

Manifestly covariant formulation of discrete-spin and real-mass unitary representations of the Poincaré group

Marek Czachor

*Katedra Fizyki Teoretycznej i Metod Matematycznych
Politechnika Gdańska, ul. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

Manifestly covariant formulation of discrete-spin, real-mass unitary representations of the Poincaré group is given. We begin with a field of spin-frames associated with 4-mometa p and use them to simplify the eigenvalue problem for the Pauli-Lubanski vector projection in a direction given by a world-vector t . As opposed to the standard treatments where t is a constant time direction, our t is in general p -dependent and timelike, spacelike or null. The corresponding eigenstates play a role of a basis used to define Bargmann-Wigner spinors which form a carrier space of the unitary representation. The construction does not use the Wigner-Mackey induction procedure, is manifestly covariant and works simultaneously in both massive and massless cases (in on- and off-shell versions). Of particular interest are special Bargmann-Wigner spinors (ω -spinors) associated with flag pole directions of the spin-frame field $\omega_A(p)$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Unitary representations of the Poincaré group¹ are typically given in a form which is not manifestly covariant. One often speaks of *noncovariant* or *Wigner wave functions*, which belong to a carrier space of a unitary representation, and *covariant* or *spinor wave functions* which belong to a nonunitary representation [1–4]. The covariant wave functions do not have a natural probability interpretation although there exists a nonunitary transformation between them and Wigner states. If one incorporates this transformation into a scalar product one obtains a form which by some authors [5–7] is called manifestly covariant. From our perspective this “manifest” covariance is not manifest as it involves a dependence on fixed 4-momenta used for induction of the representation and does not allow for an explicit (abstract) index formulation. A manifestly covariant formulation of unitary representations of the Lorentz group has been recently discussed in [8].

The unitary representations discussed in literature are usually irreducible. In the context of the Poincaré group this means they are characterized by definite values of spin and mass. Although essentially there is no physical problem with concrete values of spin, a concrete value of mass leads to practical and formal difficulties. The most obvious example is the self-energy mass correction which shows that mass of an interacting field is a dynamical object which has to be renormalized. Also at a purely formal level there are reasons to replace the concrete-mass (or “on-shell”) formalism with the off-shell one [9–18]. In the context of this work the problem we face is the question of *manifest* covariance in momentum representation: To have a manifestly covariant formulation we have to use four components of p . But since the mass hyperboloid is a three dimensional manifold, we express the zeroth component of p as $p_0 = \pm\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$ and in this way introduce a preferred three-momentum reference frame. This preferred frame becomes manifest whenever we explicitly write generators of a representation in question [3,19,20]. Obviously, this is typical of *any* representation (unitary or not) and the so-called covariant wave functions are not, in this sense, manifestly covariant either. This formal difficulty is related to the old problem of relativistic localization and relativistic position operator [2,23–29].

The difficulties with the latter problem motivated myself to look for a manifestly covariant reformulation of unitary representations of the Poincaré group. It turns out that there are several different levels where the noncovariance is rooted. The one with the on-shell noncovariance cannot be overcome unless we switch to an off-shell formulation. Therefore I generally write formulas in a form which enables us to use them in both on- and off-shell versions. The delicate point with the off-shell formulation is the massless boundary $m = 0$ which is taken care of in detail.

Other levels of noncovariance can be removed by the method of null frames I use. This allows us to treat the massive and massless cases on the same footing and obtain formulas which either depend on $p \cdot p = m^2$ in an explicit and nonsingular way, or are mass independent. The latter is made possible by a nonstandard choice of spin eigenstates, namely those corresponding to the Pauli-Lubanski vector projections in *null* and p -dependent directions defined by flag poles of a specifically chosen field of spin-frames. In this way we circumvent the implicit noncovariance introduced by inducing from little groups of preferred four-momenta. The only price we pay for the manifest covariance is the $SL(2, C)$ -spin-reducibility of representations on the massless boundary.

¹By the Poincaré group I mean the semidirect product of 4-translations in Minkowski space and $SL(2, C)$.

The choice of p -dependent directions frees us of the noncovariance associated with the \mathbf{p} -dependent helicity amplitudes. From our perspective the helicity eigenstates are noncovariant in two ways. They are either projections of the three-spin on the three-momentum \mathbf{p} , or correspond to the zeroth-component of the Pauli-Lubanski four-vector.

The null directions and the spin-frames associated with them appear in my formalism quite naturally as a means of unifying the massive and massless cases into a single framework. The corresponding amplitudes seem to have been overlooked in the representation theory of the Poincaré group although they appeared implicitly in the context of geometric quantization of spinor fields. So as an interesting by-product of the manifestly covariant formulation we arrive at a physical and group representation interpretation of some purely formal objects used in geometric quantization. One should mention here that null hyperplanes are occasionally used to define position space scalar products for the Dirac equation [31] but there is no direct relationship of such a null formalism to the one described below.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II I present a spinor formulation of the eigenvalue problem for the Pauli-Lubanski vector. I begin with a separate treatment of the massless and massive cases, introduce the four-momentum-dependent spin-frames, and finally use them to derive a simple form which looks the same for both $m = 0$ and $m \neq 0$. I also introduce the basic bispinor projectors. Their essential algebraic and transformation properties are discussed in Sec. III. The role of the projectors is explained in Sec. IV where their relationship to the off- and on-shell Bargmann-Wigner equations is made clear. The representations are unitary with respect to the off- and on-shell scalar products discussed in Sec. V. In Sec. VI I introduce normalized eigenvectors and associated amplitudes. The “null” amplitudes, called ω -spinors, lead to a very simple and elegant formalism. In Sec. VII I discuss in detail the transformation properties of ω -spinors and use them to formulate the unitary representations in a manifestly covariant way. In Sec. VIII a generalized off-shell position representation on the Poincaré group is briefly discussed.

The bispinor convention I use is explained in Appendix XI A. It is analogous to the standard twistor notation but, as opposed to twistors which are always “unprimed”, I found it very useful to introduce primed bispinor indices. The only exception with respect to the standard tensor-spinor notation is in transvection of two world-vectors, which I usually denote by a dot, i.e. $x \cdot p = x^a p_a$, etc.

II. EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR THE PAULI-LUBANSKI VECTOR IN SPINOR FORM

Spin eigenstates that span carrier spaces of unitary representations of the Poincaré group discussed in literature correspond to projections of the P-L vector in either timelike or spacelike directions. It turns out that the formalism becomes the simplest if one takes *null* directions. Their choice naturally follows from a spinor formulation of the P-L vector eigenvalue problem.

Consider the Poincaré Lie algebra whose elements are P^a and J^{ab} . The Pauli-Lubanski (P-L) vectors corresponding to $(1/2, 0)$ and $(0, 1/2)$ spinor representations of $SL(2, C)$ are

$$S^a_{X^Y} = P_b^* J^{ab}_{X^Y}, \quad (1)$$

$$S^a_{X'^{Y'}} = P_b^* J^{ab}_{X'^{Y'}}, \quad (2)$$

where the asterisk denotes dualization [32]. Their momentum representation is

$$S^a(p)_{X^Y} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(p_X^{A'} \varepsilon^{AY} - \varepsilon_X^A p^{YA'} \right), \quad (3)$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'^{Y'}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_{X'}^A \varepsilon^{A'Y'} - \varepsilon_{X'}^{A'} p^{AY'} \right). \quad (4)$$

A. $m = 0$ representations

1. P-L vector in terms of spin-frames

Let $p^a = \pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'}$ and ω^A be a spin-frame partner of π^A , i.e. $\omega_A \pi^A = 1$. Then

$$S^a(p)_{X^Y} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\pi_X \varepsilon^{AY} - \varepsilon_X^A \pi^Y \right) \bar{\pi}^{A'} \quad (5)$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'^{Y'}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\pi}_{X'} \varepsilon^{A'Y'} - \varepsilon_{X'}^{A'} \bar{\pi}^{Y'} \right) \pi^A \quad (6)$$

and

$$S^a(p)_X{}^Y \pi_Y = -\frac{1}{2} p^a \pi_X \quad (7)$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} \bar{\pi}_{Y'} = \frac{1}{2} p^a \bar{\pi}_{X'} \quad (8)$$

$$S^a(p)_X{}^Y \omega_Y = +\frac{1}{2} p^a \omega_X - \pi_X \omega^A \bar{\pi}^{A'} \quad (9)$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} \bar{\omega}_{Y'} = -\frac{1}{2} p^a \bar{\omega}_{X'} + \bar{\pi}_{X'} \bar{\omega}^{A'} \pi^A \quad (10)$$

Equations (7), (8) solve the eigenvalue problem. For higher spin ($M/2, N/2$) fields we find

$$S^a(p)_{\mathcal{X}}{}^{\mathcal{Y}} \pi_{\mathcal{Y}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M - N) \pi_{\mathcal{X}} p^a \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{X} = X_1 \dots X_M X'_1 \dots X'_N$, $\pi_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi_{X_1} \dots \pi_{X_M} \bar{\pi}_{X'_1} \dots \bar{\pi}_{X'_N}$, etc.

2. Projections of P-L vector in ω -direction

The projections $S(\omega, p) = \omega^a S_a$ of the P-L vector in the $\omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'}$ direction are

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2} (\pi_A \omega^B + \omega_A \pi^B), \quad (12)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = -\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\pi}_{A'} \bar{\omega}^{B'} + \bar{\omega}_{A'} \bar{\pi}^{B'}) \quad (13)$$

implying

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B \omega_B = \frac{1}{2} \omega_A, \quad (14)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} \bar{\omega}_{B'} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}_{A'}. \quad (15)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B \pi_B = -\frac{1}{2} \pi_A, \quad (16)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} \bar{\pi}_{B'} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\pi}_{A'}, \quad (17)$$

B. $m \neq 0$ representations

The massive case is more complicated since the components of the P-L vector no longer commute.

1. Projections of P-L vector in a general t -direction

Consider a projection of the P-L vector in the direction of a (timelike, spacelike or null, and generally p -dependent) world-vector t

$$S(t, p)_X{}^Y = \frac{1}{2} (t^Y{}_{X'} p^{X'}{}^X + t_{X X'} p^{Y X'}), \quad (18)$$

$$S(t, p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} = -\frac{1}{2} (t_X{}^{Y'} p^X{}_{X'} + t_{X X'} p^{X Y'}). \quad (19)$$

Notice that

$$\overline{S(t, p)_{X'}{}^{Y'}} = -S(t, p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} \quad (20)$$

so that complex conjugation reverses the sign of spin. Eigenvalues of a symmetric spinor S_{XY} are $\pm[-\frac{1}{2}S_{AB}S^{AB}]^{1/2}$. An analogous formula holds for symmetric spinors with two primed indices.

Therefore the projection of the P-L vector in the direction t has eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(t \cdot p)^2 - m^2 t^2}, \quad (21)$$

where $m^2 = p \cdot p$ and $t^2 = t \cdot t$. Formula (21) shows that there exists a privileged choice of t , namely *null* and pointing in the direction of p (in the sense of pointing into the future or the past) since in this case

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) = \pm\frac{1}{2}t \cdot p \quad (22)$$

which is analogous to the massless case even though, in general, $m^2 \neq 0$ in (21).

2. Projectors associated with the eigenvectors

The corresponding eigenvectors are determined by the projectors

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{A^B} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{A^B} + \frac{2}{\lambda^{(\pm)}}S(t, p)_{A^B}\right), \quad (23)$$

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{A'B'} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{A'B'} + \frac{2}{\lambda^{(\pm)}}S(t, p)_{A'B'}\right). \quad (24)$$

The ‘‘sign-of-energy’’ projectors are defined as (see Appendix XI A)

$$\Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi_{\mp}(-p)_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi(\pm p)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_A^B \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{p \cdot p}}p_{A^B'} \\ \mp\sqrt{\frac{2}{p \cdot p}}p_{A'B} \\ \varepsilon_{A'B'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

They commute with the P-L vector corresponding to the bispinor $(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$ representation:

$$\Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha\beta} S^a(p)_{\beta\gamma} = S^a(p)_{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\beta\gamma}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$S^a(p)_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} S^a(p)_{A^B} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ S^a(p)_{A'B'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

Let

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{A^B} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{A'B'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\beta\gamma} &= \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha\beta} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\beta\gamma} = \Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^{(\pm)}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(\pm)}\varepsilon_A^C + t^C{}_{X'}p_A{}^{X'} + t_{AX'}p^{CX'} \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{p \cdot p}}[(\lambda^{(\pm)} - t \cdot p)p_A{}^{C'} + m^2 t_A{}^{C'}] \\ \mp\sqrt{\frac{2}{p \cdot p}}[(\lambda^{(\pm)} + t \cdot p)p_{A'}^C - m^2 t_{A'}^C] \\ \lambda^{(\pm)}\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{C'} - t_X{}^{C'}p^X{}_{A'} - t_{XA'}p^{XC'} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\lambda^{(\pm)} = \lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p)$. The signs ‘‘ \pm ’’ of energy are independent of the signs ‘‘ (\pm) ’’ of spin.

3. The projectors in terms of spin-frames — analogy with $m = 0$

To simplify the form of (29) consider the decomposition

$$p^a = \pi^a + \frac{m^2}{2}\omega^a = \pi^A\bar{\pi}^{A'} + \frac{m^2}{2}\omega^A\bar{\omega}^{A'}, \quad (30)$$

$\omega_A\pi^A = 1$, of a (timelike or null) future-pointing world-vector p^a (see Appendix XI C).

Let us take $t = \omega$. The eigenvalues of $S(\omega, p)$ are $\pm 1/2$ and the corresponding projectors are

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{(+)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A\pi^C \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\omega_A\bar{\omega}^{C'} \\ \mp\sqrt{\frac{2}{p\cdot p}}\bar{\pi}_{A'}\pi^C \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'}\bar{\omega}^{C'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{(-)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\pi_A\omega^C \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{2}{p\cdot p}}\pi_A\bar{\pi}^{C'} \\ \mp\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\bar{\omega}_{A'}\omega^C \\ \bar{\omega}_{A'}\bar{\pi}^{C'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

We obtain also formulas analogous to the massless case:

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_A\pi^B + \pi_A\omega^B), \quad (33)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\omega}_{A'}\bar{\pi}^{B'} + \bar{\pi}_{A'}\bar{\omega}^{B'}), \quad (34)$$

and

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B\omega_B = \frac{1}{2}\omega_A, \quad (35)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\omega}_{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_{A'}, \quad (36)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_A{}^B\pi_B = -\frac{1}{2}\pi_A, \quad (37)$$

$$S(\omega, p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\pi}_{B'} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\pi}_{A'}. \quad (38)$$

C. Eigenvectors of $S(\omega, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$

Let

$$\pi_{\alpha} = -2 \begin{pmatrix} \pi_A \\ \bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

where $\pi_A = \pi_A(p)$ is the spinor appearing in the decomposition (30) of a (massive or massless) 4-momentum p . The eigenvectors of the P-L vector projections in the corresponding null $\omega^a = \omega^a(p)$ direction can be defined in terms of π_{α} :

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha} = \Pi_{\pm}^{(+)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\pi_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\omega_A \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha} = \Pi_{\pm}^{(-)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\pi_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi_A \\ \mp\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\bar{\omega}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

Notice that the massless limit $p \cdot p \rightarrow 0$, $p \neq 0$, can be easily performed for $\Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha}$ whereas this would not be possible with the projectors (31), (32) themselves. (We exclude the origin $p = 0$ for which the whole construction breaks down since no spin-frame satisfying our conditions exists at this point.) So the transvection with (39) removes the parts which are singular in the massless limit. This behavior is typical of the formalism developed in this paper.

III. ALGEBRA OF SPIN-ENERGY PROJECTORS ($M \neq 0$)

Formulas that look artificial and complicated at the bispinor level finally simplify if one switches to unitary representations. In order to do so we have to better control the algebraic and transformation properties of the projectors.

1. A few useful identities

In this section we assume $p \cdot p \neq 0$. We shall derive several useful general formulas which, when suitably transvected, will be directly related to the unitary representations we are interested in, also for $p \cdot p \rightarrow 0$. Define

$$T^{\alpha\beta'} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{AB'} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ T^{BA'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

where T^a is an arbitrary world-vector. Below I list without proof a few useful identities satisfied by projectors projecting on given signs of energy or spin ($m = \sqrt{p \cdot p}$):

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_{\pm}(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4m} (T \cdot p) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p^{BD'} \\ \mp \varepsilon^{BD} \\ \pm \varepsilon^{B'D'} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p^{DB'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_{\mp}(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2T^{BD'} - \frac{2}{m^2} (T \cdot p) p^{BD'} \\ \mp \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} (T^{BX'} p^{DX'} + T^{DX'} p^{BX'}) \\ \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} (T^{XB'} p_X{}^{D'} + T^{XD'} p_X{}^{B'}) \\ -\frac{2}{m^2} (T \cdot p) p^{DB'} + 2T^{DB'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

(43) and (44) imply

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \left(\Pi_+(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_+(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} + \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_-(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} \right) = \frac{1}{m^2} (T \cdot p) p^{\beta\delta'} \quad (45)$$

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \left(\Pi_+(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_-(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} + \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_+(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} \right) = T^{\beta\delta'} - \frac{1}{m^2} (T \cdot p) p^{\beta\delta'}. \quad (46)$$

(45) plays a role of a non-orthogonal ‘‘resolution of p ’’ and is essential for the formalism developed below. Notice that for $T \cdot p \neq 0$, $p \cdot p \neq 0$ we can always write

$$\frac{1}{p \cdot p} p^{\alpha\alpha'} = \frac{1}{T \cdot p} T^{\beta\beta'} \left(\Pi_+(p)_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_+(p)_{\beta'}{}^{\alpha'} + \Pi_-(p)_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_-(p)_{\beta'}{}^{\alpha'} \right) \quad (47)$$

even though the ‘‘off-diagonal’’ terms given by (46) vanish only for $T^a = p^a$. The RHS of Eq. (47) will be used in definitions of positive-definite scalar products in momentum space.

The operators projecting on eigenvectors of $S(t, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ are

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) \varepsilon_A{}^B + t_{AX'} p^{BX'} - p_{AX'} t^{BX'} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} - t_{XA'} p^{XB'} + p_{XA'} t^{XB'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

They satisfy

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_{\pm}(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\beta}{}^{\mu} \bar{\Pi}^{(\pm)}(u, p)_{\delta'}{}^{\nu'} := (T \cdot p) \Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm\pm)}(t, u, p)^{\mu\nu'} = \frac{T \cdot p}{8m^2 \lambda(t, p) \lambda(u, p)} \times$$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) + t \cdot p \right) \left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) + u \cdot p \right) - m^2 t \cdot u \right] p^{MN'} - m^2 \left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) u^{MN'} + \lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) t^{MN'} + i e^{MN'abc} p_a t_b u_c \right) \\ & \mp \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) \lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) + (p \cdot t)(p \cdot u) - m^2 t \cdot u \right) \varepsilon^{MN} - t_{BX'} u^B_{Y'} p^{(M|X'} p^{N)Y'} - \frac{3m^2}{2} t^{(M}_{X'} u^{N)X'} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(2\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) + p \cdot t \right) p^{(M}_{X'} u^{N)X'} + \left(2\lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) - p \cdot u \right) p^{(M}_{X'} t^{N)X'} \right] \\ & \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) \lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) + (p \cdot t)(p \cdot u) - m^2 t \cdot u \right) \varepsilon^{M'N'} - t_{XB'} u^B_{Y'} p^{X(M'|} p^{Y|N')} - \frac{3m^2}{2} t_X^{(M'|} u^{X|N')} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(2\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) + p \cdot t \right) p_X^{(M'|} u^{X|N')} + \left(2\lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) - p \cdot u \right) p_X^{(M'|} t^{X|N')} \right] \\ & \left[\left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) + t \cdot p \right) \left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) + u \cdot p \right) - m^2 t \cdot u \right] p^{NM'} - m^2 \left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) u^{NM'} + \lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) t^{NM'} - i e^{NM'abc} p_a t_b u_c \right) \end{aligned} \right) \quad (49)$$

$$T^{\alpha\gamma'} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \bar{\Pi}_{\pm}(p)_{\gamma'}{}^{\delta'} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\beta}{}^{\mu} \bar{\Pi}^{(\mp)}(u, p)_{\delta'}{}^{\nu'} := (T \cdot p) \Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm\mp)}(t, u, p)^{\mu\nu'} = \frac{-T \cdot p}{8m^2 \lambda(t, p) \lambda(u, p)} \times$$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) + t \cdot p \right) \left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) + u \cdot p \right) - m^2 t \cdot u \right] p^{MN'} - m^2 \left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) u^{MN'} + \lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) t^{MN'} + i e^{MN'abc} p_a t_b u_c \right) \\ & \mp \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) \lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) + (p \cdot t)(p \cdot u) - m^2 t \cdot u \right) \varepsilon^{MN} - t_{BX'} u^B_{Y'} p^{(M|X'} p^{N)Y'} - \frac{3m^2}{2} t^{(M}_{X'} u^{N)X'} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(2\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) + p \cdot t \right) p^{(M}_{X'} u^{N)X'} + \left(2\lambda^{(\mp)}(u, p) - p \cdot u \right) p^{(M}_{X'} t^{N)X'} \right] \\ & \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) \lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) + (p \cdot t)(p \cdot u) - m^2 t \cdot u \right) \varepsilon^{M'N'} - t_{XB'} u^B_{Y'} p^{X(M'|} p^{Y|N')} - \frac{3m^2}{2} t_X^{(M'|} u^{X|N')} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(2\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) + p \cdot t \right) p_X^{(M'|} u^{X|N')} + \left(2\lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) - p \cdot u \right) p_X^{(M'|} t^{X|N')} \right] \\ & \left[\left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) + t \cdot p \right) \left(\lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) + u \cdot p \right) - m^2 t \cdot u \right] p^{NM'} - m^2 \left(\lambda^{(\mp)}(t, p) u^{NM'} + \lambda^{(\pm)}(u, p) t^{NM'} - i e^{NM'abc} p_a t_b u_c \right) \end{aligned} \right) \quad (50)$$

where $\lambda(t, p) = |\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p)|$, etc. Although (49), (50) may appear somewhat complicated, their generality will finally help us to simplify the whole formalism.

2. $SL(2, C)$ active transformations of the projectors

The projectors transform under *active* $SL(2, C)$ as follows

$$S_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} S_{\beta}{}^{\delta} \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\gamma\delta} = \Pi_{\pm}(Sp)_{\alpha\beta} \quad (51)$$

$$S_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} S_{\beta}{}^{\delta} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\gamma\delta} = \Pi^{(\pm)}(St, Sp)_{\alpha\beta} \quad (52)$$

or equivalently

$$S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Pi_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} S^{-1}{}_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \Pi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} \quad (53)$$

$$S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Pi^{(\pm)}(S^{-1}t, S^{-1}p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} S^{-1}{}_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \Pi^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\delta} \quad (54)$$

where

$$S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} S_A{}^B \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ S_{A'}{}^{B'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (55)$$

Here $(Sp)_a = S_a{}^b p_b$, and $S_a{}^b$, $S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$, $S_A{}^B$, and $S_{A'}{}^{B'}$ denote, respectively, the representations $(1/2, 1/2)$, $(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$, $(1/2, 0)$, and $(0, 1/2)$ of $S \in SL(2, C)$. Spinor transformations of upper- and lower-index spinors are assumed in the form

$$S\phi_A = S_A{}^B \phi_B, \quad (56)$$

$$S\phi^A = \phi^B S^{-1}{}^A{}_B = -S^A{}_B \phi^B. \quad (57)$$

Analogous transformations hold for primed spinors. The convention differs slightly from this used in [32] (cf. Eq. (3.6.1)) but seems more consistent. Those *active nonunitary* transformations will be shown to generate the *passive unitary* transformations which form the unitary representations of the Poincaré group we are searching for.

IV. WAVE EQUATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECTORS

It is clear from what has been written above what is the relation of the projectors to the “sign of spin”. To understand their relation to the “sign of energy” we have to discuss their relation to Bargmann-Wigner equations. A brief analysis of the equations will also help to naturally classify representations with respect to the signs of energy and *mass*.

A. Off-shell equations

Define

$$D_\alpha^\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon_A{}^B \partial_s \\ i \nabla_A{}^{B'} \\ -i \nabla^{B'}{}_{A'} \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \partial_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

satisfying

$$D_\alpha^\beta e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} \mp ip \cdot x} = -\sqrt{p \cdot p} \Pi_\mp(p) \alpha^\beta e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} \mp ip \cdot x}, \quad (59)$$

$$D_\alpha^\beta e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} \mp ip \cdot x} = \sqrt{p \cdot p} \Pi_\pm(p) \alpha^\beta e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} \mp ip \cdot x}. \quad (60)$$

The off-shell Bargmann-Wigner equation

$$D_{\alpha_k}{}^{\beta_k} \psi(x, s)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_k \dots \beta_n} = 0 \quad (61)$$

has “plane-wave” solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = & \Pi_+(p)_{\alpha_1}{}^{\beta_1} \dots \Pi_+(p)_{\alpha_n}{}^{\beta_n} \left(\psi_{+-}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \psi_{-+}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ & + \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha_1}{}^{\beta_1} \dots \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha_n}{}^{\beta_n} \left(\psi_{--}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \psi_{++}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Let us note that the formula

$$\Pi_\pm(p) \alpha^\beta = \Pi_\mp(-p) \alpha^\beta \quad (63)$$

guarantees that nothing physical will be lost by assuming that p is *future pointing*. Throughout the rest of the paper I therefore assume that $\psi_{..}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} = 0$ for p pointing into the past.

The superpositions

$$\psi(x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}, \quad (64)$$

with arbitrary higher rank bispinors $\psi_{..}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}$, play a role of “proper time” wave packets in Minkowski space.

B. On-shell equations

Changing variables $(p_0, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (\sqrt{p \cdot p}, \mathbf{p})$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d(p \cdot p) \int \frac{d^3 p}{2\sqrt{p^2 + p \cdot p}} \psi(\sqrt{p^2 + p \cdot p}, \mathbf{p}, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}.$$

The on-shell bispinors satisfy $\psi_{..}(p) = 2\pi \psi_{m^2..}(p) \mu(p \cdot p - m^2)$ where $\mu(p \cdot p - m^2)$ is some distribution concentrated on the mass- m hyperboloid. We will consider two cases: $\mu(p \cdot p - m^2) = \delta(p \cdot p - m^2)$ and $\mu(p \cdot p - m^2) = \sqrt{\delta(p \cdot p - m^2)}$. For $\mu(p \cdot p - m^2) = \delta(p \cdot p - m^2)$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2|p_m^0|} \psi_{m^2}(p_m, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \quad (65)$$

where, by definition, $p_m = (\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}, \mathbf{p})$ is future-pointing. The combinations where $\psi_{m^2-} = 0$ ($\psi_{m^2+} = 0$) are solutions of the positive(negative)-mass on-shell Bargmann-Wigner equation. There exist also other possibilities of transition to an on-shell formalism [34,35].

V. POSITIVE-DEFINITE SCALAR PRODUCTS IN MOMENTUM SPACE

There exists a class of equivalent positive-definite scalar products that can be used to probabistically interpret the Fourier components of Bargmann-Wigner fields. They can be simplified at the unitary representation level.

A. Off-shell products

Consider some (in general p -dependent) T^a satisfying, for the time being, $T \cdot p \neq 0$. For two off-shell functions $\psi(x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$, $\phi(x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$ the scalar product is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \phi \rangle = & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \frac{1}{(T \cdot p)^n} T^{\alpha_1 \alpha'_1} \dots T^{\alpha_n \alpha'_n} \times \\ & \left[\Pi_+(p)_{\alpha_1}{}^{\beta_1} \bar{\Pi}_+(p)_{\alpha'_1}{}^{\beta'_1} \dots \Pi_+(p)_{\alpha_n}{}^{\beta_n} \bar{\Pi}_+(p)_{\alpha'_n}{}^{\beta'_n} \left(\bar{\psi}_{+-}(p)_{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_n} \phi_{+-}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} + \bar{\psi}_{-+}(p)_{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_n} \phi_{-+}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha_1}{}^{\beta_1} \bar{\Pi}_-(p)_{\alpha'_1}{}^{\beta'_1} \dots \Pi_-(p)_{\alpha_n}{}^{\beta_n} \bar{\Pi}_-(p)_{\alpha'_n}{}^{\beta'_n} \left(\bar{\psi}_{--}(p)_{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_n} \phi_{--}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} + \bar{\psi}_{++}(p)_{\beta'_1 \dots \beta'_n} \phi_{++}(p)_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

B. On-shell products

The on-shell scalar product of two bispinors (65) will be denoted by

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{m^2} \quad (67)$$

and is obtained from (66) by $p \rightarrow p_m$, $\psi_{..} \rightarrow \psi_{m^2..}$, and

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2|p_m^0|}.$$

It can be also thought of as an on-shell limit of the off-shell formula (66) provided the limiting bispinors are

$$\psi_{..}(p) = 2\pi \psi_{m^2..}(p) \sqrt{\delta(p \cdot p - m^2)}. \quad (68)$$

Putting $T = p_m$, $m \neq 0$ or using the resolution of p formula (45), we obtain the form used by Woodhouse in [36]. The well known Bargmann-Wigner form [37] is obtained if T is a time direction and $T \cdot p_m = p_m^0$. The use of projectors makes it similar to the form used by Kaiser [39] in his construction of electromagnetic wavelets. Eq. (45) shows explicitly that the products are T -independent.

VI. MOMENTUM-SPACE AMPLITUDES

Momentum-space amplitudes have a direct probability interpretation and have been extensively discussed in literature [44–48,30,50]. From the viewpoint of active $SL(2, C)$ transformations they are scalars. They become local (i.e. p -dependent) $SU(2)$ spinors if passive transformations are concerned. Typically they are represented as helicity amplitudes. Here we find their general form and from this perspective find their most convenient representation in terms of ω -spinors.

A. Normalized spin-energy eigenvectors

1. Normalization factor

The particular cases of (50) and (49) for $t = u$

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm\mp)}(t, t, p)^{\mu\nu'} = 0 \quad (69)$$

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm\pm)}(t, t, p)^{\mu\nu'} = \frac{1}{4m^2\lambda(t, p)} \begin{pmatrix} \left[\lambda(t, p)(\pm)t \cdot p \right] p^{MN'}(\mp)m^2 t^{MN'} \\ \mp \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\lambda(t, p)\varepsilon^{MN}(\pm)2p^{(M}t^{N)X'} \right] \\ \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\lambda(t, p)\varepsilon^{M'N'}(\mp)2p_X^{(M'}t^{X)N'} \right] \\ \left[\lambda(t, p)(\mp)t \cdot p \right] p^{NM'}(\pm)m^2 t^{NM'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (70)$$

can be used to find the correct normalization factor for the spin-energy eigenvectors for an arbitrary t :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm\pm)}(t, t, p)^{\mu\nu'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(\omega, p)_{\mu} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(\omega, p)_{\nu'} = \frac{1}{2\lambda(t, p)} \left\{ \lambda(t, p) + t \cdot \left(\pi - \frac{m^2}{2}\omega \right) \right\} =: N(t, \pi, \omega, m)^2. \quad (71)$$

The mentioned similarity between the massless and massive cases for $t = \omega$, characteristic of the null formalism, can be seen also in the formula

$$N(t, \pi, \omega, m = 0) = N(\omega, \pi, \omega, m \neq 0) = 1. \quad (72)$$

2. Eigenvectors

The normalized eigenvectors are

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} = N(t, \pi, \omega, m)^{-1} \Pi_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(\omega, p)_{\beta}. \quad (73)$$

They satisfy

$$\frac{1}{T \cdot p} T^{\alpha\alpha'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha'} = 1 \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{1}{T \cdot p} T^{\alpha\alpha'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(\mp)}(t, p)_{\alpha'} = 0, \quad (75)$$

which hold also for $p \cdot p = 0$ and the 0/0-type limit $T \rightarrow p$. The fact that neither orthogonality nor normalization depend on T can be used to simplify the formalism by choosing $T = \omega$. With this choice we have

$$\omega^{\alpha\alpha'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha'} = 1. \quad (76)$$

The explicit forms of the eigenvectors are

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_{\alpha} = \left[8\lambda(t, p)(\lambda(t, p) + t \cdot p - (p \cdot p)(t \cdot \omega)) \right]^{-1/2} \times \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \left((2\lambda(t, p) - t \cdot p)\omega_A + \omega_C t^C{}_{X'} p_A{}^{X'} + 3t_{AX'} \bar{\pi}^{X'} \right) \\ -(2\lambda(t, p) + t \cdot p) \bar{\pi}_{A'} + \bar{\pi}_{C'} t_X{}^{C'} p^X{}_{A'} - 3\frac{m^2}{2} t_{XA'} \omega^X \end{pmatrix} \quad (77)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_A \\ \Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_{A'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (78)$$

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{\alpha} = \left[8\lambda(t, p)(\lambda(t, p) + t \cdot p - (p \cdot p)(t \cdot \omega)) \right]^{-1/2} \times \begin{pmatrix} -(2\lambda(t, p) + t \cdot p)\pi_A + \pi_C t^C{}_{X'} p_A{}^{X'} - 3\frac{m^2}{2} t_{AX'} \bar{\omega}^{X'} \\ \mp \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \left((2\lambda(t, p) - t \cdot p)\bar{\omega}_{A'} + \bar{\omega}_{C'} t_X{}^{C'} p^X{}_{A'} + 3t_{XA'} \pi^X \right) \end{pmatrix} \quad (79)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_A \\ \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{A'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_A \\ -\bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_{A'} \end{pmatrix} \quad (80)$$

The massless limit is easy to perform:

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (81)$$

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\pi^A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (82)$$

These eigenvectors do not depend on t which is what one should expect since components of the P-L vector commute in this limit.

B. Off-shell amplitudes

1. Dirac bispinors

We shall first concentrate on the Dirac bispinors (i.e. bispinors of rank 1). The wave functions associated with them play a role analogous to ordinary 2-spinors. Consider the bispinor (62) for $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha} &= \Pi_{+}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \left(\psi_{+-}(p)_{\beta} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \psi_{-+}(p)_{\beta} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ &\quad + \Pi_{-}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \left(\psi_{--}(p)_{\beta} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \psi_{++}(p)_{\beta} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(\pm)} \left(\Omega_{+}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{+}(t, p)_{(\pm)}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \Omega_{+}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{+}(t, p)_{(\pm)}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega_{-}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{-}(t, p)_{(\pm)}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + \Omega_{-}^{(\pm)}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{-}(t, p)_{(\pm)}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ &= \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} \left(f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ &\quad + \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} \left(f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where the calligraphic indices \mathcal{A} equal (\pm) and a summation convention has been applied. The amplitudes $f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}$ are *scalars* if *active* $SL(2, C)$ transformations are concerned. An active Poincaré transformation acts on (64) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(S, a)\psi(x, s)_{\alpha} &= S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \psi(S^{-1}(x - a), s)_{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \\ &\quad \left[S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} \left(e^{-ip \cdot a} f_{+}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + e^{ip \cdot a} f_{+}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} \left(e^{-ip \cdot a} f_{-}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + e^{ip \cdot a} f_{-}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

In (85) we have taken care of the fact that t is in general p -dependent. The four types of amplitudes lead to the four classes of momentum space representations:

$$\psi_{+}(p)_{\alpha}^{\pm} = \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{-ip \cdot a} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{+}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} \quad (86)$$

$$\psi_{+}(p)_{\alpha}^{\mp} = \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} \rightarrow e^{ip \cdot a} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{+}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} \quad (87)$$

$$\psi_{-}(p)_{\alpha}^{\mp} = \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} \rightarrow e^{-ip \cdot a} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{-}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mp} \quad (88)$$

$$\psi_{-}(p)_{\alpha}^{\pm} = \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t, p)_{\alpha} f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{ip \cdot a} S_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{-}(t[S^{-1}p], S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\pm} \quad (89)$$

Apparently there are only two types of transformations here, so it may seem artificial to divide them into four classes. Later we shall see, however, that the above *active* and *nonunitary* representations lead indeed to four different classes of *passive unitary* transformations of the amplitudes. The amplitudes satisfy

$$f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}} = \frac{1}{T \cdot p} T^{\alpha\alpha'} \psi_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{\bar{\alpha}}} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^A(t, p)_{\alpha'} = \omega^{\alpha\alpha'} \psi_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{\bar{\alpha}}} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^A(t, p)_{\alpha'}. \quad (90)$$

Of particular importance are the amplitudes $f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{\bar{\alpha}}} &= \Omega_{\pm}^{(+)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{+}}} + \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(\omega, p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{-}}} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \omega_A \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{+}}} + \begin{pmatrix} -\pi_A \\ \mp \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'} \end{pmatrix} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{-}}} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

implies the following simple rule

$$\omega^A \psi_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}} = f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{-}}} =: f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{0}}} \quad (92)$$

$$\bar{\omega}^{A'} \psi_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{\bar{A}'}} = f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{+}}} =: f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{1}}}. \quad (93)$$

Formulas (92), (93) suggest that complex conjugation should exchange 0 's and 1 's:

$$\overline{f_{\dots}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{0}}}} = \bar{f}_{\dots}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{1}}} \quad (94)$$

$$\overline{f_{\dots}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{1}}}} = \bar{f}_{\dots}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{0}}}. \quad (95)$$

The convention is consistent with (20). Later we shall see that complex conjugation exchanges also pluses and minuses. The ω -amplitudes play a distinguished role in the formalism discussed in this paper. They will be shown to transform *passively* as local $SU(2)$ spinors. We shall call them the ω -spinors. The general t -amplitudes will be called the Bargmann-Wigner spinors (BW-spinors) or simply the t -spinors. The BW-spinor indices will be written in italic font to distinguish them from the ordinary $SL(2, C)$ ones. The ω -spinors appear implicitly in wave functions discussed in the context of geometric quantization of spinor fields [36] where they are introduced purely formally as polarized sections. The spin-frame decomposition used in [36] is not (30) but

$$p^a = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'} + \omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'} \right), \quad (96)$$

which is not very practical from our perspective since it does not allow for a well defined $p \cdot p \rightarrow 0$ limit.

Finally let us note that similar objects but in Minkowski four-*position* representation are used to define spin-weighted spherical harmonics [32].

2. Equivalence between ω -spinors and certain t -spinors for spacelike or timelike t and $m \neq 0$

Standard spin eigenstates known from nonrelativistic quantum mechanics correspond to spacelike t 's. Their relation with the P-L vector has been discussed in detail in [51]. The helicity formalism is obtained by taking t timelike. In this context our choice of null $t = \omega$ is somewhat counter-intuitive. Let us observe, however, that the eigenvalue problem for the P-L vector possesses a kind of gauge freedom: The eigenstates of $S(t, p)$ are unchanged by the transformation

$$t \rightarrow t + \theta p. \quad (97)$$

For $m \neq 0$ consider the spacelike

$$t = \omega - m^{-2} p \quad (98)$$

satisfying $t \cdot p = 0$. The eigenvalues of $S(t, p)$ equal $\pm 1/2$ and $f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}} = f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}}$. The orthogonality of t and p means that we consider a kind of rest-frame eigenvalue problem for spin. Had we chosen $\theta > 0$ we would have obtained some sort of helicity formalism and still the same amplitudes.

3. Transition between general t -spinors and ω -spinors

Eqs. (80), (90), (92) and (93) imply

$$f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\dot{\bar{A}}} = \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(t, \omega, p)_{\mathcal{A}^B} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\dot{\bar{B}}}, \quad (99)$$

which in terms of components reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\ddot{0}} \\ f_{\pm}(t, p)_{\ddot{1}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}^{A'} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{A'} & \omega^A \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_A \\ -\bar{\omega}^{A'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{A'} & \omega^A \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\ddot{0}} \\ f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{\ddot{1}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (100)$$

$\mathcal{W}_{\pm}(t, \omega, p)$ is unimodular

$$\det \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(t, \omega, p) = \omega^{\alpha\alpha'} \Omega_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{\alpha} \bar{\Omega}_{\pm}^{(-)}(t, p)_{\alpha'} = 1 \quad (101)$$

and hence also unitary. From now on we shall concentrate exclusively on ω -spinors.

4. ε and ς BW-spinors

BW-spinors can be raised and lowered according to the standard rules by

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}. \quad (102)$$

The fact that BW-spinors are local $SU(2)$ spinors means that in addition to ε 's there exists another invariant BW-spinor

$$\varsigma^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\varsigma_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}. \quad (103)$$

Unitarity of a unimodular \mathcal{W} means

$$\varsigma_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{D}} \varsigma_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}. \quad (104)$$

In terms of components:

$$\mathcal{W}_0^0 = \overline{\mathcal{W}_1^1} = \bar{\mathcal{W}}_0^0, \quad (105)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_1^0 = -\overline{\mathcal{W}_0^1} = -\bar{\mathcal{W}}_1^0. \quad (106)$$

If $f_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a BW-spinor then

$$\varsigma^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} f_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{f}_{\mathcal{B}} = |f_0|^2 + |f_1|^2. \quad (107)$$

5. Higher-rank ω -spinors

Consider a Bargmann-Wigner rank- n bispinor $\psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$. Its spin-energy expansion is

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(p, x, s)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \\ &= \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}_1}(t, p)_{\alpha_1} \dots \Omega_{+}^{\mathcal{A}_n}(t, p)_{\alpha_n} \left(f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^{+} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + f_{+}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^{-} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right) \\ & \quad + \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}_1}(t, p)_{\alpha_1} \dots \Omega_{-}^{\mathcal{A}_n}(t, p)_{\alpha_n} \left(f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^{-} e^{is\sqrt{p \cdot p} + ip \cdot x} + f_{-}(t, p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^{+} e^{-is\sqrt{p \cdot p} - ip \cdot x} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

For $t = \omega$

$$\psi_{\pm}(p)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = (-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{\mathcal{A}_1} \dots \pi_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \pi_{\mathcal{A}_n} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{(-)1 \dots (-)_{n-1} (-)_n} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_1} \dots \pi_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \pi_{\mathcal{A}_n} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{(+)_1 \dots (-)_{n-1} (-)_n} \\ \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_1} \dots \pi_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}} \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_n} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{(+)_1 \dots (-)_{n-1} (+)_n} \\ \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_1} \dots \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_{n-1}} \pi_{\mathcal{A}_n} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{(+)_1 \dots (+)_{n-1} (-)_n} \\ \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_1} \dots \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_{n-1}} \bar{\pi}_{\mathcal{A}'_n} f_{\pm}(\omega, p)_{(+)_1 \dots (+)_{n-1} (+)_n} \end{pmatrix} + \text{additional terms}. \quad (109)$$

Each row in the ‘‘additional terms’’ is composed of products of the π ’s and the ω ’s and every one of the terms contains at least one $\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \omega_A$ or $\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'}$. Two conclusions follow immediately from (109). First, all additional terms vanish on the massless boundary. Second, a higher-rank ω -spinor is defined by

$$\dots \omega^{A_k} \dots \bar{\omega}^{A'_l} \dots \psi_{\pm}(p) \dots_{A_k \dots A'_l \dots} = f_{\pm}(\omega, p) \dots_{(-) \dots (+) \dots} =: f_{\pm}(\omega, p) \dots_{0 \dots 1 \dots} \quad (110)$$

since the additional terms are annihilated by the transvection with $\dots \omega^{A_k} \dots \bar{\omega}^{A'_l} \dots$. The part explicitly shown in (109) is typical of massless fields in both twistor [33] and Fourier form [36]. For $p \cdot p = 0$ the passive transformations discussed in the next section are reducible and each row of (109) transforms independently.

A symmetry of a BW-spinor determines (and is determined by) the corresponding symmetry of $\psi_{\pm}(p) \dots_{A_k \dots A'_l \dots}$.

C. On-shell amplitudes

The on-shell amplitudes are obtained from the off-shell ones by putting $p \cdot p = m^2$ and $p = p_m$ in the above formulas so do not require a separate treatment. The normalization condition for $\Omega_{\pm}^A(t, p)_{\alpha}$ used in the definition of amplitudes does not involve any integration and therefore is identical to the one for $\Omega_{\pm}^A(t, p_m)_{\alpha}$.

D. Positive-definite scalar products in momentum space in terms of BW-spinors

Let f and g denote amplitudes corresponding to the rank- n bispinors ψ and ϕ . The products (66) and (67) have a simple BW-spinor representation.

1. Off-shell products

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 p \zeta^{A_1 B_1} \dots \zeta^{A_n B_n} & \left(\bar{f}_+(t, p)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^+ g_+(t, p)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^+ + \bar{f}_+(t, p)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^- g_+(t, p)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^- \right. \\ & \left. + \bar{f}_-(t, p)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^+ g_+(t, p)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^+ + \bar{f}_-(t, p)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^- g_+(t, p)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^- \right). \quad (111) \end{aligned}$$

2. On-shell products

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{m^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2|p_m^0|} \zeta^{A_1 B_1} \dots \zeta^{A_n B_n} & \left(\bar{f}_+(t, p_m)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^+ g_+(t, p_m)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^+ + \bar{f}_+(t, p_m)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^- g_+(t, p_m)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^- \right. \\ & \left. + \bar{f}_-(t, p_m)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^+ g_+(t, p_m)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^+ + \bar{f}_-(t, p_m)_{A_1 \dots A_n}^- g_+(t, p_m)_{B_1 \dots B_n}^- \right). \quad (112) \end{aligned}$$

VII. PASSIVE TRANSFORMATIONS OF ω -SPINORS

In this section we formulate the main result of this work: The manifestly covariant version of unitary representations in terms of the passive transformations of ω -spinors. The general t -spinor form can be obtained by the unitary similarity transformation (99) and will not be explicitly discussed. Alternatively, it could be directly obtained with the help of (49) and (50). The complicated formulas (49) and (50) show the scale of simplification obtained by the choice of $t = \omega$.

A. Rank-1 ω -spinors

Consider the active Poincaré transformations (86)–(89) of eigenvectors of $S(\omega(p), p)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega(p), p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{+} &\rightarrow e^{\mp i p \cdot x} S_{\alpha}^{\beta} \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{\pm}(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{+} \\ &= e^{\mp i p \cdot x} \Omega_{\pm}^A(S\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{+} \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

$$=: \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}(x, S) f_{\pm}(\omega(p), p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{+}, \quad (114)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega(p), p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-} &\rightarrow e^{\pm i p \cdot x} S_{\alpha}^{\beta} \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\beta} f_{\pm}(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-} \\ &= e^{\pm i p \cdot x} \Omega_{\pm}^A(S\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} f_{\pm}(\omega(S^{-1}p), S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-} \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

$$=: \Omega_{\pm}^A(\omega(p), p)_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}(x, S) f_{\pm}(\omega(p), p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-}. \quad (116)$$

We have used here the definitions (39)–(41), (146), (147) and transformation properties (53), (54). The active Poincaré transformations of the bispinors generate the corresponding passive transformations of the ω -spinors. To simplify notation we shall concentrate on the nontrivial, $SL(2, C)$ part of the Poincaré transformations (with $x = 0$). From now on we shall write all ω -spinors $f(\omega(p), p)$ simply as $f(p)$. This will not lead to ambiguities since no other t -spinors will be considered. The bispinor formulas can be written in terms of 2-spinors (cf. (91)) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{array}{c} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \omega_A(p) \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'}(p) \end{array} \right) \mathcal{U}(0, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{i}} + \left(\begin{array}{c} -\pi_A(p) \\ \mp \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'}(p) \end{array} \right) \mathcal{U}(0, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{o}} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} S \omega_A(p) \\ -\bar{S} \pi_{A'}(p) \end{array} \right) f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\dot{i}} + \left(\begin{array}{c} -S \pi_A(p) \\ \mp \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \bar{S} \omega_{A'}(p) \end{array} \right) f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\dot{o}} \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

and the explicit matrix form of the passive transformation is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}(0, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{o}} \\ \mathcal{U}(0, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\dot{i}} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \omega_A(p) S \pi^A(p) & \mp \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \omega_A(p) S \omega^A(p) \\ \pm \sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}} \bar{\omega}_{A'}(p) \bar{S} \omega^{A'}(p) & \bar{\omega}_{A'}(p) \bar{S} \pi^{A'}(p) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\dot{o}} \\ f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\dot{i}} \end{array} \right). \quad (118)$$

An arbitrary passive Poincaré transformation of the ω -spinors can be written as

$$\mathcal{U}(x, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{+} = e^{\mp i p \cdot x} U_{\pm}(S, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{B}}^{+}, \quad (119)$$

$$\mathcal{U}(x, S) f_{\pm}(p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{-} = e^{\pm i p \cdot x} U_{\pm}(S, p)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} f_{\pm}(S^{-1}p)_{\mathcal{B}}^{-}. \quad (120)$$

To see that $U_{\pm}(S, p) \in SU(2)$ it is sufficient to denote $o_A = S \omega_A$, $\iota_A = S \pi_A$ and, using (144), (145) and $o_A \iota^B - \iota_A o^B = \varepsilon_A^B$, show that $\det U_{\pm}(S, p) = \omega_A \pi^A = 1$. Eqs. (119), (120) show that there are, in general, four classes of unitary representations corresponding to the four combinations of signs of “energy” and “mass”. The signs typically associated with the signs of energy (e.g. in the on-shell version of the Dirac equation) are those occurring in the off-diagonal elements of the transformation matrix $U_{\pm}(S, p)$. The two matrices $U_{\pm}(S, p)$ reduce to a single, diagonal $SU(2)$ matrix for $p \cdot p = 0$ and the four representations reduce to two. The fact that for $p \cdot p = 0$ the transformation becomes reducible and is a direct sum of one dimensional representations is well known in representation theory [52–54]. Massless irreducible unitary representations are typically obtained via induction from unitary representations of $SE(2)$ which are either one-dimensional or infinite-dimensional. Our approach shows that even the massless (discrete spin) representations can be regarded as induced from $SU(2)$ but for the price of reducibility which is not regarded as fundamentally important in this work. The fact that the massless limit eliminates one of the signs and allows for superpositions of states which are forbidden for $m \neq 0$ resembles an analogous phenomenon of vanishing of *charge* for particles of mass zero.

B. Complex conjugated ω -spinors

The unitarity conditions (105), (106), and the transformation rules (119), (120) imply that, in addition to (94) and (95),

$$\overline{f_{\pm}(p)_0^{\dagger}} = \bar{f}_{\mp}(p)_1^- \quad (121)$$

$$\overline{f_{\pm}(p)_1^{\dagger}} = \bar{f}_{\mp}(p)_0^- \quad (122)$$

$$\overline{f_{\pm}(p)_0^-} = \bar{f}_{\mp}(p)_1^+ \quad (123)$$

$$\overline{f_{\pm}(p)_1^-} = \bar{f}_{\mp}(p)_0^+ \quad (124)$$

C. Higher-rank ω -spinors

Higher-rank ω -spinors are obtained by taking tensor products of rank-1 representations. This requires no further comments since one can apply the standard $SU(2)$ -spinor methods.

D. Proof of $\mathcal{U}(0, S_1)\mathcal{U}(0, S_2) = \mathcal{U}(0, S_1 S_2)$

The passive transformations are unitary. The fact that they form a representation follows directly from the way we obtained them: We have started from active spinor transformations that have the representation property. Therefore one way of proving that $\mathcal{U}(x, S)$ is a representation is to switch from the BW-spinor level to bispinors, apply the standard 2-spinor formalism, and then return again to BW-spinors. It is interesting and instructive, however, to see how the manifestly covariant spinor techniques allow to prove this directly at the BW-spinor level without any reference to bispinors and active spinor transformations.

We shall concentrate on the $SL(2, C)$ part of the proof. If $\phi_A(p)$ and $\psi_A(p)$ are arbitrary spinor fields then

$$\phi_A(S_1^{-1}p)S_2\psi^A(S_1^{-1}p) = S_1\phi_A(p)S_1S_2\psi^A(p). \quad (125)$$

Applying this identity to the spin frames we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}(0, S_1)[\mathcal{U}(0, S_2)f]_{\pm}(p)_{\ddot{0}} \\ \mathcal{U}(0, S_1)[\mathcal{U}(0, S_2)f]_{\pm}(p)_{\ddot{1}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A(p)S_1\pi^A(p) & \mp\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}}\omega_A(p)S_1\omega^A(p) \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}}\bar{\omega}_{A'}(p)\overline{S_1\omega^{A'}}(p) & \bar{\omega}_{A'}(p)\overline{S_1\pi^{A'}}(p) \end{pmatrix} \\ & \times \begin{pmatrix} S_1\omega_B(p)S_1S_2\pi^B(p) & \mp\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}}S_1\omega_B(p)S_1S_2\omega^B(p) \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{p \cdot p}{2}}\overline{S_1\omega_{B'}}(p)\overline{S_1S_2\omega^{B'}}(p) & \overline{S_1\omega_{B'}}(p)\overline{S_1S_2\pi^{B'}}(p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{\pm}((S_1S_2)^{-1}p)_{\ddot{0}} \\ f_{\pm}((S_1S_2)^{-1}p)_{\ddot{1}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

To complete the proof one uses the following two sequences of identities following from (144), (145):

$$\omega_A S_1 \pi^A S_1 \omega_B S_1 S_2 \pi^B - \frac{p \cdot p}{2} \omega_A S_1 \omega^A \overline{S_1 \omega_{B'}} \overline{S_1 S_2 \omega^{B'}} = \omega_A (S_1 \pi^A S_1 \omega_B - S_1 \omega^A S_1 \pi_B) S_1 S_2 \pi^B = \omega_A S_1 S_2 \pi^A \quad (127)$$

$$\omega_A S_1 \pi^A S_1 \omega_B S_1 S_2 \omega^B + \omega_A S_1 \omega^A S_1 S_2 \omega_B S_1 \pi^B = \omega_A (S_1 \pi^A S_1 \omega_B - S_1 \omega^A S_1 \pi_B) S_1 S_2 \omega^B = \omega_A S_1 S_2 \omega^A. \quad (128)$$

Some care is needed on the massless boundary $p \cdot p = 0$ where we can take advantage of proportionality of π_A and $S\pi_A$ implied by (144).

E. Generators

Let $J^{ab} = S^{ab} + L^{ab}$ and P^a denote generators of a spinor representation of the Poincaré group. Here S^{ab} are the generators of $(1/2, 0)$ or $(0, 1/2)$ spinor representations of $SL(2, C)$, L^{ab} is the orbital part which occurs independently of spin, and P^a generate space-time translations. The corresponding generators of the unitary representation will be denoted by calligraphic letters:

$$\mathcal{P}^a = \pm p^a \quad \text{for (119),} \quad (129)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^a = \mp p^a \quad \text{for (120),} \quad (130)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}^{ab}{}_{\mathcal{A}}{}^{\mathcal{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \omega_X(p)J^{abXY}\pi_Y(p) & \mp\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\omega_X(p)J^{abXY}\omega_Y(p) \\ \pm\sqrt{\frac{p\cdot p}{2}}\bar{\omega}_{X'}(p)J^{abX'Y'}\bar{\omega}_{Y'}(p) & \bar{\omega}_{X'}(p)J^{abX'Y'}\bar{\pi}_{Y'}(p) \end{array} \right) + L^{ab}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}{}^{\mathcal{B}} \quad (131)$$

for both (119) and (120). The off- and on-shell representations differ in the forms of L^{ab} . In the unitary representation case this concerns also the BW-spinor part which contains matrix elements of J^{ab} taken between the spin-frame spinors. The on-shell version of L^{ab} depends on the choice of the reference frame which defines \mathbf{p} . The off-shell generators are fully manifestly covariant. Using the explicit forms of the spinor off-shell generators

$$J^{ab}{}_{X}{}^Y = i(p^a\partial^b - p^b\partial^a)\varepsilon_X{}^Y + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{A'B'}(\varepsilon^A{}_X\varepsilon^{BY} + \varepsilon^B{}_X\varepsilon^{AY}), \quad (132)$$

$$J^{ab}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'} = i(p^a\partial^b - p^b\partial^a)\varepsilon_{X'}{}^{Y'} + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{AB}(\varepsilon^{A'}{}_{X'}\varepsilon^{B'Y'} + \varepsilon^{B'}{}_{X'}\varepsilon^{A'Y'}), \quad (133)$$

and the identity (150), we obtain

$$\omega_X J^{abXY}\pi_Y = \frac{i}{2}(\varepsilon^{A'B'}\omega^{(A}\pi^{B)} - \varepsilon^{AB}\bar{\omega}^{(A'}\bar{\pi}^{B')}) + \frac{i}{2}\omega_X(p^a\partial^b - p^b\partial^a)\pi^X - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\omega}_{X'}(p^a\partial^b - p^b\partial^a)\bar{\pi}^{X'} \quad (134)$$

which explicitly shows that the matrix in (131) is Hermitian. The same argument can be used to show Hermiticity in the on-shell version. The form (131) seems to be the first manifestly covariant version of generators of the unitary representations which can be found in literature. A review of other explicit forms of the generators is given in [20]. Let me also remark here that one of the advantages of the ω -spinor approach lies in obtaining directly and explicitly an “integrated” form of the representation. It is easy to find generators once we have the integrated representation. The opposite direction is usually much more complicated. The typical forms of integrated representations are given in terms of exponents [21,22]. The ω -spinor approach results in a form in which the (implicit) exponents are already evaluated.

VIII. OFF-SHELL FIELDS ON THE POINCARÉ GROUP

We have briefly used the Minkowski 4-position representation to relate the spin-energy projectors to Bargmann-Wigner wave equations and to motivate the 4-momentum form of active Poincaré transformations. The 4-position representation played a role of a formal tool essentially void of any special physical importance. From a group representation point of view the x -dependent factors $e^{\pm ip\cdot x}$ are representations of translations by x and there is basically no reason to use only the four out of the ten parameters of the Poincaré group in transition to a “position” representation. This observation is a departure point of the formalisms developed by Lurçat [55] and Toller [56,57]. The version given below is naturally implied by the BW-spinor formalism. Let $g = (x, S)$ be an element of the Poincaré group, $S = S(y) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}y^{ab}J_{ab}}$, and

$$\mathcal{U}(x, S(y)) =: \mathcal{U}(x, y) =: \mathcal{U}(g) = e^{-ix^a\mathcal{P}_a - \frac{i}{2}y^{ab}J_{ab}}. \quad (135)$$

We define the *right* off-shell generalized position representation as

$$f_{\dots}(x, y)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} = f_{\dots}^R(g)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4p \mathcal{U}(x, y) f_{\dots}(p)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}}. \quad (136)$$

The definition implies

$$f_{\dots}^R(g_1 g_2)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{U}(g_2) f_{\dots}^R(g_1)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} \quad (137)$$

and the Poincaré group acts in this position space in terms of a right regular representation. Analogously we define the *left* off-shell generalized position representation as

$$f_{\dots}^L(x, y)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} = f_{\dots}^L(g)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4p \mathcal{U}^{-1}(x, y) f_{\dots}(p)_{\ddot{\mathcal{A}}}. \quad (138)$$

which implies

$$f_{\dots}(g_1^{-1}g_2)_{\ddot{A}} = \mathcal{U}(g_1)f_{\dots}^L(g_2)_{\ddot{A}} \quad (139)$$

and the group acts in terms of its left regular representation.

The off-shell scalar products have the following position representation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^4x \zeta^{A_1 \mathcal{B}_1} \dots \zeta^{A_n \mathcal{B}_n} \left(\bar{f}_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^+ g_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^+ + \bar{f}_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^- g_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^- \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \bar{f}_-(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^+ g_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^+ + \bar{f}_-(x, y)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^- g_+(x, y)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^- \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4p \zeta^{A_1 \mathcal{B}_1} \dots \zeta^{A_n \mathcal{B}_n} \left(\bar{f}_+(p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^+ g_+(p)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^+ + \bar{f}_+(p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^- g_+(p)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^- \right) \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

$$+ \bar{f}_-(p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^+ g_+(p)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^+ + \bar{f}_-(p)_{\mathcal{A}_1 \dots \mathcal{A}_n}^- g_+(p)_{\mathcal{B}_1 \dots \mathcal{B}_n}^- \quad (141)$$

and we automatically avoid the well known problems with the position representation of the ordinary on-shell fields. Notice that we do not integrate over y as the 4-position probability density is y -independent so that the y 's play a role of internal degrees of freedom. The pair (x, y) plays a role of an extended configuration space consisting of time, position, velocity and angles. This should not be confused with various phase-spaces associated with frames and wavelets [40–42, 38, 39, 43]. Scalar product (140) is positive-definite and is a natural alternative to the indefinite metric used in the off-shell approaches to the Dirac equation [15–18]. This kind of product was proposed by Horwitz and Piron in [9] but their wave functions were zero-spin and y -independent. Local expressions can be obtained also in the on-shell theory but one has to consider analytically continued fields and wavelets [38, 39].

IX. SUMMARY AND COMMENTS

We have started with the field of spin-frames $(\omega_A(p), \pi_A(p))$ associated with p , and used them to simplify the eigenvalue problem for the P-L vector projection in a direction given by a world-vector t . As opposed to the standard treatments where t is a time direction (the same for all p 's) our t is, in general, p -dependent and timelike, spacelike or null. The corresponding eigenstates play a role of a basis used to define the (Bargmann-Wigner) amplitudes. The BW-amplitudes are what one usually calls the *noncovariant Wigner states* obtained via induction from little groups of fixed 4-momenta. Our construction does not use the induction procedure, is manifestly covariant and in addition works simultaneously for both massive and massless cases. The case of imaginary mass can be formulated in an analogous way but for technical reasons it has to be treated separately so we do not do it here. The amplitudes transform as scalars under active $SL(2, C)$ transformations. From the viewpoint of passive transformations the amplitudes are local $SU(2)$ spinors and for this reason we term them the BW-spinors. Of particular interest are special BW-spinors (ω -spinors) which are associated with the flagpole directions of the spin-frame field $\omega_A(p)$. We show by an explicit spinor calculation that the unitary passive transformations form a representation. We explicitly find its generators and discuss a generalized off-shell position representation in terms of fields on the Poincaré group. Although we occasionally use the numerical BW-indices to make objects such as ζ explicit, the whole construction can be understood also as an abstract index one. To be able to do this we first had to introduce a bispinor index notation which is somehow in-between the familiar 2-spinor and twistor conventions.

The fact that our ω -spinors resemble in many respects the spin-weighted spherical harmonics of Newman and Penrose [32] can be used to find the ω -spinor version of harmonics but this will not be discussed in this paper. The problem of surface harmonics formulation of the unitary representations is described in the works of Moses [49] but his version does not satisfy our standards of manifest covariance.

X. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Parts of this work were done during my stays at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, University of Massachusetts at Lowell and Oaxtepec, Mexico. I'm indebted to David E. Pritchard, Gerald Kaiser and Bogdan Mielnik for hospitality, support and exceptional scientific atmosphere they managed to create. I gratefully acknowledge many hours of extensive and inspiring discussions on the subject with G. Kaiser.

XI. APPENDICES

A. Bispinor abstract index convention

The convention I use is the following. To any Greek abstract index there corresponds a pair of Latin ones written down in a lexicographic order. For example

$$F_{\alpha}{}^{\beta'}{}_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{A}{}^{B'}{}_{C} \\ F_{A}{}^{B'}{}_{C'} \\ F_{A}{}^B{}_C \\ F_{A}{}^B{}_{C'} \\ F_{A'}{}^{B'}{}_C \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}; \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha}{}^{\beta'} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_A{}^{B'} \\ \varepsilon_A{}^B \\ \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \\ \varepsilon_{A'}{}^B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_A{}^B \\ \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Bispinors of any rank are written as columns. This concerns also Dirac gamma matrices and spin and energy projectors which normally would be written in a matrix form. Any permutation preserving the lexicographic rule induces a natural isomorphism, say, $F_{\alpha}{}^{\beta'}{}_{\gamma} \rightarrow F_{\alpha'}{}^{\beta'}{}_{\gamma}$ where the latter bispinor would begin with $F_{A'}{}^{B'}{}_C$. In particular

$$\psi_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_A \\ \psi_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\alpha'} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_{A'} \\ \bar{\psi}_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_A \\ \bar{\psi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (142)$$

The bispinor summation convention is illustrated by $G^{\alpha}H_{\alpha} = G^A H_A + G^{A'} H_{A'} = G^{\alpha'} H_{\alpha'}$.

B. General properties of spin-frames associated with 4-momenta

Consider two spin-frame fields $(\omega_A(p), \pi_A(p))$ and $(o_A(p), \iota_A(p))$ satisfying (30)

$$p^a = \pi^A(p)\bar{\pi}^{A'}(p) + \frac{p \cdot p}{2}\omega^A(p)\bar{\omega}^{A'}(p) = \iota^A(p)\bar{\iota}^{A'}(p) + \frac{p \cdot p}{2}o^A(p)\bar{o}^{A'}(p). \quad (143)$$

Transvecting (143) with $\pi_A(p)\bar{o}_{A'}(p)$ or $\omega_A(p)\bar{o}_{A'}(p)$ we obtain

$$\pi_A(p)\iota^A(p) = \frac{p \cdot p}{2}\bar{\omega}_{A'}(p)\bar{o}^{A'}(p), \quad (144)$$

$$\omega_A(p)\iota^A(p) = \bar{o}_{A'}(p)\bar{\pi}^{A'}(p). \quad (145)$$

Eq. (143) implies that the field of spin-frames is a spinor field, i.e. the pair $(S\omega_A(p), S\pi_A(p))$ where

$$S\omega_A(p) = S_A{}^B\omega_B(S^{-1}p) \quad (146)$$

$$S\pi_A(p) = S_A{}^B\pi_B(S^{-1}p) \quad (147)$$

is a spin-frame satisfying (143).

Transvecting (143) with $\pi_A(p)$ or $\omega_A(p)$ we find that

$$\pi_A(p)p^{AA'} = -\frac{p \cdot p}{2}\bar{\omega}^{A'}(p), \quad (148)$$

$$\omega_A(p)p^{AA'} = \bar{\pi}^{A'}(p), \quad (149)$$

which imply $(\partial^b = \partial/\partial p_b)$

$$\omega_A(p)\partial^b\pi^A(p) + \bar{\omega}_{A'}(p)\partial^b\bar{\pi}^{A'}(p) = \omega^B(p)\bar{\omega}^{B'}(p). \quad (150)$$

C. Explicit example of decomposition of p in terms of spin-frames

Consider an arbitrary p -independent spinor $\nu^A \neq 0$. Let $\omega^a = \omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'}$, $\pi^a = \pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'}$, where

$$\omega^A = \frac{\nu^A}{\sqrt{p^{BB'} \nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'}}} = \omega^A(\nu, p) \quad (151)$$

$$\pi^A = \frac{p^{AA'} \bar{\nu}_{A'}}{\sqrt{p^{BB'} \nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'}}} = \pi^A(\nu, p). \quad (152)$$

These spin frames are defined globally for timelike p since $p \cdot \nu$ never vanishes. For null p we can use

$$\pi^A(\nu, p) = \frac{p^{AA'} \bar{\nu}_{A'}}{\sqrt{p^{BB'} \nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'}}}, \quad (153)$$

$$\omega^A(\nu, n, p) = -\frac{n^{AA'} \bar{\pi}_{A'}(\nu, p)}{n^a p_a}, \quad (154)$$

where n is timelike. The spin-frames satisfy (30).

- [1] E. P. Wigner, "On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group", *Ann. Math.* **40**, 39 (1939).
- [2] A. O. Barut and R. Rączka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1980).
- [3] Y. Ohnuki, *Unitary Representations of the Poincaré Group and Relativistic Wave Equations* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [4] Y. S. Kim and M. E. Noz, *Theory and Applications of the Poincaré Group* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986);
- [5] U. H. Niederer and L. O'RaiFeartaigh, "Realizations of the unitary representations of the inhomogeneous space-time groups (I): General structure", *Fortschr. Phys.* **22**, 111 (1974).
- [6] U. H. Niederer and L. O'RaiFeartaigh, "Realizations of the unitary representations of the inhomogeneous space-time groups (II): Covariant realizations of the Poincaré group", *Fortschr. Phys.* **22**, 131 (1974).
- [7] U. H. Niederer, "Massless fields as unitary representations of the Poincaré group", *Fortschr. Phys.* **27**, 191 (1979).
- [8] N. Mukunda and R. Simon, "Manifestly covariant forms for Lorentz group unitary representations", *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 5170 (1995).
- [9] L. P. Horwitz and C. Piron, "Relativistic dynamics", *Helv. Phys. Acta* **46**, 316 (1973)
- [10] N. Shnerb and L. P. Horwitz, "Canonical quantization of four- and five-dimensional $U(1)$ gauge theories", *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 4068 (1993).
- [11] R. Arshansky and L. P. Horwitz, "The Landau-Peierls relation and a causal bound in covariant relativistic quantum theory", *Found. Phys.* **15**, 701 (1985).
- [12] M. C. Land and L. P. Horwitz, "Green's functions for off-shell electromagnetism and spacelike correlations", *Found. Phys.* **21**, 299 (1991).
- [13] L. P. Horwitz, "On the definition and evolution of states in relativistic classical and quantum mechanics", *Found. Phys.* **22**, 421 (1992).
- [14] A. Arensburg and L. P. Horwitz, "A first-order equation for spin in a manifestly relativistically covariant quantum theory", *Found. Phys.* **22**, 1025 (1992).
- [15] J. P. Aparicio, F. H. Gaioli, and E. T. Garcia Alvarez, "Proper time derivatives in quantum mechanics", *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 96 (1995).
- [16] J. P. Aparicio, F. H. Gaioli, and E. T. Garcia Alvarez, "Interpretation of the evolution parameter of the Feynman parametrization of the Dirac equation", *Phys. Lett. A* **200**, 233 (1995).
- [17] F. H. Gaioli and E. T. Garcia Alvarez, "The problem of time in parametrized theories", *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **26**, 1267 (1994).
- [18] M. Czachor and M. Kuna, "Off-shell indefinite-metric triple-bracket generalization of the Dirac equation", in *XXI Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, ed. by H.-D. Doebner *et al.* — in print.
- [19] J. C. Guillot and J. L. Petit, "Nouvelles formes des représentations unitaires irréductibles du groupe de Poincaré", part I, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **39**, 282 (1966); part II, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **39**, 300 (1966).
- [20] J. Beckers and M. Jaspers, "On timelike, lightlike, and spacelike realizations of Poincaré generators", *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **113**, 237 (1978).

- [21] H. E. Moses, "Integration of the infinitesimal generators of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group and application to the transformation of the wave function", *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **41**, 158 (1967).
- [22] V. I. Ritus, "Transformations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group and the relativistic kinematics of polarized states", *Sov. Phys. JETP* **13**, 240 (1961).
- [23] M. H. L. Pryce, "The mass-centre in the restricted theory of relativity and its connexion with the quantum theory of elementary particles", *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A195**, 62 (1948).
- [24] G. N. Fleming, "Covariant position operators, spin, and locality", *Phys. Rev.* **137**, B188 (1965).
- [25] H. Bacry, "The position operator revisited", *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **49**, 245 (1988).
- [26] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, "Localized states for elementary systems", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 400 (1949).
- [27] A. Z. Jadczyk and B. Jancewicz, "Maximal localizability of photons", *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **21**, 477 (1973).
- [28] I. Białynicki-Birula, "On the wave function of the photon", *Acta Phys. Polon. A* **86**, 97 (1994).
- [29] I. Białynicki-Birula, "The photon wave function", in *Coherence and Quantum Optics VII*, ed. by J. H. Eberly, L. Mandel, and E. Wolf, Plenum Press, New York (1996).
- [30] I. Białynicki-Birula and Z. Białynicka-Birula, "Berry's phase in relativistic theory of spinning particles", *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 2383 (1987).
- [31] V. G. Bagrov and D. M. Gitman *Exact solutions of relativistic wave equations* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990).
- [32] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, 1984).
- [33] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1986).
- [34] D. J. Almond, "The mass-shell and gauge-fixing conditions for the free relativistic massive quantum particle", *Z. Phys. C* **15**, 71 (1982).
- [35] D. J. Almond, "Off-mass-shell massless particles and the Weyl group in light-cone coordinates", *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15**, 743 (1982).
- [36] N. J. M. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*, 2-nd edition (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994)
- [37] V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, "Group theoretical discussion of relativistic wave equations", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **34**, 211 (1948).
- [38] G. Kaiser, *Quantum Physics, Relativity, and Complex Spacetime* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
- [39] G. Kaiser, *A Friendly Guide to Wavelets* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994).
- [40] G. Kaiser, "Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (I): Coherent-state representations of the Poincaré group", *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 952 (1977).
- [41] G. Kaiser, "Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (II): Geometrical aspects", *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 502 (1978).
- [42] G. Kaiser, "Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (III): Quantization, relativity, localization and gauge freedom", *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 705 (1981).
- [43] E. Prugovečki, *Quantum geometry* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992).
- [44] H. E. Moses, "Reduction of the electromagnetic vector potential to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group and manifestly covariant quantization with a positive-definite metric for the Hilbert space", *Nuovo Cim. A* **42**, 757 (1966).
- [45] H. E. Moses, "Reduction of the electromagnetic vector potential to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group (II): Quantization of the electromagnetic vector potential in an angular momentum basis", *Nuovo Cim. A* **48**, 43 (1967).
- [46] H. E. Moses, "Photon wave functions and the exact electromagnetic matrix elements for hydrogenic atoms", *Phys. Rev. A* **8**, 1710 (1973).
- [47] H. E. Moses, "Reduction of relativistic wavefunctions to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group (I): Nonzero mass components", *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 1134 (1967).
- [48] H. E. Moses, "Reduction of relativistic wavefunctions to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group (II): Zero-mass components", *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 16 (1968).
- [49] H. E. Moses, "Generalized surface harmonics", *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **41**, 166 (1967).
- [50] I. Białynicki-Birula and Z. Białynicka-Birula, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1976).
- [51] M. Czachor, "Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm experiment with relativistic massive particles", *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 72 (1997).
- [52] D. R. Robinson, "Zero-mass representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group", *Helv. Phys. Acta* **35**, 98 (1961).
- [53] F. Coester, "Particles with zero mass and particles with 'small' mass", *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 2816 (1963).
- [54] E. Angelopoulos, F. Bayen, and M. Flato, "On the localizability of massless particles", *Phys. Scr.* **9**, 173 (1974).
- [55] F. Lurçat, "Quantum field theory and the dynamical role of spin", *Physics* **1**, 95 (1964).
- [56] M. Toller, "Classical field theory in the space of reference frames", *Nuovo Cim. B* **44**, 67 (1978).
- [57] M. Toller, "Free quantum fields on the Poincaré group", University of Trento preprint (1995)