ON SOME AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SET OF EFFECTS ON HILBERT SPACE

LAJOS MOLNÁR

ABSTRACT. The set of all effects on a Hilbert space has an affine structure (it is a convex set) as well as a multiplicative structure (it can be equipped with the so-called Jordan triple product). In this paper we describe the corresponding automorphisms of that set.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Denote by B(H) the C^{*}-algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. The operator interval [0, I] of all positive operators in B(H) which are bounded by the identity I is called the Hilbert space effect algebra. This has important applications in quantum mechanics. The effect algebra [0, I] can be equipped with several algebraic operations. For example, one can define a partial addition on it. Namely, if $A, B \in [0, I]$ and $A + B \in [0, I]$, then one can set $A \oplus B = A + B$. This structure has been investigated in several papers (see [3, 5, 6] and the references therein). Moreover, on [0, I] there is a natural partial ordering \leq which comes from the usual ordering between the self-adjoint operators on H and one can also define the operation of the so-called orthocomplementation by $^{\perp}: A \mapsto I - A$. The set of all effects on H equipped with this ordering and orthocomplementation has been studied for example in [4]. Next, [0, I]is clearly a convex subset of the linear space B(H). So, one can consider the operation of convex combinations on it. The set of all effects with this structure has been investigated in [6], for instance. Finally, as for a multiplicative operation on [0, I], note that in general $A, B \in [0, I]$ does not imply that $AB \in [0, I]$. However, we all the time have $ABA \in [0, I]$. This multiplication which is a nonassociative operation and sometimes called Jordan triple product also appears in infinite dimensional holomorphy as well as in connection with the geometrical properties of C^* -algebras.

Because of the importance of effect algebras, it is a natural problem to study the isomorphisms of the mentioned structures. The aim of this paper is to contribute to these investigations.

Date: November 6, 2018.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 81Q10, 47N50, 46N50.

Key words and phrases. Effect algebra, Hilbert space, operator algebra, factor, automorphism.

This research was supported from the following sources:

Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grant No. T-030082 F-019322,

²⁾ A grant from the Ministry of Education, Hungary, Reg. No. FKFP 0304/1997.

The automorphisms of [0, I] with the operation of partial addition were described in [3]. The automorphisms of the Hilbert space effect algebra equipped with the partial ordering \leq and the orthocomplementation $^{\perp}$ were characterized in [4] (see also [8]). The isomorphisms of [0, I] as a convex subset of B(H) were investigated in [6]. However, in that paper the authors considered such affine functions (maps preserving convex combinantions) which are homogenous for the scalars in [0, 1]. This means that they supposed that their affine bijections have the additional property that they send 0 to 0. As a corollary of our first theorem we describe the affine isomorphisms of [0, I] without this extra condition. In the second theorem we determine the automorphisms of [0, I] equipped with the Jordan triple product. It is worth mentioning that, as it turns out from our results, the linear and multiplicative structures of [0, I] are very closely related to each other.

Let us fix the notation and definitions that we shall use throughout the paper. So, B(H) and $B_s(H)$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on H and the JB^* -algebra of all bounded self-adjoint operators on H, respectively. A self-adjoint idempotent P in B(H) is called a projection. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} on H is said to be a factor if its center is trivial, that is, it equals $\mathbb{C}I$ (I is the identity on H). Define the set $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ of all effects in \mathcal{A} by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) = [0, I] \cap \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ are *-algebras over the complex field, then a linear map $\psi : \mathcal{R}_1 \to \mathcal{R}_2$ satisfying $\psi(\mathcal{A})^* = \psi(\mathcal{A}^*)$ ($\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_1$) is called

- (i) a Jordan *-homomorphism if $\psi(A)^2 = \psi(A^2)$ $(A \in \mathcal{R}_1)$;
- (ii) a *-homomorphism if $\psi(A)\phi(B) = \psi(AB)$ $(A, B \in \mathcal{R}_1)$;
- (iii) a *-antihomomorphism if $\psi(A)\psi(B) = \psi(BA)$ $(A, B \in \mathbb{R}_1)$.

If X, Y are linear spaces over \mathbb{C} and $C \subset X$ is a convex set, then the function $\psi: C \to Y$ is called affine if it satisfies

$$\psi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \lambda\psi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\psi(y)$$

for every $x, y \in C$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Our first result determines the affine automorphisms of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ for any factor \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a factor. If $\phi : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ is a bijective affine function, then there is an either *-automorphism or *-antiautomorphism Φ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\phi(A) = \Phi(A) \qquad (A \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}))$$

or

$$\phi(A) = \Phi(I - A) \qquad (A \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. First we recall the following fact whose proof requires only trivial calculations. Let $\phi : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \to X$ be an affine function with $\phi(0) = 0$ where

X is a linear space. Define

$$\Phi_1(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = 0\\ \|A\|\phi(\frac{A}{\|A\|}) & \text{if } A \neq 0, \ 0 \le A \in \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Next let

$$\Phi_2(A) = \Phi_1(A^+) - \Phi_1(A^-) \qquad (A^* = A \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where A^+ and A^- are the positive part and the negative part of A, respectively. That is,

$$A^+ = (1/2)(|A| + A)$$
 and $A^- = (1/2)(|A| - A).$

Finally, set

$$\Phi(A) = \Phi_2(\operatorname{Re} A) + i\Phi_2(\operatorname{Im} A) \qquad (A \in \mathcal{A}),$$

where Re A and Im A denote the real part and the imaginary part of A, respectively. Then $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to X$ is the unique linear extension of ϕ from $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ to \mathcal{A} .

Let $\phi : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ be an affine function. We assert that ϕ is continuous in the norm topology. To see this, consider the affine function

$$\psi: A \longmapsto \phi(A) - \phi(0)$$

on $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ which sends 0 to 0. Since its unique linear extension $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ has the property that $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) + \phi(0) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$, we deduce that

$$|\Psi(A)|| \le ||\Psi(A) + \phi(0)|| + ||\phi(0)|| \le 2 \qquad (A \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Clearly, every element A of the unit ball of \mathcal{A} can be written as $A = A_1 - A_2 + i(A_3 - A_4)$ for some $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows that Ψ is bounded on the unit ball of \mathcal{A} . This implies that Ψ and hence ϕ are norm continuous.

Let now $\phi : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ be an affine bijection. Then ϕ and it inverse are norm-continuous. Moreover, ϕ obviously preserves the extreme points of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ which are exactly the projections in \mathcal{A} .

We claim that $\phi(0)$ is either 0 or I. Let $P \neq 0, I$ be a projection in \mathcal{A} . If every projection in \mathcal{A} commutes with P, then we obtain that every element of \mathcal{A} commutes with P which, \mathcal{A} being a factor, would imply that P is a scalar multiple of the identity but this is a contradiction. So, we can choose a projection Q in \mathcal{A} which does not commute with P. Considering the operator $U = I - 2Q \in \mathcal{A}$ we get a unitary element in \mathcal{A} which does not commute with P. So, we have $P \neq UPU^*$. In any von Neumann algebra the unitary group is arcwise connected. Therefore, there is an arc within the set of all projections in \mathcal{A} connecting $P = IPI^*$ to UPU^* . To sum up, every nontrivial projection in \mathcal{A} can be connected by an arc within the set of all projections to another projection different from the first one. It is trivial that 0 and I can be connected only to themselves. Since ϕ is a homeomorphism of the set of all projections and hence we have either

 $\phi(0) = 0$ or $\phi(0) = I$. Clearly, we can assume without loss of generality that $\phi(0) = 0$ (otherwise, we consider the transformation $A \mapsto I - \phi(A)$). Let Φ be the unique linear extension of ϕ onto A. We already know that Φ is a bounded linear transformation which sends projections to projections. It is a standard algebraic argument to verify that Φ is a Jordan *-homomorphism (see [1, Remark 2.2] and use the spectral theorem of self-adjoint operators together with the continuity of Φ).

We assert that Φ is bijective. If $\Phi(A) = 0$, then we see that $\Phi_2(\operatorname{Re} A) = \Phi_2(\operatorname{Im} A) = 0$. Let B, C denote the positive and negative parts of $\operatorname{Re} A$, respectively, From $\Phi_2(\operatorname{Re} A) = 0$ we infer that $\Phi_1(B) = \Phi_1(C)$. Supposing that $B, C \neq 0$, this means that

$$||B||\phi\left(\frac{B}{||B||}\right) = ||C||\phi\left(\frac{C}{||C||}\right).$$

Using the homogenity of ϕ for the scalars in [0, 1], we conclude that

$$\phi\left(\frac{B}{\|B\| + \|C\|}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{C}{\|B\| + \|C\|}\right).$$

Since ϕ is injective, it follows that B = C which gives us that $\operatorname{Re} A = 0$. Similarly, one can check that $\operatorname{Im} A = 0$ is also true, so we have A = 0. Therefore, Φ is injective. Since the range of Φ is a linear subspace of \mathcal{A} which contains $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ (recall that Φ is an extension of ϕ), it follows that Φ is surjective. So, Φ is a Jordan *-automorphism of \mathcal{A} .

It is well-known that every factor is a prime algebra. This means that for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the equality $A\mathcal{A}B = \{0\}$ implies that A = 0 or B = 0. Now, a classical theorem of Herstein on Jordan homomorphisms [7] applies to obtain that Φ is either a *-automorphism or a *-antiautomorphism of \mathcal{A} . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Taking into account the form of *-automorphisms and *-antiautomorphisms of B(H), we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\phi : [0, I] \to [0, I]$ be a bijective affine function. Then there is an either unitary or antiunitary operator U on H such that

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \qquad (A \in [0, I])$$

or

$$\phi(A) = U(I - A)U^*$$
 $(A \in [0, I]).$

Our next result describes the automorphisms of [0, I] equipped with the Jordan triple product.

Theorem 3. Suppose that dim $H \ge 3$. Let $\phi : [0, I] \rightarrow [0, I]$ be a bijective function satisfying

$$\phi(ABA) = \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(A) \qquad (A, B \in [0, I]).$$

Then ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \qquad (A \in [0, I]),$$

where U is either a unitary or an antiunitary operator on H.

Proof. First observe that ϕ sends projections to projections. Indeed, if $P \in B(H)$ is a projection, then we have $\phi(P) = \phi(P)^3$. Since $\phi(P)$ is a positive operator, by the spectral mapping theorem we obtain that $\sigma(\phi(P)) \subset \{0,1\}$ and this proves that $\phi(P)$ is a projection.

We next show that ϕ preserves the partial ordering \leq among the projections. Let $P, Q \in B(H)$ be projections and suppose that $P \leq Q$. Then we have PQP = P which yields $\phi(P) = \phi(P)\phi(Q)\phi(P)$. This implies that $\phi(P)\phi(Q)$ is an idempotent. On the other hand, since $\phi(P)$ and $\phi(Q)$ are projections, the norm of their product is not greater than 1. So, $\phi(P)\phi(Q)$ is a contractive idempotent. It is well-known that this implies that $\phi(P)\phi(Q)$ is a projection and hence, due to the self-adjointness, it follows that $\phi(P)$ and $\phi(Q)$ are commuting. Hence, we can compute

$$\phi(P) = \phi(P)\phi(Q)\phi(P) = \phi(Q)\phi(P)\phi(P) = \phi(Q)\phi(P)$$

which yields that $\phi(P) \leq \phi(Q)$. Since ϕ^{-1} has the same properties as ϕ , it follows that ϕ preserves the ordering \leq in both directions. In particular, we obtain that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(I) = I$ and that ϕ preserves the nonzero minimal projections, that is, the rank-one projections on H.

We claim that ϕ preserves also the orthocomplementation on the set of projections. To see this, we first show that ϕ preserves the mutual orthogonality. Let $P, Q \in B(H)$ be projections such that PQ = 0. Then we have $0 = \phi(PQP) = \phi(P)\phi(Q)\phi(P)$ which implies that

$$0 = \phi(P)\phi(Q)\phi(Q)\phi(P) = \phi(P)\phi(Q)(\phi(P)\phi(Q))^*$$

This gives us that $\phi(P)\phi(Q) = 0$. It follows that $\phi(P) + \phi(I - P)$ is a projection, say $\phi(Q)$. Since $\phi(P), \phi(I - P) \leq \phi(Q)$ and ϕ preserves the ordering in both directions, we infer that $P, I - P \leq Q$. This gives us that Q = I and, hence, $\phi(P) + \phi(I - P) = I$. Therefore, ϕ preserves the orthocomplementation on the set of all projections. The form of such transformations, that is, the form of all bijections of the set of all projections on a Hilbert space with dimension not less than 3 which preserve the order in both directions and the orthocomplementation, is well-known (see, for example, [3]). Namely, there is an either unitary or antiunitary operator U on H such that

$$\phi(P) = UPU^*$$

for all projections P on H.

We next prove that $\phi(\lambda P) = \lambda \phi(P)$ for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and every rank-one projection P. In fact, in that case we can compute

$$\phi(\lambda P) = \phi(P(\lambda P)P) = \phi(P)\phi(\lambda P)\phi(P) = f_P(\lambda)\phi(P)$$

for some scalar $f_P(\lambda) \in [0,1]$ which follows from the fact that $\phi(P)$ is of rank one. We assert that f_P is a multiplicative function. If $\mu \in [0,1]$, then

we have

$$f_P(\lambda^2 \mu)\phi(P) = \phi(\lambda^2 \mu P) = \phi((\lambda P)(\mu P)(\lambda P)) =$$
$$\phi(\lambda P)\phi(\mu P)\phi(\lambda P) = f_P(\lambda)^2 f_P(\mu)\phi(P)$$

which implies that $f_P(\lambda^2 \mu) = f_P(\lambda)^2 f_P(\mu)$. Choosing $\mu = 1$, it follows that $f_P(\lambda^2) = f_P(\lambda)^2$. We next obtain that $f_P(\lambda^2 \mu) = f_P(\lambda^2) f_P(\mu)$. Since this holds for every $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$, we conclude that f_P is multiplicative. We now claim that f_P does not depend on the rank-one projection P. If P, Q are rank-one projections which are not mutually orthogonal, then $PQP \neq 0$ and we have

$$f_Q(\lambda^2)\phi(PQP) = f_Q(\lambda^2)\phi(P)\phi(Q)\phi(P) = \phi(P)\phi(\lambda^2Q)\phi(P) = \phi(P(\lambda^2Q)P) = \phi((\lambda P)Q(\lambda P)) = \phi(\lambda P)\phi(Q)\phi(\lambda P) = f_P(\lambda^2)\phi(PQP).$$

This gives us that $f_P = f_Q$. If P, Q are mutually orthogonal, then there is a rank-one projection R such that $PRP \neq 0$ and $RQR \neq 0$. Thus we have $f_P = f_R = f_Q$. So, there is a multiplicative function $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that

$$\phi(\lambda P) = f(\lambda)\phi(P)$$

for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and rank-one projection P on H. We show that f is also additive on [0, 1]. To see this, for any unit vector $x \in H$ denote by P_x the rank-one projection onto the linear subspace of H spanned by x. Let $x, y \in H$ be mutually orthogonal unit vectors and $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 = 1$. Then $z = \lambda x + \mu y$ is a unit vector. We compute $\phi(P_z(P_x + P_y)P_z)$ in two different ways. On the one hand, since $P_z(P_x + P_y)P_z = P_z$, we have $\phi(P_z(P_x + P_y)P_z) = \phi(P_z)$. On the other hand, we compute

$$\phi(P_z(P_x + P_y)P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_x + P_y)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)(\phi(P_x) + \phi(P_y))\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P_z)\phi(P$$

$$\phi(P_z)\phi(P_x)\phi(P_z) + \phi(P_z)\phi(P_y)\phi(P_z) = \phi(P_zP_xP_z) + \phi(P_zP_yP_z) =$$

$$\phi(\lambda^2 P_z) + \phi(\mu^2 P_z) = (f(\lambda^2) + f(\mu^2))\phi(P_z)$$

where we have used the fact that ϕ is orthoadditive on the set of all projections (this follows from the form of ϕ on that set). Therefore, we have $f(\lambda^2) + f(\mu^2) = 1 = f(\lambda^2 + \mu^2)$. By multiplicativity, we obtain the additivity of f. We claim that f is in fact the identity on [0, 1]. Since f maps into [0, 1], one can easily check that f is monotone increasing. Moreover, as f(1) = 1, the additivity of f implies that f(r) = r for every rational number r in [0, 1]. If $\lambda \in]0, 1[$ is arbitrary, then approximating λ by rationals r, sfrom below and above, respectively, by the monotonity we can infer that $f(\lambda) = \lambda$.

We already know the form of ϕ on the set of all projections. It is easy to see that without loss of generality we can assume that $\phi(P) = P$ holds for

6

every projection P and we then have to prove that ϕ is the identity on the whole interval [0, I]. But this is now easy. Indeed, let $A \in [0, I]$. Pick an arbitrary rank-one projection $P = P_x$, where $x \in H$ is a unit vector. Then we compute

$$P\phi(A)P = \phi(PAP) = \phi(\langle Ax, x \rangle P) = \langle Ax, x \rangle \phi(P) = \langle Ax, x \rangle P = PAP.$$

Since P was arbitrary, we obtain $\phi(A) = A$ for every $A \in [0, I]$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Since the Jordan algebra $B_s(H)$ of all self-adjoint operators also plays very important role in the mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics, we were tempted to determine the automorphisms of the set $B_s(H)$ equipped with the Jordan triple product. Observe that the following theorem has the interesting corollary that every such automorphism is automatically linear, so one can say that the linear structure of $B_s(H)$ is completely determined by its multiplicative Jordan triple structure. We remark that the question when a multiplicative function is necessarily additive was investigated for associative rings (recall that our structure is highly nonassociative) in the purely algebraic setting (see [9]).

Theorem 4. Suppose that dim $H \ge 3$. Let $\phi : B_s(H) \to B_s(H)$ be a bijective function (linearity is not assumed) satisfying

$$\phi(ABA) = \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(A) \qquad (A, B \in B_s(H)).$$

Then there is an either unitary or antiunitary operator U on H such that either

$$\phi(A) = UAU^* \qquad (A \in B_s(H))$$

or

$$\phi(A) = -UAU^* \qquad (A \in B_s(H)).$$

Proof. We first prove that $\phi(I)$ is either I or -I. Since

$$\phi(I)\phi(A)\phi(I) = \phi(A)$$

for every $A \in B_s(H)$ and ϕ is surjective, it follows that $\phi(I)^2 = I$. Therefore, we have

$$\phi(I)\phi(A) = \phi(I)\phi(A)\phi(I)\phi(I) = \phi(A)\phi(I).$$

Since this holds for every $A \in B_s(H)$, by the surjectivity of ϕ , it follows that $\phi(I)$ is in the center of B(H) and, consequently, $\phi(I)$ is a scalar. This yields that either $\phi(I) = I$ or $\phi(I) = -I$. Clearly, the function $-\phi$ is a bijective mapping of $B_s(H)$ satisfying the equation appearing in the statement. So, without loss of generality we can assume that $\phi(I) = I$.

We prove that ϕ sends projections to projections. If P is a projection, then $\phi(P)$ is self-adjoint and we have $\phi(P)^2 = \phi(P)\phi(I)\phi(P) = \phi(PIP) = \phi(P)$ which shows that $\phi(P)$ is an idempotent.

Now, we can follow the argument in the proof of Theorem 3. One can verify that ϕ preserves the partial ordering \leq in both directions and the

orthocomplementation on the set of all projections. So, we have an either unitary or antiunitary operator U on H such that

$$\phi(P) = UPU^*$$

for every projection P on H. One can check that for every rank-one projection P there exists a function $f_P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(\lambda P) = f_P(\lambda)\phi(P)$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$. We next obtain that $f_P(\lambda^2 \mu) = f_P(\lambda)^2 f_P(\mu)$ and choosing $\mu = 1$, this gives us that $f_P(\lambda^2) = f_P(\lambda)^2$. In particular, by the injectivity of f_P , from

$$f_P(-\lambda)^2 = f_P((-\lambda)^2) = f_P(\lambda^2) = f_P(\lambda)^2$$

we deduce that $f_P(-\lambda) = -f_P(\lambda)$. Since $f_P(\lambda^2 \mu) = f_P(\lambda^2)f_P(\mu)$, we get that f_P is multiplicative. One can next show that f_P does not depend on the rank-one projection P. So, there is a multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi(\lambda P) = f(\lambda)\phi(P)$$

for every real number λ and rank-one projection P on H. As for the additivity of f, just as in the proof of our previous theorem we get that

$$f(t) = f(t\lambda^2) + f(t\mu^2)$$

for every real t, where $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 = 1$. To show that f is additive, it is enough to verify that f(1) = f(t) + f(1-t) for every real t. If $t \in [0, 1]$, then we already know this. If $t \notin [0, 1]$, say t < 0, then we can refer to the equality

$$f(1-t) = f\left((1-t)\frac{1}{1-t}\right) + f\left((1-t)\frac{-t}{1-t}\right)$$

what is known to be valid since the numbers $\frac{1}{1-t}, \frac{-t}{1-t}$ belong to [0, 1] and their sum is 1. So, we have

$$f(1-t) = f(1) + f(-t) = f(1) - f(t).$$

Consequently, we obtain that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an injective multiplicative and additive function. This means that f is a nontrivial ring endomorphism of \mathbb{R} . It is well-known that f is necessarily the identity (anyway, this can be proved quite similarly to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 3). Finally, one can complete the proof of the statement just as in the case of our previous theorem.

References

- M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, Mappings which preserve idempotents, local automorpisms, and local derivations, Canad. J. Math. 45 (1993), 483–496.
- P. Busch and S.P. Gudder, *Effects as functions on projective Hilbert space*, Lett. Math. Phys. 47 (1999), 329–337.
- [3] G. Cassinelli, E. De Vito, P.J. Lahti and A. Levrero, Symmetry groups in quantum mechanics and the theorem of Wigner on the symmetry transformations, Rev. Math. Phys. 9 (1997), 921–941.
- [4] G. Cassinelli, E. De Vito, P.J. Lahti and A. Levrero, A theorem of Ludwig revisited, preprint.

- [5] S.P. Gudder, Morphisms, tensor products and σ-effect algebras, Rep. Math. Phys. 42 (1998), 321–346.
- [6] S.P. Gudder and S. Pulmannová, Representation theorem for convex effect algebras, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 39 (1998), 645–659.
- [7] I.N. Herstein, Jordan homomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1956), 331–341.
- [8] G. Ludwig, Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Vol. I, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [9] W.S. Martindale III, When are multiplicative mappings additive?, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 695–698.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY, 4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX 12, HUNGARY

E-mail address: molnarl@math.klte.hu