# Some remarks on $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2, R))$ at root of unity

Pavel Šťovíček

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Nuclear Science, Czech Technical University Trojanova 13, 120 00 Prague, Czech Republic stovicek@km1.fjfi.cvut.cz

#### Abstract

We discuss a modification of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  and a class of its irreducible representations when q is a root of unity.

### 1 Introduction

Nowadays q-deformed universal enveloping algebras  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  are understood in depth in the case when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complex simple Lie algebra belonging to one of the four principal series. The same is true for compact forms of these Lie algebras (see, e.g., monographs [1], [2], [3]). On the other hand, attempts to introduce q-deformed enveloping algebras for non-compact real Lie algebras frequently lead to serious difficulties though several particular cases have been already studied (see, e.g., [4], [5], [6]). In this note we discuss one of the simplest examples with  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  as a real form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . The deformation parameter q is supposed to be a root of unity,

 $q = \exp(\mathrm{i}\pi P/Q),$ 

where  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  is odd,  $P \in \{1, \ldots, Q-1\}$ , and P and Q are relatively prime integers. So  $q^{2j} \neq 1, j = 1, \ldots, Q-1$ , and  $q^{2Q} = 1$ .

We use the standard definition of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$  with the generators  $K, K^{-1}, E, F$ , the defining relations

$$K K^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1, \ K E = q E K, \ K F = q^{-1}F K,$$
  
 $[E, F] = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}(K^2 - K^{-2}),$ 

the comultiplication

 $\Delta K = K \otimes K, \ \Delta E = K \otimes E + E \otimes K^{-1}, \ \Delta F = K \otimes F + F \otimes K^{-1},$ 

the antipode

$$S(K) = K^{-1}, \ S(E) = -q^{-1}E, \ S(F) = -q F,$$

and the counit

$$\varepsilon(K) = 1, \ \varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = 0.$$

A real form is determined by a \*-involution; an element X of a complex Hopf algebra belongs to a real form if and only if  $X^* = S(X)$ . Particularly,  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  is determined by the \*-involution

$$K^* = K, \ E^* = -q^{-1}E, \ F^* = -q F.$$
 (1)

Necessarily, q is a complex unit,  $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ .

Usually it is more convenient to deal with the complexification of a real form. In that case one regards the real form as the original complex Hopf algebra but endowed, in addition, with the \*-involution in question. We shall adopt this point of view and treat  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  as the complex Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$  with the \*-involution (1).

## **2** A modification of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a \*-Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$  generated by  $X, Y, Z, Z^{-1}$ , where

$$X = -i q^{-1} E K^{-1}, \ Y = -i q F K^{-1}, \ Z = K^{-2}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is defined by the relations

$$ZX = q^{-2}XZ, \ ZY = q^{2}YZ, \ q^{-1}XY - qYX = -\frac{1}{q - q^{-1}}(1 - Z^{2}), \ (2)$$

with the comultiplication

$$\Delta Z = Z \otimes Z, \ \Delta X = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes Z, \ \Delta Y = 1 \otimes Y + Y \otimes Z,$$

the antipode

$$S(Z) = Z^{-1}, \ S(X) = -X Z^{-1}, \ S(Y) = -Y Z^{-1},$$

and the counit

$$\varepsilon(Z) = 1, \ \varepsilon(X) = \varepsilon(Y) = 0.$$

Furthermore, all the generators are Hermitian,

$$Z^* = Z, \ X^* = X, \ Y^* = Y.$$

It is also straightforward to check that

$$C = X Y Z^{-1} - \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})^2} (Z^{-1} + q^2 Z)$$

is an Casimir element in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Unfortunately, there exists no non-trivial irreducible representations  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Actually,  $Z^Q$  belongs to the center of  $\mathcal{U}$  and is Hermitian. Thus, by the Schur lemma,  $\rho(Z)^Q = c I$  for some real  $c \neq 0$ . Consequently, the self-adjoint operator  $\rho(Z)$  is a multiple of the identity as well. The commutation relations then imply that  $\rho(X) = \rho(Y) = 0$ ,  $\rho(Z) = \pm I$ .

To improve this situation we propose a modification of  $\mathcal{U}$  that we call here  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$ . As a Hopf algebra,  $\mathcal{U}$  is extended to  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  by adding another generator, T, which satisfies

$$T^2 = 1, \ \Delta T = T \otimes T, \ S(T) = T, \ \varepsilon(T) = 1.$$

A \*-involution on  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  is defined as follows:

$$X^* = T X T, Y^* = T Y T, Z^* = T Z T, T^* = T.$$

So  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  but not a \*-Hopf subalgebra. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{U}$  may be obtained from  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  by specializing T to 1.

### 3 A class of representations of $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$

Next we present a class of irreducible representations of the \*-algebra  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  while the question of a complete classification of irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  is proposed as an open problem. Though it is not excluded that the definition of  $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}$  should be further modified in order to get a reasonable theory. In this section most steps are only outlined with some details omitted.

The representation  $\rho$  depends on an integer parameter  $n \in \{1, 2, ..., Q\}$ and its dimension d equals Q + 1 - n. The matrices  $\rho(X)$ ,  $\rho(Y)$ ,  $\rho(Z)$  are tridiagonal with non-vanishing entries

$$\rho(Z)_{m-1,m} = -(q^2 - q^{-2})q^{2m+n-1}a_m, 
\rho(Z)_{m+1,m} = (q^2 - q^{-2})q^{-2m-n-1}b_{m+1}, 
\rho(Z)_{mm} = (q + q^{-1})c_m,$$
(3)

$$\rho(X)_{m-1,m} = (q+q^{-1})a_m, 
\rho(X)_{m+1,m} = (q+q^{-1})b_{m+1}, 
\rho(X)_{mm} = d_m,$$
(4)

$$\rho(Y)_{m-1,m} = (q+q^{-1})q^{2(2m+n-1)}a_m, 
\rho(Y)_{m+1,m} = (q+q^{-1})q^{-2(2m+n+1)}b_{m+1}, 
\rho(Y)_{mm} = -d_m,$$
(5)

 $m = 0, 1, \dots d - 1$ . Here

$$a_{m} = b_{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{2m+n-1} + q^{-2m-n+1}} \sqrt{\frac{[m]_{q^{2}}[m+n-1]_{q^{2}}}{(q^{2m+n-2} + q^{-2m-n+2})(q^{2m+n} + q^{-2m-n})}},$$

$$c_{m} = \frac{q^{n-1} + q^{-n+1}}{(q^{2m+n-1} + q^{-2m-n+1})(q^{2m+n+1} + q^{-2m-n-1})},$$

$$d_{m} = \frac{q^{n-1} + q^{-n+1}}{(q^{2m+n-1} + q^{-2m-n+1})(q^{2m+n+1} + q^{-2m-n-1})}[2m+n]_{q}.$$

The quantum numbers are defined as usual,

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The matrix  $\rho(T)$  is diagonal,

$$\rho(Z) = \operatorname{diag}(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{d-1})$$

where  $\tau_0 = 1$  and

$$\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}} = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{[m]_{q^2}[m+n-1]_{q^2}}{(q^{2m+n-2}+q^{-2m-n+2})(q^{2m+n}+q^{-2m-n})}\right),\tag{6}$$

 $m=1,2,\ldots,d-1.$ 

Let us remark that a source of difficulties when working with real forms comes from the fact that the deformation parameter q is forced to be a complex unit. In that case the sign  $\tau_m/\tau_{m-1}$  in (6) may equal -1 for particular values of m. Concerning the representation  $\rho$ , it is worth mentioning that the matrix  $\rho((q X - q^{-1}Y)Z^{-1})$  is diagonal and

$$\rho((q X - q^{-1}Y)Z^{-1})_{mm} = [2m + n]_q.$$

The verification of the commutation relations (2) is straightforward. This may be done even in the case when q is generic and the tridiagonal matrices (3), (4), (5) are infinite with m = 0, 1, 2, ... One then finds that relations (2) are satisfied if and only if the the coefficients  $c_m$  obey a recursive equation,

$$(q^{2m+n+3} + q^{-2m-n-3})c_{m+1} - (q+q^{-1})(q^{2m+n} + q^{-2m-n})c_m + (q^{2m+n-3} + q^{-2m-n+3})c_{m+1} = 0,$$

and  $d_m$  and  $a_m b_m$  are expressed in terms of  $c_m$ ,

$$d_{m} = -\frac{(q^{2m+n-1} + q^{-2m-n+1})c_{m} - (q^{2m+n-3} + q^{-2m-n+3})c_{m-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^{2}},$$
  

$$a_{m}b_{m} = (q-q^{-1})^{-4}(q+q^{-1})^{-2} \times (q^{2m+n} + q^{-2m-n})^{-1}(q^{2m+n-2} + q^{-2m-n+2})^{-1} \times ((q^{2m+n+1} + q^{-2m-n-1})^{2}c_{m}^{2} + (q^{2m+n-3} + q^{-2m-n+3})^{2}c_{m-1}^{2} - (q^{2} + q^{-2})(q^{2m+n-3} + q^{-2m-n+3})(q^{2m+n+1} + q^{-2m-n-1})c_{m}c_{m-1} + (q-q^{-1})^{2}).$$

Equivalently,

$$d_m = -\frac{(q^{2m+n+3} + q^{-2m-n-3})c_{m+1} - (q^{2m+n+1} + q^{-2m-n-1})c_m}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$$

To verify the irreducibility we shall show that even the restriction of  $\rho$  to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{U}$  is irreducible. This will become obvious as soon as we prove that  $\rho$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\rho}$  with

$$\tilde{\rho}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x_{d-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\rho}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_{d-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_{d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$x_j = q^{-n-2j+1}[n+j-1]_q, \ y_j = [j]_q, \ z_j = q^{-n-2j}.$$

Note that  $x_j \neq 0, y_j \neq 0$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, d-1$ .

The equivalence in turn follows from a more geometrical realization of the representation  $\rho$  which is closely related to the twisted adjoint action [7], [8]. The vector space  $\mathcal{M}$  of meromorphic functions in variable w on the complex plane becomes a left  $\mathcal{U}$  module with respect to the action

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot f(w) &= -i \frac{q^{-1}w}{q - q^{-1}} \left( q^n f(w) - q^{-n} f(q^{-2}w) \right), \\ Y \cdot f(w) &= i \frac{q^{-n+1}}{(q - q^{-1})w} \left( f(w) - f(q^{-2}w) \right), \\ Z \cdot f(w) &= q^{-n} f(q^{-2}w). \end{aligned}$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\psi_m(w) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (q^{2j+n}w - \mathbf{i})}{\prod_{j=0}^{n+m-1} (q^{2j-2m-n}w + \mathbf{i})}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then the vector space span  $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{d-1}\}, d = Q+1-n$ , may be checked to be  $\mathcal{U}$  invariant. After renormalization of the basis vectors,  $\tilde{\psi}_m = \lambda_m \psi_m$ , with the factors  $\lambda_m$  being determined by  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and

$$\lambda_m = \sqrt{\frac{(q^{2m+n} + q^{-2m-n})[m+n-1]_{q^2}}{(q^{2m+n-2} + q^{-2m-n+2})[m]_{q^2}}} \lambda_{m-1},$$

we get the representation  $\rho$ .

Consider now a point set,

$$M = \{1, q^2, \dots, q^{2Q-2}\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that for any function f, the values of the function  $A \cdot f$  on the set M depend only on the restriction  $f|_M$  where A is any of the generators X, Y or Z. Thus the vector space  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{C}^Q$  of functions on M becomes a  $\mathcal{U}$  module and the restriction map  $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{F} : f \mapsto f|_M$  is a surjective morphism of  $\mathcal{U}$  modules. The representation  $\rho$  corresponds to the submodule  $\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{span}\{\tilde{\psi}_0|_M, \tilde{\psi}_1|_M, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_{d-1}|_M\}$  with the distinguished basis. Omitting the details we claim that another basis in  $\mathcal{R}$  may be chosen as  $\{\phi_0|_M, \phi_1|_M, \ldots, \phi_{d-1}|_M\}$  where

$$\phi_j(w) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^j q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j-1)+nj} w^{-Q+j}.$$

Expressing operators in the latter basis we get the representation  $\tilde{\rho}$ . This proves the equivalence of  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  and consequently that the representation  $\rho$  is irreducible.

#### References

- V. Chari, A. Pressley: A Guide to Quantum Groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [2] A. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen: Quantum Groups and Their Representations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
- [3] A. Joseph: *Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [4] S.L. Woronowicz: Lett. Math. Phys. 23 (1991) 251.
- [5] V.K. Dobrev, P. Moylan: Phys. Lett. B **315** (1993) 292.
- [6] L.I. Korogodsky: Commun. Math. Phys. 163 (1994) 433.
- [7] P. Stovíček: in Proc. Internat. Sympos. Quantum Theory and Symmetries, Goslar 1999 (Eds. H.-D. Doebner et al.), World Scientific, Singapore, 2000, p.470.

 $[8]\,$  P. Šťovíček: Lett. Math. Phys.  ${\bf 47}$  (1999) 125.