KUNS-1372 HE(TH) 95/22 hep-th/9512015

Conformal Anomaly of String Theory in the Harmonic Gauge

Tomohiko Takahashi*[†]

Department of Physics, Kyoto University Kyoto 606-01, Japan

December, 1995

Abstract

Considering the conformal anomaly in an effective action, the critical dimension of string theory can be decided in the harmonic gauge, in which it had been reported before to be indefinite. In this gauge, there is no anomaly for the ghost number symmetry. This can be naturally understood in terms of Faddeev-Popov conjugation in the theory.

^{*}e-mail address: tomo@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[†]JSPS Research Fellow

1 Introduction

One of the interesting features of string theories is the existence of the critical dimension, at which a lot of fascinating events occur, including: the appearance of massless spinone and spin-two states, the realization of Lorentz covariance in the light-cone gauge [1], the nilpotency of the BRS charge in the conformal gauge [2], and the cancellation of the conformal anomalies [3].

Most of these subjects have been investigated in the conformal or light-cone gauge, whereas several works have been done in the harmonic gauge. The first-quantized bosonic strings in the harmonic gauge have a relation to OSp(1, 1|2) string field theory [4, 5]. In the latter theory, which is a free one, the OSp(1, 1|2) algebra with the BRS and anti-BRS generators has been shown to close at D = 26 only. Besides, the cancellation of the conformal anomaly has been studied in the harmonic gauge from a Lagrangian approach, and this occurs at the same dimension [6]. There are also other arguments in different versions of the harmonic gauge [7, 8].

In general, it is believed that the critical dimension is a well-defined concept. However, there have been a few contradictory results, *e.g.*, indefiniteness of the critical dimension in the harmonic gauge [9]. In this gauge, the dependence of a gauge parameter appears in the two-point function of the energy momentum tensor based on a perturbative calculation. The anomalous term of the two-point function would have been expected to vanish at D = 26, as in any other gauge, but it does not, due to its gauge dependence. This result suggests that the anomaly may disappear for any D by adjusting the gauge parameter. There is also a study in the temporal gauge indicating that the critical dimension may not be determined as far as considering a cylinder amplitude [10].

In the following, we will decide the critical dimension in the harmonic gauge. In order to clarify the above discrepancy, it is necessary to analyze the anomaly more carefully. We will consider an effective action and the Ward-Takahashi identity for the decision of the critical dimension.

Moreover, we will study the ghost number anomaly. In the conformal gauge, the ghost number current has the anomaly [11], the integrated version of which can be interpreted as an index theorem. Contrastingly, it has been known that the ghost number anomaly does not exist in the harmonic gauge [6]. We will compute perturbatively the ghost number anomaly in the harmonic gauge with a gauge parameter considered throughout this paper.

2 Harmonic Gauge

The Lagrangian of two dimensional gravity coupled to matters in the harmonic gauge [12] has the form,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}X_{M}\partial_{\beta}X^{M} - \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}b_{\beta} - i\partial_{\alpha}\overline{c}_{\beta}\left\{\tilde{g}^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}c^{\beta} + \tilde{g}^{\beta\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}c^{\alpha} - \left(\partial_{\gamma}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\right)c^{\gamma}\right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}$ and Greek indices correspond to world sheet coordinates, and roman indices run from 1 to D. b_{α} , c^{α} and \overline{c}_{α} denote the auxiliary field, the ghost and anti-ghost fields, respectively. It is possible to obtain a more simplified Lagrangian by the redefinition of the field variables; the shift of the auxiliary field b_{α} [13],

$$b_{\alpha} = \tilde{b}_{\alpha} + i \left(1 - \xi\right) c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{\alpha}.$$

$$(2.2)$$

The Jacobian for this transformation is trivial and so there is no anomaly in it. After performing this shift and dropping a total derivative term, we find a Lagrangian as follows,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}X_{M}\partial_{\beta}X^{M} - \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\tilde{b}_{\beta} - i\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{c}_{\gamma}\partial_{\beta}c^{\gamma} + i\xi\,\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_{\alpha}\left(\,c^{\gamma}\,\partial_{\gamma}\bar{c}_{\beta}\right),\tag{2.3}$$

where ξ is a gauge parameter. In the case of $\xi = 0$, the Lagrangian has a simpler form than before. In this paper, we will quantize the theory defined by the latter Lagrangian and discuss anomalies derived from it.

The action is invariant under the BRS symmetry:

$$\delta_B X^M = -c^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^M, \qquad \delta_B g^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} c^{\beta} + g^{\beta\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} c^{\alpha} - \left(\partial_{\gamma} g^{\alpha\beta}\right) c^{\gamma},$$

$$\delta_B c^{\alpha} = -c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} c^{\alpha}, \qquad \delta_B \overline{c}_{\alpha} = i \widetilde{b}_{\alpha} - (1-\xi) c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{\alpha},$$

$$\delta_B \widetilde{b}_{\alpha} = -(1-\xi) c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}.$$
(2.4)

Moreover the Faddeev-Popov(FP) conjugate transformation also leaves it invariant:

$$\mathcal{C}_{FP} : c^{\alpha} \longrightarrow \eta^{\alpha\beta} \overline{c}_{\beta},
 \overline{c}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow -\eta_{\alpha\beta} c^{\beta},
 \widetilde{b}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \widetilde{b}_{\alpha} + i \xi \left(\partial_{\gamma} \overline{c}_{\alpha} c^{\gamma} + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\gamma\delta} \partial_{\gamma} c^{\beta} \overline{c}_{\delta} \right),$$
(2.5)

where $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ is the world sheet flat metric. Therefore we find out the extended BRS symmetry, which involves the anti-BRS and the ghost number symmetry in addition to the BRS symmetry.

Now let us consider the case of parameterizing the metric as follows,

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = e^{\phi} \left(\eta^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta} \right), \qquad \eta_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \qquad (2.6)$$

where $\eta^{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(+1, -1)$. The degrees of freedom of $g^{\alpha\beta}$ are the same as the ones of $h^{\alpha\beta}$ and ϕ : because of the tracelessness of $h^{\alpha\beta}$, it has two degrees of freedom, and ϕ has one. By substituting the expression of Eq. (2.6) to Eq. (2.3), we obtain the following Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{0} + \mathcal{L}_{int},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} X_{M} \partial^{\alpha} X^{M} + h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta} - i \partial_{\alpha} \overline{c}_{\beta} \partial^{\alpha} c^{\beta},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} \equiv \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} X_{M} \partial_{\beta} X^{M} - \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\gamma} \tilde{b}_{\gamma} + i h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \overline{c}_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta} c^{\gamma} + i \xi h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\gamma} \overline{c}_{\beta} c^{\gamma} \right) - \frac{1}{8} h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} X_{M} \partial^{\gamma} X^{M} + \frac{1}{4} h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} \partial_{\gamma} \tilde{b}_{\delta} - \frac{i}{4} h_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \overline{c}_{\delta} \partial^{\gamma} c^{\delta} - \frac{i}{4} \xi h^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \left(\partial_{\delta} \overline{c}^{\gamma} c^{\delta} \right) + \cdots,$$

$$(2.7)$$

where the tensor indices are raised or lowered by the flat metric $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$, and the ellipsis denotes terms of higher order than the fourth power of the fields. As a consequence of the conformal symmetry remaining in the Lagrangian of Eq. (2.3), there is no dependence of the conformal mode ϕ in it. This is the same situation as in the conformal or light-cone gauge. Though the gauge fixing has not yet been performed for the conformal symmetry, it is not needed for our discussion.

Propagators are derived from the free part of the Lagrangian:

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} X^{M}(x) X^{N}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = g^{MN} \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} c^{\alpha}(x) \overline{c}_{\beta}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx},$$

$$\left\langle \operatorname{T} \widetilde{b}_{\alpha}(x) h_{\beta\gamma}(y) \right\rangle_{0} = \int \frac{d^{2}p}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{-i}{p^{2} + i\epsilon} \left(p_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\gamma} + p_{\gamma} \eta_{\alpha\beta} - p_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta\gamma} \right) e^{-ipx}.$$

where we take $\langle \rangle_0$ to correspond to a free propagator.

It is convenient, for practical calculation, to introduce light-cone coordinates,

$$x^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(x^0 \pm x^1 \right). \tag{2.9}$$

The associated metric is defined as $\eta^{+-} = \eta^{-+} = \eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = 1$ and otherwise zero. In Fig. 1 we have represented the resultant Feynman rule, which is expressed with these coordinates.

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline & = \left\langle X^{M} X^{N} \right\rangle_{0} & \overline{c}_{-}(p) & h_{++} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\$$

Figure 1: Graphical representation of propagators and vertices. Higher order vertices are omitted. The vertices with + and - components exchanged also exist.

Using this perturbative method, we will compute the two-point function of the energy momentum tensor. It is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}}$$

= $\partial_{\alpha} X_{M} \partial_{\beta} X^{M} + \partial_{(\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta)} + i \partial_{(\alpha} \bar{c}_{\gamma} \partial_{\beta)} c^{\gamma} - i \xi \partial_{(\alpha} \left(c^{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{c}_{\beta)} \right)$
 $-g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\gamma} X_{M} \partial_{\delta} X^{M} + \partial_{\gamma} \tilde{b}_{\delta} + i \partial_{\gamma} \bar{c}_{\eta} \partial_{\delta} c^{\eta} - i \partial_{\gamma} \left(c^{\eta} \partial_{\eta} \bar{c}_{\delta} \right) \right).$ (2.10)

It satisfies

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

In the harmonic gauge, Eq. (2.11) gives the equation of motion for \tilde{b}_{α} perturbatively, unlike in the conformal or the light-cone gauge, in which it corresponds to the Virasoro constraint.

We illustrate the evaluation of the Feynman diagram in Fig. 2 [14, 15]. It is given by

$$-\frac{D}{2}\int \frac{dk_{+}dk_{-}}{i(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{k_{+}k_{+}}{k_{+}k_{-}+i\epsilon} \frac{(k_{+}-p_{+})(k_{+}-p_{+})}{(k_{+}-p_{+})(k_{-}-p_{-})+i\epsilon}$$

= $-\frac{D}{2}\int \frac{dk_{+}}{i(2\pi)^{2}} k_{+} (k_{+}-p_{+}) \int dk_{-} \frac{1}{k_{-}+i\epsilon/k_{+}} \frac{1}{k_{-}-p_{-}+i\epsilon/(k_{+}-p_{+})}.$ (2.12)

It is possible to carry out the integration over k_{-} first. If we take $p_{+} > 0$, the poles in k_{-} space are on opposite sides of the real axis only in the case $0 < k_{+} < p_{+}$, then the k_{-}



Figure 2: A Feynman diagram evaluated in Eq. (2.12) as an example calculation.

integral is given by the residue. It vanishes when $k_+ < 0$ or $k_+ > p_+$. Therefore

$$= -\frac{D}{4\pi p_{-}} \int_{0}^{p_{+}} dk_{+} k_{+} (k_{+} - p_{+})$$

$$= \frac{D}{24\pi} \frac{p_{+}^{3}}{p_{-}}.$$
 (2.13)

Evaluating other contributions, we obtain the two-point function of the energy momentum tensor up to one-loop order:

$$\langle T T_{++}(p) T_{++}(-p) \rangle = \frac{1}{6\pi} \{ D - 2 - 12 \xi (\xi + 1) \} \frac{p_+^3}{p_-}.$$
 (2.14)

In a similar way we can obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{T} T_{--}(p) T_{--}(-p) \rangle = \frac{1}{6\pi} \{ D - 2 - 12 \xi (\xi + 1) \} \frac{p_{-}^3}{p_{+}}.$$
 (2.15)

This seems to suggest that the conformal symmetry is broken, because the energy momentum tensor may be coupled to the metric tensor. It may be said that an arbitrary critical dimension D may be allowed, simply by adjusting ξ .

However, this is not the case. We should consider the anomaly more carefully. Since the metric field $h_{\alpha\beta}$ itself propagates in the harmonic gauge, the two-point function of the energy momentum tensor as defined in Eq. (2.11) does not correspond to the conformal anomaly directly. As seen later, we will conclude that the anomaly disappears at D = 26, as expected from calculation in other gauges, by using an effective action.

3 Conformal and Ghost Number Anomalies

We next consider an effective action. It is defined as the Legendre transform of the connected vacuum functional W:

$$\Gamma[\Phi; K] \equiv W[J; K] - \int d^2 x J \Phi,$$

$$\Phi(x) \equiv \frac{\delta W}{\delta J(x)}, \qquad J \Phi \equiv J_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} + \overline{J}_{\alpha} c^{\alpha} + J^{\alpha} \overline{c}_{\alpha} + J_b^{\alpha} \left\{ \widetilde{b}_{\alpha} + i \left(1 - \xi\right) c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{\alpha} \right\},$$

and

$$\exp iW \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp i \int d^2x \left[\mathcal{L} + J\Phi + K_{\alpha\beta} \,\delta_B h^{\alpha\beta} + K_\alpha \,\delta_B c^\alpha \right]. \tag{3.1}$$

We can easily find the Ward-Takahashi(W-T) identity from the BRS invariance [16]:

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h^{\alpha\beta}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_{\alpha\beta}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c^{\alpha}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\overline{c}_{\alpha}}b_{\alpha} = 0.$$
(3.2)

It should be noticed that the source term in Eq. (3.1) contains not only \tilde{b}_{α} but also a composite operator of $i(1-\xi)c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{\alpha}$. This term is indispensable for writing down the W-T identity for the BRS symmetry. Moreover it will play an important role in calculating the anomaly.

The conformal mode ϕ does not propagate and has no interaction with any fields at tree level. But we represent the W-T identity including the conformal mode:

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta h^{\alpha\beta}}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta K_{\alpha\beta}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta\phi}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta K_{\phi}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta c^{\alpha}}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta K_{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta\overline{c}_{\alpha}}b_{\alpha} = 0.$$
(3.3)

The definition of the effective action with the conformal mode is the following:

$$\Gamma_{\phi}[\Phi,\phi;K] \equiv W_{\phi}[J,J_{\phi},K] - \int d^2x \left(J\Phi + J_{\phi}\phi\right),$$

$$\exp iW_{\phi} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp i \int d^2x \left[\mathcal{L} + J\Phi + J_{\phi}\phi + K_{\alpha\beta}\,\delta_B h^{\alpha\beta} + K_{\phi}\,\delta_B\phi + K_{\alpha}\,\delta_B c^{\alpha}\right]. \quad (3.4)$$

3.1 Conformal Anomaly

-

Let us compute the two-point function of b_{α} . Through the same procedure as in the case of the energy momentum tensor, it is given by

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T} \ \tilde{b}_{+}(p) \ \tilde{b}_{+}(-p) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{96\pi} \left\{ D - 2 - 12 \, \xi \left(\, \xi + 1 \, \right) \right\} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}},$$

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T} \ \tilde{b}_{-}(p) \ \tilde{b}_{-}(-p) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{96\pi} \left\{ D - 2 - 12 \, \xi \left(\, \xi + 1 \, \right) \right\} \frac{p_{-}}{p_{+}}.$$
 (3.5)

The results are finite. However the +- component of the two-point function is divergent. For instance, evaluating the graph in Fig. 3, we are faced with the integral as follows,

$$-\frac{D}{8p_+p_-}\int \frac{dk_+dk_-}{i(2\pi)^2} \frac{k_+k_-}{k_+k_-+i\epsilon} \frac{(k_+-p_+)(k_--p_-)}{(k_+-p_+)(k_--p_-)+i\epsilon}.$$
(3.6)

Indeed the above integral is quadratically divergent and so it is ill-defined. Then it is necessary to regularize it appropriately. We assume that a proper regularization scheme



Figure 3: A graph contributing to a divergent integral.

exists and it is possible to evaluate a divergent integral by means of it. We will take the form of the +- component as,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T} \ \tilde{b}_{+}(p) \ \tilde{b}_{-}(-p) \right\rangle \equiv \frac{\tilde{F}(p^{2})}{p_{+}p_{-}},$$
(3.7)

where $\tilde{F}(p^2)$ is a regular function of p^2 under a certain regularization scheme. This assumption seems to be plausible for this integral. Indeed $\tilde{F}(p^2)$ becomes a local function, if we adopt the regularization scheme in which the integral is defined by using a momentum cut-off in Euclidean space. But we have no need to specify the regularization scheme further, because the term of $\tilde{F}(p^2)$ is irrelevant to the anomaly, as seen later. We can compute the following two-point function in a similar way,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T} \ \tilde{b}_{+}(p) \ i \ c^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \overline{c}_{+}(-p) \right\rangle = -\frac{1+2\,\xi}{16\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T} \ i \, c^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \overline{c}_{+}(p) \ i \, c^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{+}(-p) \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}}.$$
 (3.9)

The Feynman graphs corresponding to Eqs. (3.9) and (3.8) are represented in Fig. 4. From Eqs. (3.5), (3.9) and (3.8), we can obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{T} \ b_{+}(p) \ b_{+}(-p) \rangle = \frac{1}{96\pi} \left\{ D - 2 - 12 \ \xi \ (\xi + 1) \right\} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}} - (1 - \xi) \frac{1 + 2 \ \xi}{16\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}} - (1 - \xi) \frac{1 + 2 \ \xi}{16\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}} - (1 - \xi)^{2} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}} = \frac{D - 26}{96\pi} \frac{p_{+}}{p_{-}},$$

$$(3.10)$$

$$\langle T b_{-}(p) b_{-}(-p) \rangle = \frac{D - 26}{96\pi} \frac{p_{-}}{p_{+}}.$$
 (3.11)

We obtain also

$$\langle \mathrm{T} \ b_{+}(p) \ b_{-}(-p) \rangle = \frac{F(p^{2})}{p_{+}p_{-}},$$
(3.12)

where $F(p^2)$ is a local function under a proper regularization scheme.



Figure 4: Diagrams contributing to the anomalous term in the effective action. Figures (a) and (b) correspond to Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9), respectively.

These results are exact ones, though, so far, we have been computing them at one-loop order. Actually there is no other contribution to these two-point functions, because the metric and auxiliary fields propagate only to each other and not to themselves. Therefore the anomaly derived from these results is exact. Namely, it does not have any correction of higher order.

Hence we have been able to compute the two-point function of b_{α} . The composite term $ic^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\overline{c}_{\alpha}$ is essential for the derivation of Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11). The results of Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) infer that the BRS symmetry is exact if and only if D = 26, and otherwise it is anomalous. Because the left-hand side of Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) must be zero from the BRS symmetry, $b_{\alpha} = -i \, \delta_B \overline{c}_{\alpha}$.

This fact can be shown more explicitly in the effective action. First, we find

$$\langle \mathrm{T} b_{+}(p) h_{--}(-p) \rangle = -\frac{i}{p_{+}}, \qquad \langle \mathrm{T} b_{-}(p) h_{++}(-p) \rangle = -\frac{i}{p_{-}}.$$
 (3.13)

The inverse of the two-point function of Eqs. (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) gives the second order part of the fields in the effective action:

$$\Gamma[\Phi; K] = \int d^2 p \left[ip_- h_{++}(p) b_-(-p) + ip_+ h_{--}(p) b_+(-p) + \frac{D - 26}{192\pi} \left\{ h_{++}(p) h_{++}(-p) \frac{p_-^3}{p_+} + h_{--}(p) h_{--}(-p) \frac{p_+^3}{p_-} \right\} + F(p^2) h_{++}(p) h_{--}(-p) + \cdots \right].$$
(3.14)

Notice that D-26 of the two-point function of b_{α} gives the factor for the nonlocal term of the metric field in the effective action. As stated before, the $F(p^2)$ term can be removed and hence not contribute to the anomaly, by using the freedom to add arbitrary local counterterms to the effective action. We will try to obtain a gauge invariant effective action, which satisfies the W-T identity of Eq. (3.2). From Eqs. (2.4) and (2.6), the BRS transformation for $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and ϕ can be derived:

$$\delta_B h^{\alpha\beta} = -\partial^{(\alpha} c^{\beta)} + h^{(\alpha\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} c^{\beta)} - \partial_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\beta} c^{\gamma} + (\eta^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}) (\partial_{\gamma} c^{\gamma} - h^{\gamma\delta} \partial_{\gamma} c_{\delta}),$$

$$\delta_B \phi = \partial_{\alpha} c^{\alpha} - h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} c_{\beta} - \partial_{\alpha} \phi c^{\alpha}.$$
(3.15)

We rewrite this as follows,

$$\delta_B h_{++} = -2 \,\partial_+ c_+,$$

$$\delta_B h_{--} = -2 \,\partial_- c_-,$$

$$\delta_B \phi = \partial_+ c_- + \partial_- c_+,$$
(3.16)

where terms higher than second order are omitted. Hereafter we adopt this approximation. Considering the dimension and ghost number of fields and sources, with the help of Eq. (3.16), we obtain

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_{--}(-p)} = 2ip_+c_+(p), \qquad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_{++}(-p)} = 2ip_-c_-(p). \tag{3.17}$$

As easily seen by using Eq. (3.17), it is impossible to make the effective action satisfy the W-T identity of Eq. (3.2), if we add only local counterterms of $h_{\alpha\beta}$ to it. It is the nonlocal terms in the effective action which violate the realization of the W-T identity and which are nonvanishing unless D = 26. Therefore the nonlocal terms can be interpreted as the anomaly for the BRS symmetry.

The BRS anomaly presented above is replaced with the conformal anomaly, if we allow local terms with the conformal mode to be added to the effective action and consider the W-T identity of Eq. (3.3). The effective action Γ_{ϕ} satisfies, of course, Eq. (3.17), with Γ replaced by Γ_{ϕ} , and, in addition, the following equation,

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta K_{\phi}(-p)} = -ip_{+}c_{-}(p) - ip_{-}c_{+}(p).$$
(3.18)

Adding some local counterterms to Eq. (3.14), we can form an effective action

$$\Gamma_{\phi}[\Phi,\phi;K] = \frac{D-26}{192\pi} \int d^2p \left[\frac{p_-^3}{p_+} h_{++}(p) h_{++}(-p) + \frac{p_+^3}{p_-} h_{--}(p) h_{--}(-p) + 4p_-^2 h_{++}(p) \phi(-p) + 4p_+^2 h_{--}(p) \phi(-p) + 2p_+p_- h_{++}(p) h_{--}(-p) + 4p_+p_- \phi(p) \phi(-p) \right] + \cdots$$
(3.19)

The nonanomalous terms and source terms have been omitted in this equation. Indeed this effective action satisfies the W-T identity of Eq. (3.3).

Eq. (3.19) is rewritten as

$$\Gamma_{\phi}[\Phi,\phi;K] = \frac{D-26}{192\pi} \int d^2p \frac{1}{p_+p_-} R(p)R(-p) + \cdots, \qquad (3.20)$$

where we have defined

$$R(p) = -p_{-}^{2} h_{++}(p) - p_{+}^{2} h_{--}(p) - 2 p_{+} p_{-} \phi(p), \qquad (3.21)$$

which is the expanded form of the scalar curvature by Eq. (2.6). Eq. (3.20) corresponds to the conformal anomaly. More explicitly, we can find it as

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\phi}}{\delta\phi(-p)} = \frac{D-26}{48\pi} R(p). \tag{3.22}$$

At tree level, the dependence of the conformal mode is absent in the action. It does not appear in the effective action explicitly in the one loop calculation either. However, as is a well-known fact [14], if we require the effective action to be invariant for the BRS symmetry, the counterterms depending on the conformal mode are necessary for recovering the symmetry. As a result, we are led to the conformal anomaly as given by Eq. (3.22) and the fact that the conformal anomaly disappears only when D = 26. Therefore the conclusion is that the critical dimension is 26 in the harmonic gauge.

3.2 Ghost Number Anomaly

The Lagrangian is invariant under the following transformation,

$$c_{\alpha} \longrightarrow e^{\theta} c_{\alpha}, \qquad \overline{c}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow e^{-\theta} \overline{c}_{\alpha}, \qquad (3.23)$$

where θ is a real parameter. This is the ghost number symmetry. The current of it is defined as

$$J_C^{\alpha} \equiv i \, \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \, \left(\overline{c}_{\gamma} \, \partial_{\beta} c^{\gamma} - \partial_{\beta} \overline{c}_{\gamma} \, c^{\gamma} \right) - i \, \xi \, \partial_{\gamma} \tilde{g}^{\gamma\beta} \, \overline{c}_{\beta} \, c^{\alpha}. \tag{3.24}$$

We will consider the J_C^{α} -inserted vertex function which is defined as follows,

$$\exp i\Gamma_C[\Phi;L] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}c\mathcal{D}\overline{c} \exp i \int d^2x \left[\mathcal{L} + L_\alpha J_C^\alpha\right].$$
(3.25)

This vertex function is sufficient to find the ghost number anomaly. Suppose that we obtain the following result,

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{C}}{\delta L_{+}(-p)} = -i\lambda \frac{p_{-}^{2}}{p_{+}} h_{++}(p),$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{C}}{\delta L_{-}(-p)} = -i\lambda \frac{p_{+}^{2}}{p_{-}} h_{--}(p),$$
(3.26)

where local terms are omitted and λ denotes a certain constant. These terms, if any, cannot be taken away from the vertex function. By multiplying the momenta, Eq. (3.26) becomes

$$-ip_{+}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{C}}{\delta L_{+}(-p)} - ip_{-}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{C}}{\delta L_{-}(-p)} = \lambda \left(-p_{-}^{2}h_{++}(p) - p_{+}^{2}h_{--}(p)\right).$$
(3.27)

Adding local functions to the vertex function, we obtain the following equation from Eq. (3.27),

$$-ip_{\alpha}\frac{\delta\Gamma_C}{\delta L_{\alpha}(-p)} = -ip_{\alpha} \langle \mathrm{T} J_C^{\alpha}(p) \rangle = \lambda R(p).$$
(3.28)

This equation means that the ghost number current may not be conserved at the quantum level. Namely, the ghost number anomaly exists unless λ equals zero.

However, λ equals zero, and there is no anomaly in the ghost number current. Indeed, λ is given by evaluating a one-loop diagram of ghost fields:

$$-i\lambda \frac{p_{-}^{2}}{p_{+}} = -\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{dk_{+}dk_{-}}{i(2\pi)^{2}} (2k_{-} - p_{-}) \frac{k_{-}}{k_{+}k_{-} + i\epsilon} \frac{k_{-} - p_{-}}{(k_{+} - p_{+})(k_{-} - p_{-}) + i\epsilon}$$
$$= \frac{-i}{4\pi p_{+}} \int_{0}^{p_{-}} dk_{-} (2k_{-} - p_{-})$$
$$= 0.$$
(3.29)

We can naturally understand the absence of the ghost number anomaly as follows: The integration of Eq. (3.28) gives us

$$\int_{M} d^{2}x \,\partial_{\alpha} \langle \mathbf{T} J_{C}^{\alpha} \rangle = \lambda \int_{M} d^{2}x \sqrt{-g}R$$
$$= 4\pi\lambda \,\chi(M), \qquad (3.30)$$

where M denotes some two-dimensional manifold and $\chi(M)$ denotes the Euler number of M. On the other hand, the left hand side of Eq. (3.30) must be given by the difference

between the zero mode number of the ghost field and that of the anti-ghost field. Now the theory has invariance under the FP conjugation of Eq. (2.5), and so the zero mode numbers of ghost and anti-ghost fields are equal to each other. Hence λ equals zero and the ghost number anomaly does not exist in the harmonic gauge.

4 Summary

In this paper, we have derived the anomaly for the BRS and conformal symmetry in the harmonic gauge by considering the effective action instead of the energy momentum tensor. These anomalies are independent of the gauge parameter. Also the critical dimension, which is 26, is well-defined, as in other gauges. It is difficult to discuss the theory in the harmonic gauge because it cannot be solved exactly, unlike in the conformal or the light-cone gauge. Therefore we should treat it carefully, respecting the BRS symmetry, for example, using the W-T identity for the effective action.

We have shown also that the ghost number current has no anomaly in the harmonic gauge. This is a natural consequence due to FP conjugation.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank T. Kugo, S. Yahikozawa and M. Sato for valuable discussions. He also thanks A. Bordner for careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] P. Goddard, J. Goldstone, G. Rebbi and C.B. Thorn, Nucl. Phys. B56 (1973) 109.
- [2] M. Kato and K. Ogawa, Nucl. Phys. bf B212 (1983) 443.
- [3] A.M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* **103B** (1981) 207.
- [4] L. Baulieu, W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, Nucl. Phys. B287 (1987) 93.
- [5] W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, Nucl. Phys. 288 (1987) 332.
- [6] D.W. Düsedau, Phys. Lett. **188B** (1987) 51.
- [7] D.Z. Freedman, J.I. Latorre and K. Pilch, Nucl. Phys. B306 (1988) 77.
- [8] U. Kraemmer and A. Rebhan, *Nucl. Phys.* B315 (1989) 717.
- [9] M. Abe and N. Nakanishi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7 (1992) 1799.
- [10] T. Kawano, Prog. Theor. Phys. **93** (1995) 455.
- [11] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D25** (1982) 2584.
- [12] For a review, N. Nakanishi and I. Ojima, Covariant Operator Formalism of Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity (World Scientific, 1990).
- [13] N. Nakanishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 59 (1978) 2157.
- [14] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B234** (1983) 269.
- [15] G. 'tHooft, Nucl. Phys. **B75** (1974) 461.
- [16] R. Delbourgo and M.R. Medrano, Nucl. Phys. **B110** (1976) 467.