

Fluid Dynamical Profiles and Constants of Motion from d-Branes

R. Jackiw*


*Center for Theoretical Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA*

A.P. Polychronakos

*Theoretical Physics Department
Uppsala University, S-75108 Uppsala, Sweden
and
Physics Department, University of Ioannina
45110 Ioannina, Greece*

Abstract

Various fluid mechanical systems enjoy a hidden, higher-dimensional dynamical Poincaré symmetry, which arises owing to their descent from a Nambu-Goto action. Also, for the same reason, there are equivalence transformations between different models. These interconnections, summarized by the diagram below, are discussed in our paper.



*This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under contract #DE-FC02-94ER40818. MIT-CTP-2820 hep-th/9902024 February 1999

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall be concerned with nonlinear dynamical systems that are described by a density of “matter” ρ , flowing in time $\{t\}$ with local velocity \mathbf{v} on a d -dimensional surface coordinated by $\{\mathbf{r}\}$. The vectorial nature of \mathbf{v} is **not** unrestricted: \mathbf{v} is a function of $\nabla\theta$, where θ is a velocity “potential”, and we shall examine several such functions. (When \mathbf{v} is linear in $\nabla\theta$, the flow is irrotational, $\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = 0$.) The density is linked to the velocity by a continuity equation involving the matter current $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{v}\rho$,

$$\dot{\rho}(t, \mathbf{r}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r})\rho(t, \mathbf{r})) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

while the velocity satisfies an “Euler” equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{f}(\rho, \mathbf{v}) . \quad (1.2)$$

[The over-dot always indicates differentiation with respect to the temporal argument, while the gradient ∇ (unless further specified) differentiates the spatial argument.]

We shall examine theories with various expressions for the force (per unit mass) \mathbf{f} , which lead to Galileo, Poincaré and additional unexpected kinematical symmetries of the equations, and which sometimes produce completely integrable systems, with an infinite number of local conserved quantities. The existence of a velocity potential θ allows the above equations to be formulated with an action principle, which is usually unavailable for this purpose. Consequently the symmetries that we find are in fact Noether symmetries, which leave the action invariant.

Additionally, we shall present limiting and equivalence transformations between different models, which allow mapping solutions of one model onto solutions of another.

Various topics that we discuss have already appeared in the literature. A common feature unites the diverse models that we study: they have a common antecedent in that they can be gotten from a parametrization-invariant Nambu-Goto action for a d -brane on a $d + 1$ -dimensional space, moving in $(d + 1, 1)$ -dimensional space-time. [A “ d -brane” is a d -dimensional extended object: $d = 1$ is a string, $d = 2$ is a membrane, etc. A d -brane inhabiting $d + 1$ -dimensional space divides that space in two.] When a light-cone parametrization is selected for the Nambu-Goto problem, one derives the Euler and continuity equations for a d -dimensional “Chaplygin gas” [in Eq. (1.2), $\mathbf{f} \propto \frac{1}{\rho^3} \nabla \rho$]. Alternatively, a Cartesian parametrization produces the d -dimensional “Born-Infeld” model (see below).

The relation between membranes and planar fluid mechanics (the $d = 2$ case) was known to Goldstone [1], and was developed by his student Hoppe (with collaboration) [2]. Similar connections, yielding equations in one spatial dimension, were discussed by Nutku (and collaborators) [3]. Here we consider the general d -dimensional case, and use the common ancestry of the various fluid-mechanical models to posit unexpected transformations between them, and to identify hidden, dynamical symmetries in each model, which derive from the high degree of symmetry of Nambu-Goto parent theory.

For $d = 1$, the models that we study become especially simple for two reasons. First, their common antecedent is a string (1-brane) moving on a plane (2-space), and for this system the Nambu-Goto equations are integrable [4]. Second, the requirement that velocity be expressible in terms of a potential poses no restriction in one dimension, where any function can be related to the derivative of another function. In this way one may understand that the $d = 1$ models are completely integrable, as has been noted previously [3–5].

In Section II we consider noninteracting systems, with Galileo- and Poincaré-invariant kinetic terms. Specific interactions that preserve Galileo and Poincaré symmetry, as well as higher, dynamical symmetries are discussed in Section III. The relation of these to the Nambu-Goto theory is explained in Section IV, where we also exhibit mappings between the Galileo-invariant and the Poincaré-invariant models. The last Section V is devoted to models in one spatial dimension.

II. FORCE-FREE MOTION

The force-free problem, $\mathbf{f} = 0$, describes the free flow of dust. Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) are readily solved in terms of initial data, specified (without loss of generality) at $t = 0$

$$\rho(t, \mathbf{r}) \Big|_{t=0} = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}) \Big|_{t=0} = \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{r}) . \quad (2.2)$$

Upon defining the retarded position $\mathbf{q}(t, \mathbf{r})$ by the equation

$$\mathbf{q} + t\mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{r} \quad (2.3)$$

one verifies that (1.1) and (1.2), with vanishing right side, are solved by

$$\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{q}) \quad (2.4)$$

$$\rho(t, \mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{q}) \Big| \det \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial r^j} \Big| . \quad (2.5)$$

The free equations, with \mathbf{v} restricted to a function of $\nabla\theta$, possess a variational action formulation, which was first given by Eckart for Galileo-invariant nonrelativistic motion [6]. We reproduce and generalize his argument.

The Lagrangian for N point-particles of mass m in free nonrelativistic motion is the Galileo-invariant kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N m v_n^2(t)$. In a continuum description, the particle counting index n becomes the continuous variable \mathbf{r} , and the particles are distributed with density ρ , so that $\sum_{n=1}^N v_n^2(t)$ becomes $\int d^d r \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) v^2(t, \mathbf{r})$. But we also wish to link the density with the current by the continuity equation (1.1), which can be enforced with the help of a Lagrange multiplier θ . We thus arrive at the free continuum Lagrangian

$$L^{\text{Galileo}} = \int d^d r \left[\rho \frac{1}{2} m v^2 + \theta \left(\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \rho) \right) \right] . \quad (2.6)$$

Since L is first-order in time, and the canonical 1-form $\int d^d r \theta \dot{\rho} dt$ does not contain \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{v} may be varied, evaluated and eliminated [7]. We find

$$\rho m \mathbf{v} - \rho \nabla \theta = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

showing that $\nabla \theta$ is the local momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$, and the velocity is irrotational:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \frac{1}{m} \nabla \theta \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{v} &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The Lagrangian (2.6) becomes

$$L_0^{\text{Galileo}} = \int d^d r \left[\theta \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m} \right] \quad (2.9)$$

where the subscript 0 denotes absence of interaction.

Varying θ in (2.9) regains the continuity equation (1.1), while varying ρ produces the free ‘‘Bernoulli’’ equation for the velocity potential θ

$$\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla \theta)^2 = 0 . \quad (2.10)$$

This is also recognized as the free Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The gradient of (2.10) gives rise, in view of (2.8), to the free Euler equation (1.2) (with $\mathbf{f} = 0$).

Remarkably the same equations emerge for a kinetic energy T that is an arbitrary function of \mathbf{v} . If we generalize (2.6) to

$$L_0 = \int d^d r \left[\rho T(\mathbf{v}) + \theta (\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \rho)) \right] \quad (2.11)$$

we get, instead of (2.7),

$$\frac{\partial T(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \equiv \mathbf{p} = \nabla \theta \quad (2.12)$$

and (2.9) becomes

$$L_0 = \int d^d r \left[\theta \dot{\rho} - \rho \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial T(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - T(\mathbf{v}) \right) \right] \quad (2.13)$$

where it is understood that the Legendre transform of T is expressed in terms of $\nabla \theta$ by inverting (2.12).

Varying θ in (2.13) again gives the continuity equation,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\delta L_0}{\delta \theta} = \dot{\rho} - \int d^d r \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \theta} \left(\frac{\partial T(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right) \\ &= \dot{\rho} - \int d^d r \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \theta} \nabla \theta \\ &= \dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \rho) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

while varying ρ leaves a generalization of the free Bernoulli equation:

$$0 = \frac{\delta L_0}{\delta \rho} = -\dot{\theta} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{v}} + T(\mathbf{v}) . \quad (2.15)$$

With the help of (2.12), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^i} \dot{\theta} &= -v^j \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^i \partial v^j} = -v^j \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial r^i \partial r^j} \\ &= -v^j \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^j \partial v^i} = -v^j \frac{\partial v^k}{\partial r^j} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial v^k \partial v^i} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.16a)$$

On the other hand, from (2.12) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r^i} \dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial v^i \partial v^k} \dot{v}^k . \quad (2.16b)$$

Eqs. (2.16a) and (2.16b) are consistent only if the free Euler equation (1.2) holds (provided the matrix $\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial v^i \partial v^j}$ has an inverse).

With a general form for $T(\mathbf{v})$, the local momentum (2.12) $\mathbf{p} \equiv \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{v}}$ remains irrotational while the velocity, as determined by inverting (2.12), becomes a nonlinear function of $\nabla \theta$.

Evidently the solution (2.1)–(2.5) works with arbitrary kinetic energy, whose specific form enters only in the fixing the relation between \mathbf{v} and $\nabla \theta$. However, the initial data for the velocity must be consistent with the expression of the velocity in terms of $\nabla \theta$.

One may present a family of constants of motion:

$$C = \int d^d r \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) C(\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r})) . \quad (2.17)$$

The time independence of C is established either by differentiating with respect to t and using the free equations of motion to prove that $\frac{dC}{dt} = 0$, or more easily, by inserting the solution (2.3)–(2.5) into (2.17) and changing integration variables from \mathbf{r} to \mathbf{q} . (Carrying out these manipulations requires assuming that ρ_0 and \mathbf{v}_0 obey appropriate regularity conditions and drop off sufficiently at large distances.)

Various constants of motion arise from invariance against time and space translation (energy E and total momentum \mathbf{P} , respectively) as well as space rotation (angular momentum L_{ij}), provided $T(\mathbf{v})$ carries no explicit time and coordinate dependence, and does not depend on any external vectors, i.e., $T(\mathbf{v}) = T(v)$. These constants are

$$E = H = \int d^d r \mathcal{H} \quad \mathcal{H} = \rho \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - T(\mathbf{v}) \right) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \int d^d r \mathcal{P} \quad \mathcal{P} = \rho \nabla \theta = \rho \frac{\partial T(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \rho \mathbf{p} \quad (2.19)$$

$$L_{ij} = \int d^d r (r^i \mathcal{P}^j - r^j \mathcal{P}^i) . \quad (2.20)$$

Also shifting θ by a constant is a symmetry, leading to conservation of

$$N = \int d^d r \rho . \quad (2.21)$$

To recognize that these constants of motion involve particular forms for $C(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{v})$ in (2.17), we recall that according to (2.12) $\nabla \theta$ is a function of \mathbf{v} , and the two are colinear when $T(\mathbf{v}) = T(v)$.

The densities \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{P} are components of an energy-momentum tensor, T^{00} and T^{0i} respectively, which satisfy continuity equations with energy flux T^{i0} and momentum flux T^{ij}

$$T^{00} = \mathcal{H} \quad (2.22a)$$

$$T^{i0} = v^i \mathcal{H} \quad (2.22b)$$

$$T^{0i} = \mathcal{P}^i \quad (2.22c)$$

$$T^{ij} = v^i \mathcal{P}^j . \quad (2.22d)$$

[T^{ij} is symmetric when $T(\mathbf{v}) = T(v)$.] The continuity equations

$$\dot{T}^{00} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r^i} T^{i0} = 0 \quad (2.23a)$$

$$\dot{T}^{0j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r^i} T^{ij} = 0 \quad (2.23b)$$

are entirely equivalent to the free dynamical equations (1.1) and (1.2), with vanishing force.

The symplectic structure, which is determined by the canonical 1-form in (2.13), indicates that the only nonvanishing bracket is [7]

$$\{\theta(t, \mathbf{r}), \rho(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \quad (2.24)$$

or equivalently

$$\{\mathbf{p}(t, \mathbf{r}), \rho(t, \mathbf{r}')\} = \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') . \quad (2.25)$$

With these, one verifies that the constants of motion (2.18)–(2.21) generate the appropriate infinitesimal transformation on the variables θ and ρ .

Specific forms for $T(v)$ support additional, kinematical symmetries and lead to further constants of motion. In the nonrelativistic case presented in Eqs. (2.6)–(2.10), we have Galileo invariance against boosts by velocity \mathbf{u} . The transformation law for the fields reads

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \rho_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \rho(T, \mathbf{R}) \\ \theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \theta_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) + m(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r} - u^2 t/2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where the transformed coordinates are boosted:

$$\begin{aligned} t &\rightarrow T = t \\ \mathbf{r} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{u} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

The inhomogenous terms in θ_u are recognized as the well-known 1-cocycle of field theoretic realizations of the Galileo group. Also they ensure that the transformation for the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \nabla\theta/m$

$$\mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{v}(t, \mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{u} \quad (2.28)$$

is appropriate for the co-moving velocity.

The conserved quantity arising from the Galileo symmetry is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= t\mathbf{P} - m \int d^d r \mathbf{r} \rho \\ &= -m \int d^d r (\mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{v}) \rho \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where the last equality casts \mathbf{B} in the form (2.17). With the help of the bracket (2.24), \mathbf{B} generates the infinitesimal transformation on the fields, and its bracket with \mathbf{P} closes on N , thereby exposing the familiar Galileo 2-cocycle, which provides an extension of the algebra:

$$\{B^i, P^j\} = \delta^{ij} m N . \quad (2.30)$$

The free Galileo-invariant theory possesses further symmetries, which survive even in the presence of a particular interaction. Hence we postpone discussing them until later, when interactions are included.

In the subsequent, in addition to the Galileo-invariant case, we shall also be concerned with a relativistic, Poincaré-invariant model for which the point-particle kinetic energy is $-mc^2 \sum_{n=1}^n \sqrt{1 - v_n^2(t)/c^2}$. Upon passing to a continuum description, as in the nonrelativistic case, we find

$$T(v) = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \quad (2.31)$$

$$\frac{\partial T(v)}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \equiv \mathbf{p} = \frac{m\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \nabla \theta \quad (2.32)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{c\nabla\theta}{\sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla\theta)^2}} \quad (2.33)$$

leading to

$$\mathcal{H} = \rho c \sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla\theta)^2} = \rho c \sqrt{m^2c^2 + p^2} = \rho \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \quad (2.34)$$

and Lagrangian

$$L_0^{\text{Lorentz}} = \int d^d r \left[\theta \dot{\rho} - \rho c \sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla\theta)^2} \right]. \quad (2.35)$$

In the nonrelativistic limit this becomes

$$L_0^{\text{Lorentz}} \rightarrow -mc^2 N + L_0^{\text{Galileo}}. \quad (2.36)$$

Under Lorentz boosts, with velocity \mathbf{u} , the fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \rho_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \rho(T, \mathbf{R}) \frac{\dot{\theta}(T, \mathbf{R}) + c\sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla\theta(T, \mathbf{R}))^2}}{\partial_t\theta(T, \mathbf{R}) + c\sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\theta(T, \mathbf{R}))^2}} \\ \theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \theta_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

with Lorentz-boosted coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} t &\rightarrow T(t, \mathbf{r}) = t \cosh \beta + \frac{1}{c} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \mathbf{r} \sinh \beta \\ \mathbf{r} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left(ct \sinh \beta + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \mathbf{r} (\cosh \beta - 1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{u}/c$. Invariance is most easily verified by writing the action corresponding to (2.35) as

$$I_0^{\text{Lorentz}} = - \int dt d^d r \rho \left\{ \dot{\theta} + c\sqrt{m^2c^2 + (\nabla\theta)^2} \right\}. \quad (2.39)$$

The infinitesimal version of the field transformation (2.37)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\rho &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ct\nabla \right) \rho - \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \rho \\ \delta\theta &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ct\nabla \right) \theta \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

is generated by the Lorentz constant of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L} &= t\mathbf{P} - \int d^d r \mathbf{r} \mathcal{H} / c^2 \\
&= \int d^d r \left(t\rho \nabla \theta - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{c} \rho \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2} \right) \\
&= -m \int d^d r (\mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{v}) \rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

with the last equality exhibiting the form (2.17). The transformation laws for ρ and θ ensure that $j^\alpha = (1, \mathbf{v}/c)\rho$ and $U^\alpha = (1, \mathbf{v}/c)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$ transform as Lorentz vectors, so that $\rho\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$ and θ are scalars [8]. The equation of motion (2.15) for $\dot{\theta}$ together with (2.31)–(2.33) implies that the Lorentz vector $\partial_\alpha \theta$ satisfies a Lorentz-invariant equation

$$(\partial_\alpha \theta)^2 = m^2 c^2 . \tag{2.42}$$

Note that the Lorentz transformation law (2.37) for θ does not involve a 1-cocycle, which is a nonrelativistic effect. It is interesting to see how (2.26) arises in the nonrelativistic limit. By comparing the relativistic action (2.39) to the nonrelativistic one, we see that the relationship between θ_R and θ_{NR} — the relativistic and nonrelativistic variables — is

$$\theta_R = \theta_{NR} - mc^2 t . \tag{2.43}$$

Applying the Lorentz transformation law (2.37) to θ_R implies that $\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r}) - mc^2 t \rightarrow \theta_{NR}(T, \mathbf{R}) - mc^2 T$ or $\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \theta_{NR}(T, \mathbf{R}) + mc^2(t - T)$. We evaluate the nonrelativistic limit of the last quantity from (2.38) and find $mc^2(t - T) \rightarrow m(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r} - u^2 t/2)$, which matches the 1-cocycle in (2.26).

Similar to the Galileo-invariant theory, this Poincaré-invariant model possesses further symmetries, which we shall discuss below, when we include an interaction that preserves them.

III. MOTION IN THE PRESENCE OF INTERACTIONS

A. Nonrelativistic motion

Interactions that preserve the Galileo symmetry of the free, nonrelativistic motion can be included by adding a θ -independent potential $V(\rho)$ to the Lagrangian (2.9):

$$L_V^{\text{Galileo}} = \int d^d r \left[\theta \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m} - V(\rho) \right] . \tag{3.1}$$

With nonvanishing V , (2.3)–(2.5) are no longer solutions, and the quantity (2.17) with arbitrary $C(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r} - t\mathbf{v})$ is no longer constant. Of course the Galileo generators (2.18)–(2.21) and (2.29), with

$$\mathcal{H} = \rho \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m} + V(\rho) \tag{3.2}$$

remain time independent. The energy-momentum tensor retains the form (2.22), with \mathcal{H} given by (3.2); in T^{i0} , \mathcal{H} of (3.2) is diminished by $V - \rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial \rho}$; T^{0i} is unchanged and T^{ij} acquires the addition $\delta^{ij} \left(V - \rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial \rho} \right)$.

The dynamics implied by (3.1) arise in diverse physical contexts. The most directly physical application is to isentropic, irrotational motion in fluid mechanics with the “force” $f(\rho) = -\frac{\partial V(\rho)}{\partial \rho}$ corresponding to the enthalpy and $\left(\rho \frac{\partial^2 V(\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right)^{1/2}$ is the speed of sound [9]. Alternatively one finds (3.1) (with V depending also on $\nabla \rho$) in the hydrodynamical formulation of quantum mechanics, which emerges when the wave function is presented as [10]

$$\psi = \rho^{1/2} e^{i\theta/\hbar} . \quad (3.3)$$

[In this context, the inhomogenous Galileo transformation of θ (2.26) corresponds to the familiar change of phase in a wave function under Galileo boosts, while shifting θ by a constant is just the phase-invariance of quantum mechanics, which leads to probability conservation, i.e., constant N in (2.21).]

But we shall be especially concerned with the case

$$V(\rho) = \lambda/\rho \quad (3.4)$$

which arises in the study of “d-branes” — d -dimensional extended objects — moving on a $(d+1)$ -dimensional space, in $(d+1, 1)$ -dimensional space-time, and descending from a Nambu-Goto action (see Section IV) [1,2]. Furthermore, (3.4) arises in the nonrelativistic limit of a Poincaré-invariant model with interactions, which we shall also describe below.

The equations of this theory, which follow from

$$L_\lambda^{\text{Galileo}} = \int d^d r \left\{ \theta \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m} - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

read in their Bernoulli form

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \theta}{m} \rho \right) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\dot{\theta} + \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m} = \frac{\lambda}{\rho^2} \quad (3.7)$$

while their Euler form is gotten by recalling that $\mathbf{v} = \nabla \theta / m$

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \rho) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = \frac{-2\lambda}{m\rho^3} \nabla \rho . \quad (3.9)$$

These are the equations for a “Chaplygin gas.”

For this model there exist further symmetry transformations [11]. The action $I_\lambda^{\text{Galileo}} = \int dt L_\lambda^{\text{Galileo}}$ is invariant against a rescaling of time, with parameter ω , under which the fields change according to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \rho_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = e^{-\omega} \rho(e^\omega t, \mathbf{r}) \\ \theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \theta_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = e^\omega \theta(e^\omega t, \mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and the time-independent generator of the infinitesimal transformation is

$$D = tH - \int d^d r \rho \theta . \quad (3.11)$$

Furthermore, the action is also invariant against a field-dependent diffeomorphism, implicitly defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t &\rightarrow T(t, \mathbf{r}) = t + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \theta(T, \mathbf{R})/m \\ \mathbf{r} &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \theta(T, \mathbf{R})/m \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the transformed fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \rho_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = \rho(T, \mathbf{R}) \frac{1}{|J|} \\ \theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \theta_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and $|J|$ is the Jacobian of the transformation.

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial T}{\partial r^j} \\ \frac{\partial R^i}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial R^j}{\partial r^j} \end{pmatrix} = \left(1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{m} \cdot \nabla \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) - \frac{\omega^2}{2m} \dot{\theta}(T, \mathbf{R}) \right)^{-1} . \quad (3.14)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a (vectorial) parameter of the transformation, with dimension of (velocity) $^{-1}$, and the time-independent quantity

$$\mathbf{G} = \int d^d r (\mathbf{r} \mathcal{H} - \theta \mathcal{P}/m) \quad (3.15)$$

generates the infinitesimal transformation.

Note that the generators D and \mathbf{G} remain time-independent even in absence of the interaction (3.4), hence these symmetries are also present for the free theory. The generators are *not* of the form (2.17): they involve θ , and cannot be written in terms of $\mathbf{v} = \nabla \theta/m$. Finally we note that bracketing of the additional generators with the Galileo generators of the nonrelativistic theory on a $(d, 1)$ -dimensional space-time produces an algebra which is isomorphic to the Poincaré group in $(d + 1, 1)$ dimensions, [2,12] under which (t, θ, \mathbf{r}) transforms as a $(d + 2)$ -Lorentz vector X^μ in light-cone components $(t = X^+, \theta = X^-)$ [4].

Using (3.7), we may eliminate ρ , and describe the model solely in terms of θ , whose dynamics is governed by the Lagrangian

$$L_\lambda = -2\sqrt{\lambda} \int d^d r \sqrt{\dot{\theta} + \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m}} . \quad (3.16)$$

It is seen that the “interaction strength” λ in fact disappears from the equations of motion for θ ; λ serves only to normalize the Lagrangian. In the free theory it is not possible to achieve this compact formulation. Furthermore, the dynamical equations can be summarized by an equation for θ , which follows from (3.6), once (3.7) is used to eliminate ρ , or alternatively the equation is derived from (3.16)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta} + \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m}}} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \theta/m}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta} + \frac{(\nabla \theta)^2}{2m}}} \right) = 0 . \quad (3.17)$$

In spite of their awkward appearance, (3.16) and (3.17) are Galileo invariant in $(d, 1)$ space-time, and possess a hidden, nonlinearly realized Poincaré symmetry in $(d + 1, 1)$ space-time (which is a descendant of the symmetries of the Nambu-Goto action; see Section IV).

Apart from the intrinsic interest in this nonlinear realization of a kinematical/dynamical Poincaré symmetry, which is provided by (3.10)–(3.15) supplementing the linearly realized Galileo symmetry, the new symmetries have the useful consequence of generating new solutions to the equations of motion (3.6)–(3.9) from old ones. For example, the time-rescaling invariant, particular solution

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{mr^2}{2(d-1)t} \\ \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &= \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{md}} (d-1) \frac{|t|}{r}\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

can be transformed by (3.12)–(3.14) into new solutions θ_ω and ρ_ω , which are very different in character from (3.18) [11]. Note that in (3.18) we must have $d > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$.

At $d = 1$, we can obtain general, time-rescaling invariant solutions. With the Ansatz $\theta \propto 1/t$, (3.17) leads to a second order differential equation for the x -dependence of θ . Therefore solutions involve two arbitrary constants, one of which fixes the origin of x , and can be ignored. The other, which we call k , appears in two distinct families of solutions (which are related by an imaginary shift of x):

$$\theta(t, x) = -\frac{m}{2k^2t} \cosh^2 kx \tag{3.19a}$$

$$\theta(t, x) = \frac{m}{2k^2t} \sinh^2 kx . \tag{3.19b}$$

For real θ , k must be real or imaginary. When a real ρ is computed from (3.7), we find that k must be real for $\lambda > 0$, imaginary for $\lambda < 0$, and a nonsingular density exists only with (3.19a) for $\lambda > 0$

$$\rho(t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{m}} \frac{k|t|}{\cosh^2 kx} . \tag{3.20}$$

The current $j = \frac{\theta'}{m}\rho$ exhibits a kink profile (derivation with respect to the single spatial variable is indicated by a dash)

$$j(t, x) = \mp \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{m}} \tanh kx \tag{3.21}$$

where the sign is fixed by the sign of t .

In the last section we shall further review the $d = 1$ case.

Another interesting solution, which is essentially one-dimensional, even though it exists in arbitrary spatial dimension, is given by

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) = \Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + m\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{2}mt(u^2 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u})^2) . \tag{3.22}$$

Here $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is a spatial unit vector, and \mathbf{u} is an arbitrary vector with dimension of velocity, while Θ is an arbitrary function with static argument, which can be boosted by the Galileo transformation (2.26). The corresponding charge density is time-independent:

$$\rho(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda/m}}{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \Theta'(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r})/m} \quad (3.23)$$

and the static current becomes

$$\mathbf{j}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{m}} \left(\hat{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{\mathbf{u} - \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u})}{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \Theta'(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r})/m} \right). \quad (3.24)$$

B. Relativistic motion

We seek an interacting generalization of L_0^{Lorentz} , which preserves Poincaré invariance. To find this, proceed as follows. Let

$$L^{\text{Lorentz}} = \int d^d r \{ \theta \dot{\rho} - \mathcal{H}(\rho, \mathbf{p}) \} \quad (3.25)$$

with \mathbf{p} given by (2.32) and \mathcal{H} to be determined. The symplectic structure is as in the free theory, hence the Poisson brackets retain the form (2.24), (2.25). We calculate the Poisson bracket between two Hamiltonian densities; in one the fields are evaluated at \mathbf{r} , in the other at \mathbf{r}' :

$$\{ \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}), \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}') \} = \int d\mathbf{r}'' d\mathbf{r}''' \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r})}{\delta \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}'')} \cdot \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}''') \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}')}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}''')} - \mathbf{r} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{r}' \right\}. \quad (3.26a)$$

We assume that \mathcal{H} is a local function of \mathbf{p} and ρ , so that the functional derivatives lead to ordinary derivatives, and (3.26a) becomes

$$\{ \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}), \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}') \} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}')}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}')}{\partial \rho} \right) \cdot \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (3.26b)$$

On the other hand, the Dirac-Schwinger condition for Lorentz invariance states that the bracket (3.26) should give rise to c^2 times the momentum density \mathcal{P} , which in this problem is given in (2.19) as

$$\mathcal{P} = \rho \mathbf{p}. \quad (3.27)$$

Rotational invariance requires that the \mathbf{p} dependence of \mathcal{H} is only on the magnitude p . Thus we conclude that

$$4 \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \mathcal{H} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^2} \mathcal{H} = c^2. \quad (3.28)$$

While many forms for \mathcal{H} can solve (3.28), we take a solution that is relevant to the present context, i.e., it generalizes in a simple manner the free Hamiltonian density (2.34), leads to a theory that descends from the Nambu-Goto action, and coincides in the nonrelativistic limit with the Galileo-invariant Chaplygin gas model:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2} \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \quad (3.29)$$

Evidently the parameter a measures strength of “interaction”.

Thus an interacting, Poincaré-invariant theory is described by the Lagrangian

$$L_a^{\text{Lorentz}} = \int d^d r \left[\theta \dot{\rho} - \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2} \right]. \quad (3.30)$$

The corresponding conserved Lorentz generator takes the same form as in the first equality of (2.41), with \mathcal{H} given by (3.29), and it generates the infinitesimal transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \rho &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ct \nabla \right) \rho - \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2} \\ \delta \theta &= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ct \nabla \right) \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

The finite transformation law is gotten by iterating (3.31), and the generalization of (2.37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \rho_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \rho(T, \mathbf{R}) \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_+ + \Omega_-) + \sqrt{\rho^2(T, \mathbf{R}) + a^2/c^2} \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_+ - \Omega_-) \\ \theta(t, \mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \theta_u(t, \mathbf{r}) = \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where the Lorentz transformed coordinates (T, \mathbf{R}) are given in (2.38) and

$$\Omega_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\dot{\theta}(T, \mathbf{R}) \pm c \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta(T, \mathbf{R}))^2}}{\partial_t \theta(T, \mathbf{R}) \pm c \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \theta(T, \mathbf{R}))^2}}. \quad (3.33)$$

It follows that $j^\alpha = \left(\rho, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2} \right)$ and $U^\alpha = \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2}}, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \right)$ are Lorentz vectors, while θ is a scalar.

The equations of motion are

$$\dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{c \nabla \theta}{\sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2}} \sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2} \right) = \dot{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2}) = 0 \quad (3.34a)$$

$$\dot{\theta} + \rho c \frac{\sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla \theta)^2}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2}} = \dot{\theta} + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + a^2/c^2}} \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = 0. \quad (3.34b)$$

Using (3.34b) to express ρ in terms of θ ,

$$\rho = -\frac{a}{c^2} \frac{\partial_0 \theta}{\sqrt{m^2 c^2 - (\partial_\mu \theta)^2}} \quad (3.35)$$

and substituting this in (3.34a) yields a second order, Lorentz covariant equation for θ . That equation may also be gotten by eliminating ρ from (3.30) and deriving a Lagrangian for θ .

$$L_\theta = -a \int d^d r \sqrt{m^2 c^2 - (\partial_\alpha \theta)^2}. \quad (3.36)$$

This is the “Born-Infeld” Lagrangian, leading to the equation of motion

$$\partial^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2 c^2 - (\partial_\mu \theta)^2}} \partial_\alpha \theta \right) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

As in the nonrelativistic theory [see (3.16)] the possibility of expressing ρ in terms of θ requires presence of the “interaction,” $a \neq 0$, whose nonvanishing strength disappears from the nonlinear, interacting equations for θ . The energy-momentum tensor for the theory (3.30) is Lorentz covariant, of second rank, and symmetric. After eliminating ρ with (3.35), the resulting expression, depending solely on θ , bears the usual relation to its Lagrangian (3.36).

Since the interacting Lorentz-invariant model is a descendant of the Nambu-Goto Lagrangian (see Section IV), it comes as no surprise that it too possesses additional kinematic symmetries, whose generators supplement the generators of the linearly realized Poincaré group in $(d, 1)$ dimensions to give a nonlinear realization of dynamical Poincaré algebra in $(d + 1, 1)$ dimensions [13].

The additional symmetry transformations, which leave (3.30) or (3.36) invariant, involve a field-dependent reparametrization of time, defined implicitly by

$$t \rightarrow T(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{t}{\cosh mc^2\omega} + \frac{\theta(T, \mathbf{r})}{mc^2} \tanh mc^2\omega \quad (3.38)$$

under which the field transforms according to

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \theta_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\theta(T, \mathbf{r})}{\cosh mc^2\omega} - mc^2 t \tanh mc^2\omega . \quad (3.39)$$

[We record only the action of the transformations on θ ; their effect on ρ can be read off from (3.35).] The infinitesimal generator, which is time independent by virtue of the equation of motion (3.37), is

$$D = \int d^d r \left(m^2 c^4 t \rho + \theta \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2 \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + (\nabla\theta)^2}} \right) = \int d^d r (m^2 c^4 t \rho + \theta \mathcal{H}) . \quad (3.40)$$

A second class of invariances involves a reparametrization of the spatial variable, implicitly defined by

$$\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} - \hat{\omega} \theta(t, \mathbf{R}) \frac{\tan mc\omega}{mc} + \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{r} \left(\frac{1 - \cos mc\omega}{\cos mc\omega} \right) \quad (3.41)$$

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \theta_\omega(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\theta(t, \mathbf{R}) - mc \hat{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{r} \sin mc\omega}{\cos mc\omega} \quad (3.42)$$

Here ω is a vectorial parameter, $\hat{\omega} = \omega/\omega$, $\omega = \sqrt{\omega^2}$. The time-independent generator of the infinitesimal transformation reads

$$\mathbf{G} = \int d^d r (m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} \rho + \theta \rho \nabla \theta) = \int d^d r (m^2 c^2 \mathbf{r} \rho + \theta \mathcal{P}) . \quad (3.43)$$

With the addition of D and \mathbf{G} to the previous generators, the Poincaré algebra in $(d+1, 1)$ dimension is reconstructed, and the transformation laws (3.38), (3.39), (3.41), (3.42) ensure that (t, \mathbf{r}, θ) transforms as a $(d+2)$ -dimensional Lorentz vector (in Cartesian components) [2]. Note that this symmetry also holds in the free, $a = 0$, theory.

Because of the extended symmetry, one can generate new solutions from old ones since both θ_ω and θ_ω in (3.39) and (3.42) solve the equation of motion if θ does.

A remarkable fact is that the nonrelativistic limit of the above relativistic and interacting model precisely corresponds to the nonrelativistic interacting model discussed previously. This is easily seen from (3.30), which in the limit gives (3.1), with the help of (2.43).

$$\begin{aligned}
L_a^{\text{Lorentz}} &\rightarrow -\frac{d}{dt} \int d^d r \, mc^2 t \rho + \int d^d r \left[\theta_{NR} \dot{\rho} - \rho \frac{(\nabla \theta_{NR})^2}{2m} - \frac{a^2}{2m\rho} \right] \\
&= -mc^2 N + L_{a^2/2m}^{\text{Galileo}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Equivalently, when ρ is eliminated, we have from (3.36) and (2.43)

$$L_\theta \rightarrow -a \int d^d r \sqrt{2m\dot{\theta}_{NR} - (\nabla \theta_{NR})^2} = L_{\lambda=a^2/2m} . \tag{3.45}$$

Correspondingly, the equation of motion (3.37) goes over into (3.17).

It is easy to exhibit solutions of the relativistic theory, which reduce to solutions of the nonrelativistic equations that were given previously. The following profiles solve (3.37).

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) = -mc \sqrt{c^2 t^2 + r^2 / (d-1)} . \tag{3.46}$$

With (2.43), this reduces to (3.18). In one dimension we have

$$\theta(t, x) = -mc \sqrt{c^2 t^2 + \cosh^2 kx / k^2} \tag{3.47}$$

reducing to (3.19a). The relativistic analog of the lineal solution (3.22) is

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) = \Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + m\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r} - mct \sqrt{c^2 + u^2 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u})^2} . \tag{3.48}$$

Note that the above profiles continue to solve (3.37), even when the sign of the square root is reversed; but then they no longer possess a nonrelativistic limit.

Additionally, there exists an essentially relativistic, chiral solution describing massless propagation in one direction: θ can satisfy the wave equation

$$\square \theta = 0 \tag{3.49a}$$

when

$$(\partial_\mu \theta)^2 = \text{constant} \tag{3.49b}$$

as, for example, with plane waves

$$\theta(t, \mathbf{r}) = f(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r} \pm ct) \tag{3.50}$$

where $(\partial_\mu \theta)^2$ vanishes. Then ρ reads from (3.35)

$$\rho = \mp \frac{a}{mc^2} f' . \tag{3.51}$$

IV. RELATION TO NAMBU-GOTO ACTION

The Nambu-Goto action for a d -brane in $(d+1)$ spatial dimensions, moving in time on $(d+1, 1)$ Minkowski space is

$$I_{\text{N-G}} = - \int d\phi^0 d\phi^1 \dots d\phi^d \sqrt{G} \tag{4.1}$$

where G is $(-1)^d$ times the determinant of the induced metric

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \phi^\alpha} \frac{\partial X_\mu}{\partial \phi^\beta}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here Greek letters, from the beginning of the alphabet, label the quantities $\phi^\alpha = (\phi^0, \boldsymbol{\phi})$, with which the d -brane coordinate X^μ is parametrized; ϕ^0 is the evolution parameter, and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \{\phi^a, a = 1, \dots, d\}$ are the fixed-time, spatial parameters. These d -brane coordinates carry a Greek-letter index from the middle of the alphabet, with value 0 for the temporal coordinate X^0 and m for the d -brane's $d + 1$ spatial coordinates. $\mathbf{X} = \{X^m, m = 1, \dots, d, d + 1\}$.

The action is invariant against reparametrizations of the ϕ^α , and we make the parametrization choice that the d coordinates $X^m, m = 1, \dots, d$, are given by ϕ^m , which we rename r^m . For the remaining parameters we use one of two options, “light-cone” and “Cartesian”.

In the light-cone option, we define

$$X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^0 \pm X^{d+1}) \quad (4.3)$$

and for X^+ choose the parametrization $X^+ = \sqrt{2\lambda m} \phi^0$; also we rename X^+ as t . The remaining coordinate X^- , a function of $\phi^0 = t/\sqrt{2\lambda m}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{r}$, is renamed $\theta(t, \mathbf{r})/m$. Upon evaluating the determinant G , we see that the Nambu-Goto action coincides with the action for (3.16) [14]. This identity also explains the higher symmetry noted in Eqs. (3.10) and (3.13). Our choice of parametrization does not interfere with invariance against the $(d + 1, 1)$ Poincaré group, which acts on X^μ . In the chosen parametrization, the Poincaré transformation acts nonlinearly, mixing coordinates (t, \mathbf{r}) with the field θ . (However, the higher symmetry is also enjoyed by the noninteracting theory, $\lambda = 0$, which is **not** equivalent to the Nambu-Goto model.)

For the second, Cartesian option the chosen parametrization permits writing X^0 , which is renamed ct , as $amc\phi^0$, while the last coordinate, X^{d+1} , which is a function of $\phi^0 = t/am$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{r}$, is called $\theta(t, \mathbf{r})/mc$. With these choices the Nambu-Goto action coincides with that for the Born-Infeld Lagrangian, Eq. (3.36). Again the higher dynamical symmetry, described by Eqs. (3.38)–(3.43), is now understood as the covariance of the Nambu-Goto variables X^μ against $(d + 1, 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré transformations. (But once again, the similar invariance of the free theory, $a = 0$, **cannot** be related to properties of a Nambu-Goto action.)

Since both the $(d, 1)$ -dimensional Galileo-invariant Chaplygin gas equations and the $(d, 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré-invariant Born-Infeld equations correspond to different parametrizations of the $(d + 1, 1)$ Nambu-Goto action, there must be a transformation — recognized as a reparametrization — that takes solutions of one model into the other. This transformation may be formulated as follows.

Given a solution $\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r})$ to (3.17), we solve for $T(t, \mathbf{r})$ from the equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T(t, \mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{mc^2} \theta_{NR}(T(t, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r}) \right) = t. \quad (4.4)$$

Then a solution $\theta_R(t, \mathbf{r})$ to (3.37), is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(T(t, \mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{mc^2} \theta_{NR}(T(t, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r}) \right) = \frac{1}{mc^2} \theta_R(t, \mathbf{r}) \quad (4.5a)$$

or

$$\theta_R(t, \mathbf{r}) = mc^2(\sqrt{2}T(t, \mathbf{r}) - t) . \quad (4.5b)$$

Indeed this mapping produces solutions (3.46), (3.47) and (3.48) from (3.18), (3.19a) and (3.22) respectively. [In fact both signs of the square root are obtained; also (3.48) emerges from (3.22) only after a redefinition of Θ and \mathbf{u} .]

Oppositely, given as solution $\theta_R(t, \mathbf{r})$ to the relativistic Born-Infeld equation (3.37), we reparametrize by solving for $T(t, \mathbf{r})$ from

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(T(t, \mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{mc^2}\theta_R(T(t, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r})\right) = t \quad (4.6)$$

and then find $\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r})$ from

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(T(t, \mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{mc^2}\theta_R(T(t, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r})\right) = \frac{1}{mc^2}\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r}) \quad (4.7a)$$

or


$$\theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r}) = mc^2(\sqrt{2}T(t, \mathbf{r}) - t) . \quad (4.7b)$$

The two transformations are collected in the statement

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{NR}(t, \mathbf{r}) &= mc^2(\sqrt{2}T - t) \\ \theta_R(T, \mathbf{r}) &= mc^2(\sqrt{2}t - T) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

with the instruction that obtaining an expression for θ_{NR} in terms of θ_R , or vice-versa, requires that one of T or t be eliminated in favor of the other.

The interrelationships may be summarized by the following diagram:



It is striking that there exists a two-fold relationship between the Chaplygin gas and the Born-Infeld model. First, there is the exact mapping, given in (4.8), of one into the other. Second, the nonrelativistic limit (3.44), (3.45) of the latter produces the former.

V. ONE-DIMENSIONAL MOTION

In one spatial dimension, the motion of these systems simplifies and they become integrable. We shall give a self-contained demonstration of integrability and derive the integrals of motion in a compact form, stressing the connection between the relativistic and nonrelativistic case.

In one dimension the requirement that the local momentum is irrotational poses no restriction. We shall use as phase space variables the local momentum $p(t, x)$ and the particle density $\rho(t, x)$. The equal-time Poisson bracket (2.25) becomes

$$\{p(x), \rho(x')\} = \delta'(x - x') . \quad (5.1)$$

Note that p and ρ in this relation are on an equal footing and are governed by a nonlocal canonical 1-form $\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \dot{\rho}(x) \epsilon(x - y) p(y) dt$, where ϵ is the antisymmetric step function. We shall consider local integrals, that is, quantities of the form

$$F = \int dx \mathcal{F}(p(x), \rho(x)) \quad (5.2)$$

with \mathcal{F} a local function of p and ρ . The Poisson bracket of two such integrals F and G is calculated through (5.1) as

$$\{F, G\} = - \int dx \left[(\mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho} \mathcal{G}_p + \mathcal{F}_{pp} \mathcal{G}_\rho) \partial_x \rho + (\mathcal{F}_{\rho p} \mathcal{G}_p + \mathcal{F}_{p\rho} \mathcal{G}_\rho) \partial_x p \right] \quad (5.3)$$

where we suppressed the dependence on x and subscripts indicate partial derivative. If the above integrand is a total x -derivative then (with appropriate boundary conditions) the integral will vanish and F and G will be in involution. For this we need the curl-free condition

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho} \mathcal{G}_p + \mathcal{F}_{pp} \mathcal{G}_\rho)_p = (\mathcal{F}_{\rho p} \mathcal{G}_p + \mathcal{F}_{p\rho} \mathcal{G}_\rho)_\rho \quad (5.4)$$

or, finally

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho}}{\mathcal{F}_{pp}} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{\rho\rho}}{\mathcal{G}_{pp}} . \quad (5.5)$$

Choosing one of the integrals to be the Hamiltonian $H = \int dx \mathcal{H}$, the well-known condition

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho}}{\mathcal{F}_{pp}} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\rho\rho}}{\mathcal{H}_{pp}} \quad (5.6)$$

guarantees that F is a constant of the motion. If we recover a set of such integrals satisfying (5.6) then they will obviously also satisfy (5.5) among themselves. Therefore, constants of motion will automatically be in involution.

For the nonrelativistic case the Hamiltonian density (3.2), (3.4) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \rho \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \quad (5.7)$$

and therefore the integrals of motion are generated by functions that satisfy

$$\rho^4 \mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho} = 2\lambda m \mathcal{F}_{pp} . \quad (5.8)$$

This can readily be solved by separation of variables. We prefer, however, simply to give its general solution in terms of two arbitrary functions f and g of one variable:

$$\mathcal{F} = \rho f\left(p + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho}\right) + \rho g\left(p - \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho}\right). \quad (5.9)$$

We essentially get two infinite towers of integrals. Choosing, e.g., $f(z) = z^n$, $g = 0$ or $g(z) = z^n$, $f = 0$ we get the integrals

$$I_n^\pm = \int dx \rho \left(p \pm \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho}\right)^n. \quad (5.10)$$

All the integrals presented in [5], [16] can be identified as linear combinations of the I_n^\pm . As stated, the I_n^\pm are all in involution and demonstrate the complete integrability of the system. The Hamiltonian, in particular, is included as $4H = I_2^+ + I_2^-$ and the total momentum as $2P = I_1^+ + I_1^-$.

The quantities

$$R_\pm = p \pm \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho} \quad (5.11)$$

appearing above are known as Riemann coordinates. The equations of motion for this system (continuity and Euler) are summarized in terms of R_\pm :

$$\dot{R}_\pm = -\frac{1}{m} R_\mp R'_\pm. \quad (5.12)$$

Although this formulation for the equations is known, the relation to the constants of motion does not seem to appear in the literature.

Another fluid system for which the equations of motion, expressed in terms of Riemann coordinates, take a form similar to (5.12) possesses a potential cubic in ρ : $V(\rho) = \ell\rho^3/3$. This also arises in a collective, semiclassical description of nonrelativistic free fermions, where the cubic potential reproduces fermion repulsion [17]. In this case, the Riemann coordinates read

$$R_\pm = p \pm \sqrt{2\ell m} \rho \quad (5.13)$$

and, in contrast to (5.12), they decouple in the the equations of motion:

$$\dot{R}_\pm = -\frac{1}{m} R_\pm R'_\pm. \quad (5.14)$$

Indeed it is seen that R_\pm satisfy essentially the free Euler equation [compare (1.2) and identify R_\pm with v]. Consequently (5.14) is solved by analogs of (2.2)–(2.4).

Both these examples are special limiting cases of a more general system, with potential

$$V(\rho) = \frac{\lambda(\rho + \frac{2}{3}a)}{(\rho + a)^2} + A\rho + B. \quad (5.15)$$

(The terms A, B correspond to a dynamically trivial part that does not alter the equations of motion.) The Chaplygin gas corresponds to $a = A = B = 0$, while the cubic potential is recovered in the limit

$$\lambda = \ell a^4 \quad A = \frac{1}{3}\ell a^2 \quad B = -\frac{2}{3}\ell a^3, a \rightarrow \infty . \quad (5.16)$$

The Riemann coordinates are

$$R_{\pm} = p \pm \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho + a} \quad (5.17)$$

and the conserved integrals of this system are given by functions of R_{\pm} :

$$\mathcal{F} = (\rho + a)f\left(p + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho + a}\right) + (\rho + a)g\left(p - \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{\rho + a}\right) . \quad (5.18)$$

The physical meaning of this general system is not clear.

We conclude the discussion on the one-dimensional nonrelativistic Chaplygin gas by presenting a set of moving solutions, which are the Galileo boosts of the static solutions (3.22), (3.23) in Section III. These read

$$p = p(x - ut) , \quad \rho = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{|p - mu|} \quad (5.19)$$

with $p(x - ut)$ an arbitrary function of $x - ut$ (provided it never equals mu). Clearly this is a constant-profile solution moving with a velocity u .

For the relativistic Born-Infeld system, the Hamiltonian density (3.29) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2} . \quad (5.20)$$

Relation (5.6) for the conserved integrals reads

$$m^2(\rho^2 c^2 + a^2)^2 \mathcal{F}_{\rho\rho} = a^2(m^2 c^2 + p^2)^2 \mathcal{F}_{pp} . \quad (5.21)$$

This can be solved by separation of variables. We prefer again, however, to define Riemann coordinates and give the solution in terms of arbitrary functions of one variable, just as in the nonrelativistic case. The relevant combinations here are

$$R_{\pm} = \phi_{\rho} \pm \phi_p \quad \phi_{\rho} = \arctan \frac{\rho c}{a} \quad \phi_p = \arctan \frac{p}{mc} \quad (5.22)$$

and the equations of motion read, in terms of these,

$$\dot{R}_{\pm} = \mp c(\cos R_{\mp}) R'_{\pm} \quad (5.23)$$

while the general solution to (5.21) is

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{mca}{\cos \phi_{\rho} \cos \phi_p} \left[f(\phi_{\rho} + \phi_p) + g(\phi_{\rho} - \phi_p) \right] . \quad (5.24)$$

By choosing, as before, simple monomials for f and g we get the tower of conserved quantities

$$I_n^{\pm} = mca \int dx \frac{(\phi_{\rho} \pm \phi_p)^n}{\cos \phi_{\rho} \cos \phi_p} . \quad (5.25)$$

The Hamiltonian is included as $H = I_0^{\pm}$. The momentum, on the other hand, is an infinite series in the above integrals, requiring f and g to be exponential functions. We can give

an alternative tower of complex conserved integrals involving only algebraic functions of p and ρ :

$$\tilde{I}_n^\pm = \int dx \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2}^{1-n} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2}^{1-n} (\rho c \pm ia)^n (p + imc)^n . \quad (5.26)$$

Then the Hamiltonian is $H = \tilde{I}_0^\pm$ while the total momentum, total particle number and integral of the local momentum are contained in the real and imaginary parts of I_1^+ and I_1^- (as well as a trivial constant). It can be checked that the integrals (5.26) go over to the nonrelativistic ones (5.10) in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ upon proper rescaling.

In the relativistic model ρ need not be constrained to be positive (negative ρ could be interpreted as antiparticle density). The transformation $p \rightarrow -p$, $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$ is a symmetry and can be interpreted as charge conjugation. Further, p and ρ appear in an equivalent way. As a result, this theory enjoys a duality transformation:

$$\rho \rightarrow \pm \frac{a}{mc^2} p \quad p \rightarrow \pm \frac{mc^2}{a} \rho \quad (5.27)$$

Under the above, both the canonical structure and the Hamiltonian remain invariant. Solutions are mapped in general to new solutions. Note that the nonrelativistic limit is mapped to the ultra-relativistic one under duality. Self-dual solutions $\rho = \pm \frac{a}{mc^2} p$ satisfy

$$\dot{\rho} = \mp c \rho' \quad (5.28)$$

and are, therefore, the chiral relativistic solutions that were presented at the end of Section III. In the self-dual case, when p is eliminated from the canonical 1-form and from the Hamiltonian, one arrives at an action for ρ , which coincides (apart from irrelevant constants) with the self-dual action, constructed some time ago [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \dot{\rho}(x) \epsilon(x-y) p(y) dt - \int dx \sqrt{\rho^2 c^2 + a^2} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2} dt \right\} \Big|_{p = \frac{mc^2}{a} \rho} \\ &= \frac{2mc^2}{a} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int dx dy \dot{\rho}(x) \epsilon(x-y) \rho(y) dt - \frac{c}{2} \int dx \left(\rho^2(x) + \frac{a^2}{c^2} \right) dt \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

A set of constant-profile solutions can be found by Lorentz-boosting the static solution (3.48). Their most general form is

$$p = p(x - ut) , \quad \rho = \frac{a}{c} \frac{up \pm mc\sqrt{c^2 - u^2}}{|p\sqrt{c^2 - u^2} \mp mcu|} \quad (5.30)$$

with $p(x - ut)$, again, a general function. Note that the two choices of sign in the above formula are related by charge conjugation. In the extreme relativistic case $u \rightarrow c$ this solution goes over to the chiral relativistic solution (3.51). The set of these solutions is closed under duality transformations.

We shall conclude by presenting an explicit mapping between the relativistic and the nonrelativistic theories in one dimension, which demonstrates their kinematical equivalence. Note that the relativistic equations of motion (5.23) become, in terms of $\cos R_\pm$,

$$\partial_t \cos R_\pm = \mp c (\cos R_\mp) \partial_x \cos R_\pm . \quad (5.31)$$

These are essentially identical to the equations of motion for the nonrelativistic Riemann coordinates (5.12). In fact, putting

$$\bar{R}_\pm = \pm mc \cos R_\pm \quad (5.32)$$

we see that the \bar{R}_\pm obey the nonrelativistic equations (5.12). Expressing \bar{R}_\pm and R_\pm in terms of the corresponding nonrelativistic and relativistic variables produces a mapping between the two sets. Call p_{NR}, ρ_{NR} and p_R, ρ_R the local momentum and density of the nonrelativistic and the relativistic theory, respectively. Then the mapping is

$$\rho_{NR} = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda m}}{am^2c^2} \mathcal{H}_R, \quad p_{NR} = mc^2 \frac{\rho_R p_R}{\mathcal{H}_R} \quad (5.33)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_R = \sqrt{\rho_R^2 c^2 + a^2} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p_R^2}$ is the relativistic Hamiltonian density. As can be checked by direct algebra, this maps the relativistic equations of motion to the nonrelativistic ones. Since the combinations of p_R and ρ_R that appear in (5.33) are duality-invariant, the mapping of solutions is two-to-one. Note that the constant-profile relativistic solutions (5.30) are mapped to the corresponding nonrelativistic ones (5.19).

We stress that the transformation (5.33) is not canonical, since it does not preserve the Poisson brackets. Accordingly, it does not map the relativistic Hamiltonian into the nonrelativistic one. This is a manifestation of the bi-Hamiltonian structure of these systems, since there are now two pairs of Hamiltonian and canonical structure (the standard nonrelativistic one and the one obtained through this mapping) that lead to the same nonrelativistic equations of motion.

We note that a similar mapping between the nonrelativistic system and the relativistic one in light-cone coordinates was presented previously by Verosky [19]. The mapping (5.33), then, can be considered as the analog of Verosky's transformation for the Lorentz system, although it cannot be obtained from it in any straightforward way.

The existence of an infinite set of constants of motion for both the nonrelativistic Chaplygin gas, (5.9) and (5.10), as well as for the relativistic Born-Infeld model, (5.24)–(5.26), signals the complete integrability of these theories. The actual integration of the equations of motion can be carried out only indirectly. First of all, since both these $d = 1$ models descend, via alternate parametrization choices, from the Nambu-Goto string (1-brane) on the plane (2-space), the explicit integration of the latter [4] allows presenting solutions of the former two in terms of two arbitrary functions. Alternatively, the Chaplygin gas equations can be combined, after a Legendre transformation, into a linear, second-order partial differential equation [9], whose general solution, in terms of two arbitrary functions, is known explicitly [20]. The Born-Infeld solution can then be constructed with the help of transformations described in Sections IV and V.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Goldstone, (unpublished).
- [2] M. Bordemann and J. Hoppe, Phys. Lett. **B317**, 315 (1993); **B325**, 359 (1994).
- [3] Y. Nutku, J. Math. Phys. **28**, 2579 (1987); P. Olver and Y. Nutku, J. Math. Phys. **29**, 1610 (1988); M. Arik, F. Neyzi, Y. Nutku, P. Olver and J. Verosky, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1338 (1989).
- [4] See e.g. D. Bazeia, Phys. Rev. D (in print).
- [5] J. Brunelli and A. Das, Phys. Lett. **A235**, 597 (1997).
- [6] C. Eckart, Phys. Rev. **54**, 920 (1938); recent work: A. Schakel, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B10**, 999 (1996); N. Ogawa, preprint, hep-th/9801115.
- [7] L.D. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1692 (1988).
- [8] In conventional treatments of relativistic hydrodynamics, as in S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York, 1972), ρ is a scalar rather than the time-component of a Lorentz vector. This distinction makes no difference in the noninteracting case, but our choice allows introducing self-interactions in a Lorentz-invariant manner.
- [9] L. Landau and E. Lifschitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford UK, 1987).
- [10] E. Madelung, Z. Phys. **40**, 322 (1926); recent work: E. Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- [11] D. Bazeia and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. (NY), **270**, 246 (1998); R. Jackiw and A. Polychronakos, Faddeev *Festschrift*, preprint, hep-th/9809123.
- [12] A. Jevicki, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5955 (1998).
- [13] Bordemann and Hoppe, second paper in Ref. [2].
- [14] This result was established with a hodographic transformation for $d = 2$ by Bordemann and Hoppe (first paper in Ref. [2]) and for arbitrary d by Jackiw and Polychronakos, Ref. [11]; see also Bazeia, Ref. [4]. The present, simple approach was shown to us by Hoppe; see also J. Hoppe, Phys. Lett. **B329**, 10 (1994).
- [15] This result was established with a hodographic transformation for $d = 2$ by Bordemann and Hoppe (second paper in Ref. [2]); see also Hoppe, Ref. [14].
- [16] Nutku, Olver and Nutku, Ref. [3].
- [17] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 467 (1980) and Nucl. Phys. **B165**, 511 (1980); J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. **B362**, 25 (1991); J. Avan and A. Jevicki, Phys. Lett. **B266**, 35 (1991) and **B272**, 17 (1991).
- [18] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1873 (1987).
- [19] As cited in Olver and Nutku, Ref. [3].
- [20] Jackiw and Polychronakos, Ref. [11].