

TOPOLOGY OF $U(2;1)$ REPRESENTATION SPACES

P. B. GOTHEN

Abstract. We calculate the Betti numbers of moduli spaces of representations of a universal central extension of a surface group in the groups $U(2;1)$ and $SU(2;1)$. In order to obtain our results we use the identification of this space with an appropriate moduli space of Higgs bundles and Morse theory, following Hitchin's programme [11]. This requires a careful analysis of critical submanifolds which turn out to have a description using either symmetric products of the surface or moduli spaces of Bradlow pairs.

1. Introduction

Let X be a closed surface of genus $g > 2$. Consider the universal central extension

$$0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \pi_1 X \rightarrow 0;$$

generated by the standard generators $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$ of $\pi_1 X$ and a central element J with the relation $J = \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i]$. Our object of study is the moduli space of reductive representations

$$M_{U(2;1)} = \text{Hom}^+(\pi_1 X, U(2;1)) / U(2;1)$$

of $\pi_1 X$ in the non-compact Lie group $U(2;1)$. As is well known such representations correspond to flat $U(2;1)$ -bundles on the punctured surface $X \setminus \{p\}$ with fixed holonomy around the puncture given by the image of the central element J in $U(2;1)$ under the representation. Such bundles extend (as non-flat bundles) over the puncture and they are topologically classified by their reduction to the maximal compact subgroup $U(2) \times U(1) \subset U(2;1)$, that is, by a pair of integers $(d_1; d_2)$, where d_1 is the degree of the rank 2 complex vector bundle given by projecting $U(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(2)$ and d_2 is the degree of the complex line bundle given by projecting onto $U(1)$. These characteristic numbers are subject to the bound

$$(1.1) \quad |d_1 - 2d_2| \leq 3g - 3;$$

Date: December 7, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 14H30, 14H60, 32C18.

Partially supported by the Fundaç o para a Ci ncia e a Tecnologia (Portugal) through the Centro de Matem tica da Universidade do Porto, and by the European Commission through the Research Training Networks EAGER and EDGE (Contracts Nos. HPRN-CT-2000-00099 and HPRN-CT-2000-00101).

this follows from the work of Dominic and Toledo [5] and can also be proved using Higgs bundles (see Xia [18]). Furthermore, Xia proved that the subspaces M_{d_1, d_2} of representations with characteristic numbers $(d_1; d_2)$ are exactly the connected components of $M_{U(2;1)}$.

In this paper we calculate the Betti numbers of the spaces M_{d_1, d_2} in the case when $(d_1 + d_2; 3) = 1$. (We need to impose this condition in order to make sure that the moduli space is non-singular.) We use the approach via Higgs bundles and Morse theory introduced by Hitchin [11]: M_{d_1, d_2} is homomorphic to the moduli space of solutions to a set of equations from gauge theory known as Hitchin's equations and this space can be identified with an algebro-geometric moduli space of Higgs bundles of a certain special form. The point of view of gauge theory allows one to do Morse theory in the sense of Bott on the moduli space and the point of view of algebraic geometry permits a fairly explicit description of the critical submanifolds in terms of known spaces: the critical submanifolds turn out to be either closely related to symmetric products of the surface or, more interestingly, to moduli spaces of Bradlow pairs.

The formula for the Betti numbers of $M_{U(2;1)}$ is given in Theorem 3.3 and is fairly complicated. We give the analogous formula in the case of fixed determinant bundles in Theorem 4.1. Of course one can obtain more explicit results in low genus: see (3.10) and (3.11) for the Poincaré polynomials of the two connected components of $M_{U(2;1)}$ in the case $g = 2$ and $d = 1$.

One noteworthy feature of our results is that the Poincaré polynomial of the non-fixed determinant moduli space is not simply the product of that of the fixed determinant moduli space by that of the Jacobian. Another interesting aspect is that the Euler characteristic of the moduli spaces can be calculated. The components of $M_{U(2;1)}$ all have zero Euler characteristic, while the components of $M_{SU(2;1)}$ have non-zero Euler characteristic (see (4.4)).

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall the necessary background on Higgs bundles and the Morse theory strategy; in Section 3 we analyze the critical submanifolds and determine their Betti numbers; finally, in Section 4, we briefly give the corresponding results for the fixed determinant moduli spaces.

2. Higgs bundles and Morse theory on the moduli space

In this section we outline the strategy of our calculations and recall the necessary background. For details on this material see the papers of Corlette [4], Donaldson [6], Hitchin [11, 12], and Simpson [15, 16].

Give X the structure of a Riemann surface. The space $M_{U(2;1)}$ is homomorphic to the moduli space of poly-stable Higgs bundles $(E; \theta)$

of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} E &= E_1 \oplus E_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

where E_1 is a rank 2 holomorphic bundle and E_2 is a holomorphic line bundle on X . Furthermore, the Higgs field consists of two holomorphic maps

$$b: E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes K; \quad c: E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes K;$$

where K is the canonical bundle of X . $(E; \cdot)$ is called stable if the usual slope stability condition $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ is satisfied for any proper non-zero $\mathcal{O}_X$ -invariant subbundle $F \subset E$ (recall that the slope of a holomorphic bundle E is $\mu(E) = \deg(E)/\text{rk}(E)$). In fact it is sufficient to consider subbundles of the form $F = F_1 \oplus E_2$, where $F_i \subset E_i$, $i = 1, 2$ (cf. [8]). $(E; \cdot)$ is poly-stable if it is the direct sum of stable Higgs bundles, all of the same slope.

It will be convenient to express the bound (1.1) in terms of d_2 and $d = d_1 + d_2$:

$$(2.2) \quad d = 3 - (g - 1) \leq d_2 \leq d = 3 + (g - 1);$$

for fixed d there is thus one connected component M_{d_1, d_2} for each value of d_2 in this range.

Note that, clearly, $d_1 = \deg(E_1)$ and $d_2 = \deg(E_2)$. For purposes of topology we can therefore identify M_{d_1, d_2} with the moduli space of poly-stable Higgs bundles of the form (2.1) with $\deg(E_i) = d_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Note that taking a Higgs bundle of the form (2.1) to its dual $(E_1 \oplus E_2; {}^t \cdot)$ gives an isomorphism of the corresponding components of the moduli space. We may therefore assume that $\deg(E_1) \leq \deg(E_2)$ or, equivalently, that $3d_2 - d \geq 0$. This, together with (2.2), gives the range

$$(2.3) \quad d = 3 \leq d_2 \leq d = 3 + g - 1$$

for d_2 .

If $\deg(E) = d = d_1 + d_2$ is co-prime to $3 = \text{rk}(E)$ there are no strictly poly-stable Higgs bundles and in this case the moduli space is smooth. This is essential to doing Morse theory on it so we shall make this assumption from now on.

Considering the moduli space from the point of view of gauge theory allows one to have metrics on the Riemann surface and the bundles E_1 and E_2 . It therefore makes sense to consider the function

$$f = k - k^2$$

on the moduli space. This function is a perfect Morse-Bott function and so can be used to calculate the Poincaré polynomial of the moduli

space:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_t(M_{U(2,1)}) &= \sum_{N \in \text{Crit}(f)} \dim(H^i(M_{U(2,1)}; \mathbb{Q}))^i \\
 (2.4) \qquad &= \sum_{N \in \text{Crit}(f)} t^N P_t(N)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over the critical submanifolds N of f , and the index N is the real dimension of the subbundle of the normal bundle of N on which the Hessian of f is negative definite.

In order to carry out the calculation it is therefore necessary to be able to determine the critical submanifolds of f and their indices: a Higgs bundle $(E; \theta)$ is a critical point of f if and only if it is a variation of Hodge structure, i.e., it is of the form

$$E = F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_m$$

where the Higgs field maps F_i to $F_{i+1} \otimes K$. Furthermore, in our case each F_i must be a subbundle of E_1 or E_2 . The Morse indices can be calculated in terms of the invariants of the bundles F_i (see Section 2.5 of [8]): setting $U_k = \bigoplus_{k=i+j} \text{Hom}(F_j; F_i)$, the Morse index at the critical point corresponding to $(E; \theta)$ is

$$(2.5) \qquad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (g-1) \text{rk}(U_k) + (-1)^{k+1} \deg(U_k) :$$

In a similar manner the complex dimension of the critical submanifold containing $(E; \theta)$ is

$$(2.6) \qquad 1 + (g-1) \text{rk}(U_1) + \text{rk}(U_0) + \deg(U_1) - \deg(U_0)$$

and so the complex dimension of the downwards Morse flow of the critical submanifold through $(E; \theta)$ is given by

$$(2.7) \qquad 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (g-1) \text{rk}(U_k) + (-1)^{k+1} \deg(U_k) :$$

From this and the determination below of the critical submanifolds one can easily show that the dimension of the downwards Morse flow is not the same for all critical submanifolds. This is in contrast to the case of moduli spaces of representations in a complex group: it was shown in [8] that in this case the dimension of the downwards Morse flow is exactly half the dimension of the moduli space reflecting two fundamental facts about the moduli space of Higgs bundles: Hausel's theorem [10] that the downwards Morse flow coincides with the nilpotent cone (the fibre over 0 of the Hitchin map) and Laumon's theorem [13] that the nilpotent cone is a Lagrangian submanifold.

3. Critical submanifolds: Bradlow pairs and symmetric products

Next we turn to the detailed analysis of the critical submanifolds. This is analogous to the analysis in [9], where the Bettinumbers for the moduli space of rank 3 Higgs bundles (corresponding to representations of Γ in $SL(3; \mathbb{C})$) were calculated.

Note that a Higgs bundle of the form (2.1) with $c = 0$ cannot be stable since at least one of the Γ -invariant subbundles E_1 and E_2 will violate the stability condition. It follows that a critical point is represented by a chain $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$ of length $m = 2$ or $m = 3$.

It turns out that the length 2 critical points are essentially what is known as holomorphic triples. These are generalizations of Bradlow pairs [1] and were introduced by Garcia-Prada in [7]; they were later studied systematically by Bradlow and Garcia-Prada in [3]. We briefly recall the relevant definitions: a holomorphic triple $(E_1; E_2; \sigma)$ consists of two holomorphic vector bundles E_1 and E_2 and a holomorphic map $\sigma: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$. A holomorphic sub-triple is defined in the obvious way. For $\Gamma \subset R$ the triple $(E_1; E_2; \sigma)$ is said to be Γ -stable if

$$\left(\frac{\text{rk}(E_1^0)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2^0)} + \frac{\text{rk}(E_2^0)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} \right) < \left(\frac{\text{rk}(E_1)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} + \frac{\text{rk}(E_2)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} \right)$$

for any proper non-trivial sub-triple $(E_1^0; E_2^0; \sigma^0)$.

We have the following proposition concerning critical points represented by length 2 chains.

Proposition 3.1. There is one critical submanifold N^2 of M_{d_1, d_2} consisting of length 2 chains. This critical submanifold is isomorphic to the moduli space of Γ -stable holomorphic triples $(E_1; E_2; \sigma)$ where $\deg(E_1) = 2g - 2$, $\text{rk}(E_1) = 2$, $\text{rk}(E_2) = 1$, $\deg(E_2) = 4g - 4 + d_1$, and $\deg(E_2) = d_2$. Furthermore, the Morse index of N^2 is

$$(N^2) = 0;$$

Proof. This is analogous to Proposition 2.9 of [9], (cf. also Theorem 5.2 of [8]): under our assumptions a length 2 chain must have $F_1 = E_2$, $F_2 = E_1$ and $c = 0$; thus setting $E_1 = E_1 - K$, $E_2 = E_2$ and $\sigma = \sigma$ one obtains a holomorphic triple $(E_1; E_2; \sigma)$. One then proves that the stability conditions coincide.

The Morse index is obviously zero from (2.5). $\square$

It remains to determine the Poincaré polynomial of N^2 . As shown by Garcia-Prada in [7], the fact that E_2 is a line bundle implies that there is an isomorphism

$$N^2 \cong M_{\text{pairs}}^{\text{Pic}^{d_2} X} (E_1; E_2; \sigma) \cong M_{\text{pairs}}^{\text{Pic}^{d_2} X} (E_2 - E_1; \sigma); E_2;$$

where M^{pairs} is the moduli space of μ -stable Bradlow pairs $(V; \cdot)$. Hence $P_t(N^2) = (1+t)^{2g} P_t(M^{\text{pairs}})$. The Poincare polynomial of M^{pairs} was, essentially, determined by Thaddeus in [17]: he considered the moduli space of fixed determinant pairs, however (cf. Bradlow, Daskalopoulos and Wentworth [2]), the arguments go through in the case of non-fixed determinant pairs. The result is that N^2 has Poincare polynomial

$$(3.1) \quad P_t(N^2) = \frac{(1+t)^{4g}}{1-t^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2 \deg(V) + 2g - 2 - 4i}}{x^i - 1} \frac{t^{2i+2}}{x - t^2} \frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)};$$

where

$$i = \frac{2d}{3} - 2d_2 + 2g - 2$$

and $V = E_2 - E_1 = E_2 - E_1 - K$ so that

$$\deg(V) = 4g - 4 + d - 3d_2;$$

With regard to the critical points represented by length 3 chains note that these are necessarily of the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= F_1 - F_3 \\ E_2 &= F_2; \end{aligned}$$

where the F_i are line bundles and $\alpha_i: F_i \rightarrow F_{i+1} \rightarrow K$. Note that $c = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} H^0(F_1^{-1} F_2 K)$ and $b = \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} H^0(F_2^{-1} F_3 K)$ and that stability of $(E; \cdot)$ implies that b and c are non-zero. Denote the critical submanifold of length 3 chains $E = F_1 - F_2 - F_3$ with $\deg(F_i) = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ by $N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)$. Clearly, $E_1 = F_1 - F_3$ and $E_2 = F_2$, in particular $\alpha_2 = d_2$. With these preliminaries we have the following description of the length 3 critical submanifolds.

Proposition 3.2. There is an isomorphism

$$N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3) \cong S^{m_1} X \otimes S^{m_2} X \otimes \text{Pic}^2(X) \otimes F_1 - F_2 - F_3; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (c); (b); F_2;$$

where $S^m X$ denotes the m th symmetric product of X and

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= 2g - 2 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1; \\ m_2 &= 2g - 2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_2; \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the Morse index of $N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)$ is

$$N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3) = 2g - 2 + 2\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_3;$$

Proof. It is clear that F_2 and the divisors (b) and (c) determine the bundles F_1 , F_2 and F_3 and the sections b and c up to scalar multiplication. It is easy to check that any two Higgs bundles obtained in

this way are isomorphic and hence the map of the statement of the proposition is an isomorphism.

To calculate the Morse index, one simply applies (2.5), noting that $U_2 = \text{Hom}(F_1; F_3)$ and so $\deg(U) = \alpha_3 - \alpha_1$ and $\text{rk}(U_2) = 1$. $\square$

The Poincaré polynomial of $N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)$ is calculated from MacDonald's formula [14] for the Poincaré polynomial of the symmetric product of an algebraic curve to be

$$(3.2) \quad P_t(N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)) = (1+t)^{2g} \frac{\text{Coe}_{x^{m_1}}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)} \frac{\text{Coe}_{x^{m_2}}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)};$$

where m_1 and m_2 were defined above.

This result is, however, not sufficient: for each value of $d_2 = \alpha_2$ in the range (2.3) we also need to determine the possible values of the invariants α_1 and α_3 (or, equivalently, the invariants m_1 and m_2). To do this, note first that since m_1 and m_2 are degrees of line bundles with non-zero sections, we must have

$$(3.3) \quad m_1 > 0;$$

$$(3.4) \quad m_2 > 0;$$

However, $m_1 - m_2 = 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = 3d_2 - d$ which is strictly positive by (2.3). Hence (3.4) implies (3.3). Secondly, we get from stability applied to the bundles $F_2 - F_3$ and F_3 that

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \frac{2}{3}d;$$

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha_3 < \frac{1}{3}d;$$

In this case (2.3) shows that (3.5) implies (3.6). The former inequality is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad m_2 < 2g - 2 + \frac{2}{3}d - 2d_2;$$

Note that m_1 (and hence α_1 and α_3) can be recovered from m_2 , d and d_2 :

$$m_1 = m_2 + 3d_2 - d;$$

It follows that there is a non empty critical submanifold

$$N^3(m_2) = N^3(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3)$$

for each m_2 satisfying (3.4) and (3.7). It remains to express the Morse index in terms of m_2 ; this is a simple calculation giving

$$(3.8) \quad N^3(m_2) = 2(5g - 5 + d - 3d_2 - 2m_2);$$

We now have all the ingredients required for the calculation of the Poincaré polynomial of $M_{U(2;1)}$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $(d; 3) = 1$. The Poincare polynomial of the component M_{d_1, d_2} of $M_{U(2;1)}$ is

$$(3.9) \quad P_t(M_{d_1, d_2}) = P_t(N^2) + \sum_{m_2=0}^{X^i} t^{2(5g-5+d-3d_2-2m_2)} P_t(N^3(m_2));$$

where $d = d_1 + d_2$, $i = \frac{2d}{3} - 2d_2 + 2g - 2$ and $P_t(N^2)$ and $P_t(N^3(m_2))$ are given by (3.1) and (3.2) respectively.

It seems difficult to simplify further this expression and obtain a closed formula for the Poincare polynomial. On the other hand, we can obtain explicit formulas in low genus. For example, consider $g = 2$ and $d = 1$, then the values allowed by (2.2) for d_2 are $d_2 = 0; 1$, in particular $M_{U(2;1)}$ has two connected components. In the case $d_2 = 1$ (and hence $d_1 = 0$) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad P_t(M_{0;1}) = t^{14} + 8t^{13} + 30t^{12} + 68t^{11} + 105t^{10} + 124t^9 \\ + 128t^8 + 128t^7 + 127t^6 + 120t^5 + 99t^4 + 64t^3 + 29t^2 + 8t + 1$$

(these calculations were performed using the computer algebra system Maple). In the case when $d = 1$ and $d_2 = 0$ (and so $d_1 = 1$) the condition $3d_2 - d > 0$ is not satisfied, however, as noted above, the moduli space is isomorphic to the moduli space for $d = 2$ and $d_2 = 1$, which does satisfy $3d_2 - d > 0$. In this case one obtains

$$(3.11) \quad P_t(M_{1;0}) = 3t^{14} + 28t^{13} + 115t^{12} + 292t^{11} + 528t^{10} + 728t^9 \\ + 795t^8 + 704t^7 + 511t^6 + 308t^5 + 161t^4 + 76t^3 + 30t^2 + 8t + 1;$$

Note in particular that this shows that the two components are non-homomorphic.

4. Fixed determinant bundles and Euler characteristic

The calculation of the Poincare polynomial of $M_{SU(2;1)}$ proceeds in exactly the same manner: again there are connected components $\tilde{M}_{d_1, d_2}$ for d_1 and d_2 such that (1.1) is satisfied. The main difference is that the critical submanifolds N^2 and $N^3(m_2)$ now become pullbacks of 3^{2g} -fold covers of the Jacobian in the same way as in Propositions 2.5 and 3.10 of [9], where the relevant Poincare polynomials were also calculated. The Poincare polynomial of the length 2 critical submanifolds are simply those of the non-fixed determinant case divided by $(1+t)^{2g}$ (the Poincare polynomial of the Jacobian), while in the case of the length 3 critical submanifolds there is an extra term due to the fact that the critical submanifold is a covering of the product of symmetric products of the surface. The calculation of the Morse indices is identical to the non-fixed determinant case. We omit the details and only state the result.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $(d;3) = 1$. The Poincare polynomial of the component M_{d_1, d_2}^0 of $M_{SU(2;1)}$ is

$$(4.1) \quad P_t(M_{d_1, d_2}^0) = P_t(N^2) + \sum_{m_2=0}^{X^i} t^{2(5g-5+d-3d_2-2m_2)} P_t(N^3(m_2));$$

where $d = d_1 + d_2$ and $i = \frac{2d}{3} - 2d_2 + 2g - 2$. The Poincare polynomials $P_t(N^2)$ and $P_t(N^3(m_2))$ are given by

$$(4.2) \quad P_t(N^2) = \frac{(1+t)^{2g}}{1-t^2} \text{Coe}_{x^i} \frac{t^{10g-10+2d-6d_2-4i}}{xt^4-1} \frac{t^{2i+2}}{x-t^2} \frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)};$$

where $i = \frac{2d}{3} - 2d_2 + 2g - 2$, and

$$(4.3) \quad P_t(N^3(m_2)) = \text{Coe}_{x^{m_1}} \frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)} \text{Coe}_{x^{m_2}} \frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)} + \sum_{m_1}^{2g-2} \sum_{m_2}^{2g-2} (3^{2g}-1)t^{m_1+m_2};$$

where $m_1 = m_2 + 3d_2 - d$.

It is interesting to note that the Poincare polynomial of $M_{SU(2;1)}$ is not simply the product of those of the Jacobian and $M_{U(2;1)}$. Another point worthy of note is that $M_{SU(2;1)}$ has non-zero Euler characteristic: from (2.4) and the fact that the Morse indices are even it follows that the Euler characteristic of $M_{SU(2;1)}$ is simply the sum of the Euler characteristics of the critical submanifolds. The formula (4.2) shows that the critical submanifold N^2 has Euler characteristic zero and, since

$$\text{Coe}_{x^{m_i}} \frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-x)(1-xt^2)} = (-1)^{m_i} \sum_{m_i}^{2g-2};$$

(4.3) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} N^3(m_2) &= P_t(N^3(m_2))_{t=1} \\ &= \sum_{m_1}^{2g-2} \sum_{m_2}^{2g-2} 3^{2g} (-1)^{m_1+m_2}; \end{aligned}$$

(This can also be seen directly from the fact that $N^3(m_2)$ is a 3^{2g} -fold covering of $S_{m_1} \times S_{m_2}$.) Noting that $(-1)^{m_1+m_2} = (-1)^{d+d_2}$ we therefore get

$$(4.4) \quad (M_{SU(2;1)}) = \sum_{m_2=0}^{X^i} 3^{2g} (-1)^{d+d_2} \sum_{m_2+3d_2-d}^{2g-2} \sum_{m_2}^{2g-2};$$

Thus, for example, in the case $g = 2$, $d = 1$, we get

$$(M_{0;1}) = 81; \quad (M_{1;0}) = 324;$$

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Oscar García-Prada for useful comments. I benefited from participating in workshops organized by the Vector Bundles on Algebraic Curves group of the European Research Training Network EAGER.

References

- [1] S. B. Bradlow. Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33:169{213, 1991.
- [2] S. B. Bradlow, G. D. Draskapoulos, and R. A. Wentworth. Birational equivalences of vortex moduli. *Topology*, 35:731{748, 1996.
- [3] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada. Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction. *Math. Ann.*, 304:225{252, 1996.
- [4] K. Corlette. Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28:361{382, 1988.
- [5] A. Dominic and D. Toledo. The Gromov norm of the Kähler class of symmetric domains. *Math. Ann.*, 276:425{432, 1987.
- [6] S. K. Donaldson. Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 55:127{131, 1987.
- [7] O. García-Prada. Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs. *Int. J. Math.*, 5:1{52, 1994.
- [8] P. B. Gothen. Components of spaces of representations and stable triples. *Topology*, to appear.
- [9] P. B. Gothen. The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable rank 3 Higgs bundles on a Riemann surface. *Int. J. Math.*, 5:861{875, 1995.
- [10] T. Hausel. Compactification of moduli of Higgs bundles. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 503:169{192, 1998.
- [11] N. J. Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 55:59{126, 1987.
- [12] N. J. Hitchin. Lie groups and Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 31:449{473, 1992.
- [13] G. Laumon. Un analogue global du cône nilpotent. *Duke Math. J.*, 57:647{671, 1988.
- [14] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric products of an algebraic curve. *Topology*, 1:319{343, 1962.
- [15] C. T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 79:867{918, 1994.
- [16] C. T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety II. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 80:5{79, 1994.
- [17] M. Thaddeus. Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula. *Invent. Math.*, 117:317{353, 1994.
- [18] E. Z. Xia. The moduli of flat $PU(2;1)$ structures over Riemann surfaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 195:231{256, 2000.

Departamento de Matemática Pura
 Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto
 4099-002 Porto
 Portugal
 E-mail: pbgothen@fc.up.pt