

ON THE WIGNER-RACAH ALGEBRA OF THE GROUP SU_2
IN A NON-STANDARD BASIS

M.R. KIBLER

*Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon
IN2P3-CNRS et Université Claude Bernard
43, Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918
F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France*

The algebra su_2 is derived from two commuting quon algebras for which the parameter q is a root of unity. This leads to a polar decomposition of the shift operators J_+ and J_- of the group SU_2 (with $J_+ = J_-^\dagger = HU_r$ where H is Hermitean and U_r unitary). The Wigner-Racah algebra of SU_2 is developed in a new basis arising from the simultaneous diagonalization of the commuting operators J^2 and U_r .

Paper based on a lecture given to the Vth International School on Theoretical Physics "Symmetry and Structural Properties of Condensed Matter" (Zajączkowo, Poland, 27 August - 2 September 1998). To be published in *Symmetry and Structural Properties of Condensed Matter*, eds. T. Lulek, B. Lulek and A. Wal (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).

ON THE WIGNER-RACAH ALGEBRA OF THE GROUP SU_2 IN A NON-STANDARD BASIS

M.R. KIBLER

*Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon
IN2P3-CNRS et Université Claude Bernard
43, Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918
F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France*

The algebra su_2 is derived from two commuting quon algebras for which the parameter q is a root of unity. This leads to a polar decomposition of the shift operators J_+ and J_- of the group SU_2 (with $J_+ = J_-^\dagger = HU_r$, where H is Hermitean and U_r unitary). The Wigner-Racah algebra of SU_2 is developed in a new basis arising from the simultaneous diagonalization of the commuting operators J^2 and U_r .

1 Introduction

The concept of a Wigner-Racah algebra (WRa) associated to a group takes its origin with the works by Wigner ¹ on a simply reducible group (with emphasis on the ordinary rotation group) and by Racah ² on chains of groups of type $SU_{2\ell+1} \supset SO_{2\ell+1} \supset SO_3$ (mainly with $\ell = 2, 3$). From a practical point of view, the WRa of a group deals with the algebraic relations satisfied by its coupling and recoupling coefficients. From a more theoretical point of view, the WRa of a finite or compact group turns out to be the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra spanned by the Wigner unit operators (i.e., the operators whose matrix elements are the coupling or Clebsch-Gordan or Wigner coefficients of the group).

The WRa of the group SU_2 is well known. It is generally developed in the standard basis $\{|j, m\rangle : 2j \in \mathbf{N}, m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j\}$ arising in the simultaneous diagonalization of the Casimir operator J^2 and of one generator, say J_3 , of SU_2 . Besides this basis, there exist several other bases. Indeed, any change of basis of type

$$|j, \mu\rangle = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j, m\rangle \langle jm|j\mu\rangle$$

(where the $(2j+1) \times (2j+1)$ matrix with elements $\langle jm|j\mu\rangle$ is an arbitrary unitary matrix) defines another acceptable basis for the WRa of SU_2 . In this basis, the matrices of the irreducible representation classes of SU_2 take a new form as well as the coupling coefficients (and the associated $3-jm$ symbols). As a matter of fact, the coupling coefficients $(j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2 |jm)$ are simply replaced

by

$$(j_1 j_2 \mu_1 \mu_2 | j \mu) = \sum_{m_1=-j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2=-j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m=-j}^j (j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2 | j m) \\ \times \langle j_1 m_1 | j_1 \mu_1 \rangle^* \langle j_2 m_2 | j_2 \mu_2 \rangle^* \langle j m | j \mu \rangle$$

when passing from the $\{jm\}$ scheme to the $\{j\mu\}$ scheme while the recoupling coefficients (and the associated $6-j$, $9-j$, \dots symbols) remain invariant.

The various bases for SU_2 may be classified into two types : group-subgroup type and non group-subgroup type. The standard basis corresponds to a group-subgroup type basis associated to the chain of groups $SU_2 \supset U_1$. Another group-subgroup type basis may be obtained by replacing U_1 by a finite group G^* (generally the double, i.e., spinor group, of a point group G of molecular or crystallographic interest). Among the $SU_2 \supset G^*$ bases, we may distinguish : the weakly symmetry adapted bases for which the basis vectors are eigenvectors of J^2 and of the projection operators of G^* (e.g., see Refs. 3-10) and the strongly symmetry adapted bases for which the basis vectors are eigenvectors of J^2 and of an operator defined in the enveloping algebra of SU_2 and invariant under the group G (e.g., see Refs. 11-13).

It is the aim of this lecture to report some preliminary results on an apparently non group-subgroup type approach to the WRa of SU_2 .

The school was dedicated to the memory of Giulio Racah. Professor Racah got interested at the end of the fifties with weakly symmetry adapted $SU_2 \supset G^*$ bases, mainly in connection with electron paramagnetic resonance of partly-filled shell ions in crystals. His ideas on this subject were developed by colleagues, students and students of his students (see for instance Refs. 3-6).

2 A Quon Realization of SU_2

2.1 Two constituent quon algebras

Let us consider the two commuting quon algebras A and B spanned by the triplets (a_-, a_+, N_a) and (b_-, b_+, N_b) of linear operators which satisfy

$$a_- a_+ - q a_+ a_- = 1, \quad N_a a_{\pm} - a_{\pm} N_a = \pm a_{\pm}, \quad (a_-)^k = (a_+)^k = 0$$

and

$$b_- b_+ - q b_+ b_- = 1, \quad N_b b_{\pm} - b_{\pm} N_b = \pm b_{\pm}, \quad (b_-)^k = (b_+)^k = 0$$

where

$$q = \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$$

The parameter q is the same for both algebras so that the two algebras A and B are indeed two copies of the same algebra. The important difference between the algebra A (or B) and the quon algebra introduced by Arik and Coon ¹⁴ is to be found in the fact that the deformation parameter q is here a root of unity instead of being a (positive) real number. As a consequence, the operators a_+ and b_+ are not the adjoints of the operators a_- and b_- , respectively. Furthermore, the operators N_a and N_b are supposed to be Hermitean operators as in the case where $q \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ (which includes the non-deformed case $q = 1$).

The nilpotency conditions

$$(a_-)^k = (a_+)^k = (b_-)^k = (b_+)^k = 0$$

take their origin in the hypothesis that $q^k = 1$. They may be justified from the relations

$$a_- (a_+)^k = (a_+)^k a_-, \quad (a_-)^k a_+ = a_+ (a_-)^k$$

and

$$N_a (a_+)^k = (a_+)^k (N_a + k), \quad (a_-)^k N_a = (N_a + k) (a_-)^k$$

(and similar relations with $a \rightarrow b$) which follow from the defining relations $a_- a_+ - q a_+ a_- = 1$ and $[N_a, a_\pm] = \pm a_\pm$ (and similar relations with $a \rightarrow b$).

The value $k = 0$ is excluded since it would lead to a non-defined value of q . The case $k = 1$ must be excluded too since it would yield trivial algebras with $a_- = a_+ = b_- = b_+ = 0$. We observe that for $k = 2$ (i.e., for $q = -1$), the algebra A (or B) corresponds to the ordinary fermionic algebra. On the other hand, we note that the algebra A (or B) corresponds to the ordinary bosonic algebra in the limiting situation where $k \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., for $q = 1$). The algebras A and B with $N_a = N_b$ may be used for defining k -fermions ¹⁵ which are objects interpolating between fermions and bosons like anyons. ¹⁶

We now define two representations of A and B . For the algebra A , we choose the representation defined by ¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned} a_+ |n_a\rangle &= |n_a + 1\rangle, & a_+ |k - 1\rangle &= 0 \\ a_- |n_a\rangle &= [n_a]_q |n_a - 1\rangle, & a_- |0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_a |n_a\rangle = n_a |n_a\rangle$$

on a unitary space $\mathcal{F}_a = \{|n_a\rangle : n_a = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ of dimension k . We use here the notation

$$[x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}$$

so that

$$[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}^*$$

Similarly for the algebra B , we take the representation defined by

$$\begin{aligned} b_+ |n_b\rangle &= [n_b + 1]_q |n_b + 1\rangle, & b_+ |k-1\rangle &= 0 \\ b_- |n_b\rangle &= |n_b - 1\rangle, & b_- |0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_b |n_b\rangle = n_b |n_b\rangle$$

on a unitary space $\mathcal{F}_b = \{|n_b\rangle : n_b = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ of dimension k .

From the spaces \mathcal{F}_a and \mathcal{F}_b , we get the Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_a \otimes \mathcal{F}_b = \{|n_a, n_b\rangle = |n_a\rangle \otimes |n_b\rangle : n_a, n_b = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$$

of finite dimension ($\dim \mathcal{F} = k^2$). Let H and U_r be two linear operators on \mathcal{F} defined as ¹⁷

$$H = \sqrt{N_a(N_b + 1)}$$

and

$$U_r = \left[a_+ + \exp\left(i\frac{\phi_r}{2}\right) \frac{(a_-)^{k-1}}{[k-1]_q!} \right] \left[b_- + \exp\left(i\frac{\phi_r}{2}\right) \frac{(b_+)^{k-1}}{[k-1]_q!} \right]$$

where ϕ_r is an arbitrary real parameter and $[k-1]_q!$ is a q -deformed factorial defined through

$$[n]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}^* \quad \text{and} \quad [0]_q! = 1$$

It is straightforward to verify that the action of U_r on \mathcal{F} is controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} U_r |k-1, n_b\rangle &= \exp\left(i\frac{\phi_r}{2}\right) |0, n_b-1\rangle \quad \text{for } n_b \neq 0 \\ U_r |n_a, n_b\rangle &= |n_a+1, n_b-1\rangle \quad \text{for } n_a \neq k-1 \quad \text{and } n_b \neq 0 \\ U_r |n_a, 0\rangle &= \exp\left(i\frac{\phi_r}{2}\right) |n_a+1, k-1\rangle \quad \text{for } n_a \neq k-1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_r |k-1, 0\rangle = \exp(i\phi_r) |0, k-1\rangle$$

As a consequence, we can prove the identity

$$(U_r)^k = \exp(i\phi_r)$$

(the unit matrix of dimension k^2 is supposed to occur in the right-hand side of the identity). The action of H of \mathcal{F} is much more simple. It is described by

$$H|n_a, n_b\rangle = \sqrt{n_a(n_b + 1)}|n_a, n_b\rangle$$

which holds for $n_a = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ and $n_b = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$.

To close this subsection, it is interesting to note that we can generate the infinite dimensional Lie algebra W_∞ from the generators of the quon algebras A and B . Indeed, by putting

$$U = U_r, \quad V = q^{N_a - N_b}$$

and

$$T_{(m_1, m_2)} = q^{m_1 m_2} U^{m_1} V^{m_2}$$

(with $m_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $m_2 \in \mathbf{N}$) we can prove that ¹⁷

$$[T_m, T_n] = -2i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{k} m \times n\right) T_{m+n}$$

where we use the abbreviations

$$m = (m_1, m_2), \quad n = (n_1, n_2)$$

and

$$m + n = (m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2), \quad m \times n = m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1$$

As a result, the operators T_ℓ span the algebra W_∞ introduced by Fairlie, Fletcher and Zachos. ¹⁸ This result parallels a similar result obtained in Ref. 15 in the study of k -fermions and of the Dirac quantum phase operator.

2.2 A quon approach to su_2

We are now in a position to introduce a realization of the generators of the non-deformed Lie algebra su_2 in terms of the operators U_r and H . By using the Schwinger trick ¹⁹

$$j = \frac{1}{2}(n_a + n_b), \quad m = \frac{1}{2}(n_a - n_b) \Rightarrow |n_a, n_b\rangle = |j + m, j - m\rangle \equiv |j, m\rangle$$

we can construct a subspace

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \{|j, m\rangle : m = -j, -j + 1, \dots, j\}$$

of the space \mathcal{F} corresponding to

$$j = \frac{k-1}{2} \quad \text{with} \quad k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$$

The possible values of j are thus $j = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. The value $j = 0$ can be seen to correspond to the limiting situation where $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The space \mathcal{F}_j of dimension $2j + 1$ is stable under H and U_r . Indeed, the action of the operators H and U_r on \mathcal{F}_j are given via

$$H|j, m\rangle = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}|j, m\rangle$$

and

$$U_r|j, m\rangle = [1 - \delta(m, j)]|j, m+1\rangle + \delta(m, j)\exp(+i\phi_r)|j, -j\rangle$$

In addition, the action on \mathcal{F}_j of the adjoint U_r^\dagger of U_r reads

$$U_r^\dagger|j, m\rangle = [1 - \delta(m, -j)]|j, m-1\rangle + \delta(m, -j)\exp(-i\phi_r)|j, j\rangle$$

(The operator U_r^\dagger is defined in terms of the adjoints a_-^\dagger , a_+^\dagger , b_-^\dagger and b_+^\dagger of a_- , a_+ , b_- and b_+ , respectively.)

It is sometimes useful to use the Dirac notation by writing

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{m=-j}^j \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}|j, m\rangle\langle j, m| \\ U_r &= \sum_{m=-j}^{j-1} |j, m+1\rangle\langle j, m| + \exp(+i\phi_r)|j, -j\rangle\langle j, j| \\ U_r^\dagger &= \sum_{m=-j+1}^j |j, m-1\rangle\langle j, m| + \exp(-i\phi_r)|j, j\rangle\langle j, -j| \end{aligned}$$

It is understood that the three preceding relations are valid as far as the operators H , U_r and U_r^\dagger act on the space \mathcal{F}_j .

We can easily check that the operator H is Hermitean and the operator U_r is unitary. As a further property, we have the identity

$$(U_r)^{2j+1} = \exp(i\phi_r)$$

modulo its action on the space \mathcal{F}_j (the unit matrix of dimension $2j + 1$ is supposed to occur in the right-hand side of the identity). The latter relation reflects the cyclical character of the operator U_r on the space \mathcal{F} .

Let us introduce the three operators

$$J_+ = HU_r, \quad J_- = U_r^\dagger H, \quad J_3 = \frac{1}{2}(N_a - N_b)$$

as functions of the generators (a_-, a_+, N_a) and (b_-, b_+, N_b) of the algebras A and B , respectively. It is immediate to check that the action on the state $|j, m\rangle$ of the operators J_+ , J_- and J_3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_+|j, m\rangle &= \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)}|j, m+1\rangle \\ J_-|j, m\rangle &= \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}|j, m-1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_3|j, m\rangle = m|j, m\rangle$$

Consequently, we have the commutation relations

$$[J_3, J_+] = +J_+, \quad [J_3, J_-] = -J_-, \quad [J_+, J_-] = 2J_3$$

which correspond to the Lie algebra of the group SU_2 .

3 An Alternative Basis for SU_2

The decomposition of the shift operators J_+ and J_- in terms of H and U_r coincides with the polar decomposition introduced by Lévy-Leblond in a completely different way.²⁰ This is easily seen by taking the matrix elements of U_r and H and by comparing these elements to the ones of the operators Υ and J_T in Ref. 20. This yields $H \equiv J_T$ and, by identifying the arbitrary phase φ of Ref. 20 to ϕ_r , we obtain that $U_r \equiv \Upsilon$. In the present paper, we take ϕ_r as

$$\phi_r = 2\pi jr$$

where $r \in \mathbf{R}$.

It is easy to prove that the Casimir operator

$$J^2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+) + J_3^2$$

or

$$J^2 = H^2 + J_3^2 - J_3 = U_r^\dagger H^2 U_r + J_3^2 + J_3$$

commutes with U_r for any value of r . For r fixed, the commuting set $\{J^2, U_r\}$ provides us with an alternative to the familiar commuting set $\{J^2, J_3\}$ of angular momentum theory. The complete set of commuting operators $\{J^2, U_r\}$ can be easily diagonalized. This leads to the following result.

Result : The eigenvalues and the common eigenfunctions of the operators U_r and J^2 are given by

$$U_r|j, \alpha; r\rangle = \exp\left(-i\alpha\frac{2\pi}{2j+1}\right)|j, \alpha; r\rangle, \quad J^2|j, \alpha; r\rangle = j(j+1)|j, \alpha; r\rangle$$

where

$$|j, \alpha; r\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{m=-j}^j \exp\left(i\alpha m\frac{2\pi}{2j+1}\right)|j, m\rangle$$

with the range of values

$$\alpha = -jr, -jr+1, \dots, -jr+2j, \quad 2j \in \mathbf{N}$$

where $r \in \mathbf{R}$.

The index μ used in the introduction is here of the form $\mu \equiv \alpha; r$. It is to be noted that the label α goes, by step of 1, from $-jr$ to $-jr+2j$. The inter-basis expansion coefficients

$$\langle jm|j\alpha; r\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \exp\left(i\alpha m\frac{2\pi}{2j+1}\right)$$

(with $m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j$ and $\alpha = -jr, -jr+1, \dots, -jr+2j$) define a unitary transformation that allows to pass from the well-known orthonormal standard basis $\{|j, m\rangle : 2j \in \mathbf{N}, m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j\}$ to the orthonormal non-standard basis $\{|j, \alpha; r\rangle : 2j \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha = -jr, -jr+1, \dots, -jr+2j\}$. Consequently, the expansion

$$|j, m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\alpha=-jr}^{-jr+2j} \exp\left(-i\alpha m\frac{2\pi}{2j+1}\right)|j, \alpha; r\rangle$$

with

$$m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j, \quad 2j \in \mathbf{N}$$

renders possible the passage from the non-standard basis to the standard basis. The non-standard basis presents some characteristics of both a group-subgroup type basis and of a non group-subgroup type basis in the sense that the label $\alpha; r$ does not correspond to some irreducible representation of a subgroup of SU_2 and that the subspace $\{|j, \alpha; r\rangle : \alpha = -jr, -jr+1, \dots, -jr+2j\}$ spans a reducible representation of the cyclic subgroup C_{2j+1} of SO_3 .

4 A New Approach to the Wigner-Racah Algebra of SU_2

4.1 Coupling and recoupling coefficients in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme

For r fixed, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGc's) $(j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j \alpha; r)$ in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme are simple linear combinations of the $SU_2 \supset U_1$ CGc's. In fact, we have

$$(j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j \alpha; r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2j_1 + 1)(2j_2 + 1)(2j + 1)}} \sum_{m_1 = -j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2 = -j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m = -j}^j \times q^{\alpha m} q_1^{-\alpha_1 m_1} q_2^{-\alpha_2 m_2} (j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2 | j m)$$

where

$$q = \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{2j + 1}\right), \quad q_1 = \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{2j_1 + 1}\right), \quad q_2 = \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{2j_2 + 1}\right)$$

The CGc's in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme satisfy the orthonormality relations

$$\sum_{j\alpha} (j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j \alpha; r) (j_1 j_2 \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 | j \alpha; r)^* = \delta(\alpha'_1, \alpha_1) \delta(\alpha'_2, \alpha_2)$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} (j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j \alpha; r)^* (j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j' \alpha'; r) = \Delta(j | j_1 \otimes j_2) \delta(j', j) \delta(\alpha', \alpha)$$

with $\Delta(j | j_1 \otimes j_2) = 1$ or 0 according to as the familiar Kronecker product $(j_1) \otimes (j_2)$ contains or does not contain the irreducible representation (j) of SU_2 . Observe that both orthonormality relations correspond to a fixed value of the real number r .

The symmetry properties of the CGc's $(j_1 j_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | j \alpha; r)$ cannot be expressed in a simple way (except the particular symmetry under the interchange $j_1 \alpha_1 \leftrightarrow j_2 \alpha_2$). Let us introduce the \bar{f}_r symbol via

$$\bar{f}_r \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2j_1 + 1)(2j_2 + 1)(2j_3 + 1)}} \sum_{m_1 = -j_1}^{j_1} \sum_{m_2 = -j_2}^{j_2} \sum_{m_3 = -j_3}^{j_3} \times q_1^{-\alpha_1 m_1} q_2^{-\alpha_2 m_2} q_3^{-\alpha_3 m_3} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$q_a = \exp\left(i \frac{2\pi}{2j_a + 1}\right) \quad \text{with } a = 1, 2, 3$$

The $3 - jm$ symbol on the right-hand side of the expansion of the \bar{f}_r symbol is an ordinary Wigner symbol for the group SU_2 in the $SU_2 \supset U_1$ basis. The \bar{f}_r symbol exhibits the same symmetry properties under permutations of its columns as the $3 - jm$ Wigner symbol : Its value is multiplied by $(-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3}$ under an odd permutation and does not change under an even permutation. It is possible to connect the \bar{f}_r symbol to the CGC's in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme via the introduction of a metric tensor (cf. Ref. 6). The values of \bar{f}_r symbols (as well as the ones of the the CGC's of SU_2 in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme) are not necessarily real numbers. For instance, we have the following property under complex conjugation

$$\bar{f}_r \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}^* = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3} \bar{f}_r \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the value of the \bar{f}_r symbol is real if $j_1 + j_2 + j_3$ is even and pure imaginary if $j_1 + j_2 + j_3$ is odd. The behavior of the \bar{f}_r symbol under complex conjugation is thus very different from the one of the ordinary $3 - jm$ Wigner symbol.

The recoupling coefficients of the group SU_2 can be expressed in terms of coupling coefficients of SU_2 in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme. As an example, the decomposition of the $6 - j$ symbol as a sum of products of four \bar{f}_r symbols requires the introduction of six metric tensors corresponding to the six arguments of the $6 - j$ symbol. In addition, the $9 - j$ symbol can be expressed in terms \bar{f}_r symbols by replacing the $3 - jm$ symbols by \bar{f}_r symbols in the decomposition of the $9 - j$ symbol in terms of a sum of products of six $3 - jm$ symbols. The reader may consult Ref. 6 for general formulas for passing from the $\{jm\}$ quantization scheme to the $\{j\mu\}$ quantization scheme.

4.2 Wigner-Eckart theorem in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme

From the spherical components $T_m^{(k)}$ (with k fixed and $m = -k, -k+1, \dots, k$) of an SU_2 irreducible tensor operator $\mathbf{T}^{(k)}$, we define the $2k+1$ components

$$T_\alpha^{(k)}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k+1}} \sum_{m=-k}^k \exp\left(i\alpha m \frac{2\pi}{2k+1}\right) T_m^{(k)}$$

with $\alpha = -kr, -kr+1, \dots, -kr+2k$. In the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme, the Wigner-Eckart theorem reads

$$\langle \tau_1 j_1 \alpha_1; r | T_\alpha^{(k)}(r) | \tau_2 j_2 \alpha_2; r \rangle = \left(\tau_1 j_1 || T^{(k)} || \tau_2 j_2 \right) f_r \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & k \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$f_r \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{2j_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j_1+1}} (j_2 j_3 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 | j_1 \alpha_1; r)^*$$

The quantity $(\tau_1 j_1 || T^{(k)} || \tau_2 j_2)$ denotes an ordinary reduced matrix element. Such an element is basis-independent. Therefore, it does not depend on the labels α_1 , α_2 and α . On the contrary, the f_r coefficient depends on the labels α_1 , α_2 and α . The f_r symbol (which is less symmetrical than the \bar{f}_r symbol) can be related to the \bar{f}_r symbol by using a metric tensor.

5 Conclusions

The main results presented in this lecture are : (i) The non-deformed Lie algebra \mathfrak{su}_2 may be constructed from two commuting q -deformed oscillator algebras with q being a root of unity ; the latter oscillator algebras are associated to (truncated) harmonic oscillators having a finite number of eigenstates. (ii) This construction leads to the polar decomposition of the generators J_+ and J_- of SU_2 originally introduced by Lévy-Leblond.²⁰ (iii) The familiar $\{J^2, J_3\}$ scheme with the standard spherical basis $\{|j, m\rangle : 2j \in \mathbf{N}, m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j\}$, corresponding to the canonical chain of groups $SU_2 \supset U_1$, is thus replaced by the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme with another basis, namely, the non-standard basis $\{|j, \alpha; r\rangle : 2j \in \mathbf{N}, \alpha = -jr, -jr+1, \dots, -jr+2j\}$. (iv) The Wigner-Racah algebra of SU_2 may be developed in the $\{J^2, U_r\}$ scheme. These various results shall be further developed in a forthcoming paper and contact with Quantum Mechanics on a finite Hilbert space, as developed by Vourdas,²¹ shall be established.

Acknowledgments

The quon approach to \mathfrak{su}_2 was developed in collaboration with Dr. M. Daoud (see Ref. 17). The author thanks Dr. M. Daoud for many discussions. He is grateful to the Organizing Committee of the 5th International School of Theoretical Physics SSPCM98, and more specifically Prof. T. Lulek, for inviting him to deliver this lecture. He is also indebted to Profs. M. Bożejko and A. Vourdas for interesting comments.

References

1. E.P. Wigner, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, eds. L.C. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1965).

2. G. Racah, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, eds. L.C. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
3. W. Low, in *Quantum Electronics I and II* (Columbia University Press, 1960 and 1961) ; in *Spectroscopic and Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, eds. F. Bloch, S.G. Cohen, A. de-Shalit, S. Sambursky and I. Talmi (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968).
4. W. Low and G. Rosengarten, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.* **12**, 319 (1964).
5. M. Flato, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.* **17**, 300 (1965).
6. M. Kibler, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.* **26**, 111 (1968) ; *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **3**, 795 (1969) ; *C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) B* **268**, 1221 (1969).
7. M.R. Kibler, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 855 (1976) ; *J. Molec. Spectrosc.* **62**, 247 (1976) ; *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **10**, 2041 (1977).
8. T. Lulek, *Acta Phys. Polon. A* **43**, 705 (1973) and **48**, 501 (1975).
9. B. Lulek, T. Lulek and B. Szczepaniak, *Acta Phys. Polon. A* **54**, 545 (1978) ; B. Lulek and T. Lulek, *Acta Phys. Polon. A* **54**, 561 (1978).
10. B. Lulek, *Acta Phys. Polon. A* **55**, 165 (1979).
11. J. Moret-Bailly, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **15**, 344 (1965) ; J.P. Champion, G. Pierre, F. Michelot and J. Moret-Bailly, *Can. J. Phys.* **55**, 512 (1977).
12. J. Patera and P. Winternitz, *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 1130 (1973) ; *J. Chem. Phys.* **65**, 2725 (1976).
13. L. Michel, in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, eds. R.T. Sharp and B. Kolman (Academic Press, New York, 1977).
14. M. Arik and D.D. Coon, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 524 (1976).
15. M. Daoud, Y. Hassouni and M. Kibler, in *Symmetries in Science X*, eds. B. Gruber and M. Ramek (Plenum Press, New York, 1998) ; *Yad. Fiz.* (to appear).
16. J.M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Nuovo Cimento B* **37**, 1 (1977). G.A. Goldin, R. Menikoff and D.H. Sharp, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 650 (1980) and **22**, 1664 (1981).
17. M. Kibler and M. Daoud, *Turkish J. Phys.* (to appear).
18. D.B. Fairlie, P. Fletcher and C.K. Zachos, *J. Math. Phys.* **31**, 1088 (1990).
19. J. Schwinger, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, eds. L.C. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1965). See also M. Kibler and G. Grenet, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 422 (1980).
20. J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, *Rev. Mex. Física* **22**, 15 (1973).
21. A. Vourdas, *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 1653 (1990) and **43**, 1564 (1991) ; A. Vourdas and C. Bendjaballah, *Phys. Rev. A* **47**, 3523 (1993) ; A. Vourdas, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 4275 (1996).