

UPR-770T, HUB-EP-97/49
hep-th/9709030

August 1997

U–duality Covariant M–theory Cosmology

André Lukas^{1*} and Burt A. Ovrut^{1 2 §}

¹Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104–6396, USA

²Humboldt Universität, Institut für Physik
Invalidenstraße 110, 10115 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

A manifestly U–duality covariant approach to M–theory cosmology is developed and applied to cosmologies in dimensions $D = 4, 5$. Cosmological properties such as expansion powers and Hubble parameters turn out to be U–duality invariant in certain asymptotic regions. U–duality transformations acting on cosmological solutions, on the other hand, shift the transition time between two asymptotic regions and determine the details of the transition. Moreover, in $D = 5$, we show that U–duality can map expanding negative and positive branch solutions into each other.

*Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and Nato Collaborative Research Grant CRG. 940784.

§Supported in part by a Senior Alexander von Humboldt Award

1 Introduction

With M–theory becoming the prime candidate for the underlying fundamental theory, new phenomena in particle physics as well as early universe cosmology have to be addressed. Consequently, there has been some recent interest in M–theory cosmology. In a first paper [1] the authors have studied the rôle of form fields in type II and M–theory cosmology. Subsequent papers [2, 3, 4] gave broad classes of cosmological solutions with form fields. In ref. [1, 4] it has been shown in detail that the main effect of these fields is to connect Kaluza–Klein type rolling radii solutions [5] with each other. Various other aspects of M–theory cosmology have been studied so far, such as the possibility of singularity free solutions [4, 6, 7, 8, 9], moduli and dilaton stabilization [10] and the relation of cosmological solutions and p–brane solutions [1, 4, 11, 6, 12, 13].

The main focus of this paper is the relation of U–duality [14] and cosmology, an aspect of M–theory cosmology which so far has not received much attention. In the context of weakly coupled heterotic string theory, T duality has been shown to be a useful tool in cosmology [15], to generate solutions as well as to understand their structure. Especially, the $O(d, d)$ –covariant method of ref. [16] appears to be an extremely elegant approach to cosmology, which provides an overview over a large class of solutions. At the same time it is closely related to the development of pre–big–bang cosmologies [17]. Applications of T–duality and S–duality to cosmology have also been discussed in the ref. [18, 19, 20, 21]. In ref. [11, 22, 23] the type IIB $SL(2)$ symmetry has been used to generate cosmological solutions with Ramond–Ramond fields.

In this paper, we will present a manifestly U–duality covariant formulation of M–theory cosmology. Since U–duality rotates metric degrees of freedom and degrees of freedom from the 3–form of 11–dimensional supergravity into each other, we will be naturally dealing with cosmologies which have nontrivial “Ramond–Ramond fields”. In particular, we will recover some of the previously derived solutions and their properties [1, 4] in our new context. The most interesting part of duality transformations in cosmology is the one which acts non–trivially on the space–time metric and it is this part on which we will concentrate. Therefore, we are going to reduce 11–dimensional supergravity on a Ricci–flat manifold to D dimensions, thereby keeping the breathing mode of the internal space as the only modulus [24] and focusing on the D –dimensional “external” part of U–duality. As a general rule, this part of the U–duality group acting on cosmological solutions in D space–time dimensions is the same as the U–duality group of $12 - D$ –dimensional maximal supergravity. As explicit examples, we will study the cases $D = 4, 5$, corresponding to the U–duality groups $G = SL(2) \times SL(3)$ and $G = SL(5)$, respectively. The example $D = 5$ is motivated by the Horava–Witten construction of M–theory on S^1/Z_2 which represents the effective theory of strongly coupled heterotic string theory. This theory turns out to be effectively 5–dimensional for phenomenological values of the coupling constants in some intermediate energy range.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In the next section, we will perform the reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity to D dimensions, keeping the “minimal” field content consistent with the external part of U-duality. In section 3, we will work out the general U-duality covariant formalism and present a general form for cosmological solutions. These results will be applied to $D = 4, 5$ in the following two sections. We conclude in section 6.

2 Compactification of 11-dimensional Supergravity

In this section, we are going to reduce the bosonic part of 11-dimensional supergravity to D space-time dimensions on a Ricci-flat manifold. The resulting low energy action will be the starting point for our discussion of cosmological solutions.

The bosonic part of the 11-dimensional supergravity Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[\hat{R} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} \hat{F}_{MNPQ} \hat{F}^{MNPQ} \right] + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 3!(4!)^2} \epsilon^{M_1 \dots M_{11}} \hat{F}_{M_1 \dots M_4} \hat{F}_{M_5 \dots M_8} \hat{A}_{M_9 M_{10} M_{11}} . \quad (1)$$

We are using the conventions of ref. [25]. The 11-dimensional metric and curvature are given by \hat{g}_{MN} and \hat{R} , respectively, where uppercase letters are used to index the full space, that is, $M, N, \dots = 0, \dots, 10$. The 4-form field strength \hat{F}_{MNPQ} is expressed in terms of the 3-form gauge field \hat{A}_{NPQ} as $\hat{F}_{MNPQ} = 4 \partial_{[M} \hat{A}_{NPQ]}$. For the class of compactifications we will be interested in, the Chern-Simons term in eq. (1) vanishes. Therefore, we drop this term from now on and consider the non-topological part of the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[\hat{R} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} \hat{F}_{MNPQ} \hat{F}^{MNPQ} \right] \quad (2)$$

only. Our main purpose is to investigate the relation of cosmological solutions and U-duality symmetries for the action (2). The focus in this paper will be on the external part of U-duality which acts non-trivially on the space-time metric rather than on the part which transforms moduli. In our reduction to D dimensions we will therefore keep a minimal moduli content only, that is, the breathing mode of the Ricci-flat manifold. Though formulae in this section are kept general, the most interesting cases are the ones for $D = 4, 5$ on which we will concentrate later on. While the case $D = 4$ is of obvious relevance, the importance of $D = 5$ is motivated by the construction of Horava and Witten [26] for the effective action of the strongly coupled heterotic string. In this construction of 11-dimensional supergravity on the orbifold S^1/Z_2 times a 10-dimensional manifold the orbifold direction turns out to be larger than the other (six) compact directions for phenomenological values of the coupling constants [27]. Consequently, the theory is effectively 5-dimensional in some intermediate energy range.

Let us now be specific. We are using indices $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, \dots, d \equiv D - 1$ for the external space-time, indices $m, n, \dots = 1, \dots, d$ for the external spatial directions and indices $a, b, \dots = d + 1, \dots, 10$ for the

δ -dimensional internal space, where $\delta = 11 - D$. Our Ansatz for the 11-dimensional fields is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu}(x^p) \\
\hat{g}_{\mu b} &= 0 \\
\hat{g}_{ab} &= \bar{b}^2(x^p)\Omega_{ab}(x^c) \\
\hat{A}_{\mu\nu\rho} &= B_{\mu\nu\rho}(x^\sigma).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

All other components of \hat{A}_{NPQ} are set to zero. Here Ω_{ab} is the metric of a δ -dimensional Ricci-flat manifold and \bar{b} is its breathing mode. Depending on the dimension, this manifold can be a Calabi-Yau space, a torus or even a product of both. The D -dimensional metric and 3-form are denoted by $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$, respectively. As already discussed, we have considered the minimal moduli content represented by \bar{b} only. As a further simplification, we have neglected D -dimensional vector fields (graviphotons as well as those arising from \hat{A}_{NPQ}) and D -dimensional 2 forms. In case of a reduction on a Calabi-Yau manifold the latter are not present anyway, since the Betti number vanishes $b_1 = h^{1,0} + h^{0,1} = 0$. With the above truncation, we arrive at a low energy theory independent on the details of the compactification, but we keep the characteristic 3-form as a low energy field. Furthermore, as we will see, the Ansatz (3) is consistent with the external part of U-duality, so that it provides a “minimal” setting for our purpose. Inclusion of other fields is straightforward but will not be considered here since the minimal field content suffices to illustrate our main points.

Using the truncation (3) the action (2) turns into

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{\Omega} \sqrt{-g} \bar{b}^\delta \left[R + \delta(\delta - 1) \bar{b}^{-2} (\partial_\mu \bar{b})^2 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right], \tag{4}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 4 \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\rho\sigma]}$. To get a canonical curvature term we perform the Weyl rotation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{b}^{-\frac{2\delta}{D-2}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \tag{5}$$

to the Einstein frame metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. In this frame, eq. (4) reads ¹

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left[\bar{R} - k^2 \bar{b}^{-2} (\partial_\mu \bar{b})^2 - \bar{b}^{\frac{\delta(11-D)}{D-2}} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right] \tag{6}$$

with $k^2 = \frac{D-1}{D-2} \delta^2 - \delta(\delta - 1)$. For a study of cosmological solutions of this Lagrangian we consider the Ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{N}^2(\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{G}_{mn}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \\
B_{mnr} &= B_{mnr}(\tau) \\
\bar{b} &= \bar{b}(\tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

¹We drop the factor $\sqrt{\Omega}$ since it turns into a constant upon integration over the internal manifold.

Here, time has been denoted by τ . The equations of motion with these specialized fields inserted can be derived from a Lagrangian which is related to eq. (6) by a formal dimensional reduction to one dimension. This 1-dimensional Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{N}^{-1} \sqrt{\bar{\Phi}} \left[k^2 \bar{b}^{-2} \dot{\bar{b}}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Phi}^2 \dot{\bar{\Phi}}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \dot{\bar{G}}_{mn} \dot{\bar{G}}^{mn} + \bar{b}^{-\frac{6(11-d)}{D-2}} \bar{G}^{mm'} \bar{G}^{nn'} \bar{G}^{rr'} \dot{\bar{B}}_{mnr} \dot{\bar{B}}_{m'n'r'} \right] \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{\Phi} = \det(\bar{G})$. The dot denotes the derivative with respect to the time τ .

In the last step we have dimensionally reduced $d = D - 1$ dimensions of a theory which by itself has been obtained reducing 11-dimensional supergravity. Therefore, one should expect the U-duality group of $(11 - d)$ -dimensional maximal supergravity as a symmetry group of the Lagrangian (8). For example, for $D = 4$ ($d = 3$) the expected group is the one of 8-dimensional supergravity, that is, $G = SL(2) \times SL(3)$. For $D = 5$ ($d = 4$) one expects $G = SL(5)$, the U-duality group of 7-dimensional supergravity. As we will show, this is indeed the case. It is, however, hard to see directly from the Lagrangian in the form (8). The reason is that we have performed a Weyl rotation (5) which is different from the one that leads to $(11 - d)$ -dimensional supergravity with a canonical Einstein term. We can compensate for this by the following nonlinear field redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b} &= \Phi^{-\frac{1}{2(10-D)}} b \\ \bar{N} &= \Phi^{-\frac{9}{2(10-D)(D-2)}} b^{\frac{11-d}{D-2}} N \\ \bar{G}_{mn} &= \Phi^{-\frac{11-d}{(10-D)(D-2)}} b^{\frac{2(11-D)}{D-2}} G_{mn} \\ \bar{\Phi} &= \Phi^{-\frac{(11-D)(D-1)}{(10-D)(D-2)} + 1} b^{\frac{2(11-D)(D-1)}{D-2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which express the physical Einstein frame fields $\bar{G}_{mn}, \bar{N}, \bar{b}, \bar{\Phi}$ in terms of the new fields G_{mn}, N, b, Φ with

$$\Phi = \det(G). \quad (10)$$

Written in terms of these new variables the Lagrangian (8) finally reads

$$\mathcal{L} = N^{-1} b^\delta \left[-\delta(\delta - 1) b^{-2} \dot{b}^2 + \frac{1}{4(10-D)} \Phi^{-2} \dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \dot{G}_{mn} \dot{G}^{mn} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3!} G^{mm'} G^{nn'} G^{rr'} \dot{B}_{mnr} \dot{B}_{m'n'r'} \right]. \quad (11)$$

This is the form of \mathcal{L} we are going to use in our discussion of U-duality and cosmological solutions. For a physical interpretation of solutions one should, of course, transform back to the Einstein frame fields via eq. (9).

3 U–duality Covariant Formulation

In this section, we will find the manifestly U–duality invariant form of the Lagrangian (11) for $D = 4, 5$ and discuss its general solution. Since the explicit parameterizations depend on the specific U–duality group, we discuss the two cases $D = 4, 5$ separately.

$D = 4$: The expected U–duality group in this case is $G = SL(2) \times SL(3)$. Indeed, if we write the 3–form field B_{mnr} as

$$B_{mnr} = \frac{B}{\Phi} \epsilon_{mnr} , \quad (12)$$

with a scalar $B = B(\tau)$, we can define $SL(2)/SO(2)$ – and $SL(3)/SO(3)$ –coset parameterizations \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G} by [28]

$$\mathcal{M} = \Phi^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{B^2}{\Phi} & \frac{B}{\Phi} \\ \frac{B}{\Phi} & \frac{1}{\Phi} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \mathcal{G} = \Phi^{-1/3} (G_{mn}) . \quad (13)$$

Using these parameterizations and setting the internal dimension to $\delta = 7$, Lagrangian (11) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = N^{-1} b^7 \left[-42 b^{-2} \dot{b}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (\dot{\mathcal{M}} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}) - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (\dot{\mathcal{G}} \dot{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}) \right] . \quad (14)$$

In this form it is manifestly invariant under the $SL(2) \times SL(3)$ transformation

$$\begin{aligned} b &\rightarrow b \\ \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow P_2 \mathcal{M} P_2^T \\ \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow P_3 \mathcal{G} P_3^T \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with $P_2 \in SL(2), P_3 \in SL(3)$.

$D = 5$: The U–duality group in this case is $G = SL(5)$. Let us define the vector $\mathbf{B} = (B^s)$ by

$$B_{mnr} = \frac{1}{\Phi} \epsilon_{mnr s} B^s . \quad (16)$$

Then the $SL(5)/SO(5)$ coset \mathcal{M} can be parameterized by [29]

$$\mathcal{M} = \Phi^{-2/5} \begin{pmatrix} G & -G\mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B}^T G & \Phi + \mathbf{B}^T G \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (17)$$

where we have used a matrix notation $G = (G_{mn})$ for the metric. With the internal dimension $\delta = 6$, Lagrangian (11) can then be put into the form

$$\mathcal{L} = N^{-1} b^6 \left[-30 b^{-2} \dot{b}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (\dot{\mathcal{M}} \dot{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}) \right] , \quad (18)$$

which has manifest $SL(5)$ invariance. The explicit transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} b &\rightarrow b \\ \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow P \mathcal{M} P^T \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with $P \in SL(5)$.

Both cases $D = 4, 5$ can be treated uniformly by considering an $SL(n)/SO(n)$ sigma model (where $n = 2, 3$ for $D = 4$ and $n = 5$ for $D = 5$) written in terms of the coset parameterization $M \in SL(n)/SO(n)$. It is this model which we are going to solve in the remainder of this section. Explicit applications to $D = 4, 5$ are the subject of the following sections.

Without reference to a specific parameterization, the coset M can be characterized by the conditions $\det(M) = 1$ and $M = M^T$ which can be implemented via Lagrange multipliers. We are therefore considering the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = N^{-1} b^\delta \left[-\delta(\delta - 1) b^{-2} \dot{b}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left(M^{-1} \dot{M} M^{-1} \dot{M} \right) \right] + \lambda (\det(M) - 1) + \text{tr} \left(\gamma (M - M^T) \right) \quad (20)$$

with the Lagrange multipliers λ, γ . The $SL(n)$ symmetry transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} b &\rightarrow b \quad , \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda \\ M &\rightarrow P M P^T \quad , \quad \gamma \rightarrow P^{T^{-1}} \gamma P^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $P \in SL(n)$. Infinitesimally, for $P \simeq 1 + T$, $\text{tr}(T) = 0$ we have

$$\delta_T M = T M + M T^T \quad , \quad \delta_T \gamma = -T^T \gamma - \gamma T \quad . \quad (22)$$

The conserved current J_T associated with an $SL(n)$ generator T is easily calculated to be

$$J_T = b^\delta \text{tr} \left(M^{-1} \dot{M} T \right) \quad . \quad (23)$$

After eliminating the Lagrange multipliers, we find as the $SL(n)$ covariant equations of motion for M , b and N (in the gauge $N = 1$ which we can always choose by a suitable reparameterization of the time τ)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(M^{-1} \dot{M} \right) + \delta H M^{-1} \dot{M} &= 0 \\ (\delta - 1) \dot{H} + \frac{1}{2} \delta (\delta - 1) H^2 &= -\rho \\ \frac{1}{2} \delta (\delta - 1) H^2 &= \rho \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

respectively. Clearly, the matrix M in these equations is restricted to be symmetric and unimodular. The Hubble constant H and the energy density ρ are defined by

$$H = \frac{\dot{b}}{b} \quad , \quad \rho = \frac{1}{8} \text{tr} \left(M^{-1} \dot{M} M^{-1} \dot{M} \right) \quad . \quad (25)$$

The second and third equation in (24) can be combined to find the following solution for the breathing mode

$$H = \frac{1}{\delta \tau} \quad , \quad b = b_0 |\tau|^{1/\delta} \quad , \quad (26)$$

where b_0 is an arbitrary constant. Inserting this into the first equation (24) we find for the coset M

$$M = M_0 e^{I \ln |\tau|} , \quad (27)$$

where the constant matrices M_0, I satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_0) = 1 \quad , \quad \text{tr}(I) = 0 \\ M_0 = M_0^T \quad , \quad M_0 I = I^T M_0 . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Furthermore, from the last equation (24) one obtains the zero energy constraint

$$\text{tr}(I^2) = 4 \frac{\delta - 1}{\delta} . \quad (29)$$

Eqs. (26)–(29) represent the complete solution of the Lagrangian (20) written in a manifestly $SL(n)$ covariant form. The $SL(n)$ transformation (21) on the coset M acts on the integration constants encoded in M_0, I by

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &\rightarrow P M_0 P^T \\ I &\rightarrow P^{T-1} I P^T . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

At this point, it is instructive to count the number of integration constants in our general solution. The matrices M_0, I satisfying the constraints (28) contain $n^2 + n - 2$ independent parameters. The zero energy condition (29) eliminates one of them so that we remain with $n^2 + n - 3$ independent integration constants. This is just about the correct number to describe the general solution for all degrees of freedom in the coset $M \in SL(n)/SO(n)$. On the other hand, the group $SL(n)$ consists of $n^2 - 1$ parameters which implies that for $n > 2$ not all solutions can be connected to each other by $SL(n)$ transformations. More precisely, the total $n^2 + n - 3$ -dimensional solution space splits into $n^2 - 1$ -dimensional equivalence classes, each consisting of solutions related to each other by $SL(n)$ transformations via eq. (30). The remaining $n - 2$ integration constants label different equivalence classes, that is, classes of solutions which cannot be connected by $SL(n)$ transformations. It is useful in the following to make this structure more explicit in the solution (27). Diagonalizing M_0 and I using eq. (30) with appropriate matrices P , it is straightforward to prove that eqs. (27), (28), (29) can equivalently be written in the form

$$M = P \text{diag}(|\tau|^{p_1}, \dots, |\tau|^{p_n}) P^T \quad (31)$$

with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 0 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 = 4 \frac{\delta - 1}{\delta} \quad (32)$$

and $P \in SL(n)$. The equivalence classes of $SL(n)$ unrelated solutions are parameterized by the $n - 2$ constants $\{p_i\}$ subject to the constraints (32). In addition, since $SL(n)$ contains permutations

of the n directions we should pick a definite order, say $p_i \geq p_j$ if $i < j$, for the $\{p_i\}$ to describe $SL(n)$ inequivalent solution. On the other hand, a specific class, characterized by a fixed set $\{p_i\}$, is generated by the matrices $P \in SL(n)$ in eq. (31). In the next sections we will apply these general results to the examples $D = 4, 5$ and discuss physical the implications in detail.

4 The Example $D = 4$

We are now going to analyze the solutions of the 4-dimensional theory, specified by the Lagrangian (14), in detail. The dimension of the internal manifold is $\delta = 7$. This manifold can, for example, be a torus T^7 or a product of a Calabi–Yau 3-fold and S^1 . The general equations of motion (24), (25), adapted to the situation of two cosets (with $\delta = 7$ inserted), now read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathcal{M}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{M}}) + 7 H \mathcal{M}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{M}} &= 0 \\ \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{G}}) + 7 H \mathcal{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{G}} &= 0 \\ 6 \dot{H} + 21 H^2 &= -\rho \\ 21 H^2 &= \rho \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with

$$H = \frac{\dot{b}}{b}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{8} \text{tr} (\mathcal{M}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{M}}) + \frac{1}{8} \text{tr} (\mathcal{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{G}}). \quad (34)$$

The two matrices \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G} parameterize the cosets $SL(2)/SO(2), SL(3)/SO(3)$ respectively, and are both symmetric and unimodular. Their explicit form in terms of the metric and the 3-form has been given in eq. (13). According to eq. (9), the physical Einstein frame fields can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b} &= \Phi^{-1/12} b \\ \bar{N} &= \Phi^{-3/8} b^{7/2} \\ \bar{G}_{mn} &= \Phi^{-1/4} b^7 \mathcal{G}_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

From eq. (26), the solution for the breathing mode reads

$$H = \frac{1}{7\tau}, \quad b = b_0 |\tau|^{1/7}. \quad (36)$$

For the cosets, we have from eq. (31), (32)

$$\mathcal{M} = P_2 \text{diag}(|\tau|^p, |\tau|^{-p}) P_2^T, \quad \mathcal{G} = P_3 \text{diag}(|\tau|^{p_1}, |\tau|^{p_2}, |\tau|^{p_3}) P_3^T, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = 0, \quad 2p^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i^2 = \frac{24}{7} \quad (38)$$

and $P_2 \in SL(2), P_3 \in SL(3)$. As discussed before, we may require $p > 0$ and $p_1 > p_2 > p_3$. Totally, the solution is parameterized by 13 independent integration constants. Two out of the four

parameters p, p_1, p_2, p_3 are independent, taking into account the constraints (38). They describe the equivalence classes of $SL(2) \times SL(3)$ -unrelated solutions. The remaining 11 parameters in P_2, P_3 generate $SL(2) \times SL(3)$ -related solutions. As can be seen from eqs. (13), (15) the $SL(3)$ subgroup is part of the global coordinate transformations and does not generate independent new solutions. Without losing information, we can therefore set $P_3 = \mathbf{1}$ in eq. (37) and concentrate on the $SL(2)$ part of the U-duality group. We write explicitly

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1. \quad (39)$$

Then, from eq. (37) we get

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2|\tau|^p + \beta^2|\tau|^{-p} & \alpha\gamma|\tau|^p + \beta\delta|\tau|^{-p} \\ \alpha\gamma|\tau|^p + \beta\delta|\tau|^{-p} & \gamma^2|\tau|^p + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

By comparison with the general form of the coset (13) we can read off the fields Φ, B . Recall that B is the degree of freedom from the 3-form defined in eq. (12). To find the Einstein frame fields \bar{b}, \bar{N} and \bar{G}_{mn} we insert the expression for Φ into eq. (35). The physical fields, written in terms of the $SL(2)$ parameters, are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b} &= b_0 (\gamma^2|\tau|^p + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p})^{1/6} |\tau|^{1/7} \\ \bar{N} &= b_0^{7/2} (\gamma^2|\tau|^p + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p})^{3/4} |\tau|^{1/2} \\ \bar{G}_{mn} &= b_0^7 (\gamma^2|\tau|^p + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p})^{1/2} |\tau|^{p_m+1} \delta_{mn} \\ B &= \frac{\alpha\gamma|\tau|^p + \beta\delta|\tau|^{-p}}{\gamma^2|\tau|^p + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Note that, though τ was the comoving time in the original frame, this is no longer true in the Einstein frame, since $\bar{N} \neq 1$. The comoving time t can be found by integrating the relation $dt = \bar{N}d\tau$. This can be explicitly carried out in two limiting cases and results in

$$\begin{aligned} t &= b_0^{7/2} |\gamma|^{3/2} \frac{2}{3+3p/2} |\tau|^{3p/4+3/2} \text{sgn}(\tau), \quad \text{for } |\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}} \\ t &= b_0^{7/2} |\delta|^{3/2} \frac{2}{3-3p/2} |\tau|^{3p/4-3/2} \text{sgn}(\tau), \quad \text{for } |\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where

$$\tau_{\text{form}} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma} \right)^{2/p}. \quad (43)$$

The most relevant objects for the physical discussion are the scale factors a_m in the three spatial directions defined by $\bar{G}_{mn} = a_m^2(\tau)\delta_{mn}$ and the corresponding Hubble parameters $H_m \equiv \frac{1}{a_m} \frac{da_m}{dt}$. In the two limiting cases (42), the latter turn out to be

$$H_m = \frac{P_m}{t}, \quad P_m = \begin{cases} \frac{p_m+1-p/2}{3+3p/2} & \text{for } |\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}} \\ \frac{p_m+1+p/2}{3-3p/2} & \text{for } |\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}} \end{cases}. \quad (44)$$

This shows, that in the asymptotic regions indicated in eq. (44), the expansion follows a power law with the powers determined by the parameters p, p_i . The expansion (or contraction) rate is generally different for the early and late asymptotic stage. Such behaviour has been first described in ref. [1, 4]. There, it has been shown, that the asymptotic regions in (42) correspond to Kaluza–Klein type rolling radii solutions and the 3–form is effectively turned off in these regions. The form is, however, operative at

$$|\tau| \sim \tau_{\text{form}} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{2/p}, \quad (45)$$

thereby generating the transition between the two Kaluza–Klein regions. Furthermore, in accordance with ref. [1, 4], we find two different types of solutions which are realized for the two different signs of τ in eq. (42). The solutions with negative t generally end in a curvature singularity, whereas those with positive t generally start out from a curvature singularity. The two asymptotic Kaluza–Klein regions and the transition period clearly exist for both types.

The interesting observation at this point is that the expansion powers and Hubble parameters in the asymptotic regions are $SL(2)$ invariants. The “rôle” of $SL(2)$ is to determine the time of transition via eq. (45). In addition, the $SL(2)$ parameters influence the detailed behaviour during the transition period. The main effect of applying $SL(2)$ to a given solution is therefore to shift the transition time, that is, the time at which the form is operative, according to eq. (45).

To illustrate the above discussion let us now concentrate on the subclass of Friedman–Robertson–Walker (FRW) cosmologies among our solutions. They are characterized by a 3–dimensional isotropic spatial space with the Einstein frame metric $\bar{G}_{mn} = a^2(\tau)\delta_{mn}$, where a is the scale factor. From eq. (41) and the fact that $\det(\mathcal{G}) = 1$, such a metric implies that $\mathcal{G}_{mn} = \delta_{mn}$. Therefore, FRW cosmologies can be identified as all solutions in the $SL(2) \times SL(3)$ equivalence classes with $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 0$. Then the as yet unspecified parameter p which appears in the general solution (37) is in fact determined by the constraints (38) to be

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{12}{7}}. \quad (46)$$

This means that there exists exactly one equivalence class with FRW cosmologies. In particular, all FRW cosmologies are $SL(2) \times SL(3)$ –related and no FRW cosmology can be transformed into a non–FRW cosmology (and vice versa) via $SL(2) \times SL(3)$. Using eq. (41) we find for the scale factor

$$a = b_0^{7/2}(\gamma^2|\tau|^{p+2} + \delta^2|\tau|^{-p+2})^{1/4}. \quad (47)$$

In the asymptotic Kaluza–Klein regions we can again express the scale factor a in terms of the comoving time t . For both asymptotic regions we find for the Hubble parameter H_a

$$H_a \equiv \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = \frac{1}{3t}. \quad (48)$$

This power law behaviour with power $1/3$ is typical for an evolution driven by scalar field kinetic energy. Since the power is positive the universe expands in the positive time branch $t > 0$ and contracts in the negative branch $t < 0$. In both branches the form connects asymptotic regions with the same expansion (or contraction) rate. As explained before an $SL(2)$ transformation shifts the time of transition. Clearly, $SL(2)$ would play an even more interesting rôle if the two asymptotic regions had different properties, for example, if one was expanding while the other was contracting. Though this is generically the case for the general solution (44), requiring FRW-type solutions forces us into a situation with equal evolution rates. This will be different for the $D = 5$ solutions of FRW type as discussed in the next section.

5 The Example $D = 5$

We are now dealing with a $\delta = 6$ -dimensional internal manifold which can be a torus T^6 or a Calabi–Yau 3-fold. The equations of motion for the Lagrangian (18) are given by the general expressions (24), (25) with $\delta = 6$ and $M = \mathcal{M}$ inserted. Here \mathcal{M} is the $SL(5)/SO(5)$ coset explicitly given in terms of the metric and the 3-form in eq. (17). From eq. (9) the physical fields can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b} &= \Phi^{-1/10} b \\ \bar{N} &= \Phi^{-3/10} b^2 \\ \bar{G}_{mn} &= \Phi^{-2/5} b^4 G_{mn} .\end{aligned}\tag{49}$$

The solution for the breathing mode can be read off from eq. (26)

$$H = \frac{1}{6\tau} , \quad b = b_0 |\tau|^{1/6} .\tag{50}$$

For the coset \mathcal{M} we have from eq. (31) and (32)

$$\mathcal{M} = P \operatorname{diag}(|\tau|^{p_1}, \dots, |\tau|^{p_5}) P^T ,\tag{51}$$

with

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 p_i = 0 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^5 p_i^2 = \frac{10}{3}\tag{52}$$

and $P \in SL(5)$. As before, we require $p_i \geq p_j$ for $i < j$. The solution (51) contains 27 integration constants. Three of them are given by the parameters $\{p_i\}$ subject to the constraints (52), labeling the $SL(5)$ equivalence classes. The remaining 24 integration constants parameterize the $SL(5)$ matrix P in eq. (51).

What is the general physical picture emerging from the solution (51)? The entries of the Einstein frame metric \bar{G}_{mn} are given by a sum of terms, each being of the form $K|\tau|^q$. Here q is some power determined by the parameters $\{p_i\}$ in (51) and K is a combination of $SL(5)$ group

parameters. As in the $D = 4$ case, one expects one of these terms to dominate over the others in certain ranges of $|\tau|$. For example, for a sufficiently large $|\tau|$ the dominating term will be the one with the maximal power q . In these asymptotic regions, the evolution rates are controlled by the $\{p_i\}$ and are therefore $SL(5)$ invariant. As before, the $SL(5)$ parameters determine the precise time range for the asymptotic regions and the time of transition.

Though generically clear, this is rather complicate to analyze in detail for the general solution (51). Therefore we concentrate on the physically interesting case of FRW universes in the following. Consequently, we require a 3–dimensional spatial subspace of our 5–dimensional space to be isotropic. For the metric and the form field this implies

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} c\mathbf{1}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_3 \\ B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

where c, ϕ, B are time dependent scalars. Inserting (53) into the coset parameterization (17) for \mathcal{M} results in

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_3 = c\Phi^{-2/5}\mathbf{1}_3, \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \Phi^{-2/5} \begin{pmatrix} \phi & -\phi B \\ -\phi B & \Phi + B^2\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

with $\Phi = c^3\phi$. Eq. (54) shows that, unlike in the case $D = 4$, the property ‘‘FRW universe’’ is not invariant under the full U–duality group $SL(5)$. In particular, FRW universes can be mapped into anisotropic solutions and vice versa using appropriate $SL(5)$ transformations. Since we wish to stay within the class of FRW solutions, we should restrict ourselves to the subgroup $H \equiv SL(3) \times SL(2) \times U(1) \subset SL(5)$ which leaves the structure of \mathcal{M} in eq. (54) invariant. Explicitly, this subgroup acts as

$$\mathcal{M}_{2,3} \rightarrow P_{2,3}\mathcal{M}_{2,3}P_{2,3}^T \quad (55)$$

with $P_2 \in GL(2)$, $P_3 \in GL(3)$ and $\det(P_2)\det(P_3) = 1$. Let us consider equivalence classes of solutions with respect to this subgroup H instead of the full group $SL(5)$. Then FRW universes are specified by

$$p \equiv p_1 = p_2 = p_3 \quad (56)$$

in eq. (51). From eq. (52) we derive

$$p_{4,5} = -\frac{3p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} - \frac{15}{4}p^2}, \quad |p| \leq \frac{2}{3}. \quad (57)$$

We have therefore found a one parameter set (with parameter p) of H –inequivalent classes of FRW universes, each equivalence class for a fixed p spanned by the action of the group H in eq. (55). How does H act explicitly? First of all, $GL(3) \subset H$ is again part of the global coordinate transformations and therefore trivial. We concentrate on the $SL(2)$ part and write

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1. \quad (58)$$

Then, $\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3$ take the form

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta^2|\tau|^{p_5} & \alpha\gamma|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta\delta|\tau|^{p_5} \\ \alpha\gamma|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta\delta|\tau|^{p_5} & \gamma^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \delta^2|\tau|^{p_5} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_3 = |\tau|^p \mathbf{1}_3, \quad (59)$$

where $p_{4,5}$ are given by (57) in terms of the free parameter p . By comparison with eq. (54) we can read off the expressions for c, Φ, B, ϕ and convert them to the physical fields via eq. (49). The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b} &= b_0 (\alpha^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta^2|\tau|^{p_5})^{1/6} |\tau|^{p/2+1/6} \\ \bar{N} &= b_0^2 (\alpha^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta^2|\tau|^{p_5})^{1/2} |\tau|^{3p/2+1/3} \\ \bar{G}_{mn} &= a^2 \delta_{mn}, \quad a = b_0^2 (\alpha^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta^2|\tau|^{p_5})^{1/3} |\tau|^{p+1/3} \\ B &= -\frac{\alpha\gamma|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta\delta|\tau|^{p_5}}{\alpha^2|\tau|^{p_4} + \beta^2|\tau|^{p_5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

As for $D = 4$, we can solve for the comoving time t in two asymptotic regions leading to

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{2b_0^2|\alpha|}{3p+p_4+8/3} |\tau|^{3p/2+p_4/2+4/3} \text{sgn}(\tau), \quad \text{for } |\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}} \\ t &= b_0^2|\beta| \frac{2}{3p+p_5+8/3} |\tau|^{3p/2+p_5/2+4/3} \text{sgn}(\tau), \quad \text{for } |\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}}, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where

$$\tau_{\text{form}} = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2}{p_4-p_5}}. \quad (62)$$

In these regions, we find for the Hubble parameter H_a

$$H_a = \frac{P(p)}{t}, \quad P(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \frac{3p+p_4+1}{3p+p_4+8/3} & \text{for } |\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}} \\ \frac{2}{3} \frac{3p+p_5+1}{3p+p_5+8/3} & \text{for } |\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}} \end{cases}. \quad (63)$$

The expansion coefficient $P(p)$ depends on the free parameter p and is generically different in the two asymptotic regions. As can be seen from fig. 1, it is always positive for large $|\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}}$ and can have both signs for $|\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}}$. For the positive branch $t > 0$ this implies a universe which is expanding or contracting at early time and is turned into an expanding universe at late time. The situation for the negative branch is reversed; the universe is always contracting at early time ($|t|$ large and $t < 0$) and can be contracting or expanding later. As before, the Hubble parameter (63) and hence the aforementioned properties are $SL(2)$ invariant. The transition time given by

$$|\tau| \sim \tau_{\text{form}} = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2}{p_4-p_5}}, \quad (64)$$

on the other hand, depends on $SL(2)$ parameters along with the details of the transition.




Fig 1: Expansion coefficient $P(p)$ for $|\tau| \gg \tau_{\text{form}}$ (solid curve) and $|\tau| \ll \tau_{\text{form}}$ (dashed curve).

Suppose now, we choose a solution in the positive branch which is contracting for a short period of time and then turns into expansion. By applying appropriate $SL(2)$ transformations to this solution the contraction period can be made arbitrarily long. An additional time reversal $t \rightarrow -t$ leads to a negative branch solution with an expansion period that can be arbitrarily long. The extreme limits are possible. By choosing $P_2 = \mathbf{1}$ ($\beta = 0$ in particular) we have a positive branch solution which is always expanding. As the other extreme we may set

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

($\alpha = 0$ in particular) which generates an expanding negative time branch solution. We have therefore shown that a combination of U-duality and time reversal can map expanding negative and positive time branch solutions into each other. The expansion coefficients in the negative and positive branch then correspond to the lower and upper part of the curve in fig. 1. An analog mapping, carried out by a T-duality transformation combined with a time reversal, is the starting point of the pre-big-bang scenario [17] of weakly coupled heterotic string cosmology.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have reduced 11-dimensional supergravity to D dimensions keeping a “minimal” field content in the low energy theory, that is, the D -dimensional metric and 3-form and the breathing mode of the internal Ricci-flat manifold. We have shown that cosmological solutions of such a D -dimensional effective theory are transformed into each other by the “external” subgroup of U-duality which is identical with the U-duality group of $12 - D$ -dimensional maximal supergravity.

Based on this fact, we have worked out a U–duality invariant 1–dimensional effective action for cosmological models and determined its general solution written in a U–duality covariant way. These results have been explicitly applied to the cases $D = 4, 5$. For these examples we recover some of the results obtained previously. Generally, we find positive and negative time branch solutions. The form field in each branch is operative during a finite period of time only, thereby generating a transition between two asymptotic Kaluza–Klein regions.

A new property in connection with the U–duality covariant approach which we found is the invariance of certain characteristic cosmological properties such as Hubble parameters and expansion powers in the asymptotic Kaluza–Klein regions. The rôle of U–duality is to fix the time and the details of the transition generated by the 3–form. A U–duality transformation, acting on a given solution, therefore shifts the time of transition between the Kaluza–Klein regions but does not affect the evolution in these regions.

One is tempted to interpret such a phenomenon as asymptotic U–duality invariance of the cosmological entropy, as measured by the area of the cosmological horizon. There is a problem, however, with such an interpretation. First of all, the cosmological horizons, defined by

$$\lambda_{\text{part}}(t) = a(t) \int_{t_i}^t \frac{dt'}{a(t')}, \quad \lambda_{\text{event}}(t) = a(t) \int_t^{t_f} \frac{dt'}{a(t')} \quad (65)$$

are nonlocal in time. Here λ_{part} , λ_{event} are the particle and the event horizon, respectively. The times t_i , t_f are the maximal past and future extensions of the solution. For our solutions we always have $t_i = 0$, $t_f = \infty$ for the positive branch and $t_i = -\infty$, $t_f = 0$ for the negative branch. Moreover, the typical behaviour of the scale factor $a(t)$ in the asymptotic regions is given by a power law $a(t) \sim t^q$, where $|q| < 1$. Therefore, the only finite horizons are the particle horizon for the positive branch and the event horizon for the negative branch. Consider, for example, the particle horizon $\lambda_{\text{part}}(t)$ in the positive branch at a given time t and an equivalence class of U–duality related solutions. The solutions in this class will have different times t_{form} at which the form is operative and there will be solutions with $t < t_{\text{form}}$ as well as $t > t_{\text{form}}$ in this class. For the latter, the integral in eq. (65) extends over the transition period and therefore depends on U–duality group parameters. As a result, though the Hubble parameter $H_a \equiv \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}$ is U–duality invariant, this is not the case for the particle horizon as defined in eq. (65). Despite this problem with a thermodynamical interpretation, we find the asymptotic U–duality invariance of relevant cosmological quantities remarkable.

Another important result in $D = 5$ is, that a combination of U–duality and time reversal can be used to map expanding negative and positive time branch solutions into each other. Therefore, a scenario analogous to T–duality pre–big–bang cosmology appears to be a possibility within our models.

Acknowledgments A. L. is supported by a fellowship from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

(DFG). A. L. and B. A. O. are supported in part by DOE under contract No. DE-AC02-76-ER-03071.

References

- [1] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, *Phys. Lett.* **B393** (1997) 65.
- [2] N. Kaloper, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 3394.
- [3] H. Lü, S. Mukherji, C. N. Pope and K. W. Xu, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 7926.
- [4] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, *Nucl. Phys.* **B495** (1997) 365.
- [5] M. Mueller, *Nucl. Phys.* **B337** (1990) 37.
- [6] F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 4591.
- [7] S. K. Rama, *Can String Theory Avoid Cosmological Singularities?*, hep-th/9701154.
- [8] K. Behrndt, S. Förste and S. Schwager, *Instanton Effects in String Cosmology*, hep-th/9704013.
- [9] N. Kaloper and K. A. Olive, *Singularities In Scalar-Tensor Cosmologies*, hep-th/9708008.
- [10] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, *Stabilizing Dilaton and Moduli Vacua in String and M-Theory Cosmology*, hep-th/9611204, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [11] R. Poppe and S. Schwager, *Phys. Lett.* **B393** (1997) 51.
- [12] H. Lü, S. Mukherji and C. N. Pope, *From P-branes to Cosmology*, hep-th/9612224.
- [13] H. Lu, J. Maharana, S. Mukherji and C. N. Pope, *Cosmological solutions, p-branes and the Wheeler-DeWitt equation*, hep-th/9707182.
- [14] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, *Nucl. Phys.* **B438** (1995) 109.
- [15] G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett.* **B265** (1991) 287; K. A. Meissner and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett.* **B267** (1991) 33; A. Sen, *Phys. Lett.* **B271** (1991) 295; C. Klimcik and A. A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B323** (1994) 305.
- [16] K. A. Meissner and G. Veneziano, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3397; M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett.* **B277** (1992) 256.
- [17] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, *Astroparticle Phys.* **1** (1993) 317; For a recent overview see: M. Gasperini, *Birth of the Universe in String Cosmology*, gr-qc/9706037.
- [18] E.J. Copeland, A. Lahiri and D. Wands *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 1569.

- [19] J. E. Lidsey, *On the Cosmology and Symmetry of Dilaton–Axion Gravity*, gr-qc/9609063.
- [20] A. Buonanno, K.A. Meissner, C. Ungarelli and G. Veneziano, *Classical Inhomogeneities in String Cosmology*, hep-th/9706221.
- [21] B. Andreas, *A Note on a Class of Cosmological String Backgrounds*, hep-th/9707243.
- [22] G. Sengupta, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 3793.
- [23] E. J. Copeland, J. E. Lidsey, D. Wands, *Cosmology of the type IIB superstring*, hep-th/9708154.
- [24] K. Maeda, *Phys. Lett.* **B166** (1986) 59.
- [25] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett.* **B76** (1978) 409; E. Cremmer and B. Julia, *Phys. Lett.* **B80** (1978) 48; *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 141; E. Cremmer, LPTENS 81/18, Lectures given at ICTP Spring School Supergravity, Trieste 1981, published in Trieste Supergrav.School 1981:313.
- [26] P. Horava and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 94; *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 506.
- [27] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B471** (1996) 135.
- [28] A. Lukas and B. A. Ovrut, *U-duality Symmetries from the Membrane Worldvolume*, hep-th/9704178, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [29] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B347** (1990) 394.