

COVARIANT FORMS OF LAX ONE-FIELD OPERATORS: FROM ABELIAN TO NON-COMMUTATIVE

SERGEY LEBLE

Gdańsk University of Technology, ul. Narutowicza 11/12, Gdańsk, Poland

Abstract. Polynomials in differentiation operators are considered. Joint covariance with respect to Darboux transformations of a pair of such polynomials (Lax pair) as a function of one-field is studied. Methodically, the transforms of the coefficients are equalized to Frechét differential (first term of the Taylor series on prolonged space) to establish the operator forms. In the commutative (Abelian) case, as it was recently proved for the KP-KdV Lax operators, it results in binary Bell (Faa de Bruno) differential polynomials having natural bilinear (Hirota) representation. Now next example of generalized Boussinesq equation with variable coefficients is studied, the dressing chain equations for the pair are derived. For a pair of generalized Zakharov-Shabat problems a set of integrable (non-commutative) potentials and hence nonlinear equations are constructed altogether with explicit dressing formulas. Some non-Abelian special functions are introduced.

1. Introduction.

Investigations of general Darboux transformation (DT) theory in the case of differential operators

$$L = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \partial^k \quad (1)$$

with non-commutative coefficients was launched by papers of Matveev [1]. The proof of a general covariance of the equation

$$\psi_t = L\psi \quad (2)$$

with respect to the classic DT (the shorthands $\psi' = \partial\psi = \psi_x$ are used through the paper)

$$\psi[1] = \psi' - \sigma\psi, \quad (3)$$

incorporates the auxiliary relation

$$\sigma_t = \partial r + [r, \sigma], \quad r = \sum_0^N a_n B_n(\sigma), \quad (4)$$

where B_n are differential Bell (Faa de Bruno [3]) polynomials [2]. The relation (4) generalizes so-called Miura map and became the identity when $\sigma = \phi' \phi^{-1}$, ϕ is a solution of the equation (2).

Such operators (1) are used in the Lax representation constructions for nonlinear problems. It opens the way to produce wide classes of solutions of the nonlinear problem. Examples of discrete, non-Abelian and non-local equations, integrable by DT was considered in [4], [5]. Some of them were reviewed and developed in the book [6] and intensely used nowadays [7]. The approach was recently generalized for a wide class of polynomials of automorphism on a differential ring [8].

A study of jointly covariant combinations introduces extra problems of the appropriate choice of potentials on which the polynomial coefficients depend [10]. This problem was recently discussed in [11], where a method of the conditions account was developed. Covariant combinations of (generalized) derivatives and potentials may be hence classified for linear problems. In two words, having the general statement about covariant form of a linear polynomial differential operator that determines transformation formulas for coefficients (Darboux theorem and its Matveev's generalizations), the consistency between two such formulas yields the special constraints. For example, the second order scalar differential operator has the only place for a potential and the covariance generate the classic Darboux transformation for it.

In scalar case such one-potential constructions have been studied in [12] and developed for higher KdV and KP equations [13]. It was found that the result is conveniently written via such combinations of differentiation operator and exponential functions of the potential as Binary Bell Polynomials (BBP) [14]. The principle is reproduced and developed in the Sec. 2.1 of this paper to give more explanations.

The whole construction in general (non-Abelian) case is more complicated, but much more rich and promising. The theory could contain two ingredients.

i) The first one would be non-Abelian Hirota construction in the terms of the mentioned binary Bell polynomials. On the level of general formulation some obstacles appears, e.g. an extension of addition formulas [14]) to the non-Abelian case .

ii) The second way relates to some generalized polynomials that could be produced as covariant combinations of operators with a faith that observations from Abelian theory could be generalized. Namely the case we would discuss in this paper.

Even the minimal (first order in the ∂ -operator) examples of the ZS problems with operator coefficients contains many interesting integrable models. It is seen already from the point of view of symmetry classification [15]. So, the link to DT covariance approach allows to hope for a realization of the main purpose - construction of covariant functions, their classification and use in the soliton equations theory.

We would begin from the example, using notations from quantum mechanics to emphasize the non-Abelian nature of the consideration. The operators ρ and H could play the roles of density matrices and Hamiltonians, respectively, but one also can think of them as just some operators without any particular quantum mechanical connotations. The approach establishes the covariance with respect to DT of rather general Lax system for the equation

$$-i\rho_t = [H, h(\rho)],$$

where $h(\rho)$ - analytic function, in some sense -"Abelian", i.e. the function to be defined by Taylor series [19]. More exactly it is shown that the following statement takes place

Theorem. *Assume $\langle\chi|$ and $\langle\psi|$ are solutions of the following (direct) equations*

$$\begin{aligned} z_\nu\langle\chi| &= \langle\chi|(\rho - \nu H), \\ -i\langle\chi_t| &= \frac{1}{\nu}\langle\chi|h(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

and $|\varphi\rangle$ stands for the conjugate pair. Here ρ, H are operators left-acting on a "bra" vectors $\langle\psi|$ associated with an element of a Hilbert space. The transforms $\langle\psi_1|, \rho_1, h(\rho)_1$ are defined by

$$\langle\psi_1| = \langle\psi|\left(1 + \frac{\nu - \mu}{\mu - \lambda}P\right), \quad (5)$$

$$\rho_1 = T\rho T^{-1}, h_1(\rho) = Th(\rho)T^{-1}, \quad T = \left(1 + \frac{\mu - \nu}{\nu}P\right). \quad (6)$$

where $P = |\varphi\rangle\langle\chi|\varphi\rangle\langle\chi|$. Then the pairs are covariant:

$$z_\lambda\langle\psi_1| = \langle\psi_1|(\rho_1 - \lambda H), \quad -i\langle\psi_1|_t = \frac{1}{\lambda}\langle\psi_1|h_1(\rho).$$

complex numbers λ, z_λ are independent of t [19].

The cases $f(\rho) = i\rho^3$ and $f(\rho) = i\rho^{-1}$ were considered in [22], see applications in [17]. A step to further generalizations for essentially non-Abelian functions, e.g. $h(X) = XA + AX, [A, X] \neq 0$, is studied in [16]. The case is the development of the matrix representation of the Euler top model [20]. This example of the theory is more close to the spirit of the

sec. 3.4, more achievements are demonstrated in [21], where abundant set of integrable equations is listed. The list is in a partial correspondence with [15], and give the usual for the DT technique link to solutions via the iteration procedures or dressing chains. One of the main results, we present in the sec. 3.3, is how the "true" non-Abelian functions appear in the context of the covariance conditions application.

2. One-field Lax pair for Abelian case.

2.1. COVARIANCE EQUATIONS

First we would reproduce the "Abelian" scheme, generalizing the study of the example of the Boussinesq equation [11]. To start with the search we should fix the number of fields. Let us consider the third order operator (1) with coefficients $b_k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, reserving a_k for the second operator in a Lax pair. Suppose, both operators depend on the only potential function w . The problem we consider now may be formulated as follows: To find restrictions on the coefficients $b_3(t), b_2(x, t), b_1 = b(w, t), b_0 = G(w, t)$ compatible with DT transformations rules of the potential function w induced by DT for b_i . The classic DT for the third order operator coefficients (Matveev generalization [1]) yields

$$b_2[1] = b_2 + b'_3, \quad (7)$$

$$b_1[1] = b_1 + b'_2 + 3b_3\sigma', \quad (8)$$

$$b_0[1] = b_0 + b'_1 + \sigma b'_2 + 3b_3(\sigma\sigma' + \sigma''), \quad (9)$$

having in mind that the "elder" coefficient b_3 does not transform. Note also, that $b'_3 = 0$ yields invariance of the coefficient b_2 .

The general idea of DT form-invariance may be realized considering the coefficients transforms to be consistent with respect to the fixed transform of w . Generalizing the analysis of the third order operator transformation [11], one arrives at the equations for the functions $b_2(x, t), b(w, t), G(w)$. The covariance of the spectral equation

$$b_3\psi_{xxx} + b_2(x, t)\psi_{xx} + b(w, t)\psi_x + G(w, t)\psi = \lambda\psi \quad (10)$$

may be considered separately, that leads to the link between b_i only. We, however, study the problem of the (10) in the context of Lax representation for some nonlinear equation, hence the covariance of the second Lax equation is taken into account from the very beginning. We name such principle as the "*principle of joint covariance*" [10]. The second (evolution) equation of the case is :

$$\psi_t = a_2(t)\psi_{xx} + a_1(t)\psi_x + w\psi, \quad (11)$$

with the operator in the r.h.s. having again the form of (1). We do not consider here a dependence of a_i, b_i on x for the sake of brevity, leaving this interesting question to the next paper.

If one consider the L and A operators of the form (1), specified in equations (10) and (11). as the Lax pair equations, the DT of w implied by the covariance of (11), should be compatible with DT formulas of both coefficients of (10) depending on the only variable w .

$$\begin{aligned} a_2[1] &= a_2 = a(x, t), \\ a_1[1] &= a_1(x, t) + Da(x, t) \\ a_0[1] = w[1] &= w + a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Next important relations being in fact the identities in the DT transformation theory [2], are the particular cases of the generalized Miura map (4):

$$\sigma_t = [a_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_x) + a_1\sigma + w]_x \quad (13)$$

for the problem (11) and, for the (10)

$$\begin{aligned} b_3(\sigma^3 + 3\sigma_x\sigma + \sigma_{xx}) + b_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_x) + b(w, t)\sigma + G(w) &= \text{const}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

ϕ is a solution of both Lax equations.

Suppose now that the coefficients of the operators are analytical functions of w together with its derivatives (or integrals) with respect to x (such functions are named functions on prolonged space [24]). For the coefficient $b_0 = G(w, t)$ it means

$$G = G(\partial^{-1}w, w, w_x, \dots, \partial^{-1}w_t, w_t, w_{tx}, \dots). \quad (15)$$

The covariance condition is obtained for the Frechêt derivative (FD) of the function G on the prolonged space, or the first terms of multidimensional Taylor series for (15), read

$$\begin{aligned} G(w + a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2) &= G(w) + \\ G_{w_x}(a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2)' &+ \dots \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We shall show only the terms of further importance.

Quite similar expansion arises for the coefficient $b_1 = b(w, t)$, with which we would start in the analogy with the expressions (8, 16). Equalizing the DT and the expansion one obtains the condition

$$b'_2 + 3b_3\sigma' = b_w(a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2) + b_{w'}(a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2)' \dots \quad (17)$$

This equation we name the (first) "*joint covariance equation*" that guarantee the consistency between transformations of the coefficients of the Lax pair ((10),11). In the frame of our choice $a'_2 = 0$, the equation simplifies and linear independence of the derivatives $\sigma^{(n)}$ yields two constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 3b_3 &= 2b_w a_2, \\ b'_2 &= b_w a'_1, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

or, solving the second and plugging into the first, results in

$$\begin{aligned} b_w &= 3b_3/2a_2, \\ b'_2 &= 3b_3 a'_1/2a_2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

So, if one wants to save the form of the standard DT for the variable w (potential) the simple comparison of both transformation formulas gives for $b(w)$ the following connection (with arbitrary function $\alpha(t)$)

$$b(w, t) = 3b_3 w/2a_2 + \alpha(t). \quad (20)$$

Equalizing the expansion (16) with the transform of the $b_0 = G(w, t)$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} b'_1 + \sigma b'_2 + 3b_3(\sigma^2/2 + \sigma')' = \\ G_{w_x}(a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2)' + G_{\partial^{-1}w_t}[a_{1t} + 2\partial^{-1}(a_2\sigma'_t) + \partial^{-1}(\sigma a'_2)_t] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This second "*joint covariance equation*" also simplifies when $a'_2 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} 3b_3 w'/2a_2 + \sigma b'_2 + 3b_3(\partial^{-1}\sigma_t - w)'/2a_2 + 3b_3\sigma''/2 = G_{w_x}(a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma')' + \\ G_{\partial^{-1}w}[a_1 + 2a_2\sigma] + G_{\partial^{-1}w_t}[a_{1t} + 2a_2\sigma_t] + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

when (20) is accounted. Note, that the "Miura" (13) is used in the l.h.s. and linearizes the FD with respect to σ . Therefore, the derivatives of the function G

$$\begin{aligned} G_{w_x} &= 3b_3/4a_2, \\ G_{\partial^{-1}w_t} &= 3b_3/4a_2^2, \\ G_{\partial^{-1}w} &= b'_2/2a_2, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

are accompanied by the constraint

$$a_{1t} + a_2 a''_1 + a_1 a'_1 = 0, \quad (24)$$

which have got the form of the Burgers equation after (19) account. Finally the integration of the relation (19) gives

$$b_2 = 3b_3 a_1/2a_2 + \beta(t) \quad (25)$$

and the "lower" coefficient of the third order operator is expressed by

$$G(w, t) = 3b_3 w_x / 2a_2 + 3b_3 a_1' \partial^{-1} w / 2(a_2)^2 + 3b_3 \partial^{-1} w_t / 2a_2^2. \quad (26)$$

Statement 1 The expressions (11, 10, 20, 26) define the covariant Lax pair when the constraints (19, 24) are valid.

Remark We cut the Fréchet differential formulas on the level that is necessary for the minimal flows. The account of higher terms leads to the whole hierarchy [13].

2.2. COMPATIBILITY CONDITION.

In the case $a_2' = 0$ by which we have restricted ourselves, the Lax system (10, 11) produces the following compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_2 b_3' &= 3b_3 a_2', \\ b_{3t} &= 2a_2 b_2' - 3b_3 a_1'', \\ b_{2t} &= a_2 b_2'' + 2a_2 b_1' + a_1 b_2' - 3b_3 a_1'' - 2b_2 a_1' - 3b_3 a_0' \\ b_{1t} &= a_2 b_1'' + a_1 b_1' - b_3 a_1''' - b_2 a_1'' - b_1 a_1' - 3b_3 a_0'' - 2b_2 a_0' + 2a_2 b_0' \\ b_{0t} &= a_1 b_0' + a_2 b_0'' - b_1 a_0' - b_2 a_0'' - b_3 a_0''' \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In the particular case of $a_2 = 0$ we extract at once from the first of the equalities (27) the constraint $b_3' = 0$. The direct corollary of (25) is $b_{3t} = 0$. In the rest of the equations the links (27,25) are taken into account. Hence (24) in the combination with the expression for b_{2t} produce

$$\beta_t = -2\beta a_1'. \quad (28)$$

The last two equations (choice of constants $b_3 = 1, a_2 = -1$) become

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha w + \alpha_t + 3a_1'' \partial^{-1} w / 2 + (2\beta - 3a_1 / 2) w' + a_1''' + 3a_1 a_1'' / 2 &= 0 \\ 3\partial^{-1} (w_t + a_1 w)_t / 4 = (\alpha - 3w / 2) w' - w''' / 4 + \\ 3a_1 w_t / 4 + 3a_1 a_1'' \partial^{-1} w / 4 + 3a_1 a_1' w / 4 - 3a_1' w' / 4 + \\ (\beta + 3a_1 / 4) w'' &. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In the simplest case of constant coefficients ($b_2' = a_1' = 0$) one goes down to

$$\begin{aligned} 3b_3 (w_t + a_1 w)_t / 4 a_2^2 = \\ -[(3b_3 w / 2 a_2 + \alpha) w' - b_3 w''' / 4 + 3b_3 a_1 w_t / 4 a_2^2 + (\beta - 3b_3 a_1 / 4 a_2) w'']'. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This equation reduces to the standard Boussinesq equation when ($b_1 = a_1 = 0, b_3 = 1, a_2 = -1$) [6].

We would repeat that the results given in the Sec 2 are simplified to show more clear the algorithm of the covariant Lax pair derivation. More general study ($a_2' \neq 0$) will be published elsewhere.

2.3. SOLUTIONS. DRESSING CHAINS FOR THE BOUSSINESQ EQUATION

The dressing formula for the zero seed potential (39) is standard and includes the only seed solution ϕ , of the Lax equations with zero potential w .

$$w_s = a'_1 + 2a_2\sigma' + \sigma a'_2 = a'_1 + 2a_2 \log_{xx} \phi(x, t) \quad (31)$$

A next power tool to obtain solutions of nonlinear system is the dressing chain equation: solitonic, finite-gap and other important solutions were obtained for the KdV equations reducing such chain [23]. Going to the dressing chain, we use the scheme from [11]. We would restrict ourselves further to the case of $a_2 = -1, a_0 = u, b_3 = 1, b_2 = 0, b_1 = b(u, t) = -3u/2 + \alpha, G = -3u'/4 + 3\partial^{-1}u_t$ to fit the notations from [11]. The general construction is quite similar.

The Miura equations (13, 14) also simplifies:

$$\sigma_t = -(\sigma^2 + \sigma_x)_x + u_x \quad (32)$$

for the problem (11) and

$$\sigma^3 + 3\sigma_x\sigma + \sigma_{xx} + b\sigma + G = const, \quad (33)$$

where $b = 3u/2 + \alpha, G = -3\partial^{-1}u_t/4 + 3u_x/4$.

Namely the equations (32, 33) together with the n-fold iterated DT formula (5)

$$u_{n+1} = u_n - 2\sigma'_n \quad (34)$$

form the basis to produce the DT dressing chain equations.

We express the iterated potential w_n from (32)

$$-\sigma_{nt} + (\sigma_n^2 + \sigma'_n)' = u'_n \quad (35)$$

and substitute it into the differentiated relation (34) to get the first dressing chain equation

$$\sigma_{n+1,t} - \sigma_{nt} = (\sigma_{n+1}^2 + \sigma'_{n+1})' - (\sigma_n^2 - \sigma'_n)'. \quad (36)$$

Next chain equation is obtained when one plugs the potential from (35) to the iterated (33)

$$\sigma_n^3 + 3\sigma'_n\sigma_n + \sigma''_n + (-3u_n/2 + \alpha)\sigma_n + -3u'_n/4 + 3\partial^{-1}u_{nt} = c_n. \quad (37)$$

3. Non-Abelian case. Zakharov-Shabat (ZS) problem.

3.1. JOINT COVARIANCE CONDITIONS FOR GENERAL ZS EQUATIONS

Let us change notations for the first order (n=1) equation (1) with the coefficients from a non-Abelian differential ring A (for details of the mathematical objects definitions see [2]) as follows

$$\psi_t = (J + u\partial)\psi, \quad (38)$$

where the operator $J \in A$ does not depend on x, y, t and the potential $a_0 \equiv u = u(x, y, t) \in A$ is a function of all variables. The operator $\partial = \partial/\partial x$ may be considered as a general differentiation as in [2]. The transformed potential

$$\tilde{u} = u + [J, \sigma], \quad (39)$$

where the $\sigma = \phi_x \phi^{-1}$, is defined by the same formula as before, but the order of elements is important. The covariance of the operator in (38) follows from general transformations of the coefficients of a polynomial [6]. The coefficient J does not transform.

Suppose the second operator of a Lax pair has the same form, but with different entries and derivatives.

$$\psi_y = (Y + w\partial)\psi, \quad (40)$$

$Y \in A$ where the potential $w = F(u) \in A$ is a function of the potential of the first (38) equation. The principle of joint covariance [10] hence reads:

$$\tilde{w} = w + [Y, \sigma] = F(u + [J, \sigma]), \quad (41)$$

with the direct corollary

$$F(u) + [Y, \sigma] = F(u + [J, \sigma]). \quad (42)$$

So, the equation (42) defines the function $F(u)$, we shall name this equation as **joint covariance equation**. In the case of Abelian algebra we used the Taylor series (generalized by use of a Frechet derivative) to determine the function. Now some more generalization is necessary. Let us make some general remarks.

An operator-valued function $F(u)$ of an operator u in a Banach space may be considered as a generalized Taylor series with coefficients that are expressed in terms of Frechèt derivatives. The linear in u part of the series approximates (in a sense of the space norm) the function

$$F(u) = F(0) + F'(0)u + \dots$$

The representation is not unique and the similar expression

$$F(u) = F(0) + u\hat{F}'(0) + \dots$$

may be introduced (definitions are given in Appendix). Both expressions however are not Hermitian, hence not suitable for the majority of physical models. It means, that the class of such operator functions is too restrictive. To explain what we have in mind, let us consider examples.

3.2. IMPORTANT EXAMPLE

From a point of view of the physical modelling the following Hermitian approximation

$$F(u) = F(0) + H^+u + uH + \dots, \quad u^+ = u,$$

is preferable. Such models could be applied to quantum theories: introduction of this approximation is similar to "phi in quadro" (Landau-Ginzburg) model [16]. Let us study, in which conditions the function

$$w = F(u) = Hu + uH, \quad (43)$$

satisfy the joint covariance condition for the Lax pair (38, 40) By direct calculation in (42) one arrives at the equality

$$[Y, \sigma] = H[J, \sigma] + [J, \sigma]H. \quad (44)$$

The obvious choice for arbitrary σ is $Y = H^2, J = H$.

The compatibility conditions for the pair of equations (38) and (40) yields

$$u_y - Hu_t - u_tH + [u, H]u + u[u, H] + H^2u_x + Hu_xH + H^2u_x = 0 \quad (45)$$

If the potential does not depend on t, it is reduced to the next equation:

$$u_y + [u^2, H] + H^2u_x + Hu_xH + H^2u_x = 0, \quad (46)$$

and x-independence yields the generalized Euler top equations

$$u_y + [u^2, H] = 0, \quad (47)$$

which Lax pair (38,40) with $Y = J^2, J = H$ was found by Manakov [20].

3.3. COVARIANT COMBINATIONS OF SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

The next natural example appears if one examine the link (44).

$$P_2(H, u) = H^2u + HuH + uH^2$$

The direct substitution in the covariance and compatibility equations leads to covariant constraint that turns to the identity, if $Y = H^3, J = H$.

It is easy to check more general connection $Y = J^n, J = H$ connection that leads to the covariance of the function

$$P_n(H, u) = \sum_{p=0}^n H^{n-p} u H^p.$$

Such observation was exhibited in [16]. On the way of a further generalization let us consider

$$f(H, u) = Hu + uH + S^2u + SuS + uS^2 \quad (48)$$

Plugging (48) as $F(u) = f(H, u)$ into (42), representing $Y = AB + CDE$ yields

$$A[B, \sigma] + [A, \sigma]B + CD[E, \sigma] + C[D, \sigma]E + [C, \sigma]DE = H[J, \sigma] + [J, \sigma]H + S^2[J, \sigma] + S[J, \sigma]S + [J, \sigma]S^2.$$

The last expression turns to identity if $A = B = J = H, C = \alpha H, D = \alpha H, S = \beta H$ and $[\alpha, H] = 0, [\beta, H] = 0$ with the link $\alpha^3 = \beta^2$.

Statement *Darboux covariance define a class of homogeneous polynomials $P_n(H, u)$, symmetric with respect to cyclic permutations. A linear combination of such polynomials $\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n P_n(H, u)$ with the coefficients commuting with u, H is also covariant, if the element $Y = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n H^{n+1}$ and $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1, \alpha_n^{n+2} = \beta^{n+1}, n \neq 1$.*

A proof could be made by induction that is based on homogeneity of the P_n and linearity of the constraints with respect to u . The functions $F_H(u) = \sum_0^\infty a_n P_{Hn}(u)$ satisfy the constraints if the series converges.

4. Conclusion

The main result of this paper is the covariant equation (42). See, also the example (44). A class of potentials from [21] contains polynomials $P_n(H, u)$ and give alternative expressions for it. The linear combinations, introduced here could better reproduce physical situation of interest. So, we used the compatibility condition to find the form of integrable equation and reduction tracing the simplifications appearing for the subclasses of covariant potentials. While doing this we also check the invariance of the equation and heredity of the constraints.

The work is also supported by KBN grant 5P03B 040 20.

References

1. Matveev, V.B. (1979) Darboux transformation and explicit solutions of the Kadomtcev-Petviaschvily equation, depending on functional parameters *Lett. Math.*

- Phys.* **3** 213-216, Darboux transformation and the explicit solutions of differential-difference and difference-difference evolution equations, 217-222, Some comments on the rational solutions of the Zakharov-Schabat equations, 503-512.
2. Zaitsev, A.A. and Leble, S.B. (2000) Division of differential operators, intertwine relations and Darboux transformations Preprint 12.01.1999 math-ph/9903005; ROMP, v.46, p.155.
 3. Faà di Bruno (1857) Notes sur une nouvelle formule de calcul différentiel Q.J. *Pure Appl. Math.* **1** 359-360.
 4. Salle, M. (1982) Darboux transformations for nonabelian and nonlocal Toda-chain-type equations. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **53** 227–237 .
 5. Leble, S. and Salle, M. (1985) The Darboux Transformations for the Discrete Analogue of the Silin-Tikhonchuk Equation *Dokl. AN SSSR* **284**, 110-114.
 6. Matveev ,V.B. and Salle, M. A. (1991): *Darboux transformations and solitons*, Springer, Berlin.
 7. Roger, C. and Schiff, W. K. (2002) *Backlund and Darboux Transformations*. Cambridge University Press.
 8. Matveev, V.B. (2000) Darboux Transformations, Covariance Theorems and Integrable Systems. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) Vol. **201**, 179-209.
 9. Schimming, R. and Rida, S. Z. (1996) Noncommutative Bell polynomials *Int. J. of Algebra and Computation* **6**, 635–644 .
 10. Leble S. (1991) Darboux transforms algebras in 2+1 dimensions. *Proceedings of NEEDS-91 Workshop, World Sci., Singapore* , p.53-61.
 11. Leble S. (2001) Covariance of Lax pairs and integrability of compatibility condition, nlin.SI/0101028, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **128**, 890-905.
 12. Lambert, F., Leble, S., and Springael J. (2001) Binary Bell Polynomials and Darboux Covariant Lax Pairs. *Glasgow Math. Journal* **43A**, 55-63.
 13. Lambert F., Loris, I. and Springael J. (2001) Classical Darboux transformations and the KP hierarchy *Inverse Problems* **17** , 1067-1074.
 14. Gilson, C., Lambert, F., Nimmo, J. and Willox, R. (1996) *Proc. R. Soc. Lond A* **452**, 223-234 .
 15. Mikhailov, A. and Sokolov, V. (2000) Integrable ordinary differential equations on free associative algebras *Theor. Math. Phys.* , **122**, 72-83.
 16. Leble, S.B. and Czachor, M. (1998) *Darboux-integrable nonlinear Liouville-von Neumann equation* quant-ph/9804052, *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, N6,.
 17. Minic A (2002) "Nambu-type quantum mechanics: a nonlinear generalization of geometric QM." *Phys. Lett. B* **536**, 305-314. hep-th/0202173
 18. Kuna, M., Czachor, M. and Leble, S.(1999) Nonlinear von Neumann equations: Darboux invariance and spectra, *Phys. Lett. A*, **255**,42–48, .
 19. Ustinov N., Leble S., Czachor M. and Kuna M. (2001) "Darboux-integration of $\psi_t = [H, f(\rho)]$ " quant-ph/0005030, *Phys. Lett. A*.**279**, 333-340.
 20. Manakov, S. V. (1976) A remark on the integration of the Eulerian equations of the dynamics of an n -dimensional rigid body. (Russian) *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Pril.* **10**, 93–94 M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke,(1980) Completely integrable systems, Euclidean Lie algebras, and curves. *Adv.in Math.* **38**, 267–357.
 21. Czachor M. Ustinov N. New Class of Integrable Nonlinear von Neumann-Type equations, arXiv:nlin.SI/0011013 7 Nov 2000.
 22. Czachor, M. Leble, S. Kuna, M. and Naudts, J. (2000) *Nonlinear von Neumann type equations. "Trends in Quantum Mechanics" Proceedings of the International symposium, ed. H.-D. Doebner et al* World Sci, p 209-226.
 23. Weiss, J. (1986) Periodic fixed points of Bäcklund transformations and the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Math. Phys.* , **27**, p.2647–2656.
 24. Olver, P. G. (1986). Applications of Lie groups to differential equations. Graduate texts in Mathematics, **107** Springer, Berlin.

Appendix. Right and left Frechêt derivatives The classic notion of a

derivative of an operator by other one is defined in a Banach space B . Two specific features in the case of an operator-function $F(u) \in B, u \in B$ should be taken into account: a norm choice when a limiting procedure is made and the nonabelian character of expressions while the differential and difference are introduced.

Definition. Let a Banach space B have a structure of a differential ring. Let F be the operator from B to B' defined on the open set of B . The operator is named the left-differentiable in $u_0 \in B$ if there exist a linear restricted operator $L(u_0)$, acting also from B to B' with the property

$$L(u_0 + h) - L(u_0) = L(u_0)h + \alpha(u_0, h), \|\alpha(u_0, h)\|/\|h\| \rightarrow 0, \quad (49)$$

where $\|\alpha(u_0, h)\|/\|h\| \rightarrow 0$. The operator $L(u_0) = F'(u_0)$ is referred to as the operator of the (strong) left derivative of the function $F(u)$. The right derivative $\hat{F}'(u_0)$ could be defined by the similar expression and conditions, if one changes $Lh \rightarrow h\hat{L}$ in the equality (49).

The addition of the half of the right and left differentials

$$(F'(u_0)h + h\hat{F}'(u_0))/2 \quad (50)$$

also approximates the difference $L(u_0 + h) - L(u_0)$ in the sense of the FD definition.