

Quantum Cohomology and Free Field Representation

Tohru Eguchi

*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 113, Japan*

Masao Jinzenji

*Department of Mathematical Science, University of Tokyo
Tokyo 153, Japan*

and

Chuan-Sheng Xiong

*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University
Kyoto 606, Japan*

Abstract

In our previous article we have proposed that the Virasoro algebra controls the quantum cohomology of Fano varieties at all genera. In this paper we construct a free field description of Virasoro operators and quantum cohomology. We shall show that to each even (odd) homology class of a Kähler manifold we have a free bosonic (fermionic) field and Virasoro operators are given by a simple bilinear form of these fields. We shall show that the Virasoro condition correctly reproduces the Gromov-Witten invariants also in the case of manifolds with non-vanishing non-analytic classes ($h^{p,q} \neq 0, p \neq q$) and suggest that the Virasoro condition holds universally for all compact smooth Kähler manifolds.

1. Introduction

In our previous communication we have proposed a new approach to the quantum cohomology theory: control of the structure of quantum cohomology at all genera by the Virasoro algebra [1]. As we know, the Virasoro algebra appeared before in the study of 2-dimensional gravity [2] and the intersection theory on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces [3, 4]. Since the quantum cohomology theory describes the interplay between the geometry of the target manifold and moduli space of Riemann surfaces, it is not surprising that the Virasoro algebra appears here also. In [1] we have shown that the Virasoro conditions when applied to projective spaces and Grassmannians reproduce exactly the known Gromov-Witten invariants at genus $g = 0$ and 1 [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

In this paper we would like to discuss a free-field description of the Virasoro algebra and quantum cohomology theory. As we shall see, given an arbitrary Kähler manifold M there exists a 2-dimensional free bose (fermi) field for each even (odd)-dimensional homology class of M . These fields have non-zero pairings (OPE's) when their corresponding homology cycles have non-zero intersections in M . Virasoro operators are given by a simple bilinear form of these fields. The central charge of the Virasoro algebra equals the (the number of bosons) - (the number of fermions (ghosts)) = the Euler characteristic of M .

It seems to us rather striking that such a correspondence exists between 2-dimensional conformal fields and homology classes of Kähler manifolds. Our observation may be considered as a natural generalization of the correspondence between bosonic (fermionic) vacua and even (odd)-dimensional homology cycles in supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Vacua are replaced by free fields and the Witten index is replaced by the Virasoro central charge.

In this paper we also would like to discuss the case of Kähler manifolds M where the "off-diagonal" cohomology classes $H^{p,q}$, $p \neq q$ are present. As proposed by S.Katz [11], in such a case the original expressions of the Virasoro operators in [1] should be modified and the "holomorphic dimension" p (or "anti-holomorphic dimension" q) should be used for the dimensions of the fields in the Virasoro operators. In fact this proposal may be verified if we repeat the original derivation of Virasoro condition by carefully treating the ghost number conservation relation which holds for its holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts separately. We have examined Katz's proposal and have verified that it reproduces the correct instanton numbers at genus $g = 0$ and 1 in the case of cubic hypersurface in CP^4 .

2. Complex Projective Spaces and Free Field Representation

Let us first consider, for simplicity, the case of complex projective spaces CP^N ($N =$ even). Corresponding to each cohomology class H^{q_α, q_α} ($q_\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, N$) of CP^N , one introduces an infinite set of parameters $\{t_{\alpha, n}, n \geq 0\}$ which describe the primary ($n = 0$) and descendant ($n \geq 1$) couplings. The Virasoro operators are given by

$$L_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-\alpha} (N+1)^j C_\alpha^{(j)}(m, n) t_m^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+j, m+n-j} \quad n \geq 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j-1} (N+1)^j D_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) \partial_m^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha+j, n-m-j-1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-n-1} (N+1)^{n+1} t^{\alpha} t_{\alpha+n+1}, \\
L_0 = & \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (b_{\alpha} + m) t_m^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha, m} + (N+1) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t_m^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha+1, m-1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} (N+1) t^{\alpha} t_{\alpha+1} \\
& - \frac{1}{48} (N-1)(N+1)(N+3), \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{-n} = & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-\alpha} (N+1)^j A_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) t_{m+n+j}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha+j, m} \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3} \\
& + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{N-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{n+j-1} (N+1)^j B_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) t_{n-m+j-1}^{\alpha} t_{\alpha+j, m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\partial_{\alpha, n} = \partial / \partial t_n^{\alpha}$ and b_{α} is related to the degree q_{α} of the cohomology class ω_{α} as

$$b_{\alpha} \equiv q_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}(N-1), \quad \omega_{\alpha} \in H^{q_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha}}(CP^N), \quad q_{\alpha} = 0, 1, \dots, N. \tag{4}$$

The indices are raised and lowered by the intersection form

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} = \int_M \omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\beta}. \tag{5}$$

$\eta^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, N}$ in CP^N . Note that $q^{\alpha} = N - q_{\alpha}$, $b_{\alpha} + b^{\alpha} = 1$. λ is the string coupling constant.

The coefficients functions are defined as¹

$$A_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) \equiv (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(b_{\alpha} + m + j + 1)}{\Gamma(b_{\alpha} + m + j + n)} \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \dots \leq \ell_j \leq n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{b_{\alpha} + m + j + \ell_i} \right), \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) & \equiv (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(b^{\alpha} - j) \Gamma(b_{\alpha} + j)}{\Gamma(b^{\alpha} + m - j) \Gamma(b_{\alpha} + n - m + j - 1)} \\
& \times \sum_{0 \leq \ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \dots \leq \ell_j \leq n-2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{b_{\alpha} + j - m + \ell_i} \right), \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$C_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(b_{\alpha} + m + n + 1)}{\Gamma(b_{\alpha} + m)} \sum_{m \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_j \leq m+n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{b_{\alpha} + \ell_i} \right), \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\alpha}^{(j)}(m, n) & \equiv \frac{\Gamma(b^{\alpha} + m + 1) \Gamma(b_{\alpha} + n - m)}{\Gamma(b^{\alpha}) \Gamma(b_{\alpha})} \\
& \times \sum_{-m-1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_j \leq n-m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{b_{\alpha} + \ell_i} \right). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

By a somewhat lengthy calculation it is possible to show that the above operators (1–3) form the Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{N+1}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{n+m, 0}, \quad n, m \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{10}$$

¹We have slightly changed the normalization of the parameters; t_n^{α} is $n!$ times the one used in [1].

The Virasoro condition is given by

$$L_n Z = 0, \quad n \geq -1 \quad (11)$$

where Z is the partition function of the topological σ -model with the target space CP^N and is related to the free energy as $Z = \exp F = \exp(\sum_{g=0} \lambda^{2g-2} F_g)$. F_g denotes the genus= g free energy. We have seen in [1] that the Virasoro conditions at genus $g = 0$ reproduce the associativity equations of quantum cohomology [5] and at genus= 1 reproduce the elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants obtained in [8], [9].

Let us now assemble the parameters $\{t_{\alpha,n}\}$ and construct a basic set of free scalar fields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^\alpha(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha + n + 1)} t_n^\alpha z^{n+b_\alpha} - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha)} z^{-n-b_\alpha} \partial_n^\alpha, \\ &= - \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha)} a_n^\alpha z^{-n-b_\alpha}, \quad a_n^\alpha \equiv \partial_n^\alpha, \quad a_{-n-1}^\alpha \equiv (-1)^n t_n^\alpha, \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here z is an arbitrary complex parameter. It is easy to see that the scalar fields $\{\phi^\alpha\}$ have a canonical OPE. In terms of the currents, $j^\alpha(z) = \partial_z \phi^\alpha(z)$ it is given by

$$\langle j_\alpha(z) j^\beta(w) \rangle = \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{(z-w)^2} \left[b_\alpha \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{b_\alpha} + b^\alpha \left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^{b_\alpha} \right] \approx \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{(z-w)^2} + \text{constant}. \quad (13)$$

The stress tensor is defined as the generating function of the Virasoro operators

$$T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}. \quad (14)$$

We need a generalization of the currents $j^\alpha(z)$ by an additional parameter ϵ

$$j^\alpha(z; \epsilon) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha + n + 1 - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha - \epsilon)} a_n^\alpha z^{-n-b_\alpha-1}, \quad j_\alpha(z; -\epsilon) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha + n + 1 + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha + \epsilon)} a_{\alpha,n} z^{-n-b_\alpha-1}. \quad (15)$$

We then find that the stress tensor can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta=0}^N \frac{1}{2} \exp(\mathcal{C} \partial_\epsilon)_\alpha^\beta \left[\frac{\Gamma(b_\beta + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha + \epsilon)} : j^\alpha(z; \epsilon) j_\beta(z; -\epsilon) : \right] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_\alpha b^\alpha b_\alpha \right) z^{-2} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta=0}^N \sum_{m, \ell} \frac{1}{2} \exp(\mathcal{C} \partial_\epsilon)_\alpha^\beta \left[(-1)^{m+1} \frac{\Gamma(b_\beta + \ell + 1 + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\alpha - m - 1 + \epsilon)} \right] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} : a_m^\alpha a_{\ell, \beta} : z^{-m-\ell-b_\alpha-b_\beta-2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_\alpha b^\alpha b_\alpha \right) z^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here \mathcal{C} denotes the matrix of the first-Chern class

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^\beta = \int_M c_1 \wedge \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta. \quad (17)$$

$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^\beta = (N+1) \delta_{\alpha+1, \beta}$ in CP^N . Derivatives in ϵ of the ratio of gamma functions in (16) reproduce the coefficient functions A, B, C, D in (6–9).

Since the stress tensor involves derivatives in ϵ , the basic currents j^α themselves (except j^P) do not have a good conformal property. Instead we may consider new currents defined

by

$$\begin{aligned}
J^\alpha(z) &= \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \exp(\mathcal{C}\partial_\epsilon)_\beta^\alpha \left(\frac{\Gamma(b_\alpha + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\beta + \epsilon)} j^\beta(z; \epsilon) \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \left(\exp \mathcal{C}\partial_\epsilon \right)_\beta^\alpha \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \Gamma(b_\alpha + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(b_\beta - m - 1 + \epsilon)} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} a_m^\beta z^{-m-b^\beta-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

After some algebra we find the following OPE

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle J_\alpha(z) J^\beta(w) \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\mathcal{C}} \right)_\alpha^\beta \frac{1}{(z-w)^3} \left[z \left(b_\alpha \left(\frac{w}{z} \right)^{b_\alpha-1} + b^\alpha \left(\frac{w}{z} \right)^{b_\alpha} \right) - w \left(b_\beta \left(\frac{w}{z} \right)^{b_\beta-1} + b^\beta \left(\frac{w}{z} \right)^{b_\beta} \right) \right] \\
&\approx \left(\frac{1}{1-\mathcal{C}} \right)_\alpha^\beta \left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{b_\alpha b^\alpha - b_\beta b^\beta}{2(z-w)} \frac{1}{w} \right) \quad \beta \geq \alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$\langle J_\alpha(z) J^\beta(w) \rangle$ is regular for $\alpha > \beta$. These currents J_α have a good transformation law with respect to the stress tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
T(z) J^\alpha(w) &= \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \left(\frac{w}{z} \right)^{b_\alpha} J^\alpha(z) + \frac{1}{w(z-w)} \sum_{\beta} J^\beta(z) \left(\frac{1}{1-\mathcal{C}} \right)_\beta^\alpha b_\beta \left(\frac{z}{w} \right)^{b_\beta} \\
&\approx \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} J^\alpha(w) + \frac{1}{(z-w)} \left(\partial_w J^\alpha(w) + \sum_{\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{1-\mathcal{C}} \right)_\beta^\alpha b_\beta \frac{J^\beta(w)}{w} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In fact it is possible to show that the stress tensor itself is expressed as a bilinear form in terms of J_α

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} : G^{\alpha\beta} J_\alpha(z) J_\beta(z) : + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{\alpha} b_\alpha b^\alpha \right) z^{-2}, \quad G^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{C}^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{21}$$

Derivation of formulas (19),(20),(21) is a bit involved and will not be presented here. One may, however, easily check the consistency among these equations.

We may further redefine the fields and bring the OPE (19) into the canonical form (13). For instance, in the case of CP^2 we may introduce scalar fields $\varphi^\alpha(z)$ ($\alpha = P, Q, R$) by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_z \varphi^P(z) &= J^P(z), \quad \partial_z \varphi^Q(z) - \frac{3}{2z} \varphi^P(z) = J^Q(z) - \frac{3}{2} J^P(z), \\
\partial_z \varphi^R(z) &= J^R(z) - \frac{3}{2} J^Q(z) - \frac{9}{8} J^P(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then we have

$$\partial_z \varphi_\alpha(z) \partial_w \varphi^\beta(w) \approx \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{(z-w)^2} + \text{constant} \tag{23}$$

and

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_z \varphi^Q(z) - \frac{3}{2z} \varphi^P(z) \right)^2 + \partial_z \varphi^P(z) \partial_z \varphi^R(z) - \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{z^2}. \tag{24}$$

The above realization is perhaps the closest to the standard conformal field theories. Stress tensor contains anomalous non-derivative terms proportional to $\varphi^P(z)$. The conformal algebra is still maintained in the presence of such terms. Similar construction may be given

for general CP^N .

3. Holomorphic Virasoro Algebra and Cubic Three-fold

In the case of projective spaces cohomology classes only of the analytic type $H^{q,q}$ appear. These are even-dimensional classes and hence the corresponding fields are necessarily bosonic. In [1] we have considered manifolds with only analytic cohomology classes. In these cases the general form of the L_0 operator is given by [12]

$$L_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m + b_\alpha) t_m^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m^\alpha} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (C)_\alpha{}^\beta t_m^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m-1}^\beta} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (C)_{\alpha\beta} t^\alpha t^\beta + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{3-n}{2} \int_M c_n - \int_M c_1 \wedge c_{n-1} \right) \quad (25)$$

Here n is the dimension of M and c_j denotes its j -th Chern class. The constant term of L_0 (the "vacuum energy") has a specific dependence on the manifold. In our construction the Virasoro algebra holds if the relation

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_\alpha b^\alpha b_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \sum_q \left(n - q - \frac{(n-1)}{2} \right) \left(q - \frac{(n-1)}{2} \right) h^{q,q} = \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{3-n}{2} \int_M c_n - \int_M c_1 \wedge c_{n-1} \right) \quad (26)$$

is satisfied ((26) follows from the commutator $[L_1, L_{-1}] = 2L_0$). In [13, 14] it was shown that in fact (26) holds if the manifold has only analytic cohomology classes.

In the general case when "off-diagonal" cohomology classes $H^{p,q}$, $p \neq q$ are present one has [14]

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{p,q} \left(n - p - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \left(p - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) h^{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} = \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{3-n}{2} \int_M c_n - \int_M c_1 \wedge c_{n-1} \right). \quad (27)$$

Comparison of (27) with (26) suggests that one should define the factor b_α in the Virasoro operators as $b_\alpha \equiv p - (n-1)/2$ for a cohomology class $\omega \in H^{p,q}(M)$. One may as well define $b_\alpha \equiv q - (n-1)/2$ since $h^{p,q}$ is symmetric in p, q and the LHS of the above equation remains invariant under p, q interchange. Let us call these values of b_α as the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic dimensions.

Based on the above observation S.Katz [11] has proposed to define holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) Virasoro operators where the factors b_α are given by the holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) dimensions. The sign factor $(-1)^{p+q}$ in (27) takes care of the statistics in the case of cohomology classes with $p+q$ =odd which are described by anti-commuting variables.

Let us now consider specific manifolds with off-diagonal cohomology classes. In order to fix our discussions we consider the case of hypersurfaces in complex projective spaces. In this case there appear extra classes, primitive cohomologies $\{\omega_i \in H^{p_i, q_i}\}$ at the middle dimension $p_i + q_i = n$ (Lefschetz hyperplane theorem). These classes are decoupled from the Kähler subring generated by $\{\omega_\alpha \in H^{q_\alpha, q_\alpha} (q_\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n)\}$. Also the matrix of first-Chern class vanishes for primitive cohomologies, $C_{i\alpha} = C_{ij} = 0$. Thus they contribute additional diagonal terms to the stress tensor.

For the sake of definiteness let us consider the case of odd-dimensional hypersurface. Then all the primitive classes are odd dimensional and their couplings $\{u_{i,n}\}$ become

anti-commuting variables. Let us introduce BC ghosts for each pair of primitive classes ω_i, ω^i ,

$$B_i(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b^i)}{\Gamma(b^i + n + 1)} u_{i,n} z^{n+b^i} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b_i + n)}{\Gamma(b_i)} \partial_{i,n} z^{-n-b_i}, \quad (28)$$

$$C^i(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b_i)}{\Gamma(b_i + n)} u_n^i z^{n+b_i-1} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(b^i + n + 1)}{\Gamma(b^i)} \partial_n^i z^{-n-b^i-1}. \quad (29)$$

Here b_i denotes the holomorphic dimension $p_i - (\dim M - 1)/2$ of ω_i and $\partial_{i,n} = \partial/\partial u_{i,n}$. OPE's are given by

$$\langle B_i(z) C^j(w) \rangle = \delta_i^j \frac{1}{z-w} \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{b^i} \approx \delta_i^j \left(\frac{1}{z-w} + \frac{b^i}{w} \right). \quad (30)$$

Stress tensor of the BC system is defined as

$$T_{ghost}(z) = \sum_i : \partial_z B_i(z) C^i(z) : - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i b_i b^i \right) z^{-2}. \quad (31)$$

As is well-known, a pair of BC ghosts (of spin 0 and 1) contributes -2 to the central charge and hence the odd-dimensional cohomologies contribute $-(\text{number of odd classes})$ in total. Thus the Virasoro central charge equals

$$c = \text{number of even classes} - \text{number of odd classes} = \chi(M) \quad (32)$$

for a general manifold M where $\chi(M)$ is its Euler characteristic.

In the case of degree k hypersurface in CP^N (we denote it as M_N^k) the holomorphic Virasoro operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\alpha, j} (N+1-k)^j C_\alpha^{(j)}(m, n) t_m^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+j, m+n-j} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\alpha, j} (N+1-k)^j D_\alpha^{(j)}(m, n) \partial_m^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+j, n-m-j-1} \\ &+ \sum_{m=0} \sum_i C_i^{(0)}(m, n) u_m^i \partial_{i, m+n} + \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\bar{i}} C_{\bar{i}}^{(0)}(m, n) u_m^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}, m+n} \\ &+ \lambda^2 \sum_{m=0} \sum_i^{n-1} D_i^{(0)}(m, n) \partial_m^i \partial_{i, n-m-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (N+1-k)^{n+1} \sum_\alpha t^\alpha t_{\alpha+n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \sum_{m=0} \sum_\alpha (b_\alpha + m) t_m^\alpha \partial_{\alpha, m} + (N+1-k) \sum_{m=1} \sum_\alpha t_m^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+1, m-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (N+1-k) \sum_\alpha t^\alpha t_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{m=0} \sum_i (b_i + m) u_m^i \partial_{i, m} + \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\bar{i}} (b_{\bar{i}} + m) u_m^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}, m} \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{4-N}{2} \int_M c_{N-1} - \int_M c_1 \wedge c_{N-2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{-n} &= \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\alpha,j} (N+1-k)^j A_\alpha^{(j)}(m,n) t_{m+n+j}^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+j,m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \sum_{\alpha,j} \sum_{m=0}^{n+j-1} (N+1-k)^j B_\alpha^{(j)}(m,n) t_{n-m+j-1}^\alpha t_{\alpha+j,m} \\
&+ \sum_{m=0} \sum_i A_i^{(0)}(m,n) u_{m+n}^i \partial_{i,m} + \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\bar{i}} A_{\bar{i}}^{(0)}(m,n) u_{m+n}^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i},m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_i \sum_{m=0}^{n+j-1} B_i^{(0)}(m,n) u_{i,m} u_{n-m-1}^i \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Note that $(N+1-k)$ is the first Chern class of M_N^k .

It is known that two-dimensional hypersurfaces are exceptional and have only analytic cohomology classes. It is also known that the degree of hypersurfaces must be greater than 2 to possess non-analytic classes. Thus the simplest example with off-diagonal classes appears to be M_4^3 , cubic hypersurface in CP^4 . We have tested the predictions of the holomorphic Virasoro operators (34-35) upto genus=1 for M_4^3 . It turns out that they agree precisely with those obtained by the associativity relations and genus=1 recursion relation [9].

Non-vanishing Hodge numbers of M_4^3 are given by $h^{q,q}(M_4^3) = 1$ ($q = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and $h^{2,1}(M_4^3) = h^{1,2}(M_4^3) = 5$. We denote the primary fields as P,Q,R,S or \mathcal{O}_α ($\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$) (Kähler sector) and \mathcal{O}_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{i}}$ ($\bar{i} = 1, \dots, 5$) (primitive sector). The intersection parings are given by

$$\langle PPS \rangle = 3, \langle PQR \rangle = 3, \langle P\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_{\bar{j}} \rangle = -\langle P\mathcal{O}_{\bar{j}}\mathcal{O}_i \rangle \equiv -d_{i\bar{j}} = -\delta_{i\bar{j}} \tag{36}$$

Minus sign on the third term comes from the anti-commuting nature of Grassmann variables. We have chosen a basis so that the metric of the primitive sector $d_{i\bar{j}}$ is diagonalized.

At genus=0 the Virasoro condition reduces to the associativity equation and automatically reproduces the correct Gromov-Witten invariants. Thus we examine the Virasoro conditions at genus=1. Genus 0 and 1 free energies of the M_4^3 model have the following instanton expansions

$$F_0 = \frac{3}{2}(t^P)^2 t^S + 3t^P t^Q t^R + \frac{3}{6}(t^Q)^3 + t^P d_{i\bar{j}} u^i u^{\bar{j}} + f(t^Q, t^R, t^S, u^i, u^{\bar{i}}); \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t^Q, t^R, t^S, u^i, u^{\bar{i}}) &= \sum_{d,a,b,\{m_i\},\{m_{\bar{i}}\}} N_{d,a,b,\{m_i\},\{m_{\bar{i}}\}}^0 \frac{(t^R)^a (t^S)^b}{a!b!} \prod_i (u^i)^{m_i} (u^{\bar{i}})^{m_{\bar{i}}} \exp dt^Q, \\
\text{where } a+2b + \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i &= 2d, \quad a+2b + \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^5 m_{\bar{i}} = 2d
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and

$$F_1 = -\frac{1}{2}t^Q + g(t^Q, t^R, t^S, u^i, u^{\bar{i}}); \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(t^Q, t^R, t^S, u^i, u^{\bar{i}}) &= \sum_{d,a,b,\{m_i\},\{m_{\bar{i}}\}} N_{d,a,b,\{m_i\},\{m_{\bar{i}}\}}^1 \frac{(t^R)^a (t^S)^b}{a!b!} \prod_i (u^i)^{m_i} (u^{\bar{i}})^{m_{\bar{i}}} \exp dt^Q, \\
\text{where } a+2b + \sum_{i=1}^5 m_i &= 2d, \quad a+2b + \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^5 m_{\bar{i}} = 2d.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Let us first look at the L_1 equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 Z = & \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+b_\alpha)(n+b_\alpha+1)t_n^\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1} + 2 \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+2b_\alpha+1)t_n^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+1,n} \right. \\
& + 4 \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^\alpha \partial_{\alpha+2,n-1} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 b_\alpha b^\alpha \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 t^\alpha t_{\alpha+2} + \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^5 b^i b_i \partial^i \partial_i \quad (41) \\
& \left. + \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+b_i)(n+b_i+1)u_n^i \partial_{i,n+1} + \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^5 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+b_{\bar{i}})(n+b_{\bar{i}}+1)u_n^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i},n+1} \right] Z = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the small phase space ($\{t_n^\alpha\} = \{u_n^i\} = \{u_n^{\bar{i}}\} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ except $t_1^P = -1$) and at the genus=1 level the above L_1 condition reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
t^P (2t^R g_R + 4t^S g_S + \sum_i 2u^i g_i - 2 \cdot 2 \cdot g_Q + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} - 1) &= 0, \quad (42) \\
4g_R - 4t^R g_S &= \frac{1}{18} t^R f_{RQR} + \frac{1}{6} t^S f_{SQR} + \frac{1}{18} u^i f_{iQR} - \frac{1}{18} f_{QQR} + \frac{1}{6} t^R f_{R\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{2} t^S f_{S\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} u^k f_{k\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{6} f_{Q\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{6} (2t^R f_{RR} + 6t^S f_{SR} + 2u^i f_{iR} - 2f_{QR}) + 2u^i u_i g_S \quad (43) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} (2t^R f_{RQ} + 6t^S f_{SQ} + 2u^i f_{iQ} - 2f_{QQ}) g_R + \frac{1}{3} (2t^R f_{RR} + 6t^S f_{SR} + 2u^i f_{iR} - 2f_{QR}) g_Q \\
&+ (2t^R f_{Ri} + 6t^S f_{Si} + 2u^k f_{ki} - 2f_{Qi}) g_{\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} - (2t^R f_{R\bar{j}} + 6t^S f_{S\bar{j}} + 2u^k f_{k\bar{j}} - 2f_{Q\bar{j}}) g_i d^{i\bar{j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $g_Q \equiv \partial g / \partial t^Q$, $f_{RQ} = \partial^2 f / \partial t^R \partial t^Q$ etc. and the topological recursion relations at genus=0 and 1 have been used. Note that eq.(42) is satisfied because of the condition on the instanton expansion $a + 2b + \sum m_i = 2d$ of the function g (40).

We determine instanton numbers $N_{d,a,b,\{m_i=0\},\{m_{\bar{i}}=0\}}^1 \equiv N_{d,a,b}^1$. Anti-commuting parameters $\{u_i\}$ are eventually set to zero in deriving these instanton numbers. Then terms of the form $u^i f_{Ri}$ drop out, however, there are "loop" corrections of the type $f_{R\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}}$ which give non-vanishing contributions. In order to evaluate these terms we consider two-point functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{O}_i \mathcal{O}_{\bar{i}} \rangle |_{\{u^j=0\}} &= -t^P + h(t^Q, t^R, t^S) \\
h(t^Q, t^R, t^S) &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a+2b=2d} \tilde{N}_{d,a-1,b}^0 \frac{(t^R)^{a-1} (t^S)^b}{(a-1)! b!} \exp(dt^Q). \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

(It is possible to show inductively in degree d that $\langle \mathcal{O}_i \mathcal{O}_{\bar{j}} \rangle |_{\{u^k=0\}} = 0$ for $i \neq j$). Expansion coefficients $\tilde{N}_{d,a-1,b}^0$ are determined from the associativity relation at genus=0

$$h_R = \frac{1}{3} f_{SQQ} - \frac{1}{3} h_Q f_{RQQ} - \frac{1}{3} h_R f_{QQQ} - (h_Q)^2. \quad (45)$$

(45) leads to a relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}_{d,a-1,b}^0 &= \frac{1}{3} d^2 N_{d,a-2,b+1}^0 \\
&- \frac{1}{3} \sum_f \sum_j \sum_{i+2j=2f} \tilde{N}_{f,i-1,j}^0 N_{d-f,a-i,b-j}^0 \left(f(d-f)^2 \binom{a-2}{i-1} \binom{b}{j} + (d-f)^3 \binom{a-2}{i-2} \binom{b}{j} \right) \\
&- \sum_f \sum_j \sum_{i+2j=2f} \tilde{N}_{f,i-1,j}^0 \tilde{N}_{d-f,a-i-1,b-j}^0 f(d-f) \binom{a-2}{i-1} \binom{b}{j}. \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

(here $N_{d,a,b,\{m_i=0\},\{\bar{m}_i=0\}}^0 \equiv N_{d,a,b}^0$). From (43) we obtain the recursion formula for the number of elliptic curves

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_{d,a,b}^1 - (a-1)N_{d,a-2,b+1}^1 \\
&= \frac{1}{72} \left((d-6)N_{d,a,b}^0 + 15\tilde{N}_{d,a-1,b}^0 \right) (d+b-1) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\substack{u+c=a \\ v+e=b}} \sum_{f+g=d} N_{f,u,v}^0 N_{g,c,e}^1 \left(\binom{a-1}{u} \binom{b}{v} (f+v)f + \binom{a-1}{u-1} \binom{b}{v} (f+v-1)g \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The above relation can not completely determine $N_{d,a,b}^1$. Its boundary values ($N_{d,a,b}^1$ at $a=0$ or $b=0$) are left undetermined. In order to fix them we have to invoke the L_2 condition. In the small phase space L_2 constraint leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& 8g_S = \frac{1}{18} (2 - d_{i\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}}) (3t^R f_{RS} + 12t^S f_{SS} - 2f_{QS}) - \frac{4}{3} f_{QS} \\
& - \frac{1}{3} (8t^R f_{RS} + 6t^S f_{SS} - 2f_{QS} - 4f_{RR} - 2f_S) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} t^R f_{SQR} + \frac{3}{2} t^R f_{S\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} - \frac{1}{3} f_{RRQ} - f_{R\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{3} f_{RR} - 2f_S \\
& + \frac{1}{54} (3t^R f_{RQ} + 12t^S f_{SQ} - 2f_{QQ}) f_{RQR} + \frac{1}{54} (3t^R f_{RR} + 12t^S f_{SR} - 2f_{QR}) f_{QQR} \\
& + \frac{1}{18} (3t^R f_{RQ} + 12t^S f_{SQ} - 2f_{QQ}) f_{R\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{18} (3t^R f_{RR} + 12t^S f_{SR} - 2f_{QR}) f_{Q\bar{i}\bar{j}} d^{i\bar{j}} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{9} (2t^R f_{RQ} + 6t^S f_{SQ} - 2f_{QQ}) f_{RR} + \frac{1}{9} (2t^R f_{RR} + 6t^S f_{SR} - 2f_{QR}) f_{QR} \right) \\
& + \frac{2}{3} g_S (3t^R f_R + 12t^S f_S - 4f_Q) \\
& + g_R \left(\frac{8}{3} t^R f_{SQ} - \frac{4}{3} f_{QR} + \frac{2}{3} f_R + \frac{2}{3} (3t^R f_{RR} + 8t^S f_{SR} - 4f_{QR}) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{3} t^R (3t^R f_{RS} + 8t^S f_{SS} - 4f_{QS}) + \frac{1}{9} f_{RQ} (3t^R f_{RQ} + 8t^S f_{SQ} - 4f_{QQ}) \right) \\
& + g_Q \left(4t^R f_{RS} - \frac{8}{3} f_{RR} - \frac{4}{3} f_S + \frac{2}{3} (2t^R f_{RS} + 6t^S f_{SS} - 2f_{QS}) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2}{9} f_{RR} (2t^R f_{RQ} + 6t^S f_{SQ} - 2f_{QQ}) + \frac{1}{9} f_{RQ} (2t^R f_{RR} + 6t^S f_{SR} - 2f_{QR}) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Here the recursive formula eq.(2.12) of [15] has been used. The above L_2 equation can be converted into an extra relation on the number of elliptic curves

$$\begin{aligned}
& 8N_{d,a,b}^1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} ad - \frac{1}{3} d - \frac{19}{6} a - 4b + \frac{8}{3} \right) N_{d,a,b}^0 + \frac{1}{3} (5-d) N_{d,a+2,b-1}^0 \\
& + \frac{15}{2} a \tilde{N}_{d,a-1,b}^0 - 5 \tilde{N}_{d,a+1,b-1}^0 \\
& + \sum_{f+g=d} \sum_{\substack{i+k=a \\ j+l=b}} \binom{a}{i} \binom{b-1}{j} \left[(3i+12j-2f) \left(\frac{1}{54} (fg N_{f,i,j}^0 N_{g,k+2,l-1}^0 + g^2 N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^0) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{5}{18} (f N_{f,i,j}^0 \tilde{N}_{g,k+1,l-1}^0 + g N_{f,i+1,j}^0 \tilde{N}_{g,k,l-1}^0) \right) \right. \\
& \left. + (2i+6j-2f) \left(-\frac{1}{9} f N_{f,i,j}^0 N_{g,k+2,l-1}^0 - \frac{1}{18} g N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^0 \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (3i + 12j - 4f) \left(\frac{2}{3} N_{f,i,j}^0 N_{g,k,l}^1 \right) \\
& + \frac{8}{3} (i \cdot f) N_{f,i-1,j+1}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^1 - \frac{4}{3} f N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^1 + \frac{2}{3} N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^1 \\
& + (3i + 8j - 4f) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l-1}^1 - \frac{1}{3} k N_{f,i,j+1}^0 N_{g,k,l-1}^1 \right) \\
& + 4(i \cdot g) N_{f,i,j+1}^0 N_{g,k,l-1}^1 - \frac{8}{3} g N_{f,i+2,j}^0 N_{g,k,l-1}^1 - \frac{4}{3} g N_{f,i,j+1}^0 N_{g,k,l-1}^1 \\
& + \frac{2}{3} g (2i + 6j - 2f) N_{f,i,j+1}^0 N_{g,k,l-1}^1 \\
& + \sum_{f+g+h=d} \sum_{i+k+m=a} \binom{a}{i,k} \binom{b-1}{j,l} \left((3i + 8j - 4f) \frac{f \cdot g}{9} N_{f,i,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l}^0 N_{h,m+1,n-1}^1 \right. \\
& \left. + (2i + 6j - 2f) \frac{2f \cdot h}{9} N_{f,i,j}^0 N_{g,k+2,l}^0 N_{h,m,n-1}^1 + (2i + 6j - 2f) \frac{g \cdot h}{9} N_{f,i+1,j}^0 N_{g,k+1,l}^0 N_{h,m,n-1}^1 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

(43) and (49) determine the elliptic invariants of the M_4^3 model. The results are presented in Table 1. (In the above calculation we have used the convention of the metric $\langle PPS \rangle = \langle PQR \rangle = 3$ which is natural from the point of view of ring structure of cohomology classes. On the other hand from the point of view of enumerative geometry the metric $\langle PPS \rangle = \langle PQR \rangle = 1$ is preferred. These conventions are related to each other simply by rescaling by a factor 3 of t^R and t^S . In Table 1 we present the numbers $N_{d,a,b}$ which are obtained after rescaling by a factor 3^{a+b} .) It is possible to show that our predictions agree completely with those obtained by using the $g = 1$ recursion relation of [9].

We note that if we had used anti-holomorphic dimensions for b_i in the above computation, we would have obtained equations where i and \bar{i} are everywhere interchanged. Results on $N_{d,a,b}^0, N_{d,a,b}^1$ are of course left unchanged.

4. Discussions

In our previous article [1] we were mainly concerned with the quantum cohomology of Fano varieties. It now appears, however, that our construction is quite general and with a suitable modification Virasoro algebra controls the quantum cohomology of all smooth compact Kähler manifolds. It is an important issue to find exactly the class of manifolds where Virasoro condition works.

We have seen that in the case of Fano varieties the structure of free fields and Virasoro operators are deformed significantly due to non-vanishing first Chern class. In the case of manifolds with vanishing first Chern class, however, mixing between different cohomology classes disappear and the Virasoro operators become simply a sum of diagonal terms coming from each cohomology class. The free field representation in such a case may provide a natural interpretation of the appearance of an affine Lie algebra in the moduli space of Yang-Mills instantons [16, 17]. In the case of the K_3 surface, for instance, we may introduce 24 free bosonic fields corresponding to 24 (even) homology classes. These fields will generate an affine Lie algebra $E_8 \times E_8 \times U(1)^8$ (at level 1) whose partition function is given by $\eta(\tau)^{-24}$. This coincides with the formula by Vafa and Witten [17] for the partition function of $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in K_3 surface. It is interesting to see if our world sheet approach may also help explaining some of the results of string

duality.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank K. Hori for his collaboration at the early stage of this work and S. Katz for his communication. We are grateful to E. Getzler for stimulating discussions and E. Witten for his encouragement.

References

- [1] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and C.S. Xiong, Phys. Lett. **B402** (1997) 71.
- [2] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 435; M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (991) 1385.
- [3] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 281.
- [4] M. Kontsevich, Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) 1.
- [5] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, Commun. Math. Phys. **164** (1994) 525.
- [6] C. Itzykson, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B8** (1994) 3703; P. Di Francesco and C. Itzykson, *Quantum Intersection Rings*, in R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber and G. van der Geer eds., The Moduli Space of Curves, (Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1995).
- [7] M. Jinzenji and Yi. Sun, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (1996) 171.
- [8] L. Caporaso and J. Harris, *Counting Plane Curves of Any Genus*, alg-geom/9608025.
- [9] E. Getzler, *Intersection Theory on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1,4}$ and Elliptic Gromov-Witten Invariants* , alg-geom/96012004; *Appendix to Intersection Theory on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1,4}$ and Elliptic Gromov-Witten Invariants* , alg-geom/96012009.
- [10] R. Pandharipande, *A Geometric Construction of Getzler's Elliptic Relation*, alg-geom/9705016.
- [11] S. Katz, unpublished, March 1997.
- [12] K. Hori, Nucl. Phys. **B439** (1995) 395.
- [13] A.S. Libgober and J.W. Wood, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990) 139.
- [14] L.A. Borisov, *On Betti Numbers and Chern Classes of Varieties with Trivial Odd Cohomology Groups*, alg-geom/9703023.
- [15] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and C.S. Xiong, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 1743.
- [16] H. Nakajima, Duke Math. **76** (1994) 365; *Instantons and Affine Lie Algebras*, alg-geom/9510003.
- [17] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994) 3.

Table 1: Instanton numbers in M_4^3 model

d	a, b	$N_{d,a,b}^0$	$N_{d,a,b}^1$	$\frac{2d-2}{24}N_{d,a,b}^0 + N_{d,a,b}^1$
1	(0,1)	6	0	0
1	(2,0)	5	0	0
2	(0,2)	6	$-(1/2)$	0
2	(2,1)	14	$-(7/6)$	0
2	(4,0)	27	$-(9/4)$	0
3	(0,3)	24	-3	1
3	(2,2)	92	$-(43/3)$	1
3	(4,1)	270	-43	2
3	(6,0)	855	$-(275/2)$	5
4	(0,4)	192	-21	27
4	(2,3)	1024	-199	57
4	(4,2)	4104	-846	180
4	(6,1)	16992	-3588	660
4	(8,0)	74982	$-(32211/2)$	2640
5	(0,5)	2400	4	804
5	(2,4)	16400	$-(8252/3)$	2716
5	(4,3)	84024	-16371	11637
5	(6,2)	432612	-89013	55191
5	(8,1)	2324166	-493678	281044
5	(10,0)	13153185	-2871189	1513206