

\mathcal{PT} -symmetric form of the Hulthén potential

Miloslav Znojil

Theory Group, Nuclear Physics Institute AS CR,
CS 250 68 Řež, Czech Republic

Abstract

The fourth, missing example of an exactly solvable complex potential with \mathcal{PT} symmetry $V(x) = [V(-x)]^*$ defined on a bent contour and leading, at the real energies, to the Jacobi polynomial wave functions is found in a generalized Hulthén interaction.

PACS 03.65.Ge, 03.65.Fd

Quantum mechanics is often forced to formulate its predictions numerically. Its exactly solvable models are scarce. Only their subclass in one dimension is broader and, in this sense, privileged and exceptional. It involves the harmonic oscillator and Morse potentials (with bound states expressible in terms of the Laguerre polynomials) as well as several models solvable in terms of the polynomials of Jacobi (cf., e.g., review [1] for more details).

Within the framework of an alternative or modified quantum mechanics as proposed recently by Bessis [2] and by Bender et al [3, 4] one works with the \mathcal{PT} symmetric complex potentials $V(x) = [V(-x)]^*$. The real bound state spectrum and even the solvability of several real potentials proves rather unexpectedly preserved after a complexification of this type. For example, within the Laguerre-related exactly solvable sub-family we may find both the complex harmonic oscillator [3] and a complexified Morse interaction [5]. The same high degree of analogy between the real and complex forces is also observed for the regular solvable models based on the use of the Jacobi polynomials [6].

A word of warning against unlimited optimism comes from singular interactions. In particular, for the Laguerre-related and phenomenologically most appealing Coulombic interaction the only available \mathcal{PT} symmetrization proves merely partially solvable [7]. In the present communication we intend to offer a partial remedy. We shall derive and describe a complete and exact solution for an appropriate \mathcal{PT} symmetric complexification of the singular phenomenological Hulthén potential which is known to mimic very well the shape of the Coulombic force in the vicinity of its singularity [8].

In the first step let us recollect that in the spirit of the old Liouville's paper [9] the change of the (real) coordinates (say, $r \longleftrightarrow \xi$) in Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + W(r) \right] \chi(r) = -\kappa^2 \chi(r) \quad (1)$$

may sometimes mediate a transition between two different potentials. It is easy to show [10] that once we forget about boundary conditions one simply has to demand the existence of the invertible function $r = r(\xi)$ and its few derivatives $r'(\xi)$, $r''(\xi)$, \dots

in order to get the explicit correspondence between the two bound state problems, viz., original eq. (1) and the new Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + V(\xi) \right] \Psi(\xi) = E \Psi(\xi) \quad (2)$$

with the wave functions

$$\Psi(\xi) = \chi[r(\xi)]/\sqrt{r'(\xi)} \quad (3)$$

generated by a new interaction at new energies,

$$V(\xi) - E = [r'(\xi)]^2 \{W[r(\xi)] + \kappa^2\} + \frac{3}{4} \left[\frac{r''(\xi)}{r'(\xi)} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{r'''(\xi)}{r'(\xi)} \right]. \quad (4)$$

The mapping between the Morse and harmonic oscillators is one of the best known explicit illustrations of this rule since the necessary preservation of the correct physical boundary conditions is very straightforward to check there [11]. An appropriate \mathcal{PT} symmetrized extension of this equivalence to the complex non-Hermitian cases is easy [5].

In the alternative, Jacobi-polynomial context the Liouvillean changes of variables have been applied systematically to all the Hermitian models (cf. Figure 5.1 in the review [1] or refs. [12] for a more detailed illustration). A similar thorough study is still missing for the \mathcal{PT} symmetric models within the same subclass.

In the present letter we shall try to fill the gap. For the sake of brevity we shall only restrict our attention to the \mathcal{PT} symmetric initial eq. (1) with the Pöschl-Teller potential studied and solved exactly in our recent preprint [13],

$$W(r) = \frac{\beta^2 - 1/4}{\sinh^2 r} - \frac{\alpha^2 - 1/4}{\cosh^2 r}, \quad r = x - i\varepsilon, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (5)$$

The normalizable \mathcal{PT} symmetric solutions

$$\chi(r) = \sinh^{\tau\beta+1/2} r \cosh^{\sigma\alpha+1/2} r P_n^{(\tau\beta, \sigma\alpha)}(\cosh 2r)$$

are proportional to the Jacobi polynomials at all the negative energies $-\kappa^2 < 0$ such that

$$\kappa = \kappa_n^{(\sigma, \tau)} = -\sigma\alpha - \tau\beta - 2n - 1 > 0.$$

These bound states are numbered by $n = 0, 1, \dots, n_{max}^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ and by the generalized parities $\sigma = \pm 1$ and $\tau = \pm 1$.

We may note that our initial \mathcal{PT} symmetric model (1) remains manifestly regular provided only that its constant downward shift of the coordinates $r = r_{(x)} = x - i\varepsilon$ remains constrained to a finite interval, $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$. In this sense our initial model (5) is closely similar to the shifted harmonic oscillator. At the same time, one still misses an analogue of a transition to its Morse-like final partner $V(\xi)$ in eq (2). In a key step of its present construction let us first pick up the following specific change of the axis of coordinates,

$$\sinh r_{(x)}(\xi) = -ie^{i\xi}, \quad \xi = v - iu. \quad (6)$$

The main motivation of such a tentative assignment lies in the related shift and removal of the singularity (sitting at $r = 0$) to infinity ($u \rightarrow +\infty$). In fact, one cannot proceed sufficiently easily in an opposite direction, i.e., from a choice of a realistic $V(\xi)$ to a re-constructed $r(\xi)$. This is due to the definition (4) containing the third derivatives and, hence, too complicated to solve.

We shall see below that we are quite lucky with our purely trial and error choice of eq. (6). Firstly, we already clearly see that the real line of x becomes mapped upon a manifestly \mathcal{PT} symmetric curve $\xi = v - iu$ in accordance with the compact and invertible trigonometric rules

$$\sinh x \cos \varepsilon = e^u \sin v, \quad \cosh x \sin \varepsilon = e^u \cos v,$$

i.e., in such a way that

$$v = \arctan \left(\frac{\tanh x}{\tan \varepsilon} \right) = v_{(x)} \in (v_{(-\infty)}, v_{(\infty)}) \equiv \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right),$$

$$u = u_{(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\sinh^2 x + \sin^2 \varepsilon \right).$$

This relationship is not too different from its Morse-harmonic predecessor studied in ref. [5]. Our present path of ξ is a very similar down-bent arch which starts in its left imaginary minus infinity, ends in its right imaginary minus infinity while its top lies at $x = v = 0$ and $-u = -u_{(0)} = \ln 1/\sin \varepsilon > 0$. The top may move towards the singularity in a way mimicked by the diminishing shift $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, although the

singularity originally occurred at the finite value $r \rightarrow 0$, it has now been removed upwards, i.e., in the direction of $-u \rightarrow +\infty$.

The first consequence of our particular change of variables (6) is that it does not change the asymptotics of the wave functions. As long as $r'(\xi) = i \tanh r(\xi)$ the transition from eq. (1) to (2) introduces just an inessential phase factor in $\Psi(\xi)$. This implies that the normalizability (at a physical energy) as well as its violations (off the discrete spectrum) are both in a one-to-one correspondence.

The explicit relation between the old and new energies and couplings is not too complicated. Patient computations reveal its closed form. With a bit of luck, the solution proves non-numerical. The new form of the potential and of its binding energies is derived by the mere insertion in eq. (4),

$$V(\xi) = \frac{A}{(1 - e^{2i\xi})^2} + \frac{B}{1 - e^{2i\xi}}, \quad E = \kappa^2. \quad (7)$$

At the imaginary ξ and vanishing $A = 0$ this interaction coincides with the Hulthén potential.

In the new formula one has to notice the positivity of the energies. It is extremely interesting since the potential itself is asymptotically vanishing. One may immediately recollect that a similar paradox has already been observed in a few other \mathcal{PT} symmetric models [5, 14, 15] where even an asymptotic decrease of the potential to minus infinity did not destroy a lower bound of the spectrum.

The exact solvability of our modified Hulthén potential is not yet guaranteed at all. A critical point is that the new couplings depend on the old energies and, hence, on the discrete quantum numbers n , σ and τ in principle. This could induce an undesirable state-dependence into our new potential. Vice versa, the closed solvability of the constraint which forbids this state-dependence will be equivalent to the solvability at last. Fortunately, a smooth removal of the obstacle is possible by a transfer of the state-dependence (i.e., of the n -, σ - and τ -dependence) in

$$A = A(\alpha) = 1 - \alpha^2, \quad C (= A + B) = \kappa^2 - \beta^2$$

from C to β . To this end, employing the known explicit form of κ we may re-write

$$C = C(\sigma, \tau, n) = (\sigma\alpha + 2n + 1)(\sigma\alpha + 2n + 1 + 2\tau\beta). \quad (8)$$

This formula is linear in $\tau\beta$ and, hence, its easy inversion defines the desirable state-dependent quantity $\beta = \beta(\sigma, \tau, n)$ as an elementary function of the constant C . The whole new energy spectrum acquires the closed form

$$E = E(\sigma, \tau, n) = A + B + \frac{1}{4} \left[\sigma\alpha + 2n + 1 - \frac{A + B}{\sigma\alpha + 2n + 1} \right]^2. \quad (9)$$

Our construction is complete. The range of the quantum numbers n , σ and τ remains the same as above.

In the light of our new result we may now split the whole family of the exactly solvable \mathcal{PT} symmetric models in the two distinct categories. The first one “lives” on the real line and may be represented or illustrated not only by the popular Laguerre-solvable harmonic oscillator [16] but also by our initial Pöschl-Teller Jacobi-solvable force (5).

The second category requires a narrow arch-shaped path of integration which all lies confined within a vertical strip. It contains at last both the Laguerre and Jacobi solutions. The former ones may be represented by the complex Morse model of ref. [5]. Our present new Hulthén example offers its Jacobi solvable counterpart. The scheme becomes, in a way, complete.

The less formal difference between the two categories may be also sought in their immediate physical relevance. Applications of the former class may be facilitated by a limiting transition which is able to return them back on the usual real line. In contrast, the second category may rather find its most useful place in the methodical considerations concerning, e.g., field theories and parity breaking [17]. Within the quantum mechanics itself an alternative approach to the second category might also parallel studies [18] of the “smoothed” square wells in the non-Hermitian setting.

In the conclusion let us recollect that the \mathcal{PT} symmetry of a Hamiltonian replaces and, in a way, generalizes its usual hermiticity. This is the main reason why there existed a space for a new solvable model among the singular interactions. At the same time, an exactly solvable example with another, intermediate (i.e., hyperbola-shaped) arc of coordinates remains still to be discovered. Indeed, this type of a deformed contour has only been encountered in the quasi-solvable (i.e., partially numerical)

model of ref. [14]) and in the general unsolvable forces studied by several authors by means of the perturbative [19], numerical [20] and WKB [4, 21] approximation techniques.

Acknowledgement

Partially supported by the grant Nr. A 1048004 of the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

References

- [1] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995) 267.
- [2] D. Bessis, private communication (1992).
- [3] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24 (1998) 5243;
- [4] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher and P. N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. 40 (1999) 2201.
- [5] M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A. 264 (1999) 108.
- [6] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe, F. Cannata and J. P. Dedonder, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999) 2675;
B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, LANL preprint quant-ph/9911104, to appear in J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.;
M. Znojil, LANL preprint quant-ph/9911116, to appear in J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.
- [7] M. Znojil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) 4563.
- [8] C. Eckart, Phys. Rev. 35 (1930) 1303;
L. Hulthén, Arkiv Mat. Astron. Fys. 28 A (1942) 5 and 29 B (1942) 1;
M. Znojil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14 (1981) 383;
B. Roy and R. Roychoudhury, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 (1990) 5095;
R. L. Hall, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 (1992) 1373.
- [9] J. Liouville, J. Math. Pures Appl. 1 (1837) 16.
- [10] G. Jaffé, Z. Phys. 87 (1933) 535;
F. W. J. Olver, Introduction to Asymptotics and Special Functions (Academic, New York, 1974), Chap. VI.

- [11] R. G. Newton, *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles* (Springer, Berlin, 1982), p. 422, problems 17 and 18;
M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 27 (1994) 4945.
- [12] G. Lévai, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 22 (1989) 689;
R. Dutt, A. Khare and Y. P. Varshni, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 28 (1995) L107.
- [13] M. Znojil, LANL preprint quant-ph/9912079, submitted to *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*
- [14] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 31 (1998) L273.
- [15] F. J. Gómez and J. Sesma, Bound states and resonances in quantum anharmonic oscillators, preprint of the University of Zaragoza, submitted to *Phys. Lett. A.*
- [16] M. Znojil, *Phys. Lett. A* 259 (1999) 220.
- [17] C. M. Bender and A. V. Turbiner, *Phys. Lett. A* 173 (1993) 442;
C. M. Bender and K. A. Milton, *Phys. Rev. D* 55 (1997) R3255 and *D* 57 (1998) 3595 and *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 32 (1999) L87.
- [18] F. Cannata, G. Junker and J. Trost, *Phys. Lett. A* 246 (1998) 219;
C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, H.F. Jones and Van M. Savage, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 32 (1999) 6771.
- [19] E. Caliceti, S. Graffi and M. Maioli, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 75 (1980) 51;
F. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros and M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 31 (1998) 10105;
C. M. Bender and G. V. Dunne, *J. Math. Phys.* 40 (1999) 4616.
- [20] G. Alvarez, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 27 (1995) 4589;
F. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros and M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 32 (1999) 3105;
M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 32 (1999) 7419.

[21] A. Voros, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994) 4653;

E. Delabaere and F. Pham, Phys. Lett. A 250 (1998) 25 and 29.