

ON SIMILARITY OF PERTURBED MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

R. O. HRYNIV AND YA. V. MYKYTYUK

ABSTRACT. Let S be the multiplication operator by an independent variable x in $L_2(0, 1)$ and V be an integral operator of Volterra type. We find conditions for $T := S + V$ to be similar to S and discuss some generalisations of the results obtained to an abstract setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(0, 1)$, consider the operator S of multiplication by an independent variable, $(Sf)(x) = xf(x)$, and its perturbation $T := S + V$, where

$$(Vf)(x) := \int_0^x v(x, t)f(t) dt. \quad (1)$$

We assume throughout the paper that the kernel v is Lebesgue measurable on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $v(x, t) = 0$ if $x < t$, and that the induced integral operator V is bounded in \mathcal{H} .

Operators of similar type appear, e.g., in the so-called Friedrichs model [1, 2] or in polymerisation chemistry, where T describes the evolution of a polymer system near dynamical equilibrium [3]. In both examples the asymptotic behaviour of the group e^{itT} (in particular, uniform boundedness, or Lyapunov stability, of e^{itT}) is of much importance, which poses a problem of similarity of T to a selfadjoint operator. For the case when $v(x, t) = \phi(x)\psi(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq x \leq 1$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ this problem was studied in detail in the paper [4], where the following result was established.

Theorem A. *Let $\phi\psi \equiv 0$ and suppose that there exist moduli of continuity ω_1, ω_2 such that $\phi \in Lip(\omega_1)$, $\psi \in Lip(\omega_2)$, and*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_1(\tau)\omega_2(\tau)}{\tau} < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Date: October 30, 2018.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A05; Secondary 47G10, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Multiplication operators, integral operators, similarity.

Then the operator T is similar to a selfadjoint one.

Moreover, a sharp analysis of behaviour of the operator T resolvent near the real axis shows the necessity of condition (2) in the sense that if it does not hold, then the operator T need not be similar to a selfadjoint one; in [4] the corresponding examples are constructed for $\omega_1(\tau) = |\ln \tau|^{-\delta_1}$ and $\omega_2(\tau) = |\ln \tau|^{-\delta_2}$ with $\delta_1 + \delta_2 < 1$.

The main aim of this paper is to find conditions under which the perturbed operator T is similar to the unperturbed operator S . We consider the perturbations V of the general form (1) and follow a line of attack due to Friedrichs [1, Ch. II.6]. Namely, we find sufficient conditions for existence of a bounded operator K with spectral radius $r(K)$ smaller than 1 such that

$$T(I + K) = (I + K)S. \quad (3)$$

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the kernel*

$$w(x, t) := \frac{|v(x, t)|}{x - t}, \quad x, t \in [0, 1],$$

generates an integral operator W that is bounded in \mathcal{H} and has spectral radius $r(W)$ less than $1/2$. Then the operators T and S are similar.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that there exists a function $q \in L_1(0, 1)$ such that $w(x, t) \leq q(x - t)$ for all x, t , $0 \leq t < x \leq 1$. Then the operators T and S are similar.*

Note that under the assumptions of Theorem A we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x, t)| &\leq |\phi(x)\psi(t)| + |\phi(t)\psi(x)| = (|\phi(x)| - |\phi(t)|)(|\psi(t)| - |\psi(x)|) \\ &\leq \omega_1(x - t)\omega_2(x - t), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore Corollary 2 applies with $q(\tau) = \omega_1(\tau)\omega_2(\tau)/\tau$ and proves the claim of Theorem A. Corollary 2 also admits kernels v for which the norm

$$\|v\|_\alpha := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \leq t < x \leq 1} (x - t)^{1-\alpha} |v(x, t)|$$

is finite for some $\alpha > 0$; see [5, 6] and references therein for related details on similarity of Volterra operators to fractional integration operators. We remark that the results of [4] imply that the condition $q \in L_1(0, 1)$ cannot be weakened.

In fact, under our approach V need not be an integral operator of the form (1). We allow V from the algebra \mathcal{A} of operators leaving invariant functions with support in $[a, 1]$, for any $a \in [0, 1)$, that are majorised in a certain sense. The corresponding concepts are based on the theory

of nonnegative operators in a Banach space with a positive cone [7] and are developed in Section 2. Abstract results on similarity of the operators T and S are established in Section 3, and then used to prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 in Section 4. Finally, in the last section we comment on some straightforward generalisations of the main results.

Throughout the paper we shall denote by $r(T)$ the spectral radius of a bounded operator T ; recall that $r(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n}$.

2. NONNEGATIVE OPERATORS AND SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

We start by recalling some concepts of linear spaces with a positive cone (see, e.g., [7]). Denote by

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid f(x) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } [0, 1]\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H}) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid A\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H}_+\}$$

the cones of nonnegative elements in \mathcal{H} and nonnegative operators in \mathcal{H} , respectively. As usual, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we write $f \geq g$ and $A \geq B$ if $f - g \in \mathcal{H}_+$ and $A - B \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H})$, respectively. Recall that \mathcal{H}_+ is a generating cone and hence for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ the absolute value $|f|$ exists as an element of \mathcal{H}_+ ; in the present context $|f|$ is the function defined by $|f|(x) = |f(x)|$. The cone $\mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H})$, on the contrary, is not generating and hence the absolute value $|A|$ can not be defined for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We shall point out the class of operators, for which the absolute value is well defined.

An operator B is said to *majorise* A (written $B \succcurlyeq A$ or $A \preccurlyeq B$) if $|Af| \leq B|f|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Evidently, $A \preccurlyeq B$ implies that B is nonnegative, $B \geq \lambda A$ for all λ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$, and that

$$|(Af, g)| \leq (|Af|, |g|) \leq (B|f|, |g|) \leq \|B\| \|f\| \|g\|$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$. Moreover, if B majorises A , then the inequality

$$|A^n f| \leq B|A^{n-1} f| \leq \dots \leq B^{n-1}|Af| \leq B^n|f| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}$$

shows that $A^n \preccurlyeq B^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $r(A) \leq r(B)$.

Put

$$\mathcal{M}(A) := \{B \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H}) \mid B \succcurlyeq A\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \mathcal{M}(A) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$ is a multiplicative cone in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $AB \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$ whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Example 3. Let A and B be integral operators in \mathcal{H} with continuous kernels a and b respectively. Then $B \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ if and only if $|a(x, t)| \leq b(x, t)$ everywhere in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if the kernel $|a|$ induces a bounded integral operator in \mathcal{H} .

To some extent, the above example is generic as any bounded operator in \mathcal{H} is a strong limit of integral operators with continuous kernels. In fact, let r be a smooth nonnegative function such that $\text{supp } r \subset [0, 1]$ and $\int r = 1$. Denote by R_ε the integral operator

$$(R_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_0^1 r_\varepsilon(x-t)f(t) dt, \quad r_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1}r(x/\varepsilon),$$

and for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ put

$$A_\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon A R_\varepsilon.$$

Note that $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow I$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{H} [8, Ch. III.2], whence

$$s\text{-}\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\varepsilon = A.$$

Moreover, since R_ε is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, A_ε is an integral operator with some kernel a_ε (see details in [9]). Denoting by $\delta_\xi(u) := \delta(u - \xi)$ the delta function centered at the point ξ , we see that

$$a_\varepsilon(x, t) = (A_\varepsilon \delta_t, \delta_x) = (AR_\varepsilon \delta_t, R_\varepsilon^* \delta_x) = (Ar_{\varepsilon,t}, \hat{r}_{\varepsilon,x}),$$

where $r_{\varepsilon,t}(u) := r_\varepsilon(u - t)$ and $\hat{r}_{\varepsilon,x}(u) := r_\varepsilon(x - t)$ are continuous functions in t and x respectively with values in \mathcal{H} , whence the kernel a_ε is continuous.

This observation is heavily used to justify the following statement.

Lemma 4. For any $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$, the set $\mathcal{M}(A)$ contains the minimal element $|A|$ called the absolute value of A . In other words, $|A| \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ and $|A| \leq B$ for any $B \in \mathcal{M}(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ and put $A_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon A R_\varepsilon$, $B_\varepsilon := R_\varepsilon B R_\varepsilon$ with the above constructed R_ε . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_\varepsilon f, g)| &= |(AR_\varepsilon f, R_\varepsilon^* g)| \leq (B|R_\varepsilon f|, |R_\varepsilon^* g|) \\ &\leq (BR_\varepsilon |f|, R_\varepsilon^* |g|) = (B_\varepsilon |f|, |g|) \end{aligned}$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, which implies the inequality

$$|a_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq b_\varepsilon(x, t) \tag{4}$$

for the corresponding kernels.

Denote by A_ε^+ the integral operator induced by the kernel $|a_\varepsilon(x, t)|$. Due to inequality (4) the operator A_ε^+ is bounded, $A_\varepsilon^+ \leq B_\varepsilon$, and $\|A_\varepsilon^+\| \leq \|B_\varepsilon\|$. Moreover, $A_\varepsilon^+ \asymp A_\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ by construction. Since

$B_\varepsilon \rightarrow B$ strongly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the norms $\|A_\varepsilon^+\|$ are bounded uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$ and the set $\{A_\varepsilon^+\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is weakly compact in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Consequently there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and an operator A_0^+ such that $A_{\varepsilon_n}^+ \rightarrow A_0^+$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to the limit $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ in $A_\varepsilon^+ \leq B_\varepsilon$ and $A_\varepsilon^+ \succcurlyeq A_\varepsilon$, we find that $A_0^+ \leq B$ and $A_0^+ \succcurlyeq A$. Since $B \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ was arbitrary, these inequalities prove that A_0^+ is the weak limit of A_ε^+ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and that A_0^+ is the desired absolute value $|A|$ of A . \square

Let χ_a denote the multiplication operator by the characteristic function of the interval $[a, 1]$ and

$$\mathcal{A} := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid A\chi_a = \chi_a A\chi_a, \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]\}.$$

\mathcal{A} is clearly a weakly closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Put

$$\mathcal{A}_+ := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{H})$$

and note that $|A| \in \mathcal{A}_+$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Remark 5. *Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}_+$ and $A_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon A R_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then A_ε is an integral operator with a continuous kernel $a_\varepsilon(x, t)$ such that $a_\varepsilon(x, t) = 0$ for $t > x$. Therefore A_ε is a Volterra operator (see, e.g., [10, Sect. 68]) and A is a strong limit of a sequence of Volterra operators.*

The above remark implies the following result.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}_+$; then $[S, A] := SA - AS$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_+ .*

Proof. It suffices to notice that $[S, A_\varepsilon]$ is an integral operator with the nonnegative kernel $(x - t)a_\varepsilon(x, t)$ and hence belongs to \mathcal{A}_+ . \square

3. SIMILARITY OF THE OPERATORS S AND T

In this section, we shall study the question of existence of an operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying equation (3). Denoting $[S, K] := SK - KS$, we rewrite (3) as the equation

$$[S, K] + VK + V = 0$$

and apply a modification of Friedrichs successive approximation method [1, Ch. II.6] to solve the latter.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that $V \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $[S, W] \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ for some $W \in \mathcal{A}_+$. Then the equation*

$$[S, K] = V$$

has a solution $K \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $K \preceq W$.

Proof. Since $[S, W] \succcurlyeq V$, we have $R_\varepsilon[S, W]R_\varepsilon \succcurlyeq R_\varepsilon V R_\varepsilon =: V_\varepsilon$. By Lemma 6 $R_\varepsilon S \leq S R_\varepsilon$, whence

$$R_\varepsilon[S, W]R_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon S W R_\varepsilon - R_\varepsilon W S R_\varepsilon \leq S W_\varepsilon - W_\varepsilon S = [S, W_\varepsilon]$$

and $[S, W_\varepsilon] \succcurlyeq V_\varepsilon$, where $W_\varepsilon = R_\varepsilon W R_\varepsilon$. It follows that the kernels v_ε and w_ε of the operators V_ε and W_ε satisfy the inequality

$$\left| \frac{v_\varepsilon(x, t)}{x - t} \right| \leq w_\varepsilon(x, t);$$

in particular, $V_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote by $K^{(\varepsilon)}$ the integral operator induced by the kernel $v_\varepsilon(x, t)/(x - t)$. By the above inequality $K^{(\varepsilon)}$ is a bounded operator belonging to the algebra \mathcal{A} , $K^{(\varepsilon)} \preceq W_\varepsilon$, and $[S, K^{(\varepsilon)}] = V_\varepsilon$. Therefore the norms $\|K^{(\varepsilon)}\|$ are bounded uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$ and there exist a bounded operator K and a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $K^{(\varepsilon_n)}$ converge weakly to K as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to the limit $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ in the relations

$$S K^{(\varepsilon)} - K^{(\varepsilon)} S = V_\varepsilon, \quad K^{(\varepsilon)} \preceq W_\varepsilon$$

we find that K solves the equation $[S, K] = V$ and satisfies $K \preceq W$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 8. *Suppose that $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_M(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $[S, W] \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ for some $W \in \mathcal{A}_+$. Then the equation*

$$[S, K] = V U$$

has a solution $K \in \mathcal{B}_M(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that $K \preceq W|U$.

Proof. Since $[S, W|U] = [S, W]|U| + W[S, |U|] \geq [S, W]|U| \succcurlyeq V U$ by Lemma 6 and the assumptions of the corollary, Lemma 7 applies with $W|U|$ and $V U$ instead of W and V , and the claim follows. \square

Theorem 9. *Suppose that $V \in \mathcal{A}$ and that there exists an operator $W \in \mathcal{A}_+$ such that $r(W) < 1$ and $[S, W] \succcurlyeq V$. Then the equation*

$$[S, K] + V K + V = 0 \tag{5}$$

has a solution $K \in \mathcal{B}_M(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. We shall seek for K of the form

$$K = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K_n,$$

where K_n are found recursively from the relations

$$[S, K_n] = -V K_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $K_0 := I$. In virtue of Lemma 7 and Corollary 8 we find successively $K_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $K_n \preceq W|K_{n-1}| \preceq W^n$. Therefore $\|K_n\| \leq \|W^n\|$, which shows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ converges absolutely in the uniform operator topology and its sum K satisfies the equality

$$[S, K] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [S, K_n] = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V K_{n-1} = -V K - V.$$

The theorem is proved. □

Corollary 10. *If under the assumptions of Theorem 9 $r(W) < 1/2$, then equation (5) has a solution $K \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{A}$ with $r(K) < 1$.*

Proof. Observe that the solution K constructed in the proof of Theorem 9 satisfies the inequality $K \preceq W(I - W)^{-1}$. Therefore $r(K) \leq r(W(I - W)^{-1})$, and it suffices to note that $r(W(I - W)^{-1}) < 1$ if $r(W) < 1/2$. □

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to notice that the assumptions of Theorem 9 and Corollary 10 are satisfied for the integral operator W with the kernel

$$w(x, t) := \frac{|v(x, t)|}{x - t}.$$

□

Theorem 11. *Suppose that w is a positive kernel on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ such that $w(x, t) = 0$ if $t > x$ and $w(x, t) \leq q(x - t)$ if $x \geq t$, where $q \in L_1(0, 1)$. Then the induced integral operator W is a Volterra operator in \mathcal{H} and $\|W\| \leq \|q\|_1$.*

Proof. We prove first that W is bounded in \mathcal{H} . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x w(x, t) dt &\leq \int_0^x q(x - t) dt = \int_0^x q(t) dt \leq \|q\|_1 \\ \int_t^1 w(x, t) dx &\leq \int_t^1 q(x - t) dx = \int_0^{1-t} q(x) dx \leq \|q\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

whence $\|W\| \leq \|q\|_1$ by the Schur test [9, Theorem 5.2]. Next, put

$$w^{(m)} = \begin{cases} w(x, t) & \text{if } q(x - t) \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{if } q(x - t) > m. \end{cases}$$

Then the induced integral operator $W^{(m)}$ is a Volterra operator in \mathcal{H} (see, e.g., [10, Sect. 68]) and

$$\|W - W^{(m)}\| \leq \int_{q>m} q(t) dt \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in view of $q \in L_1(0, 1)$ and the above arguments. Therefore W is a Volterra operator as well and the lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 2 now easily follows from Theorems 1 and 11.

5. SOME GENERALISATIONS

In this section, we comment on some straightforward generalisations of the main results. Observe first that the arguments of Sections 2 and 3 work for the Banach space $L_p(a, b)$ with $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ arbitrary and any $p \in [1, \infty)$. Next, S can be replaced by the multiplication operator by any increasing function $\phi(x)$. The analogue of Theorem 1 reads as follows.

Theorem 1'. *Suppose that ϕ strictly increases on (a, b) and that the kernel*

$$w(x, t) := \left| \frac{v(x, t)}{\phi(x) - \phi(t)} \right|$$

induces a bounded integral operator in $L_p(a, b)$ of spectral radius less than $1/2$. Then the operators S of multiplication by ϕ and $T := S + V$, where

$$(Vf)(x) := \int_a^x v(x, t)f(t) dt,$$

are similar in $L_p(a, b)$. In particular, S and T are similar if $w(x, t) \leq q(x - t)$ for some $q \in L_1(a, b)$.

Observe also that most results of the paper hold in an arbitrary Banach lattice \mathcal{X} of functions over (a, b) [11] provided the identity operator in \mathcal{X} is the strong limit of integral operators with continuous kernels.

Remark. After this paper was finished, M. M. Malamud drew our attention to his note [12], where the statements of Theorem 1 (under the assumption $r(W) = 0$) and Corollary 2 were announced without proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Friedrichs, K. O. *Perturbation of Spectra in Hilbert Space*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1965.
- [2] Faddeev, L. D. On Friedrichs model in the perturbation theory of continuous spectrum// *Trudy MIAN*. 1964. V. 73. P. 292-313 (Russian).

- [3] Kokholm, N. J. Spectral analysis of perturbed multiplication operators occurring in polymerisation chemistry// *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 1989. V. 113. No.1–2. P. 119–148.
- [4] Naboko S. N. and Tretter C. Lyapunov stability of a perturbed multiplication operator// *Contributions to operator theory in spaces with an indefinite metric. The Heinz Langer anniversary volume on the occasion of his 60th birthday.* Basel: Birkhäuser, 1998. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. V. 106. P. 309–326.
- [5] Freeman, J. M. Volterra operators similar to $J : f(x) \mapsto \int_0^x f(y) dy$ // *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1965. V. 116. P. 181–192.
- [6] Malamud, M. M. Similarity of Volterra operators and related problems in the theory of differential equations of fractional orders// *Trudy Moscov. Mat. Obshch.* 1994. V. 55. P. 73–148 (Russian); transl. in *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 1994. V. 55. P. 57–122.
- [7] Krein M. G., Rutman M. A. Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space// *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 1948. V. 3. No. 1. P. 3–95 (Russian); transl. in *Functional Analysis and Measure Theory*, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. 1962. V. 10. P. 5–105.
- [8] Stein E. M. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
- [9] Halmos, P. R., Sunder V. S. *Bounded Integral Operators on L^2 Spaces.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.
- [10] Riesz F. and Sz.-Nagy B. *Leçons D'analyse Fonctionnelle.* Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1972.
- [11] Schaefer H. H. *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [12] Malamud M. M.// *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 1977. V. 32. No. 5. P. 167. (Russian)

INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED PROBLEMS OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,
 3B NAUKOVA STR., 79601 LVIV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: hryniv@mebm.lviv.ua

LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1 UNIVERSYTETSKA STR., 79602 LVIV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: yamykytyuk@yahoo.com