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#### Abstract

This paper is an electronic application to my set of lectures, subject:'Formal methods in solving differential equations and constructing models of physical phenomena'. Addressed, mainly: postgraduates and related readers. Content: a very detailed discussion of the simple model of interaction based on the equation array: $$
z\binom{q}{u}-\left(\begin{array}{cc} -\Omega^{2} & \Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\ 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2} \end{array}\right)\binom{q}{u}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

Besides, less detailed discussion of related models. Central mathematical points: Finite Rank Perturbations Methods, Resolvents formulae, Donoghuelike models, Friedrichs-like models. Central physical points: phenomenon of Resonance and notion of Second Sheet.

Hereafter I use a P.A.M. Dirac's "bra-ket" syntax and suppose that $B$ stands for an abstract linear operator, $l$ for a linear functional, $u, w_{2}, \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}$ for abstract elements; $q, w_{1} z, \Omega, \gamma_{c}$ stand for numbers. $q, u$ are objects to be found, the others are arbitrarily given.


## Introduction.

## A Harmonic Oscillator Coupled to an One-Dimensional Scalar Field.

In a previous paper I had discussed several models of an one-dimensional harmonic oscillator coupled to an one-dimensional scalar field. Primarily I was interested in the models which one can describe by the equation array

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{q} & =-\Omega^{2}(q-Q)+f_{0}(t) \\
\ddot{u} & =B u-4 \gamma_{c}\left(\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}\right) \cdot(Q-q)+f_{1}(t) \\
Q & =Q(t)=<l \mid u(t)>
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as the models which one can describe by the equation array

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{q}_{0} & =-\Omega^{2} q_{0}+\gamma_{1} Q_{\phi}+f_{0}(t) \\
\ddot{\phi} & =B \phi+4 \gamma_{2, c}\left(\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}\right) \cdot q_{0}+f_{1}(t) \\
Q_{\phi} & =Q_{\phi}(t)=<l \mid \phi(t)>
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter I use a P.A.M. Dirac's "bra-ket" syntax and suppose that $q$ and $Q, q_{0}$ and $Q_{\phi}$ are usual (one-dimensional) functions of $t$ :

$$
q=q(t), \quad Q=Q(t), \quad q_{0}=q_{0}(t), \quad Q_{\phi}=Q_{\phi}(t)
$$

$B$ is an abstract linear operator, $l$ is a linear functional, $\{u(t)\}_{t},\{\phi(t)\}_{t}$ and $\left\{\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}\right\}_{t}$ are families of abstract elements; of course the type of $\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}$ must be the same as one of $u(t)$ or resp. $\{\phi(t)\}_{t}$.

Normally I supposed that $\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}$ did not depend on $t$, i.e. was constant in $t$. In that case I wrote $\delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}$ instead of $\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}$.

In this second paper I will suppose that $\delta_{\alpha, t, x_{0}}$ is constant in $t$ as well, and rewrite the above equations as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{\ddot{q}}{\ddot{u}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{u}+\binom{f_{0}(t)}{f_{1}(t)} \\
\binom{\ddot{q}_{0}}{\ddot{\phi}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \gamma_{1}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{2, c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B
\end{array}\right)\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}+\binom{f_{0}(t)}{f_{1}(t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the subscribts 1 and 2 in $<\left.\cdots\right|_{1}$ and $<\left.\cdots\right|_{2}$ mean that arguments of $<\left.\cdots\right|_{1}$ are elements of the first component of the vector $\binom{q}{u}$ resp. $\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}$ and arguments of $<\left.\cdots\right|_{2}$ are elements of the second component of the suitable vector. 1 Of course,

$$
<1|q>=<1|_{1} q>=q, \quad<1\left|q_{0}>=<1\right|_{1} q_{0}>=q_{0}
$$

The subject will primarily be resolvents formulae i.e. the formulae that resolve the equation array:

$$
z\binom{q}{u}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{u}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

[^0]resp.
\[

z\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}-\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \gamma_{1}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{2, c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B
\end{array}
$$\right)\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
\]

Of course, here all quantities, $q, u, w_{1}, w_{2}, \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}, l$, etc. are supposed to be constant in $t$.

In the previous paper I had discussed d'Alembert-like solutions to the systems and resp. phenomena of Radiation Reaction, Braking Radiation and Resonance.

In this paper I will discuss Donoghue-Friedrichs-like solutions to the systems 2 and resp. the phenomenon of Resonance and notion of the Second Sheet.

[^1]
## 1 Finite Rank Perturbations. Abstract Formulae.

### 1.1 Simple Cases. Rank-One Perturbations and Rank-Two Perturbations

### 1.1.1 Rank-One Perturbations.

The simplest case of the problem looks like this: Suppose, we can find $v_{0}$ to an equation

$$
A v_{0}=w_{0}
$$

for any given $w_{0}$, where $A$ is an invertible linear operator, and suppose, we need to find any $v$ to

$$
A v-f_{a}<l_{a} \mid v>=w
$$

where $<l_{a} \mid$ is a given linear functional, and the elements $f_{a}, w$ are given, as well.
One is used to saying concisely: we need

$$
\left(A-f_{a}<l_{a} \mid\right)^{-1} w
$$

Then we do as follows:
First, write

$$
A v=w+f_{a}<l_{a} \mid v>
$$

and notice,
in order to obtain $v$ we need to obtain ONLY $<l_{a} \mid v>$

Actually,

$$
\begin{gathered}
v=A^{-1}\left(w+f_{a}<l_{a} \mid v>\right) \\
v=A^{-1} w+<l_{a} \mid v>A^{-1} f_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

So,

$$
v=A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}, \quad \text { where } c_{a}:=<l_{a} \mid v>
$$

Apply now this form to itself and to the recent relationship, consequently:

$$
v=A^{-1} w+<l_{a} \mid v>A^{-1} f_{a}
$$

then

$$
<l_{a}\left|v>=<l_{a}\right|\left(A^{-1} w+<l_{a} \mid v>A^{-1} f_{a}\right)>
$$

i.e.,

$$
c_{a}=<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}>
$$

On the other hand, to find a $c_{a}$, it is sufficient to fulfil

$$
A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}=A^{-1} w+<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}>A^{-1} f_{a}
$$

i.e.,

$$
c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}=<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}>A^{-1} f_{a}
$$

This relation is fulfilled, if

$$
c_{a}=<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}>
$$

It is the same equation to $c_{a}$ as above. Therefore, we need to solve this only scalar equation and set $v=A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}$. To do it, recall, $f$ is linear. Hence

$$
c_{a}=<l_{a}\left|A^{-1} w>+c_{a}<l_{a}\right| A^{-1} f_{a}>
$$

Thus we have seen,

$$
\left(1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>\right) c_{a}=<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>
$$

and hence

$$
c_{a}=\frac{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>}, \text { when } 1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>\neq 0
$$

Finally

$$
\left(A-f_{a}<l_{a} \mid\right)^{-1} w=A^{-1} w+A^{-1} f_{a} \frac{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>}, \text { when } 1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>\neq 0
$$

### 1.1.2 Rank-Two Perturbations.

A problem connected with Rank-Two Perturbations looks quite similarly: We know $A^{-1}$ and need

$$
\left(A-f_{a}<l_{a}\left|-f_{b}<l_{b}\right|\right)^{-1}
$$

More precisely, we need to solve the associated equation.
We can do it in two ways: we can iterate the final formulae of the previous subsubsection, and we can iterate the argumentations of one. In the former way, we first calculate

$$
\left(A-f_{a}<l_{a} \mid\right)^{-1}
$$

and then

$$
\left(B-f_{b}<l_{b} \mid\right)^{-1} \text { where } B:=A-f_{a}<l_{a} \mid
$$

Describe the latter way.
The equation to be solved is this:

$$
A v-f_{a}<l_{a}\left|v>-f_{b}<l_{b}\right| v>=w
$$

We rewrite it firstly as

$$
A v=w+f_{a}<l_{a}\left|v>+f_{b}<l_{b}\right| v>
$$

and this relation, we rewrite it as
$v=A^{-1}\left(w+f_{a}<l_{a}\left|v>+f_{b}<l_{b}\right| v>\right)=A^{-1} w+A^{-1} f_{a}<l_{a}\left|v>+A^{-1} f_{b}<l_{b}\right| v>$ where we have used the fact that $A^{-1}$ is linear. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
v=A^{-1}\left(w+c_{a} f_{a}+c_{b} f_{b}\right)=A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b}, \\
\text { where } c_{a}:=<l_{a}\left|v>, c_{b}:=<l_{b}\right| v>
\end{gathered}
$$

Apply now this form to itself and to the previous relationship. Then obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+ & c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b} \\
= & A^{-1} f_{a}<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
& +A^{-1} f_{b}<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b}>
\end{aligned}
$$

To fulfil these relations, it is sufficient to satisfy the relations ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{a}=<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
& c_{b}=<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b}>
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., 4

$$
\begin{array}{|cc}
\hline\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> \\
-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> \\
1-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
f_{b}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{a}}{c_{b}}=\binom{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w>} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, if detrminant $\neq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{c_{a}}{c_{b}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> & -<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> & 1-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}>
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w>} \\
\binom{c_{a}}{c_{b}}=\frac{1}{\text { determinant }}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}> & <l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> & 1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w>}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(A-f_{a}<l_{a}\left|-f_{b}<l_{b}\right|\right)^{-1} w=A^{-1} w+c_{a} A^{-1} f_{a}+c_{b} A^{-1} f_{b} \\
v=A^{-1} w+\left(A^{-1} f_{a} \oplus A^{-1} f_{b}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> & -<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}> \\
-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{a}> & 1-<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} f_{b}>
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{<l_{a} \mid A^{-1} w>}{<l_{b} \mid A^{-1} w>}
\end{gathered}
$$

[^2]
### 1.2 Finite Rank Perturbations of Matrix-operator.

The variant of the previous problems, we will deal, looks like this: Suppose, we can solve an equation array

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & 0 \\
0 & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{v_{01}}{v_{02}}=\binom{w_{01}}{w_{02}}
$$

and suppose, we need to solve an equation array

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11}-f_{11}<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1} & -f_{12}<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2} \\
-f_{21}<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1} & A_{22}-f_{22}<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

i.e.

$$
\binom{A_{11} v_{1}-f_{11}<l_{1}\left|v_{1}>-f_{12}<l_{2}\right| v_{2}>}{-f_{21}<l_{1}\left|v_{1}>+A_{22} v_{2}-f_{22}<l_{2}\right| v_{2}>}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

where, of course, $A_{11}, A_{22}$ are two given invertible linear operators, and $<l_{1}\left|,<l_{2}\right|$ are two given linear functionals; the elements $f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{21}, f_{22}, w_{1}, w_{2}$ are given, as well.

One can show, it is exactly a rank-two case, an we can use the final formalae of the previous subsection, but we prefer direct reasoning and we imitate the arguing of the previous subsection and do as follows:

Firstly, write

$$
\binom{A_{11} v_{1}}{A_{22} v_{2}}=\binom{w_{1}+f_{11}<l_{1}\left|v_{1}>+f_{12}<l_{2}\right| v_{2}>}{w_{2}+f_{21}<l_{1}\left|v_{1}>+f_{22}<l_{2}\right| v_{2}>}
$$

and define

$$
\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}:=\binom{<l_{1} \mid v_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid v_{2}>}
$$

Then write

$$
\binom{A_{11} v_{1}}{A_{22} v_{2}}=\binom{w_{1}+f_{11} c_{1}+f_{12} c_{2}}{w_{2}+f_{21} c_{1}+f_{22} c_{2}}
$$

Then

$$
\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\binom{A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}+A_{11}^{-1} f_{11} c_{1}+A_{11}^{-1} f_{12} c_{2}}{A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}+A_{22}^{-1} f_{21} c_{1}+A_{22}^{-1} f_{22} c_{2}}
$$

Then

$$
\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid v_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid v_{2}>}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}+A_{11}^{-1} f_{11} c_{1}+A_{11}^{-1} f_{12} c_{2}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}+A_{22}^{-1} f_{21} c_{1}+A_{22}^{-1} f_{22} c_{2}>}
$$

Recall, $l_{1}, l_{2}$ are linear. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1}\left|A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>+<l_{1}\right| A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}>c_{1}+<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}>c_{2}}{<l_{2}\left|A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>+<l_{2}\right| A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}>c_{1}+<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>c_{2}} \\
\binom{c_{1}-<l_{1}\left|A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}>c_{1}-<l_{1}\right| A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}>c_{2}}{-<l_{2}\left|A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}>c_{1}+c_{2}-<l_{2}\right| A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}> \\
-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}> \\
-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}> \\
<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we conclude

$$
\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\binom{A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}}{A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11}^{-1} f_{11} & A_{11}^{-1} f_{12} \\
A_{22}^{-1} f_{21} & A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}
$$

$$
\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}> & <l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}> \\
<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}> & 1-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{det}:=\left(1-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}>\right)\left(1-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>\right)-<l_{1}\left|A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}><l_{2}\right| A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}>
$$

Of course, we need here $\operatorname{det} \neq 0$. Note, we have obtained, that the values of $c_{1}, c_{2}$ must be such, as they are written. But do they exist at all? Are the found $c_{1}, c_{2}$ a solution of the problem? The answer is: yes. The way to verify it is plain: imitate the corresponding part of the rank-one case,- we omit details.

Finally we remark. If $A_{11}$ or $A_{22}$ are not invertible, but if $A_{11}-f_{11}<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1}$ or $A_{22}-f_{22}<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2}$, if at least one of these operators is invertible, in this case we can use one of the following Frobenius formulae for the inverse of a block matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \\
& \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1}+A^{-1} B\left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1} C A^{-1} & -A^{-1} B\left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1} \\
-\left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1} C A^{-1} & \left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \\
& \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(A-B D^{-1} C\right)^{-1} & -\left(A-B D^{-1} C\right)^{-1} B D^{-1} \\
-D^{-1} C\left(A-B D^{-1} C\right)^{-1} & D^{-1}+D^{-1} C\left(A-B D^{-1} C\right)^{-1} B D^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
We will not now concentrate ourselves upon details.

## 2 Resolvents Formulae for the Models of Interaction

Return to

$$
z\binom{q}{u}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{u}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

which we write also as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z+\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & z-B+4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{u}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

and confer this latter expression with formulae of rank two perturbations. We let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11}-f_{11}<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1} & -f_{12}<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2} \\
-f_{21}<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1} & A_{22}-f_{22}<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z+\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & z-B+4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and next take

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{11}:=z+\Omega^{2}, \quad<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1}:=<\left.1\right|_{1}, \quad A_{22}:=z-B, \quad<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2}:=<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
f_{11}:=0 \\
f_{12}:=\Omega^{2} \\
f_{21}:=4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

After substituting these values of $A_{\ldots}$ and $f \ldots$ in

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}> & -<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}> \\
-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}> & 1-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} 0> & -<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\Omega^{2}\right)> \\
-<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1}\left(4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}\right)> & 1-<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1}\left(-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}\right)>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
=\binom{<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} w_{1}>}{<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
-4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}> & 1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
=\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{array}
$$

Now let us choose

$$
B:=c^{2} \Delta
$$

In this case one can show that for any complex $z$ such that $z \notin(-\infty, 0]$

$$
\left.(z-B)^{-1} \equiv\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

exists and is an integral operator which can be described as following (see e.g. Appendix A) :
$\left.\left(\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)\right)^{-1} F\right)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|} F\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x$ where $\quad k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0$

If we choose in addition

$$
\delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}(x):=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad<l \mid F>:=F\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

then we obtain:

$$
<l \left\lvert\,(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>=\frac{i}{2 k c^{2}}\right.
$$

and equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}> \\
1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}
$$

becomes as following:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} & 1+4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x} \\
\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\frac{1}{d e t e r m i n a n t}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} & \frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x} \\
=\frac{1}{\left(1+4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}}\right) \frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x} \\
4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} \frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { determinant }:= & 1+4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} 4 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} \\
& =1+2 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{k c^{2}}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} 2 \gamma_{c} \frac{i}{k c^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\text { determinant }=\frac{k c^{2}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)+2 \gamma_{c} i\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)-2 \gamma_{c} i \Omega^{2}}{k c^{2}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)}=\frac{k c^{2}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)+2 \gamma_{c} i z}{k c^{2}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{k c^{2}\left(-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)-2 \gamma_{c} i k^{2} c^{2}}{k c^{2}\left(-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)}=\frac{-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}-2 i \gamma_{c} k}{-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{k^{2}+\frac{2 i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} k-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}}{-k^{2}+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}}=\frac{\left(k+\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}}{k^{2}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that determinant vanishes at two values of $k$ :

$$
k_{1,2}=-\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}-\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}} \equiv-\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} \mp i \sqrt{-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}} .
$$

But these values are forbidden to $k$ because of the condition $\operatorname{Im} k>0$ and of course because of the condition $\gamma_{c}>0$ !!!

We temporarily defer discussing 'what does it mean'. In the next section, we will specially return to this question, for the time being, we turn to calculating the resolvent associated with the Friedrichs model, i.e., with the equation array

$$
z\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\Omega^{2} & \gamma_{1}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
4 \gamma_{2, c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & B
\end{array}\right)\binom{q_{0}}{\phi}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
$$

We take

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11}:=z+\Omega^{2}, \quad<\left.l_{1}\right|_{1}:=<\left.1\right|_{1}, \quad A_{22}:=z-B, \quad<\left.l_{2}\right|_{2}:=<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
f_{11}:=0 & f_{12}:=\gamma_{1} \\
f_{21}:=4 \gamma_{2, c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}} & f_{22}:=0
\end{array}
$$

and after substituting these values of $A_{\ldots}$ and $f_{\ldots}$ in

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{11}> & -<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} f_{12}> \\
-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{21}> & 1-<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{<l_{1} \mid A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}>}{<l_{2} \mid A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}>}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} 0> \\
-<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1}\left(4 \gamma_{2, c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}\right)>
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
-<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)> \\
1-<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1} 0>
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
=\binom{<\left.1\right|_{1}\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} w_{1}>}{<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{array}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{\gamma_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
-4 \gamma_{2, c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}> & 1
\end{array}\right) & \binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
& =\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us take again

$$
\begin{gathered}
B:=c^{2} \Delta \\
\delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}(x):=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad<l \mid F>:=F\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since in this case for any complex $z$ such that $z \notin(-\infty, 0]$ the operator

$$
\left.(z-B)^{-1} \equiv\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

exists and since

$$
<l \left\lvert\,(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>=\frac{i}{2 k c^{2}}\right. \text { where } \quad k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0
$$

the recent equation to $c_{1}, c_{2}$ becomes as following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{\gamma_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
-4 \gamma_{2, c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{<\left.l\right|_{2}(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>} \\
& \binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}=\frac{1}{\text { determinant }} \mathrm{F}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\gamma_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
4 \gamma_{2, c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x} \\
& =\frac{1}{\text { determinant } F}\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x}{4 \gamma_{2, c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} \frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x_{0}-x^{\prime}\right|} w_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { determinant }_{F}:= & 1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}} 4 \gamma_{2, c} \frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{\gamma_{1}}{-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}} \gamma_{2, c} \frac{i}{k c^{2}} \\
& \frac{\left(-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) k c^{2}+i \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2, c}}{\left(-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) k c^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\text { determinant }_{F}=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2} / c^{2}\right) k+i \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2, c} / c^{4}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2} / c^{2}\right) k}
$$

We conclude this section with two remarks.
Remark 1. We have considered the operator

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z+\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & z-B+4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

as a perturbation of the operator

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z+\Omega^{2} & 0 \\
0 & z-B
\end{array}\right)
$$

The perturbation, i.e. the operator

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a rank-two operator, we have already mentioned it. If we initially take

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z & 0 \\
0 & z-B
\end{array}\right)
$$

as an unperturbated operator, then the perturbation becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and this operator is a rank-one one.
Actually,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right) & \left.=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\Omega^{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}
\end{array}\right.\right)<1 \oplus-l \mid \\
& =\binom{\Omega^{2}}{-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}}\left(<\left.1\right|_{1} \oplus \quad-<\left.l\right|_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used here the fact that, as an operator $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C},-\Omega^{2}<\left.1\right|_{1}$ coincides with the operator of multiplication by $-\Omega^{2}$ :

$$
-\Omega^{2}<\left.1\right|_{1} q>=-\Omega^{2} q, q \in \mathbf{C}
$$

-in other words,

$$
-\Omega^{2}<\left.1\right|_{1}=-\Omega^{2} \cdot=-\Omega^{2} I_{\mathbf{C}}=\Omega^{2}
$$

Of course, we have identified the operator multiplication by a number with this number itself:
the operator multiplication by a number $\equiv$ this number itself.

Remark 2. As for an explicit and detailed expression of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z+\Omega^{2} & -\Omega^{2}<\left.l\right|_{2} \\
-4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.1\right|_{1} & z-B+4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}<\left.l\right|_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{q}{u} & =\binom{A_{11}^{-1} w_{1}}{A_{22}^{-1} w_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11}^{-1} f_{11} & A_{11}^{-1} f_{12} \\
A_{22}^{-1} f_{21} & A_{22}^{-1} f_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
& =\binom{\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} w_{1}}{(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot 0 & \left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{2} \\
(z-B)^{-1} 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}} & -(z-B)^{-1} 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
& =\binom{\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} w_{1}}{(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{2} \\
(z-B)^{-1} 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}} & -(z-B)^{-1} 4 \gamma_{c} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \cdot \operatorname{det}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>\right) & \frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} \\
4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}> & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}}{<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>} \\
& =\binom{\left.\left(1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>\right) \frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}}<l \right\rvert\,(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}{4 \gamma_{c}<l\left|(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>\frac{w_{1}}{z+\Omega^{2}}+<l\right|(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{det}=1+4 \gamma_{c}<l\left|(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{z+\Omega^{2}} 4 \gamma_{c}<l\right|(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>
$$

Notice, by the way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} \cdot\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)= & \left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)+4 \gamma_{c} z<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}> \\
& =\left(1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>\right) z+\Omega^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\quad=\binom{\left(1+4 \gamma_{c}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>\right) w_{1}+\Omega^{2}<l \mid(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}{4 \gamma_{c}<l\left|(z-B)^{-1} \delta_{\alpha, x_{0}}>w_{1}+\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right)<l\right|(z-B)^{-1} w_{2}>}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Resonances, Resolvents, and the Second Sheet

In the previous section we have observed that the determinant vanishes at two values of $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1,2}= & -\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}-\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \equiv-\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} \mp i \sqrt{-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The circumstance having excited an interest is that these values are forbidden (!!!) to $k$ because of the condition $\operatorname{Im} k>05$. In this section, we return specially to this fact, and we are now discussing 'what does it mean'.

Firstly, we fix on

$$
\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k):=\left(\left(z+\Omega^{2}\right) \text { determinant }\right)^{-1} \equiv\left(\left(-k^{2} c^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) \text { determinant }\right)^{-1}
$$

as a function of $k$, -we need rather this latter quantity than the determinant. We have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k,+)=-\frac{1 / c^{2}}{k^{2}+\frac{2 i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} k-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}}=\frac{1 / c^{2}}{\left(k+\frac{i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}+\left(\frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}} \\
\quad\left(\text { where } k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \operatorname{Im} k>0\right)
\end{array}
$$

The first factor which calls attention to itself is that the written form of $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k)$ in itself need not the relation $k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \operatorname{Im} k>0$ being fulfilled, and provokes to introduce the formal extension of $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k,+), \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ say, defined by

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k):=-\frac{1 / c^{2}}{k^{2}+\frac{2 i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} k-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}}, \quad k^{2}+\frac{2 i \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} k-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}} \neq 0
$$

This extension $D e t_{-1}(k)$ is an analytic function of $k$, and at real $k$

$$
\left.\left|\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)\right|^{2}=\mid \operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k+i 0)\right)\left.\right|^{2}=\frac{1 / c^{4}}{\left(k^{2}-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2 \gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} k\right)^{2}}, \quad(k \in \mathbf{R}) .
$$

For a moment, let us renormalise $\Omega$ and $\gamma_{c}$ so that

$$
\frac{\Omega}{c} \longrightarrow \Omega, \frac{\gamma_{c}}{c^{2}} \longrightarrow \gamma
$$

or choose the measure units so that $c=1$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{(k+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
\quad\left(\text { where } k^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Im} k>0\right) \\
\left.\mid \operatorname{det}_{-1}(k+i 0)\right)\left.\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}, \quad(k \in \mathbf{R})
\end{array}
$$

[^3]We had already seen a similar expression. We had seen it in the previous paper.
In the previous paper 6, in subsection 1.4 , where just $c=1$, we had seen: given an incident wave of the kind

$$
A_{m p} \sin (k(x+t)) \quad \text { where } \quad k \in \mathbf{R}, \quad A_{m p} \in \mathbf{R}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t)= & \frac{\Omega^{2} A_{m p}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}\left(\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) \sin (k t)-2 \gamma k \cos (k t)\right)+\text { const }_{1} \\
& + \text { const }_{1}+\left.\frac{2 \gamma}{\Omega^{2}} \frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}+e^{-\gamma t}\left(\text { const }_{1} \cos \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)+\text { const }_{2} \sin \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we can write this relation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t)= & \frac{\Omega^{2} A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}} \sin \left(k t+\phi_{k}\right) \\
& + \text { const }_{1}+\left.\frac{2 \gamma}{\Omega^{2}} \frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}+e^{-\gamma t}\left(\text { const }_{1} \cos \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)+\text { const }_{2} \sin \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{k}$ is such that

$$
\cos \phi_{k}=\frac{-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}}, \sin \phi_{k}=-\frac{2 \gamma k}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}}
$$

We had also seen that the field $u(t, x)$ is given by
$u(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}Q(t-|x|)-A_{m p} \sin (k(t-|x|)) & , \\ 0 & \text { if } 0 \leq t-|x| \\ , & \text { if } t-|x|<0 \leq t\end{array}\right\}+A_{m p} \sin (k(x+t))$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(t)-A_{m p} \sin (k t)= & \frac{-2 \gamma A_{m p} k}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}\left(2 \gamma k \sin (k t)+\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) \cos (k t)\right) \\
& +\left.\frac{2 \gamma}{\Omega^{2}} \frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}+e^{-\gamma t}\left(\text { const }_{3} \cos \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)+\text { const }_{4} \sin \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, thus, at points of the left real half-line the field is given by the relationships:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
Q(t+x), & \text { if } x<0,0 \leq t+x \\
0 & \text { if } x<0, t+x<0 \leq t
\end{array}\right\} \\
Q(t)-\left.\frac{2 \gamma}{\Omega^{2}} \frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}-e^{-\gamma t}\left(\text { const }_{3} \cos \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)+\text { const }_{4} \sin \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)\right) \\
=\left(\frac{-(2 \gamma k)^{2} A_{m p}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}+A\right) \sin (k t)+\frac{-2 \gamma A_{m p} k\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}} \cos (k t) \\
=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}} \sin (k t)+\frac{-2 \gamma A_{m p} k\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}} \cos (k t) \\
=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) A_{m p}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}\left(\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) \sin (k(t))-2 \gamma k \cos (k t)\right) \\
=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}} \sin \left(k t+\phi_{k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

[^4]In addition,

$$
\begin{gathered}
q(t)-Q(t)-e^{-\gamma t}\left(\left(\text { const }_{1}-\text { const }_{3}\right) \cos \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)+\left(\text { const }_{2}-\text { const }_{4}\right) \sin \left(\Omega_{\gamma} t\right)\right) \\
=\frac{k^{2} A_{m p}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}\left(\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) \sin (k(t))-2 \gamma k \cos (k t)\right) \\
=\frac{k^{2} A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}} \sin \left(k t+\phi_{k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, let us concentrate ourselves upon the expressions of the amplitudes of the harmonic parts of $q(t), Q(t)$ and $q(t)-Q(t)$, expressions as functions of $k$ ,- i.e., let us concentrate upon oscillator amplitude, transmitted wave one, and a 'deformation' amplitude. These amplitudes are exactly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { amplitude }_{q}(k) & =\frac{\Omega^{2} A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}} \\
\text { amplitude }_{Q}(k) & =\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}} \\
\text { amplitude }_{q Q}(k) & =\frac{k^{2} A_{m p}}{\sqrt{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we will also need $\operatorname{amplitude} e_{q}(k)^{2}, \operatorname{amplitude}_{Q}(k)^{2}$, and $\operatorname{amplitude}_{q Q}(k)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { amplitude }_{q}(k)^{2} & =\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}} \\
\text { amplitude }_{Q}(k)^{2} & =\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}} \\
\text { amplitude }_{q Q}(k)^{2} & =\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

After standard transformations-

$$
\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}=k^{4}-2\left(\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right) k^{2}+\Omega^{4}=\left(k^{2}-\left(\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+4 \gamma^{2}\left(\Omega^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)
$$

—we also write amplitude $_{q}(k)^{2}$ and amplitude $_{q Q}(k)^{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}-\left(\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+4 \gamma^{2}\left(\Omega^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)}, \\
\text { amplitude }_{q Q}(k)^{2}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{1-2\left(\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right) k^{-2}+\Omega^{4} k^{-4}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

日
We emphasise: in transforming no special assumption has been made. But now recall, we have been discussing incident wave where $k$ is a wave-number, so, we presume that $k \in \mathbf{R}$.

Thus, since $k \in \mathbf{R}$, we had seen: there is a value of a system parameter, -this parameter is $k$, the wave-number of the incident wave, -such that the oscillator

[^5]amplitude becomes maximal. This phenomenon is called a resonance, in the proper, usual sense of the word. Temporarily, we call this phenomenon resonance of the first kind.

We had also seen: there is a value of a system parameter, - this parameter is $k$ again, the wave-number of the incident wave, -such that the incident harmonic wave is completely reflected. This phenomenon is a kind of resonance, we will temporarily call it resonance of the second kind.

We have finally seen: there is a value of $k$ such that amplitude of $q(t)-Q(t)$ becomes maximal. This phenomenon is also a kind of resonance, we will temporarily call it resonance of the third kind.

Currently, the first kind resonant values of $k$ are exactly

$$
k_{1 s t . r e s}= \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}}
$$

the second kind ones are

$$
k_{2 n d . r e s}= \pm \Omega
$$

and the third kind resonant values of $k$ are

$$
k_{3 \text { rd.res }}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Omega^{4}}{\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}}}
$$

Another standard of transforming the denominator in the expressions of amplitude ${ }_{q}(k)^{2}$ and amplitude $_{Q}(k)^{2}$ is this:

$$
\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}=\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)
$$

Thus, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { amplitude }_{q}(k)^{2}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)} \\
& \text { amplitude }_{Q}(k)^{2}=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)} \\
& \text { amplitude }_{q Q}(k)^{2}=\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{(k+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}}, \\
\quad\left(\text { where } k^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Im} k>0\right) \\
\left.\mid \operatorname{det}_{-1}(k+i 0)\right)\left.\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)} \quad(k \in \mathbf{R}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

First we focus on expressions of $\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}$ and $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k)$. In the first moment we tend to write

$$
\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}=\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2} \operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k) \operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(-k)
$$

We may not do it. There are at least two reasons, both of them are connected with the domains.

If $\operatorname{Im} k>0$ then $\operatorname{Im}(-k)<0$. Hence, if $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$ is defined, then $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(-k)$ is not.

Secondly, if we deal with $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$, then $\operatorname{Im} k>0$; if we deal with $\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}$ then $k \in \mathbf{R}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im} k=0$; so, we must deal here with two 'different' $k$-s.

It is no surprise: $k$ as a parameter of $\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}$ means wave-number of incident wave, whereas $k$ as a parameter of $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k,+)$ is a term in describing of a resolvent of an operator.

In other words, $k$ in $\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}$ and $k$ in $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k,+)$ are of different natures.
Nevertheless, the relation

$$
\left.\operatorname{amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}=\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2} \mid \operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k+i 0)\right)\left.\right|^{2}
$$

is mathematically correct, and we see: in order to find resonant values of the amplitude we have to find positions of extremal values of a function, which is absolute values function of boundery values function of an analytic function, analytic in the open upper half-plane ( $\operatorname{Im} k>0$ ).

Let us discuss the recent idea in terms of analytic functions. We see, the written form of amplitude $(k)^{2}$, amplitude $e_{Q}(k)^{2}$ and amplitude $e_{Q}(k)^{2}$ in itself need not the relation $k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \operatorname{Im} k>0$ being fulfilled, and provokes to introduce the formal extensions of these quantities, $\operatorname{Amplitude}_{q}(k)^{2}, \operatorname{Amplitude}_{Q}(k)^{2}$ and Amplitude ${ }_{q Q}(k)^{2}$ say, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Amplitude }_{q}(k)^{2}:=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)} \\
& \text { Amplitude }_{Q}(k)^{2}:=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)} \\
& \text { Amplitude }_{q Q}(k)^{2}:=\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2}}=\frac{\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

wherever

$$
\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \gamma k)^{2} \neq 0
$$

These extensions are analytic functions of $k$, with poles at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1,2}^{-}=-i \gamma \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\gamma^{2}} \equiv k_{1,2} \\
& k_{1,2}^{+}=+i \gamma \mp \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-\gamma^{2}} \equiv-k_{1,2}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and Amplitude $_{q}(k)^{2}$ is decreasing as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we expect, the maximal value of $\operatorname{amplitude} e_{q}(k)^{2}$ is somewhere near the poles of Amplitude $_{q}(k)^{2}$, at least at small $\gamma$.
...We have yet one analytic extension defined on $\mathbf{C}$ except for some poles. It is $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$, introduced in the beginning of this section:

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k):=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}}, \quad k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2} \neq 0
$$

The expressions of Amplitude-s and $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ suggest writing

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Amplitude }_{q}(k)^{2}=\Omega^{4} A_{m p}^{2} \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k) \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(-k), \\
\text { Amplitude }_{Q}(k)^{2}=\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2} \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k) \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(-k),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Amplitude }_{q Q}(k)^{2}=\left(k^{2}\right)^{2} A_{m p}^{2} \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k) \operatorname{Det}_{-1}(-k)
$$

These relations are mathamatically correct. Therefore, let us discuss amplitude-s connecting them with $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$.

We notice that $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ is analytic extension of $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$, thus $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ as well as $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$, is related rather to the resolvent, $R(z)$, resolvent as a function 8 of parameter $z, z \in \mathbf{C}$. But the 'natural' parameter of resolvent is $z, \operatorname{not} k$. Thus we would like to reformulate $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ as a function of $z$.

Let us resume what we have. The situation with resolvent and resonances discussed in this section looks generally like this:

## Observation 1.

(1) The resolvent is related to the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k):=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}} & =\frac{1}{(k+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
( & \text { where Im } k>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

(1a) the domain of $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$ is

$$
\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k>0\}
$$

and is one-to-one to the set

$$
\left\{z \mid z=-k^{2} ; \operatorname{Im} k>0\right\} \equiv \mathbf{C} \backslash(-\infty, 0] ;
$$

(2) The amplitude-s are related to the function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{det}_{-1,0}(k):=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{(k+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
(\text { where Im } k=0)
\end{array}
$$

(2a) the domain of $\operatorname{det}_{-1,0}(k)$ is

$$
\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k=0\} \equiv\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k=0, \operatorname{Re} k>0\} \cup\{k \mid k=0\} \cup\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k=0, \text { Re } k<0\},
$$

with the possible exception of the poles 10 .
Note,

$$
\left\{z \mid z=-k^{2} ; \operatorname{Im} k=0, \operatorname{Re} k>0\right\} \equiv(-\infty, 0) \equiv\left\{z \mid z=-k^{2} ; \operatorname{Im} k=0, \operatorname{Re} k<0\right\}
$$

(3) The resonances are related to the poles of the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}_{-1,-, 0}(k):=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}} & =\frac{1}{(k+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
& \left(\text { where } \operatorname{Im} k \leq 0, k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3a) the poles, $k_{\text {pol }}$, of $\operatorname{det}_{-1,-, 0}(k)$, and resonant values are connected by:

$$
k_{p o l}=-i \gamma \pm i \sqrt{-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}}
$$

[^6]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{1 \text { st.res }}= \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}} \\
k_{2 \text { nd.res }}= \pm \Omega \\
k_{3 \text { rd.res }}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Omega^{4}}{\Omega^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

(3b) The domain of $\operatorname{det}_{-1,-, 0}(k)$ is

$$
\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k \leq 0\}=\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k<0\} \cup\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k=0\}
$$

with the exception of the poles;
the set

$$
\{k \mid \operatorname{Im} k<0\}
$$

is one-to-one to the set

$$
\left\{z \mid z=-k^{2} ; \operatorname{Im} k<0\right\} \equiv \mathbf{C} \backslash(-\infty, 0] ;
$$

(4) All these functions are consistent: there is an analytic function,-

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)=-\frac{1}{k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2}}, \quad k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2} \neq 0
$$

- such that:
(4a) every $\operatorname{det}_{-1, \#}$ is a restricton of $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$;
(4b) the union of the domains of $\operatorname{det}_{-1, \#}$ is the domain of $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$.
Now then, we have no problem with reformulating $\operatorname{det}_{-1,+}(k)$ as a function of $z$ : we do it by defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{-1,+}(z):= & \operatorname{det}_{-1}(k(z))=-\frac{1}{k(z)^{2}+2 i \gamma k(z)-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{(k(z)+i \gamma)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
& \text { if } z \notin(-\infty, 0]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k(z)$ is defined as the unique solution to

$$
k^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Im} k>0
$$

We need now reformulate $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ which is an extension of $\operatorname{det}_{-1}(k)$. In other words, we have to extend $d_{-1,+}(z)$, but 'into WHAT?'

Of course, we can extend $d_{-1}(z)$ onto

$$
z \in \mathbf{C}, z=-k^{2}, k^{2}+2 i \gamma k-\Omega^{2} \neq 0
$$

We can do it, by defining, e.g.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{-1(1)}(z):= & -\frac{1}{k_{+}(z)^{2}+2 i \gamma k_{+}(z)-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(k_{+}(z)+i \gamma\right)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
& \left(k_{+}(z)^{2}+2 i \gamma k_{+}(z)-\Omega^{2} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{+}(z)$ is defined as the unique solution to

$$
k_{+}^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Im} k_{+}>0
$$

if

$$
z \notin(-\infty, 0]
$$

and to

$$
k_{+}^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Re} k_{+} \geq 0
$$

if

$$
z \in(-\infty, 0]
$$

but further, there is 'no place' for the 'rest of $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}$ ', i.e., for $\operatorname{det}_{-1,-, 0}$.
With $\operatorname{det}_{-1,-, 0}$, we connect another function of $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{-1(2)}(z):= & -\frac{1}{k_{-}(z)^{2}+2 i \gamma k_{-}(z)-\Omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(k_{-}(z)+i \gamma\right)^{2}-\Omega^{2}+\gamma^{2}} \\
& \left(k_{-}(z)^{2}+2 i \gamma k_{-}(z)-\Omega^{2} \neq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{-}(z)$ is defined as the unique solution to

$$
k_{-}^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Im} k_{-}<0,
$$

if

$$
z \notin(-\infty, 0]
$$

and to

$$
k_{-}^{2}=-z, \operatorname{Re} k_{-} \leq 0
$$

if

$$
z \in(-\infty, 0]
$$

Thus we are not able to reformulate $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ in terms of ONE function of $z$ . We can only reformulate $\operatorname{Det}_{-1}(k)$ in terms of TWO functions of ONE variable: $d_{-1(1)}(z)$ and $d_{-1(2)}(z)$.

Nevertheless, physicists prefer to say, there is ONE analytic function $D_{-1}(z)$ defined on 'TWO exemplars' of $\mathbf{C}$, so that
(1) on the first 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}, D_{-1}(z)=d_{-1(1)}(z)$; this first 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}$ is called first or physical sheet of $F(z)$;
(2) on the second 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}, D_{-1}(z)=d_{-1(2)}(z)$; this second 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}$ is called second or unphysical sheet of $F(z)$.

One can say also:
$d_{-1(2)}(z)$ is a part of an extended $d_{-1(1)}(z)$, the part which is defined on the second 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}$, and this second 'exemplar' of $\mathbf{C}$ is named the second sheet associated with $R(z)$.
-In these words, $z_{\text {res }}$ is a resonant pole, if $z_{\text {res }}$ is a pole placed on the second sheet of the resolvent.

From the formal mathematical standpoint, the notion we have just introduced can be explained as following: 'two exemplars' of $\mathbf{C}$ can be defined as

$$
(\mathbf{C} \times\{1\}) \cup(\mathbf{C} \times\{2\}) ;
$$

the 'first' sheet is identified with $\mathbf{C} \times\{1\}$, and the second one is identified with $\mathbf{C} \times\{2\}$; finally put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{-1}(z \times\{1\}):=d_{-1(1)}(z) \\
& D_{-1}(z \times\{2\}):=d_{-1(2)}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

The constructions of such kind are basic objects of the theory of Riemann surfaces, but we will not go into details.

There are reasons for it, and one of them is that the concept of Riemann surface has been elaborated so as to avoid introducing principal distinctions between the sheets, whereas we would like to contradistinguish them,-we need two or even three objects,-one object connected immediately with resolvent and the other(s) one(s) done with amplitudes and resonant poles. In other words, we prefer constructions like that we have displayed as Observation 1 .

Another reason is that we need rather $R(z)$ and $R\left(-k^{2}\right)$ than $\operatorname{det}_{\#}$, but the formers are not usual scalar functions. They are operator-valued function, thus, we must give exact definitions suited to the case. First we must define what we mean by 'element of $R\left(-k^{2}\right)$ is an analytic function', what we mean by 'analytic extension', and then many other things. Otherwise confusion and false conclusions will occur!!

How to find proper mathematical definitions, does not form the subject of this paper.

## Appendix A.

## Free Green's Function.

Here we reproduce a well-known proof that

$$
\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|}, \text { where } \quad k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0
$$

It can be deduced from p-representation of $\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}$ :

$$
\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i p\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}}{z+c^{2} p^{2}} d p
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(z-c^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i p\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}}{z+c^{2} p^{2}} d p \\
& =\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i p\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}}{p^{2}+z / c^{2}} d p \\
& =\frac{i}{c^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i p\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}}{p^{2}-k^{2}} d p \\
& =\frac{i}{c^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i p\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}}{p+k} \frac{1}{p-k} d p \\
& =\frac{i}{c^{2}} \frac{e^{i k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|}}{2 k}, \\
& =\frac{i}{2 k c^{2}} e^{i k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|}, \text { where } \quad k^{2}=-z / c^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} k>0
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ often we omite these subscripts, using them primarily for emphasis.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ i.e., I will discuss resolvents formulae of the system

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ if $A^{-1} f_{a}, A^{-1} f_{b}$ are linearly independent, then it is also necessary, to do ones
    ${ }^{4}$ recall,$<l_{a} \mid$ and $<l_{b} \mid$ are linear

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ and of course because of the condition $\gamma_{c}>0$

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ we mean [Ch03-1]

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ In addition, we have

    $$
    \operatorname{amplitude}_{Q}(k)^{2}=\frac{A_{m p}^{2}}{1-(2 \gamma)^{2} /\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)+(2 \gamma)^{2} \Omega^{2} /\left(-k^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} .
    $$

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ operator-valued function
    ${ }^{9}$ we mean usual notations in usual writing $R(z)=(z-A)^{-1}$
    ${ }^{10}$ they exist, iff $\gamma=0$

