

# Toda-like Systems:

Solutions and Symmetries

Liu CHAO

Institute of Modern Physics  
Northwest University  
Xian 710069, China

June 1995



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 What are Toda-like systems?	1
1.2 Why study Toda-like systems	7
1.3 What are included	8
<b>2 Free Field Representation of Two-Extended Principal Conformal Toda Theory</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Exchange algebra: the $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$ basis	17
2.3 The $(\mu, \nu)$ - $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$ basis and diagonal monodromies	24
2.4 The conjugate variables of $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes	28
2.5 Local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT fields	31
2.6 The $(\chi, \omega)$ - $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\omega})$ basis	36
2.7 Relating the $(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ basis	38
2.8 Discussions	40
Appendix	41
Bibliography	45
<b>3 Heterotic Toda Fields</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1 Introduction	47
3.2 The heterotic Toda model	49
3.3 Exchange algebra and Leznov-Saveliev analysis	52
3.3.1 Existence of chiral vectors	52
3.3.2 Exchange algebra for the chiral vectors	54
3.3.3 Leznov-Saveliev analysis	55
3.3.4 Sketch for a free field representation	58

3.4	Special solution and WZNW model . . . . .	61
3.4.1	Wronskian type special solution . . . . .	62
3.4.2	Connections with WZNW theory . . . . .	65
3.5	Connections with Toda lattice hierarchy . . . . .	70
3.6	Summary and Discussions . . . . .	72
	Bibliography . . . . .	73
<b>4</b>	<b>Heterotic Liouville systems from Bernoulli equation</b>	<b>75</b>
	Bibliography . . . . .	83
<b>5</b>	<b>Two-Extended Toda Fields in Three-Dimensions</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	85
5.2	Review of the continuum Lie algebras . . . . .	87
5.3	Two-extended Toda model in three-dimensions . . . . .	88
5.4	Fundamental Poisson relation . . . . .	93
5.5	Exchange algebra and dressing transformation . . . . .	96
5.6	Formal solutions via Leznov-Saveliev analysis [16] . . . . .	98
5.7	Concluding remarks . . . . .	100
	Bibliography . . . . .	101
<b>6</b>	<b>Connections of <math>W_N^{(l)}</math> algebras and exchange algebra</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	103
6.2	$W$ algebra versus CEA: the general scheme . . . . .	104
6.3	$W_4^{(2)}$ as an example . . . . .	107
6.4	Summary . . . . .	110
	Bibliography . . . . .	113
	<b>Index</b>	<b>113</b>

# Abstract

This report consists of six independent chapters, each is summarized as follows.

**Chapter 1** This chapter is an introduction of the whole report. It is mainly devoted to the introduction of infinite varieties of Toda theories. It also briefly mentioned why and how this report is composed.

**Chapter 2** A free field representation for the two-extended principal conformal Toda (2EPCT) theory based on simply-laced even-rank Lie algebras is given. It is shown that the classical chiral exchange algebra for such theories can be reconstructed from free chiral bosons via Drinfeld-Sokolov linear systems and the local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT fields can also be recovered. Most of the results are in analogy to the standard Toda case and thus are expected to carry over to the quantum case, whereas the exchange algebra is a bit more complicated due to some additional  $\delta$ -function terms and the more degrees of freedom.

**Chapter 3** A non-left-right symmetric conformal integrable Toda field theory is constructed. It is found that the conformal algebra for this model is the product of a left chiral  $W_{r+1}$  algebra and a right chiral  $W_{r+1}^{(2)}$  algebra. The general classical solution is constructed out of the chiral vectors satisfying the so-called classical exchange algebra. In addition, we derived an explicit wronskian type solution in relation to the constrained WZNW theory. We also showed that the  $A_\infty$  limit of this model is precisely the  $(B_2, C_1)$  flow of the standard Toda lattice hierarchy.

**Chapter 4** A new class of integrable two-dimensional partial differential equations is constructed from Bernoulli equation and called heterotic Liou-

ville systems due to the heterotic conformal symmetry. These systems are shown to possess infinite many symmetries and are related to the surfaces of non-constant Gauss curvatures in Euclidean three-space. The simplest nontrivial extension of Liouville equation is just the heterotic Toda model gauging the Witt algebra found recently.

**Chapter 5** Two-extended Toda fields associated with Saveliev-Vershik's continuum Lie algebras are studied. Such fields satisfy three-dimensional (integro-)differential equations. The structures such as fundamental Poisson relation, classical Yang-Baxter equation, chiral exchange algebra and dressing transformations are recovered, which are in complete analogy to the two-dimensional case. The formal solution is also considered using Leznov-Saveliev analysis, but this does not lead to explicit solutions because we do not have enough knowledge about the highest weight representations of the continuum Lie algebras.

**Chapter 6** General relationship between classical  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras and chiral exchange algebra is established. As an example,  $W_4^{(2)}$  algebra is reconstructed from  $A_3$  exchange algebra.

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 What are Toda-like systems?

Traditional (abelian) Toda systems are two-dimensional lattice integrable models involving exponential-type potentials. These are straightforward generalizations of the one-dimensional nonlinear dynamical model proposed by M.Toda himself in the early 1970s. The basic features of such theories can be summarized as follows:

- Interacting within a lattice (Toda lattice);
- Exponential-type potentials;
- 2d integrability, *i.e.* admitting zero-curvature formalism.

If the Toda lattice is infinite, the corresponding Toda theories are described by the so-called Toda lattice hierarchy, which is the consequence of the following infinite many zero-curvature equations for suitably defined operators  $B_n, C_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}[\partial_n - B_n, \partial_m - B_m] &= 0, \\ [\partial_n - B_n, \partial_m - C_m] &= 0, \\ [\partial_n - C_n, \partial_m - C_m] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to note that, in the simplest nontrivial case, *i.e.* the  $(B_1, C_1)$ -case, the corresponding Toda equation involves only exponential interactions, and the interactions take place only between nearest neighbours on the Toda

lattice. Another remarkable aspect is that, the conventional conformal and affine (loop) Toda field theories (these are integrable lattice *field theories* with the corresponding lattice identified with the Dynkin lattice of some Kac-Moody type Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ ) can both be obtained by appropriately reducing the  $(B_1, C_1)$ -Toda model. Using the Lie algebra techniques one can easily associate to each Kac-Moody Lie algebra (either being of the finite, loop or affine type) a Toda field theory which also has the property that only nearest neighbour interactions are involved. To achieve this one first decomposes  $\mathcal{G}$  according to the principal gradation,  $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ . Then choosing  $\mu_{\pm} = \sum_{\alpha \text{ simple}} E_{\pm\alpha}$  and defining the Lax pair

$$A_{\pm} = \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \Phi + \exp \left( \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \mu_{\pm} \right], \quad \Phi = \sum \phi^i H_i, \quad (1.1)$$

it follows from the zero-curvature equation  $[\partial_+ - A_+, \partial_- - A_-] = 0$  that

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \Phi = [\mu_+, \exp(\text{ad} \Phi) \mu_-].$$

Since the Lax pair (1) take values in the subspaces  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm 1)}$  respectively, we shall denote the corresponding Toda equation (2) as  $(1, 1)$ -Toda fields.

The  $(1, 1)$ -Toda field theory can be generalized along two directions. One is to allow the theory to contain certain non-exponential interactions and some non-nearest neighbour exponential interactions, the other is to consider the non Kac-Moody gauge algebras, which can possibly depend on one or several continuous parameter(s). Let us consider these two kinds of generalizations in the sequence.

First let us keep the Kac-Moody type algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  as gauge algebra and generalize the notion of  $(1, 1)$ -Toda fields to the case of  $(n, m)$ -Toda fields. Formally this can be achieved by enlarging the range of values of the Lax pair  $A_{\pm}$  to the subspaces  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(n)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(-m)}$  with  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . However in each distinguished case one need to pay some careful work on choosing appropriate constants  $\mu_+^{(n)}$  and  $\mu_-^{(-m)}$  which are analogues of the  $\mu_{\pm}$  in the  $(1, 1)$ -Toda case. Generally one can choose as  $\mu_+^{(n)}$  any element in  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$  which has the property that  $\text{Ker}(\text{ad} \mu_+^{(n)}) \cap \mathcal{G}_{-n} = \{0\}$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_{-n}$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisted of the subspaces  $\mathcal{G}^{(i)}$  with  $i \leq -n$ . Similarly one can choose  $\mu_-^{(-m)}$ . The only ambiguity in the above choice of  $\mu_+^{(n)}$  ( $\mu_-^{(-m)}$ , rep.) is a set of nonzero constant parameters of linear combinations of the basic generators of  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$  ( $\mathcal{G}^{(-m)}$ , rep.), which play the role of coupling constants in the corresponding Toda field theory. In the

following we shall cite two examples in which all the coupling constants are normalized to 1.

- The (2, 2)-Toda field theory. In this case the Lax pair are defined as

$$A_{\pm} = \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \Phi + \exp \left( \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\pm} + \mu_{\pm}^{(\pm 2)} \right) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\pm}^{(\pm 2)} &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{i, j: \alpha_i, \alpha_j \text{ simple}\}} \text{sign}(i - j) [E_{\pm \alpha_i}, E_{\pm \alpha_j}], \\ \bar{\Psi}_{\pm} &= \sum_{\{i: \alpha_i \text{ simple}\}} \psi_{\pm}^i E_{\mp \alpha_i}, \quad \bar{\Psi}_{\pm} = \pm [\mu_{\pm}^{(\pm 2)}, \Psi_{\pm}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the zero-curvature equation for  $A_{\pm}$  one easily finds the equations of motion, which read

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \Phi - [\bar{\Psi}_+, \exp(\text{ad} \Phi) \bar{\Psi}_-] - [\mu_+^{(2)}, \exp(\text{ad} \Phi) \mu_-^{(-2)}] &= 0, \\ \partial_- \Psi_+ &= \exp(\text{ad} \Phi) \bar{\Psi}_-, \\ \partial_+ \Psi_- &= \exp(-\text{ad} \Phi) \bar{\Psi}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the above equations of motion into the component form, we find that the fields  $\psi_{\pm}^i$  interact non-exponentially, whereas the fields  $\phi^i$  interacts exponentially but the interacting fields involves non-nearest neighbours. Further consideration would show that if the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  is of the finite or loop Kac-Moody type, the corresponding (2, 2)-Toda field theories can be obtained as reductions of the  $(B_2, C_2)$ -member of the Toda lattice hierarchy.

- The (2, 1)-Toda fields. The Lax pair read

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \Phi + \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \left( \bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu_+^{(2)} \right), \\ A_- &= - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_- \Phi + \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \mu_- \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

which yield the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+\partial_-\Phi &= [\bar{\Psi}_+, \exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\mu_-], \\ \partial_-\Psi_+ &= \exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\mu_-.\end{aligned}$$

Now the fields  $\psi_+^i$  again interact non-exponentially with the fields  $\phi^i$ , whilst the fields  $\phi^i$  interact exponentially with their nearest neighbours.

Next let us turn to consider the second way of generalizing the (1, 1)-Toda field theory, namely by gauging non Kac-Moody algebras. We shall consider two different kinds of non Kac-Moody algebras: (i) the Witt-Virasoro algebra and (ii) the so-called standard continuum contragradient Lie algebras. In both cases we shall again have the notation of  $(n, m)$ -Toda field theories, formally with the form of the Lax pairs unchanged, but with the algebraic content of the constants  $\mu^{(\pm n)}$  and the fields  $\Phi, \Psi_{\pm}$  replaced appropriately.

- Witt-Virasoro gauge algebra. This kind of gauge algebras is naturally  $Z$ -graded with each  $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$  ( $n \neq 0$ ) being one dimensional. So one can choose  $\mu_+^{(n)}$  simply to be the generator  $L_n$ , and also  $\mu_-^{(-m)}$  to be  $L_{-m}$ . Therefore, by defining

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \phi L_0, & \Psi_{\pm} &= \psi_{\pm} L_{\mp 1} \\ \mu_{\pm} &= L_{\pm 1}, & \mu_{\pm}^{(\pm 2)} &= L_{\pm 2},\end{aligned}$$

we have the following (1, 1)- (2, 1)- and (2, 2)-Toda models for the Witt algebra,

1. (1, 1)-model (Liouville model)

$$\partial_+\partial_-\phi + 2\exp(\phi) = 0;$$

2. (2, 1)-model

$$\partial_+\partial_-\phi - 6\psi_+\exp(\phi) = 0, \quad \partial_-\psi_+ - \exp(\phi) = 0;$$

## 3. (2, 2)-model

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \phi - 18\psi_+ \psi_- \exp(\phi) - 4 \exp(2\phi) &= 0, \\ \partial_- \psi_+ = 3\psi_- \exp(\phi) = 0, \quad \partial_+ \psi_- = 3\psi_+ \exp(\phi) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the case of Virasoro gauge algebra, one needs only to replace the definition of  $\Phi$  by  $\Phi = \phi L_0 + \sigma c$ , where  $c$  is the center of the algebra, which lead to similar equations but with an additional free field  $\sigma$  (in the (2, 2)-case), which is decoupled from  $\phi$  and  $\psi_{\pm}$ .

- Standard continuum contrgradient Lie algebras as gauge algebra. This kind of Lie algebra usually depends on one or several continuous parameters. The generating relations can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} [h(t), h(t')] &= 0, \quad [h(t), e_{\pm}(t')] = \pm K(t, t') e_{\pm}(t'), \\ [e_+(t), e_-(t')] &= \delta(t - t') h(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  is some  $m$ -dimensional continuous parameter, regarded as the local coordinate on some smooth manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\dim \mathcal{M} = m$ ,  $\delta(t - t') = \prod_{i=1}^m \delta(t_i - t'_i)$ . The operator  $K(t, t')$  is the Cartan operator, which is called *symmetrizable* if there exists some function  $v(t)$  such that  $K(t, t')v(t') = K(t', t)v(t)$ .

With the above gauge algebra in hand, one can now redefine the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \int dt \phi(t) h(t), \quad \Psi_{\pm} = \int dt \psi_{\pm}(t) e_{\mp}(t), \\ \mu_{\pm} &= \int dt e_{\pm}(t), \quad \mu_{\pm}^{(\pm 2)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' \Omega(t, t') [e_{\pm}(t), e_{\pm}(t')], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega(t, t')$  is some antisymmetric function of the arguments. It then follows from the above standard procedure that for each pair of given  $K(t, t')$  and  $\Omega(t, t')$  we have a complete series of  $(n, m)$ -Toda theories, the equations of which are usually  $(2 + m)$ -dimensional integro-differential equations.

Among various choices of the Cartan operator  $K(t, t')$ , two special cases seems to be of particular importance. The first case is that

when  $K(t, t')$  is purely a differential polynomial of the  $\delta$ -functions of  $t_i - t'_i$ , the other case is that when  $K(t, t')$  is symmetrizable. It can be easily seen that the first case corresponds to purely differential  $(2+m)$ -dimensional Toda field equations, and the second case implies that the corresponding Toda field models have a lagrangian formulation because that, if  $K(t, t')$  is symmetrizable, then the corresponding continuum Lie algebra yields a symmetric bilinear form,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h(t), h(t') \rangle &= K(t, t')v(t'), \\ \langle e_+(t), e_-(t') \rangle &= \delta(t - t')v(t').\end{aligned}$$

This is in complete analogy to the case of Kac-Moody gauge algebras. It should be remarked that, since the Witt-Virasoro algebra have no nontrivial bilinear symmetric form, the corresponding Toda field models do not have a lagrangian formulism.

To end this section, let us cite some of the symmetrized purely differential Cartan operators in the cases of  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1, 2$ , and, in the case of  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1$ , the first few of the simplest 3-dimensional Toda field equations.

- Symmetrized purely differential Cartan operators.

1. The case of  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}K(t, t') &= \delta(t - t'), \\ K(t, t') &= \partial_t^2 \delta(t - t'),\end{aligned}$$

2. The case of  $\dim \mathcal{M} = 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}K(t_1, t_2; t'_1, t'_2) &= \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t, t') &= \partial_{t_1} \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\delta(t_2 - t'_2) - \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2} \delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t_1, t_2; t'_1, t'_2) &= \partial_{t_1} \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2} \delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t, t') &= \partial_{t_1}^2 \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\delta(t_2 - t'_2) + \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2}^2 \delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t, t') &= \partial_{t_1}^3 \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\delta(t_2 - t'_2) - \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2}^3 \delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t_1, t_2; t'_1, t'_2) &= \partial_{t_1}^3 \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2} \delta(t_2 - t'_2), \\ K(t_1, t_2; t'_1, t'_2) &= \partial_{t_1}^2 \delta(t_1 - t'_1)\partial_{t_2}^2 \delta(t_2 - t'_2).\end{aligned}$$

- 3-dimensional Toda field equations in the case of  $K(t, t') = \partial_t^2 \delta(t - t')$  and  $\Omega(t, t') = t - t'$ .

1. (1, 1)-model

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \phi + \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi) = 0;$$

2. (2, 1)-model

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \phi - 2\partial_t \psi_+ \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi) &= 0, \\ \partial_- \psi_+ &= \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi); \end{aligned}$$

3. (2, 2)-model

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \phi - 4\partial_t \psi_- \partial_t \psi_+ \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi) + 2 \exp(-2\partial_t^2 \phi) &= 0, \\ \partial_- \psi_+ &= 2\partial_t \psi_- \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi), \\ \partial_+ \psi_- &= 2\partial_t \psi_+ \exp(-\partial_t^2 \phi). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that these final examples of Toda theories can actually be identified with the first few members of the so-called quasi-classical Toda hierarchy or continuous Toda hierarchy, and they all have the property of being conformally invariant in the  $(x_+, x_-)$ -plane. Many structures concerning the integrability of the usual Toda theories can be generalized to these cases without any difficulty, however these theories are not Lorentz invariant and thus are of interests mainly due their integrabilities and possible applications in various branches of physics.

## 1.2 Why study Toda-like systems

The answer to this question is not very easy. Different authors have different motivations on studying Toda-like systems. These systems are so rich in both mathematical and physical structures that scholars from quite a vast range of fields may all raise their interests to study them. For examples, Toda-like systems are of great importance in the studies of Hamiltonian reductions and  $W$  algebras. They are also important prototypes of integrable (two-dimensional) field theories. Toda systems played an important role in two-dimensional gravity in the conformal gauge, and are also closely related with matrix models, KdV and KP hierarchies and string theories, etc. and etc.

To speak of my personal flavor, I would like to stress that Toda-like systems are, among various integrable systems, most easy to generalize to higher

dimensions and to the case of more dynamical degrees of freedom. Toda-like systems possess the very beautiful structure of chiral exchange algebras, and such algebras are the key to construct both the explicit solutions and the  $W$  symmetry algebras of the systems themselves. There is an infinite variety of Toda-like systems. Among them, all have their common properties and each has its own special features. I would like to extract their common properties out of these special features.

### 1.3 What are included

Of course one cannot expect everything concerning Toda-like systems to be found here. The reasons are as follows. First, Toda(-like) systems have been studied for quite a long time, there were already countless literatures which reflect the most promising successes in the study of Toda(-like) systems. Second, although there were so much progress in this field, there still remains much more requirement for efforts to study it more thoroughly and systematically, and this work is quite out of my personal abilities. Last, as this report is only intended for a brief summary of my research project during the last two years, I think it should not include such materials as those were carried out by me but before the last two years. Therefore the question “what is included here” makes sense.

For the time being, I am not intended to compose a completely new book for this report. Instead, I chose several major papers during the last two years to fulfill this task. In principle, each chapter is an independent paper, with only minor modifications in the text to make the appearance of the document more fitful as a single chapter in a long report as this one. The work of all the six chapters were carried out in collaboration with other peoples, whose names should not be neglected here. They are

- Prof. Bo-yu Hou and Dr. Yan-Shen Wang (Chapter 2)
- Prof. Bo-yu Hou (Chapter 3)
- Dr. Chang-Zheng Qu (Chapter 4)
- Drs. Xiang-Mao Ding and Yan-Shen Wang (Chapter 5)
- Dr. Yan-Shen Wang (Chapter 6).

As a consequence of the way this report is composed, I gave an abstract to each chapter in the “Abstract” section instead of writing one for the whole

report. To the point of view of myself, the content of each chapter will be best reflected in this way and not any other one.



## Chapter 2

# Free Field Representation of Two-Extended Principal Conformal Toda Theory

### 2.1 Introduction

One of the basic techniques for exploring the structures and properties of conformal field theories is via free field representation. For the well-known standard Toda field theory, this problem has been studied fully by several authors both in the classical and quantum cases [1-5]. The basic idea is that for each Toda field theory, there associated two chiral Drinfeld-Sokolov (DS) linear systems, each lies in one of the two chiral sectors. The DS potentials are consisted of purely chiral bosons, thus starting from the dynamics of free bosons one can recover most of the basic features of Toda field theory, especially the local and periodic solutions of Toda fields and the normalized chiral exchange algebras which underlie the conformal and integrable properties of Toda field theory. Moreover, the exchange algebras realized from these chiral bosons have the specific property that they are completely chiral-splitted except that the zero modes of the Toda fields are shared by both chiralities [1].

In this chapter we shall consider the parallel problem for the 2EPCT model. We shall restrict ourselves to the classical case and leave the quantum problem to the next chapter in the same series.

The 2EPCT model first come from the hamiltonian reduction of WZNW theory associated with second order constraints [11], and is called bosonic

superconformal Toda model in some of our earlier chapters [11-13]. Now it is fairly well understood that many features of the standard Toda theory are shared by this model, such as the conformal invariance, chiral exchange algebra and W-algebra symmetry, *etc* [12]. The difference lies in that the former contains some extra fields of conformal dimensions  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  and thus the theory admits a fractional generalization of the usual  $W_N$  algebras, namely the  $W_N^{(2)}$  algebras.

Let us specify the problem in a more explicit fashion. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a simply-laced finite dimensional Lie algebra with the standard Chevalley generators  $\{H_i, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1}^r$ .  $\mathcal{G}$  is equipped with a natural  $\mathbf{Z}$ -gradation  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_- \oplus \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_\pm = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{G}^{(\pm n)}$ , called principal gradation, with the grading operator  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^r A^{ij} H_j$  where  $A^{ij}$  is the inverse of the Cartan matrix  $K_{ij}$  and the gradation is realized as  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{H})E_i = E_i$ ,  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{H})F_i = -F_i$ . The equations of motion for the 2EPCT model then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \Phi + [\exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\Psi}_+] + [\exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\mathcal{E}_-, \mathcal{E}_+] &= 0, \\ \partial_+ \Psi_- = \exp(-\text{ad}\Phi)\bar{\Psi}_+, \quad \partial_- \Psi_+ = \exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\bar{\Psi}_-, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $x_\pm \equiv x \pm t$  are lightcone coordinates (here  $x, t$  are the usual cylindrical world-sheet coordinates),  $\partial_\pm \equiv \partial_{x_\pm}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \sum_i \phi^i H_i \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad \Psi_+ = \sum_i \psi_+^i F_i \in \mathcal{G}^{(-1)}, \quad \Psi_- = \sum_i \psi_-^i E_i \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)}, \\ \mathcal{E}_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \text{sign}(i-j) [E_i, E_j] \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}, \\ \mathcal{E}_- &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \text{sign}(j-i) [F_i, F_j] \in \mathcal{G}^{(-2)}, \\ \bar{\Psi}_+ &= [\mathcal{E}_+, \Psi_+] \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)}, \quad \bar{\Psi}_- = [\Psi_-, \mathcal{E}_-] \in \mathcal{G}^{(-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The equations of motion can be regarded as the compatibility condition of the following linear system,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ T &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \Phi + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{ad}\Phi\right)(\bar{\Psi}_+ + \mathcal{E}_+) \right] T, \\ \partial_- T &= - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_- \Phi + \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{ad}\Phi\right)(\bar{\Psi}_- + \mathcal{E}_-) \right] T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $\lambda_{max}^{(i)}$  and  $\lambda_{max}^{(i)} - \alpha^i$  respectively denote the highest weight and next to highest weight in the  $i$ -th fundamental representation of  $G$ , with the corresponding weight states denoted by  $|\lambda_{max}^{(i)}\rangle$  and  $F_i|\lambda_{max}^{(i)}\rangle$ . It was shown in [12] that the vectors <sup>1</sup>

$$\xi_1^{(i)}(x) \equiv \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Phi) T(x), \quad \xi_2^{(i)}(x) \equiv \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i \exp(\Psi_+) \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Phi) T(x) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x) \equiv T^{-1}(x) \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Phi) |\lambda_{max}^{(i)}\rangle, \quad \bar{\xi}_2^{(i)}(x) \equiv T^{-1}(x) \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Phi) \exp(\Psi_-) F_i |\lambda_{max}^{(i)}\rangle \quad (2.5)$$

are respectively chiral and antichiral,

$$\partial_+ \bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x) = \partial_- \xi_b^{(j)}(x) = 0, \quad a, b = 1, 2.$$

Moreover they obey the following exchange relations (throughout this chapter, all the chiral quantities are assumed to be evaluated at equal time  $t = 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \xi_b^{(j)}(y)\} &= \xi_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes \xi_b^{(j)}(y) (r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)) \\ &\quad + \delta_{a,2} \delta_{b,2} \{\psi_+^i(x), \psi_+^j(y)\} \xi_1^{(i)}(x) \otimes \xi_1^{(j)}(y), \\ \{\xi_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\xi}_b^{(j)}(y)\} &= - \left( \xi_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \right) r_- \left( 1 \otimes \bar{\xi}_b^{(j)}(y) \right), \\ \{\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \xi_b^{(j)}(y)\} &= - \left( 1 \otimes \xi_b^{(j)}(y) \right) r_+ \left( \bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \right), \\ \{\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\xi}_b^{(j)}(y)\} &= (r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)) \bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\xi}_b^{(j)}(y) \\ &\quad + \delta_{a,2} \delta_{b,2} \{\psi_-^i(x), \psi_-^j(y)\} \bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\xi}_1^{(j)}(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $r_{\pm}$  are solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation,

$$\begin{aligned} r_+ &= C_0 + 2 \sum_{\alpha > 0} E_{\alpha} \otimes F_{\alpha}, \\ r_- &= -(C_0 + 2 \sum_{\alpha > 0} F_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The vectors  $\xi_{1,2}^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}_{1,2}^{(i)}$  were denoted as  $\xi^{(i)}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}^{(i)}$ ,  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\zeta}^{(i)}$  in Ref.[12].

and we have introduced the notation

$$C_0 = \sum_{i,j} A^{ij} H_i \otimes H_j$$

as it will appear repeatedly in the following context.

Notice that it is not an easy task to calculate the Poisson brackets  $\{\psi_{\pm}^i(x), \psi_{\pm}^j(y)\}$  explicitly for  $i \neq j$  [12]. This is because that the fields  $\psi_{\pm}^i$  are first order fields and the corresponding canonical momenta are just linear combinations of these fields themselves. For example, the canonical momentum corresponding to the field  $\psi_+^i$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\psi_+^i)(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle F_i, \bar{\Psi}_+(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \text{sign}(k-i) \psi_+^k A_{ki} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \psi_+^k M_{ki}. \end{aligned}$$

So the Poisson brackets like  $\{\psi_{\pm}^i(x), \psi_{\pm}^j(y)\}$  must be treated as Dirac Poisson brackets. Moreover, the matrix  $M = (M_{ki})$  is not always invertible so that one can easily derive the Dirac Poisson brackets out of the naive canonical ones, say,  $\{\pi(\psi_+^i)(x), \psi_+^j(y)\} = \delta_{ij} \delta(x-y)$ . The fact that in some cases  $M$  is not invertible implies that  $\text{rank}(M) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{G})$  and thus the number of independent conjugate momenta of the  $\psi_+$  fields is smaller than the number of these fields themselves, or say that the theory involves some more constraints, which make the calculation of Dirac Poisson brackets more complicated. Fortunately, one can check case by case that for even-rank Lie algebras, the matrix  $M$  is always invertible. Thus we can get well defined Poisson brackets for all pairs of  $\psi_+^i$  and  $\psi_+^j$ . The same is the case for the fields  $\psi_-^i$ . So in what follows we shall restrict ourselves to the even-rank Lie algebras.

Recall that although the fundamental fields  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi_{\pm}$  are periodic in  $x$ , the vectors  $\xi_a^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}$  are not. They have the following nontrivial monodromy properties,

$$\xi_a^{(i)}(x+2\pi) = \xi_a^{(i)} T, \quad \bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x+2\pi) = T^{-1} \bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}(x),$$

where  $T \equiv T(x+2\pi)T^{-1}(x) = T(2\pi)$ , the latter equality holds if we normalize  $T(x)$  such that  $T(0) = 1$ . These monodromy behaviors are actually the origin of the nontrivial couplings between the left and right moving sectors

in eq.(2.6). In fact, such couplings arise from the zero mode problem and the zero modes are contained in the monodromy matrix  $T$ . It can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned}\{T \otimes, T\} &= [r_{\pm}, T \otimes T], \\ \{\xi_a^{(i)} \otimes, T\} &= -\xi_a^{(i)} \otimes Tr_-, \\ \{\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)} \otimes, T\} &= (1 \otimes T)r_+(\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)} \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

So it seems that the  $\xi$ - $\bar{\xi}$  basis of the exchange algebra may not be the most viable one in considering the conformal properties of the model.

Another problem concerns about the general solution of the 2EPCT fields. It is easy to see [14] that the 2EPCT fields can be related to the vectors  $\xi_a^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}_a^{(i)}$  in such a way that

$$e^{\phi^i(x)} = \xi_1^{(i)}(x)\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x), \quad \psi_+^i(x) = \frac{\xi_1^{(i)}(x)\bar{\xi}_2^{(i)}(x)}{\xi_1^{(i)}(x)\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x)}, \quad \psi_-^i(x) = \frac{\xi_2^{(i)}(x)\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x)}{\xi_1^{(i)}(x)\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x)}. \quad (2.8)$$

One may desire that these formulas play a role in constructing the local and periodic solutions of the model. It certainly should be the case. However, again due to the nontrivial couplings between the chiral and antichiral vectors, they cannot be given independently and thus causing difficulties in getting explicit solutions of the 2EPCT fields from the above simple formulas. Such difficulties can be overcome only after the chiralities are completely splitted.

It so happens that all of the above problems can be cured if one chooses a free field realization of the chiral exchange algebra. For this purpose we need the following DS linear systems written in a specific gauge,

$$\partial_+ Q_+ = L_+ Q_+, \quad \partial_- Q_+ = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\partial_- Q_- = Q_- L_-, \quad \partial_+ Q_- = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$L_{\pm} \equiv \partial_{\pm} K_{\pm}(x) + \bar{P}_{\pm}(x) + \mathcal{E}_{\pm} \in \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_{\pm}, \quad \partial_{\pm} L_{\mp} = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$L_{\pm}$  are periodic in  $x$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\pm}(x) &\equiv \sum_i k_{\pm}^i(x) H_i \in \mathcal{G}_0, \\
P_+(x) &\equiv \sum_i p_+^i(x) F_i \in \mathcal{G}^{(-1)}, \quad P_-(x) \equiv \sum_i p_-^i(x) E_i \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)}, \\
\bar{P}_+(x) &\equiv [\mathcal{E}_+, P_+(x)] \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)}, \quad \bar{P}_-(x) \equiv [P_-(x), \mathcal{E}_-] \in \mathcal{G}^{(-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $L_{\pm}$  are respectively chiral and antichiral, so is  $K_{\pm}$  and  $P_{\pm}$ . It may be appropriate to regard  $K_{\pm}(x)$  respectively as the chiral and antichiral part of the free bosonic field  $K(x)$  with the action

$$S[K] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \text{tr}(\partial_+ K(x) \partial_- K(x)), \quad K(x) = K_+(x) + K_-(x),$$

while the fields  $P_+(x) - \bar{P}_+(x)$  and  $P_-(x) - \bar{P}_-(x)$  are considered as two independent sets of multicomponent  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  systems (*i.e.* first order chiral fields),

$$S[P_{\pm}] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \text{tr}(\bar{P}_{\pm}(x) \partial_{\mp} P_{\pm}(x)).$$

The canonical Poisson brackets for the fields  $K_{\pm}$  can be easily obtained from the action  $S[K]$ , which turn out to read

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\partial_{\pm} K_{\pm}(x) \otimes, K_{\pm}(y)\} &= \pm \delta(x-y) C_0, \\
\{K_{\pm}(x) \otimes, K_{\pm}(y)\} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

However, the Poisson brackets for the first order fields  $P_{\pm}$  must be calculated using the standard Dirac procedure (see appendix), which yield the following consistent Dirac brackets for even-rank underlying Lie algebras,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\bar{P}_+(x) \otimes, P_+(y)\} &= \delta(x-y) \sum_i E_i \otimes F_i, \\
\{\bar{P}_-(x) \otimes, P_-(y)\} &= -\delta(x-y) \sum_i F_i \otimes E_i, \\
\{\bar{P}_+(x) \otimes, \bar{P}_+(y)\} &= \delta(x-y) \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \text{sign}(i-j) E_i \otimes E_i, \\
\{\bar{P}_-(x) \otimes, \bar{P}_-(y)\} &= -\delta(x-y) \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \text{sign}(i-j) F_i \otimes F_i, \\
\{P_+(x) \otimes, P_+(y)\} &= \delta(x-y) \sum_{ij} (M^{-1})_{ji} F_i \otimes F_j, \\
\{P_-(x) \otimes, P_-(y)\} &= -\delta(x-y) \sum_{ij} (M^{-1})_{ji} E_i \otimes E_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

It is of special importance to note that there are no nontrivial Poisson brackets between the left movers and the right movers in this free field formalism. So our task is reduced to the construction of chiral exchange algebra in an appropriate basis and obtain the local and periodic solution of the original 2EPCT fields starting from the above free fields. The line we shall follow is almost the same as Ref.[1] except that we are considering a different model with more degrees of freedom.

## 2.2 Exchange algebra: the $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$ basis

In this section we shall reconstruct the chiral exchange algebra for the 2EPCT theory using the Poisson brackets given in the end of the introduction. Before doing this, let us now give some basic notions on the mode expansions of the free fields.

Since the Cartan or diagonal parts of the DS potentials  $L_{\pm}$  are equal to the chiral derivatives of the field  $K(x)$  and that  $L_{\pm}$  are periodic in  $x$ , we can expand  $K_{\pm}(x)$  as <sup>2</sup>

$$K_{\pm}(x) = \mathcal{K}_{\pm} + \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(0)}x + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(n)}}{in} e^{inx} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm} \equiv -\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(n)}}{in}$  so that  $K_{\pm}(0) = 0$  (the reason for this choice of normalization will soon become clear). Similarly the periodic fields  $P_{\pm}(x)$  can be expanded as

$$P_{\pm}(x) = \sum_n \mathcal{P}_n e^{inx},$$

$$\int dx P_{\pm}(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\pm} + \mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{(0)}x + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{(n)}}{in} e^{inx}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\pm} \equiv -\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{(n)}}{in}. \quad (2.16)$$

The normalization we have chosen is such that  $Q_{\pm}(0) = 1$  and that  $Q_{\pm}(x)$  do not contain  $Q_{\pm}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}$ , the conjugate variables of  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{(0)}$ . We shall see in the due course that the mode expansions of the fields  $P_{\pm}$  are irrespective to our purpose, except the above normalization. However, those for the

---

<sup>2</sup>We regret for the inconveniences that may be brought to the readers by the coincidence between our notations and that of Ref.[1].

fields  $K_{\pm}$  are very important since the zero modes  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(0)}$  and their conjugate variables play the central role in constructing the local and periodic solutions.

Using the Poisson brackets (2.13-2.14) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(n)} \otimes, \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(m)}\} &= \mp \frac{in}{2\pi} C_0, \\ \{\partial_{\pm} K_{\pm}(x) \otimes, \mathcal{K}_{\pm}\} &= \mp (\delta(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi}) C_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Similarly we can obtain the Poisson brackets between various modes of the fields  $P_{\pm}(x)$ , but we shall not make use of them.

In order to construct the exchange algebra we have to calculate the Poisson brackets  $\{Q_{\pm}(x) \otimes, Q_{\pm}(y)\}$ . As usual, we shall present the detailed calculations only in the left moving sector and supply the result from the other sector wherever it is necessary.

Let us present with some lemmas first.

**Lemma 2.2.1**

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_+(x) \otimes, L_+(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{2} C_0 (\partial_x - \partial_y) \delta(x - y) \\ &\quad + \sum \text{sign}(i - j) A_{ij} E_i \otimes E_j \delta(x - y), \\ \{L_+(x) \otimes, L_-(y)\} &= 0, \\ \{L_-(x) \otimes, L_-(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} C_0 (\partial_x - \partial_y) \delta(x - y) \\ &\quad + \sum \text{sign}(j - i) A_{ij} F_i \otimes F_j \delta(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Straightforward from the canonical Poisson brackets (2.13-2.14). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.2.2**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x dx' \int_0^y dy' Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \{L_+(x') \otimes, L_+(y')\} Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') &= \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \theta(x - y) \left[ r - Q_+^{-1}(y) \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y) (r - C_0) Q_+(y) \otimes Q_+(y) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \theta(y - x) \left[ r - Q_+^{-1}(x) \otimes Q_+^{-1}(x) (r + C_0) Q_+(x) \otimes Q_+(x) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < x, y < 2\pi$ , and  $r$  is either  $r_+$  or  $r_-$ .

Proof: From Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \{L_+(x') \otimes, L_+(y')\} Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') &= \\ = Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \left( -\frac{1}{2} C_0 (\partial_{x'} - \partial_{y'}) \delta(x' - y') \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum \text{sign}(i-j) A_{ij} E_i \otimes E_j \delta(x' - y') \Big) Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x'} - \partial_{y'}) \left[ \delta(x' - y') Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') C_0 Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \right] \\
& + Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \left( \sum \text{sign}(i-j) A_{ij} E_i \otimes E_j \delta(x' - y') \right) \\
& \times Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \delta(x' - y') (\partial_{x'} - \partial_{y'}) \left( Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') C_0 Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The last term can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Last Term} & = \frac{1}{2} \delta(x' - y') Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \\
& \times ([C_0, L_+(x') \otimes 1] - [C_0, 1 \otimes L_+(y')]) Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \delta(x' - y') Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') [r, L_+(x') \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_+(y')] \\
& - \sum \text{sign}(i-j) A_{ij} (E_i \otimes E_j) Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y').
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In the last step, we have used the explicit form of  $L_+$  and the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
[r_{\pm}, E_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_i] & = H_i \otimes E_i - E_i \otimes H_i, \\
[r_{\pm}, [E_i, E_j] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [E_i, E_j]] & = H_i \otimes [E_i, E_j] - [E_i, E_j] \otimes H_i \\
& - 2A_{ij} (E_i \otimes E_j - E_j \otimes E_i)
\end{aligned} .$$

Inserting eq.(2.19) into (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \{L_+(x') \otimes, L_+(y')\} Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x'} - \partial_{y'}) \left[ \delta(x' - y') Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') C_0 Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \delta(x' - y') Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') ([r, L_+(x') \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_+(y')]) \\
& Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y').
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The proof ends up after one substitutes eq.(2.20) into (2.17) and performs the integration. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2.2.3** *If  $0 < x, y < 2\pi$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_+(x) \otimes, Q_+(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2}Q_+(x) \otimes Q_+(y) \\ &\times \left\{ \theta(x-y) \left[ r - Q_+^{-1}(y) \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y)(r - C_0)Q_+(y) \otimes Q_+(y) \right] \right. \\ &\left. + \theta(y-x) \left[ r - Q_+^{-1}(x) \otimes Q_+^{-1}(x)(r + C_0)Q_+(x) \otimes Q_+(x) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

*If  $0 < x < 2\pi$ ,  $y = 2\pi$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_+(x) \otimes, S\} &= \frac{1}{2}Q_+(x) \otimes S \\ &\times \left\{ r - Q_+^{-1}(x) \otimes Q_+^{-1}(x)(r + C_0)Q_+(x) \otimes Q_+(x) \right. \\ &\left. + (1 \otimes S^{-1})C_0(1 \otimes S) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

*If  $x = y = 2\pi$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \{S \otimes, S\} &= \frac{1}{2}S \otimes S \left\{ r - S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1}rS \otimes S \right. \\ &\left. - (S^{-1} \otimes 1)C_0(S \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes S^{-1})C_0(1 \otimes S) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where  $S$  is the monodromy matrix of  $Q_+(x)$ ,

$$Q_+(x + 2\pi) = Q_+(x)S, \quad S = Q_+(2\pi).$$

Proof: Eq.(2.21) follow directly from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_+(x) \otimes, Q_+(y)\} &= Q_+(x) \otimes Q_+(y) \int_0^x dx' \int_0^y dy' \\ &Q_+^{-1}(x') \otimes Q_+^{-1}(y') \{L_+(x') \otimes, L_+(y')\} Q_+(x') \otimes Q_+(y') \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2.2. As for eqs.(2.22-2.23) we only need to notice that the  $\delta$ -function in eq.(2.20) is periodic and it is consistence to set

$$\int_0^y dx f(x) \delta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}f(0) & 0 < y < 2\pi \\ 0 & y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(f(0) + f(2\pi)) & y = 2\pi \end{cases}$$

and also  $\theta(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Q.E.D.

Notice that although we are considering a theory with the extra fields  $P_{\pm}(x)$ , the resulting exchange relations (2.21-2.23) for  $Q_+(x)$  and  $S$  are the

same as those in the standard Toda case except a different sign which is caused by our initial definition of the Poisson brackets.

Now define

$$\sigma^{(i)}(x) = \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | Q_+(x),$$

we have for  $0 < x, y < 2\pi$

**Proposition 2.2.4**

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \sigma^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \sigma^{(j)}(y) [r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)], \\ \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, S\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes S [r_- + (1 \otimes S^{-1}) C_0(1 \otimes S)], \\ \{S \otimes, S\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \{[r, S \otimes S] + (1 \otimes S) C_0(S \otimes 1) - (S \otimes 1) C_0(1 \otimes S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly define

$$\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) = Q_-(x) | \lambda_{max}^{(i)},$$

we have

**Proposition 2.2.5**

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} [r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)] \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y), \\ \{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{S}\} &= \frac{1}{2} [r_+ - (1 \otimes \bar{S}) C_0(1 \otimes \bar{S}^{-1})] \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{S}, \\ \{\bar{S} \otimes, \bar{S}\} &= \frac{1}{2} \{[r, \bar{S} \otimes \bar{S}] - (1 \otimes \bar{S}) C_0(\bar{S} \otimes 1) + (\bar{S} \otimes 1) C_0(1 \otimes \bar{S})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{S} \equiv Q_-(2\pi), \quad \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x+2\pi) = \bar{S} \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x).$$

Moreover, all the cross Poisson brackets between the left moving sector (which is consisted of the quantities without a “bar”) and the right moving sector (consisted of those quantities with a “bar”) vanish.

Now let us consider the Poisson bracket  $\{e^{P_+(x)} \otimes, Q_+(y)\}$ . We have

**Lemma 2.2.6**

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^{P_+(x)} \otimes, Q_+(y)\} &= -\theta(y-x) 1 \otimes Q_+(y) Q_+^{-1}(x) \\ &\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ \sum_i (\text{ad} P_+(x))^l F_i \otimes E_i \right] e^{P_+(x)} \otimes Q_+(x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_+(x) \otimes, e^{P_+(y)}\} &= \theta(x-y)Q_+(x)Q_+^{-1}(y) \otimes 1 \\
&\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ \sum_i E_i \otimes (\text{ad}P_+(y))^l F_i \right] Q_+(y) \otimes e^{P_+(y)}, \\
\{e^{P_+(x)} \otimes, S\} &= -1 \otimes SQ_+^{-1}(x) \\
&\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ \sum_i (\text{ad}P_+(x))^l F_i \otimes E_i \right] e^{P_+(x)} \otimes Q_+(x), \\
\{S \otimes, e^{P_+(y)}\} &= SQ_+^{-1}(y) \otimes 1 \\
&\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ \sum_i E_i \otimes (\text{ad}P_+(y))^l F_i \right] Q_+(y) \otimes e^{P_+(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We shall only prove the first formula. It is easy to see that

$$\{P_+(x) \otimes, L_+(y)\} = - \sum F_i \otimes E_i \delta(x-y),$$

Using the formula

$$\{e^A \otimes, B\} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ (\text{ad}A)^l \otimes 1 \{A \otimes, B\} \right] e^A \otimes 1,$$

we can get

$$\{e^{P_+(x)} \otimes, L_+(y)\} = -\delta(x-y) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \left[ \sum_i (\text{ad}P_+(x))^l F_i \otimes E_i \right] e^{P_+(x)} \otimes 1.$$

Integrating the above equation the first equation in Lemma 2.6 follow. Q.E.D.

Now define the additional chiral basis vectors

$$\rho^{(i)}(x) = \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i e^{P_+(x)} Q_+(x), \quad \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) = Q_-(x) e^{P_-(x)} F_i | \lambda_{max}^{(i)} \rangle$$

and using the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
\{e^{P_+(x)} \otimes, e^{P_+(y)}\} &= \delta(x-y) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l+1)!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \\
&\times (\text{ad}P_+(x))^l \otimes (\text{ad}P_+(y))^m \sum_{ij} M^{-1}_{ji} (F_i \otimes F_j) e^{P_+(x)} \otimes e^{P_+(y)},
\end{aligned}$$

we can obtain

**Proposition 2.2.7**

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \rho^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes \rho^{(j)}(y) [r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)] \\
&\quad + (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x-y) \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \sigma^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \rho^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \rho^{(j)}(y) [r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)], \\
\{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \sigma^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes \sigma^{(j)}(y) [r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)], \\
\{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, S\} &= \frac{1}{2} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes S [r_- + (1 \otimes S^{-1}) C_0 (1 \otimes S)].
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.2.8**

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\rho}^{(j)}(y)\} &\frac{1}{2} [r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)] \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\rho}^{(j)}(y) \\
&\quad - (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x-y) \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\rho}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} [r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)] \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\rho}^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} [r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)] \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{S}\} &= \frac{1}{2} [r_+ - (1 \otimes \bar{S}) C_0 (1 \otimes \bar{S}^{-1})] \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.7: Follows from Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.3 and the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i \otimes 1(r_- + C_0) &= -\langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i \otimes 1(2F_i \otimes E_i), \\
1 \otimes \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i(r_+ - C_0) &= 1 \otimes \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i(2E_i \otimes F_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

These last two propositions complete the construction of chiral exchange algebra in the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis. We note that although the exchange relations for the  $\sigma$ -vectors take the same form as those in the usual Toda field theory, there are extra  $\delta$ -function terms in the Poisson brackets  $\{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \rho^{(j)}(y)\}$  and  $\{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\rho}^{(j)}(y)\}$  (these  $\delta$ -function terms vanish while  $i = j$  because the matrix  $M$  is antisymmetric and so is  $M^{-1}$ ). The additional  $\rho$ - $\bar{\rho}$  vectors and the extra  $\delta$ -function terms reflect the complexity of our model comparing to the usual Toda theory.

### 2.3 The $(\mu, \nu)$ - $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$ basis and diagonal monodromies

In the last section we have reconstructed the chiral exchange algebra using the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis. This basis has the merit that all the exchange relations are very simple and that the left and right moving sectors are decoupled. However, as is shown in Propositions 2.7 and 2.8, the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis has nontrivial couplings with the left and right monodromy matrices, respectively. Moreover, these monodromy matrices take values respectively in  $\exp(\mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_\pm)$ , which makes their evaluations somewhat involved. These complexities can be avoided by choosing other convenient basis for the chiral exchange algebra, one of such basis is that with the monodromy matrices diagonalized and equal to the  $K_\pm$ -zero modes, respectively.

Recall that the monodromy matrices in the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis are defined as  $S = Q_+(2\pi)$ ,  $\bar{S} = Q_-(2\pi)$ . According to the original form of the DS linear systems (2.9-2.11) and the mode expansion (2.15) of the fields  $K_\pm(x)$ , we can conclude that the diagonal part of  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  must be consisted of the zero modes of  $K_+$  and  $K_-$  respectively. It follows that there exists a unique choice of matrices  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  such that they diagonalize  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= g^{-1} \kappa g, & \kappa &= e^{2\pi \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}}, \\ \bar{S} &= \bar{g}^{-1} \bar{\kappa} \bar{g}, & \bar{\kappa} &= e^{-2\pi \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that the uniqueness of  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  is ensured by the condition that the diagonal parts thereof are unit matrices. Since the  $K_\pm$ -zero modes Poisson commute with themselves, we can in principal reduce the Poisson brackets  $\{S \otimes, S\}$  and  $\{\bar{S} \otimes, \bar{S}\}$  into those for  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$ 's. This is already done in Ref.[1]. The results read

#### Proposition 2.3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \{g \otimes, g\} g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4} \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) \left[ g \otimes g(r + C_0) g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - r - C_0 - 2(1 \otimes g) C_0 (1 \otimes g^{-1}) + 2C_0 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) \left[ g \otimes g(r - C_0) g^{-1} \otimes g^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - r + C_0 + 2(g \otimes 1) C_0 (g^{-1} \otimes 1) - 2C_0 \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\bar{g} \otimes, \bar{g}\} \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) \left[ \bar{g} \otimes \bar{g}(r - C_0) \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - r + C_0 + 2(1 \otimes \bar{g}) C_0 (1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1}) - 2C_0 \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) \left[ \bar{g} \otimes \bar{g}(r + C_0) \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - r - C_0 - 2(\bar{g} \otimes 1) C_0 (\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1) + 2C_0 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\{g \otimes, \bar{g}\} = 0,$$

where the signs were adjusted in accordance with our convention. Moreover, due to the normalization conditions  $Q_{\pm}(0) = 1$ , the  $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes must commute with  $Q_{\pm}$  under Poisson brackets, and they trivially Poisson commute with  $e^{P_{\pm}}$ . Therefore, the Poisson brackets between  $S$  ( $\bar{S}$ , resp.) and the basis vectors  $\sigma^{(i)}$ ,  $\rho^{(i)}$  ( $\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}$ ,  $\bar{\rho}^{(i)}$  resp.) can also be reduced, giving rise to

**Proposition 2.3.2**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, g\} 1 \otimes g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)} \right) \left[ \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes g(r_- + C_0) 1 \otimes g^{-1} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes g(r_- - C_0) 1 \otimes g^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 C_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, g\} 1 \otimes g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)} \right) \left[ \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes g(r_- + C_0) 1 \otimes g^{-1} \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes g(r_- - C_0) 1 \otimes g^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 C_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.3**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{g}\} 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} &= -\frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) \left[ 1 \otimes \bar{g}(r_+ - C_0) \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} 1 \otimes \bar{g}(r_+ + C_0) \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} C_0 \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{g}\} 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} &= -\frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) \left[ 1 \otimes \bar{g}(r_+ - C_0) \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4} 1 \otimes \bar{g}(r_+ + C_0) \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} C_0 \bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The procedure for proving these propositions is rather tedious and we refer the readers to Ref.[1] for the standard method.

Now we are ready to define a new set of basis for the chiral exchange algebra which has diagonal monodromy matrices. The basis is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{(i)}(x) &= \sigma^{(i)}(x)g^{-1}, & \nu^{(i)}(x) &= \rho^{(i)}(x)g^{-1}, \\ \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) &= \bar{g}\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x), & \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x) &= \bar{g}\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x),\end{aligned}$$

and the monodromy properties are

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{(i)}(x+2\pi) &= \mu^{(i)}(x)\kappa, & \nu^{(i)}(x+2\pi) &= \nu^{(i)}(x)\kappa, \\ \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x+2\pi) &= \bar{\kappa}\bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x), & \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x+2\pi) &= \bar{\kappa}\bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Using the previous results, it is straightforward to check the following

**Proposition 2.3.4**

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \mu^{(j)}(y)\} &= \mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \mu^{(j)}(y) \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_+ - C_0] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_- + C_0] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(g \otimes 1)C_0(g^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0\right] \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(1 \otimes g)C_0(1 \otimes g^{-1}) - C_0\right] \right\},\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.5**

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \nu^{(j)}(y)\} &= \mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \nu^{(j)}(y) \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_+ - C_0] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_- + C_0] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(g \otimes 1)C_0(g^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0\right] \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(1 \otimes g)C_0(1 \otimes g^{-1}) - C_0\right] \right\},\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.6**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\nu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \nu^{(j)}(y)\} &= \nu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \nu^{(j)}(y) \left\{ \frac{1}{4}(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_+ - C_0] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right)[r_- + C_0] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(g \otimes 1)C_0(g^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0\right] \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(1 \otimes g)C_0(1 \otimes g^{-1}) - C_0\right] \right\} \\
 &\quad + (M^{-1})_{ji}\delta(x - y)\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \mu^{(j)}(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.7**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\mu}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right)[r_- + C_0] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right)[r_+ - C_0] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(\bar{g} \otimes 1)C_0(\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0\right] \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(1 \otimes \bar{g})C_0(1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1}) - C_0\right] \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\mu}^{(j)}(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.8**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\nu}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right)[r_- + C_0] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right)[r_+ - C_0] \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\left[\coth\left(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) - 1\right]\left[(\bar{g} \otimes 1)C_0(\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0\right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) - 1 \right] \left[ (1 \otimes \bar{g}) C_0 (1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1}) - C_0 \right] \Big\} \\
& \times \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\nu}^{(j)}(y),
\end{aligned}$$

and finally,

**Proposition 2.3.9**

$$\begin{aligned}
\{ \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\nu}^{(j)}(y) \} = & \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} (r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) [r_- + C_0] \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) [r_+ - C_0] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) - 1 \right] \left[ (\bar{g} \otimes 1) C_0 (\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1) - C_0 \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \right) - 1 \right] \left[ (1 \otimes \bar{g}) C_0 (1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1}) - C_0 \right] \right\} \\
& \times \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\nu}^{(j)}(y) \\
& - (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x - y) \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\mu}^{(j)}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the cross Poisson brackets between both chiral sectors vanish under this basis. The  $(\mu, \nu)$ - $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  basis is sometimes called Bloch wave basis.

## 2.4 The conjugate variables of $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes

So far we have not considered the role of conjugate variables of the  $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$ . In this section, we shall derive various Poisson brackets involving these variables for the purpose of future use.

By definition, the conjugate  $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$  are such that

$$\{ \mathcal{Q}_{\pm} \otimes, \mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(0)} \} = \mp \frac{1}{2\pi} C_0.$$

Denoting

$$\Theta_{\pm} = e^{\pm \mathcal{Q}_{\pm} \mp \mathcal{K}_{\pm}},$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}$  are those given right after eq.(14), we have

**Lemma 2.4.1**

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_+(x) \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= Q_+(x) \otimes \Theta_+ \int_0^x dz \delta(z) Q_+^{-1}(z) \otimes 1 C_0 Q_+(z) \otimes 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} Q_+(x) \otimes \Theta_+ C_0 \quad (\text{if } 0 < x < 2\pi) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Following eq.(16), we have

$$\{L_+(x) \otimes, e^{-\mathcal{K}_+}\} = \left( \delta(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) 1 \otimes e^{-\mathcal{K}_+} C_0.$$

Integrating the above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_+(x) \otimes, e^{-\mathcal{K}_+}\} &= Q_+(x) \otimes e^{-\mathcal{K}_+} \\ &\times \int_0^x dz \left( \delta(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) Q_+^{-1}(z) \otimes 1 C_0 Q_+(z) \otimes 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Similarly, since

$$\{L_+(x) \otimes, e^{\mathcal{Q}_+}\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} 1 \otimes e^{\mathcal{Q}_+} C_0$$

we have

$$\{Q_+(x) \otimes, e^{\mathcal{Q}_+}\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} Q_+(x) \otimes e^{\mathcal{Q}_+} \int_0^x dz Q_+^{-1}(z) \otimes 1 C_0 Q_+(z) \otimes 1. \quad (2.25)$$

Combining eqs.(2.24) and (2.25) the Lemma 2.4.1 follow. Q.E.D.

Using Lemma 2.4.1 and the fact that  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  Poisson commutes with  $e^{P_+}$ , we have from the definitions of the chiral vectors  $\sigma^{(i)}(x)$  and  $\rho^{(i)}(x)$  that

**Proposition 2.4.2**

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_+ C_0, \\ \{\rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= \frac{1}{2} \rho^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_+ C_0, \\ \{S \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= \frac{1}{2} S \otimes \Theta_+ (C_0 + S^{-1} \otimes 1 C_0 S \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for the other chirality

**Proposition 2.4.3**

$$\begin{aligned}\{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_-\} &= -\frac{1}{2}C_0\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_-, \\ \{\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_-\} &= -\frac{1}{2}C_0\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_-, \\ \{\bar{S} \otimes, \Theta_-\} &= -\frac{1}{2}(C_0 + \bar{S} \otimes 1C_0\bar{S}^{-1} \otimes 1) \bar{S} \otimes \Theta_-\end{aligned}$$

As did in the last section, we can calculate the Poisson brackets for  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  starting from those for  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$ . Thus we have

**Proposition 2.4.4**

$$\begin{aligned}\{g \otimes, \Theta_+\}g^{-1} \otimes 1 &= \frac{1}{2}1 \otimes \Theta_+ \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) \left[g \otimes 1C_0g^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right], \\ \{\bar{g} \otimes, \Theta_-\}\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 &= \frac{1}{2}1 \otimes \Theta_- \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) \left[\bar{g} \otimes 1C_0\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right].\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Propositions 2.4.2-2.4.4 and by straightforward calculations we obtain

**Proposition 2.4.5**

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= \frac{1}{2}\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_+ \left[g \otimes 1C_0g^{-1} \otimes 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) \left(g \otimes 1C_0g^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right)\right], \\ \{\nu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_+\} &= \frac{1}{2}\nu^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_+ \left[g \otimes 1C_0g^{-1} \otimes 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)}\right) \left(g \otimes 1C_0g^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right)\right],\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.4.6**

$$\begin{aligned}\{\bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_-\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{g} \otimes 1C_0\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) \left(\bar{g} \otimes 1C_0\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right)\right] \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_-, \\ \{\bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_-\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{g} \otimes 1C_0\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \coth\left(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)}\right) \left(\bar{g} \otimes 1C_0\bar{g}^{-1} \otimes 1 - C_0\right)\right] \\ &\quad \times \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \Theta_-\end{aligned}$$

## 2.5. LOCAL AND PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE 2EPCT FIELDS 31

Let us remark here that all the Poisson brackets from Section 2 downward *will not be affected* if we impose the constraint condition

$$\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)} = \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)} \equiv \mathcal{K}^{(0)}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_+ = -\mathcal{Q}_- \equiv \mathcal{Q}. \quad (2.26)$$

However, when eq.(2.26) is valid, the cross Poisson brackets such as  $\{\mu^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \Theta_- \}$  etc will become nonvanishing. Actually, they do not yield a simple form as the non-cross ones (which are not affected by the condition (2.26) because of the contributions from the Poisson brackets like

$$\{Q_+(x) \otimes, e^{-\mathcal{Q}_-}\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} Q_+(x) \otimes e^{-\mathcal{Q}_-} \int_0^x dz Q_+^{-1}(z) \otimes 1 C_0 Q_+(z) \otimes 1$$

which follow from eqs.(2.25) and (2.26). We stress that the integrations over  $z$  as in the above equation are unavoidable for the cross Poisson brackets just mentioned. In order to cure this, we consider instead of  $\Theta_+$  and  $\Theta_-$  the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &\equiv \exp(\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{K}_+ + \mathcal{K}_-) \\ &= \Theta_+ e^{\mathcal{K}_-} = \Theta_- e^{-\mathcal{K}_+}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show that

**Proposition 2.4.7** *While eq.(2.26) is imposed, all the Poisson brackets given in Propositions 2.4.2-2.4.6 will remain valid if we replace everywhere by  $\mathcal{D}$  the original matrices  $\Theta_+$  and  $\Theta_-$ .*

This last proposition not only assures the correctness of Propositions 2.4.2-2.4.6 after the constraint (2.26) is imposed but also provide the explicit results for the nonvanishing cross Poisson brackets.

## 2.5 Local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT fields

This section is devoted to the construction of local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT fields. To do this it may be convenient to rewrite the equations of motion in the component form,

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \phi^j - \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(i-j) \text{sign}(k-j) \psi_+^i A_{ij} \psi_-^k A_{kj} w^j + \sum_{i(i \neq j)} w^i w^j A_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\partial_- \psi_+^j = \sum_i \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_-^i A_{ij} w^j, \quad \partial_+ \psi_-^j = \sum_i \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_+^i A_{ij} w^j, \quad (2.28)$$

$$w^j = \exp\left(-\sum_i \phi^i A_{ij}\right) = \prod_i \left[e^{\phi^i(x)}\right]^{-A_{ij}}. \quad (2.29)$$

We have

**Proposition 2.5.1** *The fields*

$$e^{\phi^i(x)} \mu^{(i)}(x) \mathcal{D} \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x), \quad (2.30)$$

$$\psi_+^i(x) = \frac{\nu^{(i)}(x) \mathcal{D} \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x)}{\mu^{(i)}(x) \mathcal{D} \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x)}, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\psi_-^i(x) = \frac{\mu^{(i)}(x) \mathcal{D} \bar{\nu}^{(i)}(x)}{\mu^{(i)}(x) \mathcal{D} \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x)} \quad (2.32)$$

satisfy the following statements,

1. they are solutions of the equations of motion for the 2EPCT fields,
2. they are periodic,
3. they are local, i.e. Poisson commute with themselves

provided the constraint condition (2.26) is imposed.

Proof:

1. We take eq.(2.27) as an example. The formula (2.30) can be rewritten

$$\phi^i(x) = \ln \left[ \mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \right].$$

By direct calculation one can show that

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \phi^i(x) = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) & \mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \partial_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \\ \partial_+ \mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) & \partial_+ \mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \partial_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x) \end{pmatrix}}{(\mu^{(i)}(x) \Theta_+ \Theta_- \bar{\mu}^{(i)}(x))^2}.$$

Denote by  $\Delta$  the determinant in the numerator of the above equation and write

2.5. LOCAL AND PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE 2EPCT FIELDS 33

$$G = Q_+ g^{-1} \Theta_+ \Theta_- \bar{g} Q_-,$$

eq.(2.27) can be rewritten as  $(\lambda^{(i)} \equiv \lambda_{max}^{(i)})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta - \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(i-j)\text{sign}(k-j) A_{ij} A_{kj} \frac{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | E_i e^{P_+} G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle} \\ \times \frac{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G e^{P_-} F_k | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle} \prod_{l \neq j} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}} \quad (2.33) \\ + \sum_{i(i \neq j)} A_{ij} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}} \prod_m [\langle \lambda^{(m)} | G | \lambda^{(m)} \rangle]^{-A_{mi}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove this equation, we have to calculate the determinant  $\Delta$  first. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | L_+ G L_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \lambda^{(i)} | L_+ G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G L_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \bar{P}_+ G \bar{P}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \bar{P}_+ G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G \bar{P}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \bar{P}_+ G \mathcal{E}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \bar{P}_+ G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G \mathcal{E}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \mathcal{E}_+ G \bar{P}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \mathcal{E}_+ G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G \bar{P}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \mathcal{E}_+ G \mathcal{E}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle - \langle \lambda^{(i)} | \mathcal{E}_+ G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(i)} | G \mathcal{E}_- | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the definitions of  $\bar{P}_\pm$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\pm$  into the above equation, we are lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(i-j)\text{sign}(k-j) p_+^i A_{ij} p_-^k A_{kj} \langle \Lambda^j | G \otimes G | \Lambda^j \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(i-j)\text{sign}(j-k) p_+^i A_{ij} \langle \Lambda^j | G \otimes G | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(j-i)\text{sign}(k-j) p_-^k A_{kj} \langle \Xi^{j,i} | G \otimes G | \Lambda^j \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \text{sign}(i-j)\text{sign}(k-j) \langle \Xi^{j,i} | G \otimes G | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle, \quad (2.34) \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda^j\rangle &= |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \otimes F_j |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle - F_j |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \otimes |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \\
|\Xi^{j,k}\rangle &= |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \otimes [F_k, F_j] |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle - [F_k, F_j] |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \otimes |\lambda^{(j)}\rangle \\
&= (F_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_k) |\Lambda^j\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Lambda^j | &= \langle \lambda^{(j)} | \otimes \langle \lambda^{(j)} | E_j - \langle \lambda^{(j)} | E_j \otimes \langle \lambda^{(j)} | \\
\langle \Xi^{j,k} | &= \langle \lambda^{(j)} | \otimes \langle \lambda^{(j)} | [E_j, E_k] - \langle \lambda^{(j)} | [E_j, E_k] \otimes \langle \lambda^{(j)} | \\
&= \langle \Lambda^j | (E_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_k).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that only a few of  $|\Xi^{j,k}\rangle$  are nonvanishing. To identify which is vanishing and which is not, we notice that for simply-laced Lie algebras,  $A_{ij}$  is either equal to 0 or equal to  $-1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Moreover,  $[E_i, E_j]$  does not vanish if and only if  $A_{ij}$  does not. So we can multiply a  $-A_{jk}$  factor to the definition of  $|\Xi^{j,k}\rangle$  without changing the content of the state.

It was shown in Ref.[1] that  $|\Lambda^j\rangle$  is the highest weight state of some tensorial product representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . Furthermore, it can be set equivalent to the state  $\sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} |\lambda^{(k)}\rangle^{\otimes (-A_{kj})}$  since they yield the same highest weight,

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_i) |\Lambda^j\rangle &= (2\delta_{ji} - A_{ji}) |\Lambda^j\rangle \\
&\equiv \langle \Lambda^j, \alpha^i \rangle |\Lambda^j\rangle, \\
(H_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_i) \sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} |\lambda^{(k)}\rangle^{\otimes (-A_{kj})} &= (2\delta_{ji} - A_{ji}) \sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} |\lambda^{(k)}\rangle^{\otimes (-A_{kj})}
\end{aligned}$$

and the same norm,

$$\langle \Lambda^j | \Lambda^j \rangle = \left( \sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} \langle \lambda^{(k)} |^{\otimes (-A_{kj})} \right) \left( \sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} |\lambda^{(k)}\rangle^{\otimes (-A_{kj})} \right) = 2.$$

Accordingly, eq.(2.35) shows that  $|\Xi^{j,k}\rangle$  is the next to highest weight state in the representation of  $\mathcal{G}$  characterized by the highest weight  $\Lambda^j$ ,

2.5. LOCAL AND PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE 2EPCT FIELDS 35

$$|\Xi^{j,k}\rangle = (F_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F_k) \sqrt{2} \bigotimes_{k \neq j} |\lambda^{(k)}\rangle^{\otimes (-A_{kj})},$$

$$\langle \Xi^{j,i} | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle = 2\delta_{ik}(2\delta_{kj} - A_{kj}).$$

Following the above discussions, it is now easy to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^j | G \otimes G | \Lambda^j \rangle = \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^j | G \otimes G | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle = -A_{jk} \frac{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G F_k | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}}, \quad (2.37)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Xi^{j,i} | G \otimes G | \Lambda^j \rangle = -A_{ji} \frac{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | E_i G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}}, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \langle \Xi^{j,i} | G \otimes G | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle &= A_{ji} A_{jk} \frac{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | E_i G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(i)} | G | \lambda^{(i)} \rangle} \frac{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G F_k | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle} \\ &\times \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}} \quad (i \neq k) \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \langle \Xi^{j,k} | G \otimes G | \Xi^{j,k} \rangle &= (A_{jk})^2 \frac{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | E_k G F_k | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}} \\ &= (A_{jk})^2 \frac{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | E_k G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle \langle \lambda^{(k)} | G F_k | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle}{\langle \lambda^{(k)} | G | \lambda^{(k)} \rangle^2} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}} \\ &\quad - A_{jk} \prod_m [\langle \lambda^{(m)} | G | \lambda^{(m)} \rangle]^{-A_{mk}} \prod_{l(l \neq j)} [\langle \lambda^{(l)} | G | \lambda^{(l)} \rangle]^{-A_{lj}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Substituting eqs.(2.36-2.40) into the left hand side of eq.(2.33) it can be verified that the right hand side vanishes.

The equations of motion for the fields  $\psi_{\pm}^i$  can be verified in a similar way.

2. The periodicity of the solution (2.30-2.32) is obvious because that the vectors  $\mu^{(i)}$ ,  $\nu^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\mu}^{(i)}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}^{(i)}$  have respectively the diagonal monodromy matrix  $\kappa$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$ , and that eq.(2.26) implies  $\kappa \bar{\kappa} = 1$ .

3. The locality of the solution follows from the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tau_a^{(i)}(x)\mathcal{D}\bar{\tau}_b^{(i)}(x)\otimes, \tau_c^{(i)}(y)\mathcal{D}\bar{\tau}_d^{(i)}(y)\} &= 0, \\ a, b, c, d &= 1, 2, \quad \tau_1^{(i)} = \mu^{(i)}, \quad \tau_2^{(i)} = \nu^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

which can be obtained using the Propositions given in the last two sections. Using the same method, we can recover all the canonical Poisson brackets for the 2EPCT fields, the nontrivial ones being

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial_0\Phi_{\pm}(x)\otimes, \Phi_{\pm}(y)\} &= \delta(x-y)C_0, \\ \{\bar{\Psi}_+(x)\otimes, \Psi_+(y)\} &= \delta(x-y)\sum_i E_i \otimes F_i, \\ \{\bar{\Psi}_-(x)\otimes, \Psi_-(y)\} &= -\delta(x-y)\sum_i F_i \otimes E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Such calculations are not presented here because they are considerably tedious and long. Q.E.D.

## 2.6 The $(\chi, \omega)$ - $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\omega})$ basis

The exchange algebra given in Sections 2 and 3 do not contain the conjugate  $K_{\pm}$ -zero modes  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$ . In practice, however, it is possible to reformulate the exchange algebra in terms of bases containing these quantities. The  $(\chi, \omega)$ - $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\omega})$  basis to be studied in this section is just one of such bases.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(i)} &= \mu^{(i)}\Theta_+, & \bar{\chi}^{(i)} &= \Theta_-\bar{\mu}^{(i)}, \\ \omega^{(i)} &= \nu^{(i)}\Theta_+, & \bar{\omega}^{(i)} &= \Theta_-\bar{\nu}^{(i)}, \end{aligned}$$

we can get, via straightforward calculations, the following

**Proposition 2.6.1** *The exchange algebra reformulated in terms of the  $(\chi, \omega)$ - $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\omega})$  basis takes the form (while  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}^{(0)}$  are considered as independent)*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\chi^{(i)}(x)\otimes, \chi^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{4}\chi^{(i)}(x)\otimes\chi^{(j)}(y)\{(r_+ - r_-)\text{sign}(x-y) \\ &\quad - \coth(\pi\text{ad}_1\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_+ - C_0) - \coth(\pi\text{ad}_2\mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \omega^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{4} \chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes \omega^{(j)}(y) \{(r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \\
&\quad - \coth(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_+ - C_0) - \coth(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\}, \\
\{\omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \omega^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{4} \omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes \omega^{(j)}(y) \{(r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \\
&\quad - \coth(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_+ - C_0) - \coth(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_+^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\} \\
&\quad + (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x - y) \chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes \chi^{(j)}(y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\bar{\chi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{4} \{(r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\} \bar{\chi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\bar{\chi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{4} \{(r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\} \bar{\chi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y), \\
\{\bar{\omega}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{4} \{(r_+ - r_-) \text{sign}(x - y) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0) \\
&\quad + \coth(\pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}_-^{(0)})(r_- + C_0)\} \bar{\omega}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y) \\
&\quad - (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x - y) \bar{\chi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{\chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{\omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{\omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, while the condition (2.26) is imposed, the last few Poisson brackets (the cross ones) will no longer vanish. In order to evaluate these cross Poisson brackets, let us proceed to replace the  $\Theta_{\pm}$ 's in the definition of  $\chi^{(i)}$  and  $\omega^{(i)}$  by the constant matrix  $\mathcal{D}$ . It can be easily seen that all

the non-cross Poisson brackets in Proposition 2.6.1 are not affected by this redefinition of basis, whereas, for the cross Poisson brackets, we have

**Proposition 2.6.2**

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \left\{ \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathcal{D}^{-1} g \otimes 1 C_0 g^{-1} \mathcal{D} \otimes 1 - C_0 \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \left( 1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \bar{g} C_0 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} - C_0 \right) + 2C_0 \right\} 1 \otimes \bar{\chi}^{(j)}, \\
\{\chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \chi^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \left\{ \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathcal{D}^{-1} g \otimes 1 C_0 g^{-1} \mathcal{D} \otimes 1 - C_0 \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \left( 1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \bar{g} C_0 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} - C_0 \right) + 2C_0 \right\} 1 \otimes \bar{\omega}^{(j)}, \\
\{\omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\chi}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \left\{ \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathcal{D}^{-1} g \otimes 1 C_0 g^{-1} \mathcal{D} \otimes 1 - C_0 \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \left( 1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \bar{g} C_0 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} - C_0 \right) + 2C_0 \right\} 1 \otimes \bar{\chi}^{(j)}, \\
\{\omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\omega}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \omega^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \left\{ \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_1 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathcal{D}^{-1} g \otimes 1 C_0 g^{-1} \mathcal{D} \otimes 1 - C_0 \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ 1 - \coth \left( \pi \text{ad}_2 \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \right) \right] \left( 1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \bar{g} C_0 1 \otimes \bar{g}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{-1} - C_0 \right) + 2C_0 \right\} 1 \otimes \bar{\omega}^{(j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Before ending this section it should be remarked that there can be an infinite number of choices for the base vectors of the chiral exchange algebra, and each choice has its own advantages and disadvantages. The  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis is the simplest one for the calculation of exchange relations. The  $(\mu, \nu)$ - $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  basis is most appropriate for the chiral splitting, and the  $(\chi, \omega)$ - $(\bar{\chi}, \bar{\omega})$  basis is the most viable one for studying the conformal transformations.<sup>3</sup>

## 2.7 Relating the $(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ basis

So far we have not pay a word on the relations between the exchange relations and general solution of 2EPCT fields obtained from free fields and those

<sup>3</sup>This basis is the analogue of the  $\psi - \bar{\psi}$  basis of the exchange algebra of the standard Toda theory, which transforms covariantly under the stress-energy tensor.

given in the introduction in terms of the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis. Now let us give a brief discussion on such relations.

In Ref.[14] we proved that the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1^{(i)}(x) &= \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | e^{K_+(x)} M_+(x), \\ \xi_2^{(i)}(x) &= \langle \lambda_{max}^{(i)} | E_i e^{P_+(x)} e^{K_+(x)} M_+(x), \\ \bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x) &= M_-^{-1}(x) e^{-K_-(x)} | \lambda_{max}^{(i)} \rangle, \\ \bar{\xi}_2^{(i)}(x) &= M_-^{-1}(x) e^{-K_-(x)} e^{P_-(x)} F_i | \lambda_{max}^{(i)} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{\pm} \in \mathcal{G}_0$ ,  $P_{\pm} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mp}^{(1)}$ ,  $M_{\pm} \in \exp(\mathcal{G}_{\pm})$  are respectively chiral and antichiral vectors indicated by their subscripts, and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ M_+(x) M_+(x)^{-1} &= e^{-\text{ad}K_+(x)} (\bar{P}_+(x) + \mathcal{E}_+), \\ M_-(x) \partial_- M_-^{-1}(x) &= e^{-\text{ad}K_-(x)} (\bar{P}_-(x) + \mathcal{E}_-).\end{aligned}$$

The last equations can be gauge-transformed as  $M_{\pm} \rightarrow e^{K_{\pm}} M_{\pm}$ , yielding

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+(e^{K_+(x)} M_+(x)) &= (\partial_+ K_+(x) + \bar{P}_+(x) + \mathcal{E}_+) (e^{K_+(x)} M_+(x)), \\ \partial_-(M_-^{-1}(x) e^{-K_-(x)}) &= (M_-^{-1}(x) e^{-K_-(x)}) (\partial_- K_-(x) + \bar{P}_-(x) + \mathcal{E}_-).\end{aligned}$$

These equations looks almost like the same as the DS systems (2.9-2.11). However, there is a crucial difference, namely the different normalizations. As is mentioned before, the  $Q_{\pm}(x)$  in the DS systems (2.9-2.10) are normalized as  $Q_{\pm}(0) = 1$  so that they do not contain the conjugates of the zero modes. But now  $e^{K_{\pm}(x)} M_{\pm}(x)$  are not so normalized, so they must contain the conjugates of the zero modes. This means that the matrices  $e^{K_{\pm}(x)} M_{\pm}(x)$  differ from  $Q_{\pm}(x)$  by the initial values involving the conjugates of zero modes,

$$\begin{aligned}Q_+(x) &= e^{K_+(x)} M_+(x) M_+^{-1}(0) e^{-K_+(0)}, \\ Q_-(x) &= e^{K_-(0)} M_-(0) M_-^{-1}(x) e^{-K_-(x)}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis is related to the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{(i)}(x) &= \xi_1^{(i)}(x)M_+^{-1}(0)e^{-K_+(0)}, \\
\rho^{(i)}(x) &= \xi_2^{(i)}(x)M_+^{-1}(0)e^{-K_+(0)}, \\
\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) &= e^{K_-(0)}M_-(0)\bar{\xi}_1^{(i)}(x), \\
\bar{\rho}^{(i)}(x) &= e^{K_-(0)}M_-(0)\bar{\xi}_2^{(i)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the monodromy matrices  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are nothing but

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= e^{K_+(2\pi)}M_+(2\pi)M_+^{-1}(0)e^{-K_+(0)}, \\
\bar{S} &= e^{K_-(0)}M_-(0)M_-^{-1}(2\pi)e^{-K_-(2\pi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus see that the nontrivial couplings between the left and right moving sectors under the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis is in fact the consequence of different choice for the initial values of the chiral vectors. For the sake of length we shall not fall into detailed calculations on the relations of the exchange algebras under the  $(\sigma, \rho)$ - $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\rho})$  basis and the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis.

## 2.8 Discussions

In this chapter we constructed the exchange algebra and the local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT theory based on simply-laced even-rank Lie algebras via free field representation. More concretely, we have done the following:

1. Starting from the free chiral fields  $K_{\pm}$  and  $P_{\pm}$ , we constructed the exchange algebra under three different set of basis. Only in the  $(\mu, \nu)$ - $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$  basis the chiralities are completely splitted when the phase space is reduced by the condition (2.26);
2. We obtained the local and periodic solutions of the 2EPCT fields using the exchange algebra;
3. We established the connections between the bases used in the present chapter and the  $(\xi-\bar{\xi})$  basis considered earlier.

Much of the results can be directly generalized to the non-simply-laced case except that in proving the solution formulas we encountered some complexities which remain to be resolved. The same construction can also be generalized to the case of two-extended principal conformal affine Toda models [13] based on simply-laced affine Lie algebras.

In addition to what have been done we would like to point some untouched problems.

The first problem is the converse of the classical free field representations, *i.e.* the faithfulness problem of the free field representation. In the standard Toda cases, it was shown in [2] that not only one can obtain the exchange algebras and the local and periodic solutions, but also all the dynamics of Toda theory. That means that there is a one to one correspondence between the canonical structures of Toda theory and that of free fields. For the 2EPCT fields we hope the same is true but we have not worked that out yet.

The next problem is the problem of quantization. Since most of our results are in analogy to the standard Toda case, and that there is already a well established quantum theory for the Toda fields by going to the lattice [3-5], we hope that our theory can also be quantized by defining a consistence set of lattice exchange algebra. This work is now undertaken.

To end this chapter let us mention that both the standard Toda and the 2EPCT theories are certain reductions of the WZNW model, therefore the similarity between our results and those of Ref.[1] is reasonable. Actually, the parallel problems of the classical and quantum exchange algebras in the WZNW model have already been considered by Balog *et al* [6], Faddeev [7], Alekseev-Shatashvilli [8] and Fatteev-Lukuyanov [9-10]. So we believe that the exchange relations must be the most appropriate way of passing from the classical integrable systems to the quantum analogues.

## Appendix: Dirac procedure for calculating the Poisson brackets for the fields $P_{\pm}$

In this appendix we shall show how we can get the Poisson brackets (2.14) using the standard Dirac procedure. We take only the field  $P_+$  as example.

From the action  $S[P_+]$  we can get the Hamiltonian for the fields  $p_+^i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int dx \left\{ \sum_i \pi(p_+^i) \partial_t p_+^i - \mathcal{L} \right\} \\ &= \int dx \left\{ \sum_i \pi(p_+^i) \partial_t p_+^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} M_{ij} p_+^i \partial_- p_+^j \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi(p_+^j) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_i M_{ij} p_+^i$ , which yield the following *primary* constraints,

$$G_j \equiv \pi(p_+^j) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i M_{ij} p_+^i \approx 0.$$

The first class Hamiltonian

$$H_I \equiv H + \int dx \sum_i \lambda^i(x) G_i(x)$$

then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t G_j &\equiv \{H_I, G_j\} \\ &= \partial_x \pi(p_+^j) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i M_{ij} \partial_x p_+^i + \sum_i \lambda^i M_{ji} \approx 0, \end{aligned}$$

which simply determine the values of the Lagrangian multipliers  $\lambda^i$  and do not give rise to any secondary constraints. One can easily calculate the “matrix”  $\Delta(x, y)$  as follows,

$$\Delta_{ij}(x, y) = \{G_i(x), G_j(y)\} = M_{ji} \delta(x - y).$$

Therefore, whenever  $M$  is invertible, there will be no first class constraints. This is precisely the case if  $M$  is obtained as  $M_{ij} = A_{ij} \text{sign}(i - j)$  and  $A$  is the Cartan matrix of an even-rank Lie algebra. It follows that in such cases the matrix  $\Delta$  is invertible and the inverse reads

$$(\Delta^{-1})_{ij}(x, y) = (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x - y).$$

Moreover, the naive Poisson brackets between the fields  $p_+^i$ ,  $\pi(p_+^i)$  and the constraints  $G_j$  read

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_+^i(x), G_j(y)\} &= -\delta_{ij} \delta(x - y), \\ \{\pi(p_+^i)(x), G_j(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{2} M_{ij} \delta(x - y), \\ \{G_i(x), p_+^j(y)\} &= \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y), \\ \{G_i(x), \pi(p_+^j)(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2} M_{ji} \delta(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by defining the Dirac bracket  $\{ , \}^D$  as

$$\{A, B\}^D = \{A, B\} - \int dzdw \sum_{ij} \{A, G_i(z)\} (\Delta^{-1})_{ij}(z, w) \{G_j(w), B\}$$

we find the following consistent results,

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_+^i(x), p_+^j(y)\}^D &= (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x - y), \\ \{\pi(p_+^i)(x), p_+^j(y)\}^D &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y), \\ \{\pi(p_+^i)(x), \pi(p_+^j)(y)\}^D &= \frac{1}{4} M_{ij} \delta(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

These last Poisson brackets, while rewritten in terms of the matrix field  $P_+(x)$ , give rise to those in eq.(2.14) exactly, but in the main context of this chapter we always write  $\{ , \}$  instead of  $\{ , \}^D$  for simplicity.



# Bibliography

- [1] O.Babelon, F.Toppan, L.Bonora, Exchange algebra and the Drinfeld-Sokolov theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **140** (1991) 93-117
- [2] E.Aldrovandi, L.Bonora, V.Bonservizi, R.Paunov, Free field representation of Toda field theories, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A9, No.1** (1994) 57-86
- [3] O.Babelon, Universal exchange algebra for bloch wave and Liouville theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991) 619-643
- [4] O.Babelon, L.Bonora, Quantum Toda theory, *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1991) 365-372
- [5] O.Babelon, Liouville theory on the lattice and universal exchange algebra for bloch waves, in *Quantum Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1510, Springer-Verlag (1992)* 159-175, ed. by P.P Kulish
- [6] J.Balog, L.Dabrowski, L.Feher, Classical r-matrix and exchange algebra in WZNW and Toda theories, *Phys. Lett.* **B244** (1990) 227-234
- [7] L.D.Faddeev, On the exchange matrix for WZNW model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **132** (1990) 131-138
- [8] A.Alekseev, S.Shatashvili, Quantum groups and WZNW model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990) 353-368
- [9] V.A.Fatteev, S.L.Lukyanov, The groups of Poisson Lie and classical W algebras, preprint ITF-Kiev (1990) ; preprint PAR LPTHE 91-16
- [10] V.A.Fatteev, S.L.Lukyanov, Vertex operators and representations of quantum universal enveloping algebras, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7, No.7** (1992) 1325-1359

- [11] B.-Y.Hou, L.Chao, Conformally reduced WZNW theory, new extended chiral algebras and their associated Toda type integrable systems, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7**, **No.28** (1992) 7015-7043
- [12] B.-Y.Hou, L.Chao, From integrability to conformal symmetry: bosonic superconformal Toda theories, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8**, **No.6** (1993) 1105-1123
- [13] L.Chao, B.-Y.Hou, Bosonic superconformal affine Toda theory: exchange algebra and dressing symmetry, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8**, **No.21** (1993) 3773-3789
- [14] L.Chao, B.-Y.Hou, On the solutions of two-extended principal conformal Toda theory, *Ann. Phys.* **230** (1994) 1-20

## Chapter 3

# Heterotic Toda Fields

### 3.1 Introduction

Of all the conformal invariant integrable models Toda field theories are the most interesting and extensively studied ones. Within the framework of Toda field theories, one finds the conformal Toda (CT) , loop Toda (or affine Toda , denoted as LT for short), conformal affine Toda (CAT) [1] and their various extensions, especially the “2-extensions” [2-3,13] studied by the authors sometime earlier. One of the major reason for why conformal invariant Toda fields are so attractive is due to their nice properties of yielding the W algebra symmetries . Nowadays it is becoming a common practice to treat the conformally noninvariant Toda theories as the result of appropriate deformations [4-5] of the corresponding conformal invariant ones. In view of this, the integrability of all Toda type field theories is governed by the conformal (W algebra) symmetries of the undeformed Toda fields.

In the study of conformal invariant field theories, people are used to treat only one-half of the complete model, namely one of the two chiral sectors. This is because of the left-right symmetry of the model under consideration. The left-right symmetry is in fact some kind of “parity” (P) or “charge-parity” (CP) invariance, which causes an indistinguishability between both chiral sectors. To be specific, let us consider the CT and the 2-extended (2-E) CT models. The equations of motion for these two models can be written respectively as

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^i - \exp \left( - \sum_j K_{ij} \varphi^j \right) = 0 \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

and

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^j - \sum_{i,j} \text{sign}(i-j) \text{sign}(k-j) \psi_+^i K_{ij} \psi_-^k K_{kj} \omega^j + \sum_{i,(i \neq j)} \omega^i \omega^j K_{ij} = 0$$

$$\partial_+ \psi_-^j = \sum_i \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_+^i K_{ij} \omega^j$$

$$\partial_- \psi_+^j = \sum_i \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_-^i K_{ij} \omega^j$$

$$\omega^i \equiv \exp \left( \sum_j K_{ij} \varphi^j \right) \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, r)$$

where  $K_{ij}$  are entries of the Cartan matrix of the corresponding rank  $r$  finite dimensional Lie algebra (now chosen as simply-laced), and the signature function  $\text{sign}(i-j)$  is defined such that it takes the value “zero” at equal arguments. One sees that the left-right symmetry of CT is actually the P invariance  $x_+ \leftrightarrow x_-$ , but for the 2-ECT case, it is represented by the following “CP invariance”

$$P : x_+ \leftrightarrow x_-, \quad C : \psi_+^i \leftrightarrow \psi_-^i. \quad (3.1)$$

It is not difficult to check that the fields  $\psi_\pm^i$  have respectively the conformal weights  $(1/2, 0)$  and  $(0, 1/2)$ , therefore the “charge conjugation” in eq. (3.1) is to be understood as the conjugation of conformal charges instead of the normal (electronic) charges.

Despide of the elegant properties of the left-right symmetric models like CT and 2-ECT mentioned above, there exists something in the nature which is *not* left-right symmetric (such as the neutrinos). Therefore, exploiting a conformal invariant model having no left-right symmetry might be interesting. Such theories has already exist in superstring theories, i.e. the heterotic string theory [6]. But now we are concerned about a Toda type integrable theory which is also “heterotic” (which we call heterotic Toda field theory, denoted HTFT for short). We shall construct such a model by defining explicitly its Lax pair representation, discuss its conformal properties (which is represented by the product of a left chiral  $W_{r+1}$  algebra and a right chiral  $W_{r+1}^{(2)}$  algebra—a mixture of CT and 2-ECT theories), and consider the chiral exchange algebra, classical solution and the relations to WZNW and Toda lattice hierarchies [8,5] as well.

### 3.2 The heterotic Toda model

Let us start by constructing explicitly the HTFT mentioned above. Stressing its integrability, we begin with the Lax pair representation of the model. The Lax pair is defined in the cylindrical space-time as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ T &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \Phi + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi\right) (\bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu) \right] T, \\ \partial_- T &= - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_- \Phi + \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi\right) \nu \right] T,\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where  $x_{\pm} \equiv t \pm x$ ,  $\partial_{\pm} \equiv \partial_{x_{\pm}}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r \text{sign}(i-j) [E_i, E_j], & \nu &= \sum_{i=1}^r F_i, \\ \Phi &= \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi^i H_i, & \Psi_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_+^i F_i, & \bar{\Psi}_+ &= [\mu, \Psi_+].\end{aligned}$$

In the above definitions,  $\{H_i, E_i, F_i\}$  denote the Chevalley generators of the rank  $r$  finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (which will be restricted to be the classical  $A_r$  algebra for simplicity), and all the component fields,  $\varphi^i, \psi_+^i$ , are assumed to be periodic in the spacial coordinate,  $x$ .

The equations of motion for the HTFT follow from the compatibility conditions of the Lax pair (3.2). They turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ \partial_- \Phi + [\nu, \exp(-\text{ad} \Phi) \bar{\Psi}_+] &= 0, \\ \partial_- \Psi_+ - \exp(\text{ad} \Phi) \nu &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

or, in component form,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^i - \sum_j \text{sign}(j-i) K_{ji} \psi_+^j \omega^i &= 0, \\ \partial_- \psi_+^i - \omega^i &= 0, \quad \omega^i = \exp(-\sum_j K_{ji} \varphi^j).\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

One may wonder that how we can imagine such a complicated model by direct construction. Indeed, the model (3.3-3.4) appears not to be very simple at a first glance, but from the point of view of hamiltonian reductions of WZNW theories [9]—which is now a quite common way of constructing extended Toda models—the origin of the model (3.4) can be made very

clear: it is nothing but the constrained WZNW theory under the following constraints

$$\langle \mathbf{g}_-, \partial_+ g g^{-1} - \mu \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{g}_+, g^{-1} \partial_- g - \nu \rangle = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_\pm$  are respectively the maximal nilpotent subalgebras consisted of positive and negative step operators, and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard Killing form. Notice that the above constraint equations are not of the left-right dual style, this is why the present model is heterotic. Actually, if one perform the CP transform (3.1) on the model (3.4), he would arrive at a *different* but *dual* model, with the roles of the left and right chiral sectors interchanged.

There are two ways to identify the conformal invariance of the HTFT. The first way is to study the conformal-preserving nature of the WZNW  $\rightarrow$  HTFT reduction. In this way one can show that the conformal algebra of the theory (3.4) is nothing but the product of a left chiral (the  $x_-$ -depending sector)  $W_{r+1}$  algebra and a right chiral  $W_{r+1}^{(2)}$  algebra. The second way of exploiting the conformal invariance of the model (3.4) is to show explicitly the behaviors of the equations of motion under conformal change of spacetime variables. One can easily check that under the conformal transformations of the coordinates

$$x_+ \rightarrow \tilde{x}_+ = f(x_+), \quad x_- \rightarrow \tilde{x}_- = h(x_-),$$

the equations of motion (3.4) is left invariant provided the fields  $\varphi^i$ ,  $\psi_+^i$  transform as follows,

$$\varphi^i \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}^i = \varphi^i + \sum_j (K^{-1})^{ji} \ln(f')^{1/2} h' \Rightarrow \omega^i \rightarrow \tilde{\omega}^i = (f')^{-1/2} (h')^{-1} \omega^i,$$

$$\psi_+^i \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}_+^i = (f')^{-1/2} \psi_+^i.$$

This shows that the fields  $\psi_+^i$  and  $\omega^i$  are respectively primary fields of conformal weights  $(0, 1/2)$  and  $(1, 1/2)$ . One may therefore expect that the conformal spectrum of the left chiral sector is consisted of only integers, and that of the right chiral sector may be consisted of integer and half-integers. This observation is in agreement with the above-mentioned  $(W_{r+1})_L \otimes (W_{r+1}^{(2)})_R$  symmetry.

Now let us write down the effective action for the model (3.4). It reads

$$\begin{aligned}
I[\Phi, \Psi_+] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \langle \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi + \bar{\Psi}_+ \partial_- \Psi_+ - 2 (\exp(-\text{ad}\Phi) \bar{\Psi}_+) \nu \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sum_{ij} \left[ \partial_+ \varphi^i K_{ij} \partial_- \varphi^j + \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_+^i K_{ij} \partial_- \psi_+^j \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \text{sign}(i-j) \psi_+^i K_{ij} \exp(-\sum \varphi^l K_{lj}) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We see that the form of the kinematic terms in eq.(3.6) are very similar to that of the heterotic string theory [6]. The difference lies in that, in the heterotic string theory, the  $\psi$  fields are fermionic and the full theory has a heterotic supersymmetry, whilst in the present case,  $\psi_+^i$  are bosonic fields and therefore the theory has no supersymmetry (the curious similarity between bosonic conformal algebras having the integer half-integer conformal spectrum and the real superconformal algebras is still an open area for further study, at least in the authors' own view points).

Given the effective action (3.6), it is now ready to define the conjugate momenta and the canonical Poisson brackets in the usual way,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\varphi_i) &= \sum_j K_{ij} \partial_0 \varphi^j, & \pi(\psi_+^i) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \text{sign}(j-i) \psi_+^j K_{ji} \\
\{\pi(\varphi^i)(x), \varphi^j(y)\} &= \delta^{ij} \delta(x-y), & \{\pi(\psi_+^i)(x), \psi_+^j(y)\} &= \delta^{ij} \delta(x-y),
\end{aligned}$$

where of course the  $\delta$ -functions are also assumed to be periodic due to the periodicity of the fundamental fields. However, since the fields  $\psi_+^i$  are of the first order in derivatives in the action (3.6), one should treat the definitions of the canonical momenta of these fields as primary constraints and replace the corresponding naive Poisson brackets by Dirac Poisson brackets. It follows that provided the rank  $r$  of the underlying Lie algebra  $A_r$  is even, all the above constraints are of the second class and there are no further constraints in the theory. The final Dirac brackets for the  $\psi$ -fields read (here we denote the Dirac brackets again by  $\{, \}$ )

$$\{\psi_+^i(x), \psi_+^j(y)\} = (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x-y),$$

where  $M_{ij} \equiv \text{sign}(i-j) K_{ij}$ , which is invertible provided  $r$  is even<sup>1</sup>. From the above Poisson brackets we can calculate the fundamental Poisson relation

---

<sup>1</sup>In the following context, as far as Poisson brackets are concerned, we shall assume that  $r$  is even. But the other results such as the wronskian type solutions *etc.* hold true without this restriction.

(FPR) for the spacial component of the Lax connection. Then, upon integration with the initial value  $T(0) = 1$ , the FPR for the ultralocal transport matrix  $T$  follow [7],

$$\{T(x) \otimes, T(x)\} = [r_{\pm}, T(x) \otimes T(x)], \quad (3.7)$$

where  $r_{\pm}$  are the so-called classical r-matrices which are well known in the standard CT theory,

$$\begin{aligned} r_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum (K^{-1})^{ij} H_i \otimes H_j + 2 \sum_{\alpha>0} E_{\alpha} \otimes F_{\alpha} \right\}, \\ r_- &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum (K^{-1})^{ij} H_i \otimes H_j + 2 \sum_{\alpha>0} F_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We mention that the FPR (3.7) together with the r-matrices (3.8) are the characterizing properties for the integrabilities of all the Toda type field theories. They are also the starting points for studying the exchange algebras [7,3] and dressing symmetries [10,3] for such theories. But now in this chapter we shall not consider these issues in detail. Instead, we shall discuss briefly the origin of the chiral exchange algebra in HTFT with a specific emphasis on its relations to the classical solutions and the  $W$  algebra symmetries of the model. These discussions are part of the content of the next section.

### 3.3 Exchange algebra and Leznov-Saveliev analysis

In this section we shall discuss some aspects connected with the chiral vectors in the model. First let us show how there are chiral vectors embedded in the present theory.

#### 3.3.1 Existence of chiral vectors

As is well known, the Lax pair representation for integrable systems admits a gauge freedom. In other words, the compatibility conditions for a Lax pair are left invariant while the transport matrix  $T$  is shifted from the left by a gauge group element. Therefore, one can choose different gauges for the Lax pair to obtain an optimized form for the current usage. In the present case, we can choose the following convenient gauges

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ T_L &= \{\partial_+ \Phi + \bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu\} T_L, \\ \partial_- T_L &= -\{\exp(\text{ad}\Phi)\nu\} T_L;\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_+ T_R &= \{\exp(-\text{ad}\Phi)(\bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu)\} T_R, \\ \partial_- T_R &= -\{\partial_- \Phi + \nu\} T_R;\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

where

$$T_L = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi\right) T, \quad T_R = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Phi\right) T.\tag{3.11}$$

Assuming that  $|\lambda^i\rangle$  and  $\langle\lambda^i|$  are respectively the highest weight and dual highest weight vectors in  $i$ -th fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it follows from eqs.(3.9) and (3.10) that the vectors

$$\xi^{(i)}(x_+) \equiv \langle\lambda^i|T_L, \quad \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-) \equiv T_R^{-1}|\lambda^i\rangle\tag{3.12}$$

are chiral,

$$\partial_- \xi^{(i)}(x_+) = 0, \quad \partial_+ \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-) = 0.$$

Moreover, performing another gauge transformation  $T_L \longrightarrow \tilde{T}_L = \exp(\Psi_+)T_L$ , we can show that the vectors

$$\zeta^{(i)}(x_+) \equiv \langle\lambda^i - \alpha^i|\tilde{T}_L = \langle\lambda - \alpha^i|\exp(\Psi_+)T_L\tag{3.13}$$

are also chiral ( $\alpha^i$  being the  $i$ -th simple root)

$$\partial_- \zeta^{(i)}(x_+) = 0,$$

These chiral vectors play the central role in the rest of this chapter.

### 3.3.2 Exchange algebra for the chiral vectors

The chiral vectors obtained in the last subsection obey a very nice exchange algebra. The method for obtaining such exchange algebras is now quite familiar in the CT and 2-ECT theories. In the present model, one can first calculate the Poisson brackets between  $\exp(\Phi)$ ,  $\exp(\Psi_+)$  and  $T$ , then, using the definitions of the chiral vectors (3.12) and (3.13) and by straightforward calculations, one obtains the following exchange relations (throughout this chapter, all the chiral quantities are assumed to be evaluated at equal time  $t = t_0$ ),

$$\{\xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \xi^{(j)}(y)\} = \xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes \xi^{(j)}(y) (r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\{\xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y)\} = - \left( \xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \right) r_- \left( 1 \otimes \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y) \right), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\{\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \xi^{(j)}(y)\} = - \left( 1 \otimes \xi^{(j)}(y) \right) r_+ \left( \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \right), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\{\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y)\} = (r_- \theta(x-y) + r_+ \theta(y-x)) \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \zeta^{(j)}(y)\} &= \zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes \zeta^{(j)}(y) (r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)) \\ &+ (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x-y) \xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes \xi^{(j)}(y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

$$\{\xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \zeta^{(j)}(y)\} = \xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes \zeta^{(j)}(y) (r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)), \quad (3.19)$$

$$\{\zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \xi^{(j)}(y)\} = \zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes \xi^{(j)}(y) (r_+ \theta(x-y) + r_- \theta(y-x)), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\{\zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y)\} = - \left( \zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1 \right) r_- \left( 1 \otimes \bar{\xi}^{(j)}(y) \right), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\{\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \zeta^{(j)}(y)\} = - \left(1 \otimes \zeta^{(j)}(y)\right) r_+ \left(\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1\right). \quad (3.22)$$

Recalling that the fields  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi_+$  are periodic, we have the following monodromy properties for the chiral vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= \xi^{(i)}(x)T \quad , \\ \zeta^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= \zeta^{(i)}(x)T \quad , \\ \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= T^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where  $T \equiv T(2\pi)$ . Moreover, there is a set of nontrivial Poisson brackets between the above chiral vectors and the monodromy matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} \{T \otimes, T\} &= [r_{\pm}, T \otimes T], \\ \{\xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes, T\} &= - \left(\xi^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1\right) r_-, \\ \{\zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes, T\} &= - \left(\zeta^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1\right) r_-, \\ \{\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, T\} &= (1 \otimes T) r_+ \left(\bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x) \otimes 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that although the objects  $\xi$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $\bar{\xi}$  are chiral, there is a nontrivial coupling between them. This can happen only through the zero modes and the corresponding conjugate variables. Actually, just as in the usual Toda context, the degrees of freedom corresponding to the zero modes are contained in the diagonal part of the monodromy matrix. Therefore in order to choose an appropriate basis in which the chiralities are completely split we have to first diagonalize the monodromy matrix. This in practice is connected to the so-called Drinfeld-Sokolov linear systems, and we shall leave the task for sketching such procedures to subsection 3.4.

### 3.3.3 Leznov-Saveliev analysis

Let us now study the relations between the chiral vectors (3.12), (3.13) and the general solution of the model. As will be shown below, the general solution of the model can be represented by the products of these chiral vectors, which can be rewritten in terms of appropriate matrix elements in the fundamental representations of the underlying Lie algebra. Such analysis

were first carried out by Leznov and Saveliev in the case of standard Toda theories, that is why the current subsection is titled as above.

From eqs.(3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$\exp(\varphi^i) = \langle \lambda^i | \exp(\Phi) | \lambda^i \rangle = \langle \lambda^i | T_L T_R^{-1} | \lambda^i \rangle = \xi^{(i)}(x_+) \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\psi_+^i = \frac{\langle \lambda^i - \alpha^i | \exp(\Psi_+) T_L T_R^{-1} | \lambda^i \rangle}{\langle \lambda^i | T_L T_R^{-1} | \lambda^i \rangle} = \frac{\zeta^{(i)}(x_+) \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-)}{\xi^{(i)}(x_+) \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now recalling that the matrices  $T_L$  and  $T_R$  differ from each other only by a diagonal part from the left (see eq. (3.11)), we can make the following Gauss decompositions,

$$T_L = e^{K_+} N_- M_+, \quad T_R = e^{K_-} N_+ M_-, \quad (3.25)$$

where  $K_{\pm}$  are respectively the diagonal parts of  $T_L$  and  $T_R$ ,  $N_+$ ,  $M_+$  and  $N_-$ ,  $M_-$  are upper- and lower-triangular matrices with entries on the diagonal equal to one. These upper and lower triangular matrices are intrinsically related by the Gauss decompositions of the original transport matrix  $T$ ,

$$T = e^{H_+} N_- M_+ = e^{H_-} N_+ M_-,$$

where  $H_{\pm}$  are the diagonal part of  $T$  under each Gauss decomposition. Now substituting the Gauss decompositions (3.25) into the definitions (3.12) and (3.13), it follows that

$$\xi^{(i)}(x_+) = \langle \lambda^i | e^{K_+} M_+ | \lambda^i \rangle, \quad \bar{\xi}^{(i)}(x_-) = \langle \lambda^i | M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \lambda^i \rangle, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\zeta^{(i)}(x_+) = \langle \lambda^i - \alpha^i | e^{K_+} (e^{-\text{ad}K_+} e^{\Psi_+}) N_- M_+ | \lambda^i \rangle. \quad (3.27)$$

Expanding the matrix  $N_-$  into the form

$$N_- = \exp(\chi^{(-1)}) \exp(\chi^{(-2)}) \dots \quad (3.28)$$

with  $\chi^{(-i)}$  being a lower triangular matrix with nonzero entries only in the  $i$ -th lower-diagonal, we can rewrite eq.(3.27) into the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(i)}(x_+) &= \langle \lambda^i - \alpha^i | e^{K_+} \left\{ 1 + e^{-\text{ad}K_+} P_+ \right\} M_+ | \lambda^i \rangle, \\ P_+ &\equiv \Psi_+ + e^{\text{ad}K_+} \chi^{(-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

The chirality of  $\xi^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^{(i)}$  then implies that the matrices  $K_{\pm}$  and  $M_{\pm}$  in eq.(3.26) are chiral,

$$\partial_{\pm} K_{\mp} = \partial_{\pm} M_{\mp} = 0.$$

Consequently the chirality of  $\zeta^{(i)}$  implies the similar property of  $P_+$ ,

$$\partial_- P_+ = 0.$$

Substituting eqs.(3.26) and (3.29) into eqs.(3.23-3.24), it turns out that the general solution of the model (3.4) is completely determined by the chiral quantities  $K_{\pm}$ ,  $M_{\pm}$  and  $P_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\varphi^i) &= \langle \lambda^i | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \lambda^i \rangle, \\ \psi_+^i &= \frac{\langle \lambda^i - \alpha^i | e^{K_+} (1 + e^{-\text{ad}K_+} P_+) M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \lambda^i \rangle}{\langle \lambda^i | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \lambda^i \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We have to point out that the chiral quantities  $K_{\pm}$ ,  $M_{\pm}$  and  $P_+$  are not all independent, they have to obey some linear partial differential equations. To specify what differential equations are satisfied by these objects, let us recall that the gauge-transformed transport matrices  $T_L$  and  $T_R$  satisfy the following equations,

$$\partial_+ T_L T_L^{-1} = \partial_+ \Phi + \bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu, \quad \partial_- T_R T_R^{-1} = -(\partial_- \Phi + \nu). \quad (3.31)$$

Substituting the Gauss decompositions (3.25) into eq.(3.31) and projecting onto the upper- and lower-triangular parts respectively, we have

$$\left[ N_- \left( \partial_+ M_+ M_+^{-1} \right) N_-^{-1} \right]_+ = e^{-\text{ad}K_+} (\bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu),$$

$$\left[ N_+ \left( M_- \partial_- M_-^{-1} \right) N_+^{-1} \right]_- = e^{-\text{ad}K_-} \nu.$$

Considering the fact that  $\mu$  has nonvanishing entries only on the second upper-diagonal,  $\nu$  has nonvanishing entries only on the first lower-diagonal, and also remembering of the further decomposition (3.28) of  $N_-$  in terms  $\chi^{(-i)}$ , we finally get

$$\partial_+ M_+ M_+^{-1} = e^{-\text{ad}K_+}(\bar{P}_+ + \mu), \quad M_- \partial_- M_-^{-1} = e^{-\text{ad}K_-} \nu, \quad (3.32)$$

with

$$\bar{P}_+ \equiv [\mu, P_+]. \quad (3.33)$$

Eq.(3.30) together with (3.32), (3.33) constitute the general solution of the model (3.4).

*Remark.* The construction we made in this subsection is in some sense a little formal because of the nontrivial couplings between both chiralities. In order to reformulate the general solution of the model in terms of free fields—which decouples from each other—we again need to diagonalize the monodromy matrix . This additional issue also ensures that the general solution obtained in this way is single-valued (*i.e.* periodic in  $x$ ) and local (*i.e.* Poisson commute).

### 3.3.4 Sketch for a free field representation

Let us now give a brief sketch for the free field representation of the general solution. The construction is based on the following Drinfeld-Sokolov (DS) linear systems,

$$\partial_+ Q_+ = (\partial_+ K_+ + \bar{P}_+ + \mu) Q_+, \quad \partial_- Q_- = Q_- (\partial_- K_- + \nu),$$

where  $\partial_\pm K_\pm$  and  $\bar{P}_+$  are the same as in the last subsection and are assumed to be periodic. Since in the above DS systems everything is chiral, we introduce the chiral vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{(i)}(x) &= \langle \lambda^i | Q_+(x), \\ \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) &= Q_-(x) | \lambda^i \rangle, \\ \varsigma^{(i)}(x) &= \langle \lambda^i - \alpha^i | e^{P_+} Q_+(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the DS solutions  $Q_\pm$  are normalized as  $Q_\pm(0) = 1$ .

It is obvious that these chiral vectors have the following monodromy properties,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= \sigma^{(i)}(x) S, & \varsigma^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= \varsigma^{(i)}(x) S, \\ \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x + 2\pi) &= \bar{S} \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S \equiv Q_+(2\pi)$  and  $\bar{S} \equiv Q_-(2\pi)$ , which are respectively upper and lower triangular.

Now introducing the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial_{\pm} K_{\pm}(x) \otimes, \partial_{\pm} K_{\pm}(y)\} &= \mp(\partial_x - \partial_y)\delta(x-y) \sum_{i,j} (K^{-1})^{ij} H_i \otimes H_j, \\ \{\bar{P}_+(x) \otimes, P_+(y)\} &= \delta(x-y) \sum_i E_i \otimes F_i, \end{aligned}$$

it can be proved that  $\sigma, \varsigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  satisfy the following exchange relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \sigma^{(j)}(y)\} &= \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \sigma^{(j)}(y) (r_+\theta(x-y) + r_-\theta(y-x)), \\ \{\varsigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \varsigma^{(j)}(y)\} &= \varsigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \varsigma^{(j)}(y) (r_+\theta(x-y) + r_-\theta(y-x)), \\ &\quad + (M^{-1})_{ji} \delta(x-y) \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \sigma^{(j)}(y), \\ \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \varsigma^{(j)}(y)\} &= \sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes \varsigma^{(j)}(y) (r_+\theta(x-y) + r_-\theta(y-x)), \\ \{\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y)\} &= (r_-\theta(x-y) + r_+\theta(y-x)) \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}(x) \otimes \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y), \\ \{\sigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y)\} &= \{\varsigma^{(i)}(x) \otimes, \bar{\sigma}^{(j)}(y)\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it can be checked that the following expressions are solutions of the equations of motion,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\varphi^i) &= \sigma^{(i)} U \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}, \\ \psi_+^i &= \frac{\varsigma^{(i)} U \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}}{\sigma^{(i)} U \bar{\sigma}^{(i)}} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  is any constant matrix acting on the space of the  $i$ -th fundamental representation of  $A_r$ . The problems which are still needed to be solved are that the above solution have to be periodic and local. These two requirements drastically reduces the degrees of freedom in choosing the constant matrix  $U$  as will be shown below.

Let us consider the periodicity. Inserting the monodromy properties into the above solutions and letting the fields  $\varphi^i$  and  $\psi_+^i$  to be periodic, we have

$$S U \bar{S} = U. \tag{3.34}$$

This requirement can be fulfilled as follows. Since the monodromy matrix  $S$  is upper triangular, it can be diagonalized by a unique strictly upper triangular matrix  $g$ ,

$$S = g\kappa g^{-1}, \quad \kappa = e^{2\pi\partial_+ K_+(0)},$$

where  $\partial_+ K_+(0)$  means  $\partial_+ K_+(x)|_{x=0}$ , which is obviously diagonal. Similarly we can diagonalize the monodromy matrix  $\bar{S}$  of the other chirality by a strictly lower triangular matrix  $\bar{g}$ ,

$$\bar{S} = \bar{g}\bar{\kappa}\bar{g}^{-1}, \quad \bar{\kappa} = e^{-2\pi\partial_- K_-(0)},$$

with the notation  $\partial_- K_-(0) = \partial_- K_-(x)|_{x=0}$ .

It is then evident that the periodicity condition (3.34) is satisfied if the constant matrix  $U$  takes the form

$$U = g\mathcal{D}\bar{g}^{-1},$$

together with a constraint condition imposed on the positive and negative zero modes,

$$\kappa\bar{\kappa} = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_+ K_+(0) = \partial_- K_-(0).$$

Actually, the above conditions simply imply that the left and right monodromies and the constant matrix  $U$  can be diagonalized simultaneously and the diagonal parts of the left and right monodromies must be equal.

The remaining problem—the problem of locality of the general solution—is far more difficult to prove. However, the general principal for this proof is rather simple [24]. Starting from the Poisson brackets for  $\partial_\pm K_\pm$  and  $\bar{P}_+$ , one can obtain well defined exchange relations between each pair of the normalized chiral fields  $\sigma^{(i)}$ ,  $\zeta^{(i)}$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}$  and the monodromy matrices  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$ , then by direct calculations using the general solution formula one can prove that the only admissible diagonal matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying the locality condition is the one of the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \Theta\bar{\Theta}, \quad \Theta = e^{\Pi - \partial_+ K_+(0)}, \quad \bar{\Theta} = e^{\bar{\Pi} + \partial_- K_-(0)}.$$

where  $\Pi$  and  $\bar{\Pi}$  are respectively the conjugate variables of the zero modes  $\partial_+ K_+(0)$  and  $\partial_- K_-(0)$ . As the explicit calculations are considerably long and tedious, we prefer to publish them in a separate publication rather than present them in the present chapter.

Readers who are smart enough might already have noticed that, eq.(32), while appropriately gauge transformed, yields the following DS-like linear systems,

$$\partial_+ V_+ = (\partial_+ K_+ + \bar{P}_+ + \mu)V_+, \quad \partial_- V_- = V_-(\partial_- K_- + \nu),$$

where  $V_+ \equiv e^{K_+} M_+$ ,  $V_- \equiv M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-}$ . However, as the normalizations of  $V_\pm$  and  $Q_\pm$  are different, we cannot identify the last equations with the standard DS-systems. In fact, these two objects differ from each other by a right-shift with a constant matrix which results in different monodromy properties of the corresponding chiral vectors. To be more explicit, the chiral vectors  $\xi^{(i)}$ ,  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^{(i)}$  described in subsection 3.1 are related to the chiral vectors  $\sigma^{(i)}$ ,  $\varsigma^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}$  as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{(i)} &= \xi^{(i)} V_+^{-1}(0) = \xi^{(i)} M_+^{-1}(0) e^{-K_+(0)}, \\ \varsigma^{(i)} &= \zeta^{(i)} V_+^{-1}(0) = \zeta^{(i)} M_+^{-1}(0) e^{-K_+(0)}, \\ \bar{\sigma}^{(i)} &= V_-^{-1}(0) \bar{\xi}^{(i)} = e^{K_-(0)} M_-(0) \bar{\xi}^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can relate the general solution described in terms of the chiral vectors  $\xi^{(i)}$ ,  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^{(i)}$  to the one described by  $\sigma^{(i)}$ ,  $\varsigma^{(i)}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}^{(i)}$  as

$$U = V_+(0) V_-(0) = e^{K_+(0)} M_+(0) M_-^{-1}(0) e^{-K_-(0)}.$$

So to ensure that the solution given by eqs. (3.23)-(3.24) to be periodic and local, all what is needed is to choose appropriate initial values for the fields  $K_\pm$  and  $M_\pm$ .

*Remark.*

In this subsection we considered only the zero modes of the fields  $K_\pm$ . There are, however, zero mode problems for the fields  $P_+$  and  $\bar{P}_+$ . It is these zero modes that make the chiral vectors  $\sigma^{(i)}$  and  $\varsigma^{(i)}$  interact nontrivially. Since the zero modes for the fields  $P_+$  and  $\bar{P}_+$  *do not* lie in the diagonal, it is much more difficult to disentangle them than disentangling the zero modes of  $\partial_\pm K_\pm$ . We hope to come back to this point later.

### 3.4 Special solution and WZNW model

The general solution obtained in the last section involves matrix elements in all the fundamental representations. Such a solution is very useful while studying the symmetries of the model. But in practice, one is often concerned about the explicit space-time behaviors of the fundamental fields. In this

case, the general solution given above may appear not to be very helpful. In this section, we shall give another attempt for deriving the explicit solution of the model.

### 3.4.1 Wronskian type special solution

Let us start from the chiral embedding of the light cone coordinates  $x_+$ ,  $x_-$  into the product space  $V = \mathbf{R}^{r+1} \otimes \mathbf{R}^{2(r+1)}$ . Such embedding are expressed by the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}^i &= \bar{\xi}^i(x_-), & X^i &= \xi^i(x_+), & Y^i &= \zeta^i(x_+), \\ & & & & & i = 1, 2, \dots, r+1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where  $\bar{X}^i$  and  $X^i$ ,  $Y^i$  are respectively coordinates of the left  $\mathbf{R}^{r+1}$  and the right  $\mathbf{R}^{2(r+1)}$  spaces,  $\bar{\xi}^i$ ,  $\xi^i$  and  $\zeta^i$  are arbitrary functions of the arguments (*these functions must obey some fixed monodromy properties in order to maintain the dynamics since they are exactly the components of the chiral vectors  $\xi^{(1)}$ ,  $\zeta^{(1)}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^{(1)}$  as we shall show later. However, given the discussions in the last subsection, we are left with no doubt in the fact that there exist physically meaningful solutions for our model, and that is enough for our following discussions. Therefore we do not care about any explicit monodromy behaviors of the above chiral embedding functions*).

Now define two sets of  $(r+1)$  column-vectors  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_a$  and row-vectors  $\mathbf{f}_a$  as following,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_a^i(x_-) &= \partial_-^{a-1} \bar{\xi}^i(x_-), \\ f_{2a-1}^i(x_+) &= \partial_+^{a-1} \xi^i(x_+), \\ f_{2a}^i(x_+) &= \partial_+^{a-1} \zeta^i(x_+), \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, r+1. \end{aligned}$$

From these vectors we can construct the  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  matrix of inner products

$$g_{ab}(x_-, x_+) \equiv \mathbf{f}_a \mathbf{f}_b = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} f_a^i(x_+) \bar{f}_b^i(x_-), \quad a, b = 1, 2, \dots, r+1.$$

We also introduce the following notations,

$$\Delta_a \equiv \det \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1a} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{a1} & \cdots & g_{aa} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, r+1,$$

$$\Delta_0 \equiv 1.$$

Using these notations, we now define two sets of new vectors  $\mathbf{e}_a$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_a$ ,

$$e_a^i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{a-1}\Delta_a}} \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1,a-1} & f_1^i \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{a1} & \cdots & g_{a,a-1} & f_a^i \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\bar{e}_a^i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{a-1}\Delta_a}} \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1,a} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{a-1,1} & \cdots & g_{a-1,a} \\ \bar{f}_1^i & \cdots & \bar{f}_a^i \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.36)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_a$  are  $(r+1)$ -row vectors, and  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_a$  are  $(r+1)$ -column vectors. It can be easily proved that the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_a$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_a$  are orthogonal to each other,

$$(\mathbf{e}_a, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_b) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} e_a^i \bar{e}_b^i = \delta_{ab}. \quad (3.37)$$

This is due to the following Laplacian expansions of the definition (3.36),

$$e_a^i = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1}}{\Delta_a}} \sum_{l=1}^a \frac{\Delta_a(l, a)}{\Delta_{a-1}} f_l^i(x_+) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1}}{\Delta_a}} \sum_{l=1}^a (A^{-1})_{al} f_l^i(x_+),$$

$$\bar{e}_a^i = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1}}{\Delta_a}} \sum_{l=1}^a \frac{\Delta_a(a, l)}{\Delta_{a-1}} \bar{f}_l^i(x_-) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^a \bar{f}_l^i(x_-) (C^{-1})_{la} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1}}{\Delta_a}}, \quad (3.38)$$

where  $\Delta_a(i, j)$  are algebraic cominors of the entry  $(i, j)$ . According to these Laplacian expansions, we can express the derivatives of  $\mathbf{e}_a$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_a$  in terms of their linear combinations,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \mathbf{e}_a &= (\omega_+)_a^b \mathbf{e}_b, & \partial_- \mathbf{e}_a &= (\omega_-)_a^b \mathbf{e}_b, \\ \partial_+ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_a &= \bar{\mathbf{e}}_b (\bar{\omega}_+)_a^b, & \partial_- \bar{\mathbf{e}}_a &= \bar{\mathbf{e}}_b (\bar{\omega}_-)_a^b, \end{aligned}$$

where as usual, the subscripts  $\pm$  specifies the upper- or lower-triangularities of the corresponding  $\omega$  matrices. The orthogonality condition (3.37) now implies that  $\omega_{\pm}$  and  $\bar{\omega}_{\pm}$  are not independent objects,

$$(\omega_{\pm})_a^b = -(\bar{\omega}_{\pm})_a^b.$$

Further more, straightforward calculations show that only a few of the matrix elements in  $\omega_{\pm}$  are nonvanishing, the nonvanishing elements being sited on the main diagonal and the first and the second upper-/lower-diagonals. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \mathbf{e}_a &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \ln \left( \frac{\Delta_a}{\Delta_{a-1}} \right) \mathbf{e}_a \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1} \Delta_{a+1}}{\Delta_a^2}} \left( \frac{\Delta_a(a-1, a)}{\Delta_{a-1}} - \frac{\Delta_{a+2}(a+1, a+2)}{\Delta_{a+1}} \right) \mathbf{e}_{a+1} \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-1} \Delta_{a+1}}{\Delta_a^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_a \Delta_{a+2}}{\Delta_{a+1}^2}} \mathbf{e}_{a+2}, \\ \partial_- \mathbf{e}_a &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_- \ln \left( \frac{\Delta_a}{\Delta_{a-1}} \right) \mathbf{e}_a \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{a-2} \Delta_a}{\Delta_{a-1}^2}} \mathbf{e}_{a-1}, \\ a &= 1, 2, \dots, r+1, \\ \mathbf{e}_{-1} &= \mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_{r+2} = \mathbf{e}_{r+3} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Denoting

$$\varphi^a = \ln \Delta_a, \quad \psi_+^a = -\frac{\Delta_{a+1}(a, a+1)}{\Delta_a}, \tag{3.40}$$

eq.(3.39) will become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \mathbf{e}_a &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ (\varphi^a - \varphi^{a-1}) \mathbf{e}_a \\ &+ (w^a)^{1/2} (\psi_+^{a-1} - \psi_+^{a+1}) \mathbf{e}_{a+1} + (w^a w^{a+1})^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_{a+2}, \\ \partial_- \mathbf{e}_a &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_- (\varphi^a - \varphi^{a-1}) \mathbf{e}_a \\ &- (w^{a-1})^{1/2} \mathbf{e}_{a-1}, \\ w^a &\equiv \exp(\varphi^{a-1} + \varphi^{a+1} - 2\varphi^a). \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

The compatibility condition  $[\partial_+, \partial_-]e_a = 0$  of eq.(3.41) then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^j - (\psi_+^{j-1} - \psi_+^{j+1})w^j &= 0, & \partial_- \psi_+^j - w^j &= 0, & j &= 1, \dots, r, \\ \partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^{r+1} &= 0, & \partial_- \psi_+^{r+1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Except for the nonvanishing functions  $\varphi^{r+1}$  and  $\psi_+^{r+1}$ , the above equations are very similar to the equations of motion (3.4) of the HTFT. So if we can somehow fix the values of  $\varphi^{r+1}$  and  $\psi_+^{r+1}$  to zero, then the above construction will really result in an explicit solution to the system (3.4).

In order to fix the values of  $\varphi^{r+1}$  and  $\psi_+^{r+1}$  to zero, let us mention that the  $\Delta$  symbols  $\Delta_{r+1}$  and  $\Delta_{r+2}(r+1, r+2)$  are simply products of chiral objects,

$$\Delta_{r+1} = \det g = U\bar{U}, \quad \Delta_{r+2}(r+1, r+2) = V\bar{U},$$

where  $U, \bar{U}$  are respectively the determinants of the matrices consisted of the functions  $f_a^i$  and  $\bar{f}_a^i$ , and  $V$  is defined as follows,

$$V = \begin{vmatrix} f_1^1 & f_1^2 & \dots & f_1^{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_r^1 & f_r^2 & \dots & f_r^{r+1} \\ f_{r+2}^1 & f_{r+2}^2 & \dots & f_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now it is clear from eq.(3.40) that if we set  $\varphi^{r+1} = \psi_+^{r+1} = 0$  then the following conditions on  $U$  and  $V$  have to be satisfied,

$$U = \bar{U} = 1, \quad V = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

In terms of the original embedding functions, these constraints are nothing but linear differential equations for the  $3(r+1)$  arbitrary functions. Such equations can be easily solved for any three of the embedding functions, and that will finish the construction of the wronskian type solution.

### 3.4.2 Connections with WZNW theory

Readers who are familiar with the classical W-geometrical theory of Gervais *et al* [12] may have already recognized that the constructions made above are almost based on the similar construction in the conventional CT theory. That *is* the point. In the case of standard CT theory, the wronskian type

solution is closely related to the Drinfeld-Sokolov gauges of the corresponding  $(W_{r+1})_L \otimes (W_{r+1})_R$  symmetries of the model. Now we come to show that the conformal algebra for the HTFT is  $(W_{r+1})_L \otimes (W_{r+1}^{(2)})_R$  in contrast to the CT ( $(W_{r+1})_L \otimes (W_{r+1})_R$  algebra) and 2-ECT ( $(W_{r+1}^{(2)})_L \otimes (W_{r+1}^{(2)})_R$  algebra) cases. The difference in conformal algebras in the left and the right chiral sectors is the most crucial property of the present model.

Remembering the definitions of the matrix elements  $g_{ab}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ g_{ab} &= g_{a+2,b}, & \partial_- g_{ab} &= g_{a,b+1}, \\ a &= 1, 2, \dots, r-1, & b &= 1, 2, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form, we have

$$\partial_+ g = J_+ g, \quad \partial_- g = g J_-, \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$J_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & * \\ 1 & 0 & & * \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & \dots & * & * & * \\ * & * & \dots & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.44)$$

and the non-zero non-constant entries “\*” in (3.44) are to be determined. Eq.(3.43) is nothing but a constrained version of the well known WZNW equations, with  $J_{\pm}$  being the constrained WZNW currents. We recognize that this form of the constrained WZNW currents is written in the well-known Drinfeld-Sokolov gauges of the  $(W_{r+1})_L$  and  $(W_{r+1}^{(2)})_R$  algebras, where the “\*” entries are just the W algebra generators. It is straightforward to check that the vectors  $\mathbf{f}_a$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_a$  also solve eq.(3.43),

$$\partial_+ \mathbf{f}_a = \sum_{b=1}^{r+1} (J_+)_{ab} \mathbf{f}_b, \quad \partial_- \bar{\mathbf{f}}_a = \sum_{b=1}^{r+1} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_b (J_-)_{ba}. \quad (3.45)$$

hence the matrices consisted of the functions  $f_a^i$  and  $\bar{f}_a^i$  are respectively the chiral components of the WZNW field  $g$ , and there is no problem in concluding that all the “\*” in eq. (3.44) are chiral objects.

Now let us go one step further to determine the relations between the W algebra generators and the embedding functions (3.35).

Substituting the relations  $\partial_+ \mathbf{f}_a = \mathbf{f}_{a+2}$ ,  $\partial_- \bar{\mathbf{f}}_b = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{b+1}$  into eq.(3.45), we have

$$\sum_{c=1}^{r+1} (J_+)_{ac} \mathbf{f}_c = \mathbf{f}_{a+2}, \quad \sum_{c=1}^{r+1} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_c (J_-)_{cb} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_{b+1}. \quad (3.46)$$

Solving the above linear system of equations for the variables  $(J_+)_{ac}$  and  $(J_-)_{cb}$  with  $a = r, r+1$  and  $b = r+1$ , it results in

$$\begin{aligned} (J_+)_{r,c} &= -\frac{R_c(c, r+2)}{U}, & (J_+)_{r+1,c} &= -\frac{S_c(c, r+2)}{U}, \\ (J_-)_{r+1,c} &= -\frac{\bar{R}_c(c, r+2)}{U}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where  $R_c$ ,  $\bar{R}_c$  and  $S_c$  are given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} R_c &= \begin{vmatrix} f_1^1 & \cdots & f_1^{r+1} & f_1^c \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{r+1}^1 & \cdots & f_{r+1}^{r+1} & f_{r+1}^c \\ f_{r+2}^1 & \cdots & f_{r+2}^{r+1} & f_{r+2}^c \end{vmatrix} = 0, \\ S_c &= \begin{vmatrix} f_1^1 & \cdots & f_1^{r+1} & f_1^c \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{r+1}^1 & \cdots & f_{r+1}^{r+1} & f_{r+1}^c \\ f_{r+3}^1 & \cdots & f_{r+3}^{r+1} & f_{r+3}^c \end{vmatrix} = 0, \\ \bar{R}_c &= R_c(\text{with } f_a^i \leftrightarrow \bar{f}_a^i). \end{aligned}$$

Eq.(3.47) determines the W algebra generators completely in terms of the embedding functions (3.35). It is interesting to notice that constraint conditions in (3.42) implies that

$$(J_+)_{r,r+1} = 0, \quad (J_+)_{r,r} + (J_+)_{r+1,r+1} = 0, \quad (J_-)_{r+1,r+1} = 0.$$

This not only ensures the tracelessness of the  $A_r$  WZNW currents (3.44) but also makes  $J_{\pm}$  fit in the framework of eq.(3.5).

Now let us give some brief remarks on the aspects which are not mentioned above.

1. Using the standard method, we can also reduce eq.(3.45) into the Gelfand-Dickey equations for the W algebras in the left and right chiral sectors. The one for the  $(W_{r+1})_L$  is a scalar differential equation, and the one for  $(W_{r+1})_R$  is a matrix one. The matrix Gelfand-Dickey equation corresponding to the  $W_{r+1}^{(2)}$  algebra was first given in Ref.[13].
2. The matrices  $A$ ,  $C$  defined in eq.(3.38) have very profound meanings in the WZNW setting of the model. In fact, from (3.38), we can write

$$\mathbf{f}_a = \sum_{l=1}^a A_{al} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_l}{\Delta_{l-1}}} \mathbf{e}_l, \quad \bar{\mathbf{f}}_a = \sum_{l=1}^a \bar{\mathbf{e}}_l \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_l}{\Delta_{l-1}}} C_{la}, \quad (3.48)$$

from which we have

$$g_{ab} = \mathbf{f}_a \bar{\mathbf{f}}_b = ABC, \quad (B)_{ab} \equiv [\exp(\Phi)]_{ab} = \frac{\Delta_a}{\Delta_{a-1}} \delta_{ab}.$$

The last equation shows that the matrices  $A$ ,  $C$  are exactly the lower- and upper-triangular parts in the Gauss decomposition of the constrained WZNW field  $g$ . Moreover, it can be shown that  $A$ ,  $C$  satisfy the following equations,

$$A^{-1} \partial_- A = \exp(\text{ad}\Phi) \nu, \quad \partial_+ C C^{-1} = \exp(-\text{ad}\Phi) (\bar{\Psi}_+ + \mu).$$

These equations are precisely the constrained WZNW equations re-expressed in terms of the Gauss components  $A$  and  $C$ .

3. The chiral embedding functions (3.35) are just the components of the chiral vectors  $\bar{\xi}^{(1)}$ ,  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\zeta^{(1)}$  corresponding to the defining representation of the Lie algebra  $A_r$ . This statement makes the discussions in this and the last sections finally unified, and it follows that the W algebras  $(W_{r+1})_L$  and  $(W_{r+1})_R$  are related to the simple exchange algebra (3.14-3.22) by eq.(3.47). So it seems that the exchange algebra (3.14-3.22) is more fundamental than the W symmetry algebras.

Now let us spend some more words on the identification of chiral embedding functions with the chiral vectors (3.12) and (3.13). Notice that while the constraints (3.42) are imposed, eq.(3.41) will become exactly the Lax pair (3.2) of  $A_r$  HTFT, with the transport matrix  $T$  defined as

$$T_{ai} \equiv \mathbf{e}_a^i, \quad T_{ia}^{-1} \equiv \bar{\mathbf{e}}_a^i.$$

This observation enables us to rewrite eq.(3.48) into

$$f_a^i = \sum_{l=1}^a A_{al} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) \right]_{ll} T_{li}, \quad \bar{f}_a^i = \sum_{l=1}^a (T^{-1})_{il} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) \right]_{ll} C_{la}. \quad (3.49)$$

On the other hand, the definitions of  $A$  and  $C$  implies that

$$A_{aa} = 1, \quad A_{a+1,a} = \psi_+^a, \quad C_{aa} = 1. \quad (3.50)$$

Substituting eq.(3.50) into (3.49) and remember that  $\xi^i = f_1^i$ ,  $\zeta^i = f_2^i$ ,  $\bar{\xi}^i = \bar{f}_1^i$ , we finally get

$$\bar{\xi}^i = (T^{-1})_{i1} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) \right]_{11} C_{11} = \left\{ T^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) \right\}_{i1}, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\xi^i = A_{11} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) \right]_{11} (T)_{1i} = \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) T \right\}_{1i}, \quad (3.52)$$

$$\zeta^i = \left\{ \exp(\Psi_+) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \Phi \right) T \right\}_{2i}. \quad (3.53)$$

Eqs.(3.51-3.53) are exactly the definitions (3.12) and (3.13) rewritten in the defining representation of the Lie algebra  $A_r$ .

To end the present section, let us mention that the wronskian type solution (3.40) is only a special solution. The key point in relating this special solution to the general solution given in the last section is to perform *chiral* gauge transformations starting from the Drinfeld-Sokolov type gauges (3.44). In terms of the embedding functions, such gauge transformations are expressed by replacing these chiral functions by their arbitrary linear combinations, and that is all about the W-geometrical picture about the HTFT.

### 3.5 Connections with Toda lattice hierarchy

In this section, we are going to consider the connections between HTFT and the well known Toda lattice hierarchy (TLH). This is a totally different view point in contrast to the discussions made in the former sections.

First let us briefly recall the usual description of TLH (here we are using the notations of Ref.[5]). Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the space of the unitary hermitian states  $\{|n\rangle\}$  equipped with the metric

$$\langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{mn}.$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be the shifting operator acting on  $\mathcal{O}$ ,

$$\Lambda|n\rangle = |n+1\rangle, \quad \langle n|\Lambda = \langle n-1|.$$

It follows that any operator  $W$  acting on the space  $\mathcal{O}$  can be expressed as

$$W = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} W_j \Lambda^j, \quad W_j = \sum_n |n\rangle W_j(n) \langle n|.$$

Moreover, each operator  $W$  admits a unique factorization

$$W = W_+ + W_-, \quad W_+ = \sum_{j \geq 0} W_j \Lambda^j, \quad W_- = \sum_{j < 0} W_j \Lambda^j.$$

Now consider two special operators of the form

$$L = \Lambda + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \Lambda^{-n}, \quad M = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} v_n \Lambda^n$$

and defining

$$B_n \equiv (L^n)_+, \quad C_n \equiv (M^n)_-,$$

the TLH is then determined by the following evolution equations for the operators  $L$  and  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n L &= [B_n, L], & \bar{\partial}_n L &= [C_n, L], \\ \partial_n M &= [B_n, M], & \bar{\partial}_n M &= [C_n, M], \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, by the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_n - B_n, \partial_m - B_m] &= 0, \\
[\bar{\partial}_n - C_n, \bar{\partial}_m - C_m] &= 0, \\
[\partial_n - B_n, \bar{\partial}_m - C_m] &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

The claim of this section is that the  $A_\infty$  limit of the HTFT (3.4) is just the  $(B_2, C_1)$  flow in the hierarchy (3.54). To justify this claim let us recall that  $B_2$  and  $C_1$  must be of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= \sum_s (|s\rangle\langle s|\Lambda^2 + |s\rangle b_1(s)\langle s|\Lambda + |s\rangle b_0(s)\langle s|), \\
C_1 &= \sum_s |s\rangle c_1(s)\langle s|\Lambda^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Substituting eq.(3.55) into the second member of (3.54), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_+ c_1(a) + c_1(a)b_0(a+1) - b_0(a)c_1(a) &= 0, \\
\partial_- b_1(a) - c_1(a) + c_1(a-2) &= 0, \\
\partial_- b_0(a) - c_1(a)b_1(a+1) + b_1(a)c_1(a-1) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

where  $\partial_+ \equiv \partial_2$ ,  $\partial_- \equiv \bar{\partial}_1$ . Changing the variables  $b_0(a) \rightarrow \partial_+(\varphi^{a-1} - \varphi^a)$ ,  $b_1(a) \rightarrow \psi_+^a - \psi_+^{a-2}$ , eq.(3.56) will become

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi^a - (\psi_+^{a-1} - \psi_+^{a+1}) \exp(\varphi^{a-1} + \varphi^{a+1} - 2\varphi^a) &= 0, \\
\partial_- \psi_+^a - \exp(\varphi^{a-1} + \varphi^{a+1} - 2\varphi^a) &= 0, \\
a = -\infty, \dots, \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

We see that eq.(3.57) is just the  $A_\infty$  version of eq.(3.4). Therefore, the model we are considering is really a Toda type theory.

Recently, it is of increasing interests to study the so-called continuous Toda field theories [15-19]. Such theories have intimate relations with the W-infinity algebras and self-dual gravities. So it seems worthwhile to remark here that the continuous limit of the present model will become a (1+2)-dimensional heterotic Toda theory. Such a theory is expected to be related to the heterotic W-infinity gravities. We hope to come back to this point later.

### 3.6 Summary and Discussions

Let us now summarize the whole chapter and make some more discussions.

In this chapter, we constructed a heterotic Toda field theory, studied its chiral exchange algebra and gave the classical general solution and a wronskian type special solution. We also showed that the wronskian type solution is closely related to the W algebra symmetries of the model. The chiral vectors  $\xi^{(i)}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}^{(i)}$  and  $\zeta^{(i)}$  are the cross-point of all these different aspects. Besides all these, we showed that the HTFT is really a member in the TLH. This final statement may be of interesting in the theories of W-infinity algebras and self-dual gravities. It can be expected that in the continuous limit, the HTFT will yield two sets of W-infinity algebras, one of them will be the usual  $(w_\infty)_L$  algebra, and the other will be a generalized  $(w_\infty)_L$  algebra which may be denoted  $(w_\infty^{(2)})_R$ . The possibility for the existence of  $(w_\infty^{(2)})_R$  type algebras was first proposed by the authors in Ref.[3]. Detailed study on the W-infinity algebras and W-infinity gravities in the framework of HTFT will be presented elsewhere.

It is also worth mentioning that here we only worked on the Lie algebra  $A_r$ . We can also construct similar models based on other finite dimensional Lie algebras as well as loop and affine Lie algebras. In the latter cases, we shall arrive at heterotic analogies of LT and CAT theories. Then the soliton behaviors in these heterotic loop Toda and conformal affine Toda theories may become interesting subjects of further study. Moreover, as the complex affine (loop) Toda theories are receiving considerable attentions [20-23] because they give physically meaningful (real) energy-momentum tensors, it may also be interesting to study the case of complex coupling constants of these heterotic models.

# Bibliography

- [1] O. Babelon, L. Bonora, Phys. Lett. **244B** (1990) 220
- [2] L. Chao, Commun. Theore. Phys. **15** (1993) 221
- [3] B.-Y. Hou, L. Chao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 1105; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 3773
- [4] V.A. Fateev, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987) 644  
T. Eguchi, S.K. Yang, Phys. Lett. **224B** (1989) 373; **235B** (1990) 282
- [5] M. Fukuma, T. Takebe, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5** (1990) 509
- [6] A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge field and strings*, pp269–273, Harwood Academic Publishers 1987
- [7] O. Babelon, Phys. Lett. **215B** (1988) 523
- [8] K. Ueno, K. Takasaki, *Toda Lattice Hierarchy*, Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics 4, pp1–95 (1984)
- [9] for reviews, see L. feher, L.O’Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Ttsutsui, A. Wipf, Phys. Rep. **222(1)** (1993) 1. See also B.-Y. Hou and L. Chao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 7015
- [10] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, Phys. Lett. **260B** (1991) 81, Commun. Math. Phys. **149** (1992) 279
- [11] A.N. Leznov, M.V. Saveliev, Phys. Lett. **79B** (1978) 294, Lett. Math. Phys. **3** (1979) 207, Lett. Math. Phys. **3** (1979) 489, Commun. Math. Phys. **74** (1980) 111, Lett. Math. Phys. **6** (1982) 505, Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983) 59
- [12] J.-L. Gervais, Y. Matsuo, Commun. Math. Phys. **152** (1993) 317

- [13] L. Chao, B.-Y. Hou, Ann. Phys. (in press)
- [14] K. Takasaki, T. Takebe, Lett. Math. Phys. **23** (1991) 205,  
T. Takebe, Commun. Math. Phys. **129** (1990) 281
- [15] Q.-H. Park, Phys. Lett. **236B** (1990) 429
- [16] J. Avan, Phys. Lett. **168A** (1992) 263
- [17] M.V. Saveliev, S.A. Savelieva, Phys. Lett. **313B** (1993) 55
- [18] K. Takasaki, Kyoto Univ Preprint KUCP-0057/93
- [19] S.-Y. Lou, Ningbo Normal College preprint 1993
- [20] T. Hollowood, Nucl. Phys. **384B** (1992) 523
- [21] H.-C. Liao, D. Olive, N. Turok, Phys. Lett. **298B** (1993) 95
- [22] D. Olive, N. Turok, J.W. Underwood, preprints Imperial/TP/91-92/35,  
Imperial/TP/92-93/29
- [23] M.A.C. Kneipp, D. Olive, Swansea preprint SWAT/92-93/6
- [24] O. Babelon, L. Bonora and F. Toppan, Commun. Math. Phys. **140**  
(1990) 93; E. Aldrovandi, L. Bonora, V. Bonservizi, R. Paunov, Int. J.  
Mod. Phys. **A9** (1994) 57

## Chapter 4

# Heterotic Liouville systems from Bernoulli equation

Liouville equation has attracted the attentions of both theoretical physicists and mathematicians for quite a long history [1], and even after over a century's investigations, the importance thereof is still not faded in both physics and mathematics. Physically, Liouville system is closely related with the theories of two-dimensional gravity, strings and conformal fields [2]. Mathematically, Liouville equation is the characteristic equation of two-dimensional surfaces of constant Gauss curvatur lying in Euclidean three-space [3]; a simple reduction of Liouville equation leads to the well known Ricatti equation and thus provides a prototype of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations, *etc.* The recent development of the theories of quantum groups and quantum conformal fields [4] shows that Liouville system is a very interesting field of study which calls for a re-union of physics and mathematics.

In this chapter, we will consider a large class of “heterotic” extensions of Liouville equation, which contain one extra “dependent” variable  $\psi$  besides the original Liouville variable  $\varphi$ . These extensions are called heterotic because a simple check shows that they possess heterotic conformal symmetry except in the simplest nontrivial case, *i.e.* the Liouville case, in which the heterotic conformal symmetry becomes the normal one. We will show that these heterotic Liouville systems possess interesting features in addition to the heterotic conformal symmetry.

Recall that the Liouville equation  $\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi = 2e^\varphi$  can be reduced into Ricatti equation  $\partial_z w - w^2 + f(z) = 0$  by the substitution  $\varphi = \ln \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi$  and performing integration with respect to  $\bar{z}$  twice. Conversely Liouville equation

can be reconstructed from Ricatti equation using the same substitution and differentiating with respect to  $\bar{z}$  twice. Inspired by this observation, let us start by considering the Bernoulli equation

$$\partial_z \psi = \psi^n + f(z)\psi + g(z) \quad (4.1)$$

with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f(z)$ ,  $g(z)$  are functions of  $z$  only whilst  $\psi$  is considered to depend on both  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ . Differentiate (1) with respect to  $\bar{z}$  once, we get

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi = n\psi^{n-1} \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi + f(z) \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi.$$

Divided by  $\partial_z \psi$  and differentiate with respect to  $\bar{z}$  again, we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \frac{\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi}{\partial_z \psi} \right) = n(n-1) \psi^{n-2} \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi.$$

Now using the substitution

$$\varphi = \ln \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi,$$

we obtain

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi = n(n-1) \psi^{n-2} e^\varphi, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi = e^\varphi. \quad (4.3)$$

This system of equations is just what have been called heterotic Liouville system, among which the simplest case of  $n = 2$  corresponds to the standard Liouville equation

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi = 2e^\varphi.$$

The heterotic conformal symmetry of the system (2,3) can be easily verified as follows. Let the “space-time” coordinates  $z$ ,  $\bar{z}$  undergo the following conformal transformation

$$z \rightarrow \tilde{z} = \xi(z), \quad \bar{z} \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{z}} = \bar{\xi}(\bar{z}),$$

and requiring that the system (2,3) is left invariant, we find that the variables  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  must transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} &= \varphi + \ln \left[ (\xi'(z))^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} (\bar{\xi}'(\bar{z}))^{-1} \right], \\ \psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} &= (\xi'(z))^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} \psi,\end{aligned}$$

where primes denotes derivatives with respect to the arguments. We see that the variables  $e^\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are respectively conformal tensors (or “primary fields”) of dimensions  $(\frac{1}{n-1}, 1)$  and  $(\frac{1}{n-1}, 0)$ . This left-right asymmetric nature is referred to as heterotic conformal symmetry in this chapter.

It should be remarked that the heterotic conformal symmetry is not a new type of conformal symmetry [7]. It is just the usual conformal symmetry plus some appropriate extension in only one of the two chiral sectors. Such extension should amount to W-like algebras, which has nothing to do with the space-time transformation or “point symmetries” of the system. Actually, we have worked out the maximum point symmetry group of the system (2-3), using the standard method of prolongation [5], which turns out to be just the two-dimensional conformal group. Such result is not included here because the calculation is rather tedious and the method is standard. However, from this result, we can conclude that the system we are considering is integrable because it has long be concluded that conformal invariance implies integrability [8].

Now let us show that the heterotic Liouville system (2,3) possess infinite many symmetries generated by some simple, obvious symmetry and a recursion operator. In order to achieve this let us rewrite the system (2,3) as follows

$$\partial_z u = n(n-1)\psi^{n-2}e^{\partial_z^{-1}u}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi = e^{\partial_z^{-1}u}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$u = \partial_z \varphi, \quad \partial_z^{-1} = \int^z dz.$$

Substituting (5) into (4), we have

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}u = n(n-1)\psi^{n-2}\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi.$$

Upon integration with respect to  $\bar{z}$ , we get

$$u = n\psi^{n-1},$$

where we have omitted an integration constant depending arbitrarily on  $z$  without loss of generality. Substitute the last result into (4) we finally get

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}u = n(n-1)\left(\frac{u}{n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}e^{\partial_{\bar{z}}^{-1}u}.$$

Rewriting  $u$  as  $v^{n-1}$  and rescaling  $\bar{z}$  as  $\bar{z} \rightarrow n^{1-n}\bar{z}$ , we have for  $v$  the following equation

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}v = e^{\partial_{\bar{z}}^{-1}v^{n-1}}. \quad (4.6)$$

By a symmetry of equation (6) we mean an infinitesimal change of the variable  $v$

$$v \rightarrow \tilde{v} = v + \epsilon\sigma$$

such that  $\tilde{v}$  still satisfy equation (6). It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is a symmetry of (6) if and only if it is a solution of the equation

$$D_{\Delta}\sigma = 0, \quad D_{\Delta} \equiv \partial_{\bar{z}} - (n-1)v_{\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{z}}^{-1}v^{n-2}, \quad (4.7)$$

where we have denoted  $\partial_{\bar{z}}v$  as  $v_{\bar{z}}$ . Straight forward calculations show that the following quantities are symmetries,

$$\sigma_1 = v_z, \quad \sigma_2 = v_{\bar{z}}.$$

Moreover, the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \partial_z(\partial_z - v^{n-1}\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1}) = \partial_z G \partial_z G^{-2} v^{-(n-1)} \partial_z G \partial_z^{-1} v^{n-1}, \\ G &\equiv e^{\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

is a recursion operator, namely, if  $\sigma$  is a symmetry of the system (6), then so is

$$\sigma^{(n)} \equiv \Phi^n \sigma. \quad (4.8)$$

To prove that  $\Phi$  is a recursion operator one only needs to check that [5]

$$D_\Delta \Phi = \tilde{\Phi} D_\Delta \quad (4.9)$$

for some other operator  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Thus it is obvious that  $\Phi^{-1}$  will also be a recursion operator provided the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is invertible. In the present case we find that the operator  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is equal to

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi - (n-1)G\partial_z^{-1}(v_{zz} + 2v_z\partial_z + v^{n-1}v_z)\partial_z^{-1}(I - \partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1}).$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator. To prove that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is invertible, let us check that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z(\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1} - I) &= (\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1} - I)D_\Delta, \\ \partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1} - I &= -\partial_z^{-1}(\partial_z - v^{n-1}) = -\partial_z^{-1}G\partial_z G^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since now  $D_\Delta$  is invertible,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\Delta^{-1} &= (\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1} - I)^{-1}\partial_z(\partial_z^{-1}v^{n-1} - I) \\ &= G\partial_z^{-1}G^{-1}\partial_z\partial_z\partial_z^{-1}G\partial_z G^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from equation (9) that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is also invertible. Finally we can generate infinite many symmetries of the system (6) starting from the simple symmetries and using the recursion operators  $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ . Here are two things needed to be mentioned. First, one cannot expect to generate new symmetries from  $\sigma_2$  by applying positive powers of  $\Phi$  because  $\Phi\sigma_2 = 0$ . Second, we tried but failed to prove the hereditary property for the recursion operator  $\Phi$ . According to Refs. [9, 10], integrability requires hereditary operators. Thus there may exist other recursion operators which possess the hereditary property in our system.

Now let us return to the heterotic Liouville system (2,3). Besides the infinite many symmetries found above, we also find that the system (2,3) has interesting geometrical implications. Recall that the fact that Liouville

equation corresponds to surfaces of constant Gauss curvature in Euclidean three-space is nothing but a special case of the more general theorem [3] saying that for a general two-dimensional surface with local conformal coordinates  $x, y$  embeded in Euclidean three-space, the Gauss curvature  $K$  can be represented by the induced metric  $dr^2 = e^\varphi(dx^2 + dy^2)$  as

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\varphi}(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\varphi.$$

Choosing  $z = x + iy$  and  $\bar{z} = x - iy$ , the above equation is turned into

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\varphi}\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\varphi.$$

One thus realizes that the heterotic Liouville system (2,3) corresponds to the two-dimensional surfaces of non-constant curvature

$$K = n(n-1)\psi^{n-2},$$

and the change of this curvature along the  $\bar{z}$ -direction is determined by equation (3).

It seems interesting to mention that the first nontrivial extension of Liouville in the system (2,3), *i.e.* the case of  $n = 3$ , corresponds to the so-called (2, 1)-Toda model constructed from the zero-curvature equation for a pair of Lax connections taking values in the Witt algebra [6],

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_z - A_z, \partial_{\bar{z}} - A_{\bar{z}}] &= 0, \\ A_z &= \partial_\varphi L_0 + 3\psi L_1 + L_2, \\ A_{\bar{z}} &= -\exp(\text{ad}_\varphi L_0)L_{-1}, \\ [L_n, L_m] &= (n-m)L_{n+m}. \end{aligned}$$

As the Lax representation is of crucial importance in the theory of classical integrable systems, we would like to explore the Lax representations for the Liouville systems with  $n > 3$ . However we have been unable to solve this problem so far.

In the end of this chapter, we would like to point out some other open problems.

(1) Although we have found infinite many symmetries of the system (2,3), the problem of finding the complete set of symmetries is still opening. It is particularly interesting to see what kind of algebra it would be for this complete set of symmetries.

(2) As the Liouville system has important applications in two-dimensional gravitational theories, it would be an interesting problem to see if there exist physically interesting models of two-dimensional gravity corresponding to the heterotic Liouville systems.

(3) As far as we know, almost all the well-studied integrable nonlinear partial differential equations have close relations to Ricatti equations. In the present case, the role of Ricatti equation is replaced by Bernoulli equation. Therefore it is interesting to ask whether the heterotic Liouville systems belong to a new integrable class of nonlinear partial differential equations, and if so, are there exist any other integrable systems belonging to this new integrable class? We hope these problems can be solved in the subsequent investigations.



# Bibliography

- [1] H.Poincare, Acta. Math. 4 (1884) 201; Acta. Math. 31 (1907) 1.
- [2] A.M.Polyakov, *Gauge fields and strings*, Harwood Academic Publishers (1987).
- [3] B.A.Dubrovin, A.T.Fomenko and S.P.Norvikov, *Modern Geometry—Methods and Applications*, Springer Verlag 1984.
- [4] T.L.Curtright, C.B.Thotu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1309;  
H.Y.Guo, S.K.Wang, Z.H.Wang and K.Wu, Commun. Theore. Phys. 14 (1990) 99.
- [5] P.J.Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, Springer Verlag 1986.
- [6] L.Chao, *Toda fields in  $d \geq 2$ -dimensions*, Talk given at the International Workshop on the Frontiers of Quantum Field Theory, ITP Academic of China, Beijing 1994.
- [7] L.Chao, B.-Y.Hou, Heterotic Toda fields, Preprint NWUIMP-931025, Nucl. Phys. B (in press)
- [8] O.Babelon, Phys. Lett. B215 (1988) 523
- [9] B.Fuchssteiner, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 3 (1979) 849
- [10] A.S.Fokas, B.Fuchssteiner, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 5 (1981) 423



## Chapter 5

# Two-Extended Toda Fields in Three-Dimensions

### 5.1 Introduction

Two-dimensional integrable field theories have proven to be very fruitful over the last twenty years. Among such models, Toda field theories received particular attentions because they are related to most of the important subjects of modern theoretical physics. For examples, the conformal Toda models played important roles in the investigations of extended conformal algebras (W algebras) and W gravities, their affine and conformal affine analogues have been shown to be interesting models as solitonic equations, and also as prototypes of critical-offcritical conformal field theories, and the quantum Toda field theories are among the important quantum integrable field theories which admit the beautiful quantum group symmetries and/or factorizable S-matrices. Besides all these, the study of Toda field theories really helps to establish and understand the systematic methods for treating two-dimensional integrable systems.

Recently, accompanying the investigations of the so-called W-infinity ( $W_\infty$ ,  $W_{1+\infty}$  and  $w_\infty$ ) algebras, there arose a wide interests of studying certain kind of three-dimensional integrable models, especially the well-known KP hierarchy and the “continuous limit” of Toda theories [1-4, 7-8]. The latter, being related to  $w_\infty$  algebra [1-3] and real Euclidean self-dual Einstein gravity [5] and possessing physically non-trivial instanton solutions [4], has been studied by numerous authors from various view points. However, as far as we know, the study of three-dimensional Toda model has not been

put forward to the same extent as in the two-dimensional case. One of the still opening question asks that, in the three-dimensional case, whether there exist any structure like the “fundamental Poisson relation” in the sense of the *St Petersburg* (former Leningrad) group [6], or, whether one can treat the three-dimensional integrable models using the hamiltonian techniques developed for two-dimensional integrable models.

It seems to us that it may be too ambitious to answer the last question at the present stage because we have not even made clear enough by what the term “integrability” in three-dimensions is meant. In two-dimensional case, a system of nonlinear partial differential equations is said to be integrable if it can be represented by the following “zero-curvature” equation

$$[\partial_+ - A_+, \partial_- - A_-] = 0,$$

where the potentials  $A_{\pm}$  are usually Lie algebra-valued. This definition of integrability is certainly different from the classical concept of Liouville integrability. It is sometimes referred to as Lax integrability because the “zero-curvature” equation is just the compatibility condition of the Lax pair  $\partial_{\pm}T = A_{\pm}T$ , and it has been proved that the Lax integrability is reduced to Liouville integrability for two-dimensional systems if and only if the Lax operator  $A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(A_+ - A_-)$  possesses a classical  $r$ -matrix structure [18]. However, for the three-dimensional case, even the Lie algebra-valued Lax potentials  $A_{\pm}$  are hard to find for most systems. So whenever we are speaking of integrable three-dimensional models, we are talking about those models which are solved exactly in some way. Therefore, there seems to be a very long way to establish a systematic approach for three-dimensional integrable field theories.

Fortunately, due to the development of the concept of contragradient continuum Lie algebras by Saveliev and Vershik [7], one is now able to establish the Lax pair representations for numbers of three-dimensional models. The simplest example is just the three-dimensional Toda model mentioned above. Although the continuum Lie algebra-valued Lax pair representation for three-dimensional Toda model looks rather formal at first sight, it seems to us that this is precisely the right way to generalize the theories of two-dimensional integrability to the case of three-dimensions. In this chapter, we shall study the three-dimensional generalization of the two-extended Toda model proposed by us sometime earlier [9]. We shall try to generalize many of the concepts and methods of two-dimensional integrable models to the three-dimensional case based on this model. As a by-product, we point out

that the model in consideration should correspond to a new type of W-infinity algebra, probably may be denoted by  $w_\infty^{(2)}$ . The explicit structure of this algebra is planned to be calculated in the future.

## 5.2 Review of the continuum Lie algebras

Before constructing the three-dimensional two-extended Toda model, let us first give a brief review of the continuum Lie algebras. Due to Saveliev and Vershik [7], the contragradient continuum Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(E, \mathcal{K}, S)$  is defined as the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{G}'(E, \mathcal{K}, S)/J$ , where  $E$  is a vector space over some field  $\phi$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $S$  are bilinear mappings  $E \times E \rightarrow E$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'$  is the Lie algebra freely generated by the ‘‘local part’’  $\mathcal{G}' \equiv \mathcal{G}_{-\infty} \oplus \mathcal{G}_l \oplus \mathcal{G}_{+\infty}$  through the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [X_0(\varphi), X_0(\psi)] &= 0, & [X_0(\varphi), X_{\pm 1}(\psi)] &= \pm X_{\pm 1}(\mathcal{K}(\varphi, \psi)), \\ [X_{+1}(\varphi), X_{-1}(\psi)] &= X_0(S(\varphi, \psi)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi, \psi \in E$ , and  $J$  is the largest homogeneous ideal having a trivial intersection with  $\mathcal{G}_l$ . In order that the above relations really defines a Lie algebra structure, the mappings  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $S$  have to subject to some additional constraints. As a special case one can choose  $E$  to be a commutative associative algebra with the multiplication  $\bullet$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $S$  have a *linear* form, say,

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{K}(\varphi) \bullet \psi, \quad S(\varphi, \psi) = S(\varphi \bullet \psi).$$

In these cases one can further choose  $S = id$ , which corresponds to the so-called *standard form* [7]. In this chapter, we are particularly interested in the standard contragradient continuum Lie algebras with  $E$  being the algebra of  $C^\infty$  functions on some one-dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with the local coordinate  $t$ . In such cases, one can replace the generating relations by the following relations between the ‘‘kernel generators’’,

$$[h(t), h(t')] = 0, \quad [h(t), e_\pm(t')] = \pm K(t, t')e_\pm(t'), \quad [e_+(t), e_-(t')] = \delta(t-t')h(t),$$

where  $K(t, t')$  is called Cartan operator, or exactly speaking, the kernel of the Cartan operator  $\mathcal{K}$  (we shall use the term *Cartan operator* for both  $K(t, t')$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  with abuse of terminology), and  $X_i(\varphi) \equiv \int dt X_i(t)\varphi(t)$ ,  $X_0(t) \equiv h(t)$ ,  $X_{\pm 1}(t) \equiv e_\pm(t)$ . In general, the Cartan operator may be an

integral operator possessing a continuous spectrum (in contrast to the Kac-Moody algebra in which the Cartan matrix possesses a “discrete spectrum”, namely its eigenvalues), and it may or may not be symmetrizable ( $K(t, t')$  is symmetrizable if there exist a function  $v(t)$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}(t, t') \equiv K(t, t')v(t') = K(t', t)v(t)$ ). The operator  $\mathcal{Q}(t, t')$  is called the symmetrized Cartan operator). In this chapter, we shall always assume that the Cartan operator is symmetrizable. As a concrete example, we can choose  $K(t, t') = \partial_t^2 \delta(t - t')$ , which is itself symmetric under  $t \leftrightarrow t'$  (and this algebra corresponds to the continuous limit of the Lie algebra  $A_\infty$ ). As we shall see in the following context, the two-extended Toda model corresponding to this last special choice of  $K$  is actually a generalization of the three-dimensional Toda model of Refs.[1-5]. Other choices of  $K$  can also give three-dimensional generalizations of the two-extended Toda model, but the corresponding equations often appear as integro-differential equations.

The general structure theory for the contragradient continuum Lie algebras is not established yet. Nevertheless, it is enough for us to know the fact that the Killing form can be appropriately defined according to concrete choices of  $K$  and  $S$ , and by definition, the contragradient continuum Lie algebras are naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. In the case of  $S = id$  with a symmetrizable Cartan operator  $K(t, t')$ , the Killing form can be defined as

$$\langle h(t), h(t') \rangle = K(t, t')v(t') = \mathcal{Q}(t, t'), \quad \langle e_+(t), e_-(t') \rangle = v(t)\delta(t - t').$$

Particularly, if  $K(t, t') = \partial_t^2 \delta(t - t')$ , the function  $v(t)$  can be chosen to be the constant 1, and it was shown in Ref.[8] that there exist highest weight representations for the corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(C^\infty \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, id)$ , with the highest weight state denoted by  $|\tau\rangle$ . These materials are all what is needed for our constructions.

### 5.3 Two-extended Toda model in three-dimensions

With the above mathematical preparation given, let us now go on to the central subject of this chapter—the two-extended Toda model in three-dimensions.

The two-dimensional case of this model is studied by us in a series of chapters [9,10], in which the W-algebra symmetries, fundamental Poisson relation, chiral exchange algebras, general solution and the Wronskian-type special solution in relation to the WZNW reduction and classical W-surfaces are made clear. The crucial difference between the two-extended Toda model

and the standard one lies in that, in the standard case, the Lax connections  $A_{\pm}$  take values respectively in the subspaces  $\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm\infty)}$  of the underlying Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  (where  $\mathcal{G}^{(\pm i)}$  denote the  $i$ -th graded sector of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ ), whilst for the two-extended model, these connections take values in the subspaces  $\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm\infty)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm\epsilon)}$ . It is precisely this difference that makes the two-dimensional two-extended Toda model having the extended conformal symmetry algebra  $W[\mathcal{G}, H, 2]$ , in contrast to the standard  $W[\mathcal{G}, H, 1]$  symmetry algebra for the usual Toda model (we are using the convention of Ref.[10], where the symbol  $W[A_N, \text{principal gradation}, 1]$  corresponds to the usual  $W_{N+1}$  algebra).

Let us be more concrete. The Lax pair of the two-extended Toda model can be written

$$\partial_{\pm} T = A_{\pm} T, \quad A_{\pm} = \pm \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \Phi + \exp \left( \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\pm} + \exp \left( \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi \right) \mu_{\pm} \right], \quad (5.1)$$

where, in the two-dimensional case, the fields  $\Phi$  takes value in the Cartan subalgebra of the Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\bar{\Psi}_{\pm}$  lie in  $\mathcal{G}^{(\pm\infty)}$ , and  $\mu_{\pm}$  are constant, regular, representative elements of  $\mathcal{G}^{(\pm\epsilon)}$ , respectively.

Now in order to generalize this model into the three-dimensional case, we require that the fields  $\Phi$ ,  $\bar{\Psi}_{\pm}$  and the constants  $\mu_{\pm}$  be continuum Lie algebra-valued. That means, the above quantities can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_+, x_-) &\equiv \int dt h(t) \varphi(x_+, x_-, t) \\ \Psi_{\pm}(x_+, x_-) &\equiv \int dt e_{\mp}(t) \psi_{\pm}(x_+, x_-, t) \\ \mu_{\pm} &\equiv \pm \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' \Omega(t, t') [e_{\pm}(t), e_{\pm}(t')], \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\Omega(t, t')$  is some antisymmetric function of  $t$  and  $t'$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}_{\pm}(x_+, x_-) &\equiv \pm [\mu_{\pm}, \Psi_{\pm}], \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' dt_1 \Omega(t, t') \psi_{\pm}(x_+, x_-, t_1) [[e_{\pm}(t), e_{\pm}(t')], e_{\mp}(t_1)] \\ &= \int dt dt' \Omega(t, t') K(t, t') \psi_{\pm}(x_+, x_-, t) e_{\pm}(t'). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

With these definitions in mind, we are now ready to write down the equations of motion for the two-extended Toda model. This can be down by

first calculating the compatibility condition of the Lax pair, which gives the result

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \Phi + [\exp(\text{ad}\Phi) \bar{\Psi}_-, \bar{\Psi}_+] + [\exp(\text{ad}\Phi) \mu_-, \mu_+] &= 0, \\ \partial_+ \Psi_- &= \exp(-\text{ad}\Phi) \bar{\Psi}_+, \\ \partial_- \Psi_+ &= \exp(\text{ad}\Phi) \bar{\Psi}_-, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

and then substituting the definitions (5.2)-(5.3) into (5.4). It finally follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \varphi(x_+, x_-, t) - \int dt_1 dt_2 \Omega(t_1, t) \Omega(t_2, t) K(t_1, t) K(t_2, t) \\ \times \psi_-(x_+, x_-, t_1) \psi_+(x_+, x_-, t_2) \Xi(t) \\ + \int dt_1 \Omega^2(t_1, t) K(t_1, t) \Xi(t_1) \Xi(t) &= 0, \\ \partial_+ \psi_-(x_+, x_-, t) &= \int dt_1 \Omega(t_1, t) \psi_+(x_+, x_-, t_1) K(t_1, t) \Xi(t), \\ \partial_- \psi_+(x_+, x_-, t) &= \int dt_1 \Omega(t_1, t) \psi_-(x_+, x_-, t_1) K(t_1, t) \Xi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where the explicit dependence of  $\Xi$  on  $x_{\pm}$  is omitted for brief of notations,

$$\Xi(t) = \exp\left(-\int d\tilde{t} K(\tilde{t}, t) \varphi(x_+, x_-, \tilde{t})\right). \quad (5.6)$$

The system (5.5) of integro-differential equations appears to be rather complicated at a first glance. However, provided the Cartan operator  $K(t, t')$  and (correspondingly) the function  $\Omega(t, t')$  are appropriately chosen, the three-dimensional two-extended Toda model can be rewritten in a very neat form. For example, if we choose the underlying contragradient continuum Lie algebra to be  $\mathcal{G}(C^\infty \mathcal{M}, \partial_t^2 \delta(t - t'), id)$  and let  $\Omega(t, t') = t - t'$ , eq.(5.5) can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ \partial_- \varphi - 4 \partial_t \psi_- \partial_t \psi_+ \exp(-\partial_t^2 \varphi) \\ + 2 \exp(-2 \partial_t^2 \varphi) &= 0, \\ \partial_+ \psi_- &= 2 \partial_t \psi_+ \exp(-\partial_t^2 \varphi), \\ \partial_- \psi_+ &= 2 \partial_t \psi_- \exp(-\partial_t^2 \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

which is exactly an extension of the “three-dimensional Toda model” studied by several authors.

The reason for the above system to be interesting to study is that, first, this system of equations is really a continuous limit of the two-dimensional  $A_\infty$  two-extended Toda model, just as the usual three-dimensional Toda model

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi + \exp(-\partial_t^2 \varphi) = 0$$

is the continuous limit of the two-dimensional  $A_\infty$  Toda model; Second, as a direct extension of the three-dimensional Toda model, this system is expected to possess many extended characteristics of the three-dimensional Toda model, such as a generalized  $w_\infty$  symmetry, extended self-dual Einstein spaces, *etc.* Actually, already in the two-dimensional case, the two-extended Toda model with the underlying Lie algebra  $A_\infty$  was suggested [9] to possess a conformal symmetry algebra which can be denoted  $W_\infty^{(2)}$ , which is the generalization to the case of integer-half integer conformal spectrum of the usual nonlinear  $W_\infty$  algebra or, the large  $N$  limit of the  $W_N^{(2)}$  algebra. The conformal algebras with integer-half integer spectra were referred to as “bosonic superconformal algebra” by Fuchs [11] and by us. Using this terminology, the algebra  $W_\infty^{(2)}$  may be called “bosonic super W-infinity” algebra. The  $w_\infty^{(2)}$  algebra is supposed to be a linear variant of  $W_\infty^{(2)}$ , just as  $w_\infty$  is a linear variant of  $W_\infty$ . However, the explicit structure of the algebras  $W_\infty^{(2)}$  and  $w_\infty^{(2)}$  is still difficult to be constructed. The central difficulty is that, in the two-extended case, it is not as easy as in the usual case to choose as a good basis a complete set of chiral conserved quantities. Nevertheless, there should be no problem on the existence of such bosonic superconformal algebras. We hope the difficulty in choosing a basis for such algebras could be overcome in the future.

It might be interesting to note that the system (5.7) really admits physically interesting solutions. For example, the instanton-like solution

$$\varphi = \int^t dt_1 \int^{t_1} dt_2 \ln \left[ \frac{1}{4} (t_2 - a)(t_2 - b) \frac{\partial_+ f_+(x_+) \partial_- f_-(x_-)}{(1 - f_+(x_+) f_-(x_-))^2} \right],$$

$$\psi_\pm = g_\pm(x_\pm)$$

explicitly solves eq.(5.7), where  $f_\pm$  and  $g_\pm$  are arbitrary functions of the arguments  $x_\pm$ . To obtain more solutions of the system, we have to generalize the techniques for solving two-dimensional Toda-type models. But in the

present section, we would rather introduce the effective action for the three-dimensional two-extended Toda model and leave the task of generalizing the techniques for solving two-dimensional Toda-type models to the next section.

The effective action for the system (5.4)-(5.5) read [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\Phi, \Psi_{\pm}) &= \frac{1}{4} \int dx_+ dx_- \langle \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi + \bar{\Psi}_+ \partial_- \Psi_+ + \bar{\Psi}_- \partial_+ \Psi_- \rangle \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int dx_+ dx_- \langle \exp(-\text{ad}\Phi)(\bar{\Psi}_+) \bar{\Psi}_- + \exp(-\text{ad}\Phi)(\mu_+) \mu_- \rangle \quad (5.8) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \int dx_+ dx_- dt v(t) \{ \partial_+ \varphi (\mathcal{K})(\partial_- \varphi) \\
 &\quad + (\mathcal{K} \otimes)(\psi_+) \partial_- \psi_+ + (\mathcal{K} \otimes)(\psi_-) \partial_+ \psi_- \\
 &\quad - 2(\mathcal{K} \otimes)(\psi_+) (\mathcal{K} \otimes)(\psi_-) \Xi + (\mathcal{K} \otimes^{\epsilon})(\Xi) \Xi \}, \quad (5.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{K})(f) &\equiv \int dt_1 K(t_1, t) f(t_1), \\
 (\mathcal{K} \otimes)(f) &\equiv \int dt_1 K(t_1, t) \Omega(t_1, t) f(t_1), \\
 (\mathcal{K} \otimes^{\epsilon})(f) &\equiv \int dt_1 K(t_1, t) \Omega^2(t_1, t) f(t_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the particular case of  $\mathcal{G}(C^\infty \mathcal{M}, \partial_t^2 \delta(t-t'), id)$  model with  $\Omega(t, t') = t - t'$ , the above action can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\varphi, \psi_{\pm}) &= \frac{1}{4} \int dx_+ dx_- \left\{ \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \partial_t^2 \varphi \right. \\
 &\quad + 2\partial_t \psi_+ \partial_- \psi_+ + 2\partial_t \psi_- \partial_+ \psi_- \\
 &\quad \left. - 8\partial_t \psi_+ \partial_t \psi_- \exp(-\partial_t^2 \varphi) + 2 \exp(-2\partial_t^2 \varphi) \right\}. \quad (5.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last action is of the fourth-order in derivatives for the field  $\varphi$ . Such an action does not describe a three-dimensional relativistic field theory in the usual sense. Actually, the extra dimension  $t$  is an algebraical dimension which has different meaning in contrast to the other two space-time dimensions.

Since we are not experienced in treating fourth-order actions, now we prefer to define the canonical Poisson brackets for the original form (5.8) of the action. Remembering that the fields  $\Phi, \Psi_{\pm}$  are just continuum Lie algebra-valued *two-dimensional* fields, and the action (5.8) for these fields

is of the second order, we can define the Poisson bracket for these fields in the usual way. That means, we can define the canonical conjugate momenta  $\Pi_\Phi$ ,  $\Pi_{\Psi_\pm}$  as

$$\Pi_\Phi \equiv \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\partial_0\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_0\Phi, \quad \Pi_{\Psi_\pm} \equiv \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\partial_0\Psi_\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}_\pm, \quad (5.11)$$

where  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are defined as  $x_\pm = x_0 \pm x_1$ , and introduce the canonical equal-time  $x_0$  Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Pi_\Phi(x_1) \otimes, \Phi(x'_1)\} &= \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \int dt dt' \mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t, t') h(t) \otimes h(t'), \\ \{\Pi_{\Psi_\pm}(x_1) \otimes, \Psi_\pm(x'_1)\} &= \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \int dt v^{-1}(t) e_\pm(t) \otimes e_\mp(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t, t')$  is the formal inverse of the symmetrized Cartan operator  $\mathcal{Q}(t, t')$ ,

$$\int dt \mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t_1, t) \mathcal{Q}(t, t_2) = \int dt \mathcal{Q}(t_1, t) \mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t, t_2) = \delta(t_1 - t_2).$$

Remembering the definitions (5.11) of the fields  $\Pi_\Phi$ ,  $\Pi_{\Psi_\pm}$  and requiring the Poisson bracket for the component fields  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi_\pm$  to be consistent with the above-defined ones, we find that the Poisson bracket for the fields  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi_\pm$  may be appropriately defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q})(\partial_0\varphi)(x_1, t), \varphi(x'_1, t') \right\} &= \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \delta(t - t'), \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{K} \otimes)(\psi_\pm)(x_1, t), \psi_\pm(x'_1, t') \right\} &= \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \delta(t - t'). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

These Poisson brackets are exactly what will arise if we treat directly the action (5.9) and define the Poisson brackets in the usual way. Therefore, in spite of the different meaning of the variable  $t$ , the Poisson brackets can be defined safely using the usual method.

## 5.4 Fundamental Poisson relation

As mentioned in the last section, the hamiltonian method of treating higher-dimensional ( $d > 2$ ) integrable systems is still lacking, such as the fundamental Poisson structure and classical  $r$ -matrices. For some particular examples, such as the self-dual Yang-Mills theory (where the Lax-type linear systems

were found for a long time by the use of prolongation method [12]), the classical  $r$ -matrix has been found by L.L.Chau *et al* [13] using the  $J$ -field formulation. However, up to now, there is very little knowledge about whether there may be a fundamental Poisson relation for the transport matrices since the transport matrix depends on all of the four space-time variables, and the Poisson bracket for such matrices cannot be obtained easily by integrating those for the potentials in the linear systems.

Fortunately, in the three-dimensional Toda-type models, the “transport operator”  $T$  does not depend on the third variable  $t$ , and thus we can get the Poisson bracket for  $T$  by directly integrating the Poisson bracket for the Lax connections.

Let us first calculate the Poisson bracket  $\{A_1(x_1) \otimes, A_1(x'_1)\}$  with  $A_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_+ - A_-)$ . By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{A_1(x_1) \otimes, A_1(x'_1)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' K(t, t') \Omega(t, t') \Xi^{1/2}(t') \\
&\quad \times \{ \psi_+(t) [e_+(t') \otimes h(t') - h(t') \otimes e_+(t')] \\
&\quad + \psi_-(t) [e_+(t') \otimes h(t') - h(t') \otimes e_+(t')] \} \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' \Omega(t, t') \Xi^{1/2}(t) \Xi^{1/2}(t') \\
&\quad \times \{ [e_+(t), e_+(t')] \otimes (h(t) + h(t')) \\
&\quad - (h(t) + h(t')) \otimes [e_+(t), e_+(t')] \\
&\quad - [e_-(t), e_-(t')] \otimes (h(t) + h(t')) \\
&\quad + (h(t) + h(t')) \otimes [e_-(t), e_-(t')] \\
&\quad + 2K(t, t') [e_+(t) \otimes e_+(t') - e_+(t') \otimes e_+(t) \\
&\quad + e_-(t) \otimes e_-(t') - e_-(t') \otimes e_-(t)] \} \delta(x_1 - x'_1). \tag{5.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (5.14) can be rewritten as

$$\{A_1(x_1) \otimes, A_1(x'_1)\} = [r, A_1(x_1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes A_1(x'_1)] \delta(x_1 - x'_1) \tag{5.15}$$

where  $r$  is a  $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$  valued constant, which may be called “ $r$ -operator”,

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt_1 \dots \int dt_n \{ e_+^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \otimes e_-^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\
&\quad - e_-^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \otimes e_+^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \} + \lambda C, \quad \lambda \text{ arbitrary} \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $e_{\pm}^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{G}^{(\pm n)}$ , the superscript  $(a)$  indicates different (linearly independent) elements of  $\mathcal{G}^{(\pm n)}$ , which are assumed to be normalized such that

$$\langle e_{\pm}^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n), e_{\mp}^{(b)}(t'_1, \dots, t'_n) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \delta(t_1 - t'_1) \dots \delta(t_n - t'_n),$$

and the summation over  $a$  is taken over all such elements. The constant  $C$  is the “tensor Casimir operator” of the continuum Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} [C, \mathcal{A} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{A}] &= 0, \\ \langle C, \mathcal{A} \otimes 1 \rangle &= \langle C, 1 \otimes \mathcal{A} \rangle = \mathcal{A}, \quad \forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \int dt dt' \mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t, t') h(t) \otimes h(t') \\ &+ \sum_a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt_1 \dots \int dt_n \left\{ e_+^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \otimes e_-^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e_-^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \otimes e_+^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Of all the choices of  $\lambda$ , two special values  $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2}$  are particularly important because the corresponding  $r$ -operators

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\pm} &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int dt dt' \mathcal{Q}^{-\infty}(t, t') h(t) \otimes h(t') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int dt_1 \dots \int dt_n e_{\pm}^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \otimes e_{\mp}^{(a)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

satisfy the classical Yang-Baxter equation

$$[r_{\pm}^{12}, r_{\pm}^{13}] + [r_{\pm}^{12}, r_{\pm}^{23}] + [r_{\pm}^{32}, r_{\pm}^{13}] = 0.$$

The fundamental Poisson relation for  $T$  is then easily obtained by integrating the equation  $\partial_1 T = A_1 T$ , yielding

$$\{T(x_1) \otimes, T(x_1)\} = [r_{\pm}, T(x_1) \otimes T(x_1)]. \quad (5.18)$$

## 5.5 Exchange algebra and dressing transformation

Let us now proceed in analogy to the two-dimensional case. In the following, we shall assume that the highest weight representations for  $\mathcal{G}$  exist, and the highest weight vector  $|\tau\rangle$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h(t)|\tau\rangle &= \tau(t)|\tau\rangle, & e_+(t)|\tau\rangle &= 0, & \forall t, \\ \langle\tau|\tau\rangle &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the Lax pair (5.1) admits a gauge freedom  $T \rightarrow gT$  with  $g \in G$ , the underlying continuum Lie group. Using this gauge degree of freedom, we can transform the Lax connections  $A_{\pm}$  such that one of them takes the form  $A_{\pm} \in \mathcal{G}^{(\pm 1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm 2)}$  or  $A_{\pm} \in \oplus \mathcal{G}^{(\pm 2)}$ . Then projecting the resulting transformed Lax pairs onto the states  $|\tau\rangle$ ,  $\int dt e_-(t)|\tau\rangle$  and their dual states, we can get two sets of ‘‘chiral’’ vectors which means that they depend on only one space-time variable,  $x_+$  or  $x_-$ .

To be explicit, we have the following chiral vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1^+(x_+) &= \langle\tau|\exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\Phi)T, & \xi_1^-(x_-) &= T^{-1}\exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\Phi)|\tau\rangle, \\ \xi_2^+(x_+) &= \{\langle\tau|\int dt e_+(t)\rangle\exp(\Psi_+)\exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\Phi)T, & (5.19) \\ \xi_2^-(x_-) &= T^{-1}\exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\Phi)\exp(\Psi_-)\{\int dt e_-(t)|\tau\rangle\}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\partial_{\pm}\xi_a^{\mp} = 0, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

Following the standard method [14], we can show that these chiral vectors satisfy the exchange algebra [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_a^+(x) \otimes, \xi_b^+(y)\} &= \xi_a^+(x) \otimes \xi_b^+(y) (r_+\theta(x-y) + r_-\theta(y-x)), \\ \{\xi_a^+(x) \otimes, \xi_b^-(y)\} &= -(\xi_a^+(x) \otimes 1) r_- (1 \otimes \xi_b^-(y)), \\ \{\xi_a^-(x) \otimes, \xi_b^+(y)\} &= -(1 \otimes \xi_b^-(y)) r_+ (\xi_a^+(x) \otimes 1), & (5.20) \\ \{\xi_a^-(x) \otimes, \xi_b^-(y)\} &= (r_-\theta(x-y) + r_+\theta(y-x)) \xi_a^-(x) \otimes \xi_b^-(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the dressing problem of the three-dimensional two-extended Toda model. As in the two-dimensional case, the dressing transformation depends essentially on the factorization of the underlying Lie group  $G$ , under which each group element  $g$  is factorized as

$$g = g_-^{-1} g_+, \quad (5.21)$$

and the dressing transformation transforms the transport operator  $T$  as

$$T \rightarrow T^g = \Theta_{\pm} T g_{\pm}^{-1}, \quad \Theta_-^{-1} \Theta_+ = \Theta \equiv T g T^{-1}. \quad (5.22)$$

At present, the factorization problem is solved by the  $r$ -operators  $r_{\pm}$  as follows,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\pm} \mathcal{A} \equiv \langle r_{\pm}, 1 \otimes \mathcal{A} \rangle_2, \quad \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ - \mathcal{A}_-, \quad (5.23)$$

which is just the infinitesimal form of the factorization problem. The fact that the positive and negative transformations of  $T$  give rise to the same  $T^g$  implies that the transformed Lax potentials  $A_{\pm}^g$  have the same form compared to the original  $A_{\pm}$ . Recalling the concrete form (5.17) of the  $r_{\pm}$ -operators, we can rewrite the  $\Theta_{\pm}$  operators in the following  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded form,

$$\Theta_{\pm} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\pm}^{(0)}\right) \exp(\theta^{(\pm 1)}) \dots \exp(\theta^{(\pm 1)}) \dots, \quad (5.24)$$

where  $\theta^{(a)} \in \mathcal{G}^{(a)}$ , and the form-preserving condition for the Lax connections  $A_{\pm}$  implies that the fields  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi_{\pm}$  must transform as [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^g &= \Phi + \theta_+^{(0)} = \Phi - \theta_-^{(0)}, \quad \theta_+^{(0)} + \theta_-^{(0)} = 0, \\ \Psi_{\pm}^g &= \Psi_{\pm} \mp \exp\left(\pm \frac{1}{2} \text{ad} \Phi\right) \theta^{(\mp 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that each element  $\theta^{(0)} \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$  can be written as  $\int dt \theta^{(0)}(t) h(t)$ , and each  $\theta^{(\pm 1)} \in \mathcal{G}^{(\pm 1)}$  can be written as  $\int dt \theta^{(\pm 1)}(t) e_{\pm}(t)$ , we can rewrite the above dressing transformation laws in terms of the component fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^g(x_+, x_-, t) &= \varphi(x_+, x_-, t) \pm \theta_{\pm}^{(0)}(x_+, x_-, t), \\ \psi_{\pm}^g(x_+, x_-, t) &= \psi_{\pm}(x_+, x_-, t) \mp \Xi^{1/2}(t) \theta^{(\mp 1)}(x_+, x_-, t). \end{aligned}$$

It is particularly interesting to note that the chiral vectors  $\xi_a^{\pm}$  transform only by a shift of constant group elements,

$$(\xi_a^+)^g = \xi_a^+ g_-^{-1}, \quad (\xi_a^-)^g = g_+ \xi_a^-.$$

In order that the above transformations preserve the form of the chiral exchange algebra (5.20), the constant group elements  $g_{\pm}$  must subject to some nontrivial Poisson brackets,

$$\begin{aligned}\{g_+ \otimes, g_+\} &= [r_{\pm}, g_+ \otimes g_+], \\ \{g_- \otimes, g_-\} &= [r_{\pm}, g_- \otimes g_-], \\ \{g_+ \otimes, g_-\} &= [r_+, g_+ \otimes g_-], \\ \{g_- \otimes, g_+\} &= [r_-, g_- \otimes g_+].\end{aligned}$$

These structures, while considered in the framework of two-dimensional Toda-type theories, correspond to the semi-classical limit of the quantum (Kac-Moody) group [15]. Therefore, it might be interesting to see whether the above structure can give rise to any structure like a “quantum continuum Lie group” after quantization. We leave this problem open for later considerations.

## 5.6 Formal solutions via Leznov-Saveliev analysis [16]

As in the two-dimensional case, the chiral vectors  $\xi_a^{\pm}$  are also useful for constructing formal solutions of the two-extended Toda system (5.4)-(5.5). Recalling the definitions of these chiral vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tau | \exp(\Phi) | \tau \rangle &= \xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-), \\ \langle \tau | \exp(\Phi) \exp(\Psi_-) \{ \int dt e_-(t) | \tau \} \rangle &= \xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_2^-(x_-), \\ \{ \int dt \langle \tau | e_+(t) \rangle \exp(\Psi_+) \exp(\Phi) | \tau \rangle &= \xi_2^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-).\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the component fields, the above equations read

$$\begin{aligned}\exp \int dt \varphi(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) &= \xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-), \\ \int dt \psi_-(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) &= \frac{\xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_2^-(x_-)}{\xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-)}, \\ \int dt \psi_+(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) &= \frac{\xi_2^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-)}{\xi_1^+(x_+) \xi_1^-(x_-)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.25}$$

5.6. FORMAL SOLUTIONS VIA LEZNOV-SAVELIEV ANALYSIS [16] 99

Let us consider in more detail the above relations and show how one can obtain formal solutions out of these relations.

Define  $T_{L/R} = \exp(\pm \frac{1}{2}\Phi)T$  and decompose them as

$$T_L = e^{K_+} N_- M_+, \quad T_R = e^{K_-} N_+ M_-,$$

where  $K_\pm \in G_0$ ,  $N_\pm$ ,  $M_\pm \in G_\pm$ , we can get from eq.(5.19) that

$$\xi_1^+(x_+) = \langle \tau | e^{K_+} M_+, \quad \xi_1^-(x_-) = M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \tau \rangle,$$

which shows that  $K_\pm$  and  $M_\pm$  are chiral objects,

$$\partial_\pm K_\mp = \partial_\pm M_\mp = 0.$$

Furthermore, writing  $N_\pm = \exp(\chi^{(\pm 1)}) \exp(\chi^{(\pm 2)}) \dots$  as we did in eq.(5.24), we obtain [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2^+(x_+) &= \left\{ \int dt \langle \tau | e_+(t) \right\} e^{K_+} [1 + e^{-\text{ad}K_+} P_+] M_+, \\ \xi_2^-(x_-) &= M_-^{-1} [1 + e^{-\text{ad}K_-} P_-] e^{-K_-} \left\{ \int dt e_-(t) | \tau \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_\pm \equiv \Psi_\pm \pm \exp(\text{ad}K_\pm) \chi^{(\mp 1)}, \quad \partial_\pm P_\mp = 0.$$

More detailed calculations [9] show that the operators  $M_\pm$  are not independent of  $K_\pm$  and  $P_\pm$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_+ M_+ M_+^{-1} &= \exp(-\text{ad}K_+) (\bar{P}_+ + \mu_+), \\ M_- \partial_- M_-^{-1} &= \exp(-\text{ad}K_-) (\bar{P}_- + \mu_-). \end{aligned}$$

with  $\bar{P}_\pm \equiv \pm[\mu_\pm, P_\pm]$ . Therefore, eqs.(5.25) become

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \int dt \varphi(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) &= \langle \tau | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \tau \rangle, \\ \int dt \psi_-(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) &= \frac{\langle \tau | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} [1 + \exp(-\text{ad}K_-) P_-] e^{-K_-} \int dt e_-(t) | \tau \rangle}{\langle \tau | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \tau \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int dt \psi_+(x_+, x_-, t) \tau(t) = \frac{\int dt \langle \tau | e_+(t) e^{K_+} [1 + \exp(-\text{ad}K_+) P_+] M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \tau \rangle}{\langle \tau | e^{K_+} M_+ M_-^{-1} e^{-K_-} | \tau \rangle}.$$

Thus provided we know enough about the highest weight representations of the underlying continuum Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , we may be able to obtain the solution of the system (5.4)-(5.5) using the above relations.

## 5.7 Concluding remarks

Let us end this chapter by presenting some concluding remarks.

In this chapter, we studied the three-dimensional two-extended Toda model by generalizing various techniques for treating two-dimensional models. The models studied here are usually integro-differential equations, however, there is one special case, say, eqs.(5.7), which is completely a system of *differential* equations. Actually, this system is just the  $(B_2, C_2)$  flow of the so-called semiclassical or continuous Toda hierarchy recently proposed by Takasaki and Takebe [17]. The  $(B_1, C_1)$  flow of this hierarchy is just the well-known “continuous Toda” model, which possess the  $w_\infty$  symmetry, and corresponds to real Euclidean Einstein spaces with at least one rotational Killing vector [5]. Therefore, it is very interesting to ask whether eqs.(5.7) correspond to any similar structure. If this is true, then it might be as well interesting to made it clear the roles of the more general system, eqs.(5.5), in the gravitational theories.

Although we have given some hint of deriving formal solutions to the system (5.4)-(5.5), we have to say that such constructions are really *formal* since we have not enough knowledge about the highest weight representations of the continuum Lie algebras to determine whether our construction can really give rise to explicit, physically nontrivial and interesting solutions. Thus it seems necessary to study more about the structures and representations of the continuum Lie algebras themselves.

# Bibliography

- [1] Q.-H. Park, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 429
- [2] J. Avan, Phys. Lett. **A168** (1992) 263
- [3] S.-Y. Lou, Ningbo Normal College preprint 1993
- [4] K.Fujii, Lett. Math. Phys. **27** (1993) 117
- [5] C.P.Boyer, J.D.Finley III, J. Math. Phys. **23** (1982) 1126
- [6] L.D.Faddeev, L.Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, Springer Verlag (1987)
- [7] M.V.Saveliev, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 283 M.V.Saveliev, A.M.Vershik, Commun. Math. Phys. **126** (1989) 367
- [8] M.V. Saveliev, S.A. Savelieva, Phys. Lett. **313B** (1993) 55
- [9] B.-Y. Hou, L. Chao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 1105; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 3773 L. Chao, B.-Y. Hou, Ann. Phys. (in press) L. Chao, Commun. Theore. Phys. **15** (1993) 221
- [10] B.-Y. Hou and L. Chao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 7015
- [11] J.Fuchs, Phys.Lett.**B262** (1991) 249
- [12] H.C.Morris, J. Math. Phys **21** (1980) 256
- [13] L.L.Chau, I.Yamanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett.**68** (1992) 1807
- [14] O. Babelon, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 523
- [15] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, Phys. Lett. **B260** (1991) 81, Commun. Math. Phys. **149** (1992) 279

- [16] A.N. Leznov, M.V. Saveliev, Phys. Lett. **B79** (1978) 294, Lett. Math. Phys.**3** (1979) 207, Lett. Math. Phys.**3** (1979) 489, Commun. Math. Phys. **74** (1980) 111, Lett. Math. Phys.**6** (1982) 505, Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983) 59
- [17] K. Takasaki, T. Takebe, Lett. Math. Phys. **23** (1991) 205, K.Takasaki, Kyoto Univ Preprint KUCP-0057/93
- [18] O.Babelon, C.Viallet, Phys. Lett. **B237** (1990) 411

## Chapter 6

# Connections of $W_N^{(l)}$ algebras and exchange algebra

### 6.1 Introduction

Both integrable and conformal field theories are important subjects of study in modern theoretical physics. In view of underlying symmetries, integrable quantum field theories are characterized by quantum group symmetries, whilst the conformal field theories are characterized by  $W$  algebras. Quantum groups and  $W$  algebras are, however, although not well understood, not independent objects because any conformal invariant field theory is automatically integrable.

At the classical level, quantum groups become the well known chiral exchange algebras (CEA), and  $W$  algebras tend to their natural classical limits. The question therefore arises: are there any intimate relations between classical exchange algebras and  $W$  algebras? It cannot be true that there is one to one correspondence between CEA and  $W$  algebras. However, as is shown in Ref. [1], all classical  $W$  algebras of the series  $W_N$  can be reconstructed from the  $sl(N)$  CEAs. In this chapter we are motivated to show that not only the  $W_N$  series but also all the  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras [2] can be reconstructed from CEAs. This can be viewed as an alternative approach of  $W$  algebra constructions, since the standard hamiltonian reduction construction [5] of  $W$  algebras is based on the Kac-Moody current algebra, whilst the CEAs are quadratic Poisson algebras based on the Lie groups which is in some sense “dual” to the Kac-Moody algebra.



generators and their representation matrices in the defining representation of the Lie algebra. The CEA takes the form

$$\{\xi(x) \otimes, \xi(y)\} = \xi(x) \otimes \xi(y) [r_+ \theta_+(x-y) + r_- \theta_-(y-x)], \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\xi(x)$  is a row vector of  $N$  components, and

$$\theta(x-y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > y \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = y \\ 0 & x < y \end{cases} .$$

In this chapter we shall use  $l$  linearly independent realizations of the same algebra (6.1) based on  $sl(N)$  to construct  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebra. That means, we assume the existence of the algebra

$$\{f_a(x) \otimes, f_b(y)\} = f_a(x) \otimes f_b(y) [r_+ \theta_+(x-y) + r_- \theta_-(y-x)], \quad (6.2)$$

$a, b = 1, \dots, l$

with all  $f_a(x)$  being  $N$ -component row vectors <sup>1</sup>.

Now let us consider the following problem. Let  $f_a^i$  denote the  $i$ -th component of  $f_a$ . Define

$$f_{kl+a}^i = (-\partial)^k f_a^i(x) \quad (6.3)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Then the determinants

$$R_a \equiv \begin{vmatrix} f_1^1 & f_1^2 & \dots & f_1^N & f_1^i \\ f_2^1 & f_2^2 & \dots & f_2^N & f_2^i \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_N^1 & f_N^2 & \dots & f_N^N & f_N^i \\ f_{N+a}^1 & f_{N+a}^2 & \dots & f_{N+a}^N & f_{N+a}^i \end{vmatrix}$$

vanish identically for  $a = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Expanding  $R_a$  according to the last column we get

$$\sum_{b=1}^{N+1} R_a(b, N+1) f_b^i = 0,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This assumption is actually realizable: suppose  $f_a$  is the  $a$ -th row of the holomorphic patch of WZNW field  $g(x, \bar{x}) = g(x)\bar{g}(\bar{x})$  [3].

where  $R_a(m, n)$  is the algebraic co-minor of  $J_a$  with respect to the  $(m, n)$ -th entry. In particular we denote  $\Delta_N = J_a(N + 1, N + 1)$ , which is the same for all  $a$ . Thus the above equation can be rewritten into the form

$$f_{N+a}^i = - \sum_{b=1}^N \frac{R_a(b, N + 1)}{\Delta_N} f_b^i. \quad (6.4)$$

On the other hand, consider the matrix linear equation

$$(\partial + J_{\text{fix}})h(x) = 0,$$

where  $h(x)$  is an  $N$ -component column vector, whose components are denoted  $h_i(x)$ . Using the explicit form of  $J_{\text{fix}}$  we can easily get

$$h_p(x) = -\partial h_{p-l}(x), \quad (l < p \leq N) \quad (6.5)$$

$$\partial h_{N-l+a}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N J_{N-l+a, j} h_j(x) \quad (a = 1, \dots, l). \quad (6.6)$$

This is a coupled system of linear differential equations for the functions  $h_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . If one perform the process of decoupling the equations for different  $h_i(x)$ , it would lead to  $l$  independent linear  $N$ -th order differential equations for  $h_a(x)$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, l$ . Thus by denoting the independent solutions of  $h_a(x)$  as  $h_a^i(x)$ , we can easily see that the form of equations (6.5-6.6) coincide exactly with equations (6.3) and (6.4), provided we make the identification of variables

$$h_a^i(x) = f_a^i(x),$$

$$J_{N-l+a, j} = \frac{R_a(j, N + 1)}{\Delta_N}.$$

Thus a connection between the components of CEA generators and  $W_N^{(l)}$  generators is established.

Notice that, however, in order to make the above identification meaningful, the summation

$$\sum_{a=1}^l \frac{R_a(N - l + a, N + 1)}{\Delta_N}$$

should vanish because this equals the trace of the matrix  $J_{\text{fix}}$ . Remembering the definition of  $\Delta_N$ , the last requirement can be equivalently stated

$$\partial \ln \Delta_N = 0.$$

So  $\Delta_N$  should be a constant. In other words,  $\Delta_N$  should at least be a center in the Poisson bracket algebra of the original CEA. This is automatically ensured if we take the CEA generators  $f_a(x)$  as the first  $l$  rows of the holomorphic patch of WZNW field. So, given the CEA (6.2), we can explicitly calculate the generating relations of  $W_N^{(l)}$ .

### 6.3 $W_4^{(2)}$ as an example

Having sketched the general connection between CEAs and  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras, let us now study a concrete example to check if the above scheme works correctly.

Consider the  $W_4^{(2)}$  algebra, which was first explicitly calculated in [4]. In this case,  $N = 4$ ,  $l = 2$ , and the CEA (6.2) can be written in the component form

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_a^i(x), f_b^j(y)\} = & -\frac{1}{4} f_a^i(x) f_b^j(y) [\theta(x-y) - \theta(y-x)] \\ & + 2f_a^j(x) f_b^i(y) [\theta(i-j)\theta(x-y) - \theta(j-i)\theta(y-x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

By straightforward calculations one can show that  $\Delta_4$  is indeed a center under the Poisson brackets (6.7). Therefore, one can choose normalizations for  $f_a$  such that  $\Delta_4 = 1$ . However, in what follows, we choose an alternative method, *i.e.* renormalize the  $W_4^{(2)}$  generators as follows,

$$v_2 = \Delta_4 J_{3,1}, \quad v_{3/2} = \Delta_4 J_{3,2}, \quad v_1 = \Delta_4 J_{3,3}, \quad v_{1/2} = \Delta_4 J_{3,4}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$u_{5/2} = \Delta_4 J_{4,1}, \quad u_2 = \Delta_4 J_{4,2}, \quad u_{3/2} = \Delta_4 J_{4,3}, \quad u_1 = \Delta_4 J_{4,4}, \quad (6.9)$$

where the suffices of  $v$  and  $u$ 's denotes their conformal dimensions, and  $v_1 + u_1 = \partial \Delta_4$ .

After rather tedious calculations we get the following Poisson brackets,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{v_{1/2}(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{v_1(x), v_1(y)\} &= -\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{v_1(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= \Delta_4v_{1/2}\delta(x-y), \\
\{v_{3/2}(x), v_{3/2}(y)\} &= -\frac{3}{4}v_{1/2}(x)v_{1/2}(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{v_{3/2}(x), v_1(y)\} &= -\Delta_4v_{3/2}\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}v_{1/2}(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{v_{3/2}(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{u_{3/2}(x), u_{3/2}(y)\} &= 0, \\
\{u_{3/2}(x), v_{3/2}(y)\} &= \Delta_4(u_2 - v_2)\delta(x-y) - \Delta_4(x)v_1(y)\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad - \Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
\{u_{3/2}(x), v_1(y)\} &= \Delta_4u_{3/2}\delta(x-y), \\
\{u_{3/2}(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= \Delta_4(u_1 - v_1)\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad - 2\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{v_2(x), v_2(y)\} &= (v_2(x)\Delta_4(y) + \Delta_4(x)v_2(y) \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{4}v_1(x)v_1(y))\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}(\Delta_4(x)v_1(y) - v_1(x)\Delta_4(y))\delta''(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'''(x-y), \\
\{v_2(x), v_{3/2}(y)\} &= (v_{3/2}v_1 - v_{1/2}v_2)\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + (v_{3/2}(x)\Delta_4(y) + \frac{1}{4}v_1(x)v_{1/2}(y) \\
&\quad - v_{1/2}(x)v_1(y))\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad - (v_{1/2}(x)\Delta_4(y) + \frac{1}{4}\Delta_4(x)v_{1/2}(y))\delta''(x-y), \\
\{v_2(x), v_1(y)\} &= -\frac{1}{2}v_1(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
\{v_2(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= \Delta_4v_{3/2}\delta(x-y) - v_{1/2}(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{v_2(x), u_{3/2}(y)\} &= -\Delta_4u_{5/2}\delta(x-y),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u_2(x), u_2(y)\} &= (u_2(x)\Delta_4(y) + \Delta_4(x)u_2(y)) \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{4}u_1(x)u_1(y))\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}(\Delta_4(x)u_1(y) - u_1(x)\Delta_4(y))\delta''(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'''(x-y), \\
\{u_2(x), u_{3/2}(y)\} &= \Delta_4 u_{5/2} \delta(x-y) \\
&\quad - u_{3/2}(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{u_2(x), v_2(y)\} &= (u_{5/2}v_{1/2} - u_{3/2}v_{3/2})\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}u_1(x)v_1(y) - u_{3/2}(x)v_{1/2}(y)\right)\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(u_1(x)\Delta_4(y) - \Delta_4(x)v_1(y))\delta''(x-y) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'''(x-y), \\
\{u_2(x), v_{3/2}(y)\} &= (u_2v_{1/2} - u_1v_{3/2})\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + (\Delta_4(x)v_{3/2}(y) - \frac{3}{4}u_1(x)v_{1/2}(y))\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4}\Delta_4(x)v_{1/2}(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
\{u_2(x), v_1(y)\} &= \frac{1}{2}u_1(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
\{u_2(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= -\Delta_4 v_{3/2} \delta(x-y), \\
\{u_{5/2}(x), u_{5/2}(y)\} &= -\frac{3}{4}u_{3/2}(x)u_{3/2}(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
\{u_{5/2}(x), u_2(y)\} &= (u_2u_{3/2} - u_1u_{5/2})\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}u_{3/2}(x)u_1(y) - u_1(x)u_{3/2}(y)\right) \\
&\quad + \Delta_4(x)v_{5/2}(y)\delta'(x-y) \\
&\quad + (\Delta_4(x)u_{3/2}(y) + \frac{1}{4}u_{3/2}(x)\Delta_4(y))\delta''(x-y), \\
\{u_{5/2}(x), v_2(y)\} &= (u_{5/2}v_1 - u_{3/2}v_2)\delta(x-y) \\
&\quad + (u_{5/2}(x)\Delta_4(y) - \frac{3}{4}u_{3/2}(x)v_1(y))\delta'(x-y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{3}{4}u_{3/2}(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
 \{u_{5/2}(x), v_{3/2}(y)\} &= (u_2v_1 - u_1v_2)\delta(x-y) \\
 & + (u_2(x)\Delta_4(y) + \frac{1}{4}u_{3/2}(x)v_{1/2}(y) \\
 & - u_1(x)v_1(y) + \Delta_4(x)v_2(y))\delta'(x-y) \\
 & + (\Delta_4(x)v_1(y) - u_1(x)\Delta_4(y))\delta''(x-y) \\
 & + \frac{1}{4}\Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'''(x-y), \\
 \{u_{5/2}(x), v_1(y)\} &= u_{5/2}\Delta_4\delta(x-y) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}u_{3/2}(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y), \\
 \{u_{5/2}(x), v_{1/2}(y)\} &= (u_2 - v_2)\Delta_4\delta(x-y) \\
 & - u_1(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta'(x-y) + \Delta_4(x)\Delta_4(y)\delta''(x-y), \\
 \{u_{5/2}(x), u_{3/2}(y)\} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We see that this is exactly the  $W_4^{(2)}$  algebra presented in [4] if we set  $\Delta_4 = 1$ . The Virasoro element of  $W_4^{(2)}$  in this presentation is not explicit. However, there is a general principle to find this element out of the Drinfeld-Sokolov gauge, *i.e.* by taking the trace of the square of the matrix  $J_{\text{fix}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \text{Tr} \left( J_{\text{fix}}^2 \right) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{for } W_4^{(2)}}{=} \frac{1}{\Delta_4^2} \left( v_1^2 + u_1^2 + 2u_{3/2}v_{1/2} \right) - \frac{2}{\Delta_4} (v_2 + u_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Summary

In this chapter we presented a general connection between classical  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras and CEAs. This connection reveals a remarkable hidden relation between the CEAs and  $W$  algebras. Especially, when one finds a set of CEA in some integrable models with conformal symmetry, he might at once conclude the type of the corresponding  $W$  algebra according to the number of independent CEA generators.

It is interesting to mention that, for each  $W$  algebra in the series  $W_N^{(l)}$ , there corresponds at least one integrable hierarchy which takes this algebra as the Poisson structure in its second Hamiltonian formalism. For  $W_4^{(2)}$  algebra, one of such hierarchies is constructed in [7] recently. However, it is

quite possible that to each  $W_N^{(l)}$  type algebra there associates several different integrable hierarchies. For example, equation (6.4) can be viewed as a multicomponent generalization of the well-known Gelfand-Dickey [6] equation. Associated with this equation, one can construct a multicomponent KP-KdV type hierarchy [8]. This is especially easy when  $N$  is an integral multiple of  $l$ , in which case the corresponding hierarchy becomes simple matrix extension of the usual KP-KdV hierarchies [9]. Such hierarchies are quite different from the one obtained in [7] when the underlying  $W$  algebra is  $W_4^{(2)}$ .

The connection between  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras and CEAs provides with us an easy way of constructing lattice versions of  $W$  algebras, the latter has been considered as important object in the theories of integrable lattice models [10]. The point is that CEA can be easily put into the lattice form, and the construction performed in this chapter can be carried out without difficulty in the lattice case. Actually going to the lattice is precisely the traditional method for the quantization of CEAs. We believe that provided the quantum determinants are appropriately defined, the quantized version of  $W_N^{(l)}$  algebras will play an important role in the theories of quantum groups and/or non-commutative geometries. This may also be the right way to understand the connections between quantum groups and quantum  $W$  algebras [11].



# Bibliography

- [1] O.Babelon, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 523.
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5**(1990)833;  
M. Bershadsky, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991)71.
- [3] B.-Y.Hou, L. Chao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8(6)** (1993) 1105.
- [4] I. Bakas and D.A. Depireux, in *Proc. of the XXth Int. Conf. on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, New York, june 1991.
- [5] J. Balog, L. Fehér, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Forgacs and A. Wipf, Ann. Phys. **203**(1990)76;  
L. Fehér, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui and A. Wipf, Phys. Rep. **222**(1992)1;  
P. Bouwknegt, and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rep.**223**(1993)183.
- [6] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, World Scientific, Singapore(1991).
- [7] Q.-P. Liu, L. Chao, *On the  $W_4^{(2)}$  algebra*, preprint HEP-TH 9503???
- [8] L. Chao, Acta Physica Sinica **42** (1993) 1719 (in Chinese).
- [9] L. Chao, C.-Z. Qu, *On the matrix KP hierarchy*, NWU-IMP preprint, Jan. 1995.
- [10] L. D. Faddeev, L.A. Taktahjan, Lecture Notes in Phys. **246** (1986) 166;  
A. Yu. Volkov, Theore. Math. Phys. **74** (1988) 96;  
O. Babelon, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 619.
- [11] Ya P. Pugay, Theore. Math. Phys. **100** (1994) 132.