

NIKHEF/95-067
hep-th/9512068

Fermions and world-line supersymmetry*

J.W. van Holten

NIKHEF/FOM, Amsterdam NL

December 11, 1995

Abstract

The world-line path-integral representation of fermion propagators is discussed. Particular attention is paid to the representation of γ_5 , which is connected to the realization of manifest world-line supersymmetry.

*Contribution to Proceedings of 29th Int. Symposium on the Theory of Elementary Particles Buckow (1995)

Introduction

The path-integral representation of the evolution operator of dynamical quantum systems provides a direct link to the associated (pseudo-)classical system. This classical theory can suggest symmetries of the quantum theory (see for example [1]) and reformulations taking advantage of various classical descriptions related by co-ordinate transformations (or canonical transformations in the Hamiltonian formalism). As has been emphasized by many authors [2]-[6], Green's functions in perturbative quantum field theory can also be represented in terms of world-line path integrals, providing additional insight into their properties, like analyticity and causality. This formulation also provides new calculational tools, some of them inspired by considering the point-particle limit of string theory [7].

As was realized long ago [8]-[11] a convenient description of fermions by world-line path integrals requires the introduction of Grassmann variables, and it was found that world-line supersymmetry imposes a structure appropriate to the description of Dirac fermions. Applications of these ideas, as for example the computation of fermion determinants in field theory, require the inclusion of external background fields like scalars, vectors or tensors [11]-[23].

An important issue in the construction of fermion world-line path integrals is the representation of γ_5 , as it appears in mass terms [24], axial couplings [21, 25] and anomalies [26]. In practice there are two approaches to this issue, which have led a more or less independent life in the literature. The first one, motivated by supersymmetry, is to introduce a Grassmann-odd variable ψ_5 in addition to the Grassmann-odd vector variables ψ_μ , with its own kinetic terms in the pseudo-classical action [8]-[11]. The other one, motivated by the computation of anomalies using the Witten-index of supersymmetric quantum mechanics [26], is to represent γ_5 by $(-1)^F$ where F is a suitably defined fermion number in the supersymmetric quantum-mechanical model. In the context of the world-line formulation of spinning particles the latter approach has been advocated in [25]. In this paper I review in some detail the differences between these approaches and their consequences. I show, that the Witten-index approach is equivalent to the bosonic version of γ_5 presented in [24], and corresponds to an irreducible representation of spinors in four dimensions¹, whereas the Grassmann-odd representation of γ_5 introduces a doubling of the number of degrees of freedom, corresponding to a reducible representation of the Clifford algebra of Dirac matrices used to define spinors.

Dirac equation and supersymmetry

The use of supersymmetry in describing spinning particles results from the formal similarity between the algebra of Dirac operators and the supersymmetry algebra

¹Similar results actually hold also in other dimensions

$$Q^2 = H, \tag{1}$$

where Q denotes the supercharge and H the hamiltonian operator of a supersymmetric quantum theory. The Dirac operator $\hat{p} = \gamma \cdot p$ is related similarly to the laplacian (the kinetic operator) by

$$\hat{p}^2 = p_\mu^2. \tag{2}$$

In the pseudo-classical theory of a massless fermion the classical quantity corresponding to \hat{p} indeed generates a world-line supersymmetry, just like the classical hamiltonian generates proper-time translations.

For massive fermions the problem is a little more complicated: the Dirac operator $\hat{p} + m$ does not square to the Klein-Gordon operator $p_\mu^2 + m^2$. However, the situation can be saved by introducing γ_5 and associate

$$Q \rightarrow (-i\hat{p} + m) \gamma_5, \quad H \rightarrow p_\mu^2 + m^2. \tag{3}$$

This defines a representation of the supersymmetry algebra (1) owing to the crucial anti-commutation property of the γ_5 operator:

$$\hat{p}\gamma_5 + \gamma_5\hat{p} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Clearly the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ is well-defined and defines an alternative realization of the supersymmetry relation for massless fermions by $Q = -i\hat{p}\gamma_5$.

Grassmann representation of spinors

In view of the above, I represent the Dirac algebra in the following by the pseudo-vector elements $\psi_\mu = -i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$, which obey the same (Euclidean) anti-commutation relations

$$\{\psi_\mu, \psi_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}. \tag{5}$$

Notice that one has the relation

$$\psi_5 = \gamma_5 = \frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \psi_\mu \psi_\nu \psi_\kappa \psi_\lambda, \tag{6}$$

with the algebraic properties

$$\psi_5^2 = 1, \quad \psi_5 \psi_\mu + \psi_\mu \psi_5 = 0. \tag{7}$$

To obtain a pseudo-classical action for fermions one can introduce another representation of the Dirac algebra in terms of two Grassmann-odd variables $\xi^{1,2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1 &= \xi^1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1}, & \psi_2 &= -i \left(\xi^1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \right), \\ \psi_3 &= \xi^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2}, & \psi_4 &= -i\psi_0 = i \left(\xi^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

It is straightforward to check, that these Grassmann-odd differential operators satisfy the Clifford algebra (5) and provide an alternative to the Dirac matrices in describing spinors.

This representation of the Dirac algebra in terms of anti-commuting variables can be extended with an element ψ_5 with the algebraic properties (7) in 2 different ways: first, one can introduce a third Grassmann-odd variable ξ^3 and define

$$\psi_5 = \xi^3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^3}, \quad \psi_6 = -i \left(\xi^3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^3} \right).\tag{9}$$

With these definitions one finds

$$\{\psi_M, \psi_N\} = 2\delta_{MN}, \quad (M, N) = 1, \dots, 6.\tag{10}$$

Therefore both ψ_5 and ψ_6 satisfy the properties (7), and one may arbitrarily chose one of them, say ψ_5 . The other one then comes for free, but has no obvious use in the representation of spinors in 4-dimensional space-time at this point (however, see below).

The second way to represent ψ_5 is by the non-linear Grassmann-even expression

$$\psi_5 = \frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \psi_\mu \psi_\nu \psi_\kappa \psi_\lambda = \left(1 - 2\xi^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \right) \left(1 - 2\xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2} \right).\tag{11}$$

This definition corresponds directly to the 4×4 matrix representation $\psi_5 = \gamma_5$ in (6) and does have the properties (7), in spite of ψ_5 being Grassmann-even. However, in this case there is no analogue of ψ_6 .

Given these two representations of the Dirac algebra, we consider the properties of spinors, which are simply defined as functions of the Grassmann-variables ξ^k . First, in the minimal representation with non-linear Grassmann-even ψ_5 a spinor has four components:

$$\Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \phi_2 + \xi^1 \phi_3 - \xi^2 \phi_4 - \xi^1 \xi^2 \phi_1.\tag{12}$$

The components have been labeled in a somewhat unconventional way, which has the advantage that the differential operators (8, 11) act on the components in the same way as the Dirac matrices on spinors ϕ_α ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$) if they are taken in the chiral basis

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_i \\ i\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Observe that in this representation γ_5 is diagonal, which is equivalent to the result

$$\psi_5 \Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \Phi(-\xi^1, -\xi^2).\tag{14}$$

It follows, that in this representation the chirality \pm of the spinor in the 4- D sense is the same as the Grassmann parity: if $\Phi = \Phi_+ + \Phi_-$ with

$$\Phi_+ = \phi_2 - \xi^1 \xi^2 \phi_1, \quad \Phi_- = \xi^1 \phi_3 - \xi^2 \phi_4,\tag{15}$$

and the Grassmann parity operator is $(-1)^F$, then

$$\psi_5 \Phi = (-1)^F \Phi = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-.\tag{16}$$

As the mass-term in the Dirac operator (3) contains γ_5 , the Dirac equation becomes

$$[p^\mu \psi_\mu + m \psi_5] \Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2) = 0.\tag{17}$$

It is therefore clear that the mass-term mixes spinor components of opposite Grassmann parity. We also observe, that the spinors $\Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2)$ can be of either Dirac or Majorana type. With the charge conjugation operator represented by

$$C = \left(\xi^1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \right) \left(\xi^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^2} \right),\tag{18}$$

a Majorana spinor has the same expansion (12) as a Dirac spinor, but with the additional restriction that $\phi_3 = -\phi_2^*$, $\phi_4 = \phi_1^*$; in terms of the chiral components:

$$\Phi_- = -i\sigma_2 \Phi_+.\tag{19}$$

Next we consider the representation if the Dirac algebra in terms of three anti-commuting variables ξ^k , $k = 1, 2, 3$. In this case a general spinor is a function

$$\Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3) = \Phi_1(\xi^1, \xi^2) + \xi^3 \Phi_2(\xi^1, \xi^2),\tag{20}$$

where each of the functions $\Phi_{1,2}(\xi^1, \xi^2)$ is a 4-component spinor of the type discussed above. The Dirac equation:

$$[p^\mu \psi_\mu + m \psi_5] \Phi(\xi^1, \xi^2, \xi^3) = 0,\tag{21}$$

is now equivalent to an 8×8 matrix equation

$$(\Gamma_\mu p^\mu + m\Gamma_5) \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} -i\hat{p}\gamma_5 & m \\ m & i\hat{p}\gamma_5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Clearly (Γ_μ, Γ_5) define a *reducible* 8-dimensional representation of the 4- D Dirac algebra, as is obtained by dimensional reduction from six space-time dimensions. This also explains the appearance of ψ_6 in eq.(9). The four-component spinors $\Phi_{1,2}$ then describe a degenerate pair of fermions in four space-time dimensions.

The conclusion from this analysis is, that the minimal (Dirac or Majorana) representation of spinors by Grassmann variables is the four-component one with a Grassmann-even non-linear representation of ψ_5 , whilst the anti-commuting variable representation with Grassmann-odd ψ_5 describes a reducible representation of the Dirac algebra with a doubling of the number of physical degrees of freedom.

Ordered symbols

A general linear operator A on a two-component vector $v = (v_1, v_2)$ with matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_2 \\ a_1 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

can be represented as an ordered differential operator acting on a function $v(\xi) = v_1 + \xi v_2$ of an anti-commuting variable ξ , with ordered expansion

$$A = a_0 + a_1\xi + a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} + (a_3 - a_0)\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}. \quad (24)$$

The action of such an ordered differential operator is equivalent to an integral operator

$$[Av](\xi) = \int d\xi_1 d\bar{\xi}_1 e^{\bar{\xi}_1(\xi_1 - \xi)} \bar{A}(\xi, \bar{\xi}_1) v(\xi_1), \quad (25)$$

where $\bar{A}(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ is the ordered symbol [27, 28], defined as

$$\bar{A}(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = a_0 + a_1\xi + a_2\bar{\xi} + (a_3 - a_0)\xi\bar{\xi}, \quad (26)$$

the ordered operator with every derivative $\partial/\partial\xi$ replaced by the Grassmann variable $\bar{\xi}$. Clearly there is a one-to-one correspondence between linear operators and ordered symbols. Note that the unit operator has components $a_0 = a_3 = 1$, $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, and its symbol is the number 1.

The product of two operators A and B is represented by the symbol

$$\overline{[AB]}(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = \int d\xi_1 d\bar{\xi}_1 e^{(\bar{\xi}_1 - \bar{\xi})(\xi_1 - \xi)} \bar{A}(\xi, \bar{\xi}_1) \bar{B}(\xi_1, \bar{\xi}). \quad (27)$$

It is straightforward to write down the ordered symbols and their multiplication rules for operators on functions of multiple Grassmann variables. In particular, the symbols for the operators ψ_μ in eq.(8) take the simple form

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}_1 &= \xi^1 + \bar{\xi}^1, & \bar{\psi}_2 &= -i(\xi^1 - \bar{\xi}^1), \\ \bar{\psi}_3 &= \xi^2 + \bar{\xi}^2, & \bar{\psi}_4 &= -i\bar{\psi}_0 = i(\xi^2 - \bar{\xi}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

For the symbol of ψ_5 we again have two choices: one is to introduce Grassmann variables $(\xi^3, \bar{\xi}^3)$ and define

$$\bar{\psi}_5 = \xi^3 + \bar{\xi}^3, \quad \bar{\psi}_6 = -i(\xi^3 - \bar{\xi}^3),\tag{29}$$

for the reducible spinor representation. The other one is to define

$$\bar{\psi}_5 = (1 - 2\xi^1\bar{\xi}^1)(1 - 2\xi^2\bar{\xi}^2) = e^{-2\xi\bar{\xi}}.\tag{30}$$

This is the correct form for the irreducible bosonic representation of ψ_5 corresponding to the Grassmann parity operator $(-1)^F$ [26].

From fields to point particles

The quantum theory of (free) fields has an interpretation in terms of the propagation and exchange of point particles. As emphasized by Schwinger [29] external sources $J(x)$ for the fields can excite the vacuum, and the amplitude for exchanging quanta of energy-momentum, spin etc. are proportional to

$$Z[J] \sim e^{\frac{i}{2} \int \bar{J} G_F J},\tag{31}$$

where $G_F(x-y)$ is the Feynman propagator. This propagator has a direct interpretation in terms of classical point particles through the path-integral formalism.² The connection is made as follows: for the particular case of free fermions, the Feynman propagator in momentum space is

$$G_F(p) = \frac{1}{i\hat{p} + m - i\varepsilon}.\tag{32}$$

Here the $i\varepsilon$ -prescription guarantees the causal behaviour of the theory. In view of our previous discussion it is actually preferable to work with the equivalent quantity

$$G_F(p)\gamma_5 = \frac{1}{-i\hat{p}\gamma_5 + (m - i\varepsilon)\gamma_5}.\tag{33}$$

This operator can be represented by its symbol in terms of Grassmann variables $(\xi^k, \bar{\xi}^k)$ by

²For a recent discussion see [24] and references therein.

$$\overline{[G_F \gamma_5]}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}) = \frac{p \cdot \bar{\psi} + m \bar{\psi}_5}{p^2 + m^2}. \quad (34)$$

This expression can be rewritten using a generalized Schwinger representation with a pair of bosonic and fermionic super proper-time parameters (T, σ) [30]:

$$\overline{[G_F \gamma_5]}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}) = -\frac{i}{2m} \int_0^\infty dT \int d\sigma e^{-\frac{iT}{2m}(p^2+m^2) - \sigma(p \cdot \bar{\psi} + m \bar{\psi}_5)} \quad (35)$$

To make the whole exponent proportional to the proper time T , so as to obtain additivity in this parameter, it is customary to define a new anti-commuting parameter $\chi = m\sigma/T$ and write

$$\overline{[G_F \gamma_5]}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}) = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} \int d\chi \tilde{K}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi), \quad (36)$$

where the integrand is

$$\tilde{K}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi) = e^{-\frac{iT}{2m}[p^2+m^2 - i\epsilon - 2i\chi(p \cdot \bar{\psi} + m \bar{\psi}_5)]} \quad (37)$$

The integral kernel may be interpreted as the Fourier transform of the real-space expression

$$\begin{aligned} K(x-y; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi) &= \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip \cdot (x-y)} \tilde{K}(p; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi) \\ &= -i \left(\frac{m}{2\pi T} \right)^2 e^{\frac{im}{2T}(x-y)^2 - \frac{iT}{2}(m-i\epsilon) - \chi \bar{\psi} \cdot (x-y) - T \chi \bar{\psi}_5}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The integral kernel (38) has two useful properties:

A. in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ it reduces to the unit distribution

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} K(x-y; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi) = \delta^4(x-y); \quad (39)$$

B. it satisfies the composition rule

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4 z \int \prod_k [d\xi'^k d\bar{\xi}'^k] e^{(\bar{\xi}' - \bar{\xi}) \cdot (\xi' - \xi)} K(x-z; \xi, \bar{\xi}'; T', \chi) K(z-y; \xi', \bar{\xi}; T'', \chi) = \\ = K(x-y; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T' + T'', \chi). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Note that all results so far are true for both representations of ψ_5 . The properties A and B permit a path-integral representation of the propagator, by iterating the composition rule N times for periods $\Delta T = T/(N+1)$ and taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x-y; \xi, \bar{\xi}; T, \chi) &= \int \prod_{k=1}^N [d^4 x_k d^n \xi_k d^n \bar{\xi}_k] e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N [(\bar{\xi}_j - \bar{\xi}_{j-1}) \cdot \xi_j - \bar{\xi}_j \cdot (\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j)]} \\
&\times e^{\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\xi}_0 - \bar{\xi}_N) \cdot \xi_{N+1} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_0 \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_{N+1})} \prod_{s=0}^N K(x_{s+1} - x_s; \xi_{s+1}, \bar{\xi}_s; \Delta T, \chi). \\
&\rightarrow \int_y^x \mathcal{D}^4 x(\tau) \int_{\bar{\xi}}^{\xi} \mathcal{D}^n \xi(\tau) \mathcal{D}^n \bar{\xi}(\tau) e^{iS_T[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Here I have labeled $x_0 = y$, $x_{N+1} = x$, $\bar{\xi}_0 = \bar{\xi}$ and $\xi_{N+1} = \xi$, and $n = 2$ or 3 depending on the representation of ψ_5 . In the continuum limit the classical action in the exponent takes the form

$$S_T[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)] = \int_0^T d\tau \left[\frac{m}{2} (\dot{x}_\mu^2 - 1) - \frac{i}{2} (\dot{\bar{\xi}} \cdot \xi - \bar{\xi} \cdot \dot{\xi}) + i\chi \bar{\psi} \cdot \dot{x} + i\chi \bar{\psi}_5 \right], \tag{42}$$

modulo boundary terms. This effective action defines the pseudo-classical theory in correspondence with the quantum field theory of fermions described by the propagator G_F . It is well-known [26] that for closed propagators (loops) with anti-periodic fermionic boundary conditions: $\xi_k = -\xi_{N+k}$, $\bar{\xi}_k = -\bar{\xi}_{N+k}$, the boundary terms disappear. The resulting path-integral expression then corresponds to the trace of γ_5 times the Dirac propagator of the field-theory:

$$\text{Tr} \left[\gamma_5 (\hat{\partial} + m)^{-1} \right] = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} \int d\chi \oint_{PBC} \mathcal{D}^4 x(\tau) \oint_{ABC} \mathcal{D}^n \xi(\tau) \mathcal{D}^n \bar{\xi}(\tau) e^{iS_T[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)]}, \tag{43}$$

where PBC and ABC denote periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions respectively.

For the case of the bosonic realization $\psi_5 = (-1)^F$ (indicated by $+$) the trace of $(\hat{\partial} + m)^{-1}$ itself is then obtained from the same path-integral with *periodic* boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} G_F^{(+)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \log \det (\hat{\partial} + m) \\
&= -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} \int d\chi \oint_{PBC} \mathcal{D}^4 x(\tau) \oint_{PBC} \mathcal{D}^2 \xi(\tau) \mathcal{D}^2 \bar{\xi}(\tau) e^{iS_T^{(+)}[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Note that this is in contrast to the formulation of [26], where the trace of the Dirac operator is obtained with anti-periodic boundary conditions. However,

integration over χ brings down another $\bar{\psi}_5$ which changes the boundary conditions back to anti-periodic:

$$\text{Tr } G_F^{(+)} = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^\infty dT \oint_{PBC} \mathcal{D}^4 x(\tau) \oint_{ABC} \mathcal{D}^2 \xi(\tau) \mathcal{D}^2 \bar{\xi}(\tau) e^{iS_T^{(+)}[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)]} \Big|_{\chi=0}. \quad (45)$$

For the case of the linear fermionic realization of ψ_5 (indicated by $-$) a direct calculation shows that after integration over $(\xi^3, \bar{\xi}^3)$ and χ the trace of the propagator can be written as

$$\text{Tr } G_F^{(-)} = i \int_0^\infty dT \oint_{PBC} \mathcal{D}^4 x(\tau) \oint_{ABC} \mathcal{D}^2 \xi(\tau) \mathcal{D}^2 \bar{\xi}(\tau) e^{iS_T^{(-)}[x(\tau), \xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau)]} \Big|_{\chi=\xi^3=\bar{\xi}^3=0}, \quad (46)$$

with only an integration over the paths for the remaining two components of $(\xi(\tau), \bar{\xi}(\tau))$, satisfying anti-periodic boundary conditions, left. The results (45) and (46) are the same for both prescriptions for dealing with ψ_5 , up to a factor of 2. This is precisely the factor one expects from doubling the dimension of spinor space, as I have argued to be associated with the Grassmann-odd representation of ψ_5 .

Supersymmetry

In terms of the covariant fermionic co-ordinates $\bar{\psi}_\mu$, eq.(28), the classical action (42) for $\chi = 0$ (and in the appropriate case $\xi^3 = \bar{\xi}^3 = 0$) becomes

$$S_T [x(\tau), \bar{\psi}(\tau)]_{\chi=0} = \int_0^T d\tau \left[\frac{m}{2} (\dot{x}_\mu^2 - 1) + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \cdot \dot{\bar{\psi}} \right]. \quad (47)$$

Both path-integrals (45) and (46) therefore admit a rigid supersymmetry transforming x^μ into $\bar{\psi}^\mu$

$$\delta x^\mu = \bar{\psi}^\mu \varepsilon, \quad \delta \bar{\psi}^\mu = 2m \dot{x}^\mu \varepsilon. \quad (48)$$

This is of course to be expected from the algebraic starting point (3). However, in the full action (42) with χ reinstated only the action with fermionic $\bar{\psi}_5$ can be interpreted as the gauge-fixed version of a model with local world-line supersymmetry, where the einbein field is fixed to the constant value T and the gravitino fixed to the Grassmann constant χ [24]. In this formulation there is apparently no matching between the four bosonic and the six fermionic degrees of freedom, but this is because $\bar{\psi}_{5,6}$ are members of a fermionic supermultiplet of which the bosonic component is an auxiliary field (a recent review of $D = 1$ supergravity can be found in [23]). The additional fermion variable $\bar{\psi}_6$ enters the action in the path integral through the kinetic terms; however, in the free-particle theory it is completely decoupled from the rest of the physical degrees of freedom and actually describes a simple topological quantum theory [24].

In contrast, in the case of a Grassmann-even $\bar{\psi}_5$ local supersymmetry of the action S_T seems violated by the Grassmann-odd term $i\chi\bar{\psi}_5$, which is rather unusual in a classical Lagrangian formalism. However, the presence of such a term is well-understood as the fermion mass-term flips chirality, which in the present formalism is equivalent to a change in Grassmann parity of the state.

Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Michael Schmidt for an invitation to visit the Institute for Theoretical Physics in Heidelberg, and both him and Martin Reuter for useful discussions about the topic of this paper.

References

- [1] R.H. Rietdijk and J.W. van Holten, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 7 (1990), 247; *J. Geom. Phys.* 11 (1993), 559
- [2] A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, (Harwood, 1987)
- [3] M.J. Strassler, *Nucl. Phys.* B385 (1992), 562
- [4] D.G.C. McKeon, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 224 (1993), 154
- [5] M.G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, *Phys. Lett.* B318 (1994), 438; *Phys. Lett.* B331 (1994), 69
- [6] J.W. van Holten, *Z. Phys.* C66 (1995), 303
- [7] Z. Bern and D.C. Dunbar, *Nucl. Phys.* B379 (1992), 562
- [8] F.A. Berezin and M.S. Marinov, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 104 (1977), 336
- [9] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia and P. Howe, *Nucl. Phys.* B118 (1977), 76
- [10] R. Casalbuoni, *Phys. Lett.* B62 (1976), 49
- [11] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni and L. Lusanna, *Nuov. Cim.* 35A (1976), 37; *Nucl. Phys.* B124 (1977), 93; *id.*, 521
- [12] A. Balachandran et al., *Phys. Rev.* D15 (1977), 2308
- [13] M. Halpern, P. Senjnovic and A. Jevicki, *Phys. rev.* D16 (1977), 2476
- [14] M. Halpern and W. Siegel, *Phys. Rev.* D16 (1977), 2486
- [15] J.W. van Holten, in: *Proc. Sem. Math. Structures in Field Theories (1986-87)*, CWI syll. vol. 26 (1990), 109; *Nucl. Phys.* B356 (1991), 3
- [16] S.M. Shvartsman, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A5 (1990), 943

- [17] P.D. Jarvis and M.J. White, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991), 4121
- [18] C. Schubert, Phys. Lett. B331 (1994), 69
- [19] M. Mondragon et al., Phys. Lett. B351 (1995), 200
- [20] N. Linden, A.J. Macfarlane and J.W. van Holten, preprint NIKHEF/95-049, DAMTP-95/37
- [21] E. d'Hoker and D. Gagné, preprint hep-th/9508131
- [22] J. de Boer et al. preprint hep-th/9509158 (SUNY ITP-SB-95-32)
- [23] J.W. van Holten, in: *From Field Theory to Quantum Groups* (World Scientific, Singapore), to appear; preprint hep-th/9510021 (NIKHEF/95-055)
- [24] J.W. van Holten, preprint hep-th/9508136 (NIKHEF/95-050); Nucl. Phys. B (in press)
- [25] M. Mondragon et al., preprint hep-th/9510036 (HD-THEP-95-43)
- [26] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, Comm. Math. Phys. 90 (1983), 161; *id.* J. Phys. A16 (1983), 4177; see also: *Supersymmetry and Index Theory*, NATO ASI Series B (1985), 1
- [27] F.A. Berezin, *The method of second quantisation* (Academic Press N.Y., 1966)
- [28] L. Faddeev, in *Methods in Field Theory*, eds. R. Balian and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, 1976)
- [29] J. Schwinger, *Particles, sources and fields*, (Addison-Wesley, 1970)
- [30] E.S. Fradkin and D.M. Gitman, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991), 3230