  with respect to the source limitation topology provided for every  $g \in U$  there exists a continuous function  $\alpha: K \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $\overline{B}(g, \alpha) \subset U$ . Here,  $\overline{B}(g, \alpha)$  denotes the set  $\{h \in C(K, M) : d(g(x), h(x)) \leq \alpha(x) \text{ for each } x \in K\}$ .

The source limitation topology is also known as the fine topology and  $C(K, M)$  with this topology has Baire property provided  $(M, d)$  is a complete metric space [23]. We also need the following fact: if  $K$  is paracompact and  $F \subset K$  closed, then the restriction map  $p_F: C(K, M) \rightarrow C(F, M)$ ,  $p_F(g) = g|_F$ , is continuous when both  $C(K, M)$  and  $C(F, M)$  are equipped with the source limitation topology; moreover  $p_F$  is open and surjective provided  $M$  is a closed convex subset of a Banach space and  $d$  is the metric on  $M$  generated by the norm. Finally, when  $K$  and  $M$  are metrizable, the source limitation topology on  $C(K, M)$  doesn't depend on the concrete metric on  $M$ .

Let  $\omega$  be an open cover of the space  $M$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A family  $\gamma$  of subsets of  $M$  is said to be  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $M$  if  $\text{ord}(\gamma) \leq m + 1$  (i.e., every point of  $M$  belongs to at most  $m + 1$  elements of  $\gamma$ ) and  $\gamma$  refines  $\omega$ ; a subset of  $M$  which can be represented as the union of open  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete family in  $M$  is called  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete; a map  $g: M \rightarrow Z$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete if every  $z \in g(M)$  has a neighborhood  $V_z$  in  $Z$  such that  $g^{-1}(V_z)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $M$ .

We also agree to denote by  $\text{cov}(M)$  the family of all open covers of  $M$ . In case  $(M, d)$  is a metric space,  $B_\epsilon(x)$  (resp.,  $\overline{B}_\epsilon(x)$ ) stands for the open (resp., closed) ball in  $(M, d)$  with center  $x$  and radius  $\epsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\omega \in \text{cov}(M)$  and  $K \subset M$  compact, then every functionally open and  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete subset of  $K$  can be extended to an  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete subset of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset K$  be functionally open and  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $K$  and  $\gamma = \{U_s : s \in A\}$  an open  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete family in  $K$  whose union is  $U$ . Since  $U$  is paracompact (as functionally open in  $K$ ), we can suppose that  $\gamma$  is locally finite and there exists a partition of unity  $\{f_s : s \in A\}$  in  $U$  such that  $U_s = f_s^{-1}((0, 1])$  for each  $s \in A$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  the nerve of  $\gamma$  with the Whitehead topology and define the map  $f: U \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  by  $f(x) = \sum_{s \in A} f_s(x)s$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{N}$  is at most

$m$ -dimensional because  $\text{ord}(\gamma) \leq m+1$ . Let  $W$  be a functionally open subset of  $\beta M$  with  $W \cap K = U$ . Then, by [11], there exists an open set  $V \subset W$  containing  $U$  and an extension  $g: V \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  of  $f$ . The map  $g$  generates maps  $g_s: V \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that each  $g_s$  extends  $f_s$ . We finally choose  $G_s \in \omega$  with  $U_s \subset G_s$ ,  $s \in A$ , and define  $V_s = G_s \cap g_s^{-1}((0, 1])$ . Then the family  $\{V_s : s \in A\}$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $M$  and  $\bigcup_{s \in A} V_s$  is the required  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete extension of  $U$ .  $\square$

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{I}^k$  denotes the  $k$ -dimensional cube equipped with the Euclidean metric  $d_k$ , and  $D_k$  denotes the uniform convergence metric on  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  generated by  $d_k$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we denote by  $C(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$  the set of all maps  $h: X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $\pi_Y \circ h = f$ , where  $\pi_Y: Y \times \mathbb{I}^k \rightarrow Y$  is the projection. For any  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$  and closed  $K \subset X$ ,  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$  stands for the set of all  $h \in C(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$  with  $h|K$  being  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete (as a map from  $K$  into  $Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$ ) and  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  consists of all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $f \times g \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$ . In case  $K = X$  we simply write  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$  (resp.,  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ ) instead of  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|X, Y \times \mathbb{I}^k, f)$  (resp.,  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ ).

Now we can establish the following characterization of  $n$ -dimensional perfect maps:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect surjection between paracompact spaces with  $Y$  being a  $C$ -space. Then  $\dim f \leq n$  if and only if for any  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$  the set  $C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  is open and dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

The proof of sufficiency follows from the following observation: if the set  $C_{(0, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  is not empty for all  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$ , then every open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , admits an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ , i.e.  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$ . Indeed, let  $\gamma$  be a family of open subsets of  $X$  covering  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $\omega = \gamma \cup \{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)\} \in \text{cov}(X)$ , so there exists  $g \in C_{(0, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Obviously,  $g|f^{-1}(y)$  is  $(0, \omega)$ -discrete. Hence, every  $z \in H = g(f^{-1}(y))$  has a neighborhood  $G_z$  in  $\mathbb{I}^n$  with  $g^{-1}(G_z) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  being the union of a disjoint and open in  $f^{-1}(y)$  family  $\mu_z$  which refines  $\omega$ . Take finitely many  $z(i) \in H$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , such that  $\lambda = \{G_{z(i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$  covers  $H$ . Since  $\dim H \leq n$ , we can suppose that  $\text{ord}(\lambda) \leq n+1$ . Then  $\mu = \cup\{\mu_{z(i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$  is an open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$  refining  $\gamma$  and  $\text{ord}(\mu) \leq n+1$ .

To prove necessity we need few lemmas, the proof will be completed by Lemma 2.9. In all these lemmas we suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are given paracompact spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a perfect surjective map with  $\dim f \leq n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also fix  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$ , an integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $g \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that the restriction  $g|f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  implies that  $g|f^{-1}(y)$  is an  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete map. Hence, for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V_{g(x)}$  of  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  is an  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete set in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $V_{g(x)}$  is functionally open in  $\mathbb{I}^k$ , so is  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, there is an  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete subset  $W_x$  in  $X$  extending  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  we have  $(f \times g)^{-1}(f(x), g(x)) = f^{-1}(y) \cap g^{-1}(g(x)) \subset W_x$  and, since  $f \times g$  is a closed map, there exists an open neighborhood  $H_x = U_y^x \times G_x$  of  $(y, g(x))$  in  $Y \times \mathbb{I}^k$  with  $S_x = (f \times g)^{-1}(H_x) \subset W_x$ . Next, choose finitely many points  $x(i) \in f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=p} S_{x(i)}$ . Using that  $f$  is a closed map we can find a neighborhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $U_y \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=p} U_y^{x(i)}$  and  $f^{-1}(U_y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=p} S_{x(i)}$ . Let show that  $g|f^{-1}(U_y)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. Indeed, if  $z \in f^{-1}(U_y)$ , then  $z \in S_{x(j)}$  for some  $j$  and  $g(z) \in G_{x(j)}$  because  $S_{x(j)} = f^{-1}(U_y^{x(j)}) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)})$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)}) \subset S_{x(j)} \subset W_{x(j)}$ . Therefore,  $G_{x(j)}$  is a neighborhood of  $g(z)$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)})$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $f^{-1}(U_y)$  as a subset of the  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete set  $W_{x(j)}$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , then we have  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that  $f \times g$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, i.e. for any  $x \in X$  there exist neighborhoods  $U_y$  of  $y = f(x)$  in  $Y$  and  $G_x$  of  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $X$ . And this is really true, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a neighborhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $g|f^{-1}(U_y)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. Therefore, we can find a neighborhood  $G_x$  of  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  with  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  being  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $f^{-1}(U_y)$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *The set  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  is open in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology for any closed  $K \subset X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_0 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We are going to find  $\alpha \in C(X, (0, \infty))$  with  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \subset C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Since each restriction  $g_0|(f^{-1}(y) \cap K)$ ,  $y \in H = f(K)$ , is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, by Lemma 2.3, for every  $y \in H$  there exists a neighborhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $g_0|(f^{-1}(U_y) \cap K)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. Then  $\omega_1 = \{U_y : y \in H\} \cup \{Y \setminus H\}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ . Using that  $Y$  is paracompact, we can find a metric space  $(M, d)$ , a surjection  $p: Y \rightarrow M$  and  $\mu \in \text{cov}(M)$  such that  $p^{-1}(\mu)$  refines  $\omega_1$ . Hence, every  $z \in p(H)$  has a neighborhood  $W_z$  in  $M$  such that  $g_0|(p \circ f)^{-1}(W_z) \cap K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. The last condition implies that  $h_0|K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, where  $h_0 = (p \circ f) \times g_0$ . Now we need the following:

*Claim.* *There exists an open family  $\gamma$  in  $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$  covering  $h_0(K)$  such that every  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  belongs to  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  provided  $h|K$  is  $\gamma$ -close to  $h_0|K$ , where  $h = (p \circ f) \times g$ .*

Proof of the claim. Since  $h_0|K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, every  $t \in h_0(K)$  has an open neighborhood  $V_t$  in  $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $h_0^{-1}(V_t) \cap K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $K$ . Then  $\nu = \{V_t : t \in h_0(K)\}$  forms an open cover of  $h_0(K)$ . Take  $\gamma$  to be a locally finite open cover of  $V = \cup \nu$  such that  $\{St(W, \gamma) : W \in \gamma\}$  refines  $\nu$ . Let  $h|K$  be a  $\gamma$ -close map to  $h_0|K$ , where  $h = (p \circ f) \times g$  with  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . If  $W \in \gamma$ , then  $h_0(h^{-1}(W) \cap K) \subset St(W, \gamma)$ . But  $St(W, \gamma)$  is contained in  $V_t$  for some  $t \in h_0(K)$ . Consequently,  $h^{-1}(W) \cap K \subset h_0^{-1}(V_t) \cap K$ . The last inclusion implies that  $h^{-1}(W) \cap K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $K$  because  $h_0^{-1}(V_t) \cap K$  is. Therefore,  $h|K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. To finish the proof of the claim observe that  $h|K$  being  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete yields  $(f \times g)|K$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete too, i.e.  $g \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ .

We continue with the proof of Lemma 2.5. Let  $\rho$  be the metric on  $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$  defined by  $\rho(t_1, t_2) = d(z_1, z_2) + d_k(w_1, w_2)$ , where  $t_i = (z_i, w_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\alpha_1 : K \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be the function  $\alpha_1(x) = 2^{-1} \sup\{\rho(h_0(x), V \setminus W) : W \in \gamma\}$ . Since  $h_0(K) \subset V$  and  $\gamma$  is a locally finite open cover of  $V$ ,  $\alpha_1$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $h = (p \circ f) \times g$  with  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  and  $\rho(h_0(x), h(x)) \leq \alpha_1(x)$  for every  $x \in K$ , then  $h|K$  is  $\gamma$ -close to  $h_0|K$ . According to the claim, the last relation yields that  $g \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We finally take a continuous extension  $\alpha : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  of  $\alpha_1$ . Observe that  $d_k(g_0(x), g(x)) = \rho(h_0(x), h(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \subset C_{(m, \omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  is equipped with the uniform convergence topology, then the set-valued map  $\psi_{(m, \omega)} : Y \rightarrow 2^{C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)}$ , defined by the formula  $\psi_{(m, \omega)}(y) = C(X, \mathbb{I}^k) \setminus C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ , has a closed graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = \cup\{y \times \psi_{(m, \omega)}(y) : y \in Y\} \subset Y \times C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  be the graph of  $\psi_{(m, \omega)}$  and  $(y_0, g_0) \in (Y \times C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)) \setminus G$ . We are going to show that  $(y_0, g_0)$  has a neighborhood in  $Y \times C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  which doesn't meet  $G$ . Since  $(y_0, g_0) \notin G$ ,  $g_0 \notin \psi_{(m, \omega)}(y_0)$ . Hence,  $g_0 \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y_0), \mathbb{I}^k)$  and, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y_0$  in  $Y$  with  $g_0|f^{-1}(U)$  being  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, in particular,  $g_0 \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We can assume that  $U \subset Y$  is closed, so is  $f^{-1}(U)$  in  $X$ . Then, according to Lemma 2.5,  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{I}^k)$  is open in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Consequently, there exists a continuous positive function  $\alpha$  on  $X$  such that  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is contained in  $C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y_0)$  is compact,  $2\delta = \min\{\alpha(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y_0)\} > 0$  and  $H = \{x \in f^{-1}(U) : \alpha(x) > \delta\}$  is a neighborhood of  $f^{-1}(y_0)$ . Therefore, there exists a closed neighborhood  $V$  of  $y_0$  in  $Y$  with  $f^{-1}(V) \subset H$  (we use again that  $f$  is a closed map). Let  $B_\delta(g_0)$  be the open ball in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  (with respect to the uniform metric  $D_k$ ) with center  $g_0$  and radius  $\delta$ . Since  $W = V \times B_\delta(g_0)$  is a neighborhood of  $(y_0, g_0)$  in  $Y \times C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ , the following claim completes the proof.

*Claim.*  $W \cap G = \emptyset$

Suppose  $(y, g) \in W \cap G$  for some  $(y, g) \in Y \times C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then,  $y \in V$  and

$$(1) \quad d_k(g(x), g_0(x)) \leq \delta < \alpha(x) \text{ for every } x \in f^{-1}(V).$$

Let show that the existence of a function  $g_1 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that

$$(2) \quad g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \text{ and } g_1|_{f^{-1}(V)} = g|_{f^{-1}(V)}$$

provides a contradiction with the assumption  $(y, g) \in W \cap G$ . Indeed,  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  yields  $g_1 \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{I}^k)$  and, since  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ , we have  $g_1 \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ . So,  $g \in C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  because  $g_1|_{f^{-1}(y)} = g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$  (recall that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ ). On the other hand,  $(y, g) \in G$  implies  $g \in \psi_{(m, \omega)}(y)$ , i.e.  $g \notin C_{(m, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ .

Therefore, the proof is reduced to find  $g_1$  satisfying (2). And this can be done by using the convex-valued selection theorem of Michael [21]. Define the set-valued map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $\Phi(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $\Phi(x) = \overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  otherwise. Here,  $\mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  denotes the convex and closed subsets of  $\mathbb{I}^k$  and  $\overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  is the closed ball in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  with center  $g_0(x)$  and radius  $\alpha(x)$ . By virtue of (1),  $g(x) \in \overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . The last condition, together with the definition of  $\Phi$  outside  $f^{-1}(V)$ , implies that  $\Phi$  is lower semi-continuous (i.e.,  $\{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $X$  for any open set  $O \subset \mathbb{I}^k$ ). Then, by mentioned above Michael's theorem,  $\Phi$  admits a continuous selection  $g_1$ . Since  $g_1(x) \in \Phi(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $g_1|_{f^{-1}(V)} = g|_{f^{-1}(V)}$  and  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $K$  and  $M$  be compact spaces such that  $\dim K \leq n$  and  $M$  metrizable. Then for every  $\gamma \in \text{cov}(K)$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$  the set of all maps  $h \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with each  $h|_{(\{z\} \times K)}$ ,  $z \in M$ , being  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -discrete (as a map from  $K$  into  $\mathbb{I}^k$ ) is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $K$  is metrizable and let  $p_M: M \times K \rightarrow M$  and  $p_K: M \times K \rightarrow K$  be the projections. Then, by Hurewicz's theorem [18], there exists a 0-dimensional map  $h^*: K \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$ . Consequently,  $g^* = h^* \circ p_K$  is a map from  $M \times K$  into  $\mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $p_M \times g^*: M \times K \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is also 0-dimensional. According to Levin's [19] and Sternfeld's [29] results, the existence of such a map  $g^*$  implies that the set  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of all maps  $g \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(p_M \times g) \leq 0$  is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology. If  $q: \mathbb{I}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^k$  is the projection generated by the first  $k$  coordinates, then the map  $g \rightarrow q \circ g$  is a continuous surjection from  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  onto  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  (both equipped with the uniform convergence topology), so  $\mathcal{M}_k = \{q \circ g : g \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Moreover, since  $\dim q = n - k$  and each  $p_M \times g$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , is 0-dimensional,  $\dim(p_M \times h) \leq n - k$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{M}_k$  (the last conclusion is implied by the Hurewicz theorem on closed maps which lower dimension [16]). Therefore,  $h_z = h|_{(\{z\} \times K)}$  is an  $(n - k)$ -dimensional map for every  $z \in M$

and  $h \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . Let show that any such  $h_z$  is  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -discrete. Indeed, for fixed  $y \in h_z(K)$  we have  $\dim h_z^{-1}(y) \leq n - k$ . So, there exists  $\nu \in \text{cov}(h_z^{-1}(y))$  refining  $\gamma$  such that  $\text{ord}(\nu) \leq n - k + 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain an  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -discrete set  $W_y$  in  $K$  which contains  $h_z^{-1}(y)$ . Finally, choose a neighborhood  $V_y$  of  $y$  in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $h_z^{-1}(V_y) \subset W_y$  and observe that  $h_z^{-1}(V_y)$  is  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -discrete.

Suppose now  $K$  is not metrizable and fix  $\delta > 0$  and  $h_0 \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We are going to find  $h \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  satisfying the requirement of the lemma and such that  $h$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_0$ . To this end, represent  $K$  as the limit space of a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system  $\mathcal{S} = \{K_\beta, \pi_\beta^{\beta+1} : \beta \in B\}$  such that each  $K_\beta$  is a metrizable compactum with  $\dim K_\beta \leq n$ . Applying standard inverse spectra arguments (see [4]), we can find  $\theta \in B$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \text{cov}(K_\theta)$  and  $h_\theta \in C(M \times K_\theta, \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $h_\theta \circ (\text{id}_M \times \pi_\theta) = h_0$  and  $\pi_\theta^{-1}(\gamma_1)$  refines  $\gamma$ , where  $\pi_\theta : K \rightarrow K_\theta$  denotes the  $\theta$ th limit projection. Then, by virtue of the previous case, there exists a map  $h_1 \in C(M \times K_\theta, \mathbb{I}^k)$  which is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_\theta$  and  $h_1|(\{z\} \times K_\theta)$  is  $(n - k, \gamma_1)$ -discrete. It follows from our construction that  $h = h_1 \circ (\text{id}_M \times \pi_\theta)$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_0$  and  $h|(\{z\} \times K)$  is  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -discrete.  $\square$

Recall that a closed subset  $F$  of the metrizable space  $M$  is said to be a  $Z$ -set in  $M$ , if the set  $C(Q, M \setminus F)$  is dense in  $C(Q, M)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology, where  $Q$  denotes the Hilbert cube. If, in the above definition,  $Q$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{I}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we say that  $F$  is a  $Z_m$ -set in  $M$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a positive continuous function and  $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y) \cap \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , where  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is considered as a subspace of  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with the uniform convergence topology.*

*Proof.* In this proof all function spaces are equipped with the uniform convergence topology. Since, by Lemma 2.6,  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}$  has a closed graph, each  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  is closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Hence,  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y) \cap \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is closed in  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ . We need to show that, for fixed  $y \in Y$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and a map  $u : Q \rightarrow \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  there exists a map  $v : Q \rightarrow \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \setminus \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  which is  $\delta$ -close to  $u$  with respect to the uniform metric  $D_k$ . To this end, observe first that  $u$  generates  $h \in C(Q \times X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $h(z, x) = u(z)(x)$ , such that  $d_k(h(z, x), g_0(x)) \leq \alpha(x)$  for any  $(z, x) \in Q \times X$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact, we can find  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lambda \sup\{\alpha(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Now, define  $h_1 \in C(Q \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $h_1(z, x) = (1 - \lambda)h(z, x) + \lambda g_0(x)$ . Then, for every  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ , we have

$$(3) \quad d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) \leq (1 - \lambda)\alpha(x) < \alpha(x)$$

and



$$(4) \quad d_k(h_1(z, x), h(z, x)) \leq \lambda\alpha(x) < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Let  $q < \min\{r, \frac{\delta}{2}\}$ , where  $r$  is the positive number  $\inf\{\alpha(x) - d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) : (z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)\}$ . Since  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$ , by Lemma 2.7 (applied to the product  $Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ ), there is a map  $h_2 \in C(Q \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $d_k(h_2(z, x), h_1(z, x)) < q$  and  $h_2|_{(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))}$  is an  $(n - k, \omega)$ -discrete map for each  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, by (3) and (4), for all  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$  we have

$$(5) \quad d_k(h_2(z, x), h(z, x)) < \delta \text{ and } d_k(h_2(z, x), g_0(x)) < \alpha(x).$$

Because both  $Q$  and  $f^{-1}(y)$  are compact,  $u_2(z)(x) = h_2(z, x)$  defines the map  $u_2: Q \rightarrow C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ . The required map  $v$  will be obtained as a lifting of  $u_2$ . The restriction map  $\pi: \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \rightarrow C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $\pi(g) = g|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ , is obviously continuous (with respect to the uniform convergence topology).

*Claim.*  $\pi: \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \rightarrow \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha))$  is an open map.

It's enough to show that

$$(6) \quad \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap B_\epsilon(g)) = \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)) \cap B_\epsilon(\pi(g))$$

for every  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $B_\epsilon(g)$  and  $B_\epsilon(\pi(g))$  are open balls, respectively, in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  and  $C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ , both with the uniform metric generated by  $d_k$ . Let  $p \in \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)) \cap B_\epsilon(\pi(g))$ . Then  $d_k(p(x), g_0(x)) \leq \alpha(x)$  and  $d_k(p(x), g(x)) < \eta < \epsilon$  for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and some positive number  $\eta$ . Define the closed and convex-valued map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $\Phi(x) = p(x)$  if  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\Phi(x) = \overline{B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x)) \cap B_\eta(g(x))}$  if  $x \notin f^{-1}(y)$  (recall that  $B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  and  $B_\eta(g(x))$  are open balls in  $\mathbb{I}^k$ ). Since  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ ,  $\Phi(x) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in X$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha$ ,  $g$  and  $g_0$  are continuous,  $\Phi$  is lower semi-continuous. Therefore, by Michael's convex-valued selection theorem,  $\Phi$  admits a selection  $g_1 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then  $\pi(g_1) = p$  and  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap B_\epsilon(g)$ . So,  $\pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)) \cap B_\epsilon(\pi(g)) \subset \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap B_\epsilon(g))$  and, because the converse inclusion is trivial, we are done.

Before completing the final step of our proof, note that  $u_2(z) \in \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha))$  for every  $z \in Q$  (indeed, consider the lower semi-continuous set-valued map  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $\phi(x) = u_2(z)(x)$  for  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\phi(x) = \overline{B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))}$  for  $x \notin f^{-1}(y)$ , and take any continuous selection  $g$  of  $\phi$ ). Now, we are going to lift the map  $u_2$  to a map  $v: Q \rightarrow \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  such that  $v$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $u$ . To this end, define  $\theta: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(C(X, \mathbb{I}^k))$  by  $\theta(z) = \overline{\pi^{-1}(u_2(z)) \cap B_\delta(u(z))}$ . The first inequality in (5) implies that  $u_2(z) \in B_\delta(\pi(u(z)))$  for every  $z \in Q$ . Since each  $u_2(z)$  belongs to  $\pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha))$ , by virtue of (6),  $\theta(z) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $z \in Q$ . On the

other hand, since  $\pi$  is open, by [21, Example 1.1\* and Proposition 2.5],  $\theta$  is lower semi-continuous. Obviously, every image  $\theta(z)$  is convex and closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  which is, in its own turn, closed and convex in the Banach space of all bounded and continuous functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Therefore, using again the Michael selection theorem [21, Theorem 3.2"], we can find a continuous selection  $v: Q \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  for  $\theta$ . Then  $v$  maps  $Q$  into  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  and  $v$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $u$ . Moreover, for any  $z \in Q$  we have  $\pi(v(z)) = u_2(z)$  and  $u_2(z)$ , being the restriction  $h_2|(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))$ , is  $(n-k, \omega)$ -discrete. Hence,  $v(z) \in C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $z \in Q$ , i.e.  $v(Q) \subset \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \setminus \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $Y$  is a  $C$ -space, then  $C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  is dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that, for fixed  $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  and a positive continuous function  $\alpha: X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We equip  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with the uniform convergence topology and consider the constant (and hence, lower semi-continuous) map  $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(C(X, \mathbb{I}^k))$ ,  $\phi(y) = \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ . According to Lemma 2.8,  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . So, we have a lower semi-continuous map  $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c(E)$  and a map  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}: Y \rightarrow 2^E$  such that  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}$  has a closed graph (see Lemma 2.6) and  $\phi(y) \cap \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $\phi(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ , where  $E$  is the Banach space of all bounded continuous maps from  $Y$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Therefore, we can apply [14, Theorem 1.1] to obtain a continuous map  $h: Y \rightarrow E$  with  $h(y) \in \phi(y) \setminus \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$  (Theorem 1.1 from [14] was proved under the assumption that  $\psi_{(n-k, \omega)}$  has non-empty values, but the proof given in [14] works without this restriction). Observe that  $h$  is a map from  $Y$  into  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  such that  $h(y) \notin \psi_{(n-k, \omega)}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , i.e.  $h(y) \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Then  $g(x) = h(f(x))(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , defines a map  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  such that  $g \in C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Hence, by virtue of Corollary 2.4,  $g \in C_{(n-k, \omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The following proposition proves Theorem 1.3 in the special case when  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -perfect.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -perfect map of metrizable spaces with  $\dim f \leq n$  and  $Y$  being a  $C$ -space. Then the set of all maps  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

*Proof.* All function spaces in this proof are considered with the source limitation topology. Let  $X$  be the union of the closed sets  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that each restriction  $f_i = f|X_i$  is perfect and  $Y_i = f(X_i)$  is closed in  $Y$ . Fix a sequence

$\{\omega_q\}$  of open covers of  $X$  with  $mesh(\omega_q) < q^{-1}$ . Every  $Y_i$  is a  $C$ -space (as a closed set in  $Y$ ), so we can apply Lemma 2.9 to the maps  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  and conclude that  $H_i = \bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} C_{(0, \omega_q)}(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Here,  $C_{(0, \omega_q)}(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  consists of all  $h \in C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  such that  $f_i \times h$  is  $(0, \omega_q)$ -discrete. Since all restriction maps  $p_i: C(X, \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$ ,  $p_i(g) = g|_{X_i}$ , are continuous, open and surjective, the sets  $C_i = p_i^{-1}(H_i)$  are dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ , so is the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ . It only remains to observe that  $g \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  if and only if  $\dim(f_i \times g_i) = 0$  for every  $i$ , where  $g_i = g|_{X_i}$ . Hence, by the countable sum theorem,  $g \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  if and only if  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$ .  $\square$

We continue now with the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.3. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed  $n$ -dimensional surjection with both  $X$  and  $Y$  metrizable and  $Y$  a  $C$ -space. By Vainstein lemma [12], the boundary  $Fr f^{-1}(y)$  of every  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. Defining  $F(y)$  to be  $Fr f^{-1}(y)$  if  $Fr f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , and an arbitrary point from  $f^{-1}(y)$  otherwise, we obtain the set  $X_0 = \cup\{F(y) : y \in Y\}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X$  is closed and the restriction  $f|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect surjection. Moreover, each  $f^{-1}(y) \setminus X_0$  is open in  $X$ , so  $\dim(X \setminus X_0) \leq n$ . Represent  $X \setminus X_0$  as the union of countably many closed sets  $X_i \subset X$  and for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  let  $p_i: C(X, \mathbb{I}^n) \rightarrow C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  be the restriction map. By Proposition 3.1, the set  $C_0$  consisting of all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $(f \times g)|_{X_0}$  being 0-dimensional is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Consequently,  $C_0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . On the other hand, since  $\dim X_i \leq n$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , the set  $H_i \subset C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  of all uniformly 0-dimensional maps is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology [17] (recall that a map  $h: X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  is uniformly 0-dimensional if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that, if  $T \subset \mathbb{I}^n$  and  $diam(T) \leq \eta$ , then  $h^{-1}(T)$  is covered by a disjoint open family in  $X_i$  consisting of sets with diameter  $\leq \epsilon$ ). Because  $p_i$  are open and continuous surjections when  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  and  $C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  carry the uniform convergence topology, all  $C_i = p_i^{-1}(H_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are uniformly dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Therefore,  $C_\infty = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Moreover,  $f \times g$  is 0-dimensional for every  $g \in C_\infty$ . It remains to show that  $C_\infty$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . For every  $g \in C_0$  let  $H(g) = \{h \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n) : h|_{X_0} = g|_{X_0}\}$ . Obviously,  $C_0 = \cup\{H(g) : g \in C_0\}$  and each  $H(g)$  is uniformly closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . So,  $C_\infty$  is the union of the sets  $A(g) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \cap H(g)$ ,  $g \in C_0$ . For fixed  $g \in C_0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $p_i(g) = p_i|_{H(g)}$ . Using that  $X_0$  and  $X_i$  are closed disjoint subsets of  $X$ , one can show that every  $p_i(g): H(g) \rightarrow C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  is an uniformly continuous and open surjection. Hence,  $H(g) \cap C_i$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $H(g)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology as the preimage of  $H_i$  under  $p_i(g)$ . Therefore,  $A(g)$  is uniformly dense in  $H(g)$  (recall that  $H(g)$  is uniformly closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ , so it has Baire property). We finally observe that the uniform density of  $C_0$

in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  and the uniform density of  $A(g)$  in  $H(g)$  for each  $g \in C_0$  yield the uniform density of  $C_\infty$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

It suffices to prove this theorem for closed maps, so we suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed surjection. If  $A_{n-1}$  is constructed, then for  $k < n-1$ , we can find an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $A_k \subset A_{n-1}$  with  $\dim A_k \leq k$  and  $\dim(A_{n-1} \setminus A_k) \leq n-k-2$  (this can be accomplished by induction, the first step is to represent  $A_{n-1}$  as the union of 0-dimensional  $G_\delta$ -subsets  $B_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and to denote  $A_{n-2} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{j=n-1} B_j$ ). Therefore, we need only to construct  $A_{n-1}$ . To this end, we first establish the following analogue of Sternfeld's [29, Lemma 1] which was proved for compact metrizable spaces.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be metrizable and  $K$  a compact metric space with  $\dim K \leq n$ . Then there exists a  $F_\sigma$  subset  $B \subset M \times K$  such that  $\dim B \leq n-1$  and  $\pi_M|(M \times K) \setminus B$  is 0-dimensional, where  $\pi_M: M \times K \rightarrow M$  is the projection.*

*Proof.* As in [29], the proof can be reduced to the case  $n = 1$  and  $K = [0, 1]$ . So, we are going to show the existence of a 0-dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $B$  of  $M \times \mathbb{I}$  such that each set  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{I}) \setminus B$ ,  $y \in M$ , is 0-dimensional and that will complete the proof. Let  $h: Z \rightarrow M$  be a perfect surjection with  $Z$  being a 0-dimensional metrizable space. Then, by [24, Proposition 9.1], there exists a map  $g: Z \rightarrow Q$  such that  $h \times g: Z \rightarrow M \times Q$  is a closed embedding. Next, let  $\Delta$  be the Cantor set and take a surjection  $p: \Delta \rightarrow Q$  admitting an averaging operator between the function spaces  $C(\Delta)$  and  $C(Q)$  [26] (such maps are called Milyutin maps). According to [6], there exists a lower semi-continuous compact-valued map  $\phi: Q \rightarrow 2^\Delta$  with  $\phi(y) \subset p^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in Q$ . We can apply Michael's 0-dimensional selection theorem [22] to obtain a continuous selection  $q$  for the map  $\phi \circ g$ . Obviously  $h \times q: Z \rightarrow M \times \Delta$  is a closed embedding, so  $Z_0 = (h \times q)(Z)$  is a 0-dimensional closed subset of  $M \times \Delta$ . Finally, considering  $\Delta$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{I}$ , let  $Z_r = \{(h(z), q(z) + r) : z \in Z\} \subset M \times \mathbb{I}$  for every rational  $r \in \mathbb{I}$ , where addition  $q(z) + r$  is taken in  $\mathbb{R} \bmod 1$ . Then each  $Z_r$  is a closed subset of  $M \times \mathbb{I}$  homeomorphic to  $Z$ , so  $B = \bigcup \{Z_r : r \text{ is rational}\}$  is 0-dimensional and  $F_\sigma$  in  $M \times \mathbb{I}$ . Moreover,  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{I}) \setminus B$  is also 0-dimensional for every  $y \in M$ .  $\square$

Let continue the proof of Theorem 1.4. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, there are closed subsets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $f|X_0$  is a perfect map onto  $Y$ , each  $X_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is at most  $n$ -dimensional and  $X \setminus X_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . For every  $i \geq 1$  we choose an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -set  $H_i \subset X_i$  with  $\dim(X_i \setminus H_i) \leq 0$ . A similar type subset of  $X_0$  can also be found. Indeed, let  $f_0 = f|X_0$  and take  $g: X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f_0 \times g: X_0 \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is 0-dimensional (see Theorem 1.3). By Lemma 4.1, there exists an  $F_\sigma$ -set  $B \subset Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  with  $\dim B \leq n-1$  and each  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \setminus B$ ,  $y \in Y$ , being 0-dimensional. Then  $H_0 = (f_0 \times g)^{-1}(B)$  is  $F_\sigma$  in

$X_0$ . Since  $f_0 \times g$  is perfect, by the generalized Hurewicz theorem on closed maps lowering dimension [28], we have  $\dim H_0 \leq n - 1$  and  $\dim(f_0^{-1}(y) \setminus H_0) \leq 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Finally, set  $A_{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ . Obviously,  $\dim A_{n-1} \leq n - 1$ . On the other hand, each  $f^{-1}(y) \setminus A_{n-1}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is the union of its closed sets  $F_i(y) = f^{-1}(y) \cap X_i \setminus A_{n-1}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . But  $F_0(y) = f_0^{-1}(y) \setminus H_0$  and  $F_i(y) \subset f^{-1}(y) \cap (X_i \setminus H_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ , so all  $F_i(y)$  are 0-dimensional. Consequently,  $\dim(f^{-1}(y) \setminus A_{n-1}) \leq 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ , i.e. the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_{n-1})$  is 0-dimensional.

## 5. SOME APPLICATIONS.

Our first application deals with extensional dimension introduced by Dranishnikov [7] (see also [3] and [8]). Let  $K$  be a  $CW$ -complex and  $X$  a normal space. We say that the extensional dimension of  $X$  doesn't exceed  $K$ , notation  $e\text{-dim}X \leq K$ , if every map  $h: A \rightarrow K$ , where  $A \subset X$  is closed, can be extended to a map from  $X$  into  $K$  provided there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $X$  and a map  $g: U \rightarrow K$  extending  $h$ . Obviously, if  $K$  is an absolute neighborhood extensor for  $X$ , then  $e\text{-dim}X \leq K$  iff  $K$  is an absolute extensor for  $X$ . In this notation,  $\dim X \leq n$  is equivalent to  $e\text{-dim}X \leq \mathbb{S}^n$ . We also write  $e\text{-dim}X \leq e\text{-dim}Y$  if  $e\text{-dim}Y \leq K$  implies  $e\text{-dim}X \leq K$  for any  $CW$ -complex  $K$ .

Dranishnikov and Uspenskij [10] provided a generalization of the Hurewicz theorem on dimension lowering maps: if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $n$ -dimensional surjection between compact finite-dimensional spaces, then  $e\text{-dim}X \leq e\text{-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ ; moreover, this statement holds for any compact spaces (not necessary finite-dimensional) when  $n = 0$ . We can improve this result as follows (see also [5] and [9] for another extension dimensional variants of Hurewicz's theorem):

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect  $n$ -dimensional surjection such that  $Y$  is a paracompact  $C$ -space, then  $e\text{-dim}X \leq e\text{-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ .*

Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 2.2 and next proposition which can be extracted from the Dranishnikov and Uspenskij proof of their [10, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.4].

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $K$  be a  $CW$ -complex and  $X$  paracompact. If for any  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$  there exist a paracompact space  $Z_\omega$  with  $e\text{-dim}Z_\omega \leq K$  and a perfect  $(0, \omega)$ -discrete map  $g: X \rightarrow Z_\omega$ , then  $e\text{-dim}X \leq K$ .*

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed  $n$ -dimensional surjection between metrizable spaces with  $Y$  being a  $C$ -space. Then  $e\text{-dim}X \leq e\text{-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a  $CW$ -complex with  $e\text{-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n) \leq K$ . It suffices to show that  $e\text{-dim}X \leq K$ . Since extension dimension satisfies the countable sum theorem, the proof of the last inequality is reduced to the case  $f$  is closed. We can also assume that  $K$  is an open subset of a normed space because every  $CW$ -complex

is homotopy equivalent to such a set. Represent  $X$  as the union of the closed sets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , such that  $f|_{X_0}$  is a perfect map onto  $Y$  and  $\dim X_i \leq n$  for each  $i \geq 1$  (see the proof of Theorem 1.3). Then, by Theorem 5.1,  $\text{e-dim} X_0 \leq K$ . On the other hand,  $\text{e-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n) \leq K$  implies that  $\text{e-dim} \mathbb{I}^n \leq K$ , in particular, every map from  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  into  $K$  is extendable to a map from  $\mathbb{I}^n$  into  $K$ . In other words,  $K$  is  $C^{n-1}$  and, as an open subset of a normed space,  $K$  is also  $LC^{n-1}$ . It is well known that  $LC^{n-1}$  and  $C^{n-1}$  metrizable spaces are precisely the absolute extensors for  $n$ -dimensional metrizable spaces. Hence,  $\text{e-dim} X_i \leq K$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . Finally, by the countable sum theorem for extensional dimension, we have  $\text{e-dim} X \leq K$ .  $\square$

Another application is a parametric version of the Bogatyĭ decomposition theorem of  $n$ -dimensional metrizable spaces [2]: For every metrizable  $n$ -dimensional space  $M$  there exist countably many 0-dimensional  $G_\delta$ -subsets  $M_k \subset M$  such that  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n+1} M_{k(i)}$  for all pairwise distinct  $k(1), \dots, k(n+1)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed  $n$ -dimensional surjection between metrizable spaces with  $Y$  a  $C$ -space. Then there exists a sequence  $\{A_k\}$  of  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $X$  such that every restriction  $f|_{A_k}$  is 0-dimensional and for any  $P \subset \mathbb{N}$  of cardinality  $n+1$  we have  $X = \bigcup_{k \in P} A_k$ .*

*Proof.* Take closed sets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , and a map  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f|_{X_0}$  is perfect,  $X \setminus X_0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} X_i$ ,  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  and each  $g|_{X_i}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is uniformly 0-dimensional (see the proof of Theorem 1.3). According to the Bogatyĭ theorem, there exists a sequence of 0-dimensional  $G_\delta$ -subsets  $B_k \subset \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\mathbb{I}^n$  is the union of any  $n+1$  elements of this sequence. Let  $A_k = (f \times g)^{-1}(Y \times B_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The only non-trivial condition we need to check is that each restriction  $f|_{A_k}$  is 0-dimensional, i.e.  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k \leq 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For fixed  $y$  and  $k$  we have  $f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} g_i^{-1}(B_k)$ , where  $g_i$  denotes the restriction  $g|(f^{-1}(y) \cap X_i)$ . Since every  $g_i^{-1}(B_k)$  is closed in  $f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k$ , it suffices to show that the sets  $g_i^{-1}(B_k)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , are 0-dimensional. For  $i = 0$  this follows from the Hurewicz lowering dimension theorem [16] because  $g_0$  is a perfect 0-dimensional map. For  $i \geq 1$  we use that  $g|_{X_i}$  is uniformly 0-dimensional and the preimage of any 0-dimensional set under uniformly 0-dimensional map is again 0-dimensional.  $\square$

A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be of countable functional weight [24] (notation  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ ) if there exists a map  $h: X \rightarrow Q$ ,  $Q$  is the Hilbert cube, such that  $f \times h: X \rightarrow Y \times Q$  is an embedding. In [24] Pasynkov has shown that his results from [25] remain valid for maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between finite-dimensional completely regular spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$  and both  $f$  and its Čech-Stone extension have the same dimension (the last condition holds, for example, if  $X$  is normal,  $Y$  paracompact and  $f$  closed). We are going to show that Theorem 2.2 implies a similar result with  $Y$  being a  $C$ -space.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed  $n$ -dimensional surjection of countable functional weight such that  $X$  is normal and  $Y$  a paracompact  $C$ -space. Then the set  $\mathcal{G}$  of all maps  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . If, in addition,  $X$  is paracompact and  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -perfect, then  $\mathcal{G}$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

*Proof.* Since  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ , there exists a map  $h: X \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f \times h$  is an embedding. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\gamma_k$  be an open cover of  $Q$  of mesh  $\leq k^{-1}$ . Suppose  $f$  is  $\sigma$ -closed and represent  $X$  and  $Y$  as the union of closed sets  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , respectively, such that each  $f_i = f|_{X_i}$  is a closed map onto  $Y_i$ . Let  $Z_i = (\beta f_i)^{-1}(Y_i)$  and  $\bar{f}_i = (\beta f_i)|_{Z_i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\beta f_i$  denotes the Čech-Stone extension of  $f_i$ . Because  $X$  is normal, each  $Z_i$  is a closed subset of  $Z = (\beta f)^{-1}(Y)$  and  $\bar{f}_i: Z_i \rightarrow Y_i$  are perfect  $n$ -dimensional maps. Moreover,  $Z$  is paracompact as the preimage of  $Y$ . We consider the extension  $\bar{h}: Z \rightarrow Q$  of  $h$  and the covers  $\omega_k = \bar{h}^{-1}(\gamma_k) \in \text{cov}(Z)$ . By Theorem 2.2 (applied for the maps  $\bar{f}_i$ ), the sets  $\mathcal{H}_{i,k}$  consisting of all  $g \in C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$  such that  $f_i \times g$  is  $(0, \omega_k)$ -discrete,  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are open and dense in  $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology, so is  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcap_{i,k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{i,k}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g|_X : g \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Since  $h$  is a homeomorphism on every fiber of  $f$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}$  is also uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

Let  $f$  be  $\sigma$ -perfect and  $X$  paracompact. Then substituting  $\bar{f}_i = f_i$ ,  $Z_i = X_i$  and  $Z = X$  in the previous proof, we obtain that  $\mathcal{G}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed  $n$ -dimensional surjection having second countable fibres. If  $X$  is metrizable and  $Y$  a paracompact  $C$ -space, then the set of all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(f \times g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is of countable functional weight (see [24, Proposition 9.1]), this corollary follows from Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

We finally formulate the following result, its proof is similar to that one of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect surjection of countable functional weight with  $Y$  a paracompact  $C$ -space. Then all maps  $g \in C(C, Q)$  such that  $f \times g$  is an embedding form a dense and  $G_\delta$  subset of  $C(X, Q)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect surjection between metrizable spaces. If  $Y$  is a  $C$ -space, then the set of all  $g \in C(X, Q)$  with  $f \times g$  being embedding is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, Q)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Addis and J. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's Problem*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 195–205.

- [2] S. Bogatyĭ, *Urysohn's decomposition, Zolotarev's theorem*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser 1, Math. **3** (1999), 6–11 (in Russian).
- [3] A. Chigogidze, *Infinite dimensional topology and shape theory*, in: Handbook of Geometric Topology, ed. by R. Daverman and R. Sher, North Holland, Amsterdam, 2000 (to appear).
- [4] ———, *Inverse Spectra*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [5] ——— and V. Valov, *Extensional dimension and C-spaces*, preprint.
- [6] S. Ditor, *Averaging operators in  $C(S)$  and lower semicontinuous selections of continuous maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **175** (1973), 195–208.
- [7] A. Dranishnikov, *The Eilenberg-Borsuk theorem for mappings into an arbitrary complex*, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. **81** (1995), 467–475.
- [8] ——— and J. Dydak, *Extension dimension and extension types*, Proceedings of the Steklov Inst. of Math. **212** (1996), 55–88.
- [9] ———, D. Repovš and E. Ščepin, *Transversal intersection formula for compacta*, Topology and Appl. **85** (1998), 93–117.
- [10] ——— and V. Uspenskij, *Light maps and extensional dimension*, Topology and Appl. **80** (1997), 91–99.
- [11] J. Dydak, *Extension theory: The interface between set-theoretic and algebraic topology*, Topology Appl. **20** (1996), 1–34.
- [12] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [13] ———, *Theory of dimensions: Finite and Infinite* (Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995).
- [14] V. Gutev and V. Valov, *Continuous selections and C-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [15] W. Haver, *A covering property for metric spaces*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 375, Topology Conference at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, March 22–29, 1973, Ray Dickman, Jr. and Peter Fletcher editors, Springer Verlag, New York 1974.
- [16] W. Hurewicz, *Über Stetige Bilder von Punktmengen (Zweite Mitteilung)*, Proc. Akad. Amsterdam **30**, **1** (1927), 159–165.
- [17] M. Katetov, *On the dimension of non-separable spaces I*, Czech. Math. J. **2(77)** (1952), 333–368 (in Russian).
- [18] K. Kuratowski, *Topology II* (PWN, Warszawa, 1968).
- [19] M. Levin, *Bing maps and finite-dimensional maps*, Fund. Math. **151** (1996), 47–52.
- [20] ———, *On extensional dimension of metrizable spaces*, preprint.
- [21] E. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 361–382.
- [22] ———, *Selected selection theorems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956), 233–238.
- [23] J. Munkers, *Topology* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NY, 1975).
- [24] B. Pasyнков, *On geometry of continuous maps of countable functional weight*, Fundam. Prikl. Matematika **4**, **1** (1998), 155–164 (in Russian).
- [25] ———, *On geometry of continuous maps of finite-dimensional compact metric spaces*, Trudy Steklov Mat. Inst. **212** (1996), 147–172 (in Russian).
- [26] A. Pelczynsky, *Linear Extensions, Linear Averagings, and their Applications to Linear Topological Classification of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Dissertationes Math. **58** (PWN, Warsaw, 1968).
- [27] R. Pol, *A weakly infinite-dimensional compactum which is not countable-dimensional*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 634–636.
- [28] E. Skljarenko, *A theorem on dimension-lowering mappings*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Math. **10** (1962), 429–432 (in Russian).
- [29] Y. Sternfeld, *On finite-dimensional maps and other maps with "small" fibers*, Fund. Math. **147** (1995), 127–133.



- [30] H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds*, Fund. Math. **106** (1980), 31–40.
- [31] ———, *Finite-to-one restrictions of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 237–249.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE,  
P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* muratt@unipissing.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O.  
BOX 5200, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* veskov@unipissing.ca