

Numerical Approximation of Real Functions and One Minkowski's Conjecture on Diophantine Approximations

Nikolaj M. Glazunov

Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics NAS
03187 Ukraine Kiev-187 Glushkov prospekt 40
Email: *glanm@d105.icyb.kiev.ua*

Abstract

In this communication I consider the applications of several kinds of approximations of real functions to the problem of verified computation (reliable computing) of the range of implicitly defined real function $x_{n+1} = G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where dependency $F(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0$ is defined on some compact domain by a sufficiently smooth real function $F(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$. Constructive version of Kolmogorov-Arnold and implicit function theorems, results about floating-point approximation, floating-point approximations which give lower-bound and upper-bound estimates of some real functions, and approximate algebraic computation are used for the purpose. The rigorous theory can be build on the base of analysis on manifolds over floating points domains. In the text we demonstrate our approach on examples.

Introduction

In [1] the notion of Approximate Algebraic Computation (AAC) is formulated and shortly discussed. The subject matter of this talk lies in the area between the geometry of numbers and the analysis of real functions and approximate algebraic computation of the functions. More specifically I want to discuss approximate algebraic computation aspects of relation between the geometry of parametric minima of convex and distance functions and the analysis of functions which determine critical determinants. Let

$$|\alpha x + \beta y|^p + |\gamma x + \delta y|^p \leq c |\det(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)|^{p/2},$$

be a diophantine inequality defined for a given real $p > 1$; hear $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are real numbers with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$. H. Minkowski in his monograph [13] raise the question about minimum constant c such that the inequality has integer solution other than origin. This Minkowski's problem can be reformulated as a conjecture concerning the critical determinant of the region $|x|^p + |y|^p \leq 1$, $p > 1$. Mentioned mathematical problems are closely connected with Diophantine Approximation.

Also I want to proposed algorithms for approximate algebraic computation. By the computation of the algorithms A. Malishev and I have investigated the Minkowski's conjecture and proposed the strengthen Minkowski's analytic (MAS) conjecture. For verified computation (reliable computing) we used interval analyses. Methods and algorithms for interval evaluation of explicitly and implicitly defined real functions are used. In the paper we briefly consider following topics:

critical determinant of a body and diophantine approximation;

the problem;

Minkowski's analytic conjecture;

Kolmogorov-Arnold's theorem;

computer experiments and strengthen Minkowski's analytic conjecture;

interval-analytic methods;

algorithms for approximate algebraic computation and implementation.

2 Critical Determinant of a Body and Diophantine Approximation

Critical determinant is one of the main notion of the Geometry of Numbers. Recall the definitions [2]. Let \mathcal{D} be a set and Λ be a lattice with base $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ in \mathbf{R}^n . A lattice Λ is *admissible* for body \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D} – *admissible*) if $\mathcal{D} \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ or 0 . Let $d(\Lambda)$ be the determinant of Λ . The infimum $\Delta(\mathcal{D})$ of determinants of all lattices admissible for \mathcal{D} is called *the critical determinant* of \mathcal{D} ; if there is no \mathcal{D} –admissible lattices then puts $\Delta(\mathcal{D}) = \infty$. A lattice Λ is *critical* if $d(\Lambda) = \Delta(\mathcal{D})$.

Critical determinant is closely connected with diophantine approximation, solving inequalities $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) < c$ in integer numbers x_1, \dots, x_n (with some restrictions, for instance, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$). Usually in the geometry of numbers the function $F(x)$ is a distance function. A real function $F(x)$ defined on \mathbf{R}^n is *distance function* if

(i) $F(x) \geq 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n, F(0) = 0$;

(ii) $F(x)$ is continuous;

(iii) $F(x)$ is homogenous: $F(\lambda x) = \lambda F(x), \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

The problem of solving of diophantine inequality $F(x) < c$, with a distance function F are investigated.

Let \overline{M} be the closure of a set M and $\#P$ be the number of elements of a finite set P . An open set $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a *star body* if S includes the origin of \mathbf{R}^n and for any ray r beginning in the origin $\#(r \cap (\overline{M} \setminus M)) \leq 1$. If $F(x)$ is a distance function then the set

$$M_F = \{x : F(x) < 1\}$$

is a star body.

One of the main particular case of a distance function is the case of convex symmetrical function $F(x)$ which with conditions (i) - (iii) satisfies the additional conditions

- (iv) $F(x + y) \leq F(x) + F(y)$;
- (v) $F(-x) = F(x)$.

3 The Problem

In considering the question of the minimum value taken by the expression $|x|^p + |y|^p$, with $p \geq 1$, at points, other than the origin, of a lattice Λ of determinant $d(\Lambda)$, Minkowski [13] shows that the problem of determining the maximum value of the minimum for different lattices may be reduced to that of finding the minimum possible area of a parallelogram with one vertex at the origin and the three remaining vertices on the curve $|x|^p + |y|^p = 1$. The problem with $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ is trivial: in these cases the minimum areas are $1/2$, $\sqrt{3}/2$ and 1 respectively. Let $D_p \subset \mathbf{R}^2 = (x, y)$, $p > 1$ be the 2-dimension region:

$$|x|^p + |y|^p < 1.$$

Let $\Delta(D_p)$ be the critical determinant of the region. Using analytic parameterization Cohn [3] gives analytic formulation of Minkowski's conjecture. Let

$$\Delta(p, \sigma) = (\tau + \sigma)(1 + \tau^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}}(1 + \sigma^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1)$$

be the function defined in the domain

$$D_p : \infty > p > 1, 1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_p = (2^p - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

of the $\{p, \sigma\}$ plane, where σ is some real parameter; here $\tau = \tau(p, \sigma)$ is the function uniquely determined by the conditions

$$A^p + B^p = 1, 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_p,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(p, \sigma) = (1 + \tau^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - (1 + \sigma^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ B &= B(p, \sigma) = \sigma(1 + \sigma^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} + \tau(1 + \tau^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

τ_p is defined by the equation

$$2(1 - \tau_p)^p = 1 + \tau_p^p, 0 \leq \tau_p \leq 1.$$

In this case needs to extend the notion of parameter variety to parameter manifold. The function $\Delta(p, \sigma)$ in region D_p determines the parameter manifold.

Minkowski's analytic conjecture:

For any real p and τ with conditions $p > 1$, $p \neq 2$, $0 < \tau < \tau_p$

$$\Delta(p, \sigma) > \min(\Delta(p, 1), \Delta(p, \sigma_p)).$$

For investigation of properties of function $\Delta(p, \sigma)$ which are need for proof of Minkowski's conjecture [13, 3] we considered the value of $\Delta = \Delta(p, \sigma)$ and its derivatives $\Delta'_\sigma, \Delta''_{\sigma^2}, \Delta'_p, \Delta''_{\sigma p}, \Delta'''_{\sigma^2 p}$ on some subdomains of the domain D_p [9, 10, 11, 12]. The analytical computation of the derivatives is a problem of computer algebra.

4 The Theorem of Kolmogorov-Arnold

Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0, n > 1$ be a sufficiently smooth real function of two variables. The rough form of the theorem of Kolmogorov-Arnold states that:

Theorem (Kolmogorov, Arnold)

Any sufficiently smooth real function can be represented as a superposition of functions of two variables.

Let us demonstrate the constructive version of the theorem on examples of function $\Delta = \Delta(p, \sigma, \tau)$ and it's derivatives. At first expressing $\Delta'_\sigma, \Delta''_{\sigma^2}, \Delta'_p, \Delta''_{\sigma p}, \Delta'''_{\sigma^2 p}$ in terms of a sum of derivatives of "atoms" $s_i = \sigma^{p-i}, t_i = \tau^{p-i}, a_i = (1 + \sigma^p)^{-i-\frac{1}{p}}, b_i = (1 + \tau^p)^{-i-\frac{1}{p}}, A = b_0 - a_0, B = \tau b_0 + \sigma a_0, \alpha_i = A^{p-i}, \beta_i = B^{p-i} (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. Then by the implicit function theorem computing $\tau = \tau(p, \sigma)$ by means of the following iteration process:

$$\tau_{i+1} = (1 + \tau_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} ((1 - ((1 + \tau_i^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - (1 + \sigma^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}})^p - \sigma(1 + \sigma^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}}),$$

For approximate computation of the expression for τ_p we apply the following iteration:

$$(\tau_p)_{i+1} = 1 - (2^{-\frac{1}{p}})(1 + (\tau_p)_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, p > 1, (\tau_p)_0 \in [0, 0.36].$$

So we really have represented the function Δ as the function $\Delta(p, \sigma)$ of two variables. The same fact is true for it's derivatives Now we can compute expressions for $\Delta, \Delta'_\sigma, \Delta''_{\sigma^2}, \Delta'_p, \Delta''_{\sigma p}, \Delta'''_{\sigma^2 p}$ by means of approximate algebraic computations.

5 Strengthen Minkowski's analytic conjecture

Based on some theoretical evidences and results of mentioned computation A.V. Malishev and author proposed

Strengthen Minkowski's analytic (MAS) conjecture:

For given $p > 1$ and increasing σ from 0 to σ_p the function $\Delta(p, \sigma)$

- 1) increase strictly monotonous if $1 < p < 2$ and $p \geq p^{(1)}$,
- 2) decrease strictly monotonous if $2 \leq p \leq p^{(2)}$,
- 3) has a unique maximum on the segment $(1, \sigma_p)$; until the maximum $\Delta(p, \sigma)$ increase strictly monotonous and then decrease strictly monotonous if $p^{(2)} < p < p^{(1)}$;
- 4) constant, if $p = 2$,

here $p^{(1)} > 2$ is a root of equation $\Delta''_{\sigma^2}|_{\sigma=\sigma_p} = 0$; $p^{(2)} > 2$ is a root of equation $\Delta''_{\sigma^2}|_{\sigma=1} = 0$.

It is seems that conjecture (MAS) did not proven for any parameter p except trivial $p = 2$.

6 Interval-analytic methods

Let $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = ([\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \overline{x}_n])$ be the n -dimensional real interval vector with $\underline{x}_i \leq x_i \leq \overline{x}_i$ ("rectangle" or "box"). The *interval evaluation* of a function $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on an interval \mathbf{X} is the interval $[\underline{G}, \overline{G}]$ such that for any $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $G(x) \in [\underline{G}, \overline{G}]$. The interval evaluation is called *optimal* if $\underline{G} = \min G$, and $\overline{G} = \max G$ on the interval \mathbf{X} .

In the communication I consider the case $n = 2$ ¹ It is sufficient for Minkowski's conjecture. For the purpose we used modified variant of the method [7] which we called

Malyshev's Method:

Let D be a subdomain of D_p . Under evaluation in D a mentioned function the domain is covered by rectangles of the form

$$[\underline{p}, \overline{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}].$$

In the case of formula (1) expressing Δ'_σ , Δ''_{σ^2} , Δ'_p , $\Delta''_{\sigma p}$, $\Delta'''_{\sigma^2 p}$ in terms of a sum of derivatives of "atoms" $s_i = \sigma^{p-i}$, $t_i = \tau^{p-i}$, $a_i = (1 + \sigma^p)^{-i-\frac{1}{p}}$, $b_i = (1 + \tau^p)^{-i-\frac{1}{p}}$, $A = b_0 - a_0$, $B = \tau b_0 + \sigma a_0$, $\alpha_i = A^{p-i}$, $\beta_i = B^{p-i}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). one applies the rational interval evaluation to construct formulas for lower bounds and upper bounds of the functions, which in the end can be expressed in terms of \underline{p} , \overline{p} , $\underline{\sigma}$, $\overline{\sigma}$, $\underline{\tau}$, $\overline{\tau}$; here the bounds $\underline{\tau}$, $\overline{\tau}$, are obtained with the help of the iteration process:

$$\underline{t}_{i+1} = (1 + \underline{t}_i^{\overline{p}})^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} ((1 - ((1 + \underline{t}_i^{\overline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} - (1 + \overline{\sigma}^{\underline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\underline{p}}})^{\underline{p}}) - \overline{\sigma}(1 + \overline{\sigma}^{\underline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}),$$

$$\overline{t}_{i+1} = (1 + \overline{t}_i^{\underline{p}})^{\frac{1}{\overline{p}}} ((1 - ((1 + \overline{t}_i^{\underline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\overline{p}}} - (1 + \underline{\sigma}^{\overline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\overline{p}}})^{\overline{p}}) - \underline{\sigma}(1 + \underline{\sigma}^{\overline{p}})^{-\frac{1}{\overline{p}}}).$$

¹By the result of A. Kolmogorov and V. Arnold, any sufficiently smooth real function can be represented as a superposition of functions of two variables.

$$i = 0, 1, \dots$$

As interval computation is the enclosure method, we have to put:

$$[\underline{\tau}, \bar{\tau}] = [\underline{\tau}_N, \bar{\tau}_N] \bigcap [\underline{\tau}_0, \bar{\tau}_0] .$$

N is computed on the last step of the iteration.

For initial values we may take : $[\underline{\tau}_0, \bar{\tau}_0] = [\underline{\tau}_0, \bar{\tau}_0] = [0, 0.36]$.

7 Algorithms for approximate algebraic computation

Below each of 6 first algorithms has 4 different forms:

- (i) approximate algebraic computation of the given expression in a given point at the floating point representation;
- (ii) approximate algebraic computation of a lower-bound estimate of the given expression in a given point at the floating point representation;
- (iii) approximate algebraic computation of an upper-bound estimate of the given expression in a given point at the floating point representation;
- (iv) approximate algebraic computation of an interval evaluation of the given expression over given intervals at the floating point representation;

Here we give names, input and output of algorithms for interval evaluation only.

But all algorithms for Minkowski conjecture are implemented and tested.

Algorithm *TPV*

Input: An implicitly defined function τ_p from Paragraphs 3 and 6.

$[\underline{p}, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of τ_p .

Algorithm *TAUV*

Input: Implicitly defined function τ from Paragraphs 3 and 6.

$[\underline{p}, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of τ .

Algorithm *L0V*

Input: Function $l^0 = \Delta(p, \sigma) - \Delta_p^{(0)}$ from Paragraphs 3 and 6.

$[\underline{p}, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of l^0 .

Algorithm *L1V*

Input: Function $l^1 = \Delta(p, \sigma) - \Delta_p^{(1)}$ from Paragraphs 3 and 6.

$[\underline{p}, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of l^1 .

Algorithm GV

Input: A function $g(p, \sigma)$ which has the same sign as function Δ'_σ .
Interval $[p, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of $g(p, \sigma)$.

Algorithm HV

Input: A function $h(p, \sigma)$ which is the partial derivative by σ the function $g(p, \sigma)$.

Interval $[p, \bar{p}; \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}]$.

Method: Shortly described in Paragraphs 3 and 6.

Output: The interval evaluation of $h(p, \sigma)$.

Next two algorithms are described in [8].

Algorithm $MonotoneFunction$

Input: A real function $F(x, y)$ monotonous by x and by y .

Interval $[\underline{x}, \bar{x}; \underline{y}, \bar{y}]$.

Output: The interval evaluation of F .

Algorithm $RationalFunction$

Input: A rational function $R(x, y)$. Interval $[\underline{x}, \bar{x}; \underline{y}, \bar{y}]$.

Output: The interval evaluation of R .

Implementation

Algorithms are implemented on PL/1(O) and (partly) on C and C++. There is also an implementation of some of the algorithms on Reduce3.3-3.X in T. Sasaki's package [14] of arbitrary precision rational arithmetic.

Acknowledgments

Author thanks to Prof. Matu-Tarow Noda for his proposition to present the text as an electronic publication in the frame of the IMACS-ACA session "Approximate Algebraic Computation".

References

- [1] Approximate Algebraic Computation: towards Symbolic-Numeric Algorithms. (Session Organizers: Robert Corless, Bernard Mourrain, Matu-Tarow Noda, Tateaki Sasaki) <http://www.hpc.cs.ehime-u.ac.jp/~noda>

- [2] Cassels, J.: An Introduction to the Geometry of Numbers Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.
- [3] Cohn, H.: Minkowski's conjectures on critical lattices in the metric $\{|\xi|^p + |\eta|^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Annals of Math. (2), **51** (1950) 734–738.
- [4] Davis, C.: Note on a conjecture by Minkowski. Journ. of the London Math. Soc., **23** 3 (1948) 172–175.
- [5] Faltings, G.: Recent progress in diophantine geometry. LNM, **1525** (1991) 78–86.
- [6] Glazunov, N.: Moduli, periods, modular symbols and how to compute them. Braket, Stockholm Univ., 23 Oktober, (1998) 3
- [7] Malyshev, A.: Application of computers to the proof of a conjecture of Minkowski's from geometry of numbers. 1 Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI, **71** (1977) 163–180
- [8] Glazunov, N.: On some interval-algebraic methods for verification of dynamical systems. *Cybernetics and Computer Technologies*, 109 (1997), 15–23
- [9] Glazunov, N.: On Computer Assisted Proofs of Minkowsky's Conjecture, *SIAM-95 Annual Meeting, Charlott, NC, USA, 1995*.
- [10] Glazunov, N.: On Verified Variant of Malishev's Method of Proof of Minkowski's Conjecture Concerning Critical Determinant of the Region $|x|^p + |y|^p < 1, p > 1$. Int. Conf. "Algebraic, Probabilistic, Geometric, Combinatoric and Functional Methods in Number Theory" Voronej State University, Voronej, Russia (1995) 39
- [11] Glazunov, N.: The Proof Theorems on a Computer: Interval Analytic Aspects. Numerical Analysis with Automatic Result Verification. Abstracts of an International Conference. Lafayette. Louisiana. (1993) 27
- [12] Glazunov, N., Golovanov, A., Malyshev, A.: Proof of Minkowski hypothesis about critical determinant of $|x|^p + |y|^p < 1$ domain, Research in the number theory.9. Notes of scientific seminars of LOMI. **151** Leningrad: Nauka. (1986) 40–53
- [13] Minkowski, H.: Diophantische Approximationen. Teubner, Leipzig, 1907.
- [14] Sasaki T.: An arbitrary precision real arithmetic package Reduce. *Lecture Notes Computer Sci.- 1979. N 72, pp.358-368*.

- [15] Watson, G.: Minkowski's conjecture on the critical lattices of the region $|x|^p + |y|^p \leq 1$ (I), (II). Journ. of the London Math. Soc. **28** 3, 4 (1953) 305–309, 402–410