

The electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor

Ricardo E. Gamboa Saraví*

*Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata
C.C. 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina*

(Dated: October 24, 2018)

We clarify the relation between canonical and metric energy-momentum tensors. In particular, we show that a natural definition arises from Noether's Theorem which directly leads to a symmetric and gauge invariant tensor for electromagnetic field theories on an arbitrary space-time of any dimension.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 11.30.-j

For many decades a suitable definition for the energy-momentum tensor has been under investigation. This is not a technical point, not only because T^{ab} should provide meaningful physical conserved quantities, but also because it is the source of Einstein's gravitational field equations.

In flat space-time, the canonical energy-momentum tensor arises from Noether's Theorem by considering the conserved currents associated to translation invariance. However, only for scalar fields the energy-momentum tensor constructed in this way turns out to be symmetric. Moreover, for Maxwell's theory, it breaks the gauge symmetry. Of course, it is possible to correct it by a symmetrization procedure [1], although this looks as an *ad hoc* prescription.

On the other hand, a completely different approach leads to the metric energy-momentum tensor (see for example [2]) which is, by definition, symmetric and gauge invariant.

The aim of this paper is to clarify the relation between these tensors.

Let us consider a field theory where the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is a local function of F_{ab} , the exterior derivative $\partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$, of a one-form field A_b , and the metric tensor g_{ab} , defined on a (semi)Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$.

The field equations are obtained by requiring that the action

$$S = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(F_{ab}, g_{ab}) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x, \quad (1)$$

be stationary under arbitrary variations of the fields δA_b in the interior of any compact region Ω . Thus, one obtains

$$\nabla_a \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} \right) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where ∇_a is the covariant derivative associated to the Levi-Civita connection.

Needless to say, that even in flat space-time we are allowed to use curvilinear coordinates, so the action (1)

must be invariant under general coordinate transformations. This requires \mathcal{L} to be a scalar function. Thus, its Lie derivative with respect to any vector field ξ^a , $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \mathcal{L}$, must satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_a(\mathcal{L}) \xi^a = 0. \quad (3)$$

Now, taking into account that the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} depends on the coordinates only through the tensor fields F_{ab} and g_{ab} , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} F_{ab} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{ab}. \quad (4)$$

But, for any tensor field F_{ab} of the type (0, 2), it holds

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} F_{ab} = \xi^c \nabla_c F_{ab} + F_{cb} \nabla_a \xi^c + F_{ac} \nabla_b \xi^c; \quad (5)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} F_{ab} &= 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} (\nabla_a F_{cb} \xi^c + F_{cb} \nabla_a \xi^c) \\ &= 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} \nabla_a (F_{cb} \xi^c), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\nabla_a F_{bc} + \nabla_b F_{ca} + \nabla_c F_{ab} = 0, \quad (7)$$

($d\mathbf{F} = d(d\mathbf{A}) = 0$), and the obvious antisymmetry of the (2, 0) tensor field $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}}$.

Now, for fields satisfying the equations of motion (2), (6) reads

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} F_{ab} = 2 \nabla_a \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ab}} F_{cb} \xi^c \right). \quad (8)$$

So, from (3), (4) and (8), we get for any vector field ξ^a

$$\nabla_a \left(2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} F_c^b \xi_b \right) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{ab} - \nabla_a(\mathcal{L}) \xi^a = 0. \quad (9)$$

Moreover, applying (5) to the metric tensor, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{ab} = \nabla_a \xi_b + \nabla_b \xi_a, \quad (10)$$

*Electronic address: quique@venus.fisica.unlp.edu.ar

and so, (9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_a \left(2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} F_c^b \xi_b - g^{ab} \mathcal{L} \xi_b \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \mathcal{L} \right) \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ab} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We define the ‘‘true’’ canonical energy-momentum tensor as

$$T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} := -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} F_c^b + g^{ab} \mathcal{L}, \quad (12)$$

and the metric one as

$$T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab} := 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} + g^{ab} \mathcal{L}. \quad (13)$$

Notice that, by definition, $T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}$ is a symmetric (2, 0) tensor.

In terms of these tensors (11) reads

$$\nabla_a (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} \xi_b) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab} \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ab} = 0. \quad (14)$$

This last equation, a rewritten form of (3), which holds for any vector field ξ^a , has several important consequences. In fact, we shall obtain all the results of this work by using it in four different ways:

i) Let us restrict attention to the case where ξ^a is a Killing vector field, i.e. a generator of an infinitesimal isometry, so $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ab} = \nabla_a \xi_b + \nabla_b \xi_a = 0$. From (14), one directly obtains the Noether current \mathcal{J}_ξ^a associated to this symmetry

$$\nabla_a \mathcal{J}_\xi^a = \nabla_a (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} \xi_b) = 0, \quad (15)$$

for, in this case, the last term in (14) clearly vanishes. But this is just the beginning.

ii) At any point of the manifold we can choose Riemannian normal coordinates x^α (i.e., a local inertial coordinate system). Moreover, we can choose for ξ_b any set of n linear independent covectors with constant components in this coordinate system. For instance, the dual basis covectors dx_b^α . So, (14) reads

$$\partial_\alpha (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha\beta}) \xi_\beta + T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \xi_\beta - T_{\mathcal{M}}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \xi_\beta = \partial_\alpha (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha\beta}) \xi_\beta = 0 \quad (16)$$

because of the vanishing of Christoffel symbols and partial derivatives of ξ_β . Hence, we get $\nabla_\alpha T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha T_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$. But this is a tensor relation, then [6]

$$\nabla_a T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = 0. \quad (17)$$

iii) Coming back to (14), we rewrite it as

$$\nabla_a \left((T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} - T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}) \xi_b \right) + \nabla_a (T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}) \xi_b = 0. \quad (18)$$

Now, we integrate (18) over any compact region Ω , taking arbitrary vector fields ξ^a vanishing everywhere except

in its interior. The first contribution may be transformed into an integral over the boundary which vanishes, as ξ^a is zero there. Since the second term must therefore be zero for arbitrary ξ^a , it follows that

$$\nabla_a T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab} = 0. \quad (19)$$

iv) Now, coming back to (14) written as in (18), we see that the diffeomorphism invariance of the action yields not only $\nabla_a T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = \nabla_a T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab} = 0$, but also

$$\nabla_a \left((T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} - T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}) \xi_b \right) = (T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} - T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}) \nabla_a \xi_b = 0, \quad (20)$$

for any covector field ξ_b . Therefore, since $\nabla_a \xi_b$ is arbitrary, we conclude that both tensors coincide

$$T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}. \quad (21)$$

We have thus shown that

$$\nabla_a T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = 0, \quad \nabla_a T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}, \quad (22)$$

follow as a consequence of the diffeomorphism invariance of the action.

Some comments are in order. We want to point out that $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}$ has nothing to do with Killing vectors. $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}$ depends only on the fields, their derivatives and the metric, and $\nabla_a T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} = 0$ is always true, even when the metric has no isometry at all. But, of course, a tensor by itself does not give rise to any conserved quantity [7] so, in order to construct conserved quantities, it is necessary to have a Killing vector at hand to construct the current $\mathcal{J}_\xi^a = T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab} \xi_b$.

The $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}$ we define in (12) arises naturally from Noether's theorem taking into account that the Lagrangian is a local function of F_{ab} . It is important to realize that, as shown by (15), if space-time admits a Killing vector we obtain from $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}$ a conserved current \mathcal{J}_ξ^a . Thus, for instance, the $n(n+1)/2$ currents in Minkowski space-time are obtained from $T_{\mathcal{E}}^{ab}$, by contracting it with the corresponding Killing vectors.

In Minkowski space-time, the canonical energy-momentum tensor is defined as (see for example [3, 4])

$$T_c^{ab} := -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_a A_c} \nabla^b A_c + g^{ab} \mathcal{L}, \quad (23)$$

perhaps as a simple generalization of its expression for scalar fields. It seems to us that this definition is in some sense unnatural, for \mathcal{L} depends on the $n(n-1)/2$ components of $d\mathbf{A}$ and not on the n^2 derivatives $\nabla_a A_b$.

T_c^{ab} defined as in (23) is neither symmetric nor gauge invariant. Of course, in flat space-time, $\nabla_a T_c^{ab} = 0$ holds. But, it is worthwhile noticing that this is not even true for curved space-time, for

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a T_c^{ab} &= -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a \nabla^b A_c + g^{ab} \nabla_a \mathcal{L} \\ &= -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a \nabla^b A_c + g^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{cd}} \nabla_a F_{cd} \\ &= -2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a \nabla_c A^b = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} R^b{}_{dac} A^d, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where, again, we have used the identity (7), and $R^b{}_{dac}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor. So, not only T_c^{ab} is neither symmetric nor gauge invariant, but also $\nabla_a T_c^{ab}$ vanishes only when space-time is flat.

Moreover, in flat space-time, for a Killing field ξ_b it holds $\nabla_a(T_c^{ab}\xi_b) = T_c^{[ab]}\nabla_a\xi_b$, so the current $T_c^{ab}\xi_b$ is conserved only for constant ξ_b , for T_c^{ab} is not symmetric. Then we get from T_c^{ab} only n currents associated with the constant Killing vectors (translations). A similar result holds for curved space-time, even though $\nabla_a T_c^{ab} \neq 0$. In fact, if there exists a constant Killing vector ($\nabla_a \xi^b = 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a(T_c^{ab}\xi_b) &= \nabla_a T_c^{ab} \xi_b = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} A^d R^b{}_{dac} \xi_b \\ &= 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} A^d \nabla_c \nabla_a \xi_d = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and so, we get a conserved current for each constant Killing vector ξ_b .

Notice that $T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab} = T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}$ means that for any scalar Lagrangian depending on the tensor fields F_{ab} and g_{ab}

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} F_c^b = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}}. \quad (26)$$

Moreover, as the right hand-side is a symmetric tensor field, so is the left hand-side. It is worth bearing in mind that (26) holds off-shell too. In fact, from (3) we have for any field configuration

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_b(\mathcal{L}) \xi^b &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} F_{ac} - \nabla_b F_{ac} \xi^b) \\ + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{ab} &= 2 \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} F_c^b + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial g_{ab}} \right) \nabla_a \xi_b = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and the vector field ξ^b is completely arbitrary.

For the sake of clarity, let us consider the translation invariance in flat space-time. Taking cartesian coordinates we compute $\partial_a \mathcal{L}$ in two different ways. First, thinking of \mathcal{L} as function of F_{ab} , as it actually is, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{cd}} \partial_a F_{cd} \\ &= 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{cd}} \partial_c F_{ad} = 2 \partial_c \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{cd}} F_{ad} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In the second step we used $\partial_{[a} F_{ab]} = 0$ and the field equations in the third. Thus we get the conservation law $\partial_a T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab} = 0$.

On the other hand, if we consider \mathcal{L} a function of $\partial_a A_b$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_c A_d} \partial_a \partial_c A_d \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_c A_d} \partial_c \partial_a A_d = \partial_c \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_c A_d} \partial_a A_d \right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where we have commuted the partial derivatives. And this is the conservation $\partial_a T_c^{ab} = 0$.

Clearly, the first computation still holds in curved space-time. But the second one fails, for covariant derivatives acting on one-forms do not commute, and we get (24).

For scalars fields, our arguments remain valid. The only change to be done is the definition $T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab} := -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_a \phi} \partial^b \phi + g^{ab} \mathcal{L}$. Equation (14) still holds and all the results follow as above. For general tensor fields, the dependence of the Lagrangian on the affine connection as well as the noncommutativity between ∇_a and \mathcal{L}_{ξ} make the computation more involved [5].

Summarizing, we have shown that, properly defined as in (12), the canonical energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$ is symmetric, gauge invariant and coincides with $T_{\mathcal{M}}^{ab}$. Moreover, it is the one that arises naturally from Noether's theorem when the metric has isometries, and all the currents are written as $\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^a = T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab} \xi_b$. For these reasons, we call $T_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$ the ‘‘true’’ canonical energy-momentum tensor.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Jorge Solomin for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by CONICET, Argentina.

-
- [1] F. J. Belinfante, *Physica* **VII**, 449 (1940).
 [2] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis: ‘‘The large scale structure of space-time’’, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973)
 [3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz: ‘‘The Classical Theory of Fields’’, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1971).
 [4] J. D. Jackson: ‘‘Classical Electrodynamics’’, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1975).
 [5] Ricardo E. Gamboa Saraví: ‘‘On the energy-momentum

- tensor’’, in preparation.
 [6] Of course, it also follows directly from the equations of motion (2), for $\nabla_a T^{ab} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a F_c^b - g^{ab} \nabla_a \mathcal{L} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a F_c^b - g^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{cd}} \nabla_a F_{cd} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a F_c^b - 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{ac}} \nabla_a F_c^b = 0$, where we have used the identity (7).
 [7] For $\nabla_a T^{ab} = \frac{\partial_a(\sqrt{-g} T^{ab})}{\sqrt{-g}} + T^{ac} \Gamma^b{}_{ca}$