FORMULAS FOR A_n AND B_n -SOLUTIONS OF WDVV EQUATIONS

S.. NATANZON

1. Introduction

1. WDVV equations were appeared in works of E.Witten [W1], R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde, H.Verlinde [DVV] as equations for primary free energy of two-dimensional topological field theories. The simplest non-trivial solutions of WDVV equations are A_n and B_n -potentials, which describe also metrics of K.Saito on spaces of versal deformation of A_n and B_n -singularities. According to E.Witten [W2] coefficients of A_n -potentials form intersection numbers of Mumford-Morita-Miller classes of a moduli space of spheres with punctures and n-spin structures. The potentials are known for $n \leq 4$ [W2, D1, D2]. We find these potentials for all n, using its connection with Gelfand-Dikii $(n - k\partial V)$ hierarchy. In passing we give recurrence formulas for coefficients of dispersionless KP hierarchy. Results of J.-B.Zuber [Z] give a reduction of B_n -potentials to A_n -potentials.

I would like to thank B.Dubrovin for fruitful discussions.

2. Main theorems

For calculation of the A_{n-1} -potential we use combinatorial coefficients $P_n(i_1...i_m)$, where n and s_j are natural numbers. Put us

$$P_n(i) = n, \quad P_n(s_1...s_m) = C_n^m - \sum_{q=1}^{m-1} P_n(s_1...s_q) C_{n-q-(s_1+...+s_q)}^{m-q},$$

where here and later

$$0! = 1, C_p^t = \frac{p!}{t!(p-t)!}$$
 for $p \ge t \ge 0$ and $C_p^t = 0$ in another cases.

Put us $x_0 = 0$. For q > 0 we recurrently define polynomials x_{-q} $(x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ putting

$$x_{-q} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum P_n(s_1 \dots s_m) x_{n-s_1} \cdots x_{n-s_m},$$

where the second sum is taken by all natural numbers $(s_1, ..., s_m)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i = q + n + 1 - m$.

This polynomial has a form

$$x_{-q} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum B_{qi_1 \cdots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m},$$

where $B_{qi_1,...,i_m}$ are constants and the second sum is taken by numbers $(i_1,...,i_m)$ such that $1 \leq i_1 \leq ... \leq i_m \leq n-1$.

Put us

$$A_{i_1\cdots i_m} = -\frac{1}{i_1 p} B_{i_1\cdots i_m}$$

and p is the number of j such that $i_j = i_1$.

Consider

$$F_A = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_m} A_{i_1 \cdots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m},$$

$$F_B = \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=3} A_{2i_1 - 1 \cdots 2i_m - 1} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m},$$

where the second sums is taken by $1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant ... \leqslant i_m \leqslant n-1$.

Theorem 1. Polynomial F_A is a A_{n-1} -potential. Polynomial F_B is a B_{n-1} -potential. This means that they satisfy the equations

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta} \partial x_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial x_{n-\gamma} \partial x_{\eta} \partial x_{\xi}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial x_{\xi} \partial x_{\beta} \partial x_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial x_{n-\gamma} \partial x_{\eta} \partial x_{\alpha}}, \ (\alpha, \beta, \eta, \xi = 1, ..., n-1),$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,n}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = dF,$$

where $d_i = 1 + \frac{1-i}{n}$, $d = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$ for $F = F_A$ and $d_i = 1 + \frac{1-i}{n-1}$, $d = 2 + \frac{1}{n-1}$ for $F = F_B$.

3. Combinatorial lemma

For natural $s, i_1, ..., i_n$ and integer not negative $j_1, ..., j_n$ defind $P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & ... & i_n \\ j_1 & ... & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ by recurence formulas:

$$1)P_s\begin{pmatrix}i_1&\cdots&i_n\\0&\cdots&0\end{pmatrix}=0;\quad 2)P_s\begin{pmatrix}i\\j\end{pmatrix}=C_s^j\quad\text{for }j>0;$$

$$3)P_{s}\begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{n} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n!}C_{s}^{j_{1}+\dots+j_{n}}\frac{(j_{1}+\dots+j_{n})!}{j_{1}!\dots j_{n}!} - \sum_{q=1}^{n-1}P_{s}\begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{q} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{q} \end{pmatrix}\frac{1}{(n-q)!}C_{s-(i_{1}+\dots+i_{q}+j_{1}+\dots+j_{q})}^{j_{q+1}+\dots+j_{q}}\frac{(j_{q+1}+\dots+j_{n})!}{j_{q+1}!\dots j_{n}!}$$

for $(j_1, ..., j_n) \neq (0, ..., 0)$

Let $\begin{bmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{bmatrix}$ be the set of all matrices, which appear from $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ by permutation of columns. Let $\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{vmatrix}$ be the number of such matrices. Put us

$$P_s \begin{bmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum P_s \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where the sum is taken by all

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma 1 Let m > 0, k > 0 and $j_n \ge 1$ for $n \le m$. Then

$$P_{s} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{m} & i_{m+1} & \dots & i_{m+k} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{m} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \geqslant i_{1} + \dots + i_{m} + j_{1} + \dots + j_{m}, \\ \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} i_{m+1} & \dots & i_{m+k} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} P_{s} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{m} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{m} \end{vmatrix}, & \text{if } s < i_{1} + \dots + i_{m} + j_{1} + \dots + j_{m}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Prove at first the lemma for m=1 using induction by k. For m=k=1

$$P_{s} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} & i_{2} \\ j_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & i_{2} \\ j_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{2} & i_{1} \\ 0 & j_{1} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} C_{s}^{j_{1}} - P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1} \\ j_{1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot C_{s-(i_{1}+j_{1})}^{0} + \frac{1}{2} C_{s}^{j_{1}} - P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot C_{s-i_{2}}^{0} = C_{s}^{j_{1}} - C_{s}^{j_{1}} C_{s-(i_{1}+j_{1})}^{0} =$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \geqslant i_{1} + j_{1}, \\ C_{s}^{j_{1}} = P_{s} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} \\ j_{1} \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } s < i_{1} + j_{1}. \end{cases}$$

Prove the lemma for m=1, k=N, considering that it is proved for m=1, k< N. If $s\geqslant i_1+j_1$, then

$$P_1 \begin{bmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ j_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k!} C_s^{j_1} \begin{vmatrix} i_2 & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} - P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \\ j_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot C_{s-(i_1+j_1)}^0 \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} i_2 & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

If $s < i_1 + j_1$ than

$$P_{1} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} & i_{2} & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ j_{1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k!} C_{s}^{j_{1}} \begin{vmatrix} i_{2} & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} - A \cdot C_{s-(i_{1}+j_{1})}^{0} = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} i_{2} & \dots & i_{k+1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} P_{s} \begin{bmatrix} i_{1} \\ j_{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the lemma is proved for m = 1.

Prove the lemma for m = N considering that it is proved for m < N. Then

4. Equations for Bacher-Akhiezer function

Consider the KP hierarchy. This is a condition of compatibility of the infinite system of the differential equations

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_n} = L_n \psi,\tag{1}$$

where

$$L_n = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^n} + \sum_{i=2}^n B_n^i(x) \frac{\partial^{n-i}}{\partial^{n-i} x_1},$$

and ψ is a function of type

$$\psi(x,k) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j k^j) (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i k^{-i}),$$

(here $k \in \mathbb{C}$ belong to some neighbourhood of ∞ and $x = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ — is a finite sequence).

Put us

$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \ \partial = \partial_1.$$

A direct calculation gives

Lemma 2. Conditions of compatibility of (1) are

$$B_s^t = -\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} C_s^i \partial^i \xi_{t-i} - \sum_{j=2}^{t-1} B_s^j \sum_{i=0}^{t-j-1} C_{s-j}^i \partial^i \xi_{t-i-j}, \tag{2}$$

$$\partial_n \xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+i-1} C_n^j \partial^j \xi_{i+n-j} + \sum_{k=2}^n B_n^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} C_{n-k}^j \partial^j \xi_{i+n-j-k}. \quad \Box$$
 (3)

In this case ψ is called a Bacher-Akhiezer function.

Consider now the function

$$\ln \psi(x, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j k^j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j k^{-j},$$

where

$$\xi_j = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = j} \eta_{i_1} \cdots \eta_{i_n}.$$

Lemma 3. Let $2 \leqslant t \leqslant s$. Then

$$B_s^t = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_1} \eta_{i_1} \cdots \partial^{i_n} \eta_{i_n},$$

where the second sum is taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $i_m \ge 1, j_m \ge 1$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_n + j_1 + \dots + j_n = t$.

Proof: An induction by t. For t = 2 according to (2), $B_s^2 = -s\partial \xi_1 = -P_s\binom{1}{1}\partial \eta_1$. Prove the lemma for t = N considering that it is proved for t < N. According to (2)

$$B_{s}^{t} = -\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} C_{s}^{i} \partial^{i} \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_{1} + \dots + i_{n} = t - i} \eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \eta_{i_{n}} \Big) +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^{t-1} \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{1} + \dots + j_{n} = j} P_{s} \Big(i_{1} \cdots i_{n} \\ j_{1} \cdots j_{n} \Big) \partial^{j_{1}} \eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{j_{n}} \eta_{i_{n}} \Big) \cdot$$

$$\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{t-j-1} C_{s-j}^{i} \partial^{j} \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_{1} + \dots + i_{n} = t - i - j} \eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \eta_{i_{n}} \Big) \Big) =$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum \Big(\frac{1}{n!} C_{s}^{j_{1} + \dots + j_{n}} \frac{(j_{1} + \dots + j_{n})!}{j_{1}! \cdots j_{n}!} -$$

$$\sum_{q=1}^{n-1} P_{s} \Big(i_{1} \cdots i_{q} \\ j_{1} \cdots j_{q} \Big) \frac{1}{(n-q)!} C_{s-(i_{1} + \dots + i_{q} + j_{1} + \dots + j_{q})}^{j_{q+1} + \dots + j_{q}} \frac{(j_{q+1} + \dots + j_{n})!}{j_{q+1}! \cdots j_{n}!} \Big) \partial^{j_{1}} \eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{j_{n}} \eta_{i_{n}} =$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum P_{s} \Big(i_{1} \cdots i_{n} \\ j_{1} \cdots j_{n} \Big) \partial^{j_{1}} \eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{j_{n}} \eta_{i_{n}},$$

where the second sums are taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_n + j_1 + \dots + j_n = t$, $i_m \ge 1, j_m \ge 0$. According to lemma 1 it is possible to consider that in the last sum $j_m > 0$ for all m. \square

Lemma 4.

$$\partial_s \eta_r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_1} \eta_{i_1} \cdots \partial^{j_n} \eta_{i_n},$$

where the second sum is taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $i_m \ge 1, j_m \ge 1$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_n + j_1 + \dots + j_n = r + s$.

Proof: An induction by r. According to (3) and lemma 3 for r=1

$$\partial_{s}\eta_{1} = \partial_{s}\xi_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{s}^{j}\partial^{j}\xi_{s+1-j} + \sum_{k=2}^{s} B_{s}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{s-k} C_{s-k}^{j}\partial^{j}\xi_{1+s-j-k} =$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} C_{s}^{j}\partial^{j}\xi_{s+1-j} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{1}+\dots+j_{n}=k} P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{n} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{n} \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_{1}}\eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{j_{n}}\eta_{i_{n}} \right) \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-k} C_{s-k}^{j}\partial^{j}\xi_{1+s-j-k}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{s} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1} & \dots & i_{n} \\ j_{1} & \dots & j_{n} \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_{1}}\eta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{j_{n}}\eta_{i_{n}},$$

where the second sum in the last formula is taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_n + j_1 + \dots + j_n = s+1, \ i_m \geqslant 1, \ j_m \geqslant 0$. According to lemma 1 in this sum it is sufficient consider only matrices, where $j_m > 0$ for all m.

Prove now the lemma for r = N, considering that it is proved for r < N. According to (3)

$$\partial_s \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = r} \eta_{i_1} \cdots \eta_{i_n} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{s+r-1} C_s^j \partial^j \xi_{s+r-j} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_s^k \sum_{j=0}^{s-k} C_{s-k}^j \partial^j \xi_{r+s-j-k}.$$

Thus according to lemma 3, lemma 1 and inductive hypothesis,

$$\partial_s \eta_r = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_s^j \partial^j \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = s + r - j} \eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_n} \right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i_1 + \dots + j_n = k} P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_1} \eta_{i_1} \dots \partial^{j_n} \eta_{i_n} \right).$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s-k} C_{s-k}^j \partial^j \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = r + s - j - k} \eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_n} \right) - \partial_s \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = r} \eta_{i_1} \dots \eta_{i_n} \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_s \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix} \partial^{j_1} \eta_{i_1} \dots \partial^{j_n} \eta_{i_n},$$

where the second sum in the last formula is taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_n \\ j_1 & \dots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_n + j_1 + \dots + j_n = s + r, i_m \geqslant 1, j_m \geqslant 1.$

5. KP hierarchy

According to [DKJM] the Bacher-Akhiezer function ψ is

$$\psi(x,k) = \exp(\sum x_j k^j) \frac{\tau(x_1 - k^{-1}, x_2 - \frac{1}{2}k^{-2}, x_3 - \frac{1}{3}k^{-3}, \dots)}{\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)}$$

for some function $\tau(x_1, x_2, ...)$. By analogy of [N] this gives a possibility to describe the KP hierarchy as an infinite system of differential equations on $v(x, k) = -\ln \tau(x, k)$. Really

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j k^{-j} = \ln \psi(x, k) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j k^j = -v(x_1 - k^{-1}, x_2 - \frac{1}{2}k^{-2}, \dots) + v(x) = -v(x) = -$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = i} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n! i_1 \dots i_n} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} v(x) k^{-j}.$$

Therefore

$$\eta_r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = r} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n! i_1 \dots i_n} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_n} v. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2. There exist universal rational coefficients

$$R_r \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix}, R_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$\eta_r = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r v + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_r \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \partial_{s_1} \partial^{t_1} v \cdots \partial_{s_n} \partial^{t_n} v, \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_i \partial_j v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \partial_{s_1} \partial^{t_1} v \cdots \partial_{s_n} \partial^{t_n} v, \tag{6}$$

where the second sums are taken by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix}$ such that $s_m, t_m \ge 1$, and the sum $s_1 + \dots + s_n + t_1 + \dots + t_n$ is equal r for (5) and i + j for (6). Moreover

$$R_{ij}\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \cdots & s_n \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{j}{s_1 \cdots s_n} P_i(s_1 \dots s_n).$$

Proof: An induction by k and i+j. For i+j=2 the theorem is obviously. For r=1 it follows from (4). Prove the theorem for i+j=N and r=N-1, considering that it is proved for i+j< N and r< N-1. Later we consider that $s_m, t_m \geqslant 1$ and $\sigma_n=s_1+\cdots+s_n+t_1+\cdots+t_n$. Then according to (4) and (6)

$$\eta_r = \frac{1}{r}\partial_r v + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_n = r} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n! s_1 \cdots s_n} \partial_{s_1} \cdots \partial_{s_n} v(x) =$$

$$\frac{1}{r}\partial_r v + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma_n = r} R_r \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \partial_{s_1} \partial^{t_1} v \cdots \partial_{s_n} \partial^{t_n} v.$$

Thus according to (5), (6) and lemma 4

$$\frac{1}{j}\partial_{i}\partial_{j}v = \partial_{i}\eta_{j} - \partial_{i}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_{n}=j}R_{j}\begin{pmatrix}s_{1}&\dots&s_{n}\\t_{1}&\dots&t_{n}\end{pmatrix}\partial_{s_{1}}\partial^{t_{1}}v\cdots\partial_{s_{n}}\partial^{t_{n}}v\right) =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_{n}=i+j}P_{i}\begin{pmatrix}s_{1}&\dots&s_{n}\\t_{1}&\dots&t_{n}\end{pmatrix}\partial^{t_{1}}\eta_{s_{1}}\cdots\partial^{t_{n}}\eta_{s_{n}} -$$

$$\partial_{i}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_{n}=j}R_{j}\begin{pmatrix}s_{1}&\dots&s_{n}\\t_{1}&\dots&t_{n}\end{pmatrix}\partial^{t_{1}}\partial_{s_{1}}v\cdots\partial^{t_{n}}\partial_{s_{n}}v\right) =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s_{1}+\dots+s_{n}+n=i+j}P_{i}\begin{pmatrix}s_{1}&\dots&s_{n}\\1&\dots&1\end{pmatrix}\partial(\frac{1}{s_{1}}\partial_{s_{1}}v)\cdots\partial(\frac{1}{s_{n}}\partial_{s_{n}}v) +$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_{n}=i+j,t_{1}+\dots+t_{n}>n}R_{ij}\begin{pmatrix}s_{1}&\dots&s_{n}\\t_{1}&\dots&t_{n}\end{pmatrix}\partial_{s_{1}}\partial^{t_{1}}v\cdots\partial_{s_{n}}\partial^{t_{n}}v.$$

The obvious relation $P_i \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = P_i(s_1...s_n)$ conclude the proof. \square

Remark. The first equation from (6) is the "integrated over x_1 " KP equation

$$\partial_2^2 v = \frac{4}{3} \partial_3 \partial_1 v - \frac{1}{3} \partial_1^4 v + 2(\partial_1^2 v)^2.$$

The relation (6) was first deduced in [DN] from the Hirota hierarchy [DKJM]. This method gives another formulas for coefficients R_{ij} . The equalities between the coefficients provide nontrivial combinatorial identities. \square

The system (6) is called the KP hierarchy. The dispersionless KP hierarchy is called the infinite system of differential equations which appears from (6) if to throw out all monomials

$$R_{ij}\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ t_1 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \partial_{s_1} \partial^{t_1} v \cdots \partial_{s_n} \partial^{t_n} v,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_j > n$. The theorem 2 gives

Consequence The despersionless KP hierarchy is

$$\frac{1}{j}\partial_i\partial_j v = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_m = i + j - m} P_i(s_1 \dots s_m) \frac{1}{s_1} \partial_j \partial_{s_1} v \dots \frac{1}{s_m} \partial_j \partial_{s_m} v.$$
 (7)

6. Proof of theorem 1

According to [DVV, K, W2] one of A_{n-1} -potentials is

$$\widetilde{F}(x_1,...,x_{n-1}) = v(x_1,\frac{x_2}{2},...,\frac{x_{n-1}}{n-1},0,...),$$

where v satisfies the dispersionless KP hierarchy and $\partial_n v = 0$ (dispersionless Gelfand-Dikii equation).

According to (7) from this follows

$$0 = \partial_{\ell} \partial_{n} \widetilde{F} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_{1} + \dots + s_{m} = \ell + n - m} P_{n}(s_{1} \dots s_{m}) \partial_{s_{1}} \widetilde{F} \dots \partial_{s_{m}} \widetilde{F} =$$

$$n\partial \partial_{n+\ell-1}\widetilde{F} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1+\dots+s_m=\ell+n-m} P_n(s_1\dots s_m)\partial \partial_{s_1}\widetilde{F} \dots \partial \partial_{s_m}\widetilde{F}.$$

Thus

$$\partial \partial_{n+\ell-1} \widetilde{F} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_m = \ell + n - m} P_n(s_1 \dots s_m) \partial \partial_{s_1} \widetilde{F} \dots \partial \partial_{s_m} \widetilde{F}.$$
 (8)

According to [W2]

$$\partial \partial_s \widetilde{F} = x_{n-s}$$
 and $\partial \partial_{n+s} \widetilde{F} = -s \partial_s \widetilde{F}$

for s < n. In additional $\partial \partial_n \widetilde{F} = 0$ and thus according to (8) $\partial \partial_{n+\ell-1} \widetilde{F} = x_{1-\ell}$ for all ℓ . Therefore

$$\partial_s \widetilde{F} = -\frac{1}{s} x_{-s} = \partial_s F_A$$

and $F_A - \widetilde{F}$ — is a linear form. According to [D2, p.16] this form is equal 0. According [Z] $F_B(x_1,...,x_n) = F_A(x_1,0,x_2,0,...,x_{n-1},0,x_n)$.

There are the potentials finding by our formulas

$$(A_2) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_2 + \frac{1}{24}x_2^4,$$

$$(A_3) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}x_2^2x_3^2 + \frac{1}{60}x_3^5,$$

$$(A_4) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_4 + x_1x_2x_3 + \frac{1}{6}x_2^3 + \frac{1}{12}x_3^4 + \frac{1}{2}x_2x_3^2x_4 + \frac{1}{4}x_2^2x_4^2 + \frac{1}{6}x_3^2x_4^3 + \frac{1}{120}x_4^6,$$

$$(A_5) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_5 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2x_3 + \frac{1}{4}x_2^2x_5^2 + x_2x_3x_4x_5 + x_1x_2x_4 + \frac{1}{6}x_2x_4^3 + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3^2x_4^2 + \frac{1}{6}x_3^3x_5 + \frac{1}{6}x_3^2x_5^3 + \frac{1}{2}x_3x_4^2x_5^2 + \frac{1}{6}x_4^4x_5 + \frac{1}{8}x_4^2x_5^4 + \frac{1}{210}x_5^7.$$

$$(B_2) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_2 + \frac{1}{60}x_2^5,$$

$$(B_3) \quad F = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2^2 + \frac{1}{6}x_2^3x_3 + \frac{1}{6}x_2^2x_3^3 + \frac{1}{210}x_3^7.$$

References

[D1] B.Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, In: "Integrable Systems ans Quantum Groups", Eds. M.Francaviglia, S.Greco, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1620 (1996), 120-348.

[D2] B.Dubrovin, Painleve transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory. Preprint SISSA 24/98/FM P.107.

[DKJM] E.Date, M.Kashiwara, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equation, Proceedings of RIMS Symposium on Non-Linear Integrable System. Singapore: World Science Publ. Co., 1983, 39-119.

[DN] B.A.Dubrovin, S.M.Natanzon, Real theta-function solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Math. USSR Irvestiya, 32:2 (1989), 269-288.

[DVV] R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde, H.Verlinde, Topological strings in d < 1. Nucl. Phys., B 352 (1991), 59.

[K] I.Krichever, The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models. Commun. Math. Phys. 143 (1992), 415-429.

[N] S.M.Natanzon, Differentil equations on the Prym theta function. A realness criterion for two-dimensional, finite-zone, potential Schrödinger operators. Functional Anal. Appl. 26:1 (1992), 13-20.

[W1] E.Witten, On the structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity. Nucl. Phys., B340 (1990), 281-332.

[W2] E.Witten, Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two dimensional gravity, Topological models in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), Publish or Perish, Houston, TX 1993, 235-269.

[Z] J.-B.Zuber, On Dubrovin's topological field theories. Mod. Phys. Lett. A9 (1994) 749-760.

Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

Independent University of Moscow, Moscow, Russia.

e-mail: natanzon@mccme.ru