

Gauss's law, gauge invariance, and long-range forces in QCD

Mario Belloni^{*}, Lusheng Chen[†], and Kurt Haller[‡]

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269

(May 10, 2018)

Abstract

We use a unitary operator constructed in earlier work to transform the Hamiltonian for QCD in the temporal ($A_0 = 0$) gauge into a representation in which the quark field is gauge-invariant, and its elementary excitations — quark and antiquark creation and annihilation operators — implement Gauss's law. In that representation, the interactions between gauge-dependent parts of the gauge field and the spinor (quark) field have been transformed away and replaced by long-range non-local interactions of quark color charge densities. These long-range interactions connect SU(3) color charge densities through an infinite chain of gauge-invariant gauge fields either to other SU(3) color charge densities, or to a gluon “anchor”. We discuss possible implications of this formalism for low-energy processes, including confinement of quarks that are not in color singlet configurations.

^{*}e-mail address: mario@main.phys.uconn.edu

[†]e-mail address: chen@main.phys.uconn.edu

[‡]e-mail address: KHALLER@UCONNVN.UCONN.EDU

In earlier work [1,2], we showed how to construct states that implement the non-Abelian Gauss's law in QCD and Yang-Mills theory, and we constructed gauge-invariant spinor (quark) and gauge (gluon) operator-valued fields. In the work presented here, we explore the implications of these formal developments for QCD dynamics. In Ref. [2] we discussed two unitarily equivalent representations of QCD. In one — the \mathcal{C} representation — the Gauss's law operator in the temporal ($A_0 = 0$) gauge has the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r}) = \partial_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where $\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ is the momentum canonically conjugate to $A_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ as well as the chromoelectric field; $j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is the quark color charge density $j_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = g \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) (\lambda^a/2) \psi(\mathbf{r})$, and the λ^a represent the Gell-Mann SU(3) matrices. In the unitarily equivalent \mathcal{N} representation, that same Gauss's law operator takes the form

$$\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r}) = D_i^a \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) = \partial_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2)$$

The unitary equivalence that relates $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})$, and that accounts for the fact that $j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ does not appear explicitly in the non-Abelian Gauss's law operator in the \mathcal{N} representation, is similar to a relationship between two forms of the Gauss's law operator in QED [3,4]. In QED, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{r}) = \partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r}) + j_0(\mathbf{r})$, where $j_0(\mathbf{r}) = e \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$, and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{r})$ is unitarily equivalent to $\partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r})$, which represents the complete Gauss's law operator in what corresponds to the \mathcal{N} representation for QED. When all operators and states for QED in the temporal gauge are subjected to the unitary transformation that transforms $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{r})$ into $\partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r})$, the unitary operator $U = \exp[-i \int \partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r}) \omega(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r}$ implements the gauge transformations $U A_i(\mathbf{r}) U^{-1} = A_i(\mathbf{r}) + \partial_i \omega(\mathbf{r})$, where $\omega(\mathbf{r})$ commutes with all operator-valued fields; and, because $\partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r})$ is the *complete* Gauss's law operator in the \mathcal{N} representation, and $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ commutes with $\partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r})$, $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ represents a gauge-invariant charged particle (electron) field in the \mathcal{N} representation.

When the Hamiltonian for QED in the temporal gauge is transformed into the \mathcal{N} representation, the only remaining dynamical interactions between the electron field and the electromagnetic field are the ones between the electrons and the gauge-invariant excitations of the gauge field — which, in QED, correspond to transversely polarized photons [3,4]. The interactions which, in the original \mathcal{C} representation, were mediated by the longitudinal, gauge-dependent parts of the gauge field — the interactions mediated by the exchange of longitudinal photon “ghosts” — appear in the \mathcal{N} representation as the non-local Coulomb interaction given by

$$H_c = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{j_0(\mathbf{r}) j_0(\mathbf{r}')}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}'. \quad (3)$$

The purpose of this present work is to extend this approach to the non-Abelian QCD. There are, of course, important differences between QED and QCD. As was shown in Refs. [3] and [4], the states that implement Gauss's Law in the \mathcal{N} representation for QED, *i. e.*

the states that satisfy $\partial_i \Pi_i(\mathbf{r})|n\rangle = 0$ — or, more properly for QED, $\partial_i \Pi_i^{(+)}(\mathbf{r})|n\rangle = 0$, where $\partial_i \Pi_i^{(+)}$ selects the annihilation operator part of $\partial_i \Pi_i$ — constitute a Fock space that can easily be constructed. The \mathcal{N} -representation states that satisfy $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})|\nu\rangle = 0$ in QCD, however, can only be represented as complicated coherent superpositions of perturbative states that are neither readily orthogonalizable nor normalizable [2]. Nevertheless, there are also significant similarities between QCD and QED. In particular, since $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})$ are unitarily equivalent, and since the quark field $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ commutes with $A_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ and therefore with $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})$, $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ represents the gauge-invariant quark field in the \mathcal{N} representation of QCD. And, it is possible to use the unitary equivalence demonstrated in Ref. [2],

$$\tilde{\xi}^a(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{U}_C^{-1} \xi^a(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{U}_C, \quad (4)$$

where ξ^a represents some functional of operator-valued quark and/or gluon fields in the \mathcal{C} representation and $\tilde{\xi}^a$ its transform in the \mathcal{N} representation, to transform dynamical variables from one representation to the other. In Ref. [2], we showed that $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})$ is the \mathcal{N} representation form of the Gauss's law operator which, in the \mathcal{C} representation, is given by $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r})$. Here, we will apply this transformation to transform the QCD Hamiltonian from the \mathcal{C} to the \mathcal{N} representation. In the \mathcal{N} representation, the excitations of the “bare” quark field $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ are gauge-invariant, and therefore already include the gauge-dependent gauge field components required to obey Gauss's law. The interactions between these components of the gauge fields and quarks therefore will no longer appear explicitly in the Hamiltonian in the \mathcal{N} representation, but will be replaced by non-local interactions among quarks, which form a kind of non-Abelian analog to the Coulomb interaction in QED.

We observe that \mathcal{U}_C is given by $\mathcal{U}_C = e^{\mathcal{C}_0} e^{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}$, where \mathcal{C}_0 and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ are

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = i \int d\mathbf{r} \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathcal{C}} = i \int d\mathbf{r} \bar{\mathcal{Y}}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

respectively, where $\mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = [\frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} A_i^a(\mathbf{r})]$ and $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\partial_j}{\partial^2} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$, and where $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ is defined in Ref. [2] by an operator differential equation. We will not repeat that definition here since, for our purposes in this work, the form of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ is less important than the fact that the unitary equivalence expressed in Eq. (4) obtains.

The form of the temporal gauge QCD Hamiltonian in the \mathcal{C} representation is

$$H = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ \left. + \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - ig A_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \quad (6)$$

where $F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) = \partial_j A_i^a(\mathbf{r}) - \partial_i A_j^a(\mathbf{r}) - gf^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) A_j^c(\mathbf{r})$, and where the term $\int A_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$, which appears in the Hamiltonian when primary constraints are avoided in the canonical quantization of this model [5], has been omitted because it is irrelevant for this work. It is obvious that, in transforming H to the \mathcal{N} representation, $F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r})$ remains untransformed. It is much more difficult, however, to transform $\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ to its \mathcal{N} representation transform, $\tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r})$. We have found that $\tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \sum_{r=0} \frac{g^{m+n+r}}{m!n!} (-1)^{m+n+r} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} f_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}dh} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right), \quad (7)$$

where $f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma}$ is the chain of SU(3) structure functions

$$f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma} = f^{\alpha[1]\beta b[1]} f^{b[1]\alpha[2]b[2]} f^{b[2]\alpha[3]b[3]} \dots f^{b[\eta-2]\alpha[\eta-1]b[\eta-1]} f^{b[\eta-1]\alpha[\eta]\gamma}, \quad (8)$$

$\mathcal{R}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\alpha[m]}(\mathbf{r})$, and $\mathcal{M}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\eta} \overline{\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha[m]}}(\mathbf{r})$. $\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = A_{\text{Gl}j(1)}^{\delta(1)}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_{j(1)}}{\partial^2} \left(A_{\text{Gl}j(2)}^{\delta(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_{j(2)}}{\partial^2} \left(\dots \left(A_{\text{Gl}j(r)}^{\delta(r)}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_{j(r)}}{\partial^2} (j_0^a(\mathbf{r})) \right) \right) \right), \quad (9)$$

where $A_{\text{Gl}j}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r})$ represents the gauge-invariant gauge field, which can be expressed as

$$[A_{\text{Gl}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2}] = V_C(\mathbf{r}) [A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2}] V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{g} V_C(\mathbf{r}) \partial_i V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}); \quad (10)$$

$V_C(\mathbf{r})$ is a unitary operator given by $V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(-ig\overline{\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r})\frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{2}\right) \exp\left(-ig\mathcal{X}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{2}\right)$. We have been able to establish Eq. (7) through an inductive proof that proceeds on very similar lines as the proof of the ‘‘fundamental theorem’’ in Ref. [2]. We have further verified Eq. (7) by showing that it is consistent with

$$\partial_i \tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\Pi}_i^c(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{j}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = \partial_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r}). \quad (11)$$

Equation (7) is a non-Abelian analog to a relation that, in QED, has the form [3,4]

$$\tilde{\Pi}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} j_0(\mathbf{r}), \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (12), $j_0(\mathbf{r})$ is the entire electric charge density — a consequence of the fact that, in QED, charge resides only in the fields coupled to the gauge field, and never in the gauge field itself. In Eq. (7), $j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ represents contributions to the SU(3) color charge density from quarks only. The gluon color charge density, $J_0^a(\mathbf{r})$, does not appear explicitly in $\tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ (or, later in this paper, in \tilde{H}), because the unitary transformation we have used to relate $\tilde{\Pi}_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ does not eliminate the coupling of the gauge-dependent parts of the gauge field to $J_0^a(\mathbf{r})$.

When we transform the quark field contribution to the Hamiltonian in the \mathcal{N} representation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\text{quark}} &= \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - ig A_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right) \right] \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \partial_i \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad - i\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \alpha_i \left[V_C(\mathbf{r}) \partial_i V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) - ig V_C(\mathbf{r}) \left(A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} \right) V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}); \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and, from

$$\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) V_C(\mathbf{r}), \quad (14)$$

and from Eqs. (10) and (13),

$$\tilde{H}_{quark} = \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - igA_{\text{Gl}_i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (15)$$

After the transformations from the \mathcal{C} representation to the \mathcal{N} representation have been carried out on all constituent parts of H , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) + \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - igA_{\text{Gl}_i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \sum_{r=0} \frac{g^{m+n+r}}{m!n!} (-1)^{m+n+r} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} f_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}dh} \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \sum_{r=0} \frac{g^{m+n+r}}{m!n!} (-1)^{m+n+r} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} f_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}dh} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0} \sum_{r'=0} g^{r+r'} (-1)^{r+r'} f_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}dh} f_{(r')}^{\bar{\delta}'dh'} \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\bar{\delta}}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r')}^{\bar{\delta}'}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^{h'}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right\}. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

In deriving Eq. (16), we have used the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0} \frac{g^m}{m!} (-1)^m f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{m'=0} \frac{g^{m'}}{m'!} (-1)^{m'} f_{(m')}^{\bar{\mu}'ac'} \mathcal{R}_{(m')}^{\bar{\mu}'}(\mathbf{r}) \\ = \sum_{M=0} \sum_{m=0} \frac{g^M}{m!(M-m)!} (-1)^{m+1} f_{(M)}^{\bar{\mu}c'c} \mathcal{R}_{(M)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(M) = \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{M!}{m!(M-m)!} (-1)^m = (1-1)^M = 0$ for $M > 0$, while $\phi(0) = 1$, Eq. (17) reduces to

$$\sum_{m=0} \frac{g^m}{m!} (-1)^m f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{m'=0} \frac{g^{m'}}{m'!} (-1)^{m'} f_{(m')}^{\bar{\mu}'ac'} \mathcal{R}_{(m')}^{\bar{\mu}'}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{c,c'}. \quad (18)$$

Equation (18) also obtains when $\mathcal{M}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r})$ is substituted for $\mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r})$.

We can use the Baker-Hausdorff-Campbell (BHC) theorem to show that

$$V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^d}{2} V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \frac{g^{m+n}}{m!n!} (-1)^{m+n} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2}, \quad (19)$$

and, from Eqs. (10) and (19),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \frac{g^{m+n}}{n!m!} (-1)^{m+n} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ac} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} \partial_i \left(\mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}} \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}} \right) V_i^d \\ = g \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \frac{g^{m+n}}{n!m!} (-1)^{m+n} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}ab} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}bc} f^{c\sigma e} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}} \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}} A_{\text{Gl}_i}^\sigma(\mathbf{r}) V_i^e \\ - g A_i^\tau f^{a\tau b} \sum_{m=0} \sum_{n=0} \frac{g^{m+n}}{n!m!} (-1)^{m+n} f_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}bc} f_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}cd} \mathcal{R}_{(m)}^{\bar{\mu}} \mathcal{M}_{(n)}^{\bar{\nu}} V_i^d. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

And we can use Eqs. (19) and (20) to reexpress Eq. (16) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) + \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - igA_{\text{Gl}_i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ + \tilde{H}_G + \tilde{H}_{LR} \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ -\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{r=0} g^r (-1)^r f_{(r)}^{\delta dh} \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^d}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. -\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{r=0} g^r (-1)^r f_{(r)}^{\delta dh} \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) V_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^d}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{G}^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{LR} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ +\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{r=0} g^{r+1} (-1)^r f_{(r)}^{\delta dh} f^{d\sigma e} \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^e}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{r}) A_{\text{G}i}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right] \right. \\ +\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{r=0} g^{r+1} (-1)^r f_{(r)}^{\delta dh} f^{d\sigma e} \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) V_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^e}{2} V_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{r}) A_{\text{G}i}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} \right] \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0} \sum_{r'=0} g^{r+r'} (-1)^{r+r'} f_{(r)}^{\delta dh} f_{(r')}^{\delta' dh'} \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) \right) \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \left(\mathcal{T}_{(r')}^{\delta'}(\mathbf{r}') j_0^{h'}(\mathbf{r}') \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It is instructive to examine the physical significance of the individual terms in Eq. (21). We observe that \tilde{H} includes the kinetic energy of the quark and gluon fields, as well as an interaction term between the spatial SU(3) current term for the spinor field (which is gauge-invariant in the \mathcal{N} representation) and the gauge-invariant gauge field $A_{\text{G}i}^a(\mathbf{r})$, which is gauge-invariant in *both* the \mathcal{C} and the \mathcal{N} representations. \tilde{H} also includes $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$, in which the Gauss's law operator \mathcal{G} appears on either the extreme left-hand or right-hand side of an operator product. $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ vanishes when evaluated between states that implement Gauss's law in the \mathcal{N} representation. \tilde{H} also includes a long-range non-local interaction, \tilde{H}_{LR} , which consists of three terms; the last of these three terms in Eq. (23) describes the interaction between two SU(3) color charge densities through a non-local interaction that can be characterized as a non-Abelian analog of the Coulomb interaction in QED; but it differs from the Coulomb interaction in QED in that the factor $(1/2) \partial^{-2}$ does not connect two j_0^a terms directly. The long-range interaction in this case is transmitted between the two quark color charge densities through an infinite chain of gauge-invariant gauge fields as shown in Eq. (9). The other two terms in Eq. (23) each connect a j_0^a term through an identical chain of gauge-invariant gauge fields to the gluon field itself, "anchoring" the j_0^a term, finally, in the gluon field through an $A_{\text{G}i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^b(\mathbf{r})$ term.

It is appealing to speculate that the three terms in Eq. (23) have an important role in confining quark states that are not in singlet color configurations. To be sure, such an idea is speculative. The spatial dependence of the long-range interactions in \tilde{H}_{LR} cannot be determined without more information about matrix elements of gauge fields than we have presented here. Partial insight into these long-range interactions can be obtained by noting that in the virtually identical SU(2) case, the summation over all orders, s , of $\mathcal{T}_{(s)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r})$ can be performed exactly to give

$$\sum_{r=0} g^r (-1)^r \epsilon_{(r)}^{\delta dh} \mathcal{T}_{(r)}^{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) = -\delta_{d,h} - \frac{g}{1+W} \epsilon^{\delta dh} \mathcal{T}^{\delta} + \frac{g^2}{1+W} \epsilon^{\delta(1)db} \epsilon^{b\delta(2)h} \mathcal{T}^{\delta(1)} \mathcal{T}^{\delta(2)}, \quad (24)$$

where $\mathcal{T}^\delta(\mathbf{r}) = A_{\text{Gl},j}^\delta(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial_j}{\partial^2}$ and $\mathcal{W} = g^2(\mathcal{T}^\beta \mathcal{T}^\beta)$. \mathcal{W} is a differential operator in which the ∂_j/∂^2 in each \mathcal{T}^β operates on *all* operators to its right in the series expansion of $1/(1 + \mathcal{W})$, as shown in Eq. (9). Equation (24) therefore describes a differential operator rather than an algebraic quantity. Nevertheless, it is a reasonable conjecture that $W^{(n)}$ might develop expectation values in the gluon “sea” in which quarks are embedded that have the effect of turning $1/(1 + \mathcal{W})$ into a confining potential, and that a very similar phenomenon might occur in the SU(3) case. We consider this scenario to be an interesting conjecture that warrants further study and development.

It is of particular interest to consider Eq. (23) in conjunction with a model suitable for low-energy QCD, that combines gluons and “static” quarks — *i. e.* a model in which quark kinetic energy is small, and quark-antiquark creation and annihilation is quenched — but in which Gauss’s law is obeyed. This model is of interest because it allows us to exploit a pertinent analogy between QCD and QED. In QED, the covariant gauge formulation enjoys a clear advantage for perturbative calculations — particularly when radiative corrections are required — but is not as well adapted for extracting the Coulomb interaction as the dominant one for low-energy phenomena, such as the energy levels of the bound states of the Hydrogen atom. In contrast, in a formulation of QED in which Gauss’s law has been implemented and the “pure gauge” components of the gauge field have been transformed away, the dominance of the Coulomb interaction for low-energy phenomena becomes very explicit [3,4]. Similarly, in QCD, when we eliminate the interaction between the gauge-dependent parts of the gauge fields and the quarks, the long-range interactions of quark color charge densities described in Eq. (23) become very explicit. As in the case of QED, these long-range interactions are not manifest in either the \mathcal{C} -representation Hamiltonian described in Eq. (6), or in the covariant-gauge formulation of QCD. It is very natural to suspect that these long-range interactions are important for low-energy processes, and that they have a connection with the color confinement observed in low-energy phenomena. A number of authors have suggested that there is some connection between gauge invariance and color confinement in non-Abelian gauge theories [6–12]. The following observations about the application of \tilde{H}_{LR} , the long-range part of the transformed Hamiltonian \tilde{H} , are pertinent to this question.

We consider the expectation value $\langle \mathbf{A} | j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) | \mathbf{A} \rangle$, where $|\mathbf{A}\rangle$ is an n -particle state of “static” quarks, in the sense defined above. We also assume that the quarks and antiquarks are described by a set of wave functions $u_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ and $v_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ respectively, and that the quark field $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is represented as

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[q_n^\alpha u_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) + \bar{q}_n^{\dagger\alpha} v_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad (25)$$

where q_n^α and $\bar{q}_n^{\dagger\alpha}$ annihilate quarks and create antiquarks respectively, and $u_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ and $v_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ are the positive and negative energy solutions of a Dirac equation in an external potential (α labels the SU(3) index and n the energy, angular momentum, etc. of quarks and antiquarks in the corresponding orbital states). We will identify $|\mathbf{A}\rangle$ as a multiquark state $|q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'}\rangle$. We observe that for such a state, $\langle q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'} | \int d\mathbf{r} j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) | q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'} \rangle = 0$ when $|q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'}\rangle$ is a color singlet state, since $\int d\mathbf{r} j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ is the generator of infinitesimal rotations in the SU(3) space,

and the singlet state is the scalar in that space. When $\mathcal{T}_{(q)}^{\vec{\delta}}(\mathbf{r})$ imposes only minimal variations on $j_0^h(\mathbf{r})$ over the region in \mathbf{r} in which $u_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ and $v_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ are localized, then the expectation values that enter into the evaluation of long-range forces between “static” quark states in color-singlet configurations can be expected to vanish. For example, when two singlet states, $|\mathbf{A}\rangle = |q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'}\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{B}\rangle = |Q_n^\alpha \cdots Q_{n'}^{\alpha'}\rangle$ interact through the last term in Eq. (23), where the q_n^α annihilate quarks centered about point \mathbf{R} , and the Q_n^α annihilate quarks centered about \mathbf{R}' , and where $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'| \gg |\delta\mathbf{r}|$ where $|\delta\mathbf{r}|$ is the mean radius of the wave functions $u_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ and $v_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$, then the following conjecture becomes plausible: Because the distance between the end points of the chain of gauge-invariant gauge fields in Eq. (23) is much larger than $|\delta\mathbf{r}|$, $f_{(s)}^{\vec{\delta}ah}\mathcal{T}_{(s)}^{\vec{\delta}}(\mathbf{r})j_0^h(\mathbf{r})$ would terminate essentially in $\kappa \int d\mathbf{r} j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$, where κ is some constant. In that case, the matrix element $f_{(s)}^{\vec{\delta}ah}\mathcal{T}_{(s)}^{\vec{\delta}}(\mathbf{r})\langle q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'} | j_0^h(\mathbf{r}) | q_n^\alpha \cdots q_{n'}^{\alpha'} \rangle$ and the corresponding chain terminating in the quark state $|Q_n^\alpha \cdots Q_{n'}^{\alpha'}\rangle$ both would vanish. When $|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'|$ decreases, the cancellation can become less complete, and “van der Waals” forces between singlet states become a reasonable conjecture.

This account of how confining long-range forces might develop between multi-quark states that are not in color singlet configurations, is of course not a proof. Much further work is required to support such a scenario with quantitative arguments — for example, it is not clear from this discussion that an attractive rather than a repulsive force will develop, even if \tilde{H}_{LR} does become unboundedly large for large separations between configurations of quarks that are not in color singlet configurations. Whether or not these scenarios for quark color confinement are borne out by further investigations, \tilde{H} — the QCD Hamiltonian in the \mathcal{N} representation of the temporal gauge — promises to be a productive formulation of QCD dynamics for further investigations into the low-energy properties of QCD.

This research was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-92ER40716.00.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Belloni, L. Chen and K. Haller, Phys. Lett. B 373, 185 (1996).
- [2] L. Chen, M. Belloni and K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2347 (1997).
- [3] K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D 36, 1830 (1987).
- [4] K. Haller and E. Lim-Lombridas, Found. of Phys. 24, 217 (1994).
- [5] K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D 36, 1839 (1987).
- [6] K. Johnson, *QCD 20 Years Later*, eds. P.W. Zerwas and H. A. Kastrup, World Scientific, 1993.
- [7] K. Johnson, L. Lellouch, and J. Polonyi, Nucl. Phys. B 367, 675 (1991).
- [8] P. E. Haagensen and K. Johnson, Nucl. Phys. B 439, 597 (1995).
- [9] P. E. Haagensen and K. Johnson, *On the wavefunctional for two heavy color sources in Yang-Mills theory*, MIT-CTP-2614, hep-th/9702204
- [10] D. Stoll, Phys. Lett. B 336, 518 (1994); Phys. Lett. B 336, 524 (1994).
- [11] F. Lenz, H. Naus, and M. Thies, Ann. Phys. 317, 233 (1994).
- [12] F. Lenz, E. Moniz, and M. Thies, Ann. Phys. 242, 429 (1995).