

CLASSIFICATION OF LINKS UP TO SELF #-MOVE

TETSUO SHIBUYA

Department of Mathematics, Osaka Institute of Technology
Omiya 5-16-1, Asahi, Osaka 535-8585, Japan
e-mail: shibuya@ge.oit.ac.jp

AKIRA YASUHARA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University
Nukuikita 4-1-1, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan

Current address:

Department of Mathematics, The George Washington University
Washington, DC 20052, USA
e-mail: yasuhara@u-gakugei.ac.jp

Dedicated to Professor Shin'ich Suzuki for his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT

A pass-move and a #-move are local moves on oriented links defined by L.H. Kauffman and H. Murakami respectively. Two links are self pass-equivalent (resp. self #-equivalent) if one can be deformed into the other by pass-moves (resp. #-moves), where non of them can occur between distinct components of the link. These relations are equivalence relations on ordered oriented links and stronger than link-homotopy defined by J. Milnor. We give two complete classifications of links with arbitrarily many components up to self pass-equivalence and up to self #-equivalence respectively. So our classifications give subdivisions of link-homotopy classes.

1. Introduction

We shall work in piecewise linear category. All links will be assumed to be ordered and oriented.

A *pass-move* [4] (resp. *#-move* [6]) is a local move on oriented links as illustrated in Figure 1.1(a) (resp. 1.1(b)). If the four strands in Figure 1.1(a) (resp. 1.1(b)) belong to the same component of a link, we call it a *self pass-move* (resp. *self #-move*) [1], [12], [13]. We note that the first author called pass-move and #-move $\#(\text{II})$ -move and $\#(\text{I})$ -move respectively in his prior papers [12], [13], [14], etc. Two links are *self pass-equivalent* (resp. *self #-equivalent*) if one can be deformed into the other by a finite sequence of self pass-moves (resp. self #-moves). Two links are *link-homotopic* if one can be deformed into the other by finite sequence of *self crossing changes* [5]. Since both self pass-move and self #-move are realized by self crossing changes, self pass-equivalence and self #-equivalence are

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57M25

Keywords and Phrases: #-move, pass-move, link-homotopy, Arf invariant

stronger than link-homotopy. Link-homotopy classification is already done by N. Habegger and X.S. Lin [2]. In this paper we give two complete classifications of links with arbitrarily many components up to self pass-equivalence and up to self #-equivalence respectively. So our classifications give subdivisions of link-homotopy classes.




Figure 1.1

An n -component link $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ is *proper* if the linking number $\text{lk}(l - k_i, k_i)$ is even for any $i (= 1, \dots, n)$. We define that a knot is a proper link. For a proper link $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$, we call $\text{Arf}(l) - \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Arf}(k_i) \pmod{2}$ the *reduced Arf invariant* [12] and denote it by $\overline{\text{Arf}}(l)$, where Arf is the *Arf invariant* [10].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup \dots \cup k'_n$ be n -component links. Then the following (i) and (ii) hold.*

- (i) *l and l' are self pass-equivalent if and only if they are link-homotopic and $\text{Arf}(k_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k_{i_p}) = \text{Arf}(k'_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k'_{i_p})$ for any proper links $k_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k_{i_p} \subseteq l$ and $k'_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k'_{i_p} \subseteq l'$.*
- (ii) *l and l' are self #-equivalent if and only if they are link-homotopic and $\overline{\text{Arf}}(k_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k_{i_p}) = \overline{\text{Arf}}(k'_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k'_{i_p})$ for any proper links $k_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k_{i_p} \subseteq l$ and $k'_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup k'_{i_p} \subseteq l'$.*

For two-component links, both self pass-equivalence classification and self #-equivalence classification are done by the first author [13]. His proof can be applied to only two-component links. So we need different approach to proving Theorem 1.1.

A link $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split if $\text{lk}(k_i, k_j)$ is even for any i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$). We note that if $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split link, then l and $k_i \cup k_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$) are proper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup \dots \cup k'_n$ be n -component \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split links. If l and l' are link-homotopic, then*

$$\overline{\text{Arf}}(l) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \overline{\text{Arf}}(k_i \cup k_j) \equiv \overline{\text{Arf}}(l') + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \overline{\text{Arf}}(k'_i \cup k'_j) \pmod{2}.$$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect several results in order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Let $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup \dots \cup k'_n$ be n -component links. Suppose that there is a disjoint union $\mathcal{A} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of n annuli in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ with $(\partial(S^3 \times [0, 1]), \partial A_i) = (S^3 \times \{0\}, k_i) \cup (-S^3 \times \{1\}, -k'_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that

- (i) \mathcal{A} is locally flat except for finite points p_1, \dots, p_m in the interior of \mathcal{A} , and
- (ii) for each p_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), there is a small neighborhood $N(p_j)$ of p_j in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that $(\partial N(p_j), \partial(N(p_j) \cap \mathcal{A}))$ is a link as illustrated in Figure 2.1,

where $-X$ denotes X with the opposite orientation. Then \mathcal{A} is called a *pass-annuli* between l and l' .




Figure 2.1

The following is proved by the first author in [12].

Lemma 2.1. *Two links l and l' are self pass-equivalent if and only if there is a pass-annuli between them. \square*

It is known that a pass-move is realized by a finite sequence of $\#$ -moves [8]. Thus we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. *If two links l and l' are self pass-equivalent, then they are self $\#$ -equivalent. \square*

A Γ -move [4] is a local move on oriented links as illustrated in Figure 2.2.




Figure 2.2

The following is known [4].

Lemma 2.3. *A Γ -move is realized by a single pass-move. \square*

Let $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup \dots \cup k'_n$ be n -component links such that there is a 3-ball B^3 in S^3 with $B^3 \cap (l \cup l') = l$. Let b_1, \dots, b_n be mutually disjoint disks in S^3 such that $b_i \cap l = \partial b_i \cap k_i$ and $b_i \cap l' = \partial b_i \cap k'_i$ are arcs for each i . Then the link $l \cup l' \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \partial b_i) - (\bigcup \text{int}(b_i \cap (l \cup l')))$ is called a *band sum* (or a *product fusion* [11]) of l and l' and denoted by $(k_1 \#_{b_1} k'_1) \cup \dots \cup (k_n \#_{b_n} k'_n)$. Note that a band sum of l and l' is \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split if $\text{lk}(k_i, k_j) \equiv \text{lk}(k'_i, k'_j) \pmod{2}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$).

The following is proved by the first author in [11].

Lemma 2.4. *Two links l and l' are link-homotopic if and only if there is a band sum of l and $-\bar{l}'$ that is link-homotopic to a trivial link, where $(S^3, -\bar{l}') \cong (-S^3, -l')$. \square*

By the definition of the Arf invariant via 4-dimensional topology [10], we have the following.

Lemma 2.5. *Let l and l' be proper links and L a band sum of l and $-\bar{l}'$. Then L is proper and $\text{Arf}(L) \equiv \text{Arf}(l) + \text{Arf}(l') \pmod{2}$. \square*

The following lemma forms an interesting contrast to the lemma above.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $l = k_1 \cup k_2$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup k'_2$ be 2-component links with $\text{lk}(k_1, k_2)$ and $\text{lk}(k'_1, k'_2)$ odd. Let $L = (k_1 \#_{b_1} (-\bar{k}'_1)) \cup (k_2 \#_{b_2} (-\bar{k}'_2))$ be a band sum and L' a band sum obtained from L by adding a single full-twist to b_2 ; see Figure 2.3. Then L and L' are proper and link-homotopic, and $\text{Arf}(L) \neq \text{Arf}(L')$.*



Figure 2.3

Proof. Clearly L and L' are proper and link-homotopic. So we shall show $\text{Arf}(L) \neq \text{Arf}(L')$.

Let a_i be the i th coefficient of the Conway polynomial. Then we have

$$a_3(L) - a_3(L') = a_2((k_1 \#_{b_1} (-\bar{k}'_1)) \cup k_2 \cup (-\bar{k}'_2)).$$

It is known that the third coefficient of the Conway polynomial of two-component proper link is mod 2 congruent to the sum of the Arf invariants of the link and the components

[7]. This and Lemma 2.5 imply $\text{Arf}(L) - \text{Arf}(L') \equiv a_3(L) - a_3(L') \pmod{2}$. By [3],

$$\begin{aligned} & a_2((k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1})) \cup k_2 \cup (-\overline{k'_2})) \\ &= \text{lk}(k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1}), k_2) \text{lk}(k_2, -\overline{k'_2}) + \text{lk}(k_2, -\overline{k'_2}) \text{lk}(-\overline{k'_2}, k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1})) \\ & \quad + \text{lk}(-\overline{k'_2}, k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1})) \text{lk}(k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1}), k_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\text{Arf}(L) - \text{Arf}(L') \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. \square

A Δ -move [8] is a local move on links as illustrated in Figure 2.4. If at least two of the three strands in Figure 2.4 belong to the same component of a link, we call it a *quasi self Δ -move* [9]. Two links are *quasi self Δ -equivalent* if one can be deformed into the other by a finite sequence of quasi self Δ -moves.




Figure 2.4

The following is proved by Y. Nakanishi and the first author in [9].

Lemma 2.7. *Two links are link-homotopic if and only if they are quasi self Δ -equivalent.* \square

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since l is link-homotopic to l' , by Lemma 2.7, l is quasi self Δ -equivalent to l' . It is sufficient to consider the case that l' is obtained from l by a single quasi self Δ -move.

Suppose that the three strands of the Δ -move that is applied to the deformation from l into l' belong to one component of l . Without loss of generality we may assume that the component is k_1 . Note that k_i and k'_i are ambient isotopic for any $i (\neq 1)$, and that $k_i \cup k_j$ and $k'_i \cup k'_j$ are ambient isotopic for any $i < j$ ($i \neq 1$). Since a Δ -move changes the value of Arf invariant [8], we have $\text{Arf}(l) \neq \text{Arf}(l')$, $\text{Arf}(k_1) \neq \text{Arf}(k'_1)$ and $\text{Arf}(k_1 \cup k_j) \neq \text{Arf}(k'_1 \cup k'_j)$. Thus we have $\overline{\text{Arf}}(l) = \overline{\text{Arf}}(l')$ and $\overline{\text{Arf}}(k_1 \cup k_j) = \overline{\text{Arf}}(k'_1 \cup k'_j)$. So we have the conclusion.

We consider the other case, i.e., the three strands of the Δ -move belong to exactly two components of l . Without loss of generality we may assume that the two components

are k_1 and k_2 . Note that k_i and k'_i are ambient isotopic for any i , and that $k_i \cup k_j$ and $k'_i \cup k'_j$ are ambient isotopic for any $i < j$ ($(i, j) \neq (1, 2)$). Since $\text{Arf}(l) \neq \text{Arf}(l')$ and $\text{Arf}(k_1 \cup k_2) \neq \text{Arf}(k'_1 \cup k'_2)$, $\text{Arf}(l) + \text{Arf}(k_1 \cup k_2) \equiv \text{Arf}(l') + \text{Arf}(k'_1 \cup k'_2) \pmod{2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let $l = k_1 \cup \dots \cup k_n$ and $l' = k'_1 \cup \dots \cup k'_n$ be n -component \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split links. If l and l' are link-homotopic, $\text{Arf}(k_i) = \text{Arf}(k'_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\text{Arf}(k_i \cup k_j) = \text{Arf}(k'_i \cup k'_j)$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$), then l and l' are self pass-equivalent.*

Proof. Since l is link-homotopic to l' , by Lemma 2.7, l is quasi self Δ -equivalent to l' . Let u be the minimum number of quasi self Δ -moves which are needed to deform l into l' . By Theorem 1.2, $\text{Arf}(l) = \text{Arf}(l')$. Since a Δ -move changes the value of the Arf invariant, u is even. It is sufficient to consider the case $u = 2$. Therefore there is a union $\mathcal{A} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of level-preserving n annuli in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ with $(\partial(S^3 \times [0, 1]), \partial A_i) = (S^3 \times \{0\}, k_i) \cup (-S^3 \times \{1\}, -k'_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that

- (i) \mathcal{A} is locally flat except for exactly two points p_1, p_2 in the interior of \mathcal{A} , and
- (ii) for each p_t ($t = 1, 2$) there is a small neighborhood $N(p_t)$ of p_t in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that $(\partial N(p_t), \partial(N(p_t) \cap \mathcal{A}))$ is the Borromean ring R_t , at least two components of which belong to some A_i .

A singular points p_t is called *type* (i) if the three components of R_t belong to A_i and *type* (i, j) ($i < j$) if one or two components are in A_i and the others in A_j . For each i (resp. i, j), let u_i (resp. $u_{i,j}$) be the number of the singular points of type (i) (resp. type (i, j)). We note that a number of Δ -moves which are needed to deform k_i into k'_i (resp. $k_i \cup k_j$ into $k'_i \cup k'_j$) is equal to u_i (resp. $u_{i,j} + u_i + u_j$). By the hypothesis of this lemma, we have u_i and $u_{i,j} + u_i + u_j$ are even. Hence u_i and $u_{i,j}$ are even. This implies that both p_1 and p_2 are the same type.

Suppose that p_1 and p_2 are type (i, j) . Without loss of generality we may assume that $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ and two components of the Borromean ring R_1 belong to A_2 . Let α be an arc in the interior of A_1 that connects two singular points p_1 and p_2 of type $(1, 2)$, and let $(S^3, L) = (\partial N(\alpha), \partial(N(\alpha) \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)))$. Then L is a 5-component link as illustrated in either Figure 3.1(a) or (b). In the case that L is as Figure 3.1(a), we can deform L into a trivial link by applying Γ -moves to the sublink $L \cap A_2$; see Figure 3.2. In the case that L is as Figure 3.1(b), we can deform L into the link as in Figure 3.2(a) by two Γ -moves, one is applied to $L \cap A_1$ and the other to $L \cap A_2$; see Figure 3.3. It follows from this and Figure

3.2 that L can be deformed into a trivial link by Γ -moves, one is applied to $L \cap A_1$ and the others to $L \cap A_2$.

Suppose that p_1 and p_2 are type (i) . Let α be an arc in the interior of A_i that connects two singular points p_1 and p_2 of type (i) , and let $(S^3, L) = (\partial N(\alpha), \partial(N(\alpha) \cap A_i))$. By the argument similar to that in the above, L can be deformed into a trivial link by applying Γ -moves to $L \cap A_i$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, we can construct pass-annuli in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ between l and l' . Lemma 2.1 completes the proof. \square




Figure 3.1




Figure 3.2




Figure 3.3

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since both self pass-move and self #-move realized by link-homotopy, the ‘only if’ parts of (i) and (ii) follow from [13, Proposition]. We shall prove the ‘if’ parts.

(i) For a link $l = k_1 \cup \cdots \cup k_n$, let G_l^o (resp. G_l^e) be a graph with the vertex set $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ and the edge set $\{k_i k_j \mid \text{lk}(k_i, k_j) \text{ is odd}\}$ (resp. $\{k_i k_j \mid \text{lk}(k_i, k_j) \text{ is even}\}$). Note that $G_l^o \cup G_l^e$ is the complete graph with n vertices. For a band sum $L = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_n (= (k_1 \#_{b_1}(-\overline{k'_1})) \cup \cdots \cup (k_n \#_{b_n}(-\overline{k'_n})))$ of l and $-\overline{l'}$, let A_L be a graph with the vertex set $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$ and the edge set $\{K_i K_j \mid \text{Arf}(K_i \cup K_j) = 0\}$. (Note that L is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebraically split link since l and l' are link-homotopic.)

Claim. There is a band sum L of l and $-\overline{l'}$ such that L is link-homotopic to a trivial link and A_L is the complete graph with n vertices.

Proof. Let T be a maximal subgraph of G_l^o that does not contain a cycle. Since T does not contain a cycle, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, there is a band sum L of l and l' such that L is link-homotopic to a trivial link and $T \subset h(A_L)$, where $h : A_L \rightarrow G_l^o \cup G_l^e$ the natural map defined by $h(K_i) = k_i$ and $h(K_i K_j) = k_i k_j$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $G_l^e \subset h(A_L)$. Since h is injective and $G_l^o \cup G_l^e$ is the complete graph, it is sufficient to prove that h is surjective. Let E be the set of edges which are not contained in $h(A_L)$, and $H^o = h(A_L) \cap G_l^o$. Suppose $E \neq \emptyset$. Then there is an edge $e \in E$ such that there is a cycle C in $H^o \cup e$ containing e whose any ‘diagonals’ are not contained in G_l^o . (In fact, for each $e_i \in E$, consider the minimum length l_i of cycles in $H^o \cup e_i$ containing e_i and choose an edge e and a cycle C in $H^o \cup e$ containing e so that length C is equal to $\min\{l_i \mid e_i \in E\}$.) Without loss of generality we may assume that $C = k_1 k_2 \dots k_c k_1$ and $e = k_1 k_2$. Set $l_c = k_1 \cup \cdots \cup k_c$ and $L_c = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_c$. Since C has no diagonals in G_l^o , all diagonals are in G_l^e . Thus we have $k_i k_j \subset H^o \cup G_l^e (= h(A_L))$ for any i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq c$) except for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$. This implies $\text{Arf}(K_i \cup K_j) = 0$ for any i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq c$, $(i, j) \neq (1, 2)$). The fact that C has no diagonals in G_l^o implies l_c is a proper link. By the hypothesis about the Arf invariants and Lemma 2.5, we have $\text{Arf}(L_c) \equiv 2\text{Arf}(l_c) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\text{Arf}(K_i) \equiv 2\text{Arf}(k_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

($i = 1, \dots, c$). Since L_c is link-homotopic to a trivial link, by Theorem 1.2, $\text{Arf}(K_1 \cup K_2) = 0$. This contradicts $e = k_1 k_2 \in E$. \square

By Claim, there is a band sum $L = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n$ of l and $-\overline{l'}$ such that L is link-homotopic to a trivial link, $\text{Arf}(K_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\text{Arf}(K_i \cup K_j) = 0$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$). By Lemma 3.1, L is self pass-equivalent to a trivial link. Since L is a band sum of l and $-\overline{l'}$, we can construct a pass-annuli between l and l' . Lemma 2.1 completes the proof.

(ii) Since a $\#$ -move changes the value of the Arf invariant [6], by applying self $\#$ -moves, we may assume that $\text{Arf}(k_i) = \text{Arf}(k'_i)$ for any i . Theorem 1.1(i) and Lemma 2.2 complete the proof. \square

References

- [1] L. Cervantes and R.A. Fenn, Boundary links are homotopy trivial, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **39** (1988), 151-158.
- [2] N. Habegger and X.S. Lin, The classification of links up to link-homotopy, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1990), 389-419.
- [3] J. Hoste, The first coefficient of the Conway polynomial, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **95** (1985), 299-302.
- [4] L.H. Kauffman, *Formal knot theory*, Mathematical Notes, **30**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983.
- [5] J. Milnor, Link groups, *Ann. Math.*, **59** (1954) 177-195.
- [6] H. Murakami, Some metrics on classical knots, *Math. Ann.*, **270**(1985), 35-45.
- [7] K. Murasugi. On the Arf invariant of links. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **95**(1984), 61-69.
- [8] H. Murakami and Y. Nakanishi, On a certain move generating link-homology, *Math. Ann.*, **284**(1989), 75-89.
- [9] Y. Nakanishi and T. Shibuya, Link homotopy and quasi self delta-equivalence for links, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, to appear.
- [10] R. Robertello, An invariant of knot cobordism, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **18**(1965), 543-555.
- [11] T. Shibuya, On the homotopy of links, *Kobe J. Math.*, **5** (1989), 87-95.
- [12] T. Shibuya, Self $\#$ -unknotting operation of links, *Osaka Insti. Tech.*, **34** (1989), 9-17.
- [13] T. Shibuya, Mutation and self $\#$ -equivalences of links, *Kobe J. Math.*, **10** (1993), 23-37.
- [14] T. Shibuya, A local move of links, *Kobe J. Math.*, **16** (1999), 131-146.