

# Deformation cohomology for Yetter-Drinfel'd modules and Hopf (bi)modules

Florin Panaite

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy  
P. O. Box 1-764, RO-70700, Bucharest, Romania  
e-mail: fpanaite@stoilow.imar.ro

Dragoş Ştefan

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest  
Str. Academiei 14, RO-70109, Bucharest 1, Romania  
e-mail: dstefan@al.math.unibuc.ro

## 1 Introduction

If  $A$  is a bialgebra over a field  $k$ , a left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module over  $A$  is a  $k$ -linear space  $M$  which is a left  $A$ -module, a right  $A$ -comodule and such that a certain compatibility condition between these two structures holds. Yetter-Drinfel'd modules were introduced by D. Yetter in [18] under the name of “crossed bimodules” (they are called “quantum Yang-Baxter modules” in [5]; the present name is taken from [10]). If  $A$  is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra then the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd modules is equivalent to the category of left modules over  $D(A)$ , the Drinfel'd double of  $A$  (see [6], [9]), even as braided tensor categories, and also to the category of Hopf bimodules over  $A$  (see [1], [11], [12], [17]). An important class of examples occurs as follows: if  $M$  is a finite dimensional vector space and  $R \in \text{End}(M \otimes M)$  is a solution to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, then the so-called “FRT construction” (see for instance [2]) associates to  $R$  a certain bialgebra  $A(R)$ , and  $M$  becomes a left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module over  $A(R)$  (see [5], [9]).

In this paper we introduce a cohomology theory for left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. If  $A$  is a bialgebra and  $M, N$  are left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over  $A$ , we construct a double complex  $\{Y^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  whose total cohomology is the desired cohomology  $H^*(M, N)$ . For  $M = N = k$ , this cohomology

is just the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of the bialgebra  $A$ . In general, we prove that  $H^0(M, N)$  is  $Hom(M, N)$  in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules, and  $H^1(M, N)$  is isomorphic to the group  $Ext^1(M, N)$  of extensions of  $M$  by  $N$  in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules; in particular, if  $A$  is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, this implies that  $H^1(M, N) \simeq Ext_{D(A)}^1(M, N)$ , where  $D(A)$  is the Drinfel'd double of  $A$ , and we raise the problem whether  $H^n(M, N) \simeq Ext_{D(A)}^n(M, N)$  for any  $n \geq 2$ .

Similarly, we construct a cohomology theory  $H^*(M, N)$  for  $M, N$  being this time left-right Hopf modules over a bialgebra  $A$ , as the total cohomology of a certain double complex  $\{C^{n,p}(M, N)\}$ . We prove that this cohomology vanishes if  $M$  and  $N$  are of the form  $M = V \otimes A$  and  $N = W \otimes A$  for some linear spaces  $V, W$  (in particular, this cohomology vanishes if the bialgebra  $A$  has a skew antipode, since in this case any left-right Hopf module over  $A$  is of this form). Finally, motivated by the recent work [15] of R. Taillefer, we consider the case when  $M$  and  $N$  are not only left-right Hopf modules, but even Hopf bimodules, and we construct a subbicomplex  $\{T^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  of the above  $\{C^{n,p}(M, N)\}$ , yielding a cohomology theory for Hopf bimodules, similar to the one introduced in [15]. It is likely that these two cohomologies are isomorphic, at least when  $A$  is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra.

Let us finally mention that our cohomology theories classify deformations of the corresponding structures, in the sense of Gerstenhaber's deformation theory (see [3]).

Throughout,  $k$  will be a fixed field and all linear spaces, algebras etc. will be over  $k$ . Unadorned  $\otimes$  and  $Hom$  are also over  $k$ . If  $V$  is a  $k$ -linear space and  $n$  is a natural number, we denote  $V^{\otimes n}$  by  $V^n$ . For bialgebras and Hopf algebras we refer to [7], [13]; we shall use Sweedler's sigma notation  $\Delta(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2$ ,  $\Delta_2(a) = \sum a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3$  etc.

## 2 Cohomology for Yetter-Drinfel'd modules

Let  $A$  be a bialgebra with multiplication  $\mu$  and comultiplication  $\Delta$  and  $(M, \omega_M, \rho_M)$  a left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module over  $A$ , that is  $(M, \omega_M)$  is a left  $A$ -module (we denote by  $\omega_M(a \otimes m) = a \cdot m$  the left  $A$ -module structure of  $M$ ),  $(M, \rho_M)$  is a right  $A$ -comodule (we denote by  $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ ,  $\rho_M(m) = \sum m_0 \otimes m_1$  the comodule structure of  $M$ ), and the following compatibility condition holds:

$$\sum (a_2 \cdot m)_0 \otimes (a_2 \cdot m)_1 a_1 = \sum a_1 \cdot m_0 \otimes a_2 m_1 \quad (1)$$

for all  $a \in A, m \in M$ . Let also  $(N, \omega_N, \rho_N)$  be another left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module, with the same kind of notation.

For any natural numbers  $n, p \geq 0$ , we denote

$$Y^{n,p}(M, N) = \text{Hom}(A^n \otimes M, N \otimes A^p)$$

If  $f \in Y^{n,p}(M, N)$ ,  $a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n \in A$ ,  $m \in M$ , we shall denote

$$\begin{aligned} f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) &= \sum f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^0 \otimes \\ &\otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^p \end{aligned}$$

For any  $n, p \geq 0$  and for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$ , define  $b_i^{n,p} : Y^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow Y^{n+1,p}(M, N)$ , by:

- $b_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = \sum (a^1)_1 \cdot f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^0 \otimes$   
 $\otimes (a^1)_2 f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^1)_{p+1} f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^p$
- $b_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$

- $b_{n+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = \sum f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes (a^{n+1})_{p+1} \cdot m)^0 \otimes$   
 $\otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes (a^{n+1})_{p+1} \cdot m)^1 (a^{n+1})_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes (a^{n+1})_{p+1} \cdot m)^p (a^{n+1})_p$

Define now

$$d_m^{n,p} : Y^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow Y^{n+1,p}(M, N), \quad d_m^{n,p} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i b_i^{n,p}$$

Then one can prove, case by case, that for any  $0 \leq i < j \leq n+2$  the following relation holds:

$$b_j^{n+1,p} \circ b_i^{n,p} = b_i^{n+1,p} \circ b_{j-1}^{n,p}$$

and using this relation it follows that

$$d_m^{n+1,p} \circ d_m^{n,p} = 0$$

for all  $n, p \geq 0$ .

Now, for any  $n, p \geq 0$  and for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p+1$ , define  $c_i^{n,p} : Y^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow Y^{n,p+1}(M, N)$ , by:

- $c_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum (f((a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2 \otimes m)^0)_0 \otimes$   
 $\otimes (f((a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2 \otimes m)^0)_1 (a^1)_1 \dots (a^n)_1 \otimes$   
 $\otimes f((a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2 \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes f((a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2 \otimes m)^p$

- $c_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^0 \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^i)_1 \otimes (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^i)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^p$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$

- $c_{p+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum f((a^1)_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_1 \otimes m_0) \otimes (a^1)_2 \dots (a^n)_2 m_1$

Define

$$d_c^{n,p} : Y^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow Y^{n,p+1}(M, N), \quad d_c^{n,p} = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i c_i^{n,p}$$

Then one can prove, case by case, that for any  $0 \leq i < j \leq p+2$  the following relation holds:

$$c_j^{n,p+1} \circ c_i^{n,p} = c_i^{n,p+1} \circ c_{j-1}^{n,p}$$

and from this relation it follows that

$$d_c^{n,p+1} \circ d_c^{n,p} = 0$$

for all  $n, p \geq 0$ .

Also, one can prove, case by case, that for any  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq p+1$ , the following relation holds:

$$c_j^{n+1,p} \circ b_i^{n,p} = b_i^{n,p+1} \circ c_j^{n,p}$$

Note that for the cases  $j = p+1, i = n+1$  and  $j = 0, i = 0$  one has to use the Yetter-Drinfel'd module condition (1), and these are the only two places where this condition is used.

Using this relation, it follows immediately that

$$d_c^{n+1,p} \circ d_m^{n,p} = d_m^{n,p+1} \circ d_c^{n,p}$$

for all  $n, p \geq 0$ .

In conclusion,  $(Y^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  is a double complex. We shall denote by  $H^n(M, N)$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , the cohomology of the total complex associated to this double complex.

It is easy to see that  $H^0(M, N)$  is the set of morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. Also, it is easy to see that  $H^1(M, N) = Z^1(M, N)/B^1(M, N)$ , where  $Z^1(M, N)$  is the set of all pairs  $(\omega', \rho') \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(M, N \otimes A)$  that satisfy the relations:

- $\omega' \circ (id \otimes \omega_M) + \omega_N \circ (id \otimes \omega') = \omega' \circ (\mu \otimes id)$

- $(\rho' \otimes id) \circ \rho_M + (\rho_N \otimes id) \circ \rho' = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \rho'$
- $(\omega' \otimes \mu) \circ (id \otimes \tau_M \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \rho_M) + (\omega_N \otimes \mu) \circ (id \otimes \tau_N \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \rho') = (id \otimes \mu) \circ (\rho' \otimes id) \circ \tau_M \circ (id \otimes \omega_M) \circ (\Delta \otimes id) + (id \otimes \mu) \circ (\rho_N \otimes id) \circ \tau_N \circ (id \otimes \omega') \circ (\Delta \otimes id)$

where  $\tau_M : A \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ ,  $\tau_M(a \otimes m) = m \otimes a$  (and similarly for  $\tau_N$ ), and  $B^1(M, N)$  is the set of all pairs  $(d_m(f), d_c(f)) \in Hom(A \otimes M, N) \oplus Hom(M, N \otimes A)$ , with  $f \in Hom(M, N)$ , and

- $d_m(f) = \omega_N \circ (id \otimes f) - f \circ \omega_M$
- $d_c(f) = \rho_N \circ f - (f \otimes id) \circ \rho_M$

Now, the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules is abelian, so we can consider the abelian group  $Ext^1(M, N)$ , which is the set of equivalence classes of extensions of  $M$  by  $N$  in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules, the group law being the Baer sum (see [16], pp. 78-79).

If  $(\omega', \rho') \in Hom(A \otimes M, N) \oplus Hom(M, N \otimes A)$ , denote by  $N \oplus_{(\omega', \rho')} M$  the  $k$ -linear space  $N \oplus M$ , endowed with a left multiplication and a right comultiplication, as follows:

- $a \cdot (n, m) = (a \cdot n + \omega'(a \otimes m), a \cdot m)$
- $\lambda : N \oplus M \rightarrow (N \oplus M) \otimes A \simeq (N \otimes A) \oplus (M \otimes A)$

$$\lambda((n, m)) = \rho_N(n) + \rho'(m) + \rho_M(m)$$

Then one can check, by a direct computation, that  $N \oplus_{(\omega', \rho')} M$  with these structures is a left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module if and only if the pair  $(\omega', \rho')$  belongs to  $Z^1(M, N)$ . Moreover, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \oplus_{(\omega', \rho')} M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is an extension of  $M$  by  $N$  in the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules, and any extension of  $M$  by  $N$  is equivalent to one of this form. If  $(\omega', \rho'), (\omega'', \rho'') \in Z^1(M, N)$ , then one can also check that the two extensions determined by these pairs are equivalent if and only if  $(\omega', \rho') - (\omega'', \rho'') \in B^1(M, N)$ . So, we have a bijection

$$H^1(M, N) \simeq Ext^1(M, N)$$

and one can prove that this is actually a group isomorphism. In conclusion, we have the following

**Proposition 1** *The groups  $H^1(M, N)$  and  $Ext^1(M, N)$  are isomorphic.*

In particular, if  $A$  is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, it is well-known that the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over  $A$  is isomorphic to the category of left modules over the Drinfel'd double of  $A$  (see [6], [9]), so in this

case we have a group isomorphism  $H^1(M, N) \simeq Ext_{D(A)}^1(M, N)$ . It is natural to ask the following

*Question:* Is it true that  $H^n(M, N) \simeq Ext_{D(A)}^n(M, N)$  for any  $n \geq 2$ ?

**Example 2** Let  $M = N = k$  with trivial Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure over the bialgebra  $A$ . In this case the double complex  $(Y^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  becomes:

- $Y^{n,p}(k, k) = Hom(A^n, A^p)$  for all  $n, p \geq 0$
- $b_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1}) = a^1 \cdot f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1})$
- $b_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1}) = f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1})$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$

- $b_{n+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1}) = f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) \cdot a^{n+1}$

where the dots represent the canonical (diagonal) left and right  $A$ -module structures on  $A^p$

- $c_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) = \sum (a^1)_1 \dots (a^n)_1 \otimes f((a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2)$
- $c_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) = (id \otimes id \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta \otimes id \otimes \dots \otimes id)(f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n))$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , where  $\Delta$  is applied on the  $i^{th}$  position

- $c_{p+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) = \sum f((a^1)_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_1) \otimes (a^1)_2 \dots (a^n)_2$

and this is the double complex that gives the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology  $H_b^*(A, A)$  of the bialgebra  $A$  (see [4], [8]).

**Remark 3** A positive answer to the above question would imply that for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra  $A$  we have  $H_b^*(A, A) \simeq Ext_{D(A)}^*(k, k)$ , and this would give another proof for the vanishing of the “hat” Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology of a semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebra (let us note that the original proof in [14] uses also the Drinfel'd double of  $A$ ).

**Remark 4** If  $A$  is finite dimensional and the field  $k$  is algebraically closed, there exists a geometric interpretation of  $H^1(M, M)$  for any finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfel'd module  $M$  (actually, it is this geometric approach who suggested how to define  $H^1(M, M)$ ), similar to the one for the “hat” Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomology given in [14], that we shall now briefly describe (the proofs are similar to the ones in [14]). Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -linear space, consider the affine algebraic variety  $\mathcal{A} = Hom(A \otimes M, M) \times Hom(M, M \otimes A)$ , and define  $\mathcal{YD}(M)$  to be the set of all pairs  $(\omega, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(M, \omega, \rho)$  is a

left-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module over  $A$ . Since the Yetter-Drinfel'd conditions are polynomial,  $\mathcal{YD}(M)$  is a subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, if we take a Yetter-Drinfel'd module  $(M, \omega, \rho)$  (that is, a point  $(\omega, \rho) \in \mathcal{YD}(M)$ ), one can prove that the tangent space  $T_{(\omega, \rho)}(\mathcal{YD}(M))$  is contained in  $Z^1(M, M)$ .

Now, the algebraic group  $G = GL(M)$  acts on  $\mathcal{YD}(M)$  by transport of structures, that is  $g \cdot (\omega, \rho) = (\omega^g, \rho^g)$ , where  $\omega^g = g \circ \omega \circ (id_A \otimes g^{-1})$  and  $\rho^g = (g \otimes id_A) \circ \rho \circ g^{-1}$  (and obviously there is a bijection between orbits and isomorphism classes of Yetter-Drinfel'd module structures on  $M$ ). If we fix  $(\omega, \rho) \in \mathcal{YD}(M)$  and we denote by  $\overline{Orb_{(\omega, \rho)}}$  the closure of the orbit through  $(\omega, \rho)$ , then one can prove that  $B^1(M, M)$  is contained in the tangent space  $T_{(\omega, \rho)}(\overline{Orb_{(\omega, \rho)}})$ .

### 3 Cohomology for Hopf modules

Let  $A$  be a bialgebra with multiplication  $\mu$  and comultiplication  $\Delta$  and  $(M, \omega_M, \rho_M)$  a left-right Hopf module over  $A$ , that is  $(M, \omega_M)$  is a left  $A$ -module (with notation  $\omega_M(a \otimes m) = a \cdot m$ ),  $(M, \rho_M)$  is a right  $A$ -comodule (with notation  $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ ,  $\rho_M(m) = \sum m_0 \otimes m_1$ ) and the following compatibility condition holds:

$$\sum (a \cdot m)_0 \otimes (a \cdot m)_1 = \sum a_1 \cdot m_0 \otimes a_2 m_1 \quad (2)$$

for all  $a \in A, m \in M$ . Let  $(N, \omega_N, \rho_N)$  be another left-right Hopf module over  $A$ . We denote by  $C^{n,p}(M, N) = Hom(A^n \otimes M, N \otimes A^p)$  and for  $f \in C^{n,p}(M, N)$  we use the same notation as in the previous section for  $f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)$ . For any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$ , define  $b_i^{n,p} : C^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow C^{n+1,p}(M, N)$ , by:

- $b_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = \sum (a^1)_1 \cdot f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^0 \otimes$

$$\otimes (a^1)_2 f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^1)_{p+1} f(a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)^p$$

- $b_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^i a^{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m)$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$

- $b_{n+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^{n+1} \otimes m) = f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes a^{n+1} \cdot m)$

For any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p+1$ , define  $c_i^{n,p} : C^{n,p}(M, N) \rightarrow C^{n,p+1}(M, N)$ , by:

- $c_0^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^0)_0 \otimes (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^0)_1 \otimes$

$$\otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^p$$

- $c_i^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^0 \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^1 \otimes$

$$\otimes \dots \otimes (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^i)_1 \otimes (f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^i)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m)^p$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq p$

- $c_{p+1}^{n,p}(f)(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) = \sum f((a^1)_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_1 \otimes m_0) \otimes (a^1)_2 (a^2)_2 \dots (a^n)_2 m_1$

Then one can prove, as in the previous section, that  $(C^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  is a double complex, where  $d_m^{n,p}$  and  $d_c^{n,p}$  are defined by the same formulae as in the previous section. We shall denote by  $H^n(M, N)$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , the cohomology of the total complex associated to this double complex.

It is easy to see that  $H^0(M, N)$  is the set of morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  in the category of left-right Hopf modules over  $A$ . Also, it is easy to see that  $H^1(M, N) = Z^1(M, N)/B^1(M, N)$ , where  $Z^1(M, N)$  is the set of all pairs  $(\omega', \rho') \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(M, N \otimes A)$  that satisfy the relations:

- $\omega' \circ (id \otimes \omega_M) + \omega_N \circ (id \otimes \omega') = \omega' \circ (\mu \otimes id)$
- $(\rho' \otimes id) \circ \rho_M + (\rho_N \otimes id) \circ \rho' = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \rho'$
- $(\omega' \otimes \mu) \circ (id \otimes \tau_M \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \rho_M) + (\omega_N \otimes \mu) \circ (id \otimes \tau_N \otimes id) \circ (\Delta \otimes \rho') = \rho' \circ \omega_M + \rho_N \circ \omega'$

where  $\tau_M : A \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ ,  $\tau_M(a \otimes m) = m \otimes a$  (and similarly for  $\tau_N$ ), and  $B^1(M, N)$  is the set of all pairs  $(d_m(f), d_c(f)) \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(M, N \otimes A)$ , with  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$  and

- $d_m(f) = \omega_N \circ (id \otimes f) - f \circ \omega_M$
- $d_c(f) = \rho_N \circ f - (f \otimes id) \circ \rho_M$

As in the previous section, one can prove that  $H^1(M, N)$  is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Ext}^1(M, N)$  of extensions of  $M$  by  $N$  in the category of left-right Hopf modules.

Let  $A$  be a bialgebra and  $V$  a  $k$ -linear space. Then  $M = V \otimes A$  becomes a left-right Hopf module over  $A$ , with module structure  $a \cdot (v \otimes b) = v \otimes ab$  for all  $a, b \in A, v \in V$ , and with comodule structure  $\rho : V \otimes A \rightarrow V \otimes A \otimes A$ ,  $\rho(v \otimes a) = \sum v \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2$ . Let also  $W$  be a  $k$ -linear space and consider the left-right Hopf module  $N = W \otimes A$ . One can check that in this case all the rows and the columns of the double complex corresponding to  $M$  and  $N$  are acyclic. Indeed, if  $g \in \text{Ker}(d_m^{n+1,p})$ , then  $g = d_m^{n,p}(f)$ , where  $f : A^n \otimes V \otimes A \rightarrow W \otimes A \otimes A^p$ ,

$$f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes v \otimes a) = (-1)^{n+1} g(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes a \otimes v \otimes 1)$$

and if  $g \in \text{Ker}(d_c^{n,p+1})$ , then  $g = d_c^{n,p}(f)$ , where  $f : A^n \otimes V \otimes A \rightarrow W \otimes A \otimes A^p$ ,

$$f(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes v \otimes a) = (id_W \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_A^{p+1})(g(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes v \otimes a))$$

Since the rows of the double complex  $\{C^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  are acyclic, if we consider the double complex  $\{D^{n,p}\}$  obtained by adding to  $\{C^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  one more

column consisting of  $\{Ker(d_m^{0,p})\}$  for all  $p \geq 0$ , then by the ‘‘Acyclic Assembly Lemma’’ (see [16], p. 59) the total complex associated to  $\{D^{n,p}\}$  is acyclic. Then, a long exact sequence argument shows that the total cohomology of  $\{C^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  may be computed as the cohomology of the added column, that is

$$H^{p+1}(V \otimes A, W \otimes A) = Ker(d_m^{0,p+1}) \cap Ker(d_c^{0,p+1}) / d_c^{0,p}(Ker(d_m^{0,p}))$$

**Proposition 5**  $H^{p+1}(V \otimes A, W \otimes A) = 0$  for all  $p \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $g \in Ker(d_m^{0,p+1}) \cap Ker(d_c^{0,p+1})$ . Since  $g \in Ker(d_c^{0,p+1})$ , there exists  $f \in C^{0,p}(V \otimes A, W \otimes A)$  such that  $d_c^{0,p}(f) = g$ , namely  $f = (id_W \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_A^{p+1}) \circ g$ . It will be enough to prove that  $f \in Ker(d_m^{0,p})$ . Since  $g \in Ker(d_m^{0,p+1})$ , it follows that

$$g(v \otimes ab) = \sum g_W(v \otimes b) \otimes a_1 g(v \otimes b)^0 \otimes a_2 g(v \otimes b)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{p+2} g(v \otimes b)^{p+1}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $v \in V$ , where we denoted

$$g(v \otimes b) = \sum g_W(v \otimes b) \otimes g(v \otimes b)^0 \otimes \dots \otimes g(v \otimes b)^{p+1} \in W \otimes A \otimes A^{p+1}$$

By applying  $id_W \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_A^{p+1}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (id_W \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id_A^{p+1})(g(v \otimes ab)) = \\ & \sum \varepsilon(g(v \otimes b)^0) g_W(v \otimes b) \otimes a_1 g(v \otimes b)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{p+1} g(v \otimes b)^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$f(v \otimes ab) = \sum a_1 f(v \otimes b)^0 \otimes a_2 f(v \otimes b)^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{p+1} f(v \otimes b)^p$$

which means that  $d_m^{0,p}(f) = 0$ , q.e.d.

Suppose that the bialgebra  $A$  has a skew antipode. In this case, it is very well-known that any left-right Hopf module over  $A$  is of the form  $M = V \otimes A$ , for some  $k$ -linear space  $V$  (see, for instance, [7], p.16). Hence we have obtained the following

**Proposition 6** *If  $A$  is a bialgebra with a skew antipode (for example, a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode) then for any left-right Hopf modules  $M$  and  $N$  over  $A$  and for any natural number  $n \geq 1$  we have  $H^n(M, N) = 0$ .*

Let us introduce some notation. If  $A$  is a bialgebra, we denote by  $mod - A$  the category of right  $A$ -modules, by  $A - comod$  the category of left  $A$ -comodules, by  $A_{lr}^r$  the category whose objects are  $k$ -linear spaces  $M$  which are bimodules, left-right Hopf modules and right-right Hopf modules over  $A$ , by  $A_l^{lr}$  the category whose objects are  $k$ -linear spaces  $M$  which are bicomodules, left-left Hopf modules and left-right Hopf modules over  $A$ , and finally by  $A_{lr}^{lr}$  the category of Hopf bimodules (or two-sided two-cosided Hopf modules in the terminology of [12]) over  $A$ . We shall see now how the above double complex  $\{C^{n,p}\}$  yields very naturally some cohomology theories for the categories  $A_{lr}^r$ ,  $A_l^{lr}$  and  $A_{lr}^{lr}$ .

Let  $M, N \in A_{lr}^r$  and  $n, p$  some natural numbers. Then  $A^n \otimes M$  becomes a right  $A$ -module with structure

$$(a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m) \cdot b = a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m \cdot b$$

and  $N \otimes A^p$  becomes a right  $A$ -module with structure

$$(x \otimes a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p) \cdot b = \sum x \cdot b_1 \otimes a^1 b_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p b_{p+1}$$

Now, if we define  $R^{n,p}(M, N) = Hom_{mod-A}(A^n \otimes M, N \otimes A^p)$ , then one can check, by a direct computation, that  $d_m^{n,p}(R^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq R^{n+1,p}(M, N)$  and  $d_c^{n,p}(R^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq R^{n,p+1}(M, N)$ , so that  $(R^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  is a double complex, giving a cohomology theory for objects in  $A_{lr}^r$ .

Similarly, if  $M, N \in A_l^{lr}$  and  $n, p$  are natural numbers, then  $A^n \otimes M$  becomes a left  $A$ -comodule, with structure

$$A^n \otimes M \rightarrow A \otimes A^n \otimes M$$

$$a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \otimes m \mapsto \sum (a^1)_1 \dots (a^n)_1 m_{(-1)} \otimes (a^1)_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (a^n)_2 \otimes m_{(0)}$$

where we denoted by  $m \mapsto \sum m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$  the left  $A$ -comodule structure of  $M$ , and  $N \otimes A^p$  becomes a left  $A$ -comodule, with structure

$$N \otimes A^p \rightarrow A \otimes N \otimes A^p$$

$$x \otimes a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p \mapsto \sum x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)} \otimes a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^p$$

If we denote by  $L^{n,p}(M, N) = Hom^{A-comod}(A^n \otimes M, N \otimes A^p)$ , then one can check also by a direct computation that  $d_m^{n,p}(L^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq L^{n+1,p}(M, N)$  and  $d_c^{n,p}(L^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq L^{n,p+1}(M, N)$ , so that  $(L^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  is a double complex, yielding a cohomology theory for objects in  $A_l^{lr}$ .

Finally, if  $M, N \in A_{lr}^{lr}$ , then on  $A^n \otimes M$  and  $N \otimes A^p$  we can introduce all the above right  $A$ -module and left  $A$ -comodule structures, so if we denote by

$$T^{n,p}(M, N) = Hom_{mod-A}^{A-comod}(A^n \otimes M, N \otimes A^p)$$

then  $d_m^{n,p}(T^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq T^{n+1,p}(M, N)$  and  $d_c^{n,p}(T^{n,p}(M, N)) \subseteq T^{n,p+1}(M, N)$ , hence  $(T^{n,p}(M, N), d_m^{n,p}, d_c^{n,p})$  is a double complex, which yields a cohomology theory for Hopf bimodules. Note that  $\{T^{n,p}(M, N)\}$  is a sort of “mirror” version of the double complex for Hopf bimodules introduced by R. Taillefer in [15]. It is likely that the cohomologies given by these double complexes are isomorphic, at least when  $A$  is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra.

## References

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch and J. Devoto, Extensions of Hopf algebras, St. Petersburg Math. J. **7** (1), 17-52 (1996).
- [2] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin and L. Takhtajan, Quantum groups, in “Braid group, knot theory and statistical mechanics”, C. N. Yang and M. L. Ge (eds.), Adv. Series in Math. Phys. Vol. 9, pp. 97-110, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [3] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformations of rings and algebras, Ann. of Math. **79**, 1-34 (1964).
- [4] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, Bialgebra cohomology, deformations and quantum groups, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87**, 478-481 (1990).
- [5] L. A. Lambe and D. E. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J. Algebra **154**, 228-288 (1992).
- [6] S. Majid, Doubles of quasitriangular Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra **19** (11), 3061-3073 (1991).
- [7] S. Montgomery, “Hopf algebras and their actions on rings”, CBMS Reg. Conf. Series **82**, AMS, Providence, 1993.
- [8] B. Parshall and J.-P. Wang, On bialgebra cohomology, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., Ser. A **42** (3), 607-642 (1990).
- [9] D. E. Radford, Solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the Drinfel’d double, J. Algebra **161**, 20-32 (1993).
- [10] D. E. Radford and J. Towber, Yetter-Drinfel’d categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **87**, 259-279 (1993).
- [11] M. Rosso, Groupes quantiques et algebres de battages quantiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **320**, 145-148 (1995).
- [12] P. Schauenburg, Hopf modules and Yetter-Drinfel’d modules, J. Algebra **169**, 874-890 (1994).

- [13] M. E. Sweedler, "Hopf algebras", Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [14] D. Ştefan, The set of types of  $n$ -dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras is finite, *J. Algebra* **193**, 571-580 (1997).
- [15] R. Taillefer, Cohomology theories of Hopf bimodules and cup-product, preprint q-alg/0005019.
- [16] C. Weibel, "An introduction to homological algebra", Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [17] S. L. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), *Comm. Math. Phys.* **122**, 125-170 (1989).
- [18] D. N. Yetter, Quantum groups and representations of monoidal categories, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **108**, 261-290 (1990).