## On the uncertainty principle for proper time and mass

B. RAM

Physics Department, New Mexico State University Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

and

Umrao Institute of Fundamental Research A2/214 Janak Puri, New Delhi, 110 058, India

## Abstract

In [J. Math. Phys. 40, 1237 (1999)] Kudaka and Matsumoto derive the uncertainty relation  $c^2 \Delta m \Delta \tau \geq \hbar/2$  between the rest mass m and the proper time  $\tau$ , by considering the Lagrangian  $M(\dot{\tau} - c^{-1}\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}) + eA_{\mu}(x)\dot{x}^{\mu}$ . In this note we give an alternative derivation based on a special case of the time-like geodesic equation obtained using the general relativistic Lagrangian  $g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau}$ .

 $^{\dagger}$  correspondence address

In an interesting paper [1] published in this journal, Kudaka and Matsumoto derive the uncertainty relation

$$c^2 \Delta m \ \Delta \tau \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{1}$$

between the rest mass m and the proper time  $\tau$ , by considering a Lagrangian in which the proper time is included as a dynamic variable like the positions  $x^i$ . Specifically, they consider the Lagrangian

$$L = M(\dot{\tau} - c^{-1}\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}}) + e A_{\mu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu}$$
(2)

and find, as a result of their analysis, that the energy  $E = mc^2$  is the generalized momentum conjugate to the proper time, and that  $\tau$ , E,  $x^i$ , and  $p_i$  are canonical variables of the system. Consequently the corresponding operators

$$\hat{\tau}, \ \hat{E}, \ \hat{x}^i, \ \hat{p}_i \quad (i=1,2,3),$$

satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\hat{E},\hat{\tau}] = [\hat{x}^i,\hat{p}_i] = i\hbar, \qquad (3)$$

the relation  $[\hat{E}, \hat{\tau}] = i\hbar$  in (3) giving the uncertainty relation (1).

In the present note we give an alternative derivation of Eq. (1).

It is well-known [2] that in general relativity the equations governing the time-like geodesics in a space-time with the line element

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} \ dx^\mu \ dx^\nu \tag{4}$$

can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$2\mathcal{L} = g_{\mu\nu} \ \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} \ \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau},\tag{5}$$

where  $\tau$  is the proper time. For the Schwarzschild space-time, the Lagrangian is (from now on we use units in which  $c = \hbar = G = 1$ )

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \dot{t}^2 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} - r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 - (r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \dot{\phi}^2 \right], \tag{6}$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to  $\tau$ . The corresponding canonical momenta are

$$p_t = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{t}} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \dot{t},$$
(7a)

$$p_r = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \dot{r}, \qquad (7b)$$

$$p_{\phi} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = (r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \dot{\phi},$$
 (7c)

and

$$p_{\theta} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = r^2 \dot{\theta}.$$
 (7d)

The resulting Hamiltonian is

$$H = p_t \dot{t} - (p_r \dot{r} + p_\theta \dot{\theta} + p_\phi \dot{\phi}) - \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}.$$
(8)

From the equality of the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian it follows that

$$H = \mathcal{L} = \text{constant.} \tag{9}$$

For time-like geodesics,  $2\mathcal{L}$  has the value +1. Integrating the equations

$$\frac{dp_t}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{dp_\phi}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \tag{10}$$

one gets

$$p_t = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\dot{t} = \text{constant} = \mathcal{E} \quad (\text{say})$$
 (11)

and

$$p_{\phi} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \ \dot{\phi} = \text{constant.} \tag{12}$$

Moreover, from the equation

$$\frac{dp_{\theta}}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{d\tau} (r^2 \dot{\theta}) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = (r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta) \dot{\phi}^2, \tag{13}$$

it follows that if we choose  $\theta = \pi/2$  when  $\dot{\theta} = 0$ , then  $\ddot{\theta}$  will also be zero; and  $\theta$  will maintain the value  $\pi/2$ . In other words, the geodesic is described in an invariant plane given by  $\theta = \pi/2$ . Equation (12) then gives

$$p_{\phi} = r^2 \dot{\phi} = \text{constant} = \mathbf{L} \quad (\text{say}),$$
 (14)

where L denotes the angular momentum about an axis normal to the invariant plane, say the x-y plane. With (11), (14) and  $\mathcal{L} = 1/2$ , Eq. (6) for the time-like geodesic becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{E}^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} - \frac{L^2}{r^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(15)

For the special case when both the constants of integration  $\mathcal{E}$  and L are zero, Eq. (15) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{m}{r} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(16)

It is easy to see that Eq. (16) describes the region  $r \leq 2m$ ,  $\dot{r}$  being zero at r = 2m.

For the Kerr space-time the Lagrangian in the equatorial plane (for which  $\dot{\theta} = 0$  and  $\theta =$ a constant =  $\pi/2$ ) is [2]

$$2\mathcal{L} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\dot{t}^2 + \frac{4am}{r}\dot{t}\dot{\phi} - \frac{r^2}{\Delta}\dot{r}^2 - \left[(r^2 + a^2) + \frac{2a^2m}{r}\right]\dot{\phi}^2,\tag{17}$$

where a is the angular momentum per unit mass of the inner region and

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2mr + a^2. \tag{18}$$

Following (see pp. 326-328 of ref. [2]) in an analogous manner as in the Schwarzschild case, one obtains, in terms of the constants of integration  $\mathcal{E}$  and L,

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{m}{r} + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \mathcal{E}^2\right)\left(1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2}\right) + \frac{L^2}{2r^2} - \frac{m}{r^3}(L - a\mathcal{E})^2 = 0$$
(19)

as the equation for the time-like geodesic. For the special case when both the constants of integration  $\mathcal{E}$  and L are zero, Eq. (19) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + \frac{a^2}{2r^2} - \frac{m}{r} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(20)

Note that Eq. (20) reduces to Eq. (16) when a = 0, signifying that the Schwarzschild solution is a special case of the Kerr solution. Note also that Eq. (20) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{r}}^2 - \frac{m}{r} = -\frac{1}{2},\tag{21}$$

 $\vec{r}$  being given the meaning of a Euclidean vector. Equation (21) is simply a generalization of Eq. (16). It describes the region  $r \leq 2m$ ,  $\dot{\vec{r}}$  being zero at r = 2m. With

$$x_1 = r \sin \theta_{in} \cos \phi_{in}, \qquad x_2 = r \sin \theta_{in} \sin \phi_{in}, \qquad x_3 = r \cos \theta_{in},$$

$$r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$$
, and  $v^2 = \dot{x}_1^2 + \dot{x}_2^2 + \dot{x}_3^2 = \dot{\vec{r}}^2$ 

Eq. (21) is rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}v^2 - \frac{m}{r} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(22)

It is also well-known [3] that the three-dimensional Kepler problem, Eq. (22), is equivalent

to a four-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Below we briefly sketch how it is so, by use of a matrix transformation known as the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel (KS) transformation [4].

Let us define the column matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \\ s_4 \end{pmatrix},$$
(23)  
$$\dot{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{s}_1 \\ \dot{s}_2 \\ \dot{s}_3 \\ \dot{s}_4 \end{pmatrix},$$
(24)

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  and  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ ,  $s_4$  are, respectively the three-dimensional and fourdimensional Cartesian coordinates, and  $\dot{x}_1$ ,  $\dot{x}_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_3$  and  $\dot{s}_1$ ,  $\dot{s}_2$ ,  $\dot{s}_3$ ,  $\dot{s}_4$  are the corresponding velocity components. The KS transformation which transforms the coordinates is given by

$$X = AS, (25)$$

and that which transforms the velocities (or momenta) is given by

$$\dot{X} = \frac{1}{2}s^{-2}A\dot{S},\tag{26}$$

where  $s^2 = s_1^2 + s_2^2 + s_3^2 + s_4^2$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} s_3 & -s_4 & s_1 & -s_2 \\ s_4 & s_3 & s_2 & s_1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & -s_3 & -s_4 \\ s_2 & -s_1 & -s_4 & s_3 \end{pmatrix},$$
(27)

and

$$s^{-2}\tilde{A}A = \mathbf{1},\tag{28}$$

A being the transposed matrix and  $\mathbf{1}$  the unit matrix. It is to be emphasized that (25) and (26) are *independent* transformations. From Eqs. (25), (26) and (28), one obtains

$$r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = s^4 (29)$$

and

$$v^{2} = \dot{x}_{1}^{2} + \dot{x}_{2}^{2} + \dot{x}_{3}^{2} = \frac{1}{4s^{2}}\dot{s}^{2} = \frac{1}{4s^{2}}\left(\dot{s}_{1}^{2} + \dot{s}_{2}^{2} + \dot{s}_{3}^{2} + \dot{s}_{4}^{2}\right).$$
(30)

Using (29) and (30) it is straightforward to show that Eq. (21) transforms into

$$\frac{1}{2}m_{ho}\dot{s}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_{ho}\omega^2 s^2 = m, (31)$$

with  $m_{ho} \equiv$  mass of the four-dimensional harmonic oscillator =1/4 and  $\omega = 2$ .

Note that in Eq. (31) m corresponds to the total classical energy (E), the proper time  $\tau$  to the Newtonian time (t), and the quantum equation that corresponds to (31) is

$$-\frac{1}{2m_{ho}}\sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i^2} - m_{ho}^2 \omega^2 s^2\right)\psi = (n+1)\psi$$
(32)

In (32) (n+1), n = 0, 1, ... are the eigenvalues of the operator m. Consequently the Heisen-

berg uncertainty relation [5]  $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar/2$  (inserting c and  $\hbar$ ) simply translates into

$$c^2 \Delta m \ \Delta \tau \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

For application of the quantum equations that correspond to (16) and (21) to the black hole physics, the interested reader may refer to refs. [6-8].

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks S.R. Choudhury, N. Mukunda and S.M. Roy for conversations, R.S. Bhalerao for a critical reading of the manuscript leading to an improved version and the Tata Institute for a pleasant stay.

## References

- [1] S. Kudaka and S. Matsumoto, J. Math. Phys. 40, 1237 (1999).
- S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1983).
- [3] A.O. Barut, C.K.E. Schneider, and R. Wilson, J. Math. Phys. 20, 2244 (1979); A.C.
   Chen, J. Math. Phys. 23, 412 (1982); A.C. Chen, Am. J. Phys. 55, 250 (1987); H.A.
   Mavromatis, Rep. Math. Phys. 40, 17 (1997).
- [4] P. Kustaanheimo and E. Stiefel, J. Reine Angew. Math. **218**, 204 (1965).
- [5] W. Heisenberg, Zeit. für Physik, **43**, 172 (1927).
- [6] B. Ram, Phys. Lett. A 265, 1 (2000), LANL Archive gr-qc/9908036.
- [7] B. Ram, LANL Archive gr-qc/0101056.
- [8] B. Ram, LANL Archive hep-th/0208128.